



This is the **accepted version** of the journal article:

Carro, María J.; Martín i Pedret, Joaquim. «A useful estimate for the decreasing rearrangement of the sum of functions». The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 55, Issue 1 (March 2004), p. 41-45. DOI 10.1093/qmath/hag032

This version is available at https://ddd.uab.cat/record/271869

under the terms of the  $\textcircled{O}^{\texttt{N}}_{\texttt{COPYRIGHT}}$  license

# A useful estimate for the decreasing rearrangement of the sum of functions. \*

María J. Carro and Joaquim Martín

#### Abstract

Given  $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n$ , where  $\{f_n\}_n$  are measurable functions, we show that

$$f^*(3t) \le \sum_n \left( f_n^*(t) + \frac{1}{t} \int_{c_n t}^t f_n^*(s) ds \right),$$

where  $\{c_n\}_n$  are positive numbers such that  $\sum_n c_n = 1$ . Several consequences in the setting of weighted Lorentz spaces are also given.

### 1 Introduction

Let  $(\mathcal{N}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite resonant measure space and let  $L^0(\mathcal{N})$  be the class of measurable functions that are finite  $\mu$  a.e.

Let  $g^*_{\mu}(t) = \inf \left\{ s : \lambda^{\mu}_g(s) \leq t \right\}$  be the decreasing rearrangement of g, where  $\lambda^{\mu}_g(y) = \mu \left( \left\{ x \in \mathcal{N} : |g(x)| > y \right\} \right)$  is the distribution function of gwith respect to the measure  $\mu$ . Then, it holds (see [2]) that, for every f and g in  $L^0(\mathcal{N})$  and every  $a \geq 0$  and  $b \geq 0$  such that a + b = 1,

$$(f+g)^*_{\mu}(t) \le f^*_{\mu}(at) + g^*_{\mu}(bt),$$

and, in general, the \*-operator is not subadditive. This estimate can be extended to the case of a countable set of functions  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^0(\mathcal{N})$  in the natural way; that is, for every sequence of positive elements  $(c_n)_n$  such that  $\sum_n c_n = 1$ , we have that

$$\left(\sum_{n} f_n\right)_{\mu}^*(t) \le \sum_{n} (f_n)_{\mu}^*(c_n t).$$

 $<sup>^{*}\</sup>mathrm{Both}$  authors have been partially supported by the DGICYT PB97-0986 and by CIRIT 1999SGR 00061.

Keywords and phrases: Rearrangement inequality, weighted Lorentz spaces. 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46M35, 47A30.

However, on many occasions this estimate is too rough to be useful. Our purpose is to present an estimate for the decreasing rearrangement of  $\sum_n f_n$  which allows us to get several useful consequences. In particular, a generalization of the following lemma due to E.M. Stein and N.J. Weiss (see [8]) will be presented.

**Lemma 1.1** Let  $f_n \subset L^0(\mathcal{N})$  be such that  $\sup_{t>0} t(f_n)^*_{\mu}(t) \leq 1$  and let  $(a_n)_n > 0$  be such that  $\sum_n a_n \log(1/a_n) < \infty$ . Then, if  $f = \sum_n a_n f_n$ , we have that  $\sup_{t>0} tf^*_{\mu}(t) < \infty$ .

As usual, the symbol  $f \approx g$  will indicate the existence of a universal positive constant C (independent of all parameters involved) so that  $(1/C)f \leq g \leq Cf$ , while the symbol  $f \leq g$  means that  $f \leq Cg$ . In what follows we shall omit the indices  $\mu$  whenever it is clear the measure we are working with.

### 2 Main result

To prove our main estimate, we first need some technical lemma. Let  $f \in L^0(\mathcal{N})$  be a positive function and let r > 0. If

$$A(f,r) = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{N} : f(x) = r \right\},\$$

then  $A(f,r_1) \cap A(f,r_2) = \emptyset$  if  $r_1 \neq r_2$ , and, from the  $\sigma$ -finite condition,  $\mu(A(f,r)) = 0$  except perhaps for at most a countable number of r.

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $f \in L^0(\mathcal{N})$  be a positive function and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a function  $g \in L^0(\mathcal{N})$  such that  $\mu(A(g,r)) = 0$  for each r > 0 and

$$f(x) \le g(x) \le (1+\varepsilon)f(x)$$
 a.e.  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ .

