

This is a submitted manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in Critical reviews in environmental science and technology on 3 Feb. 2015, available

online: <http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/10643389.2015.1010423>

Cop. "All rights reserved" license

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

**From Wastes to High Value Added Products: Novel Aspects of SSF in the  
Production of Enzymes**

El-Bakry\*, M., Abraham, J., Cerda, A., Barrena, R., Ponsá, S., Gea, T. and Sánchez, A.

Composting Research Group  
Department of Chemical Engineering  
Escola d'Enginyeria  
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona  
Bellaterra, Cerdanyola del Vallès, 08193 Barcelona, Spain

\*Corresponding author, contact details:  
Tel.: +34 935811018  
Fax: +34 935812013  
E-mail address: Mamdouh.ElBakry@uab.cat

21 **Abstract**

22           Solid state fermentation (SSF), a process that occurs in the absence or near  
23 absence of water, has been used for the production of various high value added products  
24 such as enzymes and other organic components. This paper reviews the recent studies  
25 reported on the use of SSF for the production of enzymes; lipases, proteases, cellulases,  
26 hemicellulases, ligninases, glucoamylases, pectinases and inulinases. The  
27 microorganisms used for fermentation are mostly fungi and substrates are waste  
28 materials from the agriculture and food industry. This shows the advantages of SSF  
29 from an economical and environmental viewpoint. The paper provides an update on  
30 several issues, viz. wastes, microorganisms and scale-up and control of the process of  
31 fermentation in solid-state.

32

33 **Keywords:** Cellulases, enzymes, lipases, proteases, process scale-up, solid state  
34 fermentation.

35

36

37

## 38 **1. Introduction**

39           Solid-state fermentation (SSF) is a process of fermentation performed on non-  
40 soluble materials, namely the substrate, in the absence or near absence of water (Salihu  
41 et al., 2012). The substrate acts mainly as source of nutrients for the microorganisms  
42 responsible for the fermentation. There are various groups of microorganisms used in  
43 SSF depending on the final product considered necessary to be obtained from the  
44 fermentation. Among these, filamentous fungi, like species of *Aspergillus* and *Rhizopus*  
45 are the best adapted microbial species reported in most recent studies (Belmessikh et al.,  
46 2013; Cunha et al., 2012; Dhillon et al., 2011a,b; Thanapimmeth et al., 2012). The SSF  
47 process has been extensively used for the production of high value added products such  
48 as enzymes, biofuel, biosurfactants and biopesticides (Singhania et al., 2009).

49           In particular, for the production of enzymes, the fermentation is commonly  
50 conducted in a liquid medium containing the required dissolved nutrients (Colla et al.,  
51 2010). This process of fermentation is known as submerged fermentation (SmF), which  
52 presents the benefit of homogeneity of the culture media used and possibility of  
53 controlling the parameters like temperature and pH. However, there are several  
54 advantages of SSF over the use of SmF (Mitchel et al., 2006). A comparison between  
55 SSF and SmF is presented in Table 1. SSF allows for the production of enzymes with  
56 higher activity and stability with lower water and energy demands. Additionally, from  
57 the environmental and economical perspectives, the main advantage of SSF is related to  
58 lower volume of effluent produced, compared to SmF, and the possibility of carrying  
59 out the process under non-sterile conditions (Subramaniyam & Vimala, 2012). SSF uses  
60 low-cost waste products mainly from the sector of agriculture and food industries, such  
61 as wheat bran and peels of fruits and vegetables. These wastes can be used as ideal  
62 substrates for the microbial fermentation due to their rich contents of organic

63 components, which are considered as essential sources for carbon, nitrogen and many  
64 micronutrients that are important for the production of metabolites. Despite these  
65 advantages of SSF, the use of this type of fermentation in industrial processes is not  
66 widely applied due to challenges and limitations concerning monitoring, controlling and  
67 scaling-up of the process (Salihu et al., 2012; Sukumaran et al., 2010). For example, one  
68 of the critical issues regarding the latter is the inability to remove the heat excess  
69 generated by microbial metabolism during the fermentation. Another important  
70 disadvantage of SSF is the handling of solids on large scales, as 200 kg is reported to be  
71 the maximum weight of solids to be used in the fermentation on industrial scales. Both  
72 are considered being the main disadvantages of SSF for industrial applications.

73         The objective of this paper is to review the recent studies reported on the use of  
74 SSF of waste materials for the production of enzymes, as high value products. The  
75 paper provides an update on various aspects: wastes, microorganisms and SSF process  
76 scale up and control.

77

## 78 **2. Wastes used in SSF**

79         The wastes used in the processes of SSF for the production of enzymes are  
80 mainly of animal and plant origin from food industry. Table 2 outlines the wastes and  
81 microorganisms used in the processes of SSF and also the enzymes produced. In this  
82 section, a detailed description of the wastes of animal and plant origin used is also  
83 presented.

84

### 85 **2.1. Wastes of animal origin**

86         The waste materials of animal origin, including tannery solid wastes and cow  
87 dung, chicken feather and fish flour, have been mainly used in the production of only

88 proteases through SSF processes (Table 2).

89 Tannery solid wastes, in the form of raw hide trimmings and splits, limed and  
90 green animal fleshings, chrome shavings and hair wastes, are produced with huge  
91 quantities during leather manufacturing and are not usually used or under-used  
92 (Nalawade et al., 2009). Therefore, these waste materials are creating a solid waste  
93 disposal problem in tanneries. Kumar et al. (2009) studied the use of animal fleshings,  
94 the proteinaceous part of tannery solid wastes, as substrate for the production of aspartic  
95 protease by a *Synergistes* sp. It was suggested that there is a possibility to produce this  
96 enzyme by SSF using a cheap substrate and moreover, the enzyme obtained exhibited  
97 high stability in various organic solvents. Hair wastes have been also used in SSF for  
98 the production of proteases (Abraham et al., 2014). This waste mixed with raw sludge  
99 from wastewater treatment has been valorised by SSF without the inoculation with a  
100 pure microorganism. Alkaline protease was produced as a consequence of the  
101 degradation of hair by the microbial populations developed. Stabilized compost was  
102 another by-product of the process.

103 Cow dung, as an inexpensive waste material, has been evaluated as a substrate  
104 for the production of protease by *Halomonas* sp. through SSF (Vijayaraghavan &  
105 Vincent, 2012). A high production of halo-tolerant alkaline protease was obtained when  
106 compared with a substrate of wheat bran under the same process conditions.  
107 Accordingly, cow dung, which is characterised by its increased availability and low  
108 costs, might be used in future research as a key substrate in the production of protease  
109 enzymes. Keratin wastes such as chicken feather has been utilised in SSF by a feather  
110 degrading strain of *Bacillus subtilis* (Rai et al., 2009). The process conditions were  
111 optimised in order to maximise the yield of  $\beta$ -keratinase, which is a type of protease.  
112 This was one of the important studies that shows the successful use of a keratin waste

113 material in the production of enzymes. Fish flour, a fish processing by-product, mixed  
114 with polyurethane foam has been used by *Aspergillus oryzae* for the production of a  
115 proteolytic extract (Garcia-Gomez et al., 2009). This extract showed a higher enzymatic  
116 activity, i.e. a higher degree of protein hydrolysis, when tested on fish muscle compared  
117 to a commercially available enzyme. Therefore, it was concluded from the results of this  
118 research that it was highly feasible to use fish flour as a substrate in the production of  
119 proteolytic enzymes.

120

## 121 **2.2. Wastes of plant origin and food industry**

122 There are various types of wastes of plant origin and also of food industry that  
123 have been used in processes of SSF for the production of enzymes (Table 2). These  
124 waste materials include wastes of wheat and rice, such as wheat bran and rice husk,  
125 peels and pomace of fruits and vegetables, sugarcane bagasse, soy and cotton wastes,  
126 waste bread and brewery spent grain. Therefore, by using such a wide range of waste  
127 materials, it was possible to obtain through the process of fermentation several types of  
128 enzymes, i.e. lipases, proteases, cellulases, xylanases, pectinases, amylases and  
129 inulinases.

130

### 131 **2.2.1. Wastes of vegetable oil**

132 Wastes of vegetable oil (oil cakes) have been used for the production of lipases,  
133 proteases and xylanases through SSF. Lipase has been produced when cakes of edible  
134 oil have been used as substrate. For instance, Colla et al. (2010) have been used soybean  
135 oil cake as a substrate after adding about 10% rice husk for increasing the porosity of  
136 the media that allows for oxygen transfer, in the presence of *Aspergillus* spp. as  
137 inoculum. In comparison to SmF, SSF led to higher enzymatic activity of lipase. This

138 was due to the fact that in solid substrates the nutrients are more concentrate than in  
139 liquid medium. This resulted in excellent cell-to-substrate interaction that consequently  
140 led to a higher enzyme production. Another oil cake used for the production of this  
141 enzyme was ground nut oil cake (Chaturvedi et al., 2010). It was shown the enzyme  
142 production through the fermentation by *Bacillus subtilis* was highly affected by various  
143 process conditions such as pH and moisture levels. It was found that the maximum yield  
144 of lipase was at a moisture of 70% and pH of 8.0. Interestingly, the oil cake of *Jatropha*  
145 *curcas*, a major energy crop in Thailand, has been used for the production of several  
146 enzymes through SSF as mentioned in recent literature (Mahanta et al., 2008; Joshi &  
147 Khare, 2011; Ncube et al., 2012). The outcome of the research performed was desirable,  
148 as it was possible to obtain enzymes such as proteases, lipases and xylanases by using  
149 various microorganisms of *Pseudomonas*, *Scytalidium* and *Aspergillus*. It is of high  
150 importance to establish a beneficial disposal of this waste material, as it is characterised  
151 by high contents of toxic compounds such as antineutrinos and phorbol esters (Ahmed  
152 & Salimon, 2009).

153

#### 154 **2.2.2. Wastes of wheat, rice, sugarcane and palm trunk**

155 Wastes of wheat and rice, sugarcane bagasse and oil palm trunk have been  
156 mainly used as substrates for the production of cellulases through SFF. Therefore, it can  
157 be observed that there is a view to developing low cost production systems for cellulase  
158 enzymes.

159 Wheat bran materials, as a lignocellulosic material, among various kitchen and  
160 agro-industrial wastes, such as corn cobs, peelings of fruits and sawdust, appeared to be  
161 the best suited substrate producing appreciable yields of cellulase enzyme, in the  
162 presence of an inoculum of *Aspergillus niger* and *Trichoderma reesei* (Bansal et al.,



163 2012; Dhillon et al., 2011). Interestingly, there was no need for a supplementation of  
164 exogenous nutrients and therefore this research highlights the potential of wheat bran as  
165 possible raw material for the enzyme production. Wheat bran and *A. niger* were also  
166 evaluated for the production of cellulase and xylanase under SSF by Dhillon et al.  
167 (2011), and enzyme yields were compared with SSF where the inoculation and substrate  
168 were mixed with *Trichoderma reesei* and rice husk with a ratio of 1:1 and 2:3,  
169 respectively. In this case, it was reported that mixed microbial cultures and waste  
170 materials led to the production of higher amounts of enzymes. ~~than the use of a single~~  
171 ~~microbial strain and wheat bran as a sole substrate. Mixed culture combinations have~~  
172 ~~the ability to utilize the substrate, especially if there is more than one substrate, as~~  
173 ~~energy sources are better used than in pure single strain cultures.~~ In addition, the  
174 inclusion of rice straw provided an additional source for the carbon required by the  
175 microorganisms used. This is in agreement with another research work that optimised  
176 the production of cellulase by *Aspergillus funigatus* under SSF (Soni et al., 2010).  
177 Moreover, wheat bran has been also used as a supplement to soybean hulls for the  
178 production of cellulase using a mixed microbial culture of *Trichoderma reesei* and  
179 *Aspergillus oryzae* (Brijwani et al., 2010). Mixed cultures clearly showed their  
180 compatibility for hyper enzyme production.

181 Sugarcane bagasse, a waste product that is generated from the sugarcane  
182 industry in huge amounts, has been used evaluated as a substrate for the production of  
183 cellulase through SFF. Mekala et al. (2008) addressed the optimisation of environmental  
184 parameters and media for the fermentation by using *Trichoderma reesei* for enhancing  
185 the yield of the enzyme. A suitable SSF process has been developed for cellulase  
186 production with this cheap biomass resource as substrate. In addition, Cunha et al.  
187 (2012) have evaluated sugarcane bagasse as a substrate for the production of cellulase

188 through SSF and SmF. The fungus *Aspergillus niger* has been used as an inoculum in  
189 both methods. It was shown that SSF was superior compared to SmF, as in this first  
190 case the cellulase production was 3-fold higher. This was due to the fact that in SSF, the  
191 nutrients are more concentrate than in liquid media used in SmF, as previously  
192 explained (section 2.2.2.1). On the other hand cellulase production is controlled by  
193 feedback, i.e. the more substrate available the higher the enzyme yield. The advantage  
194 of SSF of this waste material is: first, this method is an economical process for the use  
195 of a lignocellulosic waste that exhibits a long-standing difficulty in the costs associated  
196 with the enzymatic hydrolysis of this material by other methods and second, SSF  
197 developed can go a long way in bringing down the cost of cellulases, which will  
198 eventually help to develop economical processes for bio-fuel production.

199         Direct utilization of complex untreated oil palm trunk, a cheap and abundant  
200 material, for cellulases and xylanase production by lignocellulosic degrading fungi such  
201 as *Aspergillus fumigatus* was evaluated under SSF (Ang et al., 2013). The palm trunk,  
202 which was isolated from cow dung, was used as sole carbon source for the fungus  
203 during the fermentation process. The ability to produce xylanases with high levels of  
204 cellulases was also shown. However, in future studies, there is still a need for statistical  
205 optimisation of all the parameters involved in the fermentation process.

206

### 207 **2.2.3. Wastes of fruit and vegetable industries**

208         The industry of fruits and vegetables is producing a high amount of wastes and  
209 therefore, it is interesting to use these materials in processes of SSF. Peels and pomace  
210 have been used for the production of enzymes. These enzymes include mainly  
211 cellulases, xylanases, pectinases and proteases.

212         Potato peels have been determined as one of the best substrates among various

213 agro-industrial wastes for the production of alkaline proteases by *Bacillus subtilis*  
214 (Mukherjee et al., 2008). Interestingly, citrus peels were chosen as a substrate for the  
215 production of enzymes because it is an important agroindustrial by-product that offers  
216 several carbon sources required for the growth of microorganisms and for the  
217 production of phytases, pectinases and xylanases (Mamma et al., 2008).  
218 Microorganisms readily use this waste in fermentations due to its rich composition,  
219 especially due to its high content of organic matter, which is about 80%, being total  
220 dietary fibres (above 50%) free sugars and pectin the main compounds. This  
221 composition justifies the use of citrus peels as inducing substrate for the production of  
222 multienzyme complexes, without the need for the addition of pectic materials as  
223 inducers to the media used in the fermentation (Kang et al., 2004).

224         In addition, citrus peel is the major solid waste that is generated by the citrus  
225 processing industry, which represents approximately more than the half of the fresh fruit  
226 weight. Accordingly, the disposal of this by-product poses a big challenge to the fruit  
227 industry, where this waste is mostly pelletised and employed as animal feed or pectin  
228 precursor. This waste has been successfully used by Rodriguez-Fernandez et al. (2011)  
229 for producing pectinase and xylanase by *Aspergillus niger* through SSF. The kinetics of  
230 microbial growth related to the synthesis of the enzymes has been determined.  
231 Moreover, citrus waste has been also utilised for the production of phytase by the same  
232 fungus and a scale-up process was achieved (Rodriguez-Fernandez et al., 2012, 2013).

