

1 **Optimization of the hydrolytic-acidogenic anaerobic digestion stage (55**
2 **°C) of sewage sludge: influence of pH and solid content**

3

4 *Sergio Ponsá¹, Ivet Ferrer², Felícitas Vázquez³ and Xavier Font^{1*}*

5 ¹Composting Research Group

6 Department of Chemical Engineering

7 Escola Tècnica Superior d'Enginyeria

8 Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

9 Bellaterra (Cerdanyola del Vallès, 08193-Barcelona, Spain)³

10 ²Environmental Engineering Division

11 Department of Hydraulic, Maritime and Environmental Engineering

12 Technical University of Catalonia

13 C/ Jordi Girona 1-3 (08034-Barcelona, Spain)

14 ³Institute of Biotechnology and Biomedicine Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra

15 (Cerdanyola del Vallès, 08193-Barcelona, Spain)

16 *Corresponding author:

17 Xavier Font

18 Tel.: (34) 93 5814480; Fax: (34) 93 5812013; E-mail: xavier.font@uab.cat

19 **Abstract:**

20 In conventional single-stage anaerobic digestion processes, hydrolysis is regarded as the

21 rate limiting step in the degradation of complex organic compounds, such as sewage

1 sludge. Two-stage systems have been proposed to enhance this process. However, so far
2 it is not clear which are the best conditions for a two-stage anaerobic digestion process
3 of sewage sludge, in terms of temperature and hydraulic retention time of each stage.
4 The aim of this work was to determine the optimal conditions for the hydrolytic-
5 acidogenic stage treating real sludge with a high concentration of total solids (40-50 g
6 L⁻¹) and volatile solids (25-30 g L⁻¹), named high concentration sludge. The variables
7 considered for this first stage were: hydraulic retention time , (1 to 4 days) and
8 temperature (55 and 65°C). Maximum volatile fatty acids generation was obtained at 4
9 days and 3 days hydraulic retention time for 55°C and 65°C, respectively.
10 Consequently, 4 days hydraulic retention time and temperature of 55°C were set as the
11 working conditions for the hydrolytic-acidogenic stage treating high concentration
12 sludge . The results obtained when operating with high concentration sludge were
13 compared with a low concentration sludge low concentration sludge consisting of 17-28
14 g L⁻¹ Total Solids and 13-21 g L⁻¹ Volatile Solids. The effect of decreasing the influent
15 sludge pH, when working at the optimal conditions established, was also evaluated.

16

17 **Keywords:** Anaerobic digestion; hydrolysis-acidogenesis; thermophilic; two-stage
18 process, volatile fatty acids; waste sludge.

19

20

21

22

23

1 **Abbreviations**

2 HRT: Hydraulic Retention Time (d^{-1})

3 TS: Total Solids ($g \cdot L^{-1}$)

4 VS: Volatile Solids ($g \cdot L^{-1}$)

5 HCS: High Concentration Sludge

6 LCS: Low Concentration Sludge

7 VFA: Volatile Fatty Acids

8 H-A: Hydrolytic-Acidogenic

9 WWTP: Wastewater Treatment Plants

10 CSTR: Continuously Stirred Tank Reactor

11 COD: Chemical Oxygen Demand ($g \text{ kg}^{-1}$)

12 OLR: Organic Loading Rate ($g \text{ VS}_{\text{fed}} \cdot (L_{\text{reactor}} \cdot \text{day})^{-1}$)

13 PCO_2 : CO_2 content in the biogas (%)

14 SBP: Specific Biogas Production ($L \text{ biogas} (g \text{ VS}_{\text{fed}})^{-1}$)

15 VSR: Volatile Solids Removal (%)

16 VFAP: Volatile Fatty Acid Production ($g \text{ VFA produced} \cdot (g \text{ VS}_{\text{fed}})^{-1}$)

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 **1. Introduction**

2 The residue generated during the primary, secondary and tertiary wastewater
3 treatment is often called “sludge”. Anaerobic digestion is an appropriate technique for
4 the treatment of sludge before its final disposal and it is employed worldwide as the
5 oldest and most important process for sludge stabilization (Metcalf and Eddy, 1991;
6 Mata-Alvarez *et al.*, 2000).

7 The microbiology of anaerobic digestion is complicated, involving several
8 bacterial groups forming a complex interdependent food web. However, four major
9 steps can be distinguished. In the first hydrolysis step, both solubilisation of insoluble
10 particulate matter and biological decomposition of organic polymers to monomers or
11 dimmers take place. Acidogenesis and acetogenesis follow in the second and third step
12 while in the fourth and final step, methane is produced by methanogenic population
13 (Pavlostathis and Giraldo-Gomez, 1991).

