

---

This is the **submitted version** of the article:

Bandini, Andrea; Bars Cortina, Francesc; Longhi, Ignazio. «Characteristic ideals and Selmer groups». Journal of Number Theory, Vol. 157 (December 2015), p. 530-546. DOI 10.1016/j.jnt.2015.05.011

---

This version is available at <https://ddd.uab.cat/record/240662>

under the terms of the  license

# CHARACTERISTIC IDEALS AND SELMER GROUPS

ANDREA BANDINI, FRANCESC BARS, AND IGNAZIO LONGHI

ABSTRACT. Let  $A$  be an abelian variety defined over a global field  $F$  of positive characteristic  $p$  and let  $\mathcal{F}/F$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathbb{N}}$ -extension, unramified outside a finite set of places of  $F$ . Assuming that all ramified places are totally ramified, we define a pro-characteristic ideal associated to the Pontrjagin dual of the  $p$ -primary Selmer group of  $A$ . To do this we first show the relation between the characteristic ideals of duals of Selmer groups for a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extension  $\mathcal{F}_d/F$  and for any  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{d-1}$ -extension contained in  $\mathcal{F}_d$ , and then use a limit process. Finally, we give an application to an Iwasawa Main Conjecture for the non-noetherian commutative Iwasawa algebra  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(\mathcal{F}/F)]]$  in the case  $A$  is a constant abelian variety.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $F$  be a global function field of characteristic  $p$  and  $\mathcal{F}/F$  a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathbb{N}}$ -extension unramified outside a finite set of places. We take an abelian variety  $A$  defined over  $F$  and let  $S_A$  be a finite set of places of  $F$  containing exactly the primes of bad reduction for  $A$  and those which ramify in  $\mathcal{F}/F$ . For any extension  $v$  of some place of  $F$  to the algebraic closure  $\overline{F}$  and for any finite extension  $E/F$ , we denote by  $E_v$  the completion of  $E$  with respect to  $v$  and, if  $\mathcal{L}/F$  is infinite, we put  $\mathcal{L}_v := \cup E_v$ , where the union is taken over all finite subextensions of  $\mathcal{L}$ . We define the  $p$ -part of the *Selmer group* of  $A$  over  $E$  as

$$\text{Sel}(E) := \text{Sel}_A(E)_p := \text{Ker} \left\{ H_{fl}^1(X_E, A[p^\infty]) \longrightarrow \prod_v H_{fl}^1(X_{E_v}, A)[p^\infty] \right\}$$

(where  $H_{fl}^1$  denotes flat cohomology,  $X_E := \text{Spec}(E)$  and the map is the product of the natural restrictions at all places  $v$  of  $E$ ). For infinite algebraic extensions we define the Selmer groups by taking direct limits on all the finite subextensions. For any algebraic extension  $K/F$ , let  $\mathcal{S}(K)$  denote the Pontrjagin dual of  $\text{Sel}(K)$  (other Pontrjagin duals will be indicated by the symbol  ${}^\vee$ ).

For any infinite Galois extension  $\mathcal{L}/F$ , let  $\Lambda(\mathcal{L}) := \mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/F)]]$  be the associated Iwasawa algebra: we recall that, if  $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/F) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ , then  $\Lambda(\mathcal{L}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[[t_1, \dots, t_d]]$  is a Krull domain. It is well known that  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{L})$  is a  $\Lambda(\mathcal{L})$ -module and its structure has been described in several recent papers (see, e.g., [13] for  $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/F) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^d$  and [4] for the non abelian case). When  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{L})$  is a finitely generated module over a noetherian abelian Iwasawa algebra, it is possible to associate to  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{L})$  a characteristic ideal which is a key ingredient in Iwasawa Main Conjectures. We are interested in the definition of the analogue of a characteristic ideal in  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$  for  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$  (a similar result providing a *pro-characteristic ideal* for the Iwasawa module of class groups is described in [3]).

If  $R$  is a noetherian Krull domain and  $M$  a finitely generated torsion  $R$ -module, the structure theorem for  $M$  provides an exact sequence

$$(1.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R/\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i} R \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11R23; 11G35.

*Key words and phrases.* Characteristic ideals; Iwasawa theory; Selmer groups.

F. Bars supported by MTM2013-40680-P.

where the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ 's are height 1 prime ideals of  $R$  and  $P$  and  $Q$  are pseudo-null  $R$ -modules (i.e., torsion modules with annihilator of height at least 2). With this sequence one defines the *characteristic ideal* of  $M$  as

$$Ch_R(M) := \prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}$$

(if  $M$  is not torsion, we put  $Ch_R(M) = 0$ , moreover note that  $M$  is pseudo-null if and only if  $Ch_R(M) = (1)$ ). In commutative Iwasawa theory characteristic ideals provide the algebraic counterpart for the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions associated to Iwasawa modules (such as duals of Selmer groups).

