1Title

2Aquaporins 7 and 11 in boar spermatozoa: detection, localisation and relationship with 3sperm quality

4Running title

5AQP7 and AQP11 in boar sperm

6

7Authors and affiliations

8Noelia Prieto-Martínez^{1, *}, Ingrid Vilagran¹, Roser Morató¹, Joan E. Rodríguez-Gil², 9Marc Yeste^{3,†}, Sergi Bonet^{1,†}

10¹Biotechnology of Animal and Human Reproduction (TechnoSperm), Department of 11Biology, Institute of Food and Agricultural Technology, University of Girona, E-17071 12Girona, Spain

13²Unit of Animal Reproduction, Department of Animal Medicine and surgery, Faculty of 14Veterinary Medicine, Autonomous University of Barcelona, E-08193 Bellaterra 15(Barcelona), Spain

16³Nuffield Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Oxford, Level 3, 17Women's Centre, John Radcliffe Hospital, Headington, Oxford, OX3 9DU, United 18Kingdom

20[†]The last two authors are joint senior authors

21*Corresponding author

22Noelia Prieto-Martínez, Biotechnology of Animal and Human Reproduction 23(TechnoSperm), Department of Biology, Institute of Food and Agricultural Technology, 24University of Girona, E-17071 Girona, Spain Ph: + 34 972 419 514; Fax: +34 972 418 25150. E-mail address: noelia.prieto@udg.edu

26Abstract

27Aquaporins (AQPs) are integral membrane water channels that allow transport of water 28and/or small solutes across cell membranes. Although water permeability is known to 29play a critical role in mammalian cells, including spermatozoa, little is known about 30their localisation in boar spermatozoa. Against this background, two different 31aquaporins, AQP7 and AQP11, were identified in boar spermatozoa by \underline{W}_{w} estern 32blotting and localised through immunocytochemistry analyses. WOur western blot 33results showed that boar spermatozoa present AQP7 (25KDa) and AQP11 (50KDa). 34Immunocytochemistry analyses demonstrated that AQP7 is localised at the connecting 35piece of boar spermatozoa, while AQP11 was found in the head and in the midpiece, 36and a diffuse labelling was also seen along the tail. Despite differences in AQP7- and 37AQP11-content being seen between boar ejaculates, these differences were not found to 38be correlated with sperm quality in the case of AQP7. Conversely, AQP11-content 39showed a significant correlation (P<0.05) with sperm quality parameters, including 40sperm membrane integrity and fluidity, and sperm motility. In conclusion, boar 41spermatozoa present AQP7 and AQP117. Additionally, and the amounts of the 42latterAQP11 but not of AQP7—the former are correlated with sperm motility and 43membrane integrity.

44

45Keywords: Aquaporin 7, Aquaporin 11, Boar sperm, Sperm quality

461. Introduction

3

47Water is the major component of cells and tissues. Its movement across cell membranes 48 plays a main role in most biological processes (Huang et al., 2006), including the 49maintenance of cell volume and shape (Matsuzaki et al., 2002). While the transport of 50water through cell membranes is due to simple diffusion, the amphipathic nature of lipid 51bilayers hinders high flow rates (Huang et al., 2006; Matsuzaki et al., 2002). Thus, it is 52hard to explain water permeability in some cells, such as red blood cells, renal tubular 53epithelial cells or spermatozoa (Noiles et al., 1993; Huang et al., 2006). For this reason, 54water flux through cell membranes was early suggested to be done by a distinct 55mechanism, the existence of water pores being hypothesised (Sidel and Salomon, 1957). 56Aquaporins (AQPs) are a family of small hydrophobic, integral channel membrane 57proteins (Agre, 2004; Huang et al., 2006) that allow transport of water and/or small 58solutes, such as glycerol, urea and arsenic (Törnroth-Horsefield et al., 2010; Sales et al., 592013), across cell membranes at 10-100 fold higher rates (Agre et al., 2002; 60Törnroth-Horsefield et al., 2010; Sales et al., 2013). These proteins are passive 61transporters, the gradients being the driven force for the movement of molecules across 62these channels (Perez et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2011). So far, up to different thirteen 63AQPs have been identified in mammalian cells. These members are divided into three 64major groups depending on their permeability characteristics and their amino acid 65sequence homologies. These three groups are: a) orthodox AQPs, b) aquaglyceroporins, 66and c) superaquaporins (Agre et al., 2002; Ishibashi, 2009). 67Aquaporins are found in numerous tissues and cells in which water movement is crucial,

67Aquaporins are found in numerous tissues and cells in which water movement is crucial, 68such as kidney, lung, pancreas, gastrointestinal tract, brain, immune system, skin and 69adipose tissue (Huang *et al.*, 2006). Aquaporins have also been found in reproductive 70organs from both male and females (i.e. testis and uterus) as well as in oocytes, thereby