14 In a conventional single-stage anaerobic digestion process, hydrolysis,
15 acidogenesis, acetogenesis and methanogenesis all take place in the same reactor. To
16 maintain a favourable environment for the mixed culture of microorganisms in such a
17 reactor, volatile fatty acids (VFA) production and utilization must be balanced. At short
18 retentions times, VFA production could exceed the utilization, leading to reactor failure
19 (Harper and Pohland, 1986; Siegert and Banks, 2005). Because the metabolic
20 characteristics and growth rates of the methanogenic and acetogenic bacteria are
21 different, two-stage anaerobic processes to separate VFA and methane forming stages
22 have been proposed to optimize each stage (Pohland and Ghosh 1971; Elefsiniotis and
23 Oldham, 1994; Bhattacharya *et al.*, 1996; Veeken and Hamelers, 1999; Shana *et al.*,
24 2002; Oktem *et al.*, 2006)

1 Hydrolysis is reported as the rate limiting step of anaerobic digestion of semi-
2 solid wastes as sewage sludge, whereas methanogenesis is considered rate-limiting for
3 fermentation of soluble substrates (Eliosov and Argaman, 1995; Gavala *et al.*, 2003).
4 Although, the specific operational conditions for acetogenic-methanogenic stages have
5 been extensively studied, relevant literature on the acidogenic stage is still scarce. Few
6 studies report optimal conditions for the hydrolytic stage, besides in all of them very
7 low TS (5-10 g L⁻¹) and sometimes synthetic substrates are used (Shana *et al.*, 2002; Yu
8 *et al.*, 2003). There is a lack of knowledge about operation conditions of acidogenic
9 reactors fed with a real mixture of primary and secondary sludge with high TS and high
10 VS concentrations. However, it is known that for a good performance of such reactors,
11 methanogenic activity must be low. For this reason, short retention times are generally
12 used in hydrolytic-acidogenic (H-A) reactors, since they favour the washing out of
13 methanogenic microorganisms. Besides, neither high VFA concentrations nor high
14 temperatures favour the growth of these microorganisms (Bhattacharya *et al.*, 1996).
15 Moreover both, temperature and HRT are important control parameters which can be
16 manipulated causing considerable effects on the hydrolytic products (Elefsiniotis and
17 Oldham, 1994, Metcalf and Eddy, 1995; Veeken and Hamelers, 1999; Ahn and Foster,
18 2000; Záborská, 2000; Ahring *et al.*, 2001). Additionally, a thermophilic range of
19 temperatures is generally used in the acidogenic reactor operation since it results in a
20 biochemical reactions rate acceleration and higher growth rate of microorganisms that
21 means a higher hydrolytic rate (Pavlostathis and Giraldo-Gómez, 1991; Veeken and
22 Hamelers, 1999; Lu, et al., 2007).

23 Many authors have also reported the influence of pH on the hydrolytic-
24 acidogenic stage (Zoetemeyer *et al.*, 1982; Joubert and Britz, 1986; Henry *et al.*, 1987;
25 Kisaalita *et al.*, 1987; Yu *et al.*, 2003; Massanet-Nicolau, J. *et al.*, 2007), concluding

1 that a slightly acid pH, close to 6, improves the working conditions for hydrolytic-
2 acidogenic bacteria.

3 Sludge production in wastewater treatment plants is not constant, and neither are
4 its characteristics, since daily precipitation, amongst others, directly affects the sludge
5 solids content (De la Rubia, 2003). Moreover, in some cities, population varies in
6 thousands of people depending on the season and the amount of wastewater treated in
7 wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) fluctuates accordingly, which directly affects
8 sludge characteristics in terms of solids content (De la Rubia, 2003).

9 The aim of this work is to investigate the thermophilic anaerobic hydrolysis of
10 waste sludge of different solid content by providing optimal growth conditions to
11 different anaerobic populations in a H-A reactor.

12

13 **2. Materials and Methods**

14 **2.1 Acidogenic reactor**

15 Experiments were carried out in a stainless steel continuously stirred tank
16 reactor (CSTR) with a working volume of 4 L. The reactor was provided with a gas
17 collection unit, an influent and effluent line and was operated continuously over a
18 period of approximately 360 days. The reactor was mechanically mixed by stainless
19 steel paddles on a central shaft operated at constant speed by an electric motor with a
20 speed controller. The temperature was maintained constant at 55 ± 1 or $65\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$,
21 depending on the experiment, by using a heating tape (Entesis, 200 W, Spain) wrapped
22 around the vessel and, a temperature digital controller (Osaka OR-31, Japan).

23 The reactor was kept under the same working conditions for a period
24 corresponding to at least 3 HRT, ensuring steady-state conditions, and maintained for at
25 least 3 more HRT for data collection. The reactor was operated in a semi-continuous

1 way- A mixture of 25% primary and 75% secondary real sludges was fed to the reactor
2 3 times per day.