We fix a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -basis  $\{\gamma_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  for  $\Gamma$  and, for any  $d \geq 0$ , we let  $\mathcal{F}_d \subset \mathcal{F}$  be the fixed field of  $\{\gamma_i\}_{i > d}$ . Then we have  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}) = \varprojlim \Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)$  and  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}) = \varprojlim \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)$ . Note that the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_d\}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is uniquely determined once the  $\gamma_i$  have been fixed, but we allow complete freedom in their initial choice. Put  $t_i := \gamma_i - 1$ : the subring  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[t_1, \dots, t_d]]$  of  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$  is isomorphic to  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)$  and, by a slight abuse of notation, the two shall be identified in this paper. In particular, for any  $d \geq 1$  we have  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d) = \Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})[[t_d]]$ . Let  $\pi_{d-1}^d: \Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$  be the canonical projection, denote its kernel by  $I_{d-1}^d = (t_d)$  and put  $\Gamma_{d-1}^d := \text{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_d/\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$ .

Our goal is to define an ideal attached to  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$  in the non-noetherian Iwasawa algebra  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$ : we will do this via a limit of the characteristic ideals  $Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d))$ . Thus we need to study the relation between  $\pi_{d-1}^d(Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)))$  and  $Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1}))$ . A general technique to deal with this type of descent and ensure that the limit does not depend on the filtration has been described in [3, Theorem 2.13]. That theorem is based on a generalization of some results of [10, Section 3] (which directly apply to our algebras  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)$ , even without the generalization to Krull domains provided in [3]) and can be applied to the  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$ -module  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$ . In our setting [3, Theorem 2.13] reads as follows

**Theorem 1.1.** *If, for every  $d \gg 1$ ,*

1. *the  $t_d$ -torsion submodule of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)$  is a pseudo-null  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$ -module, i.e.,*

$$Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)_{t_d}) = Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d}) = (1) ;$$

2.  *$Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)/t_d) = Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)/I_{d-1}^d) \subseteq Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1}))$ ,*

*then the ideals  $Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d))$  form a projective system (with respect to the maps  $\pi_{d-1}^d$ ).*

In Section 2 we show that if  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_e)$  is  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_e)$ -torsion, then  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)$  is  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)$ -torsion for all  $d \geq e$  and use [3, Proposition 2.10] to provide a general relation

$$(1.2) \quad Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d}) \cdot \pi_{d-1}^d(Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d))) = Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})) \cdot J_d$$

(see (2.9) where the extra factor  $J_d$  is more explicit). Then we move to the totally ramified setting, i.e., extensions in which all ramified primes are assumed to be totally ramified (an example are the extensions obtained from  $F$  by adding the  $\mathfrak{a}^n$ -torsion points of a normalized rank 1 Drinfeld module over  $F$ ). In this setting, adapting some techniques and results of K.-S. Tan ([14]), we check the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 using equation (1.2), and obtain (see Corollary 3.5 and Definition 3.6)

**Theorem 1.2.** *Assume all ramified primes in  $\mathcal{F}/F$  are totally ramified. Then, for  $d \gg 0$ ,*

$$\pi_{d-1}^d(Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d))) = Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1}))$$

*and the pro-characteristic ideal*

$$\widetilde{Ch}_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})) := \varprojlim_d Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)) \subseteq \Lambda(\mathcal{F})$$

*is well defined.*

As an application, we use a deep result of Lai - Longhi - Tan - Trihan [8] to prove an Iwasawa Main Conjecture for constant abelian varieties in our non-noetherian setting (see Theorem 3.7).

## 2. GENERAL $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -DESCENT FOR SELMER GROUPS

To be able to define characteristic ideals we need the following

**Theorem 2.1. (Tan)** *Assume that  $A$  has good ordinary or split multiplicative reduction at all places of the finite set  $S_A$ . Then, for any  $d$  and any  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extension  $\mathcal{L}/F$  contained in  $\mathcal{F}$ , the group  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{L})$  is a finitely generated  $\Lambda(\mathcal{L})$ -module.*

*Proof.* In this form the theorem is due to Tan ([13, Theorem 5]). See also [2, Section 2] and the references there.  $\square$

If there is a place  $v$  ramified in  $\mathcal{L}/F$  and of supersingular reduction for  $A$ , then the module  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{L})$  is not finitely generated over  $\Lambda(\mathcal{L})$  by [14, Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 3.10]. In order to obtain a nontrivial relation between the characteristic ideals, we need no ramified supersingular primes and something more than just Theorem 2.1, so we make the following

### Assumptions 2.2.

1. All places ramified in  $\mathcal{F}/F$  are of ordinary reduction.
2. There exists an  $e > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_e)$  is a torsion  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_e)$ -module.

### Remarks 2.3.

1. Hypothesis 2 is satisfied in many cases: for example when  $\mathcal{F}_e$  contains the arithmetic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of  $F$  (proof in [14, Theorem 2], extending [11, Theorem 1.7]) or when  $\text{Sel}(F)$  is finite and  $A$  has good ordinary reduction at all places which ramify in  $\mathcal{F}_e/F$  (easy consequence of [13, Theorem 4]).
2. Our goal is an equation relating  $\pi_{d-1}^d(\text{Ch}_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d))$  and the characteristic ideal of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$ . If the above assumption 2 is not satisfied for any  $e$ , then all characteristic ideals are 0 and there is nothing to prove.