71indicating they play a relevant role in reproductive physiology (Thoroddsen *et al.*, 722011). It is well known that spermatozoa have a high water permeability compared to 73other mammalian cell types (Noiles *et al.*, 1993) and AQPs are understood to allow 74spermatozoa to regulate cell volume during their transport from the testis to the 75fallopian tube (Yeung *et al.*, 2009). However, while some AQPs have been studied in 76rat, (Yeung & Cooper, 2010), dog (Fatin *et al.*, 2008) and human spermatozoa (Yeung *et 77al.*, 2009; Moretti *et al.*, 2012), the identification, localisation and function of these 78proteins in boar spermatozoa is yet to be reported.

79Taking these information into account, this study has two main aims. The first aim 80wasIn the present study, we have aimed the identification and location to identify and 81localize of two separate two different AQPs, AQP7 and AQP11, chosen as 82representative members of two different subfamilies. The potential relationship of these 83two AQPs with different sperm functional parameters has also been investigated. In the 84case of Thus, AQP7, it belongs to aquaglyceroporins group, which the second group of 85AQPs that are permeable to water, glycerol, urea and other small non-electrolytes (Agre 86et al., 2002; Ishibashi et al., 2002). So far, AQP7 has been found in human (Saito et al., 872004), rat (Yeung and Cooper, 2010), and mouse spermatozoa (Skowronski et al., 882007), and has been suggested to be associated with sperm motility in humans (Saito et 89al., 2004). On the other hand, AQP11 is a cytoplasm protein that belongs to the 90superaquaporins third group of AQPs,. Regarding AQP11, this protein has and has been 91suggested to be essential for sperm production during spermiogenesis and spermiation 92(Yeung & Cooper, 2010). Following the detection of the presence of both AQP7 and 93AQP11 in boar sperm, the subsequent aim was to analyze the potential relationship of 94these two water channels with different boar sperm functional parameters, in order to 95gain insight in a putative modulator role of both AQPs in the overall boar sperm

96function.

97

982. Materials and methods

992.1. Animals and samples

100A total of 11 ejaculates from 11 healthy and post-pubertal Piétrain boars were used in 101this study. Only one ejaculate per male was thus evaluated. Boars were housed in 102buildings under stable, temperature-controlled conditions in a local farm (Selección 103Batallé, S.A.; Riudarenes, Spain) and fed an adjusted commercial diet. Boars were 104collected twice a week by the gloved-hand method with males mounted on a dummy 105sow.- An interval of three days was left between collections. In all cases, the sperm-rich 106fraction was filtered through gauze to remove the gelatinous fraction and collected into 107a 37°C glass container that contained 50mL of pre-warmed long-term commercial 108extender free from bovine serum albumin (Vitasem LD; Magapor SL, Zaragoza, Spain). 109The collected sperm rich-fraction was diluted 1:9 (v/v) with the same extender, and then 110split into 90mL semen doses of 3 × 10⁹ spermatozoa·dose⁻¹. Those doses were cooled 111down to 17°C and immediately transported to our laboratory in an insulated container. A 112total of three seminal doses per ejaculate were received in our laboratory within five 113hours post-collection. One dose was used to evaluate the sperm quality parameters 114(sperm motility and flow cytometry evaluations), the other was used for protein 115extraction and western blot (detection), and the third one for localisation through 116immunocytochemistry. The study was carried out for five months, from January 2014 to 117May 2014.

118

1192.2. Flow cytometry

120The sperm quality was evaluated through flow cytometry on the basis of sperm 121membrane integrity (SYBR14/PI and PNA-FITC/PI) and fluidity (M540/YO-PRO-1) 122assessments. In this current section, the information about flow cytometry assessments 123is provided following the recommendations stated by the International Society for 124Advancement of Cytometry (ISAC) (Lee et al., 2008). Prior to any staining, the sperm 125concentration was adjusted to 1×10⁶ spermatozoa·mL⁻¹ in a final volume of 0.5mL. A 126total of three replicates per sample and staining were evaluated, prior to calculating the 127corresponding mean and standard error of the mean (SEM).