233         Pomace of fruits and vegetables has been recently used as substrate for the  
234 production of protease and cellulase through the process of SSF. Apple pomace was the  
235 substrate for obtaining cellulase through the fermentation by *Aspergillus niger* (Dhillon  
236 et al., 2012a,b). Results showed a rapid bioproduction of fungal cellulase using this low  
237 cost waste material especially with a supplementation of inducers such as lactose.

238 Tomato pomace has been also used as a substrate in SSF for the production of protease  
239 by the same genus of fungi (Belmessikh et al., 2013). The use of this tomato waste  
240 constitutes an efficient and inexpensive substrate for the enzyme production and a  
241 suitable mean for the waste valorisation towards an attempt for reducing the ecological  
242 impact.

243

### 244 **3. Microorganisms used in SSF**

245 This section deals with the research work performed on the microorganisms  
246 used in the processes of SSF and the substrates and end-products obtained (Table 2).  
247 The microorganisms used in the SSF processes for the production of enzymes are fungi  
248 and bacteria, mainly *Aspergillus* spp. and *Bacillus* spp., respectively, which will be  
249 discussed in detail.

250

#### 251 **3.1. Fungi**

252 Fungi are the best adapted microbial species reported in most recent studies for  
253 the production of enzymes through SSF. This is due to the ability of these  
254 microorganisms to grow on surfaces of solid wastes and penetrate into the inter-particle  
255 spaces of the substrates. The fungal hyphae can also penetrate some solid structure of  
256 the matrix. The fungal genera used are *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium* and *Rhizopus* (Table 2).  
257 The fungal genus *Aspergillus* has a broad range of species that have been used in the  
258 processes of SSF. These species include *A. niger*, *A. oryzae*, *A. terreus*, *A. fumigatus*, *A.*  
259 *foetidus*, *A. sojae* and *A. candidus*, where the most frequently used fungus in SSF is *A.*  
260 *niger*, a filamentous mesophilic fungus. This fungus was used to produce a multi-  
261 enzyme preparation containing pectinolytic, cellulolytic, and xylanolytic enzymes under  
262 SSF process on citrus peels (Mamma et al., 2008). This process was enhanced by the

263 optimization of initial pH of the culture medium and moisture levels. Most importantly  
264 is the water activity, which limits the microbial growth. After the SSF process, the  
265 fermented substrate was either directly exposed to auto hydrolysis or new materials  
266 were added, and the in situ produced multi-enzyme systems were successfully used for  
267 the partial degradation of orange peel polysaccharides. Fermentable sugars were  
268 liberated, which could be converted to bioethanol. In a more recent study on SSF using  
269 the same substrate and fungus, the production of these enzymes were optimised based  
270 on aeration conditions to allow for a sufficient amount of oxygen that is required for the  
271 growth of the microorganism and the removal of CO<sub>2</sub> and metabolic heat (Rodriguez-  
272 Fernandez et al., 2011). In addition, a mathematical model was applied to determine the  
273 different kinetic parameters related to SSF.

274 *Aspergillus niger* was used in SSF for the production of citric acid and cellulase  
275 enzyme, by using apple pomace and apple pomace ultrafiltration sludge, which are by-  
276 products from the apple processing industry (Dhillon et al., 2011; Dhillon et al., 2012a).  
277 The addition of 3-4% of ethanol and methanol to the apple pomace substantially  
278 increased the values of the citric acid attained. The cellulase obtained, after its recovery  
279 being optimized using various extraction solvents, was used for the saccharification of  
280 apple pomace and brewer's spent grain. Sugarcane bagasse was also used for the  
281 production of this enzyme by SSF, where a combination of SSF and submerged  
282 fermentation was shown to be superior to the conventional submerged method due to  
283 the improved assimilation of sugarcane bagasse and fungal growth morphology (Cunha  
284 et al., 2012). The concentration of the substrate was fundamental in the comparison. The  
285 germination of the fungi on a solid-state medium allowed for the development of a  
286 dispersed filamentous form, which resulted in superior cell-to-substrate interaction and  
287 accordingly a higher production of the enzyme. In addition, cellulase was produced by

288 using SSF of various wastes, agricultural and kitchen wastes such as corn cobs, carrot  
289 peelings, composite, grass, leaves, fruit peels, rice husk, sugarcane bagasse, saw dust,  
290 wheat bran and wheat straw (Bansal et al., 2012). Of all the substrates tested, it was  
291 found that wheat bran is the most suited substrate for a high production of cellulase. *A.*  
292 *niger* was also used in the production of proteases and lipases (Paranthaman et al.,  
293 2009; Colla et al., 2010; Edwinoliver et al., 2010). For instance, Paranthaman et al.  
294 (2009) studied the production of protease using rice brokens and rice mill wastes as  
295 substrates in SSF. The protease obtained could be commercially used in detergents and  
296 leather industry.

297 *A. oryzae* was used in SSF for the production of cellulase, proteases and  
298 xylanases. Thanapimmeth et al. (2012) showed that it is feasible to use deoiled *Jatropha*  
299 *curcas*, a major energy crop in Thailand used for biodiesel, seed cake as a substrate in  
300 the process of SSF after the optimisation of the conditions of moisture, inoculum and  
301 temperature. Recently, Pirota et al. (2013) used a new strain of *Aspergillus oryzae*  
302 isolated from the Amazon rain forest in SSF processes in the production of xylanases.  
303 The substrate used was wheat bran and the production of xylanase was on a lab scale  
304 with a possibility of scaling up of the process. *Aspergillus oryzae* was also used in mixed  
305 cultures in the production of enzymes by SSF. This fungus was used with *Aspergillus*  
306 *giganteus*, *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* and *Trichoderma virens* in SSF on cotton  
307 seed-coat fragment waste as substrate (Csizar et al., 2007). The enzyme complexes  
308 produced were composed of hydrolytic and oxidative enzymes, such as cellulases and  
309 xylanases. *Aspergillus oryzae* was also used with *Aspergillus awamori* or *Trichoderma*  
310 *reesei* in the production of glucoamylase and protease or cellulase enzymes via SSF,  
311 using wheat bran which is a waste product of the wheat milling industry, or soybean  
312 hulls as substrate, respectively (Du et al., 2008; Brijwani et al., 2010).

313

### 314 **3.2 Bacteria**

315 In general, bacteria are not widely used in the production of enzymes through  
316 SSF. The bacteria are mainly of the genus *Bacillus* (Table 2), specifically its species  
317 *subtilis*, *licheniformis*, *pumilus* and *firmus*, which have been used in the production of  
318 amylases, proteases, lichenases and xylanases (Mukherjee et al., 2008, 2009; Nimkar et  
319 al., 2010; Kapilan & Arasaratnam, 2011; Chaari et al., 2012). The waste materials used  
320 as substrate in the SSF were mainly agrowastes, such as potato peel and pea pomace,  
321 and chicken feather that is considered an animal waste by-product. *B. subtilis* was most  
322 often used in SSF processes. This bacterial species was used in SSF for the production  
323 of proteases and  $\alpha$ -amylases.

324 Amylase was successfully produced using wheat and rice bran as substrate  
325 materials for the SSF process after optimisation of the various parameters such as pH  
326 and temperature (Nimkar et al., 2010). In addition, Mukherjee et al. (2009) found that  
327 potato peel, which is considered as a novel inexpensive substrate, was the best waste  
328 material among agro-industrial waste residues to be used for the production of amylase  
329 due to its high starch contents and the absence of mono-saccharides. This waste material  
330 was combined with other agrowastes such as grass and protein sources, which allowed  
331 for the production of protease by using the same species of *Bacillus* (Mukherjee et al.,  
332 2008). Recently, potato peel was also utilised by the bacterium *Bacillus firmus*, isolated  
333 from marine sediment of Parangipettai coast, to produce thermostable alkaline amylase  
334 by SSF process at optimised process conditions (Elayaraja et al., 2011).

335

### 336 **4. Enzymes produced by SSF, their process conditions and applications**

337 In general enzymes have been extensively produced by submerged fermentation

338 (SmF) and have been commercially available since many decades (Anwar &  
339 Saleemuddin, 1998; Pandey et al. 2003; Queiroga et al., 2012). Recently, the production  
340 of enzymes on solid state fermentation has been implemented in order to reduce the  
341 costs involved, especially if residues are used as substrates, and enhance the field of  
342 application (Sandhya et al., 2005; Kumar et al., 2009). However, there is indeed few  
343 research work developed on bench scale solid substrate fermentation, as the majority of  
344 this research was conducted on few grams of substrate materials, i.e. on a lab-scale. In  
345 addition, some research work was performed in media with high moisture contents of  
346 more than 70%, which might be due to some solid substrates that are able to retain high  
347 moisture levels, whereas SSF is defined as fermentation being performed in the absence  
348 or nearly absence of free water (Pandey et al. 2003).

349 This section is discussing in detail the following enzymes; lipases, proteases,  
350 cellulases and xylanases and other enzymes, such as fucoidanase and pectinases that are  
351 obtained through the process of SSF. In addition, there will be a detailed description on  
352 the conditions of the fermentation process and the various applications of lipases,  
353 proteases, cellulases and xylanases.

354

## 355 **4.1. Enzymes**

### 356 **4.1.1. Lipases**

357 Lipases (triacylglycerol acylhydrolase, EC 3.1.1.3) are enzymes capable of  
358 catalyzing the hydrolysis of triacylglycerols to glycerol and fatty acids at an oil-water  
359 interface and reactions of esterification, transesterification and interesterification of  
360 lipids (Sharma et al., 2001). In recent years, there has been an increasing interest in the  
361 study of lipases mainly due to their potential applications to a wide range of industrial  
362 sectors (Hasan et al., 2006; 2009). In the chemistry and pharmaceutical industries,



363 lipases are used in the production of surfactants, detergents and antibiotics; whereas in  
364 the food industry these enzymes are used to synthesize emulsifiers and develop  
365 flavours. Commercially useful lipases are typically obtained as microbial extracellular  
366 enzymes. However, since lipases are products of industrial interest, their production  
367 must be coupled with low cost processes. These enzymes would be economically  
368 manufactured in processes of SSF that utilize residues as substrates and that give high  
369 yields

370         The substrates used, which varied in amount from few grams up to 3 kg, in the  
371 processes of SSF for the production of lipases are oil wastes, wheat bran and sludge  
372 (Table 2). The activity of the lipase varies to a very high extent; the range of this  
373 activity is from 4.5 to 120,000 U/g. Wastes of oil, such as solid wastes from the  
374 production of vegetable oils (oil cakes), are one of the common waste materials used as  
375 substrates for the production of lipase by SSF. Oil cakes are good supports for microbial  
376 growth necessary during the process of SSF, because this waste has excellent sources of  
377 proteinaceous nutrients needed for the microbial fermentation, i.e. requiring low or no  
378 supplementation (Ramachandran et al., 2006). This waste material has also another  
379 advantage. It is inexpensive and available in high amounts from the oil industry.

380         Oil cakes of coconut, ground nut, mustard, linseed and neem has been used as  
381 substrates in SSF in the presence of *B. subtilis* for the production of extracellular lipase  
382 on a lab-scale using 10 g of waste materials (Chaturvedi et al., 2010). It was observed  
383 that the nature of the substrate significantly influenced the impact of initial moisture  
384 content and therefore affected the process of SSF. The physical nature and water  
385 holding capacity of the substrate are important criteria for its use in SSF process  
386 because the moisture content is an important factor that determines the microbial growth  
387 and activity of the enzyme. In another study of Edwinoliver et al. (2010), coconut oil

388 cake was also used and mixed with wheat bran and rawa for the production of lipase  
389 through SSF in the presence of *A. niger*. The scaling up of the process was possible  
390 from lab-scale to bench scale using up to 3 kg of wastes as substrates. The SSF process  
391 led to a maximum activity of the lipase of 745.7 U/g. In addition, babassu cake  
392 supplemented with sugar cane molasses as a substrate and the fungus *Penicillium*  
393 *simplicissimum* were used for the production of lipase, on a lab-scale, with a maximum  
394 activity of 314 U/g through SSF (Guturra et al., 2009). This fungus has been also used  
395 in SSF for the production of lipase, but by using other oil wastes such as soybean cake  
396 and castor bean waste showing an enzymatic activity of 317 and 80.24 U/g respectively  
397 (Rigo et al., 2009; Godoy et al., 2011).

398         The oil cake of biodiesel crops, which contain about 50% oil called biocrude that  
399 can be converted into biodiesel by esterification, are also used as substrates for the  
400 production of lipase enzymes through SSF. Lipase was produced by using Niger oil  
401 cake, as it is rich in various nutrients such as fatty acids and sugars, through SSF on a  
402 lab-scale, where 5 to 10 g of wastes were used as substrate (Imandi et al., 2010). The  
403 marine yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* was used as an inoculum for the fermentation. There  
404 was a low enzyme activity obtained, with a maximum of 26.42 U/g. Another biodiesel  
405 crop called *Jatropha curcas*, a major energy crop in Thailand, was used by Mahanta et  
406 al. (2008) for the production of lipase through SSF by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. The  
407 seed cake supported good bacterial growth and enzyme production of an activity of 625  
408 U/g, due to the composition of this cake that contains a high content of fat and fibres.

409         Winterisation residue from oil refinery and raw sludge were used as solid  
410 matrices for the processes of SSF for lipase production on a bench scale using 2.5 kg of  
411 waste materials, where the fermentation was dependent on the microbial consortium  
412 present (Santis-Navarro et al., 2011). Winterisation residue was used a source of fat and

413 the sludge was added as co-substrate and inoculum. It was reported that the lipolytic  
414 activity of the enzyme obtained reached a maximum of 120,000 U/g in the fermented  
415 solid, which is substantially higher than activities reported in other research on SSF.  
416 This highlights the possibility to work with solid wastes as effective biocatalysts, a topic  
417 that has been scarcely treated in SSF literature.

418

#### 419 **4.1.2. Proteases**

420 Proteases (EC 3.4.21-24), which are hydrolases that catalyze the cleavage of  
421 peptide bonds in proteins, are a highly complex group of enzymes that differ in their  
422 substrate specificity and catalytic mechanism (Sumantha et al., 2006; Turk, 2006).  
423 These enzymes are classified into three main categories; alkaline, neutral and acid  
424 proteases on the basis of pH range in which their activities are optimal. Therefore,  
425 proteases are the most important industrial enzymes that account for about 60% of the  
426 world market of industrial enzymes. The importance of these enzymes is reflected in  
427 their tremendous applications in both physiological and commercial fields, for example  
428 in detergent formulations, textile, food, and pharmaceutical industries (Queiroga et al.,  
429 2012).

430 The preferred source of proteases is microorganisms, rather than plant and  
431 animal tissues, to their broad biochemical diversity and their susceptibility to genetic  
432 manipulation (Ellaiah et al., 2002). Among microbes, fungi as enzyme producers have  
433 many advantages, since they could be mostly GRAS (generally regarded as safe) strains  
434 and the produced enzymes are extracellular, which makes easy its recuperation from the  
435 fermentation broth. Accordingly, the overall cost of the production of a complex group  
436 of enzymes is very high, mainly due to low yield of enzymes because most of the costs  
437 are related to the recovery and purification. Additionally, there are other high costs

438 associated with the substrates, i.e. the commercial media required (Singhania et al.,  
439 2009). Therefore, development of novel processes to increase the yield of proteases  
440 coupled with lowering down these costs are highly appreciable. Furthermore, proteases  
441 produced by using commercial media possess undesirable flavours, which are  
442 unsuitable for applications in food and pharmaceutical industries. Therefore, during the  
443 recent years, efforts have been directed to explore the means to reduce the protease  
444 production costs through improving the yield and the use of cost-free or low-cost  
445 substrates such as agricultural waste materials in processes of SSF for the production of  
446 proteases.