In this section we also assume that none of the ramified prime has trivial decomposition group in  $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_1/F)$ . In Section 3 we shall work in extensions in which ramified places are totally ramified, so this assumption will be automatically verified. Anyway this is not restrictive in general because of the following

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $d \geq 2$ , one can always find a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -subextension  $\mathcal{F}_1/F$  of  $\mathcal{F}_d/F$  in which none of the ramified places splits completely.*

*Proof.* See [3, Lemma 3.1]  $\square$

Consider the diagram

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Sel}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1}) \hookrightarrow & H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_{d-1}, A[p^\infty]) & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X}_{d-1}) \\ \downarrow a_{d-1}^d & \downarrow b_{d-1}^d & & \downarrow c_{d-1}^d \\ \text{Sel}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d} \hookrightarrow & H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty])^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d} & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d} \end{array}$$

where  $\mathcal{X}_d := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{F}_d)$  and  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X}_d)$  is the image of the product of the restriction maps

$$H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty]) \longrightarrow \prod_w H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_{d,w}, A)[p^\infty],$$

with  $w$  running over all places of  $\mathcal{F}_d$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *For any  $d \geq 2$ , the Pontrjagin dual of  $\text{Ker } c_{d-1}^d$  is a finitely generated torsion  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$ -module.*

*Proof.* For any place  $v$  of  $F$  we fix an extension to  $\mathcal{F}$ , which by a slight abuse of notation we still denote by  $v$ , so that the set of places of  $\mathcal{F}_d$  above  $v$  will be the Galois orbit  $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_d/F) \cdot v$ . For any field  $L$  let  $\mathcal{P}_L$  be the set of places of  $L$ . We have an obvious injection

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Ker } c_{d-1}^d \hookrightarrow \prod_{u \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_{d-1}}} \text{Ker} \left\{ H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_{d-1,u}, A)[p^\infty] \longrightarrow \prod_{w|u} H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_{d,w}, A)[p^\infty] \right\}$$

(the map is the product of the natural restrictions  $r_w$ ). By the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence, we get

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Ker } r_w \simeq H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w})) [p^\infty]$$

where  $\Gamma_{d-1,w}^d$  is the decomposition group of  $w$  in  $\Gamma_{d-1}^d$ . Those kernels really depend only on the place  $u$  of  $\mathcal{F}_{d-1}$  lying below  $w$  (for any  $w_1, w_2$  dividing  $u$  we obviously have  $\text{Ker } r_{w_1} \simeq \text{Ker } r_{w_2}$ ). Hence for any  $v$  of  $F$  and any  $u \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_{d-1}}$  dividing it, we fix a  $w(u)$  of  $\mathcal{F}_d$  over  $u$  and define

$$\mathcal{H}_v(\mathcal{F}_d) := \prod_{u \in \text{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1}/F) \cdot v} H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w(u)}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w(u)})) [p^\infty] .$$

Equation (2.2) now reads as

$$(2.4) \quad \text{Ker } c_{d-1}^d \hookrightarrow \prod_{v \in \mathcal{P}_F} \mathcal{H}_v(\mathcal{F}_d) .$$

Obviously  $\mathcal{H}_v(\mathcal{F}_d) = 0$  for all primes which totally split in  $\mathcal{F}_d/\mathcal{F}_{d-1}$  and, from now on, we only consider places such that  $\Gamma_{d-1,w(u)}^d \neq 0$ .

Let  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{i,v}) := \mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_{i,v}/F_v)]]$  be the Iwasawa algebra associated to the decomposition group of  $v$  and note that each  $\text{Ker } r_w$  is a  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1,v})$ -module. Moreover, we get an action of  $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1}/F)$  on  $\mathcal{H}_v(\mathcal{F}_d)$  by permutation of the primes  $u$  and an isomorphism

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{H}_v(\mathcal{F}_d) \simeq \Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1}) \otimes_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1,v})} H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w(u)}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w(u)})) [p^\infty]$$

(more details can be found in [14, Lemma 3.2], note that  $H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w(u)}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w(u)})) [p^\infty]$  is finitely generated over  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1,v})$ ).

First assume that the place  $v$  is unramified in  $\mathcal{F}_d/F$  (hence inert in  $\mathcal{F}_d/\mathcal{F}_{d-1}$ ). Then  $\mathcal{F}_{d-1,v} = F_v \neq \mathcal{F}_{d,v}$  and one has, by [9, Proposition I.3.8],

$$H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w(u)}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w(u)})) \simeq H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w(u)}^d, \pi_0(\mathcal{A}_{0,v})) ,$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_{0,v}$  is the closed fiber of the Néron model of  $A$  over  $F_v$  and  $\pi_0(\mathcal{A}_{0,v})$  is its set of connected components. It follows that  $H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w(u)}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w(u)})) [p^\infty]$  is trivial when  $v$  does not lie above  $S_A$  and that it is finite of order bounded by (the  $p$ -part of)  $|\pi_0(\mathcal{A}_{0,v})|$  for the unramified places of bad reduction. Hence (2.4) reduces to

$$(2.6) \quad \text{Ker } c_{d-1}^d \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S'_A(d)} \mathcal{H}_v(\mathcal{F}_d)$$

(where  $S'_A(d)$  is the set of primes in  $S_A$  which are not totally split in  $\mathcal{F}_d/\mathcal{F}_{d-1}$ ) and, by (2.5),  $\mathcal{H}_v(\mathcal{F}_d)^\vee$  is a finitely generated torsion  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$ -module for unramified  $v$ .