128All samples were evaluated through a Cell Laboratory QuantaSC™ cytometer 129(Beckman Coulter; Fullerton, CA, USA). After excitation with an argon ion laser 130(488nm) set at a power of 22mW, the particle electronic volume (equivalent to forward 131scatter) and side scatter were measured. Periodically, the electronic volume channel was 132calibrated using 10µm Flow-Check fluorospheres (Beckman Coulter) by positioning 133this size bead in channel 200 on the volume scale. Two filters (FL-1 and FL-3) were 134used with the following characteristics, FL-1: Dichroic/Splitter, DRLP: 550nm, BP 135filter: 525nm, detection with 505-545nm; FL-3: LP filter: 670nm, detection with: 136670±30nm). FL-1 detected green fluorescence from SYBR14, YO-PRO-1 and 137PNA-FITC (peanut agglutinin conjugated with fluorescein isothiocyanate) 138fluorochromes, and FL-3 detected red fluorescence from PI (propidium iodide) and 139M540 (merocyanine 540). Signals were logarithmically amplified and photomultiplier 140settings were adjusted to particular staining methods. Sheath fluid flow rate was always 141set at 4.17μl min⁻¹, and the analyser threshold was adjusted on the electronic volume 142channel to exclude subcellular debris and cell aggregates. A total of 10,000 events per 143replicate were evaluated and in some protocols, as described below, compensation was 144used to minimise spill-over of green fluorescence into the red channel.

145Sperm membrane integrity was evaluated through SYBR14/PI and PNA-FITC/PI tests.
146In the first case, the protocol described by Garner and Johnson (1995) was followed,
147and a commercial kit (LIVE/DEAD® Sperm Viability kit, Molecular Probes,
148InvitrogenTM, L-7011) was used. Briefly, spermatozoa (final concentration: 1×10⁶
149spermatozoa·mL⁻¹) were stained with SYBR14 (final concentration 100nM) for 10
150minutes at 37.5°C in the dark, and then with PI (final concentration 12μM) for 5
151minutes and again at 37.5°C in the dark. Membrane-intact spermatozoa exhibited a
152positive staining for SYBR14 and negative staining for PI (SYBR-14⁺/PI⁻).
153Single-stained samples for SYBR14 and PI were used for setting the electronic volume
154gain and FL-1 and FL-3 PMT-voltages and for compensation of SYBR14 (FL-1) spill
155over into the PI channel (FL-3, 2.45%).

156Sperm membrane integrity was also evaluated through PNA-FITC/PI staining, 157following Nagy et al. (2003). With this purpose, spermatozoa were stained with 158PNA-FITC (final concentration: 2.5µg·mL⁻¹) for 5 min and then with PI (final 159concentration: 12μM) for 5 min, at 37.5°C in the dark. As spermatozoa were not 160previously permeabilised, they were identified and placed in one of the four following 161populations (Yeste et al., 2014): 1) spermatozoa with intact plasma membrane 162(PNA-FITC⁻/PI⁻); 2) spermatozoa with damaged plasma membrane that presented an 163outer acrosome membrane that could not be fully intact (PNA-FITC⁺/PI⁺); 3) 164spermatozoa with damaged plasma membrane and lost outer acrosome membrane 165(PNA-FITC⁻/PI⁺); and 4) spermatozoa with damaged plasma membrane 166(PNA-FITC⁺/PI⁻). Unstained and single-stained samples were used for setting the 167electronic volume gain and FL-1 and FL-3 PMT-voltages, and for compensating 168PNA-FITC spill over into the PI channel (2.45%).

169Finally, lipid disorder of sperm plasma membrane was determined using M540 (Fluka, 17063876) and YO-PRO-1 (Molecular probes, InvitrogenTM, Y-3603) fluorochromes, 171following the staining procedure described by Harrison et al. (1996) and adjusted in our 172laboratory (Yeste et al., 2013). Sperm samples were incubated with M540 (final 173concentration: 2.6μM) and YO-PRO-1 (final concentration: 25nM) for 10 min at 17437.5°C, in the dark. Four sperm populations were observed in flow cytometry dot plots: 1751) non-viable spermatozoa with low membrane lipid disorder (M540⁻/YO-PRO-1⁻), 2) 176non-viable spermatozoa with high membrane lipid disorder (M540⁻/YO-PRO-1⁻), 3) 177viable spermatozoa with low membrane lipid disorder (M540⁻/YO-PRO-1⁻) and, 4) 178viable spermatozoa with high membrane lipid disorder (M540⁺YO-PRO-1⁻). Data was 179not compensated.

180Data from PNA-FITC/PI and M540/YO-PRO-1 assessments were corrected according 181to Petrunkina et al. (2010). This adjustment consisted of determining the percentages of 182non-DNA-containing, alien particles and allowed avoiding an overestimation of sperm 183particles in the first quadrant (q1). With this purpose, 5µL of each sperm sample were 184diluted with 895µL of milliQ-distilled water. Samples were then stained with PI at a 185final concentration of 12µM and incubated at 37.5°C for 3 min in the dark. The 186percentages of alien particles (f) were then used to correct the percentages of 187non-stained spermatozoa (q1) in PNA-FITC/PI and M540/YO-PRO-1 tests, following

188the formula: $q_1 = \frac{q_1 - f}{100 - f} \times 100$, where q1 was the percentage of non-stained 189spermatozoa after correction.