**Proof:** If  $\mu(A(f,r)) = 0$  for each r > 0, then f = g, otherwise there exists a finite or countable sequence  $\{r_n\}_n$  such that  $\mu(A(f,r_n)) > 0$ . Let  $h: [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$  be defined by  $h(t) = \varepsilon e^{-t}$ , which is continuous, strictly decreasing and  $\lim_{t\to\infty} h(t) = 0$ . Hence there is a measure-preserving transformation  $\sigma: (\mathcal{N}, \mu) \to ([0,\infty), m)$  such that  $H = h \circ \sigma \in L^0(\mathcal{N})$  where m is the Lebesgue measure and  $H^*_{\mu}(t) = h(t)$  [see [2], Corollary 7.6]. Moreover  $\mu(A(H,r)) = 0$  for each r > 0, since  $H^*_{\mu}$  and  $\lambda_H$  are inverse to each other, and  $H^*_{\mu}$  is continuous and strictly decreasing.

We define g in the following way

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & x \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \bigcup_n A(f, r_n) \\ (1 + H(x)) \sum_n r_n \chi_{A(f, r_n)}(x) & x \in \bigcup_n A(f, r_n). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$f(x) \le g(x) \le (1+\varepsilon)f(x)$$

since  $0 < H \le \varepsilon$  and  $f = r_n$  on  $A(f, r_n)$ . Also,  $\mu(A(g, r)) = 0$  for each r > 0, since given r > 0

$$\begin{array}{ll} A(g,r) &=& \left\{ x \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \cup_n A(f,r) : f(x) = r \right\} \\ & \bigcup \left( \cup_n \left\{ x \in A(f,r_n) : r_n \left( 1 + H(x) \right) = r \right\} \right). \end{array}$$

Obviously

$$\mu\left(\left\{x \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \bigcup_n A(f, r_n) : f(x) = r\right\}\right) = 0$$

and

$$\mu(\{x \in A(f, r_n) : r_n (1 + H(x)) = r\}) \leq \mu\left(\left\{x \in \mathcal{N} : H(x) = \frac{r - r_n}{r_n}\right\}\right)$$
$$= \mu\left(A\left(H, \frac{r - r_n}{r_n}\right)\right) = 0. \square$$

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $f = \sum_n f_n$  with  $f_n \ge 0$  and let  $c_n > 0$  be such that  $\sum_n c_n = 1$ . Then

$$f^*(3t) \le \sum_n \left( f_n^*(t) + \frac{1}{t} \int_{c_n t}^t f_n^*(s) ds \right).$$

**Proof:** Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by our previous Lemma, we can replace each function  $f_n$  by  $\tilde{f}_n$  such that  $f_n \leq \tilde{f}_n \leq (1 + \varepsilon)f_n$ , with  $\mu\left(A(\tilde{f}_n, r)\right) = 0$  for each r > 0. For a fixed t > 0, let us write

$$\tilde{f}_{n} = \tilde{f}_{n}^{1} + \tilde{f}_{n}^{2} + \tilde{f}_{n}^{3} 
= \tilde{f}_{n}\chi_{\{x\in\mathcal{N}: \tilde{f}_{n}(x)>\tilde{f}_{n}^{*}(c_{n}t)\}} + \tilde{f}_{n}\chi_{\{x\in\mathcal{N}: \tilde{f}_{n}^{*}(t)<\tilde{f}_{n}(x)\leq\tilde{f}_{n}^{*}(c_{n}t)\}} 
+ \tilde{f}_{n}\chi_{\{x\in\mathcal{N}: \tilde{f}_{n}(x)\leq\tilde{f}_{n}^{*}(t)\}}.$$

Since  $\mu\left(\left\{x \in \mathcal{N} : \tilde{f}_n(x) = \tilde{f}_n^*(c_n t)\right\}\right) = 0,$  $\tilde{f}_n^2 = \tilde{f}_n \chi_{\left\{x \in \mathcal{N} : \tilde{f}_n^*(t) < \tilde{f}_n(x) < \tilde{f}_n^*(c_n t)\right\}}.$  Then

$$f \le \tilde{f}^1 + \tilde{f}^2 + \tilde{f}^3 := \sum_n \tilde{f}_n^1 + \sum_n \tilde{f}_n^2 + \sum_n \tilde{f}_n^3.$$