For the ramified case the exact sequence

$$A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w(u)})[p] \hookrightarrow A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w(u)}) \xrightarrow{p} pA(\mathcal{F}_{d,w(u)})$$

yields a surjection

$$H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w(u)}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w(u)})[p]) \twoheadrightarrow H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w(u)}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w(u)})) [p] .$$

The first module is obviously finite, so  $H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w(u)}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w(u)}))[p]$  is finite as well: this implies that  $H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w(u)}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w(u)}))[p^\infty]^\vee$  has finite  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -rank. As a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module,  $H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w(u)}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w(u)}))[p^\infty]^\vee$  must be  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_{d-1,v}]]$ -torsion for any  $d \geq 2$  (because of our choice of  $\mathcal{F}_1/F$ ) and (2.5) shows once again that  $\mathcal{H}_v(\mathcal{F}_d)^\vee$  is finitely generated and torsion over  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.6.** One can go deeper in the details and compute those kernels according to the reduction of  $A$  at  $v$  and the behaviour of  $v$  in  $\mathcal{F}_d/F$ . We will do this in Section 3 but only for the particular case of a totally ramified extension (with the statement of a Main Conjecture as a final goal). See [14] for a more general analysis.

The following proposition provides a crucial step towards equation (1.2) (in particular it also takes care of hypothesis **2** of Theorem 1.1).

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $e$  be as in Assumption 2.2.2. For any  $d > e$ , the module  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)/I_{d-1}^d$  is a finitely generated torsion  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$ -module and  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)$  is a finitely generated torsion  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)$ -module. Moreover, if  $d > \max\{2, e\}$ ,*

$$Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)/I_{d-1}^d) = Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})) \cdot Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}((\text{Coker } a_{d-1}^d)^\vee).$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the first statement for  $d = e+1$ , then a standard argument (detailed, e.g., in [7, page 207]) shows that  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{e+1})$  is  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{e+1})$ -torsion and we can iterate the process. From diagram (2.1) one gets a sequence

$$(2.7) \quad (\text{Coker } a_e^{e+1})^\vee \hookrightarrow (\text{Sel}(\mathcal{F}_{e+1})^{\Gamma_e^{e+1}})^\vee \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_e) \twoheadrightarrow (\text{Ker } a_e^{e+1})^\vee.$$

By the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence, it follows

$$\text{Coker } b_e^{e+1} \hookrightarrow H^2(\Gamma_e^{e+1}, A[p^\infty](\mathcal{F}_{e+1})) = 0$$

(because  $\Gamma_e^{e+1}$  has  $p$ -cohomological dimension 1). Therefore there is a surjective map

$$\text{Ker } c_e^{e+1} \twoheadrightarrow \text{Coker } a_e^{e+1}$$

and, by Lemma 2.5,  $(\text{Coker } a_e^{e+1})^\vee$  is  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_e)$ -torsion. Hence Assumption 2.2.2 and sequence (2.7) yield that

$$(\text{Sel}(\mathcal{F}_{e+1})^{\Gamma_e^{e+1}})^\vee \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{e+1})/I_e^{e+1}$$

is  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_e)$ -torsion. To conclude note that (for any  $d$ ) the duals of

$$\text{Ker } a_{d-1}^d \hookrightarrow \text{Ker } b_{d-1}^d \simeq H^1(\Gamma_{d-1}^d, A[p^\infty](\mathcal{F}_d)) \simeq A[p^\infty](\mathcal{F}_d)/I_{d-1}^d$$

are finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -modules (hence pseudo-null over  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$  for any  $d \geq 3$ ). Taking characteristic ideals in the sequence (2.7), for large enough  $d$ , one finds

$$Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)/I_{d-1}^d) = Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})) \cdot Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}((\text{Coker } a_{d-1}^d)^\vee).$$

$\square$

**Remark 2.8.** In [11, Theorem 1.7], the authors prove that  $\mathcal{S}(F^{(p)})$  is a finitely generated torsion  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(F^{(p)}/F)]]$ -module (where  $F^{(p)}$  is the arithmetic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of  $F$ ). The first part of the proof above provides a more direct approach to the generalization of this result given in [14, Theorem 2].

Whenever  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)$  is a finitely generated torsion  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)$ -module, [3, Proposition 2.10] yields

$$(2.8) \quad Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d} \cdot \pi_{d-1}^d(Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)))) = Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)/I_{d-1}^d).$$

If  $d > \max\{2, e\}$ , equation (2.8) turns into

$$(2.9) \quad Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d} \cdot \pi_{d-1}^d(Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)))) = Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})) \cdot J_d,$$

where  $J_d := Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}((\text{Coker } a_{d-1}^d)^\vee)$ .

Therefore, whenever we can prove that  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d}$  is a pseudo-null  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$ -module (i.e., hypothesis 1 of Theorem 1.1), we immediately get

$$(2.10) \quad \pi_{d-1}^d(Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d))) \subseteq Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1}))$$

and Theorem 1.1 will provide the definition of the pro-characteristic ideal for  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$  in  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$  we were looking for.