3

4 **2.2 Sewage sludge and inoculum characteristics**

5 Low Concentration Sludge (LCS) and High Concentration Sludge (HCS) were
6 obtained from two different municipal wastewater treatment plants near Barcelona
7 (Spain) and stored at 4°C. Working ranges for TS and VS content in LCS were 17-28 g
8 L⁻¹ and 13-21 g L⁻¹ respectively, whereas for HCS, TS content was 40-50 g L⁻¹ and VS
9 content was 25-30 g L⁻¹. A mixture 1:3 primary to secondary sludge was used in all
10 experiments. Inoculum was obtained from a single-stage laboratory anaerobic digester
11 which had been working for over 1 year with LCS. Main characteristics of inoculum are
12 shown in Table 1.

13

14 **2.3 Efficiency parameters**

15 Optimal conditions for the H-A process were determined by comparing process
16 performance at 4 different HRT (1, 2, 3 and 4 days) and 2 temperatures within the
17 thermophilic range (55 and 65 °C). Influent acidification was also considered as an
18 improving option for the H-A reactor performance.

19 Efficiency parameters considered were CO₂ content in biogas, specific biogas
20 production (SBP), VS removal and VFAProduction (VFAP). The latter was considered
21 as the key parameter since it is the main acid-stage product reflecting the organic matter
22 that has been hydrolyzed. VFA generation was expressed as $\text{g VFA}_{\text{produced}}(\text{g VS}_{\text{fed}})^{-1}$

23

24 **2.4 Analytical methods**

1 TS and VS content, total COD, total alkalinity, were determined according to
2 Standard Methods (APHA, 1999). VFA were determined by gas chromatography
3 (Perkin Elmer Autosystem XL Gas Chromatograph) with a flame ionization detector and
4 a capillary column (J&W Scientific High Resolutions Gas Chromatography Column, 30
5 m, inner diameter 0.25 mm, film 0.25 μ m) and oven, injector and detector temperatures
6 of 130°C, 250°C and 260°C respectively. Biogas composition was also determined by
7 gas chromatography (Perkin Elmer Autosystem XL Gas Chromatograph) with a stainless
8 silica column (HAYESEP D 3m, 1/8" inner diameter and oven, injector and detector
9 temperatures of 70°C, 150°C and 180°C respectively.

10 Statistical significance of values of different parameters obtained during each
11 experimental condition was carried out by means of F-test (variance analysis) and
12 Student's t-test (mean analysis) using a 5% of significance level.

14 **3. Results and discussion**

15 **3.1 Hydrolytic specialization stage**

16 Since the inoculum used for these experiments came from a single stage
17 digester, the transition from a methanogenic to a hydrolytic reactor (specialization
18 stage) was considered of particular importance for the development of the hydrolytic
19 process.

20 Initially a 10 days HRT was used since it was the operating HRT of the
21 inoculum source reactor. In order to wash out the methanogens, consequently obtaining
22 a specialized acidogenic bacteria population, HRT was progressively reduced until a
23 sharp decrease in SBP and a rise in VFA generation were reached (Figure 1, HRT 5-4
24 days).

1 During the acid-stage bacteria specialization, HRT was reduced progressively
2 from 10 to 4 days using LCS as feeding material. The main feeding characteristics
3 during this experimental length are presented in Table 1. When reducing the HRT a
4 drop in the biogas production and VS removal would be expected provided that
5 hydrolysis is enhanced because the methanogenic population became growth limited.
6 On the other hand, an increase in the PCO_2 in biogas and VFA accumulation would also
7 be expected since they are the main acid-stage products.

8 Evolution of specialisation parameters in the H-A reactor during bacteria
9 specialization is shown in Figure 1. From this Figure it can be observed that VS
10 removal followed a decreasing pattern when reducing the HRT with values from 30%
11 and 38%, as expected.

12 As shown in Figure 1, SBP followed an increasing tendency with decreasing
13 HRT from 10 to 5 days. However, when HRT was reduced from 5 to 4 days, a
14 significant drop in the SBP from 0.140 to $0.059 \text{ L}_{\text{biogas}}(\text{g VS}_{\text{fed}})^{-1}$ was registered. The
15 reason for this profile may be explained as follows. Assuming that, at 10 days HRT
16 (OLR of $2.1 \text{ g VS}_{\text{fed}} (\text{L}_{\text{reactor}}\cdot\text{d})^{-1}$), anaerobic bacteria were able to rapidly degrade easily
17 biodegradable organic matter, obtaining the corresponding biogas. In a similar manner,
18 at 7 and 5 days HRT (OLR of 3.0 and $4.2 \text{ g VS}_{\text{fed}} (\text{L}_{\text{reactor}}\cdot\text{d})^{-1}$, respectively) anaerobic
19 microorganisms were still able to degrade the easily biodegradable organic matter that
20 was fed to the system, and consequently when more VS were fed, more biogas was
21 produced. Since VSR rate at 10, 7 and 5 days HRT were 0.81 , 0.92 and 1.2 g
22 $\text{VS}_{\text{removed}} \cdot (\text{L}_{\text{reactor}}\cdot\text{d})^{-1}$ respectively, SBP increased accordingly. The critical step was the
23 HRT reduction from 5 to 4 days, when a considerable drop in the SBP was observed. As
24 a portion of the VS fed may be readily degradable organic matter, when reducing HRT
25 (increasing OLR) the VFAP coming from this readily degradable organic matter may