190

1912.3. *Sperm motility*

192Sperm motility was evaluated using a computer assisted sperm analysis (CASA) system 193that consisted of an Olympus BX41 microscope (Olympus Europe GmbH; Hamburg, 194Germany) equipped with a video camera and software (Sperm Class Analyzer ver. 5, 1952010; Microptic S.L., Barcelona, Spain). Prior to any evaluation, spermatozoa were 196incubated at 37°C for 20 min. Next, a 20μl droplet was mounted in a Makler chamber 197(Sefi-medical Instruments, Haifa, Israel), and sperm motility was examined at 100x 198magnification using a negative phase-contrast objective. Twenty-five consecutive 199digitalised frames were acquired in each field, and a total of seven motility parameters 200were assessed, as described in Yeste et al. (2008): percentage of total and progressive 201motile spermatozoa, curvilinear velocity (VCL, μm·s⁻¹), straight-linear velocity (VSL, 202μm·s⁻¹), (VAP, μm·s⁻¹), percentages of linearity and straightness, amplitude of lateral 203head displacement (ALH, μm), and beating frequency (BCF, Hz). Three replicates (at 204least 1,000 spermatozoa each) per sample were evaluated, prior to calculating the 205corresponding mean ± SEM.

206

2072.4. Protein extraction and quantification

208The seminal doses used for protein extraction and Wwestern blot analysis were divided 209into six Ffalcon tubes of 15 mL each and then centrifuged at 640×g for 3 minutes at 210room temperature. Supernatants were removed and pellets resuspended in 10mL of 211phosphate buffered saline (PBS) solution. Resuspended samples were again centrifuged 212at 640×g for 3 minutes at room temperature to remove any traces of sperm extender. 213Pellets were resuspended in 1mL of PBS and finally pooled into a single tube. To 214evaluate the sperm concentration of these washed samples, an aliquot of 500μL was 215taken and resuspended with 500μL formaldehyde saline solution (9g NaCl and 30mL 216formaldehyde per litre of distilled water). Sperm concentration was evaluated per

217triplicate using a Makler counting chamber and adjusted 1×10⁹ spermatozoa·mL⁻¹. 218Samples were again centrifuged at 640×g for 3 minutes at room temperature and pellets 219were subsequently resuspended in 1mL of a 30mM Tris-HCl buffer adjusted at pH 8 220(TBS3X) lysis buffer (added with 7M urea; (BioRad; Richmond, CA, USA;), −2M 221thiourea; (Sigma Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA;), Tris-HCl 30 mM, BioRad; 4% (w:v) 2223-[(3- Cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate, (CHAPS;; BioRad;), 2232.4µM phenylmethanesulfonylfluoride; (PMSF;; Sigma;) and 18mM dithiothreitol; 224(DTT;; Sigma at pH=8). This buffer was named as lysis buffer Samples were incubated 225with the lysis buffer at room temperature in agitation for 1h, and then centrifuged at 2263,000×g for 5 minutes at 4°C. To precipitate the protein fraction, samples were 227incubated with 80% cold acetone at -20°C for 15 minutes and centrifuged at 17,530×g 228and 4°C for 10 minutes and pellets were subsequently solubilised in lysis buffer without 229PMSF and DTT. Protein concentration of these prepared samples was determined per 230triplicate through the Bradford method (Quick Start™ Bradford Protein Assay; BioRad, 231Hercules; CA, USA).

232

2332.5 Gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and Western Blot analysis

234For SDS-PAGE separation, 10μg of prepared samples were resuspended with 20μl of 235Laemmli Rresolving buffer 1*X added with 5% (v:v) β-mercaptoethanol (Bio-Rad) and 236stored at -20°C until the beginning of the assay. Before electrophoresis, the samples and 237the molecular weight marker (All Blue Precision Plus ProteinTM Standards, Bio-Rad) 238were boiled at 90°C for 10 minutes, cooled to 4°C and loaded into 1mm SDS gels. The 239separating gel contained 12% (w:v) of acrylamide, whereas the stacking gel contained 2404% (w:v) of acrylamide.