### 3. $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -DESCENT FOR TOTALLY RAMIFIED EXTENSIONS

The main examples we have in mind are extensions satisfying the following

**Assumption 3.1.** The (finitely many) ramified places of  $\mathcal{F}/F$  are totally ramified.

In what follows an extension satisfying this assumption will be called a *totally ramified extension*. A prototypical example is the  $\mathfrak{a}$ -cyclotomic extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$  generated by the  $\mathfrak{a}$ -torsion of the Carlitz module ( $\mathfrak{a}$  an ideal of  $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ , see, e.g., [12, Chapter 12]). As usual in Iwasawa theory over number fields, most of the proofs will work (or can be adapted) simply assuming that ramified primes are totally ramified in  $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}_e$  for some  $e \geq 0$ , but, in the function field setting, one would need some extra hypothesis on the behaviour of these places in  $\mathcal{F}_e/F$  (as we have seen with Lemma 2.4, note that in totally ramified extensions any  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -subextension can play the role of  $\mathcal{F}_1$ ).

A relevant example for the last case is the composition of a  $\mathfrak{a}$ -cyclotomic extension and of the arithmetic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$  (with the second one playing the role of  $\mathcal{F}_1$ ). Note that Assumption 2.2.2 is verified in this case with  $e = 1$ , thanks to [11, Theorem 1.7], hence our next results hold for all these extensions as well.

Let  $v \in S_A$  be unramified in  $\mathcal{F}/F$ , then it is either totally split or it is inert in just one  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension  $\mathcal{F}_{d(v)}/\mathcal{F}_{d(v)-1}$  and totally split in all the others. Since  $|S_A|$  is finite we can fix an index  $d_0$  such that all unramified places of  $S_A$  are totally split in  $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}_{d_0}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume  $\mathcal{F}/F$  is a totally ramified extension, then, for any  $d \geq d_0 + 1$ , we have

$$Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}((\text{Coker } a_{d-1}^d)^\vee) = (1) .$$

*Proof.* The proof of Proposition 2.7 shows that the  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$ -modules  $(\text{Coker } a_{d-1}^d)^\vee$  and  $(\text{Ker } c_{d-1}^d)^\vee$  are pseudo-isomorphic for  $d \geq 3$ . Moreover, by the proof of Lemma 2.5 (recall, in particular, equation (2.6)), we know that  $(\text{Ker } c_{d-1}^d)^\vee$  is a quotient of  $\bigoplus_{v \in S'_A(d)} \mathcal{H}_v(\mathcal{F}_d)^\vee$ . Hence

we only consider the contributions of the places of  $S_A$  which are not totally split in  $\mathcal{F}/F$ . By equation (2.5), we have (for a fixed  $w$  dividing  $v$ )

$$(3.1) \quad Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{H}_v(\mathcal{F}_d)^\vee) = \Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1}) Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1,v})}(H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}))[p^\infty]^\vee) .$$

We also saw that, for a ramified prime  $v$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_v(\mathcal{F}_d)^\vee$  (which is  $H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}))[p^\infty]^\vee$ , because  $v$  is totally ramified) is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , hence pseudo-null over  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1,v}) = \Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$  for  $d \geq 3$ .

We are left with the unramified (not totally split) primes in  $S_A$ . Assume  $v$  is inert in an extension  $\mathcal{F}_r/\mathcal{F}_{r-1}$  ( $r \leq d_0$  by definition), then

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{r-1,v}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d,v}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[[t_r]] \quad \text{for any } d \geq r .$$

Since (again by Lemma 2.5)  $H^1(\Gamma_{r-1,w}^r, A(\mathcal{F}_{r,w}))[p^\infty]$  is finite and  $H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}))[p^\infty] = 0$  for any  $d \geq r$ , we have

$$Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{r-1,v})}(H^1(\Gamma_{r-1,w}^r, A(\mathcal{F}_{r,w}))[p^\infty]^\vee) = (p^{\nu(v)})$$

for some  $\nu(v)$  depending on  $|\pi_0(\mathcal{A}_{0,v})|$ , and

$$Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1,v})}(H^1(\Gamma_{d-1,w}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}))[p^\infty]^\vee) = (1) \text{ for any } d \geq d_0 + 1 \geq r + 1 .$$

These local informations and (3.1) yield the theorem.  $\square$

Now we deal with the other extra term of equation (2.9), i.e.,  $Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d})$ . Note first that, taking duals

$$(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d})^\vee \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)^\vee / (\gamma_d - 1) = Sel(\mathcal{F}_d) / (\gamma_d - 1) ,$$

so we work on the last module.

From now on we put  $\gamma := \gamma_d$  and we shall need the following (see also [14, Proposition 4.4])

**Lemma 3.3.** *We have*

$$H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty]) = (\gamma - 1)H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty]) .$$

*Proof.* Since

$$H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty]) = \varinjlim_{K \subset \mathcal{F}_d, [K:F] < \infty} \varinjlim_m H_{fl}^1(X_K, A[p^m]) ,$$

an element  $\alpha \in H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty])$  belongs to some  $H_{fl}^1(X_K, A[p^m])$ . Now let  $\gamma^{p^{s(K)}}$  be the largest power of  $\gamma$  which acts trivially on  $K$ , and define a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension  $K_\infty$  with  $\text{Gal}(K_\infty/K) = \langle \gamma^{p^{s(K)}} \rangle$  and layers  $K_n$ . Take  $t \geq m$ , consider the restrictions

$$H_{fl}^1(X_K, A[p^m]) \rightarrow H_{fl}^1(X_{K_t}, A[p^m]) \rightarrow H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_{K_\infty}, A[p^m])$$

and denote by  $x_t$  the image of  $x$ . Now  $x_t$  is fixed by  $\text{Gal}(K_t/K)$  and  $p^m x_t = 0$ , so  $x_t$  is in the kernel of the norm  $N_K^{K_t}$ , i.e.,  $x_t$  belongs to the (Galois) cohomology group

$$H^1(K_t/K, H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_{K_\infty}, A[p^m])) \hookrightarrow H^1(K_\infty/K, H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_{K_\infty}, A[p^m])) .$$

