

Degree	Type	Year	Semester
4313335 Political Science	OB	0	1

## Contact

Name: John Robert Etherington  
Email: John.Etherington@uab.cat

## Use of languages

Principal working language: english (eng)

## Teachers

Joan Botella Corral  
Camilo Cristancho Mantilla  
Danislava Milkova Marinova

## Prerequisites

Students are required to have prior training corresponding to a bachelor in Political Science or other closely related subject.

For students new to Political Science, the following texts provide good background for some of themes that will be dealt with in this module:

- Macpherson, C.W. (various editions) *The Life and Times of Liberal Democracy*. Oxford. OUP
- Held, D. (various editions) *Models of Democracy*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Crick, B. (various editions) *In Defence of Politics*.

## Objectives and Contextualisation

The objective of this module is to discuss the principle contemporary debates in the field of democratic theory, and will address the following: the conditions facilitating the development and stability of democracies; the role of political parties in democratic systems; and the challenges faced by contemporary democracies, such as changing labour markets and class structures, and citizen participation in decision-making processes in the digital era.

## Skills

- Analyse the behaviour and political attitudes of the public and the political communication processes in which they are immersed.
- Analyse the main economic, social and political challenges facing contemporary democracies.
- Applied theoretical knowledge acquired from the analysis of real situation and using political analysis generate useful orientations for decision-making.
- Demonstration reading comprehension for specialist texts in English.
- Design and write projects and technical and academic reports autonomously using the appropriate terminology, arguments and analytical tools in each case.
- Possess and understand knowledge that provides a basis or opportunity for originality in the development and/or application of ideas, often in a research context.

- Recognise the complexity of politics today, its diversity and the tensions to which it is exposed, with special emphasis on the Spanish and European contexts.
- Student should possess the learning skills that enable them to continue studying in a way that is largely student led or independent.
- Students should be able to integrate knowledge and face the complexity of making judgements based on information that may be incomplete or limited and includes reflections on the social and ethical responsibilities associated with the application of their knowledge and judgements.
- Understand the design, operation and consequences of the political institutions and their relation to processes of governance.

## Learning outcomes

1. Analyse the level of democratic quality in a political system.
2. Analyse the way in which advanced democracies changeover time.
3. Demonstration reading comprehension for specialist texts in English.
4. Describe the characteristics of political culture and identify their explanations and consequences.
5. Design and write projects and technical and academic reports autonomously using the appropriate terminology, arguments and analytical tools in each case.
6. Identify the way in which current phenomena such as globalisation, cultural diversity and the development of new technology affect democratic systems.
7. Identify the debates on public opinion, political communication and democracy.
8. Identify the different dimensions of the concept of democracy, its contradictions and the debates accompanying them.
9. Identify the different factors and variables that may be involved in the appearance, stability and crisis of a democratic system.
10. Identify the different practical implications of the theories of democracy.
11. Identify the importance of specific political, economic and institutional contexts for democratic stability.
12. Identify the modes of political participation, the factors that explain them and their consequences for democracy.
13. Possess and understand knowledge that provides a basis or opportunity for originality in the development and/or application of ideas, often in a research context.
14. Student should possess the learning skills that enable them to continue studying in a way that is largely student led or independent.
15. Students should be able to integrate knowledge and face the complexity of making judgements based on information that may be incomplete or limited and includes reflections on the social and ethical responsibilities associated with the application of their knowledge and judgements.
16. Understand the different conceptions of the concept of citizenship and their implications.
17. Understand the different existing models of democracy, their institutional characteristics and their implications.
18. Understand the tensions between participation and representation, the debates on political disenchantment and the crisis of representation.

## Content

Introduction (one session)

Part 1: The Social Conditions Necessary for the Emergence and Maintenance of Democratic Citizenship (John Etherington) (six sessions)

Part 2: The Role of Political Parties in Democratic Systems (Joan Botella) (five sessions)

Part 3: Labour Market Trends and Political Participation (Dani Marinova) (three sessions)

Part 4: Citizenship and Digital Media (Camilo Cristancho) (five sessions)

## Methodology

This course emphasizes active student participation in class, tutorials, continuous evaluation through the elaboration and evaluation of assignments related to the different dimensions of the module, as well as a final exam.