447         The waste materials used as substrates, which highly varied from 5 g to 1.4 kg,  
448 in the processes of SSF for the production of proteases are mainly of plant origin, such  
449 as potato peel, soy fibres, tomato pomace and wheat bran or of animal origin like  
450 tannery solid wastes, chicken feather and cow dung (Table 2). The activity of the  
451 enzyme obtained through SSF also highly varied from around 20 to more than 50,000  
452 U/g.

453         Several studies on the utilisation of residues of plant origin in the production of  
454 proteases through SSF were carried out on wheat bran. Merheb-Dini et al. (2010) used  
455 the microorganism *Thermomucor indicae-seudaticae* in the presence of wheat bran and  
456 wheat bran mixed with casein at a ratio of 80:20 respectively for the production of  
457 protease with an enzymatic activity of 168 U/g. In addition, wheat bran has been used as  
458 substrate in the production of protease with a maximum activity of 5-20 U/g by a fungal  
459 strain of *Schizophyllum commune* and *Myceliophthora* sp. (Boyce & Walsh, 2012;  
460 Zanthorlin et al., 2011). Mukherjee et al. (2008) screened various agro-industrial and  
461 kitchen waste materials of plant origin, such as oil cake, wheat and rice bran, grass,  
462 banana leaves, potato peels and used tea leaves, for the use as substrate for protease

463 production through SSF by *Bacillus subtilis*. It was found that the substrates of potato  
464 peel and grass led to the production of proteases with the highest protease activity of up  
465 to 2,383 U/g. In another study by Abraham et al. (2013), the effect of three agro-  
466 industrial residues was examined; hair waste, coffee husk and soy fibre. Soy fibre  
467 presented the highest yield for protease production showing an enzymatic activity of  
468 47,331 U/g. Recently, tomato pomace was used in a comparative study of protease  
469 production by cultivating *Aspergillus oryzae* in SSF and submerged fermentation  
470 (Belmessikh et al., 2013). The results obtained showed a highest enzymatic activity of  
471 21,309 U/g in case of the process of SSF. There were recent few studies on the  
472 utilisation of residues of *Jatropha curcas* (oil cake), which is a major energy crop that  
473 cannot be used in nutrition or animal feed due to its toxicity. Mahanta et al. (2008) and  
474 Thanapimmetha et al. (2012) investigated the potential utilisation of this oil cake as  
475 substrate for protease production by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Aspergillus oryzae*,  
476 respectively. The results demonstrated that the utilisation of this waste material for the  
477 enzyme production was a viable approach, with an activity of about 2,000 up to 14,000  
478 U/g. Moreover, Chutmanop et al. (2008) compared the use of *Jatropha* oil cake with  
479 wheat and rice bran as substrates in SSF for the production of proteases under the same  
480 fermentation conditions and by using the same inoculum of *Aspergillus oryzae*.  
481 Interestingly, it was found that the protease activity produced by the oil cake was 30-  
482 40% higher than that of wheat and rice bran, due to the fact that this cake has a very  
483 high protein content that can be utilised by the microorganism for the production of the  
484 enzyme.

485 Residues of animal origin, tannery waste and cow dung, have been utilised in  
486 SSF process in the research work of Kumar et al. (2009) and Vijayaraghavan et al.  
487 (2012), respectively. Tannery solid wastes, which consist of hide trimmings and limed

488 animal fleshing, was considered as a proteinaceous substrate for the production of  
489 proteases, with activities up to 755 U/g, under SSF by using *Synergistes* sp. Similarly,  
490 hair waste from the tanning industry mixed with raw sludge from waste water treatment,  
491 without the need for inoculations of pure microorganisms, were valorised for the  
492 production of protease, where a maximum enzymatic activity of 56,270 U/g was  
493 reached (Abraham et al., 2014). Cow dung was used in the presence of an inoculum of  
494 *Halomonas* sp. leading to the production of proteases of a relatively high activity of  
495 1,351 U/g, which was substantially higher compared to other waste materials of plant  
496 origin that have been used under the same process conditions.

497

#### 498 **4.1.3. Cellulases and Xylanases**

499 Cellulose and xylan are the first two most abundant natural biopolymers, which  
500 are most dominating agricultural wastes (Zhang, 2008). The lignocellulosic biomass of  
501 most plants consist of mainly cellulose (a homologous polymer of glucose linked by  $\beta$ -  
502 1-4 glycosidic bonds); lesser hemicelluloses (a heterologous polymer of 5- and 6-carbon  
503 sugars with sugar acids) that contains principally xylan; and finally lignin (a complex  
504 aromatic polymer). Cellulose, only its amorphous form, is synergistically hydrolysed by  
505 a complex enzyme system named as cellulases; such as cellobiohydrolase or  
506 exoglucanase, carboxymethylcellulase or endoglucanase and cellobiase or  $\beta$ -glucosidase  
507 (EC 3.2.1.91, 3.2.1.4 and 3.2.1.21 respectively), while the degradation of xylan requires  
508 various enzymes; essentially endo-1-4,- $\beta$ -xylanase (EC 3.2.1.8) and to some extent  $\beta$ -  
509 xylosidase,  $\alpha$ -glucuronidase,  $\alpha$ -L-arabinofuranosidase and acetylxylan esterases (Maki  
510 et al., 2009; Van Dyk & Pletschke, 2012). The lignocellulosic biomass, as it can be  
511 degraded, it is a renewable and abundant resource in agricultural industry, with an  
512 appropriate treatment, with great potential for bioconversion to value-added

513 bioproducts. Therefore, cellulases and xylanase are now considered as a major group of  
514 industrial enzymes that have various industrial applications.

515 Techniques of fermentation, due to their economic and environmental  
516 advantages, have been widely used for a feasible production of cellulases and xylanase  
517 (Subramaniyam & Vimala, 2012). The most frequently used techniques are SmF and  
518 SSF, where the latter being the most beneficial due to the use or recycle of wastes that  
519 are cheap and highly available.

520 As previously mentioned, cellulose and xylan are present in plants and therefore  
521 the substrates used for the production of the enzymes of cellulases and xylan are only of  
522 plant origin (Table 5). These substrates are wastes of soybean, wheat, rice, corn, cotton,  
523 sugarcane bagasse and fruits such as apple, as well as residues from wood industries.  
524 The yield of the cellulases represented for 3 enzymes as activities of filter paper (FPase)  
525 for cellobiohydrolase or exoglucanase, carboxy methylcellulase (CMCase) for  
526 carboxymethylcellulase or endoglucanase and  $\beta$ -glucosidase (BGase) for cellobiase or  
527  $\beta$ -glucosidase. The yield for xylanase is shown for the activity of endo-1-4,- $\beta$ -xylanase.

528 Soybean hulls have been used as a substrate for the production of cellulases and  
529 xylanase through SSF by a mixed culture of *A. oryzae* and *Trichoderma reesei*  
530 (Brijwani et al., 2010, 2011). The maximum enzymatic activity obtained was 101 and  
531 505 U/g for the carboxymethyl cellulase and xylanase, respectively. Results revealed  
532 that the additional use of wheat bran in the substrate positively affected the enzymatic  
533 activities obtained through the fermentation process. The SSF process was proven to be  
534 a valuable technique for producing a system of cellulases and xylanase enzymes with  
535 balanced activities, which were able to efficiently saccharify lignocellulosic biomass.  
536 Wheat bran, untreated and without any supplements, as a sole substrate has been also  
537 evaluated for the production of cellulolytic enzymes through SSF by using the same

538 microbial culture as inoculum. For instance, Bansal et al. (2012) and Dhillon et al.  
539 (2011) achieved an enzymatic activity for carboxymethyl cellulase and xylanase up to  
540 about 300 and 2,700 U/g.

541 Wastes of rice, such as the straw and husk, have been recently utilised as  
542 substrate materials during the fermentation by *A. oryzae* and *Trichoderma reesei* for the  
543 production of enzymes. Rice straw supplemented with wheat bran in the ratio of 3:2  
544 resulted in the highest enzymatic activity of up to 132 U/g for carboxymethyl cellulase,  
545 whereas the xylanase reached a very high activity of 3,106 U/g (Dhillon et al., 2011). In  
546 similar studies, the fungus *Aspergillus fumigatus* has been used as inoculum for the  
547 process of fermentation, where a cellulolytic activity of up to 251 U/g for  $\beta$ -glucosidase  
548 enzyme and 2,782 U/g for xylanase have been reported (Soni et al., 2010).

549 The feasibility of using apple pomace for cellulase production under SSF was  
550 evaluated. The fermentation by *Trichoderma* sp. and a supplement of lactose and corn-  
551 steep solid allowed for obtaining of an enzyme activity with a maximum of 7.6 U/g for  
552 the exoglucanase (Sun et al., 2010). This activity substantially increased to above 130  
553 U/g and an activity of carboxymethyle cellulase of about 150-170 U/g was also  
554 reported, using an inoculum of *Aspergillus niger* and especially when lactoserum, which  
555 is a source of lactose, was added as a moistening medium (Dhillon et al., 2012 a,b).  
556 There was also a high activity of xylanase of 2,619 U/g obtained.

557

#### 558 **4.1.4. Other Enzymes**

559 In addition to the enzymes of lipase, protease, cellulases and xylanase that were  
560 discussed in detail in previous sections (4.1-4.3), there are other enzymes obtained  
561 through SSF processes. These enzymes include mostly glucoamylase, pectinase and  
562 inulinase, which will be discussed in this section. There has been also few research



563 studies performed on the production of certain proteolytic enzyme with a mycotoxin  
564 hydrolytic activity, named as ochratoxin A (OTA)-hydrolysing enzyme, and  
565 fucoidanase (Abrunhosa et al., 2011; Rodriguez-Jasso et al., 2013, respectively). The  
566 latter is able to hydrolyse marine hetero-polysaccharides, called as fucoidans, that have  
567 a wide range of biological activity, e.g. anticoagulant, antithrombotic and  
568 antiproliferative activities.

569

#### 570 **4.1.4.1. Glucoamylase**

571       Glucoamylase belongs to the amylases enzymes that hydrolyse polysaccharides,  
572 such as starch and its degradation products, into molecules of glucose, maltose and  
573 dextrin. Amylases are one of the important enzymes in the industry due to their diverse  
574 applications, e.g. in the food (bakery products), paper, textiles, pharmaceutical and  
575 detergents industries (Botella et al., 2009). These enzymes are classified into  $\alpha$ -amylase  
576 (EC 3.2.1.1),  $\beta$ -amylase (EC 3.2.1.2) and glucoamylase (EC 3.2.1.3), which is known as  
577 amyloglucosidase or  $\gamma$ -amylase (Norouzian et al., 2006). This enzyme, which  
578 hydrolyses  $\alpha$ -1,4- and  $\alpha$ -1,6-glucosidic linkages at the non-reducing ends of  
579 polysaccharides, has been recently produced through SSF by using species of the fungal  
580 genus *Aspergillus*. Melikoglu et al. (2013) utilised waste bread as a substrate for the  
581 production of this enzyme. At optimum process conditions, such as a moisture of 60%  
582 and an incubation period of 144 h, it was possible to obtain an activity of glucoamylase  
583 of up to 114 U/g. Moreover, protease enzyme was also obtained through the process of  
584 fermentation. Accordingly, this study shows that waste bread could be successfully used  
585 as a primary substrate for obtaining enzymes. In another study, the production of  
586 glucoamylase was presented by using substrates of agro-residues of rice wastes, wheat  
587 bran, cotton seeds, corn steep solids, sugarcane bagasse and edible oil cakes (Zambare,

588 2010). The optimisation of the SSF process showed that the highest enzyme activity  
589 obtained of ~ 2,000 U/g was with a substrate of wheat bran at a moisture content of 50%  
590 and pH of 6, after an incubation of 120 h.

591

#### 592 **4.1.4.2. Pectinases**

593 Pectinases consist of endo- and exo-polygalacturonases (EC 3.2.1.15 and  
594 EC 3.2.1.67/82, respectively) and are enzymes that degrade pectin, a complex  
595 heteropolysaccharide containing galacturonic acid residues that is a principal  
596 component of the middle lamella and primary cell wall of higher plants (El-Sheekh et  
597 al., 2009). These enzymes are therefore of great importance to the food industry as they  
598 are predominantly used in the clarification of juices, as well as to textile and plant fibre  
599 processing industries. In addition, pectinases are applied as food additive for  
600 monogastric animals, such as food for pets.

601 An economical and feasible alternative for the production of pectinases is SSF,  
602 where it has been found that species of the fungus *Aspergillus* are one of the  
603 microorganisms that are able to produce these enzymes during the fermentation. Demir  
604 and Tari (2014) found that wheat bran, among various agro industrial wastes, was the  
605 most suitable substrate for the production of polygalacturonase using *Aspergillus sojae*.  
606 The optimum process conditions that favoured the enzyme production were 4 days of  
607 fermentation time at a temperature of 37°C and initial moisture of 62% which resulted  
608 in an enzyme activity of up to 536 U/g. In addition, waste products of citrus fruits were  
609 used as substrates for the production of pectinases by *Aspergillus niger*. The feasibility  
610 of using citrus peels was evaluated in a bench-scale bioreactor (Rodriguez-Fernandez et  
611 al., 2011). A mathematical model was applied to determine the different kinetic  
612 parameters related to the enzyme production through SSF. The best conditions for

613 pectinase production were at 60% initial moisture and a pH of 5.0 at and 30°C. The  
614 maximum activity of pectinase of up to 265 U/g was produced after a fermentation time  
615 of 3 days. Ruiz et al. (2012) utilised lemon peel pomace as substrate in a laboratory  
616 scale bioreactor at the same condition but with a moisture content of 70%. Results  
617 showed that high levels of pectinase activities were obtained, up to a maximum of more  
618 than 2,000 U/g, which suggested this process as very promising for pectinase  
619 production.

620

#### 621 **4.1.4.3. Inulinase**

622 Inulinases, most commonly known as endo-inulinase (EC 3.2.1.7), are enzymes  
623 that hydrolyse inulin into fructose (Chi et al., 2009). The application of these enzymes  
624 are in the production of high fructose syrups and fructooligosaccharides, which are  
625 compounds with high nutritional values and therefore can be used in low-calorie diets  
626 and as a source of dietary fibres in food preparations. Although the inulinases could be  
627 obtained from vegetable and animal sources, microorganisms such as *Aspergillus*,  
628 *Kluyveromyces* and *Staphylococcus* are the best sources for the commercial production  
629 of inulinases. This is due to their easy production and high yields obtained. SSF could  
630 be one of the useful approaches for the production of these enzymes. *Kluyveromyces*  
631 *marxianus* was utilised as inoculum for the fermentation in recent studies. Dilipkumar et  
632 al. (2013) obtained inulinase using pressmud as substrate, where parameters like air  
633 flow rate and particle size were optimised, leading to a maximum enzyme activity of ~  
634 300 U/g. Sugarcane bagasse was also used as a substrate for the production of the  
635 enzyme with a maximum activity of 590 U/g (Astolfi et al., 2011). The optimised  
636 temperature and moisture was 30C and 65% respectively, at a fermentation time of 24 h.  
637 The study showed the technical feasibility of the process of production of inulinase

638 through SSF.