241After running the gels for 90 minutes approximately, the protein bands were 242transblottedferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Immobilion-P®, Millipore, 243Darmstadt, Germany) for 2h at 120mA each. Membranes were subsequently rinsed at 244room temperature in agitation for 10 minutes with washing solution (TBS1X-Tween20) 245<u>consisting of</u> : an aqueous solution (pH 7.3) of 10mM Tris (Panreac, Barcelona, Spain), 246150mM NaCl (LabKem; Mataró, Spain) and 0.05% (w:v) Tween-20 (Panreac); 247pH=7.3). Finally, membranes were incubated with blocking solution constituted by (5%) 248(w:v)-of Bbovine Serum Aalbumine (BSA) in TBSTRIS-buffered saline 1x)-1X at 4°C 249in agitation overnight. Blocked membranes were washed three times for 5 minutes each 250and then incubated with the corresponding primary antibody (i.e. against AQP7 or 251AQP11) in different conditions depending on the antibody. In the case of AQP7, 252membranes were incubated with a primary polyclonal antibody anti-AQP7 253(NBP1-30862; Novus Biologicals, CO, USA) diluted 1:1,000 (v:v) in blocking solution, 254at room temperature and agitation for 1h. In the case of AQP11, membranes were 255incubated with a primary polyclonal antibody anti-AQP11 (Orb36094, Biorbyt, 256Cambridge, UK), previously diluted 1:500 (v:v) in blocking solution, at 4°C and 257agitation overnight. After cleaning membranes five times in washing solution, they were 258incubated with a horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated polyclonal anti-rabbit 259immunoglobulin (Dako Denmark A/S; Denmark) diluted 1/10,000 in blocking solution, 260at room temperature and agitation for 1 h.

261Reactive bands were visualised with a chemiluminescent substrate (Immobilion[™] 262Western Detection Reagents, Millipore) and Syngene[®] chemiluminiscent imaging 263system together with Genesys[®] image acquisition software were used (Synoptics[®] 264Limited, UK). Protein bands from scanned images were quantified using Quantity One 265software (version 4.6.2; Bio-Rad). Values were expressed as the total signal intensity

266inside the boundary of the band measured in pixel intensity units (density, mm²). The 267background signal was avoided and the lowest intensity of a pixel was considered as 0 268(white) (Vilagran et al., 2013).

269Alpha tubulin was used to normalise blotted protein for each band. With this purpose, 270membranes were stripped with a solution made up of 0.2M glycine (Serva), 0.05% 271Tween20 (Panreac) and pH adjusted to 2.2, at 37°C for 30 minutes. Stripped membranes 272were incubated with anti-alpha tubulin mouse monoclonal antibody (MABT205, 273Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) diluted 1:1,000 (v:v), at room temperature in agitation 274for 1 h. After cleaning membranes three times in washing solution, they were incubated 275with a secondary (horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated polyclonal anti-mouse 276immunoglobulin (Dako Denmark A/S; Denmark) diluted 1/5,000 in blocking solution, 277at room temperature and agitation for 1 h. The reactive bands were visualised as 278described above.

279

2802.6 Immunocytochemistry

281Seminal doses intended for immunocytochemistry studies (see Section 2.1) were 282washed thrice with TBS1X at 17°C and 500×g for 10 min and fixed with 3% 283para-formaldehyde in TBS1X at room temperature for 30 min. Afterwards, all samples 284were washed again thrice with TBS1X at 400xg for 3 minutes at room temperature, 285always removing the supernatants and resuspending each pellet in 1mL of TBS1X. 286Three drops (replicates) from each sample were dropped onto separated slides 287previously rinsed with absolute ethanol. Drops were sedimented for 20 minutes, washed 288three times in TBS, five minutes each wash, and finally dried. All samples were 289observed under negative phase-contrast objective (100X) in an Olympus BX41 290microscope (Olympus Europe GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) to confirm fixation of

291spermatozoa. For permeabilisation of sperm cells, these samples were incubated with 2923% (w:v) BSA and 0.25% (v:v) Triton X-100 in TBS1X for 10 minutes. Unspecific 293binding of the primary antibodies was subsequently performed through incubation with 2943% (w:v) BSA in TBS1X for 30 minutes. Afterwards, samples were incubated in a 295humidified chamber with anti-AQP7 (NBP1-30862; Novus Biologicals, CO, USA) or 296anti-AQP11 (orb36094; Biorbyt, Cambridge, UK) primary antibodies, previously 297diluted 1:500 and 1:100 (v:v) respectively in TBS containing 3% (w:v) BSA. Incubation 298conditions differed between antibodies. While samples were incubated with anti-AQP7 299primary antibody at room temperature for 1 h, they were incubated with anti-AQP11 300primary antibody at 4°C overnight. Antibody solutions were then decanted and the 301samples were washed five times in TBS1X, 5 minutes each wash. Samples were 302incubated with secondary antibody Alexa Fluor®-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG 303(Invitrogen, USA) diluted 1:1,000 (v:v) in TBS1X containing 3% (w:v) BSA. 304Incubations were performed in a humidified chamber at room temperature in the dark 305for 1 h. Again, slides were decanted and washed five times in TBS1X, 5 minutes each 306wash, in darkness. Finally, preparations were mounted with a 5µl drop of Vectashield® 307mounting medium fluorescence containing 125ng·ml⁻¹ 4',6-diamino-2-fenilindol (DAPI) 308to counterstain the sperm nuclei (Vector Laboratories Inc., Burlingame, CA). The 309coverslips were sealed with nail polish to prevent drying during evaluation and stored at 3104°C protected from light until observation, within the following 2 days. Negative 311controls were obtained by omitting the primary antibody incubation step. A 312laser-scanning confocal microscope (Leica TCS-SP2-AOBS, Leica Microsystems, 313Wetzlar, Germany) was used to examine AQP7 and AQP11 (Alexa 488; excitation 495 314nm), and nuclear (DAPI; excitation 405nm) staining. The overlay image resulting from

315the capture of the different channels showed AQPs and nuclei as green and blue, 316respectively.