1 increase accordingly. At these working conditions, methanogenesis should become the
2 limiting stage (Zahller *et al.* 2007). Therefore, it could mean that at these conditions,
3 methanogenic population became growth limited and were being washed-out of the
4 system.

5 PCO_2 increased when reducing the HRT because methanogenic microorganisms
6 which are methane producers were being washed-out of the digester. Nevertheless a
7 certain percentage of methane was always detected in the biogas, due to the continuous
8 re-inoculation with methanogenic microorganisms contained in the raw sludge fed.

9 VFA generation followed an increasing tendency with decreasing the HRT.
10 Variation was from $0.004 \text{ g VFA}_{\text{produced}}(\text{g VS}_{\text{fed}})^{-1}$ at 10 days HRT to $0.017\text{-}0.019 \text{ g}$
11 $\text{VFA}_{\text{produced}}(\text{g VS}_{\text{fed}})^{-1}$ at 5 and 4 days HRT respectively. This may indicate that
12 biochemical equilibrium between anaerobic populations was disrupted and became
13 unbalanced as HRT decreased.

14 The main goal of the specialization stage was to verify the biological reactions
15 imbalance that suggested that methanogens were limited (inhibited or washed-out of the
16 system). To our knowledge no references on methanogens inhibition by VFA in sewage
17 sludge anaerobic digestion processes have been reported. However, some authors have
18 reported data to recognize anaerobic digester destabilization and methanogen's growth
19 limitation using VFA as indicators treating different wastes such as synthetic media
20 (Marchaim and Krause, 1993; Hanaki, 1994; Wu *et al.*, 1995) (Kugelman and Chin,
21 1971; wastewaters (Boardman *et al.*, 1995); swine wastes (Hill and Holmbert, 1998).
22 VFA are easily lab measurable parameters which can give essential process information.
23 Comparing limit VFA concentrations reported in the literature (taking into account that
24 they were not obtained for sludge anaerobic digestion) with those obtained in the
25 present work, it can be observed that acetic acid concentration (maximum value of 0.5 g

1 L⁻¹) was always below the failure concentration limit of 0.8 g L⁻¹ (Hill and Holmberg,
2 1998). However, the propionic to acetic acid ratio (maximum value of 4.5 at 4 days
3 HRT) was always above the limit of 1.4, indicative of the one-stage anaerobic digester
4 destabilization (Hill and Holmberg, 1998). Effluent concentration of iso-butiric and iso-
5 valeric acids were always above the limits proposed by Wu (1995) and Boardman
6 (1995) (0.005 g L⁻¹ and 0.015 g L⁻¹, respectively), and reached maximum values of 0.6
7 g L⁻¹, also indicating one-stage anaerobic digester destabilization.

8 Hill and Holmberg (1988) established that methane yields below 0.25 g CH₄(g
9 VS_{fed})⁻¹ were indicative of anaerobic digester instability. In this work, during the
10 specialization period, methane yield never exceeded 0.14 g CH₄(g VS_{fed})⁻¹, thus
11 indicating that methanogenic population were limited at all HRT.

12 Methane production is affected by physico-chemical conditions in the digester.
13 Bacterial activity may be inhibited by either reaction substrates or products when they
14 are present in extreme concentrations. For example, high VFA concentrations in the
15 system cause the inhibition of methanogenesis. Under conditions of organic
16 overloading, and in presence of inhibitors, methanogenic activity cannot remove
17 hydrogen and volatile organic acids as quickly as they are produced. The result is the
18 accumulation of acids, the depletion of buffering capacity and the depression of pH to
19 levels that also inhibit the hydrolysis/acidogenesis stage. It has also been shown that
20 even when process pH is optimal, accumulation of VFAs may contribute to reduce the
21 rate of hydrolysis of organic solids, or even to inhibit it at extremely high levels (>10 g
22 L⁻¹) (Palmisano and Barlaz, 1971). In addition, Kugelmann and Chin (1971) established
23 that methanogens were inhibited at acetic acid concentrations of 6 g L⁻¹, whereas
24 Hanaki (1994) established 3.2 g L⁻¹ as the propionic acid concentration limit for
25 methanogenic inhibition. Siegert and Banks (2005) established that anaerobic digestion