## Activities

Title	Hours	ECTS	Learning outcomes
<b>Type: Directed</b>			
Lectures and seminars	63	2.52	1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18
<b>Type: Supervised</b>			
Tutorials	50	2	3, 13, 15
<b>Type: Autonomous</b>			
Preparation of assigned readings	100	4	3, 13
Preparation of course assignments	34.5	1.38	5, 13, 14, 15

## Evaluation

In this module, the main emphasis is on continuous assessment in order to ensure that the different dimensions and concepts related to the sessions are taken on board by students as we progress through the module. This is complemented by a final take home exam. Evaluation is based on the following criteria:

- Capacity to synthesise the relevant literature;
- Capacity to critically evaluate the relevant literature;
- Coherence of the argument;
- Originality;
- Formal aspects, with special reference to correct citation and academic style.

As noted in the General Programme, we are committed to avoiding plagiarism, and as such every effort is made to detect and punish such cases.

The evaluation is divided into the following elements:

**Participation:** Students are expected to have prepared the assigned readings before coming to class and to take an active part in the sessions. It is obligatory to assist a minimum of 80% of the sessions in order to pass this module.

**Papers (60%):** During the term students will write 4 short essays (max 1200 words each): one for Part 1, one for Part 2, one for Part 3i), and one for Part 3ii). The dates for handing in the essays are the following:

- Paper 1: 26<sup>th</sup> October
- Paper 2: 28<sup>th</sup> November
- Paper 3: 14<sup>th</sup> December
- Paper 4: 11<sup>th</sup> January 2017.

**Exam (40%):** There will be a take-home exam at the end of the course, with questions on each part of the module. Students will have to answer one of these questions in an essay of not more than 2500 words. The questions will be published on 13<sup>th</sup> of January and answers must be handed in by 20<sup>th</sup> of January 2017.

**Feedback:** Comments on work will be available three weeks at the latest after submission. Please do not hesitate to contact the professors for this feedback.

**Submission:** Please hand in all work on paper at the [Support Logistic](#).

## Evaluation activities

Title	Weighting	Hours	ECTS	Learning outcomes
Continuous evaluation	60%	0	0	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18
Exam	40%	2.5	0.1	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18

## Bibliography

- Moore, Barrington (1966) *The Social Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy: Lord and Peasant in the Making of the Modern World*. Boston: Beacon Press. Chapters 1, 2, 3 and 7.
- Skocpol, Theda (1984) "Emerging Agendas and Recurrent Strategies", in Skocpol (ed.) *Vision and Method in Historical Sociology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mahoney, J. and Rueschemeyer D. (2003) "Comparative Historical Analysis: Achievements and Agendas", in Mahoney and Rueschemeyer (eds) *Comparative Historical Analysis in the Social Sciences*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Therborn, Göran (1977) *The Rule of Capital and the Rise of Democracy* *New Left Review* 1/103, May-June pp. 3 - 4 1 .
- Rueschemeyer, D., Huber Stephens, E. and Stephens, J.D. (1992) *Capitalist Development and Democracy*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Chapters 1-3.
- Putnam et al., (1993) *Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. Chaps. 1 - 4 .
- Tarrow, Sidney (1996) "Making Social Science Work Across Space and Time: A Critical Reflection on Robert Putnam's *Making Democracy Work*", *The American Political Science Review*, Vol. 90, No. 2 pp. 389-397.
- Boix, Carles and Posner, Daniel (1996) "Making Social Capital Work: A Review of Robert Putnam's *Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy*", *Harvard University Paper*, 96-4.
- Wolinetz, S.B. (2002) 'Beyond the Catch-All Party: Approaches to the Study of Parties and Party Organization in Contemporary Democracies', in Gunther, R et al. *Political Parties: Old Concepts and New Challenges*. Available through Oxford Online Scholarship.
- Schlesinger, J.A. and Mildred S. Schlesinger (2006), 'Review article: Maurice Duverger and the Study of Political Parties' *French Politics*, Vol. 4 (1).
- Reiter, H.L. 'The Study of Political Parties, 1906-2005: The View from the Journals', *American Political Science Review*, Vol. 100, No. 4: 613-618
- Optional: Sartori, G. (1976) *Parties and Party Systems*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. (reserved at UAB library with two red dots).
- Gunther, R. And Larry Diamond (2003) 'Species of Political Parties: A New Typology', *Party Politics* Vol. 9. No. 2 , pp . 1 6 7 - 1 9 9
- Webb, P. (2007) *Democracy and Political Parties*. Hansard Society Report. Available at: <http://www.hansardsociety.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2012/10/Democracy-And-Political-Parties-2007.pdf>
- Dalton, R. J. and Steven A. Waldon (2005) 'Public Images of Political Parties: A Necessary Evil?' *Western European Politics*, Vol. 28, No. 5, pp. 931-951
- van Parijs, Philippe. 1987. "A Revolution in Class Theory", *Politics & Society* 15(2): 453- 482.
- King, Desmond & David Rueda. 2008. "Cheap Labor: The New Politics of "Bread and Roses" in Industrial Democracies." *Perspective on Politics* 6(2): 279.
- Standing, Guy. 2011. *The Precariat: The New Dangerous Class*. Bloomsbury Academic, pp. 1-19.
- Lorey, Isabell. 2015. *State of Insecurity: Government of the Precarious*. Verso. Introduction & Chapter 1.
- Aytes, Ayhan. 2012. "Return of the Crowds: Mechanical Turk and Neoliberal States of Exception." *Digital Labor* (Ed. Scholz). Routledge, pp. 79-97.
- Emmenegger, P. et al. 2012. *The Age of Dualization: The Changing Face of Inequality in Deindustrializing Societies*. Oxford UP, Chapter 1.
- Rueda, David. 2007. *Social Democracy Inside Out: Partisanship and Labor Market Policy*. Oxford. Chapter 2.
- Berg, Jan. 2015. *Labour Markets, Institutions and Inequality*. ILO, Chapter 1. [available online]
- Fine, Janice. 2007. "Worker Centers and Immigrant Women" in *The Sex of Class: Women Transforming American Labor*. Dorothy Sue Cobble (ed.) Cornell UP, pp. 211-230.
- Strolovitch, Dara Z. 2013. "Of Mancessions and Hecoveries: Race, Gender, and the Political Construction of Economic Crisis and Recovery." *Perspectives on Politics* 11: 167-76.