317

3182.7. Statistical analyses

319Statistical analyses were performed using a statistical package (IBM SPSS for 320Windows, Ver. 20.0; Illinois, USA), and results are presented as mean \pm standard error 321of the mean (SEM). Level of significance was set at 5%. Data were first tested for 322normality (Shapiro-Wilk test) and homogeneity of variances (Levene test), prior to 323perform any parametric test. In the case of sperm motility, data (x) required arcsin 324transformation (arcsin \sqrt{x}) to accomplish the parametric assumptions.

325The eleven ejaculates were classified into two groups (high or low sperm quality) 326according to SYBR14/PI (>80% SYBR14⁺/PI⁻ spermatozoa) and sperm progressive 327motility (>60% progressive motile spermatozoa) assessments. All sperm quality 328parameters (i.e. % SYBR14⁺/PI⁻ spermatozoa, % PNA-FITC⁻/PI⁻ spermatozoa, % 329M540⁻/YO-PRO-1⁻ spermatozoa, % total motile spermatozoa, and % progressive motile 330spermatozoa) were subsequently compared between sperm quality groups (high *vs.* low 331sperm quality group) through a *t*-test for independent samples. Normalised levels of 332AQP7 and AQP11 were also compared between these two sperm quality groups by 333means of a *t*-test for independent samples.

334Factorial analyses were also run using the values from sperm quality parameters. 335Components were extracted by principal component analysis (PCA) and the obtained 336data matrix was rotated using the Varimax procedure with Kaiser's normalisation. Only 337those variables with a square factor loading (a_{ij}^2) higher than 0.3 with its respective 338component, and lower than 0.1 with respect to the other components in the rotated 339matrix, were selected from the linear combination of j variables (z) in each component

 $340y_i$ ($y_i = a_{i1}z_1 + a_{i2}z_2 + ... + a_{ij}z_j$). Regression factors for each component after PCA were 341recorded and used for correlation analyses with AQP7 and AQP11.

342Finally, correlation analyses (Pearson correlation) were performed using values from 343normalised levels of AQP7 and AQP11 obtained in western blot assessments and both 344raw sperm quality data and regression factors from PCA components. In both 345approaches, a significant correlation was considered when P < 0.05 (two-tailed).

346

3473. Results

3483.1 Classification of boar ejaculates between high and low sperm quality

349Eleven ejaculates, each coming from a different boar, were classified into two groups 350(high and low sperm quality) as described in the mMaterial and Mmethods section. Six 351ejaculates were found to belong to the high sperm quality group, while the others five 352were found to be in the low sperm quality parameter. As Table 1 (mean \pm SEM) 353confirmed, the two groups significantly (P<0.05) differed in all the sperm quality 354parameters evaluated.

355

3563.2. Western blot assay in semen samples

357From protein analyses of sperm samples, AQP7 and AQP11 bands in the corresponding 358membranes were identified and their expression quantified and normalised, the 359alphaα-tubulin protein being used as an internal standard (Figure 1). Expression of 360AQP7 and AQP11 varied among ejaculates, as shown by the different intensity of the 361specific signal bands that appeared at 25KDa for AQP7 and at 50KDa for AQP11.

362On the other hand, Figure 2 shows the normalised band volume (density/mm 2), as mean 363 \pm SEM, of AQP7 and AQP11 in both groups (high and low sperm quality). While no 364significant (P>0.05) differences were observed between sperm quality groups in AQP7

365content, high sperm quality group presented a significantly (P<0.05) higher amount of 366AQP11 than low sperm quality group.

367

3683.3. Localisation of AQP7 and AQP11 in boar sperm through immunocytochemistry
369Localisation of AQP7 and AQP11 in boar spermatozoa was investigated through
370immunocytochemistry. Figure 3 shows the representative staining patterns obtained for
371both aquaporins in boar spermatozoa. Positive immunostaining for both aquaporins was
372detected in all samples. In the case of AQP7, a clear staining was detected in the
373pericentriolar area, at the connecting piece. AQP11 showed both a clear staining in the
374sperm midpiece and head and a diffuse labelling located along the tail. Control
375experiments performed without primary antibody confirmed that non-specific
376background signals were negligible (data not shown).