1 was evidently inhibited when VFA concentration was over 6 g L^{-1} . However, these
2 considerations have to be taken into account when operating in the same working
3 conditions (same substrate, alkalinity, pH and temperature) otherwise the limits
4 established can vary moderately. During the specialization stage, acetic acid, propionic
5 acid and total VFA concentrations never exceeded 0.73 g L^{-1} , 1.25 g L^{-1} and 2.41 g L^{-1}
6 respectively. Therefore, it could be stated that the unique reason for methanogens
7 limitation was the wash-out of the system and not the VFA inhibition.

8

9 **3.2 Experiments with low TS and VS concentration sludge (LCS).**

10 Once the results indicated that the prevalent population in the reactor was
11 hydrolytic bacteria, optimal working conditions (in terms of HRT) for the H-A reactor
12 were sought.

13 VFA generation, SBP, PCO_2 and VSR for the operational conditions assayed
14 with LCS are shown in Figure 1. As it can be seen, VFAP further increased while
15 decreasing HRT, whereas VSR decreased as HRT decreased. Maximum VFAP of 0.059
16 $\text{g VFA}_{\text{produced}} \cdot (\text{g VS}_{\text{fed}})^{-1}$, was obtained at 1 day HRT. This value was statistically
17 different from the results corresponding to all the other HRT assayed. Once hydrolytic
18 conditions have been reached, $\text{HRT} < 4$ days, the maximum VSR of 36% was obtained
19 at 4 days HRT, being statistically equal to that obtained at 3 days HRT. PCO_2 was close
20 to 55% in all the experiments with a slight decreasing tendency when increasing HRT,
21 reaching a minimum value of 57% at 1 day HRT. SBP followed a decreasing tendency
22 when decreasing HRT reaching the maximum, value of $0.140 \text{ l biogas} \cdot (\text{g VS}_{\text{fed}})^{-1}$
23 working at 4 days of HRT.

1 Statistical analysis of obtained results is shown in Table 2. According to these
2 analyses, best working conditions (those which allow maximum hydrolysis of organic
3 matter, thus maximum VFA generation) correspond to 1 day HRT.

4 Furthermore, influent acidification in the H-A reactor was carried out to assess
5 its effect on organic matter solubilisation when working at 1 day HRT since it has been
6 shown (Yu *et al.*, 2003; Massanet-Nicolau, J. *et al.*, 2007) that low pH values in H-A
7 stage improve hydrolysis. The results for influent acidification operation are shown and
8 compared with normal influent operation in Table 3. Neither VFAP nor VSR were
9 improved with influent acidification. Therefore, this option was discarded for H-A
10 reactor operation. VFA concentration never exceeded inhibition levels during the length
11 of this experimental stage.

13 **3.3 Experiments with high TS and VS concentration sludge (HCS).**

14 In order to acclimatize anaerobic microorganisms to HCS, the HRT of the H-A
15 reactor was set to 4 days and HCS was fed for more than 1 month, until control
16 parameters were stabilized. The main feeding characteristics during this experimental
17 length are presented in Table 4.

18 The results obtained when working with HCS at 4, 3, 2, and 1 day HRT are
19 shown in Figure 2. A maximum value for VFA production of $0.108 \text{ g VFA}_{\text{produced}}(\text{g}$
20 $\text{VS}_{\text{fed}})^{-1}$ is observed when working at 4 days HRT, being this value statistically different
21 from all the others (Table 5). A significant decrease in VFAP is observed when HRT is
22 reduced from 4 to 3 days, probably due to the wash-out of part of the hydrolytic
23 consortium, and it remains almost constant in subsequent HRT reductions. VSR is
24 within the range of 20-25% during the whole experiment, with no significant variations.

1 PCO₂ fluctuated between 59% and 75%. However, the only statistical differences were
2 found between 4 and 3 days HRT.

3 Table 5 shows the statistical analysis of these results. Since maximum VFAP
4 was obtained at 4 days HRT and this result is statistically different from all the other
5 results obtained at the other HRT assayed, it can be established that the best working
6 conditions, in terms of organic matter solubilisation, were found at 4 days HRT.

