

The 5th Translation Process Research Workshop

Graz, 1-3 December 2016

The Evolution of the Efficacy of the Translation Process in the Acquisition of Translation Competence.

Results of the PACTE Group's Experimental Research

PACTE Group

A. Galán-Mañas, A. Kuznik, W. Neunzig, Ch. Olalla-Soler, P. Rodríguez-Inés, L. Romero



Principal Researcher: A. Hurtado Albir

Speakers: A. Kuznik





- To present the measurement of and results for the dependent study variable Efficacy of the Translation Process of the ATC PACTE experiment
- One of the variables related to the Strategic subcompetence.



I. INTRODUCTION



GENERAL HYPOTHESIS

Translation competence is acquired as a result of a process of development and restructuring of different sub-competences: Bilingual, Extra-linguistic, Knowledge of translation, Instrumental and Strategic (+psychophysiological components)



THEORETICAL HYPOTHESES

- **1.** ATC is, like all learning processes, a dynamic, non-linear, spiral process.
- **2.** ATC involves an evolution from novice knowledge (pre-TC) to TC.
- **3.** ATC is a process in which the development of procedural knowledge and, consequently, of the Strategic sub-competence is essential.
- **4.** ATC is a process in which the sub-competences of TC are developed and restructured.
- **5.** In ATC, the development of the Strategic, Instrumental, and Knowledge of Translation sub-competences is particularly important.
- **6.** In ATC, not all sub-competences develop in parallel, i.e. at the same time and at the same rate.
- **7.** ATC is dependent upon directionality (direct/inverse translation).
- 8. ATC is dependent upon the learning environment.



INDEPENDENT VARIABLE

- Degree of experience in translation:
- Novices
- Second-year students
- Third-year students
- Fourth-year students
- Recent graduates
- Professional translators



DEPENDENT VARIABLES (as in the TC experiment)

- Knowledge of Translation
- Translation Project
- Identification and Solution of Translation Problems
- Decision-making
- Efficacy of the Translation Process
- Use of Instrumental Resources
- 20 indicators
- Acceptability as a transversal indicator



SIMULATION OF A LONGITUDINAL STUDY

Measurements from cohorts of 1st year, 2nd year, 3rd year and final-year students

- Advantages
 - Data collected in one year
 - Validated instruments available from the TC experiment



UNIVERSE AND SAMPLE

EXPERIMENTAL UNIVERSE

- Students from different years in the FTI/UAB Degree in Translation and Interpreting
- 6 language combinations (as in the TC experiment)

SELECTION PROCESS

- Pre-selection questionnaire
- 5 cohorts of approx. 30 subjects each
 (fourth-year students and recent graduates took the older,
 unadapted Translation and Interpreting degree course).

SAMPLE

- 130 subjects

CONTROL GROUP

- 35 translators from the TC experiment



TOOLS AND INSTRUMENTS

Instruments validated in the TC experiment

- Observation: on-screen real-time recordings Camtasia
- Questionnaires:
 Knowledge of Translation Questionnaire

Translation Problems Questionnaire (revised)

- Texts:
 Rich Points
 Criteria for acceptability
- Corpus of electronic texts
 WordSmith Tools



EXPERIMENTAL TASKS

- Direct translation
- Completion of a questionnaire on the translation problems encountered
- Inverse translation
- Completion of a questionnaire on the translation problems encountered
- Completion of the Translation Knowledge Questionnaire



II. EFFICACY OF THE TRANSLATION PROCESS

→ Related to Strategic sub-competence

Relationship between the time taken to complete a translation task, its distribution between stages, and the acceptability of solutions

(PACTE in press b)



Efficacy of TP = optimal relationship between solution acceptability and time, i.e. achieving maximum acceptability in minimum time



Initial premise: coming up with acceptable solutions should take less time as TC is acquired



Empirical hypothesis:

There is a relationship between the degree of TC and the efficacy of the translation process

Operational hypotheses:

Differences can be observed at each level of TC acquisition in relation to:

- the time taken (OH1).
- the distribution between stages of the time taken (OH2).
- the time taken and the acceptability of results (OH3).



- Instruments:
 - ✓ Translations
 - ✓ Translation process recordings (Camtasia)
- Indicators:
 - ✓ Total Time Taken
 - ✓ Time Taken at each Stage
 - ✓ Acceptability



EVOLUTION DESCRIPTION: SCALES

(scale of 0 to 100)

- under 5 points = no change
- 5 to 9 points = slight change
- 10 to 19 points = **substantial change**
- 20 to 29 points = very substantial change
- 30 points or over = extremely substantial change



EVOLUTION DESCRIPTION: TYPOLOGY

Different types of evolution:

- Non-evolution: no difference in the values between successive groups between the first year and the end of training.
- Rising evolution: values rise between the first year and the end of training, with each value between successive groups being higher than or equal to the previous one.
- Falling evolution: values fall between the first year and the end of training, with each value between successive groups being lower than or equal to the previous one.
- Mixed evolution: a combination of rising and falling evolution between the first year and the end of training.



PROGRESSION

(between 1st year and recent graduates)

- under 10 points = no progression
- 0 and 19 points = little progression
- 20 and 29 points = progression
- over 30 points = major progression



III. RESULTS



RESULTS: Acceptability

(PACTE 2009, in press a)

Direct translation	Mean
1 st	0.45
2 nd	0.59
3 rd	0.63
4 th	0.65
Graduates	0.70
Translators	0.73

Acceptability begins to increase gradually from the 2nd year onwards until training is complete.



RESULTS: Acceptability

(PACTE 2009, in press a)

Inverse translation	Mean
1 st	0.31
2 nd	0.36
3 rd	0.39
4 th	0.51
Graduates	0.49
Translators	0.52

There is a marked increase between 3rd and 4th year.