639

## 640 **4.2. Process conditions**

641

642 The production of enzymes through SSF required the study of process  
643 conditions suitable for the enzyme production, such as temperature, incubation time,  
644 pH, moisture content, and types and inoculum levels of pure strain bacteria or fungi  
645 added or other sources of microorganisms, e.g. raw sludge.

### 646 **4.2.1. Lipase**

647 These conditions for the production of lipase were at temperatures of about 20-  
648 30 or higher than 45°C, neutral pH and moisture levels of 50 to 75% (Table 3). The  
649 microorganisms used as inoculum required for the fermentation are fungi such as  
650 *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium*, *Yarrowia* yeast and *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* bacteria.  
651 Depending on the scale, i.e. amount of substrate used, the optimum temperature for the  
652 fermentation was 20 up to 45°C for an incubation period of 2 to 20 days, at pH values of  
653 4.5-8 and moisture levels of 50-70%.

654 The optimisation of the production of lipase through SSF has been studied,  
655 mainly on a lab-scale, using experimental designs such as Plackett-Burman and central  
656 composite designs. Rigo et al (2009) studied the lipase production through SSF by using  
657 *Penicillium* sp. and soybean meal as substrate. Initially, the effect of different carbon-to-  
658 nitrogen ratios (C/N ratios) on lipase production was evaluated and it was considered  
659 6.11 as optimum. Furthermore, the optimum conditions found were at a temperature of  
660 20°C for a fermentation period of 5 days. Higher lipase activities were found in a wide  
661 range of pH from 4.0 to 9.0, with a pH of 7 as optimum by using the substrate of  
662 soybean and *Penicillium* sp. as inoculum. The moisture level used during SSF was 75%.  
663 In another study by Mahanta et al. (2008), the production of lipase through SSF by

664 using oil cake and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* has been optimised for moisture content  
665 (29 - 80%), incubation time (24 - 144 h) and pH (6.0 - 8.5). It was observed that the  
666 optimum moisture level was at 50%. A higher level of moisture content causes a  
667 decrease in porosity, development of stickiness and an increase in the chances of  
668 contamination and, accordingly, a decrease in the gas exchange occurs. A lower level of  
669 moisture led to sub-optimal microbial growth during the fermentation and a lower  
670 degree of the swelling of substrate. The highest yield of the enzyme production was at  
671 120 hours of incubation and there was no significant effect of pH on the lipase  
672 production. Imanti et al. (2010) have used *Yarrowia* yeast in the fermentation process  
673 and reported that the moisture content was optimised at a level of 60%. The incubation  
674 time at which the highest lipase production was obtained was 96 hours as longer periods  
675 led to the depletion of nutrients, accumulation of toxic end products, and the change in  
676 pH or loss of moisture and shorter incubation times were not sufficient for the microbial  
677 growth and hence the lipase production. The effect of pH on the lipase production in the  
678 presence of *Bacillus subtilis* as inoculum was studied by Chaturvedi et al. (2010). The  
679 lipase activity increased when increasing the pH from 6 to 8 and on further increase of  
680 pH to 9 and 10, the lipase activity decreased. This shows that the optimum pH for the  
681 lipase production was around a pH of 8.

682 In another approach of optimisation, Garlapati et al. (2010) have used modelling  
683 combined with optimization as two vital steps for maximizing the efficacy of SSF.  
684 Response Surface Methodology (RSM), a statistical technique which generates a  
685 mathematical model, coupled with Differential Evolution, which is an optimization  
686 technique, have been used. This approach has been used to maximise the lipolytic  
687 activity by *Rhizopus oryzae* through SSF. The maximum lipase activity was observed at  
688 35°C, 5.28, 60% and 116h for temperature, pH, moisture and incubation time,

689 respectively. These obtained results of optimization were experimentally validated and  
690 it was suggested that the developed model and optimization appear to be useful for the  
691 design and control of the extracellular lipase production through SSF by using this  
692 microorganism.

#### 693 **4.2.2. Protease**

694 The process conditions for the production of proteases were at mesophilic  
695 (30°C) up to thermophilic temperatures (50°C), pH levels of 6-8.5 and moisture levels  
696 of about 50% (Table 4). Fungi, such as species of *Aspergillus*, and bacteria, mainly  
697 *Bacillus subtilis* were the most predominant microorganisms used for the production of  
698 proteases. Concerning the scale of the production of the proteases, most of research  
699 work was done on a lab scale by using a maximum of 25 g of substrate, where an  
700 Erlenmyer flask was used as a reactor (Merheb-Dini et al., 2010; Zanphorlin et al.,  
701 2012; Boyce & Walsh, 2012; Vijayaraghavan et al., 2012). However, in recent research  
702 work by Abraham et al. (2013, 2014), a 4.5 l air tight reactor was used, working under  
703 near-adiabatic conditions, allowing for the use of 1.25 kg of solid substrate.

704 The initial moisture content required may vary depending upon the type of  
705 substrates and microorganisms used. However, it has to be considered that the keystone  
706 in SSF is to remove the metabolic heat produced during the fermentation in order to  
707 maintain constant moisture levels during the process, when saturated air is used for the  
708 cooling. The optimisation of the moisture content has been studied in the processes of  
709 SSF for the production of enzymes. For example, Mukherjee et al. (2008) found that  
710 50% initial moisture contents of the substrates of potato peels and grass were optimum  
711 for the production of protease by *B. subtilis*, whereas the optimum moisture in the case  
712 of wheat bran was 30%. Moreover, when *Jatropha* seed cake was used as substrate in  
713 the fermentation by *A. oryzae* at different levels of moisture of 45 to 55%, an optimum

714 moisture content of 45% was reported (Thanapimmetha et al., 2012).

715           There has been an optimisation for the source of carbon and nitrogen required by  
716 the microorganisms used as inoculum for the production of proteases during the process  
717 of SSF. In most processes of SSF, maltose and xylose were the optimum sources for  
718 carbon and yeast and beef extract, sodium nitrate and peptone for nitrogen, respectively.  
719 Mukherjee et al. (2008) tested several sources of carbon and nitrogen required for the  
720 growth of *B. subtilis*. The carbon sources were glucose, fructose, galactose, maltose,  
721 sucrose and lactose, being maltose the best source for obtaining the maximum enzyme  
722 activity of ~ 1,100 U/g, whereas the activities were sequentially 50 and 400 for  
723 galactose and lactose and glucose, fructose and sucrose. Additionally, it was found that  
724 beef extract, followed by yeast extract, rather than ammonium salts and casein, and  
725 served as the best nitrogen sources producing enzyme activities of ~ 1,400, 1,000, 420  
726 and 400 U/g, respectively. In a study by Mahanta et al. (2008) using *Jatropha* seed cake  
727 as substrate and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* as inoculum, it was also found that the  
728 enrichment with maltose compared to other sugars led to an increase in the production  
729 of protease. The best nitrogen source was peptone, where ammonium chloride and  
730 sodium nitrate were also tested. Recently, carbon sources such as glucose, lactose,  
731 trehalose, maltose, xylose and starch, and nitrogen sources such as gelatin, ammonium  
732 nitrate, peptone, yeast extract, urea and casein were evaluated for the fermentation by  
733 *Halomonas* sp. when a substrate of cow dung was used (Vijayaraghavan et al., 2012).  
734 The optimum enzyme production was achieved with a combination of xylose and yeast  
735 extract.

736           There have been different statistical methods used for the optimisation of various  
737 parameters, rather than individual optimisation, in the processes of SSF for the  
738 production of proteases. By adjusting the conditions to optimum levels, the protease

739 production increased up to 5 times compared to non-optimised experiments. Belmessikh  
740 et al. (2013) used the experimental designs of Plackett Burman and the Central  
741 Composite design for the study of the effect of five enrichment factors (wheat bran,  
742 casein, ammonium nitrate, sodium chloride and zinc sulphate) on the enzyme  
743 production with a substrate of tomato pomace by *A. oryzae*. It was reported that only  
744 two factors, casein and sodium chloride, had a significant effect on the production. This  
745 was due to the fact that during the fermentation process, casein could provide intact  
746 peptides that were necessary in the induction, whereas sodium chloride might have had  
747 a role in the protection of the enzyme from denaturation. In addition, the fermentation  
748 time was also optimised to 96 hours for the optimum production of protease. The  
749 optimised SSF led to a higher production of protease by about 1.5 times than non-  
750 optimised processes. Furthermore, optimization via Taguchi method was performed to  
751 evaluate the effect of five factors on the protease production by *A. oryzae*  
752 (Thanapimmetha et al., 2012). The effect of three different levels of five factors,  
753 including initial moisture content of the substrate used (*Jatropha* seed cake), inoculum  
754 size, temperature, type of porous substrate and fermentation time, were examined.  
755 These levels were as the following; moisture content (45%, 50% and 55%), inoculums  
756 size (1%, 5%, 10%), temperature (25°C, 30°C, 35°C), porous substrate (*Jatropha* oil  
757 cake, *Jatropha* oil cake mixed with coconut cake and *Jatropha* oil cake mixed with  
758 cassava bagasse, both mixtures with a ratio of 4:1), and time (84, 96 and 108 h). The  
759 optimum conditions for the protease production of up to a maximum of 14,273 U/g  
760 were 45% moisture content, 10% inoculum size, 30°C incubation temperature, *Jatropha*  
761 cake mixed with cassava bagasse as porous substrate at 84 h of fermentation time. This  
762 statistical approach provided a satisfactory outcome in defining the optimal conditions,  
763 as the optimised process led to an increase of 4.6 times in the protease yield. Rai et al.



764 (2009) reported the application of RSM for the optimization of the media composition  
765 for  $\beta$ -keratinase production by *Bacillus subtilis* using chicken-feather as substrate. The  
766 factors studied were the fermentation time (24 h, 48 h, 72 h, 96 h and 120 h), initial  
767 moisture content of the substrate (33%, 43%, 50%, 60%, 67% and 75%),  
768 supplementation with co-carbon sources (glucose, fructose, galactose, maltose, sucrose,  
769 lactose and starch at 10%) and co-nitrogen sources ( $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ ,  $\text{NaNO}_3$ , yeast extract, beef  
770 extract, casein and peptone at 1%) were studied. The optimized culture conditions were  
771 at a time of 71h, 50% moisture and with maltose and sodium nitrate as the best co-  
772 carbon and co-nitrogen sources, respectively. The results showed that the optimisation  
773 led to a 5-fold increase in the enzyme obtained, up to 95.3 U/g, compared to non-  
774 optimized conditions.

#### 775 **4.2.3. Cellulases and Xylanases**

776 The temperatures, pH and moisture used for the fermentation were mostly  
777 mesophilic (30°C) or slightly thermophilic (45 or 50°C), 4 to 7 and 50 to 80%,  
778 respectively (Table 5). The process conditions of pH and moisture were not controlled  
779 in a lot of studies. The enzymes are produced by a variety of microorganisms including  
780 bacteria, actinomycetes and fungi. However, in recent research works, fungi of the  
781 genera *Trichoderma* and *Aspergillus* have been reported as the most important  
782 microorganisms used as inocula for the process of SSF. Moreover, the effect of pre-  
783 treatment of the substrate used on the production of enzymes was studied.

784 Bansal et al. (2012) evaluated various process parameters during the  
785 fermentation by *Aspergillus niger* of agriculture and kitchen waste residues for the  
786 production of cellulase complex. The effect of acid and alkali pre-treatment of  
787 substrates used was studied. The alkali treatment led to increased yield of enzymes  
788 when the wastes, especially potato peels, were utilised as substrate compared to

789 untreated waste materials. This was mainly due to the fact that alkaline pre-treatment  
790 dissolve lignin present in the lignocellulosic waste and expose the cellulose and  
791 hemicellulose fractions for enzyme and microbial actions. Moreover, untreated  
792 substrates contain a variety of nutrients may probably have an inhibitory effect on the  
793 fermentation process and thus leading to a lesser production of enzymes. However, in  
794 the case of using wheat bran as substrate, the untreated waste induced the highest  
795 production of enzyme components. In addition, it was demonstrated that appreciable  
796 levels of enzymes could be produced over a wide range of temperatures (20-50°C) and  
797 pH (3.0-8.0), with an optimum of 30°C and 6.5 respectively, at initial moisture contents  
798 of 60%. These results were in agreement with other research work performed with the  
799 aim of producing cellulase. The same optimum pH of 6.5 was found to be the best pH  
800 for the enzyme production by the *A. niger* and when using municipal solid wastes as  
801 substrate (Gautam et al., 2011). The temperature of 30°C was found to be optimum for  
802 incubation of the fungus used as inoculum for the production of enzymes. Brijwani et  
803 al. (2010) reported 30°C as the optimum temperature during the fermentation using  
804 soybean hulls and wheat bran as substrates by *Aspergillus oryzae* and *Trichoderma*  
805 *reesei*, for the production of cellulase and  $\beta$ -glucosidase. This temperature, together  
806 with the optimised moisture and pH of 70% and 5 respectively, was used for scale-up  
807 processes and a further experimental analysis using novel bioreactor for the production  
808 of cellulase complex enzymes (Brijwani et al., 2011). In addition, Sun et al. (2010)  
809 found 32°C as the optimum temperature when evaluating the feasibility of using apple  
810 pomace as a substrate for cellulase production by *Trichoderma* sp.  
811 Thermophilic temperatures of about 50°C were also found to be optimum in the process  
812 of SSF for the production of cellulase complex enzymes by *Aspergillus fumigatus*,  
813 where lignocellulosic wastes were used as substrate. For instance, Liu et al. (2011)

814 optimised the cultivation conditions and results showed that for cellulases, both endo-  
815 and exoglucanase; the best conditions were at a temperature of 50°C, in the presence of  
816 an initial moisture of 80% and a pH of 4.0. Soni et al. (2010) reported the optimisation  
817 of cellulase production at 45°C, where the culture produced maximal levels of enzyme  
818 activity on a medium containing rice straw and beef extract as carbon and nitrogen  
819 source, respectively. It was also concluded that optimisation of the process of  
820 fermentation by mixing different substrates is a strategy for improvement of the  
821 production of cellulase enzymes.

822

### 823 **4.3. Applications**

#### 824 **4.3.1. Lipase**

825 Lipase enzyme produced by SSF has great biotechnological potential  
826 applications, mainly due to the thermophilic and thermostable properties. The enzyme  
827 has various applications in oil, pharmaceutical, food and chemical industries (Sharma &  
828 Hasan, 2006; Salihu et al., 2012). Recently, lipase produced by SSF was used in  
829 synthesis reactions, food applications and treatment of waste water (Table 6).