7 These results differ from those obtained for LCS possibly due to an increasing of
8 organic loading rate, from 5.3 g VS_{fed}(L_{reactor}d)⁻¹ for LCS at 4 days HRT to 7.8 g
9 VS_{fed}(L_{reactor}d)⁻¹ for HCS at 4 days HRT). Another possible explanation may be the
10 inhibition exerted by the VFA produced. It should be noticed that OLR for optimal
11 working conditions for LCS was 13 g VS_{fed}(L_{reactor}d)⁻¹ whereas for HCS was 7.8 g
12 VS_{fed}(L_{reactor}d)⁻¹. At these optimal conditions VFAP rate for LCS was 3.06 g
13 VFA_{produced}(L_{reactor}d)⁻¹ and 3.34 g VFA_{produced}(L_{reactor}d)⁻¹ for HCS and the VSR in terms
14 of VS_{removed}(L_{reactor}d)⁻¹ were 3.22 for LCS and 1.96 for HCS.

15 To compare similar OLR for the two solid contents assayed, results from HRT
16 of 2 days for LCS and 4 days for HCS were used. Under these working conditions, OLR
17 was 7.0 and 7.8 g VS_{fed}(L_{reactor}d)⁻¹ respectively. Corresponding VFAP rates were 1.06 g
18 VFA(L_{reactor}d)⁻¹ for LCS and 2 days HRT and, 3.34 g VFA(L_{reactor}d)⁻¹ for HCS and 4
19 days HRT. These results indicate that the higher is the concentration in the feed, the
20 higher the VFAP rate should be.

21 Influent acidification was also assessed with HCS. The results and the
22 corresponding comparison are presented in Table 3. As it can be observed, neither VFA
23 production nor VS removal increased at lower influent pH, thus influent acidification
24 was also discarded as an alternative to improve the process. At the different HRT
25 assayed, differences in VFAP were statistically significant while no significant

1 differences were found for VSR. These results suggest that under the experimental
2 conditions assayed, preacidification had a negative effect since it resulted in a 35%
3 reduction in VFAP.

4 Some authors have reported that high temperatures improve the hydrolytic
5 reactions kinetics (Gavala et al., 2003, Kuo and Cheng, 2007). In order to further
6 improve the H-A performance, a working temperature of 65 °C, was set up in the
7 reactor and the results obtained are showed in Figure 3 and Table 6. VSR followed an
8 increasing tendency, reaching the maximum and statistically different value of 33%
9 when working at a 4 day HRT, meanwhile PCO₂ fluctuated between 62% and 70%. The
10 maximum VFAP of 0.097 g VFA_{produced}·(g VS_{fed})⁻¹ was registered at 3 days HRT, but it
11 is not statistically different from the production obtained at 4 days HRT (0.091 g VFA
12 _{produced}·(g VS_{fed})⁻¹) and from the one obtained at 4 days and 55°C (0.108 g VFA_{produced}·(g
13 VS_{fed})⁻¹).

14 Some facts have to be taken into account to establish the optimal working
15 conditions in terms of HRT and temperature. It has been proved that a higher
16 temperature improves (or at least statistically maintains) organic matter solubilisation
17 during hydrolysis, expressed as VFA produced. However, working at higher
18 temperatures conveys higher economical costs. In order to balance out the cost of higher
19 temperature, a shorter HRT should be established. An economical analysis may be
20 required to assess whether smaller reactor volume due to 1 day HRT reduction could
21 compensate the energy expenses of 10°C temperature increase

22 As a general discussion, if the results of this work were to be applied in a treatment
23 plant, where the solid concentration at the entrance of the H-A reactor could be
24 modified, for a given VS concentration, LCS process would allow treating the same
25 amount of VS but in half the process time than HCS process, since the OLR (13 g
26 VS_{fed}(L_{reactor}·day)⁻¹) for the former is almost twice the OLR for the latter (7.8 g

1 $VS_{fed}(L_{reactor}\cdot day)^{-1}$. However, these solids would be hydrolysed to a lesser extend, as
2 demonstrated by the corresponding VFAP 0.56 (g VFA produced $\cdot(g VS_{fed})^{-1}$) and 0.108
3 (g VFA produced $\cdot(g VS_{fed})^{-1}$) for LCS and HCS, respectively, in spite VFAP rate being
4 similar in both processes. If less hydrolysed solids were to be obtained in the H-A
5 reactor, this probably would mean that longer times would be needed in the
6 subsequent anaerobic digestion to meet the required VS removal. In consequence, an
7 economic analysis of the process would necessary comprise both stages, the H-A and
8 the anaerobic digestion.

9

10 **4. Conclusions**

11 The results obtained in this work can be used to establish the best working conditions
12 for the Hydrolytic-Acidogenic stage when treating sewage sludge of different solid
13 content. These results indicated that maximum Volatile Fatty Acids Production for Low
14 Concentration Sludge was obtained at 1 day Hydraulic Retention Time and an Organic
15 Loading Rate of 13.0 g $VS_{fed}(L_{reactor}\cdot day)^{-1}$, whereas for High Concentration Sludge
16 maximum Volatile Fatty Acids Production was obtained at 4 days Hydraulic Retention
17 Time and an Organic Loading Rate of 7.8 g $VS_{fed}(L_{reactor}\cdot day)^{-1}$. Moreover, influent
18 acidification did not increase Volatile Fatty Acids Production with either Low
19 Concentration Sludge or High Concentration Sludge sewage sludge feeding while a
20 higher operating temperature of 65°C did not improve Volatile Fatty Acids Production
21 when treating High Concentration Sludge.