Mitchell, Timothy. 2011. *Carbon Democracy: Political Power in the Age of Oil*. Verso. Chapter 1

Lorey, Isabell. 2015. *State of Insecurity: Government of the Precarious*. Verso. Chapter 4.

Bartels, Larry. 2009. *Unequal Democracy*. Princeton UP. Chapters 1 & 9.

Solt, Frederick. 2008. "Economic Inequality and Democratic Political Engagement" *American Journal of Political Science* 52 (1), 48-60.

Anduiza, E., Cantijoch, M., & Gallego, A. (2009). Political Participation and the Internet: A field essay. *Information, Communication & Society*, 12(6), 860. doi:10.1080/13691180802282720

Loader, B. D., & Mercea, D. (2011). Networking democracy? Social media innovations and participatory politics. *Information, Communication & Society*, 14 (6), 757-769.

Neuman, W. R., Bimber, B., & Hindman, M. (2011). The Internet and four dimensions of citizenship. *The Oxford handbook of American public opinion and the media*, 22-42.

Khondker, H. H. (2011). Role of the new media in the Arab Spring. *Globalizations*, 8(5), 675-679.

Walgrave, S., Bennett, W. L., Van Laer, J., & Breunig, C. (2011). Multiple engagements and network bridging in contentious politics: digital media use of protest participants. *Mobilization: An International Quarterly*, 16(3), 325-349.

Van Laer, J. (2010). Activists online and offline: The internet as an information channel for protest demonstrations. *Mobilization: An International Quarterly*, 15(3), 347-366.

Anduiza, Eva; Camilo Cristancho, Jose M. Sabucedo (2014) Mobilization through online social networks: the political protest of the indignados in Spain, *Information Communication & Society* Volume 17, Issue 6, pp. 750 - 764

Bennett, Lance W. & Alexandra Segerberg (2012) The Logic of Connective Action, *Information, Communication & Society*, Vol 15, No. 5.

Gil de Zúñiga, H., Jung, N., & Valenzuela, S. (2012). Social media use for news and individuals' social capital, civic engagement and political participation. *Journal of ComputerMediated Communication*, 17(3), 319-336.

Shirky, C. (2011). Political Power of Social Media-Technology, the Public Sphere, and Political Change, *The Foreign Aff.*, 90, 28

Howard, P. N. (2006). *Managed Citizenship and Information Technology*. In: *New media campaigns and the managed citizen*. Cambridge University Press.

Sanne Kruikemeier, Guda van Noort, Rens Vliegenthart Claes H de Vreese (2013) Unraveling the effects of active and passive forms of political Internet use: Does it affect citizens' political involvement? *New Media & Society*

Schlozman, K. L., Verba, S., & Brady, H. E. (2010). Weapon of the strong? Participatory inequality and the Internet. *Perspectives on Politics*, 8(02), 487-509.