830 In synthesis reactions, lipases have an important application in the field of  
831 bioenergy, especially for the production of biodiesel which is currently an expanding  
832 sector in research and on industrial level. Lipase obtained through SSF of sugarcane  
833 bagasse and sunflower oil cake by *Burkholderia cepacia* was used to catalyse the  
834 synthesis of biodiesel in a fixed-bed reactor (Salum et al., 2010, Liu et al., 2013). This  
835 synthesis was through the ethanolysis of soybean oil in a medium free. It was possible  
836 to achieve a biodiesel yield of about 90% after 46 hours of reaction. Compared with  
837 some commercial lipases, this process avoids the need for expensive processing steps  
838 such as enzyme recuperation and immobilization and co-solvent separation and

839 therefore has potential to decrease the costs associated with enzyme-catalyzed synthesis  
840 of biodiesel. In addition, lipase produced by SSF, using *Rhizopus* sp. as a thermotolerant  
841 fungus, was used as a catalyst for the enzymatic esterification of oleic acid and ethanol  
842 (Martinez-Ruiz et al., 2008). Olive oil and perlite were used as an inducer and inert  
843 support, respectively. The results demonstrated that the lipase can be successfully used  
844 for the synthesis of ethyl oleate, with high etherification rates and substrate conversion,  
845 over short reaction periods under conditions when ethanol is in excess. Similarly,  
846 Hernandez-Rodriguez et al. (2009) showed that in addition to the lipase produced by  
847 *Rhizopus* sp., the enzyme produced by the thermophilic fungus *Rhizomucor* sp. through  
848 SSF can be used in the ethyl oleate synthesis reaction.

849 In food applications, lipase produced in SSF by *Rhizopus oryzae* and *Rhizopus*  
850 *microsporus*, on a mixture of sugarcane bagasse and sunflower seed meal, was used in  
851 interesterification processes of oils to produce fat products with desirable properties  
852 (Rasera et al., 2012). This enzyme was able to catalyze the interesterification of a  
853 mixture of palm stearin, palm kernel oil and a concentrate of triacylglycerols enriched  
854 with omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids. This application could be suitable for the  
855 production of edible fat products such as margarines and shortenings with low  
856 production costs. Another application of the lipase produced by SSF was in the  
857 bioremediation of the waste cooking oil (Kumar et al., 2012). The enzyme was  
858 produced by *Penicillium chrysogenum* in the presence of wheat bran and waste grease.  
859 The results showed that the enzyme could be employed for the bioremediation of used  
860 cooking oil such as soya, canola, sunflower and corn oil that contain polyunsaturated  
861 oils, which degrade to toxic compounds upon heating.

862 Wastewater has been treated by a lipase enzymatic preparation, with 0.1% (w/v)  
863 of solid enzymatic preparation at 30°C for 24 h, produced by *Penicillium* sp. during

864 solid-state in an anaerobic digester (Rosa et al., 2009). The waste water that was from  
865 the dairy industry contained 1200 mg oil and grease per litre. The oil and grease  
866 hydrolysis resulted in a final free acid concentration eight times higher than the initial  
867 value. This approach showed the importance of the application of enzymatic  
868 preparations obtained by SSF in the treatment of fatty wastewater, with high  
869 efficiencies, using anaerobic reactors. In addition, Damasceno et al. (2012) used of a  
870 lipase produced by SSF with *Penicillium simplicissimum* using babassu cake as  
871 substrate. This enzyme, with a concentration of 0.19% (w/v), was combined with a lipid  
872 biosurfactant of 114 mg/L, at 33°C, produced from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and used  
873 for the methane production by anaerobic treatment of a wastewater with a high fat  
874 content from a poultry processing plant. These results showed the synergistic effect of  
875 these two bio-products on the hydrolysis of fats from the effluent, with the potential to  
876 treat a poultry processing effluent rich in oils and greases, without using a flotation step.  
877 Thus, this approach allowed for the elimination of the problem of generating solid waste  
878 and enhancing the production of methane.

#### 879 **4.3.2. Protease**

880 Alkaline protease produced by SSF processes has been used as an inclusion in  
881 detergent formulations, where the suitability of such an enzyme in this application  
882 depends on certain factors such as enzyme stability and compatibility with detergent  
883 components (Venugopal et al., 2006). In addition, the enzyme should be thermostable  
884 and it is preferred to have the ability to act as a detergent component at different  
885 temperatures, including room temperature. Another application of this enzyme was in  
886 the process of dehairing of goat and cow hides. This enzymatic process of dehairing  
887 could lead to the consumption of less water and harmful chemical reagents used in  
888 traditional methods. Therefore the alkaline protease produced through SSF could have

889 potential applications in detergent formulations as well as in the leather processing  
890 industry.

891 Mukherjee et al. (2008) applied the produced alkaline protease by *Bacillus*  
892 *subtilis* through SSF process, as an additive in laundry detergents. The protease showed  
893 the ability to function in a broad range of temperatures, i.e. high thermal resistance and  
894 remained active at room temperature, high stability and compatibility with commercial  
895 detergents. It was observed that the enzyme retained 33-90% of its original activity at  
896 37°C in the presence of commercial detergents. In addition, it was observed that the  
897 enzyme obtained was free of any undesirable flavour, which could be advantageous for  
898 further applications in food and pharmaceutical industries. Vijayaraghavan et al. (2012)  
899 evaluated the effect of alkaline protease obtained through SSF, by *Halomonas* sp., on  
900 surfactants, detergents, solvents and goat hide. The enzyme was remarkably stable on  
901 surfactants, such as Tween-20, Triton X-100 and Brij-35 displaying 112%, 202% and  
902 178% activity respectively. There was also a high stability observed on various  
903 commercial detergents and organic solvents, such as ethanol, acetone and methanol,  
904 with an activity range from 61 to 224% and 49 to 263%, respectively. In addition, the  
905 protease effectively dehaired goat hides. This property of the enzyme found as highly  
906 significant since most of commercial dehairing proteases are produced by *Bacillus*  
907 bacteria (Subba et al., 2009). Recently, Abraham et al. (2014) have also shown that the  
908 protease produced through SSF by the microbial populations developed on the hair solid  
909 wastes biodegradation process can be used as a satisfactory alternative for the dehairing  
910 of cow hides.

911 Acid proteases produced by SSF processes have applications in the sector of  
912 food science and technology, where recently these enzymes have been used in the field  
913 of milk and dairy industry. Merheb-Dini et al. (2010) reported the application of an acid

914 protease, produced from a new and local strain of *Thermomucor* and using only wheat  
915 bran as substrate, in the hydrolysis of bovine casein of milk and the investigation of its  
916 peptide profile obtained for a better understanding of the proteolytic activity of the  
917 enzyme. Results revealed that the acid protease exhibited high milk-clotting activity and  
918 low proteolytic activity. These properties might encourage future experiments by using  
919 this microbial enzyme on cheese production where the enzyme could be used as a  
920 substitute for animal rennin. The advantages of using such a microbial protease are  
921 mainly related to the low cost production of such an enzyme since in industrial  
922 applications the minimisation of costs is of a crucial importance. Another application of  
923 the protease in the field of dairy industry was investigated by Boyce and Walsh (2012).  
924 The enzyme produced by *Schizopyllum commune* was used to remove an industrial-like  
925 milk fouling deposit (containing about 35% minerals) from stainless steel. This  
926 experiment imitated the cleaning-in-place (CIP) operations that use acidic and alkaline  
927 solutions in cleaning of various equipments used in the dairy industry, especially heat  
928 transfer surfaces used during thermal treatments of milk where milk deposits are  
929 continuously formed. The results of this research work showed that suitable cleaning  
930 was achieved using this enzymatic cleaning procedure without the use of  
931 environmentally harmful and corrosive chemicals.

### 932 **4.3.3. Cellulases and xylanase**

933 Cellulases and xylanase have major and numerous industrial applications, such  
934 as in pulp and paper manufacture as well as in the textile industry for polishing of  
935 fabrics and laundry detergents for improving fabric softness. For example, Das et al.  
936 (2013) used these enzymes, which were produced through optimised processes of SSF,  
937 for the deinking of waste pulp of laser printed paper, i.e. mainly the removal of  
938 chromophores and hydrophobic compounds. In addition, cellulase enzymes are used in

939 the extraction process of fruit and vegetable juices, starch processing and formulations  
940 used for animal feeds (Dhillon et al., 2012a,b; Singhania, et al., 2009). Cellulases have  
941 found promising applications for non-specific hydrolysis of chitosan to produce  
942 chitooligosaccharides with low molecular weight, which showed high antibacterial  
943 activity (Xia et al., 2008).

944 From biotechnological perspectives, the most important and recent application  
945 of cellulases and xylanase produced through SSF is in the generation of potentially  
946 sustainable energy sources such as sugars and biofuels or, specifically, bio-ethanol.  
947 These enzymes are used to hydrolyse cellulosic waste materials to sugars that can be  
948 fermented, usually by yeasts, to bioethanol and/or biofuel compounds. It is shown that  
949 there is a wide potential to develop a simple biological process to produce ethanol from  
950 a variety of lignocellulosic substrates, i.e. by hydrolyzing and fermenting carbohydrates,  
951 which are considered as waste materials produced in huge amounts especially in the  
952 agro-industrial sector. Liu et al. (2011) directly applied the cellulase enzymes, in their  
953 crude form, obtained through SSF processes in the hydrolysis of corn stover. The  
954 hydrolysates, reducing sugars obtained, were further used as a substrate for the  
955 production of ethanol through the fermentation by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. The same  
956 biofuel was produced through SSF by sequential saccharification of corn fibre where  
957 fermentation by the yeast was allowed leading to the production of ethanol (Rasmussen  
958 et al., 2010). SSF followed by buffered anaerobic incubation converted a substantial  
959 fraction of corn fibre into harvestable reducing sugars, through the action of cellulases  
960 and xylanase obtained from the process of fermentation. The sugars released were  
961 fermented with or without the yeast to yield bio-ethanol, in the presence of the  
962 cellulolytic fungi used for SSF, where the highest yield was obtained in case of utilising  
963 yeast in the process. Several improvements to the production of ethanol were suggested,



964 i.e. optimising the growing conditions such as moisture, pH, temperature and inoculum  
965 used. Similarly, in a study by Sukumaran et al. (2009), it was shown that ethanol can be  
966 produced using the saccharification of three different feed stock; rice straw, sugarcane  
967 bagasse and water hyacinth biomass, followed by the yeast fermentation. It was reported  
968 that the highest sugar yield and subsequent ethanol production was in the case of using  
969 rice straw. Interestingly, crude unprocessed cellulase obtained, which was not high in its  
970 yield, was sufficient to produce ethanol from wheat straw in simultaneous  
971 saccharification and fermentation by the yeast (Lever et al., 2013). Therefore, the  
972 findings of this research could suggest that using SSF of lignocellulosic wastes may be  
973 employed instead of commercial enzyme manufacture, which has usually the  
974 disadvantage of a production that is associated with high costs.

975

## 976 **5. Process Scale-up and Control of SSF**

977 Most research work performed reporting the production of enzymes through  
978 SSF use production on a laboratory scale, i.e. batch mode in shaken flasks where few  
979 grams of substrate is added. There are technological and operational constrains that  
980 limit the scaling-up of the process of fermentation. These constrains are mainly related  
981 to the removal of the excess heat formed and the temperature control during  
982 fermentation, and also the agitation of solids and handling techniques required for solid  
983 substrates (Singhania et al., 2009). Table 7 summarises various aspects of lab scale vs  
984 large scale SSF processes. Therefore, large-scale production of enzymes has not yet  
985 been proven feasible. However, there are a considerable number of studies focusing on  
986 the use of bioreactors in SSF studies at pilot scale for the production of protease and  
987 lipase enzymes (Edwinoliver et al., 2010; Santis-Navarro et al., 2011; Abraham et al.,  
988 2014). According to a recent review by Thomas et al. (2013), few SSF processes have

989 been developed at industrial scale: delignification of biomass, dyes bioremediation or  
990 *Jatropha* cake detoxification. All these processes have a common objective of  
991 enhancing enzymes production, although the enzymes are not targeted as a product, but  
992 their effect on the biomass is sought.

993 In general, there are some basic steps required to scale-up the production of  
994 enzymes through SSF (Salihu et al., 2012). Firstly, there is a need to choose suitable  
995 microorganisms and substrates, which have been reviewed in the current paper (sections  
996 2 and 3). Secondly, it is required to study various process parameters, e.g. optimisation  
997 of moisture, pH and inoculum used. These were discussed in detail concerning the  
998 production of lipase, protease and cellulases (sections 4.1-4.3). Thirdly, the scale-up  
999 process is performed, which depends mainly on operating conditions (aerations, mass  
1000 and heat transfer) and process control (Singhania et al., 2009; Li et al., 2013) and  
1001 optimisation studies. A last step might be the study of the technical, environmental and  
1002 economical viabilities of the process developed.

1003

#### 1004 **5.1. Challenges of Process Scale-up**

1005 The main aspects of scaling-up the production of enzymes through SSF include  
1006 challenges and recent advances. SSF is difficult to scale-up due to the three-phase  
1007 heterogeneous nature of the substrate and the existing gradients inside the reactor in  
1008 temperature, pH, moisture, oxygen and inoculum (Rodriguez et al., 2010, Salihu et al.,  
1009 2012). In addition, the absence of free water during the fermentation leads to poor heat  
1010 removal and accessibility of nutrients resulting in slow microbial growth which might  
1011 lead low or no production of enzymes obtained at the end of the fermentation process.  
1012 On the other hand, difficult agitation of solid substrates might occur which leads to  
1013 physical and chemical heterogeneous distribution. Moreover, the heat generated due to

1014 the metabolic activities of microorganisms is in most cases an inconvenient for  
1015 biotechnological processes especially when the optimum growth of microorganisms is  
1016 affected and a large part of the enzymes produced during SSF can be heat-denatured.  
1017 Another important challenge of scale-up processes is the control of pH within the  
1018 system during the fermentation, as this control is required to manage the growth of  
1019 microorganisms and the subsequent production of enzymes. Therefore, the control of  
1020 heat transfer is one of the major crucial issues in the design and operation of large-scale  
1021 SSF fermenters. There is also a need to firstly, monitor on-line the parameters  
1022 throughout the process, such as temperature and pH (Ali & Zulkali, 2011). Most  
1023 importantly, oxygen consumption and the carbon dioxide evolution are important  
1024 measurements because they represent the best way of monitoring the growth of  
1025 microorganisms inside the reactor. As a more sophisticated and no-invasive proposal,  
1026 Jiang et al. (2012) successfully monitored physical and chemical changes at a 100L  
1027 pilot bioreactor using FT-NIR spectroscopy coupled with vector data description, thus  
1028 avoiding chemical analysis Secondly, it is needed to adequately mix the substrates  
1029 within the fermenter without negatively affecting the growth of microorganisms as well  
1030 as the substrates used.

1031

## 1032 **5.2. Recent Advances on Process Scale-up**

1033 There are a number of bioreactors that have been designed to overcome the  
1034 problems of scale up. Commonly used SSF bioreactors are classified into four types  
1035 based on the pattern of aeration and/or agitation system employed (Mitchel et al., 2006).  
1036 These types are tray, packed-bed, rotating and stirred-drum and forcefully aerated  
1037 agitated reactors. Each of these types have their own advantages and disadvantages,  
1038 which promoted the necessity to develop novel bioreactors with better design in order to

1039 solve major problems related to the scale-up processes for the production of enzymes  
1040 through SSF. There are few recent studies on the overcoming of some of the  
1041 disadvantages and limitations regarding the scaling-up processes. An extensive analysis  
1042 on the design and operation of bioreactors in SSF has been published in the recent  
1043 review by Thomas et al., (2013). Also Yoon et al. (2014) describe in detail the use of  
1044 bioreactors for cellulase production.