377

3783.4. *Principal component analyses from sperm quality parameters*

379Principal component analyses were used as a method to summarise the variation of 380sperm quality of parameters in all ejaculates and to make the further correlation 381analyses easier to be understood. Table 2 represents the results of principal component 382analyses from all sperm quality parameters. A total of two components, which explained 38388.60% of total variance, were obtained. The first component explained 64.07% of 384variance and included several sperm quality parameters such as membrane fluidity 385(M540⁻/YO-PRO-1⁻, M540⁺/YO-PRO-1⁺) and integrity (PNA-FITC⁻/PI⁻, 386PNA-FITC⁺/PI⁻, SYBR14⁻/PI⁺), and total and progressive sperm motility. The second 387component explained 24.53% and included the following parameters: PNA-FITC⁺/PI⁻, 388PNA-FITC⁻/PI⁺, and M540⁺/YO-PRO-1⁻.

3903.5. Multiple regression analyses between AQP7, AQP11 volume bands and quality 391parameters

392Table 3 shows the Person correlation coefficients between sperm quality parameters and 393normalised band volumes of AQP7 and APQ11. While AQP7 was not found (*P*>0.05) to 394be correlated with any of the sperm quality parameters evaluated, AQP11 was 395significantly (*P*<0.05) correlated with % SYBR14⁺/PI⁻ spermatozoa, % PNA-FITC⁻/PI⁻ 396spermatozoa, % M540⁻/YO-PRO-1⁻ spermatozoa, % total motile spermatozoa, and % 397progressive motile spermatozoa.

398In addition, as Table 4 shows, regression factors from the two PCA components (see 399Section 3.4) were found to be positively and significantly (P<0.05) correlated with 400AQP11-normalised band volume. By contrast, no significant correlation between any 401PCA component and AQP7 was found.

402

4034. Discussion

404The present study has shown for the first time that AQP7 and AQP11 are present in boar 405spermatozoa. While AQP7 is found at the connection piece, AQP11 presents a more 406general distribution along the tail and the head. In addition, the total amount of 407theAQP11_protein was found to be correlated with the sperm quality parameters 408evaluated in this study, which included sperm motility and membrane integrity and 409fluidity. In contrast, there was no significant correlation between the total amount of the 410AQP7 and sperm quality parameters.

411Aquaporins are known to play a critical role for water transports across lipid bilayers in 412both prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells (Perez *et al.*, 2014). With regard to mammalian 413sperm, previous works have investigated the role of AQPs in sperm function, but only 414one has been conducted in boar spermatozoa (Filho *et al.*, 2013). This study, conducted

415with sperm mRNA, provided preliminary data about some AQPs and boar sperm 416cryopreservation (Filho *et al.*, 2013). However, to the best of our knowledge, AQPs 417localisation and their relationship with sperm quality is yet to be reported in boar semen. 418Taking together our AQP7- and AQP11-immunostaining data, it appears that these 419proteins are present in all boars and present a homogeneous distribution and 420localisation. Specifically, AQP7 was detected as a clear staining in the pericentriolar 421 area, at the sperm connecting piece. These results are in contrast with those obtained for 422human sperm, where AQP7 was not only found in the pericentriolar area, but also in the 423equatorial segment, in the midpiece and even along the main tail piece (Saito et al., 4242004; Yeung et al., 2010; Moretti et al., 2011). On the other hand, boar sperm evaluated 425in the present study presented a clear AQP11-staining in the midpiece and the head of 426spermatozoa and a diffuse labelling along the tail. On the contrary, AQP11 in rat sperm 427has only been found at the end tail piece (Callies et al., 2008). In this way, our findings 428confirm that distributions of AQP7 and AQP11 are species-specific, because localisation 429 variations of these two proteins have been observed when compared to sperm from 430other mammals.

431There is a lack of information about AQPs expression in sperm of other species. Only a 432few studies have been conducted with other mammalian species, and AQP7 and AQP11 433have been found along the reproductive tract. Indeed, AQP7 and AQP11 have been 434found abundantly expressed in rat testis (Ishibashy *et al.*, 1997; Yeung and Cooper, 4352010). In equine, Klein et al. (2013) detected the expression of AQP7 and AQP11 in 436testis, epididymis, and ductus deferens. Similarly, in dog, Domeniconi et al. (2008) 437reported that AQP7 was expressed in the epithelium of the proximal regions of the 438epididymis and in vas deferens. While the functional role in the male reproductive tract

439remains unknown, AQP7 has also been suggested to be involved in spermatogenesis 440(Ishibashy *et al.*, 1997).