Now, since

$$\mu\left(\left\{x \in \mathcal{N} : \tilde{f}_n^1(x) > 0\right)\right\}\right) \le \mu\left(\left\{x \in \mathcal{N} : \tilde{f}_n(x) > \tilde{f}_n^*(c_n t)\right\}\right) \le c_n t,$$

it follows that  $\left(\tilde{f}_n^1\right)^*(c_n t) = 0$ , and therefore,

$$0 \le \left(\tilde{f}^1\right)^*(t) \le \sum_n \left(\tilde{f}^1_n\right)^*(c_n t) = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\left(\tilde{f}^2\right)^*(t) \leq \frac{1}{t} \left\|\tilde{f}^2\right\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{t} \sum_n \int_{\left\{x \in \mathcal{N} : \tilde{f}_n^*(t) < \tilde{f}_n(x) < \tilde{f}_n^*(c_n t)\right\}} \tilde{f}_n(s) ds$$
$$= \frac{1}{t} \sum_n \int_{c_n t}^t \tilde{f}_n^*(s) ds$$

and

$$\left(\tilde{f}^3\right)^*(t) \le \sum_n \left(\tilde{f}_n^3\right)^*(c_n t) \le \sum_n \tilde{f}_n^*(t).$$

Since  $\tilde{f}_n \leq (1+\varepsilon)f_n$ , we have that

$$f^{*}(3t) \leq \left(\tilde{f}^{1}\right)^{*}(t) + \left(\tilde{f}^{2}\right)^{*}(t) + \left(\tilde{f}^{3}\right)^{*}(t)$$
  
$$\leq \sum_{n} \tilde{f}_{n}^{*}(t) + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{n} \int_{c_{n}t}^{t} \tilde{f}_{n}^{*}(s) ds$$
  
$$\leq (1+\varepsilon) \sum_{n} \left(f_{n}^{*}(t) + \frac{1}{t} \int_{c_{n}t}^{t} f_{n}^{*}(s) ds\right),$$

and letting  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero, we are done.  $\square$ 

## 3 Consequence and applications

Given a positive locally Lebesgue integrable function on  $(0, \infty)$  (i.e., a weight), we recall that the weak type version of the Lorentz space  $\Lambda^p(w)$ , introduced in [6], are the spaces  $\Lambda^{p,\infty}(w)$  defined as the set of  $\mu$ -measurable functions such that

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda^{p,\infty}(w)} = \sup_{t>0} W^{1/p}(t) f^*(t) < \infty,$$

where  $W(t) = \int_0^t w$  and 0 . In order to have that these spacesare quasi-normed, it is known that <math>W needs to satisfy the so-called  $\Delta_2$ condition; that is  $W(2t) \leq CW(t)$  for every t (see [3]). This assumption will be assumed throughout the rest of the paper.

In general, these spaces are not normable and their normability has been studied in several papers (see [4] and [7]). Consequently, if  $(f_n)_n \subset \Lambda^{p,\infty}(w)$ are such that  $||f_n||_{\Lambda^{p,\infty}(w)} \leq 1$  and  $(a_n)_n$  satisfies that  $\sum_n a_n < \infty$ , the function  $\sum_n a_n f_n$  is not, in general, in  $\Lambda^{p,\infty}(w)$ . However, the following result holds:

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $(f_n)_n$  be such that  $||f_n||_{\Lambda^{p,\infty}(w)} \leq 1$  and  $(a_n)_n$  such that  $\sum_n a_n < \infty$ . If there exists a sequence  $(c_n)_n \geq 0$  such that  $\sum_n c_n = 1$  and

$$a_W := \sup_{t>0} \frac{W^{1/p}(t)}{t} \sum_n \left[ a_n \int_{c_n t}^t \frac{1}{W^{1/p}(s)} \, ds \right] < \infty, \tag{1}$$

then the function  $\sum_n a_n f_n \in \Lambda^{p,\infty}(w)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $f = \sum_{n} a_n f_n$ . Then, using Theorem 2.1 and the fact that W satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition, we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_{\Lambda^{p,\infty}(w)} &= \sup_{t>0} f^*(t) W^{1/p}(t) \approx \sup_{t>0} f^*(3t) W^{1/p}(t) \\ &\leq \sup_{t>0} \left( \sum_n a_n f^*_n(t) W^{1/p}(t) + \frac{W^{1/p}(t)}{t} \sum_n \left[ a_n \int_{c_n t}^t f^*_n(s) \, ds \right] \right) \\ &\preceq \sup_{t>0} \left( 1 + \frac{W^{1/p}(t)}{t} \sum_n \left[ a_n \int_{c_n t}^t \frac{1}{W^{1/p}(s)} \, ds \right] \right) = 1 + a_W, \end{split}$$