1045         Scaling up of the process of SSF for the production of enzymes from waste  
1046 material was shown to be successful. Edwinoliver et al. (2010) scaled up the process of  
1047 lipase production from 10 g up to a level of 3 kg, using *A. niger* as inoculum. The  
1048 strategy of scaling-up included the transfer of the optimised process conditions  
1049 developed at laboratory level to pilot production level, where temperature and moisture  
1050 were online monitored and controlled during the process of fermentation. Another  
1051 strategy for the scaling-up was mainly depending on control of air flow intensity as a  
1052 key factor during the production of phytase (Rodriguez-Fernandez et al., 2012). At a 10-  
1053 fold scale-up, from 2 to 20 kg drum bioreactors with a paddle agitation, the control of  
1054 the air flow intensity was required to maintain the temperature constant during the  
1055 fermentation, as well as to cool the fermenter at late stages or to allow for the removal  
1056 of metabolic heat generated. In addition, the air flow was able to provide oxygen that is  
1057 considered as a crucial factor for the growth of microorganisms.

1058         Recently, there were studies on the scaling up of SSF process for the production  
1059 of ethanol. Soni et al. (2013) demonstrated that a rotary drum reactor can be directly  
1060 scaled up to a larger capacity up to 100 L, by using SSF optimised operating conditions  
1061 obtained at laboratory levels, using flask batch modes. The results showed that the  
1062 scale-up process is feasible and has commercial potential, especially when the substrate  
1063 used, which was sugarcane bagasse, was pre-treated with alkali prior to the process of

1064 fermentation. Interestingly, Li et al. (2013) reported an advanced SSF technology,  
1065 which is capable of overcoming most problems associated with the scale-up and large-  
1066 scale fermentation processes. An efficient system for the control of mass and heat was  
1067 connected to a continuous solid-state rotary drum fermentation reactor, developed by  
1068 the research group, where a newly developed microbial strain was used that allowed for  
1069 the shortening of the time of fermentation.

1070 Different approaches for an easily scalable process have been reported by Santis-  
1071 Navarro et al., (2011) and Abraham et al. (2014). In these approaches, the temperature  
1072 was not controlled and enzymes were produced in a batch fermentation process similar  
1073 to the composting. The temperature rose to thermophilic values due to heat released and  
1074 decreased to ambient values during the fermentation of the readily biodegradable  
1075 matter, which has been consumed.

1076 Recently, in other SSF applications, there were also studies on the scaling up of  
1077 SSF process for the production of bioethanol. Lin et al. (2013) demonstrated that a  
1078 rotary drum reactor can be directly scaled up to a larger capacity up to 100 l, by using  
1079 SSF optimized operating conditions obtained at laboratory levels, using flask batch  
1080 modes and the thermotolerant yeast *Kluyveromyces marxianus* as an inoculum for the  
1081 fermentation. The results showed that the scale-up process is feasible and has  
1082 commercial potential, especially when the substrate used, which was sugarcane bagasse,  
1083 was pretreated with alkali prior to the process of fermentation. The alkali pretreatment  
1084 of this substrate allows for a direct carbon source for the growth of microorganisms in  
1085 the SSF system (Chandel et al., 2012). Interestingly, Li et al. (2013) reported an  
1086 advanced SSF technology, which is capable of overcoming most problems associated  
1087 with the scale-up and large-scale fermentation processes. An efficient system for the  
1088 control of mass and heat was connected to a continuous solid-state rotary drum

1089 fermentation reactor, developed by the research group. A newly developed microbial  
1090 strain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* was used that allowed for the shortening of the time  
1091 of fermentation of a substrate of sweet sorghum stems.

1092

## 1093 **6. Final Remarks**

1094 In summary, this review provides an update on recent studies that are dealing  
1095 with the use of SSF for the production of enzymes, and it especially covers issues  
1096 related to wastes, microorganisms and scale-up and control of the process of  
1097 fermentation. The main focus was on the production of lipases, proteases, cellulases,  
1098 xylanases, glucoamylases, pectinases and inulinases. For the process of fermentation,  
1099 the inocula used were mostly fungi, like various species of *Aspergillus*, and substrates  
1100 were waste materials obtained from the sector of agriculture and food industry. The use  
1101 or recycle of these wastes, which are very cheap and highly available in big amounts,  
1102 shows a high benefit of SSF from the economical and environmental perspectives.

1103 Accordingly, SSF presents a substantial advantage over SmF, which has been  
1104 extensively used for the production of commercially available enzymes since many  
1105 decades. Nevertheless, the majority of research conducted on SSF was on lab-scale,  
1106 whereas the large scale/commercial production of enzymes is still not developed  
1107 because of constraints related to the scaling-up of the process. For instance, the absence  
1108 of free water during the process leads to poor mixing and heat removal that results in  
1109 slow microbial growth and a subsequent low or no production of enzymes. Recent  
1110 developments are based on transfer of the optimised process conditions developed at  
1111 laboratory level to larger scales, control of heat and mass transfer and on-line  
1112 monitoring of the parameters, such as temperature and pH, where air flow has been  
1113 shown to be crucial for the maintenance of constant temperatures and microbial growth.

1114 **References**

- 1115 Abraham, J., Gea, T. & Sanchez, A. (2013). Potential of the solid-state fermentation of  
1116 soy fibre residues by native microbial populations for bench-scale alkaline  
1117 protease production. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 74, 15–19.
- 1118 Abraham, J., Gea, T. & Sanchez, A. (2014). Substitution of chemical dehairing by  
1119 proteases from solid- state fermentation of hair wastes. *Journal of Cleaner*  
1120 *Production*, 74, 191-198.
- 1121 Abrunhosa, A., Venancio, A. & Teixeira, J. (2011). Optimization of process parameters  
1122 for the production of an OTA-hydrolyzing enzyme from *Aspergillus niger* under  
1123 solid-state fermentation. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 112, 351-  
1124 355.
- 1125 Ahmed, W.A. & Salimon, J. (2009). Phorbol ester as toxic constituents of tropical  
1126 *Jatropha Curcas* seed oil. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 31, 429-436.
- 1127 Ang, S.K., Shaza, E.M., Adibah, Y., Suraini, A.A. & Madihah, M.S. (2013). Production  
1128 of cellulases and xylanase by *Aspergillus fumigatus* SK1 using untreated oil  
1129 palm trunk through solid state fermentation.  
1130 *Process Biochemistry*, 48, 1293-1302.
- 1131 Anwar, A. & Saleemuddin, M. (1998). Alkaline Proteases: a review. *Bioresource*  
1132 *Technology*, 64, 175-183.
- 1133 Astolfi, V., Joris, J., Verlindo, R., Oliveira, J.V., Maugeri, F., Mazutti, M.A., de  
1134 Oliveira, D. & Treichel, H. (2011). Operation of a fixed-bed bioreactor in batch  
1135 and fed-batch modes for production of inulinase by solid-state fermentation.  
1136 *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 58, 39-49.
- 1137 Bansal, N., Tewari, R., Soni, R., & Soni, S.K. (2012). Production of cellulases from  
1138 *Aspergillus niger* NS-2 in solid state fermentation on agricultural and kitchen

1139 waste residues. *Waste Management*, 32, 1341-1346.

1140 Belmessikh, A., Boukhalfa, H., Mechakra-Maza, A., Gheribi-Aoulmi, Z. & Amrane, A.  
1141 (2013). Statistical optimization of culture medium for neutral protease  
1142 production by *Aspergillus oryzae*. Comparative study between solid and  
1143 submerged fermentations on tomato pomace. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of*  
1144 *Chemical Engineers*, 44, 377–385.

1145 Botella, C., Diaz, A.B., Wang, R., Koutinas, A. & Webb, C. (2009). Particulate  
1146 bioprocessing: a novel process strategy for biorefineries. *Process Biochemistry*,  
1147 44, 546–555.

1148 Boyce, A. & Walsh, G. (2012). Identification of fungal proteases potentially suitable for  
1149 environmentally friendly cleaning-in-place in the dairy industry. *Chemosphere*,  
1150 88, 211–218.

1151 Brijwani, K., Oberoi, H.S., & Vadlani, P.V. (2010). Production of a cellulolytic enzyme  
1152 system in mixed-culture solid-state fermentation of soybean hulls supplemented  
1153 with wheat bran. *Process Biochemistry*, 45, 120-128.

1154 Brijwani, K., Vadlani, P.V., Hohn, K.L., & Maier, D.E. (2011). Experimental and  
1155 theoretical analysis of a novel deep-bed solid-state bioreactor for cellulolytic  
1156 enzymes production. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 58–59, 110-123.

1157 Chaari, F., Kamoun, A., Bhiri, F., Blibech, M., Ellouze-Ghorbel, R., Ellouz-Chaabouni,  
1158 S. (2012). Statistical optimization for the production of lichenase by a newly  
1159 isolated *Bacillus licheniformis* UEB CF in solid state fermentation using pea  
1160 pomace as a novel solid support. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 40, 192-198.

1161 Chaturvedi, M., Singh, M., Man C.R. & Pandey, S. (2010). Lipase production from  
1162 *Bacillus subtilis* MTCC 6808 by solid state fermentation using ground nut oil  
1163 cake as substrate. *Research Journal of Microbiology*, 5, 725-730.



1164 Chi, Z.M., Chi Z., Liu G.L., Zhang T. & Yue L.X. (2009). Inulinase-expressing  
1165 microorganisms and applications of inulinases. *Applied Microbiology and*  
1166 *Biotechnology*, 11, 81-89.

1167 Chutmanop, J., Chuichucherm, S., Chisti, Y. & Srinophakun, P. (2008). Protease  
1168 production by *Aspergillus oryzae* in solid-state fermentation using agroindustrial  
1169 substrates. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 83, 1012–1018.

1170 Colla, L.M., Rizzardi, J., Pinto, M.H., Reinehr, C.O., Bertolin, T.E. & Costa, J.A.  
1171 (2010). Simultaneous production of lipases and biosurfactants by submerged and  
1172 solid-state bioprocesses. *Bioresource Technology*, 101, 8308-8314.

1173 Csizar, E., Szakacs, G. & Koczka, B. (2007). Biopreparation of cotton fabric with  
1174 enzymes produced by solid-state fermentation. *Enzyme and Microbial*  
1175 *Technology*, 40, 1765–1771.

1176 Cunha, F.M., Esperança, M.N., Zangirolami, T.C., Badino, A.C. & Farinas, C.S. (2012).  
1177 Sequential solid-state and submerged cultivation of *Aspergillus niger* on  
1178 sugarcane bagasse for the production of cellulose. *Bioresource*  
1179 *Technology*, 112, 270-274.

1180 Damasceno, F.R.C., Cammarota, M.C. & Freire, D.M.G., 2012. The combined use of a  
1181 biosurfactant and an enzyme preparation to treat an effluent with a high fat  
1182 content. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 95, 241-246.

1183 Das, A., Paul, T., Jana, A., Halder, S.K., Ghosh, K., Maity, C., Das Mohapatra, P.K.,  
1184 Pati, B.R. & Mondal, K.C. (2013). Bioconversion of rice straw to sugar  
1185 using multizyme complex of fungal origin and subsequent production  
1186 of bioethanol by mixed fermentation of *Saccharomyces*  
1187 *cerevisiae* MTCC173 and *Zymomonas mobilis* MTCC 2428. *Industrial Crops*  
1188 *and Products*, 46, 217-225.

- 1189 Demir, H. & Tari, C. (2014). Valorization of wheat bran for the production of  
1190 polygalacturonase in SSF of *Aspergillus sojae*. *Industrial Crops and Products*,  
1191 54, 302-309.
- 1192 Dhillon, G. S., Brar, S. K., Kaur, S., Metahni, S. & M'Hamdi, N. (2012a). Lactoserum  
1193 as a moistening medium and crude inducer for fungal cellulase and  
1194 hemicellulase induction through solid-state fermentation of apple pomace.  
1195 *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 41, 165-174.
- 1196 Dhillon, G.S., Kaur, S., Brar, S.K. & Verma, M. (2012b). Potential of apple  
1197 pomace as a solid substrate for fungal cellulase and hemicellulase bioproduction  
1198 through solid-state fermentation. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 38, 6-13.
- 1199 Dhillon, G.S., Oberoi, H.S., Kaur, S., Bansal, S. & Brar, S.K. (2011). Value-addition of  
1200 agricultural wastes for augmented cellulase and xylanase production through  
1201 solid-state tray fermentation employing mixed-culture of fungi. *Industrial Crops  
1202 and Products*, 34, 1160-1167.
- 1203 Dilipkumar, M., Rajamohan, N. & Rajasimman, M. (2013). Inulinase production in a  
1204 packed bed reactor by solid state fermentation  
1205 *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 96, 196-199.
- 1206 Du, C., Lin, S., Koutinas, A., Wang, R., Dorado, P. & Webb, C. (2008). A wheat  
1207 biorefining strategy based on solid-state fermentation for fermentative  
1208 production of succinic acid. *Bioresource Technology*, 99, 8310–8315.
- 1209 Edwinoliver, N.G., Thirunavukarasu, K., Naidu, R.B., Gowthaman, M.K., Kambe, T.N.  
1210 & Kamini, N.R. (2010). Scale up of a novel tri-substrate fermentation for  
1211 enhanced production of *Aspergillus niger* lipase for tallow hydrolysis.  
1212 *Bioresource Technology*, 101, 6791-6796.
- 1213 Elayaraja, S., Velvizhi, T., Maharani, V., Mayavu, P., Vijayalakshmi, S. &

1214 Balasubramanian, T. (2011). Thermostable  $\alpha$ -amylase production by *Bacillus*  
1215 *firmus* CAS 7 using potato peel as a substrate. African Journal of Biotechnology,  
1216 10, 11235-11238.

1217 Ellaiah, P., Srinivasulu, B. & Adinarayana, K. (2002). A review on microbial alkaline  
1218 proteases. Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research, 61, 690–704.

1219 El-Sheekh, M.M., Ismail, A.S., El-Abd, M.A., Hegazy, E.M., El-Diwany, A.I. (2009).  
1220 Effective technological pectinases by *Aspergillus carneus* NRC1 utilizing the  
1221 Egyptian orange juice industry scraps. International Biodeterioration and  
1222 Biodegradation 63, 12–18.

1223 Garcia-Gomez, M.J., Huerta-Ochoa, S, Loera-Corral, S. & Prado-Barragán, L.A.  
1224 (2009). Advantages of a proteolytic extract by *Aspergillus oryzae* from fish flour  
1225 over a commercial proteolytic preparation. Food Chemistry, 112, 604–608.

1226 Garlapati, V.K. & Banerjee, R. (2010). Optimization of lipase production using  
1227 differential evolution. Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering, 15, 254-260.

1228 Gautam, S.P., Bundela, P.S., Pandey, A.K., Khan, J., Awasthi, M.K. & Sarsaiya, S.  
1229 (2011). Optimization for the production of cellulase enzyme from municipal  
1230 solid waste residue by two novel cellulolytic fungi. Biotechnology Research  
1231 International, 1, 1–8.

1232 Gutarra, M., Godoy, M.G., Maugeri, F., Rodrigues, M.I., Freire, D.M. & Castilho, L.R.  
1233 (2009). Production of an acidic and thermostable lipase of the mesophilic fungus  
1234 *Penicillium simplicissimum* by solid-state fermentation. Bioresource  
1235 Technology, 100, 5249-5254.

1236 Godoy, M.G., Gutarra, M.L., Castro, A.M., Machado, O.L. & Freire, D.M. (2011).  
1237 Adding value to a toxic residue from the biodiesel industry: production of two  
1238 distinct pool of lipases from *Penicillium simplicissimum* in castor bean waste.