441Apart from identifying AQP7 and AQP11-distribution in boar sperm, the present study 442has also evaluated normalised levels of these two proteins after a denaturalizing process. 443In the corresponding Western Blots, and as expected, bands for AQP7 and for AQP11 444were respectively seen at 25 KDa and 50 KDa in all boar ejaculates studied. When 445comparing our data with studies conducted in other species, the weight of reactive bands 446obtained by Western Blot analysis appears to be species-specific. Studies conducted in 447human sperm have observed that a different isoform pattern of AQP7 expression exists 448(Yeung et al., 2009). Indeed, different AQP7 isoforms (27, 29, 30 and 40 KDa) have 449been detected and they have been suggested to be related with different glycosylation 450patterns. In addition, Yeung et al. (2009) also demonstrated that expression of AQP7 451 varies between men. In the case of AQP11, molecular weights have been seen to differ 452along rodent species, a 33 KDa form being identified in rat sperm while three different 453isoforms of 27, 34 and 43 KDa have been found in mouse sperm (Yeung and Copper, 4542010). These discrepances can be, at least in part, consequence of the separate 455techniques utilized by investigators in the preparation of sperm samples to conduct 456Wstern blot analyses. Thus, the desnaturalization process that implies the 457homogenization in the presence of urea could greatly affect any psot-traductional 458protein modification, affecting thus to the detection of putative isoforms for AQPs in 459samples. This implies that no direct comparison can be made among results in order to 460establish the presence of separate isoforms for AQPs among mammalian species.

461The present study has also attempted to find a relationship between sperm quality 462parameters and the total amount of AQP7 and AQP11—levels and. In this regard, it is 463worth noting that while differences in the intensity of the specific signal bands were

464seen between ejaculates both for AQP7 and AQP11, these differences were not linked to 465changes in sperm quality in all cases. Indeed, a significant and positive correlation 466between sperm quality and protein levels was seen for AQP11, but not for AQP7. In 467contrast to our results, other studies have demonstrated that despite the role of AQP7 in 468male infertility being yet to be clarified, a relationship between its distribution and 469sperm motility and morphology exists (Moretti et al., 2011). Yeung et al. (2009) found 470that AQP7 was not present in 22% of infertile patients. These infertile patients also had 471lower sperm motility than fertile controls. However, genetic deletion of this AQP in 472mice do not show obvious defects in sperm function and fertility, possibly because of 473functional compensation by other AQPs (Yang et al., 2005; Sohara et al., 2007; Yeung 474et al., 2009). Furthermore, homozygous mice for a non-functional mutation in AQP7 475have been reported as fertile, thereby suggesting that AQP7 could not be indispensable 476in the regulation of fertility (Kondo et al., 2002). Therefore, although differences in the 477relationship between AQP7 and sperm quality parameters appear to be species-specific, 478 further research is required to address the role of AQP7 in mammalian sperm. 479One of the most interesting findings of this study regards the relationship between 480AQP11 and boar sperm quality. Indeed, here, we found that AQP11 content was higher 481in those sperm samples that presented better sperm quality. There are scarce literature 482about AQP11 and its role in sperm functional parameters. So far, only one study, 483conducted in hamsters, has suggested that a correlation between *Aqp11*-transcript levels 484and sperm motility exists (Shannonhouse *et al.*, 2014). Other works have demonstrated 485the involvement of this aquaporin in spermatogenesis and spermiation (Yeung, 2010), 486and AQP11 has been found in the caudal cytoplasm of the human spermatids (Yeung 487 and Copper, 2010).

488Aquaporins play an important role in the process of cryopreservation of gametes 489because they are involved in water transport (Edashige *et al.*, 2003). Therefore, apart 490from correlation between AQP11 and sperm quality, this protein could also play a role 491during boar sperm cryopreservation, and could even be used as freezability marker 492(Casas *et al.*, 2009; Casas *et al.*, 2010; Vilagran *et al.*, 2014). Although the total AQP7 493content has not been found to be correlated with sperm quality, this protein contributes 494to glycerol-related energy metabolism in spermatozoa. Since glycerol is a 495cryoprotectant present in one of boar sperm cryopreservation extenders, AQP7 could 496also play a role during freeze-thawing. Again, however, more research is needed to 497elucidate this issue.

498In conclusion, the present study has documented the existence and localisation of AQP7 499and AQP11 in boar sperm. Moreover, it has also been observed that the localisation of 500these proteins in boar spermatozoa differ from other mammalian species, thereby 501indicating species-specific peculiarities. On the other hand, the most remarkable result 502of this study has been the existence of a relationship between the total amount of AQP11 503levels and sperm quality parameters, such as sperm motility, and membrane integrity 504and fluidity. These findings can contribute to understand the role of this protein in 505sperm physiology. However, further research is still required to address by which 506mechanism/s AQP11 and AQP7 modulates boar sperm physiology.