22 The findings of this study can be used to optimise the Hydrolytic-Acidogenic stage
23 when treating sewage sludge of different solid content. However, in order to assess their
24 impact on the overall process, particularly on the process economics, both stages, the
25 hydrolysis-acidogenic and the anaerobic digestion stage, should be considered.

26

1 **Acknowledgements**

2

3 The authors wish to thank the financial support provided by the Spanish
4 Ministry of Science and Technology and FEDER (REN2002-00926/TECNO).

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 **References**

14

15 Ahn, J.H. and Forster, C.F. (2000) A comparison of mesophilic and termophilic
16 anaerobic upflow filters. *Bioresource Technology* 73, 201-205.

17

18 Ahring, B.K., Mladenovska, Z., Iranpour, R. and Westman, P. (2001) State of art and
19 future perspectives of thermophilic anaerobic digestion. Proc. 9th World Congress on
20 Anaerobic Digestion I., Antwerpen, Belgium. 455-460.

21

22 Bhattacharya, S.K., Madura, R.L., Walling D.A. and Farrell, J. B. (1996) Volatile solids
23 reduction in two-phase and conventional anaerobic sludge digestion.
24 *Water Research* 30(5), 1041-1048.

25

26 Boardman, G., Tisinger, J. and Gallagher, D. (1995) Treatment of clam processing
27 wastewater by means of upflow anaerobic sludge blanket technology. *Water Research*
28 29(6), 1483-1490.

29

1 Climent, M., Ferrer, I., Baeza M.M., Artola, A., Vázquez, F. and Font, X. (2007)
2 Effects of thermal and mechanical pretreatments of secondary sludge on biogás
3 production under thermophilic conditions. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 133, 335-342.
4

5 De la Rubia, M.A. (2003) Puesta en marcha y optimización de la degradación anaerobia
6 termofílica de lodos de EDAR. Tesis de Doctorado. Serv. Publicaciones de la
7 Universidad de Cádiz. Cadiz, Spain.
8

9 Elefsiniotis P. and Oldham W.K. (1994) Effect of HRT on acidogenic digestion of
10 primary sludge. *Environmental Engineering* 120(3), 645-660
11

12 Eliosov, B., Argaman, Y. (1995) Hydrolysis of particulate organics in activated sludge
13 system. *Water Research* 29(1), 155–163.
14

15 Gavala, H.N., Yenal, U., Skiadas, I.V., Westermann, P. and Ahring, B.K. (2003)
16 Mesophilic and thermophilic anaerobic digestion of primary and secondary sludge.
17 Effect of pre-treatment at elevated temperature. *Water Research* 37, 4561-4572.
18

19 Hanaki K. (1994) Selective use of microorganisms in anaerobic treatment processes by
20 application of immobilization. *Water Research* 28(4), 993-996.
21

22 Harper, S.R. and Pohland, F.G. (1986) Recent developments in hydrogen management
23 during anaerobic biological waste water treatment. *Biotechnology Bioengineering* 28,
24 585–602.
25

26 Henry, M.P., Sajjad, A. and Ghosh, S. (1987) The effects of environmental factors on
27 acid-phase digestion of sewage sludge. In: *Proceedings 42nd Purdue Industrial Waste*
28 *Congress*; pp.727-737.
29

30 Hill, D.T. and Holmberg, R.D. (1988) Long chain volatile fatty acid relationship in
31 anaerobic digestion of swine waste. *Biological Wastes* 23,195-214
32