from which the result follows.  $\square$ 

**Remark 3.1** If w belongs to the Ariño and Muckenhoupt class of weights  $B_p$  (see [1]), it was proved in [7] that

$$\int_0^t \frac{1}{W^{1/p}(s)} \, ds \preceq \frac{t}{W^{1/p}(t)},$$

and, therefore, (1) holds, for every  $(c_n)$ .

From this we can give a new proof of the following fact (see [7])

**Corollary 3.1** If  $w \in B_p$ , then  $\Lambda^{p,\infty}(w)$  is normable.

**Proof:** Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $f_n \in \Lambda^{p,\infty}(w)$  for every  $n = 1, \dots N$ . Set  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n$ . Then, using Theorem 3.1 and the above remark, we obtain that there exists a positive constant A independent of N such that

$$||f||_{\Lambda^{p,\infty}(w)} \le A \sum_{n} ||f_n||_{\Lambda^{p,\infty}(w)}.$$

From this, it follows that the quantity

$$||f|| := \inf \left\{ \sum_{\text{finite}} ||f_n||_{\Lambda^{p,\infty}(w)}; \sum_{\text{finite}} f_n = f \right\},$$

is a norm equivalent to  $||f||_{\Lambda^{p,\infty}(w)}$ . Therefore  $\Lambda^{p,\infty}(w)$  is normable.  $\Box$ 

For our next result, which is a natural extension of E.M. Stein and N.J. Weiss's Lemma ([8]), let us recall that an increasing function W is said to be quasi-concave if W(s)/s is a decreasing function.

**Corollary 3.2** Let w be a weight such that  $W^{1/p}$  is quasi-concave and let  $(f_n)_n \subset \Lambda^{p,\infty}(w)$  be such that  $||f_n||_{\Lambda^{p,\infty}(w)} \leq 1$ . Then if  $(a_n)_n > 0$  satisfy that  $\sum_n a_n \log(1/a_n) < \infty$ , we have that  $\sum_n a_n f_n \in \Lambda^{p,\infty}(w)$ .

**Proof:** The proof follows from the fact that, by the quasi-concavity of  $W^{1/p}$ ,

$$\int_{c_n t}^t \frac{1}{W^{1/p}(s)} \, ds \le \frac{t}{W^{1/p}(t)} \log \frac{1}{c_n},$$

and therefore (1) holds for every  $(c_n)$  such that  $\sum_n a_n \log \frac{1}{c_n} < \infty$ . Taking  $c_n = a_n / \sum_i a_i$ , we are done.  $\Box$ 

This corollary shows that for every weight w such that  $W^{1/p}$  is quasiconcave, the space  $\Lambda^{p,\infty}(w)$  is logconvex in the terminology of [5].

#### References

- M.A. Ariño and B. Muckenhoupt, Maximal functions on classical Loretnz spaces and Hardy's inequality with weights for nonincreasing functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 320 (1990), 727–735.
- [2] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, Interpolation of Operators, Academic Press, Boston (1988).

- [3] M. Carro, A. García del Amo and J. Soria, Weak-type weights and normable Lorentz spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), 849– 857.
- [4] A. Haaker, On the conjugate space of Lorentz space, Preprint, Department of mathematics, University of Lund (1970).
- [5] N.J. Kalton, Convexity, type and the three space problem, Studia Math. 69 (1981), 247–287.
- [6] G.G. Lorentz, On the theory of spaces Λ, Pacific J. Math. 1 (1951), 411–429.
- [7] J. Soria, Lorentz spaces of weak-type, Quart. J. Math. Oxford 49 (1998), 93–103.
- [8] E.M. Stein and N.J. Weiss, On the convergence of Poisson integrals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (1969), 34–54.

Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada i Anàlisi Universitat de Barcelona, E-08071 Barcelona, (SPAIN) E-mail: carro@mat.ub.es, jmartin@mat.ub.es