Let  $Ker_m^2$  be the kernel of the restriction map  $H_{fl}^2(X_K, A[p^m]) \rightarrow H_{fl}^2(\mathcal{X}_{K_\infty}, A[p^m])$ , then, from the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence, we have

$$(3.2) \quad Ker_m^2 \rightarrow H^1(K_\infty/K, H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_{K_\infty}, A[p^m])) \rightarrow H^3(K_\infty/K, A(K_\infty)[p^m]) = 0$$

(because the  $p$ -cohomological dimension of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is 1). To get rid of  $Ker_m^2$  note that, by [6, Lemma 3.3],  $H_{fl}^2(X_K, A) = 0$ . Hence, the cohomology sequence arising from

$$A[p^m] \hookrightarrow A \xrightarrow{p^m} A ,$$

yields an isomorphism  $H_{fl}^2(X_K, A[p^m]) \simeq H_{fl}^1(X_K, A)/p^m$ . Consider the commutative diagram (with  $m_2 \geq m_1$ )

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{fl}^1(X_K, A)/p^{m_1} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_{fl}^2(X_K, A[p^{m_1}]) \\ p^{m_2-m_1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{fl}^1(X_K, A)/p^{m_2} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_{fl}^2(X_K, A[p^{m_2}]) . \end{array}$$

An element of  $H_{fl}^1(X_K, A)/p^{m_1}$  of order  $p^r$  goes to zero via the vertical map on the left as soon as  $m_2 \geq m_1 + r$ , hence the direct limit provides  $\varinjlim_m H_{fl}^1(X_K, A)/p^m = 0$  and, eventually,

$\varinjlim_m Ker_m^2 = 0$  as well. By (3.2)

$$0 = \varinjlim_m H^1(K_\infty/K, H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_{K_\infty}, A[p^m])) = H^1(K_\infty/K, H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_{K_\infty}, A[p^\infty])) ,$$



which yields

$$H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_{K_\infty}, A[p^\infty]) = (\gamma^{p^{s(K)}} - 1)H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_{K_\infty}, A[p^\infty]) = (\gamma - 1)H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_{K_\infty}, A[p^\infty]) .$$

We get the claim by taking the direct limit on the finite subextensions  $K$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *For any  $d \geq 3$  we have  $Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d}) = (1)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the following diagram

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} Sel(\mathcal{F}_d) & \hookrightarrow & H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty]) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A) & \twoheadrightarrow & Coker(\phi) \\ \downarrow \gamma-1 & & \downarrow \gamma-1 & & \downarrow \gamma-1 & & \downarrow \gamma-1 \\ Sel(\mathcal{F}_d) & \hookrightarrow & H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty]) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A) & \twoheadrightarrow & Coker(\phi) \end{array}$$

(where  $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{X}_d, A) := \prod_w H_{fl}^i(\mathcal{X}_{d,w}, A)[p^\infty]$  and the surjectivity of the second vertical arrow comes from the previous lemma). Inserting  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_d) := Im(\phi)$ , we get two diagrams

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} Sel(\mathcal{F}_d) & \hookrightarrow & H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty]) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_d) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A) \twoheadrightarrow Coker(\phi) \\ \downarrow \gamma-1 & & \downarrow \gamma-1 & & \downarrow \gamma-1 & & \downarrow \gamma-1 \\ Sel(\mathcal{F}_d) & \hookrightarrow & H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty]) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_d) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A) \twoheadrightarrow Coker(\phi) . \end{array}$$

From the snake lemma sequence of the first one, we obtain the isomorphism

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d} / Im(\phi^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d}) \simeq Sel(\mathcal{F}_d) / (\gamma - 1)$$

(where  $\phi^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d}$  is the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty])^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d}$ ). The snake lemma sequence of the second diagram (its “upper” row) yields an isomorphism

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d} / \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d} \simeq Coker(\phi)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d} .$$

The injection  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d}$  induces an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d} / Im(\phi^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d} / Im(\phi^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d} / \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d}$$

(with a little abuse of notation we are considering  $Im(\phi^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d})$  as a submodule of  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d}$  via the natural injection above) which, by (3.5) and (3.6), yields the sequence

$$(3.7) \quad Sel(\mathcal{F}_d) / (\gamma - 1) \hookrightarrow Coker(\phi^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d}) \twoheadrightarrow Coker(\phi)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d} .$$

Now consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^1(\Gamma_{d-1}^d, A[p^\infty]) & \hookrightarrow & H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_{d-1}, A[p^\infty]) & \longrightarrow & H_{fl}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A[p^\infty])^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \phi_{d-1}^d & & \downarrow \phi_{d-1} & & \downarrow \phi^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d} & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma_{d-1}^d, A) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_{d-1}, A) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_d, A)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d} & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^2(\Gamma_{d-1}^d, A) \end{array}$$

where:

- the vertical maps are all induced by the product of restrictions  $\phi$ ;
- the horizontal lines are just the Hochschild-Serre sequences for global and local cohomology;
- the 0 in the upper right corner comes from  $H^2(\Gamma_{d-1}^d, A[p^\infty]) = 0$ ;
- the surjectivity on the lower right corner comes from  $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathcal{X}_{d-1}, A) = 0$ , which is a direct consequence of [9, Theorem III.7.8].