1 Joubert, W.A. and Britz, T.J. (1986) The effect of pH and temperature manipulation on
2 metabolite composition during acidogenesis in a hybrid anaerobic digester. *Applied*
3 *Microbiology Biotechnology* 24, 253-258.
4
5 Kisaalita, W.S., Pinder, K.L. and Lo, K.V. (1987) Acidogenic fermentation of lactose.
6 *Biotechnology Bioengineering* 33, 623-630
7
8 Kugelman, I.J. and Chin, K.K. (1971) Toxicity synergism and antagonism in anaerobic
9 waste treatment processes. *Anaerobic biological treatment processes. Advances in*
10 *Chemistry Series*, 105. American Chemical Society. Washington D.C
11
12 Kuo, W-C. and Cheng, K-Y. (2007) Use of respirometer in evaluation of process and
13 toxicity of thermophilic anaerobic digestion for treating kitchen waste. *Bioresource*
14 *Technology* 98(9), 1805-1811.
15
16 Lu, J., Gavala, H.N., Skiadas, I.V., Mladenovska, Z. and Ahring B.K. (2007)
17 Improving anaerobic sewage sludge digestion by implementation of a hyper-
18 thermophilic prehydrolysis step. *Journal of Environmental Management*,
19 doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2007.04.020
20
21 Marchaim, U. and Krause, C. (1993) Propionic to acetic ratios in overloaded anaerobic
22 digestion. *Bioresource Technology* 43, 195.
23
24 Massanet-Nicolau, J., Dinsdale, R. and Guwy A. (2008) Hydrogen production from
25 sewage sludge using mixed microflora inoculum: Effect of pH and enzymatic pre-
26 treatment. *Bioresource Technology*, doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2007.12.012
27
28 Mata-Alvarez, J., Macé, S., Llabrés, P. (2000) Anaerobic digestion of organic solid
29 wastes. An overview of research achievements and perspectives. *Bioresource*
30 *Technology* 74 (1), 3–16.
31
32 Metcalf and Eddy Inc. *Biological Unit processes*. (1991) In: Clark B.J., Morriss J.M.,
33 editors. *Wastewater Engineering; treatment, disposal and reuse*, pp. 359-444. McGraw-
34 Hill International Editions, New York .

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

Oktem, Y. A., Ince, O., Donnelly, T., Sallis, P., and Ince, B. K. (2006) Determination of optimum operating conditions of an acidification reactor treating a chemical synthesis-based pharmaceutical wastewater. *Process Biochemistry* 41 (11), 2258-2263.

Palmisano A.C. and Barlaz, M.A. (1971) Microbiology of solid waste. In: R.H. Vreeland, Editor, *The microbiology of extreme and unusual environments*, pp. 72-104 CRC Press, London. .

Pavlostathis, S.G. and Giraldo-Gómez, E. (1991) Kinetic of anaerobic treatment: a critical review. *Critical reviews in environmental Control* 21(5), 411-490.

Pholand, F.G. and Ghosh, S. (1971) Developments in anaerobic stabilization of organic wastes, the two-phase concept. *Environmental Technology* 1, 225-266.

Shana A., Asaadi M., Morchoisne T. and Marsh P. (2002) Acid phase digestion pre-treatment and its impact on conventional mesophilic anaerobic digestion. I&D. Thames Water, Spencer House. Reading RG2 0JN

Siegert, I. and Banks C. (2005) The effect of volatile fatty acid additions on the anaerobic digestion of cellulose and glucose in batch reactors. *Process Biochemistry* 40(11), 3412-3418.

Veeken, A. and Hamelers, B. (1999) Effect of temperature on hydrolysis rates of selected biowastes components. *Bioresource Technology*, 29, 249-254.

Wu, W., Jai, M., Thiele, J. and Zeikus, G. (1995) Effect of storage on the performance of methanogenic granules. *Water Research* 29(6), 1445-1452.

Yu, H.-Q., Zheng, X.-J., Hu, Z.-H. and Gu, G.-W. (2003) High-rate anaerobic hydrolysis and acidogenesis of sewage sludge in a modified upflow reactor. *Water Science and Technology* 48(4), 69-75.

1 Záborská, J., Stepová, J. Wachtl, R., Jenicek, P. and Dohanyos, M. (2000) The activity
2 of anaerobic biomass in thermophilic and mesophilic digesters at different loading rates.
3 Water Science and Technology 42(9), 49-56.

4
5 Zahller, J.D., Bucher, R.H., Ferguson, J.F., Stensel, H.D. (2007) Performance and
6 stability of two-stage anaerobic digestion. Water Environment Research 79(5), 488-497.

7
8 Zoetemeyer, R.J., van den Heuvel, J.C. and Cohen, A. (1982) pH influence on
9 acidogenic dissimilation of glucose in an anaerobic digester. Water Research 16, 303-
10 311.

11 12 13 **Figure Legends**

14
15 **Figure 1:** Hydrolytic-acidogenic anaerobic digestion stage of LCD at 55 °C. Volatile
16 solid (VS) removal, CO₂ content in biogas, volatile fatty acid (VFA) generation and
17 biogas production (SB) as function of HRT.

18 **Figure 2:** Hydrolytic-acidogenic anaerobic digestion stage of HCS at 55 °C. Volatile
19 solid (VS) removal, CO₂ content in biogas, volatile fatty acid (VFA) generation and
20 biogas production (SB) as function of HRT.

21 **Figure 3:** Hydrolytic-acidogenic anaerobic digestion stage of HCS at 65 °C. Volatile
22 solid (VS) removal, CO₂ content in biogas, volatile fatty acid (VFA) generation and
23 biogas production (SB) as function of HRT.

24