1239 Journal of Industrial Microbiology & Biotechnology, 38, 945-953.

1240 Hasan, F., Shah, A. & Hameed, A. (2006). Industrial applications of microbial lipases.  
1241 Enzyme Microbiology and Technology, 39, 235-251.

1242 Hasan, F., Shah, A. & Hameed, A. (2009). Methods for detection and characterization  
1243 of lipases: a comprehensive review. Biotechnology Advances, 27, 782–798.

1244 Hernandez-Rodriguez, B., Hernández-Rodríguez, B., Córdova, J., Bárzana, E. &  
1245 Favela-Torres, E. (2009). Effects of organic solvents on activity and stability of  
1246 lipases produced by thermotolerant fungi in solid-state fermentation. Journal of  
1247 Molecular Catalysis B: Enzymatic, 61, 136-142.

1248 Imandi, S.B., Karanam, S.K. & Garlapati, H.R. (2010). Optimization of Process  
1249 Parameters for the Production of Lipase in Solid State Fermentation by *Yarrowia*  
1250 *Lipolytica* from Niger Seed Oil Cake (*Guizotia Abyssinica*). Journal of Microbial  
1251 & Biochemical Technology, 2, 28-33.

1252 Jiang, H., Liu, G., Xiao, X., Mei, C., Ding, Y., Yu, S. (2012). Monitoring of solid-state  
1253 fermentation of wheat straw in a pilot scale using FT-NIR spectroscopy and  
1254 support vector data description. Microchemical Journal, 102, 68-74.

1255 Joshi, C & Khare, SK. (2011). Utilization of deoiled *Jatropha curcas* seed cake for  
1256 production of xylanase from thermophilic *Scytalidium thermophilum*.  
1257 Bioresour. Technology, 102, 1722-1726.

1258 Kang, S.W., Park, Y.S., Lee, J.S., Hong, S.I. and Kim, S.W. Production of cellulases  
1259 and hemicellulases by *Aspergillus niger* KK2 from lignocellulosic biomass.  
1260 Bioresour. Technology, 91, 153-156.

1261 Kapilan, R. & Arasaratnam, V. (2011). Paddy Husk as Support for Solid State  
1262 Fermentation to Produce Xylanase from *Bacillus pumilus*. Rice Science, 18, 36-  
1263 45.

- 1264 Kumar, A. G., Venkatesan, R., Rao, B.P., Swarnalatha, S. & Sekaran, G. (2009).  
1265 Utilization of tannery solid waste for protease production by *Synergistes* sp. in  
1266 solid-state fermentation and partial protease characterization. *Engineering Life*  
1267 *Science*, 9, 66–73.
- 1268 Kumar, S., Mathur, A., Singh, V., Nandy, S., Khare. S.K. & Negi, S. (2012).  
1269 Bioremediation of waste cooking oil using a novel lipase produced by  
1270 *Penicillium chrysogenum* SNP5 grown in solid medium containing waste grease.  
1271 *Bioresource Technology*, 120, 300-304.
- 1272 Lever, M., Goen, Ho. & Cord-Ruwisch, R. (2013). Simplifying cellulase production by  
1273 using environmental selection pressures and recycling substrate. *Environmental*  
1274 *Technology*, 34, 471–475.
- 1275 Li, S., Li, G., Zhang, L., Zhou, Z., Han, B., Hou, W., Wang, J. & Li, T. (2013). A  
1276 demonstration study of ethanol production from sweet sorghum  
1277 stems with advanced solid state fermentation technology. *Applied*  
1278 *Energy*, 102, 260-265.
- 1279 Liu, Y., Li, C., Meng, X. & Yan, Y. (2013). Biodiesel synthesis directly catalyzed by  
1280 the fermented solid of *Burkholderia cenocepacia* via solid state fermentation.  
1281 *Fuel Processing Technology*, 106, 303–309.
- 1282 Liu, D. et al. (2011). Thermostable cellulase production of *Aspergillus fumigatus* Z5  
1283 under solid-state fermentation and its application in degradation of agricultural  
1284 wastes. *International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation*, 65, 717-725.
- 1285 Liu, J. & Yang, J (2007). Cellulase Production by *Trichoderma koningii* AS 3.4262 in  
1286 Solid-State Fermentation Using Lignocellulosic Waste from the Vinegar  
1287 Industry. *Food Technology and Biotechnology*, 45, 420-425.
- 1288 Mahanta, N., Gupta, A. & Khare, S.K. (2008). Production of protease and lipase by

1289 solvent tolerant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PseA in solid-state fermentation using  
1290 *Jatropha curcas* seed cake as substrate. *Bioresource Technology*, 99, 1729–  
1291 1735.

1292 Mamma, D., Kourtoglou, E. & Christakopoulos, P. (2008). Fungal multienzyme  
1293 production on industrial by-products of the citrus-processing industry.  
1294 *Bioresource Technology*, 99, 2373–2383.

1295 Martinez-Ruiz, A., García, H.S., Saucedo-Castañeda, G. & Favela-Torres, E. (2008).  
1296 Organic Phase Synthesis of Ethyl Oleate Using Lipases Produced by Solid-state  
1297 Fermentation. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 151, 393-401.

1298 Mekala, N., Singhania, R., Sukumaran, R. & Pandey, A. (2008). Cellulase production  
1299 under solid-state fermentation by *Trichoderma reesei* RUT C30: statistical  
1300 optimization of process parameters. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*,  
1301 151, 121-131.

1302 Melikoglu, M., Lin, C. & Webb, C. (2013). Stepwise optimisation of enzyme  
1303 production in solid state fermentation of waste bread pieces. *Food and*  
1304 *Bioproducts Processing*, in press, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fbp.2013.04.008>.

1305 Merheb-Dini, C., Gomes, E., Boscolo, M. & da Silva, R. (2010). Production and  
1306 characterization of a milk-clotting protease in the crude enzymatic extract from  
1307 the newly isolated *Thermomucor indicae-seudaticae* N31 (Milk-clotting  
1308 protease). *Food Chemistry*, 120, 87–93.

1309 Mitchell, D.A, Krieger, N. & Berovic, M. (2006) *Solid-State Fermentation Bioreactors:*  
1310 *Fundamentals of Design and Operation*. Heidelberg, Germany: Springer.

1311 Mukherjee, A.K, Adhikari, H. & Rai, S.K. (2008). Production of alkaline protease by a  
1312 thermophilic *Bacillus subtilis* under solid-state fermentation (SSF) condition  
1313 using *Imperata cylindrica* grass and potato peel as low-cost medium:

1314 Characterization and application of enzyme in detergent formulation  
1315 Biochemical Engineering Journal, 39, 353–361.

1316 Nalawade, P.M., Kamble, J.R., Late, A.M., Solunke, K.R. & Mule, M.B. (2009). Studies  
1317 on Integrated Use of Tannery Wastewater, Municipal Solid Waste and Fly Ash  
1318 Amended Compost on Vegetable Growth. International Journal of Agriculture  
1319 Sciences, 1, 55-58.

1320 Narra, M., Dixit, G., Divecha, J., Madamwar, D. and Shah AR. (2012). Production of  
1321 cellulases by solid state fermentation with *Aspergillus terreus* and enzymatic  
1322 hydrolysis of mild alkali-treated rice straw. Bioresource Technology, 121, 355-  
1323 361.

1324 Ncube, T., Howard, R.L., Abotsi, E.K., van Rensburg, J. & Ncube, I. (2012). *Jatropha*  
1325 *curcas* seedcake as substrate for production of xylanase and cellulase by *Aspergi*  
1326 *llus niger* FGSCA733 in solid-state fermentation. Industrial Crops and Products,  
1327 37, 118-123.

1328 Nimkar, M.D., Deogade, N.G. & Kawale, M. (2010). Production of  $\alpha$ -amylase from  
1329 *Bacillus subtilis* & *Aspergillus niger* using different agro waste by solid state  
1330 fermentation. Asiatic Journal of Biotechnology Research, 1, 23-28.

1331 Norouzian, D., Akbarzadeh, A., Scharer J.M. & Young M.M. (2006). Fungal  
1332 glucoamylases. Biotechnology Advances, 24, 80–85.

1333 Pandey, A. (2003). Solid-state fermentation. Biochemical Engineering Journal, 13, 81-  
1334 84.

1335 Paranthaman, R., Alagusundaram, K. & Indhumathi, J. (2009). Production of Protease  
1336 from Rice Mill Wastes by *Aspergillus niger* in Solid State Fermentation. World  
1337 Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 5, 308-312.

1338 Pirota, R., Tonelotto, M., Delabona, P., Fonseca, R., Paixão, D., Baleeiro, F., Neto, V.,

1339 Farinas, C. (2013). Enhancing xylanases production by a new Amazon Forest  
1340 strain of *Aspergillus oryzae* using solid-state fermentation under controlled  
1341 operation conditions. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 45, 465-471.

1342 Queiroga, A. C., Pintado, M.E. & Malcata, X. (2012). Search for novel proteolytic  
1343 enzymes aimed at textile and agro-industrial applications: An overview of  
1344 current and novel approaches. *Biocatalysis and Biotransformation*, 1, 154-169.

1345 Ramachandran, S., Singh, S.K., Larroche, C., Soccol, C.R. & Pandey, A. (2006). Oil  
1346 cakes and their biotechnological applications – a review. *Bioresour  
1347 Technology*, 93, 169-174.

1348 Rasera, K. Rasera, K., Osório, N., Mitchell, D., Krieger, N. & Ferreira-Diasa, S. (2012).  
1349 Interesterification of fat blends using a fermented solid with lipolytic activity.  
1350 *Journal of Molecular Catalysis B: Enzymatic*, 76, 75-81.

1351 Rasmussen, M.L., Shrestha, P., Khanal, S., Pometto, A.L. & van Leeuwen, H. (2010).  
1352 Sequential saccharification of corn fiber and ethanol production by the brown rot  
1353 fungus *Gloeophyllum trabeum*. *Bioresource Technology*, 101, 3526-3533.

1354 Rai, S.K., Konwarh, R. & Mukherjee, A.K. (2009). Purification, characterization and  
1355 biotechnological application of an alkaline-keratinase produced by *Bacillus  
1356 subtilis* RM-01 in solid-state fermentation using chicken-feather as substrate.  
1357 *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 45, 218-225.

1358 Rigo, E., Ninow J.L., Polloni, A.E., Remonato, D., Arbter, F., Vardanega, R., Oliveira,  
1359 D., Treichel, H., Luccio, M. (2009). Improved lipase biosynthesis by a newly  
1360 isolated *Penicillium* sp. grown on agricultural wastes. *Industrial Biotechnology*,  
1361 5, 119-126.

1362 Rodriguez, L.A., Toro, M.E., Vazquez, F., Correa-Daneri, M.L., Gouiric, S.C. &  
1363 Vallejo, M.D. (2010). Bioethanol production from grape and sugar beet pomaces



1364 by solid-state fermentation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 35, 5914-  
1365 5917.

1366 Rodriguez-Fernandez, D.E., Rodriguez-Leon, J.A., de Carvalho, J.C., Sturm, W.C. &  
1367 Soccol, C.R. (2011). The behavior of kinetic parameters in production of  
1368 pectinase and xylanase by solid-state fermentation. *Bioresource Technology*,  
1369 102, 10657-10662.

1370 Rodriguez-Fernandez, D.E., Parada, J.L., Medeiros, A., de Carvalho, J.C., Lacerda,  
1371 L.G., Rodríguez-León, J.A. & Soccol, C.R. (2013). Concentration by  
1372 ultrafiltration and stabilization of phytase produced by solid-state fermentation.  
1373 *Process Biochemistry*, 48, 374-379.

1374 Rodriguez-Jasso, R.M., Mussatto, S.I., Sepulveda, L., Agrasar, A., Pastrana, L.,  
1375 Aguilar, C.N. & Texeira, J.A. (2013). Fungal fucoidanase production by solid-  
1376 state fermentation in a rotating drum bioreactor using algal biomass as substrate.  
1377 *Food and Bioproducts Processing*, in press,  
1378 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fbp.2013.02.004>.

1379 Rosa, D.R., Duarte, I.C., Saavedra, N.K., Varesche, M.B., Zaiat, M., Cammarota, M.C.  
1380 & Freire, D.M. (2009). Performance and molecular evaluation of an anaerobic  
1381 system with suspended biomass for treating wastewater with high fat content  
1382 after enzymatic hydrolysis. *Bioresource Technology*, 100, 6170-6176.

1383 Ruiz, H.A., Rodriguez-Jasso, R.M., Rodriguez, R., Contreras-Esquivel, J.C. & Aguilar,  
1384 C.N. (2012). Pectinase production from lemon peel pomace as support and  
1385 carbon source in solid-state fermentation column-tray bioreactor. *Biochemical*  
1386 *Engineering Journal*, 65, 90-95.

1387 Salihu, A., Alam, Z., Abdulkarim, I. & Salleh, H. (2012). Lipase production: An insight  
1388 in the utilization of renewable agricultural residues. *Resources, Conservation*

1389 and Recycling, 58, 36-44.

1390 Salum, T.F.C., Villeneuve, P., Barea, B., Yamamoto, C.I., Cocco, L.C., Mitchell, D.A.  
1391 & Krieger, N. (2010). Synthesis of biodiesel in column fixed-bed bioreactor  
1392 using the fermented solid produced by *Burkholderia cepacia* LTEB11. Process  
1393 Biochemistry, 45, 1348-1354.

1394 Sandhya, C., Sumantha, A., Szakacs, G. & Pandey, A. (2005). Comparative evaluation  
1395 of neutral protease production by *Aspergillus oryzae* in submerged and solid-  
1396 state fermentation. Process Biochemistry, 40, 2689–2694.

1397 Santis-Navarro, A., Gea, T., Barrena, R. & Sanchez, A. (2011). Production of lipases by  
1398 solid state fermentation using vegetable oil-refining wastes. Bioresource  
1399 Technology, 102, 10080–10084.

1400 Sharma, R., Chisti, Y. & Banerjee, U.C. (2001). Production, purification,  
1401 characterization, and applications of lipases. Biotechnology Advances, 19, 627–  
1402 662.

1403 Singhanian, R.R., Patel, A.K., Soccol, C. & Pandey, A. (2009). Recent advances in solid-  
1404 state fermentation. Biochemical Engineering Journal, 44, 13-18.

1405 Soni, R., Nazir, A. & Chadha, B. S. (2010). Optimization of cellulase production by a  
1406 versatile *Aspergillus fumigatus fresenius* strain (AMA) capable of efficient  
1407 deinking and enzymatic hydrolysis of *Solka* floc and bagasse. Industrial Crops  
1408 and Products, 31, 277-283.

1409 Subba, C., Sathish, T., Ravichandra, P. & Prakasham, R.S. (2009). Characterization of  
1410 thermo- and detergent stable serine protease from isolated *Bacillus circulans* and  
1411 evaluation of eco-friendly applications. Process Biochemistry, 44, 262–268.

1412 Subramaniyam R. & Vimala R. (2012). Solid state and submerged fermentation for the  
1413 production of bioactive substances: A comparative study. International Journal

1414 of Science and Nature, 3, 480-486.

1415 Rodriguez-Fernandez, D.E., Rodríguez-León J.A., de Carvalho, J.C., Karp, S.G., Sturm,  
1416 W., Parada, J.L. & Soccol, C.R. (2012). Influence of airflow intensity on phytase  
1417 production by solid-state fermentation. *Bioresource Technology*, 118, 603-606.