This yields a sequence (from the snake lemma)

$$(3.8) \quad \text{Coker}(\phi_{d-1}) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\phi^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^2(\Gamma_{d-1}^d, A) = \prod_w H^2(\Gamma_{d-1,w}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}))[p^\infty] .$$

**The module**  $\text{Coker}(\phi_{d-1})$ . The Kummer map induces a surjection  $H^1(\mathcal{X}_{d-1}, A[p^\infty]) \twoheadrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{X}_{d-1}, A)[p^\infty]$  which fits in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\mathcal{X}_{d-1}, A[p^\infty]) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{d-1}} & \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_{d-1}, A) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \lambda_{d-1} & \\ H^1(\mathcal{X}_{d-1}, A)[p^\infty] & & \end{array} .$$

This induces natural surjective maps  $\text{Im}(\phi_{d-1}) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Im}(\lambda_{d-1})$  and, eventually,  $\text{Coker}(\lambda_{d-1}) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Coker}(\phi_{d-1})$ . For any finite extension  $K/F$  we have a similar map

$$\lambda_K : H^1(X_K, A)[p^\infty] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(X_K, A)$$

whose cokernel verifies

$$\text{Coker}(\lambda_K)^\vee \simeq T_p(\text{Sel}_{A^t}(K)_p)$$

(by [5, Main Theorem]), where  $A^t$  is the dual abelian variety of  $A$  and  $T_p$  denotes the  $p$ -adic Tate module. Moreover there is an embedding of  $T_p(\text{Sel}_{A^t}(K)_p)$  into the  $p$ -adic completion of  $\mathcal{H}^0(X_K, A^t)$  (recall that, by Tate local duality,  $A^t(K_v) = H^0(K_v, A^t)$  is the Pontrjagin dual of  $H^1(K_v, A)$ , see [9, Theorem III.7.8]). Taking limits on all the finite subextensions of  $\mathcal{F}_{d-1}$  we find similar relations

$$\text{Coker}(\lambda_{d-1})^\vee \simeq T_p(\text{Sel}_{A^t}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})_p) \hookrightarrow \varprojlim_K \varprojlim_n \mathcal{H}^0(X_K, A^t)/p^n = \varprojlim_K \varprojlim_n A^t(K)[p^\infty]/p^n .$$

Hence  $\text{Coker}(\lambda_{d-1})^\vee$  embeds into a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module, i.e., it is  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$  pseudo-null for any  $d \geq 3$ .

**The modules**  $H^2(\Gamma_{d-1,w}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}))[p^\infty]$ . If the prime splits completely in  $\mathcal{F}_d/\mathcal{F}_{d-1}$ , then obviously  $H^2(\Gamma_{d-1,w}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}))[p^\infty] = 0$ . If the place is ramified or inert, then  $\Gamma_{d-1,w}^d \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Consider the exact sequence

$$A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w})[p] \hookrightarrow A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}) \xrightarrow{p} pA(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}) ,$$

which yields a surjection

$$H^2(\Gamma_{d-1,w}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w})[p]) \twoheadrightarrow H^2(\Gamma_{d-1,w}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}))[p] .$$

The module on the left is trivial because  $cd_p(\mathbb{Z}_p) = 1$ , hence  $H^2(\Gamma_{d-1,w}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}))[p] = 0$  and this yields  $H^2(\Gamma_{d-1,w}^d, A(\mathcal{F}_{d,w}))[p^\infty] = 0$ .

The sequence (3.8) implies that  $\text{Coker}(\phi^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d})$  is  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})$  pseudo-null for  $d \geq 3$  and, by (3.7), we get  $\text{Sel}(\mathcal{F}_d)/(\gamma - 1)$  is pseudo-null as well. Therefore

$$\text{Ch}_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)^{\Gamma_{d-1}^d}) = \text{Ch}_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}((\text{Sel}(\mathcal{F}_d)/(\gamma - 1))^\vee) = (1) .$$

□

A direct consequence of equation (2.9) and Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 is

**Corollary 3.5.** *Assume  $\mathcal{F}/F$  is a totally ramified extension, then, for any  $d \gg 0$  and any  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -subextension  $\mathcal{F}_d/\mathcal{F}_{d-1}$ , one has*

$$(3.9) \quad \pi_{d-1}^d(\text{Ch}_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d))) = \text{Ch}_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_{d-1})) .$$

The modules  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)$  verify the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 (because of Proposition 2.7 and Theorem 3.4), so we can define

**Definition 3.6.** The *pro-characteristic ideal* of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$  is

$$\widetilde{Ch}_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})) := \varprojlim_d Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)) \subseteq \Lambda .$$

We remark that Definition 3.6 only depends on the extension  $\mathcal{F}/F$  and not on the filtration of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extension we choose inside it. Indeed with two different filtrations  $\{\mathcal{F}_d\}$  and  $\{\mathcal{F}'_d\}$  we can define a third one by putting

$$\mathcal{F}''_0 := F \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}''_n = \mathcal{F}_n \mathcal{F}'_n \quad \forall n \geq 1 .$$

By Corollary 3.5, the limits of the characteristic ideals of the filtrations we started with coincide with the limit on the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}''_n\}$  (see [3, Remark 3.11] for an analogous statement for characteristic ideals of class groups).