RESULTS: Total Time Taken

Direct translation	hh:mm	%
1 st year	00:50	80.65%
2 nd year	01:02	100% ↑
3 rd year	00:56	90.32% ↓
4 th year	00:47	75.80% ↓
Graduates	00:58	93.55% ↑
Translators	00:48	-

- Type of evolution: **mixed** evolution.
- Graduates versus translators. The graduates take longer than the professional translators did. The difference involved is statistically significant.



RESULTS: Total Time Taken

Inverse translation	hh:mm	%
1 st year	00:40	66.66%
2 nd year	00:53	88.33% ↑
3 rd year	00:51	85.00% =
4 th year	00:54	90.00% ↑
Graduates	00:59	98.33% ↑
Translators	00:53	-

- Type of evolution: **rising** evolution.
- Graduates versus translators. The graduates have a slightly higher mean time than the professional translators did.



RESULTS: Time Taken at each Stage

Direct translation	Orientation %	Development %	Revision %
1 st year	6.4%	72.7%	20.9%
2 nd year	7.4% ↑	77.3% ↑	15.3% ↓
3 rd year	8.5% ↑	61.6% ↓	29.9% ↑
4 th year	3.8% ↓	66.5% ↑	29.7% ↓
Graduates	8.4% ↑	63.1% ↓	28.5% ↓
Translators	8.8% ↑	52.8% ↓	38.4% ↑

- 1. Distribution between stages: development, revision and orientation
- 2. Type of evolution: Mixed evolution in each stage
- 3. Differences between groups in terms of distribution between stages
- 4. Graduates versus translators: development (graduates more time) and revision (less time)



RESULTS: Time Taken at each Stage

Inverse translation	Orientation %	Development %	Revision %
1 st year	5.5%	78.0%	16.5%
2 nd year	5.6% =	81.6% =	12.8% ↓
3 rd year	6.2% ↑	77.7% ↓	16.1% ↑
4 th year	10.7% ↑	70.6% ↓	18.7% ↑
Graduates	9.0%↓	67.7% =	23.3% ↑
Translators	6.4% ↓	67.1% =	26.5% ↑

- 1. Distribution between stages: development, revision and orientation
- 2. Type of evolution: Mixed evolution in orientation and revision, falling evolution in development
- 3. Differences between groups in terms of distribution between stages
- 4. Graduates versus translators: orientation (graduates more time) and revision (less time)

25



RELATIONSHIP BASED ON EACH GROUP'S MEAN VALUES

Direct translation	TOTAL TIME TAKEN hh:mm , %	ACCEPTABILITY
1 st year	00:50, 80.65%	0.45
2 nd year	01:02, 100%	0.59
3 rd year	00:56, 90.32%	0.63
4 th year	00:47, 75.80%	0.65
Graduates	00:58, 93.55%	0.70
Translators	00:48,	0.73



RELATIONSHIP BASED ON EACH GROUP'S MEAN VALUES

Inverse translation	TOTAL TIME TAKEN hh:mm , %	ACCEPTABILITY
1 st year	00:40, 66.66%	0.31
2 nd year	00:53, 88.33%	0.36
3 rd year	00:51, 85.00%	0.39
4 th year	00:54, 90.00%	0.51
Graduates	00:59, 98.33%	0.49
Translators	00:53,	0.52



RELATIONSHIP WITHIN EACH GROUP: CORRELATIONS

Direct translation	Spearman correlation coefficient
1 st year	0.41
2 nd year	-0.39
3 rd year	-0.07
4 th year	0.40
Graduates	-0.54
Translators	-0.28

- A positive correlation (more time, greater acceptability) in the first and fourth years
- A negative correlation (less time, greater acceptability) in the second year and at the end of training; and no correlation in the third year



RELATIONSHIP WITHIN EACH GROUP: CORRELATIONS

Inverse translation	Spearman correlation coefficient
1 st year	0.15
2 nd year	0.19
3 rd year	0.09
4 th year	0.01
Graduates	-0.07
Translators	0.44

- No correlation
- A moderate *positive correlation* in the case of the translators



IV. CONCLUSIONS



CONCLUSIONS

Empirical hypothesis confirmed: there is a relationship between the degree of TC and the efficacy of the translation process

Operational hypotheses confirmed:

- 1. Differences can be observed at each level of TC acquisition in relation to the time taken
- Differences can be observed at each level of TC acquisition in relation to the distribution between stages of the time taken
- 3. Differences can be observed at each level of TC acquisition in relation to the time taken and the acceptability of results



CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Predominance of mixed evolution
- 2. Low level of progression between first year students and graduates
- 3. Distance between graduates and professionals
- 4. Differences according to directionality
- 5. Influence of training

PACTE

CONCLUSIONS

Our results seem to corroborate 7 of our 8 ATC theoretical hypotheses:

- ATC is a dynamic, non-linear, spiral process (TH 1)
- ATC process involves evolution (TH 2)
- ATC is a process in which the sub-competences of TC are developed and restructured (TH 4)
- In ATC, not all sub-competences develop in parallel (TH 6)
- ATC is a process in which the development of procedural knowledge and, consequently, of the Strategic sub-competence is essential (TH 3)
- ATC is dependent upon directionality (direct/inverse translation) (TH 7)
- ATC is dependent upon the learning environment (TH 8)



FINAL REMARKS



Our data has been obtained from students corresponding to a particular educational context.



Thank you!

http://grupsderecerca.uab.cat/pacte

grup.pacte@uab.cat

PACTE Group

A. Galán-Mañas, A. Kuznik, W. Neunzig, Ch. Olalla-Soler, P. Rodríguez-Inés, L. Romero Principal Researcher: A. Hurtado Albir