1418 Sukumaran, R.K., Patel, A.K., Larroche, C. & Pandey, A. (2010). Advancement and  
1419 comparative profiles in the production technologies using solid-state and  
1420 submerged fermentation for microbial cellulases. *Enzyme and Microbial  
1421 Technology*, 46, 541-549.

1422 Sukumaran, R.K., Singhanian, R.R., Mathew, G.M. & Pandey, A. (2009). Cellulase  
1423 production using biomass feed stock and its application in lignocellulose  
1424 saccharification for bio-ethanol production. *Renewable Energy*, 34, 421-424.

1425 Sumantha, A., Larroche, C. & Pandey, A. (2006). Microbiology and industrial  
1426 biotechnology of food grade proteases-a perspective. *Food Technology and  
1427 Biotechnology*, 44, 211–220.

1428 Sun, H., Xiangyang, G, Zhikui, H. & Peng, M. (2010). Cellulase production by  
1429 *Trichoderma* sp. on apple pomace under solid state fermentation. *African  
1430 Journal of Biotechnology*, 9, 163-166.

1431 Thanapimmethaa, A., Luadsongkrama, A., Titapiwatanakunc, B. & Srinophakun, P.  
1432 (2012). Value added waste of *Jatropha curcas* residue: Optimization of protease  
1433 production in solid state fermentation by Taguchi DOE methodology. *Industrial  
1434 Crops and Products*, 37, 1– 5.

1435 Thomas, L., Larroche, C., Pandey, A. (2013). Current developments in solid-state  
1436 fermentation. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 81, 146-161.

1437 Turk, B. (2006). Targeting proteases: successes, failures and future prospects. *Nature  
1438 Reviews. Drug Discovery*, 5, 785–798.

1439 Vishwanatha, K.S., Appu Rao, A.G. & Sridevi, A.S. (2009). Characterisation of acid  
1440 protease expressed from *Aspergillus oryzae* MTCC 5341. Food Chemistry, 114,  
1441 402-407.

1442 Vijayaraghavana, P. & Vincent, G.S.P. (2012). Cow dung as a novel, inexpensive  
1443 substrate for the production of a halo-tolerant alkaline protease by *Halomonas*  
1444 sp. PV1 for eco-friendly Applications. Biochemical Engineering Journal, 69,  
1445 57– 60.

1446 Venugopal, M. & Saramma, A. (2006). Characterization of alkaline protease from  
1447 *Vibrio flevialis* strain VM10 isolated from a mangrove sediment sample and its  
1448 application as a laundry detergent additive. Process Biochemistry, 41, 1239–  
1449 1243.

1450 Xia, W., Liu, P. & Liu, J. (2008). Advance in chitosan hydrolysis by non-specific  
1451 cellulases. Bioresource Technology, 99, 6751-6762.

1452 Yoon, L.W., Ang, T.N., Ngoh, G.C., Chua, A.S.M. (2014) Fungal solid-state  
1453 fermentation and various methods of enhancement in cellulase production.  
1454 Biomass and Bioenergy, 67, 319-338.

1455 Zambare, V. (2010). Solid State Fermentation of *Aspergillus oryzae* for Glucoamylase  
1456 Production on Agro residues. International Journal of Life Science, 4, 16-25.

1457 Zanphorlin, L.M., Cabral, H., Arantes, E., Assis, D., Juliano, L., Da-Silva, R., Gomesa,  
1458 E. & Bonilla-Rodrigueza, G.O. (2011). Purification and characterization of a  
1459 new alkaline serine protease from the thermophilic fungus *Myceliophthora* sp.  
1460 Process Biochemistry, 46, 2137–2143.

1461 Zhang, Y. (2008). Reviving the carbohydrate economy via multi-product lignocellulose  
1462 biorefineries. Journal of Industrial Microbiology Biotechnology, 35, 367-375.

1463

1464 **Tables**

1465

1466 Table 1. A comparison between SSF and SmF for the production of enzymes, showing  
1467 the main advantages and disadvantages

1468

Factor	SSF	SmF
Substrate	No-cost materials, e.g. waste products	Very expensive media ingredients
Inoculum	Not necessary	Essential
Aseptic conditions	Not needed	Essential
Moisture	No free water	Liquid media required
Agitation	Very difficult	Easy
Process Control (T, pH)	Difficult	Easy
Contamination	Less chance	High risk
Enzyme Yield	Very high	Low
Downstream processing	Easy, cheap, not time consuming	Very difficult, very expensive
Liquid waste	Not produced	High quantities
Scale up	Difficult, new design equipments needed	Easy, industrial equipments available
Volume and costs of equipments	Small reactors can be used, low costs	Large-scale reactors required, very high costs

1469

Table 2. Types of various waste materials used in the SSF processes for the production of enzymes, including the microorganisms if present in these processes.

Category of waste	Types of waste	Microorganisms	Enzymes	References
Wastes of animal origin	Fish flour	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>		Garcia-Gomez et al., 2009
	Chicken feather	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>		Rai et al., 2009
	Cow dung	<i>Halomonas</i> sp.	Proteases	Vijayaraghavan et al., 2012
	Hair waste	nc		Abraham et al., 2013, 2014
	Tannery solid waste	<i>Synerggestes</i> sp.		Kumar et al., 2009
Wastes of plant origin and food industry	Oil wastes	<i>Aspergillus niger, Bacillus subtilis, Penicillium</i> spp.	Lipases, Amylase	Colla et al., 2010; Chaturvedi et al., 2010; Edwinoliver et al., 2010, Godoy et al., 2011
		<i>Aspergillus oryzae</i>	Protease	Thanapimmeth et al., 2012
		<i>Rhizopus oryzae</i>	Lipases	Garlapati & Banerjee, 2010
	Wheat wastes	<i>Apergillus</i> spp., <i>Trichoderma reesei</i>	Cellulases, Xylanase	Dhillon et al., 2011; Bansal et al., 2012 ; Farinas et al., 2011 ; Pirota et al., 2013
		<i>Aspergillus sojae</i>	Pectinase	Demir & Tari, 2014
		<i>Apergillus</i> spp.	Cellulase	Soni et al., 2010; Dhillon et al., 2011
		<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	Proteases	Paranthaman et al., 2009
		<i>Aergillus fumigatus</i>	Cellulase	Liu et al., 2011
	Rice wastes	<i>Aspergillus terreus</i>	Cellulase	Narra et al., 2012
		<i>Bacillus pumilus</i>	Xylanase	Kapilan & Arasaratnam, 2011
	<i>Aspegillus niger, Penicillium</i> sp.	Lipases	Rigo et al., 2009; Colla et al., 2010	
Soy wastes	nc	Proteases	Abraham et al., 2013	
	<i>Aspergillus oryzae, Trichoderma reesei</i>	Cellulases, Xylanase	Brijwani et al., 2010, 2011	
Peels of fruits and vegetables	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	Cellulase, xylanase, pectinase, phytase	Rodriguez-Fernandez , et al., 2011, 2012, 2013, Mamma et al., 2008	

Sugarcane bagasse	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Proteases	Mukherjee et al., 2008, 2009
	<i>Bacillus firmus</i>	Amylase	Elayaraja et al., 2011
	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	Cellulases	Cunha et al., 2012, Mekala et al., 2008
	<i>Kluyvomyces marxianus</i>	Inulinases	Astolfi et al., 2011
Cotton wastes	<i>Aspergillus</i> spp.	Hydrolytic & oxidative enzymes	Csiszar et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2011
Pomace of fruits and vegetables		Proteases, cellulases	Belmessikh et al., 2013; Dhillon et al., 2012a,b
Grape waste	<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	Lichenase	Chaari et al., 2012
Oil palm trunk	<i>Pleurotus eryngii</i>	Lignolytic enzymes	Akpinar et al, 2012
Waste bread	<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>	Cellulases, Xylanses	Ang et al., 2013
	<i>Aspergillus awamori</i>	Amylases, proteases	Melikoglu et al., 2013
Agrowastes	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Amylase	Mukherjee et al., 2009; Nimkar et al., 2010
Winterisation residues, sludge	nc	Lipases	Santis-Navarro et al., 2011

nc: not controlled

Table 3. Substrate, process conditions, microorganisms and enzyme activity of lipase produced by SSF

Type	Substrate		Process conditions			Microorganisms	Enzyme Activity (U/g)	Reference
	Amount (g)		T (°C)	pH	Moisture (%)*			
Coconut oil cake, wheat bran, wheat rawa	Up to 3000		30	nc	60	<i>Apergillus niger</i>	745.7	Edwinoliver et al., 2010
Mix of oil cakes	10		30	8	70	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	4.5	Chaturvedi et al., 2010
Niger seed oil cake	10		30	6.4-6.8	60	<i>Yarrowia lipolytica</i>	26.42	Imandi et al., 2010
Babassu cake	10		30	nc	70	<i>Penicillium simplicissimum</i>	314	Gutarra et al., 2009
Castor bean waste	20		30	nc	nc	<i>Penicillium simplicissimum</i>	80.24	Godoy et al., 2011
Soybean meal	10		20	7	75	<i>Penicillium sp.</i>	317	Rigo et al., 2009
Soybean meal, rice husk	50		30	4.5	60	<i>Apergillus niger</i>	25.22	Colla et al., 2010
Jatropha curcas seed cake	5		30	7	50	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	312.5	Mahanta et al., 2008
Wheat bran	4		35	5.28	60	<i>Rhizopus oryzae</i>	96.52	Garlapati & Banerjee, 2010
Winterisation residue, sludge	2,500		Higher than 45	7	50	nc	120,000	Santis-Navarro et al., 2011

nc: not controlled

\* Initial moisture content of the substrate(s) used



Table 4. Substrate, process conditions, microorganisms and enzyme activity of protease produced by SSF.

Substrate Type	Process conditions				Microorganisms	Max enzyme activity (U/g)	Reference
	Amount (g)	T (°C )	pH	Moisture (%)*			
Potato peel, grass	100	50	8	50	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	2,383	Mukherjee et al., 2008
Fish flour, polyurethane foam	30	30	nc	50	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	120.78	Garcia-Gomez et al., 2009
Soy fiber residues	1250	nc	8.5	40-60	Nc	47,331	Abraham et al., 2013
Tomato pomace	10	30	6.8	60	<i>Aspergillus oryzae</i>	21,309	Belmessikh et al., 2013
Jatropha seed cake	5	30	6	50	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	1818	Mahanta et al., 2008
	25	30	nc	45	<i>Aspergillus oryzae</i>	14,273	Thanapimmetha et al., 2012
Wheat bran, casein	5	45	nc	60	<i>Thermomucor indicae- seudaticae</i>	167.6	Merheb-Dini et al., 2010
Wheat bran	5	nc	nc	nc	<i>Mycelophthora</i> sp.	19.8	Zanphorlin et al., 2011
	10	24-40	nc	50	14 Fungal strains	5.05	Boyce & Walsh, 2012
	few grams	27	acidic	60	<i>Aspergillus oryzae</i>	8.3 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	Vishwanatha et al., 2009
Chicken feather	5	50	8	50	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	95.3	Rai et al., 2009
							Vijayaraghavan et al., 2012
Cow dung	5	37	8	50	<i>Halomonas</i> sp.	1,351	
Tannery solid waste	5	37	6	50	<i>Synergistes</i> sp.	755	Kumar et al., 2009
Hair wastes	1400	nc	8.5	40-60	nc	56,270	Abraham et al., 2014

nc: not controlled

\* Initial moisture content of the substrate(s) used

Table 5. Substrate, process conditions, microorganisms and enzyme activity of cellulases and xylanase produced by SSF.

Type	Substrate		Process conditions			Microorganisms	Enzymes		Reference
	Amount (g)	T (°C)	pH	Moisture (%) <sup>*</sup>	Type		Max activity (U/g)		
Soybean hulls	10,240	30	5	70	<i>Aspergillus oryzae</i> , <i>Trichoderma reesei</i>	FPase	5.39	Brijwani et al., 2011	
						CMCase	58.57		
						Bgase	18.36		
						Xylanase	242		
Soybean hulls, wheat bran	100	30	5	70	<i>Aspergillus oryzae</i> , <i>Trichoderma reesei</i>	FPase	10.78	Brijwani et al., 2010	
						CMCase	100.67		
						Bgase	10.71		
						Xylanase	504.9		
Rice straw	5	45	7	75	<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>	FPase	3.37	Soni et al., 2010	
						CMCase	98.5		
						Bgase	250.9		
						Xylanase	2,782		
Rice straw, wheat bran	10	30	nc	nc	<i>Aspergillus oryzae</i> , <i>Trichoderma reesei</i>	FPase	35.8	Dhillon et al., 2011	
						CMCase	132.34		
						Bgase	33.71		
						Xylanase	3,106		
Apple pomace	10	30	nc	70	<i>Trichoderma sp.</i>	FPase	7.6	Sun, 2010	
Apple pomace, rice husk	40	30	nc	75	<i>Aspergillus oryzae</i>	FPase	133.68	Dhillon et al., 2012b	
						CMCase	172.3		
Wheat bran	5	30	nc	40-57	<i>Trichoderma reesei</i>	FPase	4.55	Sukumaran et al., 2009	
						CMCase	135.44		
						Bgase	21.49		
Rice husk, wheat bran	30	30	nc	nc	nc	FPase	6.3	Hu et al., 2011	
Apple pomace, lactoserum	40	30	nc	nc	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	CMCase	26	Dhillon et al., 2012a	
						FPase	130.4		

						CMCase	148.9	
						Bgase	90.1	
						Xylanase	2,619	
						FPase	15.9	
Rice husk, wheat bran & straw, corncob, kitchen wastes	5	30	6.5	60	<i>Aspergillus oryzae</i> , <i>Trichoderma reesei</i>	CMCase	297	Bansal et al., 2012
						Bgase	33.2	
Rice, wheat & cotton straw, corncob	20	50	4	80	<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>	FPase	144.6	Liu et al, 2011
						CMCase	526.3	

FPase: Filter paper activity for cellulase

CMCase: Carboxy methyle cellulase

BGase:  $\beta$ -glucosidase

nc: not controlled

\* Initial moisture content of the substrate(s) used

Table 6. Applications of lipase, protease and cellulases and xylanase enzymes

Enzyme	Applications	References
Lipase	Oil, pharmaceutical, food and chemical industries: synthesis reactions (biodiesel production), food applications (interesterification of oils) and treatment of waste water	Sharma & Hasan, 2006; Salihu et al., 2012; Damasceno et al., 2012 ; Raser et al., 2012
Protease	Detergent formulations, dehairing (leather industry), dairy industry	Venugopal et al., 2006; Abraham et al., 2014 ; Merheb-Dini et al., 2010
Cellulases and Xylanase	Paper manufacture, textile industry, bioethanol production	Das et al. (2013); Liu et al. (2011) ; Lever et al. (2013)

Table 7. Conditions needed for lab scale vs. large or commercial scale SSF

Condition	Lab scale	Large Scale
pH control	Possible through pH adjustment	Not possible
T control, Heat Removal	Easy, Possible through controlled temperature water bath	Possible by managing aeration, costly, possible presence of T gradients along the solid matrix in bed reactors.
Handling of solid substrates	Very easy	Very difficult
Inoculation	Easy, not expensive	Very high costs, difficult homogenization.
Agitation	Very easy	Possible in some reactors configurations such as rotatory drums, high energy cost.
Aeration	Sufficient	Moderate-high, high energy costs