This pro-characteristic ideal could play a role in the Iwasawa Main Conjecture (IMC) for a totally ramified extension of  $F$  as the algebraic counterpart of a  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function associated to  $A$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  (see [1, Section 5] or [2, Section 3] for similar statements but with Fitting ideals). Anyway, at present, the problem of formulating a (conjectural) description of this ideal in terms of a natural  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions (i.e., a general non-noetherian Iwasawa Main Conjecture) is still wide open. However, we can say something if  $A$  is already defined over the constant field of  $F$ .

**Theorem 3.7. [Non-noetherian IMC for constant abelian varieties]** *Assume  $A/F$  is a constant abelian variety and let  $\mathcal{F}/F$  be a totally ramified extension as above. Then there exists an element  $\theta_{A,\mathcal{F}}$  interpolating the classical  $L$ -function  $L(A, \chi, 1)$  (where  $\chi$  varies among characters of  $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{F}/F)$ ) such that one has an equality of ideals in  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$*

$$(3.10) \quad \widetilde{Ch}_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F})}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})) = (\theta_{A,\mathcal{F}}) .$$

*Proof.* This is a simple consequence of [8, Theorem 1.3]. Namely, the element  $\theta_{A,L}$  is defined in [8, Section 7.2.1] for any abelian extension  $L/F$  unramified outside a finite set of places. It satisfies  $\pi_{d-1}^d(\theta_{A,\mathcal{F}_d}) = \theta_{A,\mathcal{F}_{d-1}}$  by construction and the interpolation formula (too complicated to report it here) is proved in [8, Theorem 7.3.1]. Since  $A$  has good reduction everywhere, our results apply here and both sides of (3.10) are defined. Finally [8, Theorem 1.3] proves that  $Ch_{\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_d)}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}_d)) = (\theta_{A,\mathcal{F}_d})$  for all  $d$  and (3.10) follows by just taking a limit.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bandini - I. Longhi, *Control theorems for elliptic curves over function fields*, Int. J. Number Theory **5** (2009), no. 2, 229–256.
- [2] A. Bandini - F. Bars - I. Longhi, *Aspects of Iwasawa theory over function fields*, arXiv:1005.2289v2 [math.NT] (2011), to appear in the EMS Congress Reports.
- [3] A. Bandini - F. Bars - I. Longhi, *Characteristic ideals and Iwasawa theory*, New York J. Math. **20** (2014), 759–778.
- [4] A. Bandini - M. Valentino, *Control Theorems for  $\ell$ -adic Lie extensions of global function fields*, to appear in Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. DOI Number: 10.2422/2036-2145.201304.001 , arXiv:1206.2767v2 [math.NT] (2012).
- [5] C.D. González-Avilés - K.-S. Tan, *A generalization of the Cassels-Tate dual exact sequence*, Math. Res. Lett. **14** (2007), no. 2, 295–302.
- [6] C.D. González-Avilés - K.-S. Tan, *On the Hasse principle for finite group schemes over global function fields*, Math. Res. Lett. **19** (2012), no. 2, 453–460.
- [7] R. Greenberg, *The Iwasawa invariants of  $\Gamma$ -extensions of a fixed number field*, Amer. J. Math. **95** (1973), 204–214.
- [8] K.F. Lai - I. Longhi - K.-S. Tan - F. Trihan, *The Iwasawa Main Conjecture of constant ordinary abelian varieties over function fields*, arXiv:1406.6125 [math.NT].
- [9] J.S. Milne, *Arithmetic Duality Theorems. Second edition*, BookSurge, LLC, 2006.
- [10] T. Ochiai, *Euler system for Galois deformations*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **55** (2005), no. 1, 113–146.
- [11] T. Ochiai - F. Trihan, *On the Selmer groups of abelian varieties over function fields of characteristic  $p > 0$* , Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **146** (2009), 23–43.

- [12] M. Rosen, *Number theory in function fields*, **GTM 210**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [13] K.-S. Tan, *A generalized Mazur's theorem and its applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), 4433–4450.
- [14] K.-S. Tan, *Selmer groups over  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extensions*, Math. Ann. **359** (2014), 1025–1075.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PARMA, PARCO AREA DELLE SCIENZE, 53/A - 43124 PARMA (PR), ITALY  
*E-mail address:* `andrea.bandini@unipr.it`

DEPARTAMENT MATEMÀTIQUES, EDIF. C, UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA, 08193 BELLATERRA, CATALONIA  
*E-mail address:* `francesc@mat.uab.cat`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, XI'AN JIAOTONG-LIVERPOOL UNIVERSITY, 111 REN AI ROAD, DUSHU LAKE HIGHER EDUCATION TOWN, SUZHOU INDUSTRIAL PARK, SUZHOU, JIANGSU, 215123, CHINA  
*E-mail address:* `Ignazio.Longhi@xjtlu.edu.cn`