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Abstract

We quantified springtime ecosystem-scale monoterpene fluxes from two similar Aleppo pine (Pinus halepensis Mill.) forests, located in Israel, that differed in the amount of received precipitation: Yatir in the arid south and Birya in the northern part of Israel (291 and 755 mm annual average rainfall, respectively). In addition to the lower water availability, during our measurement campaign the Yatir site suffered from a heat wave with temperatures up to 35 °C, which made the campaign-average net CO2 assimilation to occur in the morning (1 µmol m-2 s-1), with the rest of the daytime hours mainly dominated by net release of CO2. The milder conditions at Birya favored a higher net CO2 assimilation during all daytime hours (with average peaks higher than 10 µmol m-2 s-1). Despite these large differences in ambient conditions and CO2 net assimilation, daytime monoterpene emission capacities at both sites were comparable. While observed monoterpene fluxes were lower at Yatir than at Birya (hourly averages up to 0.4 and 1 mg m-2 h-1, respectively), the standardized hourly fluxes, after accounting for the differences in light, temperature and stand density between both sites, were comparable (0-1.3 mg m-2 h-1). The approach typically used by biogenic emission models overestimated monoterpene fluxes at Yatir when temperatures rose during the heat wave. This result, together with complementary leaf-level measurements showing that summertime monoterpene fluxes almost completely ceased at Yatir while being enhanced at Birya, highlight the interaction of water scarcity and high temperatures that drive monoterpene emissions from vegetation in such extreme climate zones and the need to further improve model performance.
1. Introduction

Plants exchange hundreds of different volatile organic compounds (VOCs) with the atmosphere (Kesselmeier and Staudt, 1999; Park et al., 2013; Seco et al., 2007). On a global scale the emission flux of biogenic VOCs (BVOCs) is estimated to be an order of magnitude greater than that from anthropic sources (Guenther et al., 1995). BVOCs can substantially influence the composition and chemistry of the atmosphere, especially when interacting with anthropogenic pollutants (Atkinson, 2000; Chameides et al., 1988; Deventer et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2016; Seco et al., 2011b; Trainer et al., 1987; Tunved et al., 2006). Due to their atmospheric influence, BVOC fluxes are increasingly considered a necessary component of earth system models, and the response of modeled emissions to global change phenomena has been identified as a key uncertainty in these models (e.g., Müller et al., 2008; Unger et al., 2013; Sindelarova et al., 2014). There is particular need for a better mechanistic understanding due to the increasing impact of drought (Dai, 2012) and other global-change-related stresses on BVOC emissions (Seco et al., 2015).

In addition, BVOCs have important biological and ecological roles such as acting as communication signals in plant–plant, plant–animal and multitrophic relationships (Baldwin et al., 2006; Filella et al., 2013; Kessler and Baldwin, 2001; Peñuelas et al., 2005a; Pichersky and Gershenzon, 2002; Seco et al., 2011a), or protecting vegetation from abiotic stresses (Peñuelas et al., 2005b; Singsaas and Sharkey, 1998; Velikova et al., 2005). Among abiotic stresses, drought and high temperatures often concur and subject plants to a slowdown of their metabolism (Hsiao, 1973), a reorganization of their energy resources (Dobrota, 2006), and eventually to increased mortality through the interaction of several mechanisms (e.g. sap cavitation, carbon starvation, biotic agents; Gaylord et al., 2015). Plants have developed mechanisms to survive under hydric
stress by resisting, tolerating, or preventing it (Niinemets, 2010a). One of these mechanisms is the emission of BVOCs, particularly isoprenoids, that could provide the plant with relief against the damaging effect of drought and high temperatures (Loreto et al., 1998; Peñuelas and Llusia, 2003, 2002) and could eventually allow ecosystem stress to be quantified through BVOC flux monitoring (Kravitz et al., 2016). The responses of BVOC emissions to drought are nevertheless complex and depend, among other factors, on plant and BVOC species, ontogeny and previous acclimation, duration and strength of drought conditions, as well as the interaction with other biotic and abiotic stressors (Geron et al., 2016; Niinemets et al., 2010; Niinemets, 2010b).

Given the uncertainty in our knowledge of the response of BVOC emissions to drought, our objective in this study was to compare the exchange of BVOCs between two similar Mediterranean forests growing under different water availabilities. Israel presents a strong gradient in water availability, ranging from semi-arid conditions in the south to Mediterranean/sub-humid in the north. The aridity index (ratio of precipitation to potential evapotranspiration) in Israel lies between 0.05 in the south to above 0.65 in the north (Kafle and Bruins, 2009). The Aleppo pine (*Pinus halepensis* Mill.) is a widespread species with a large distribution around the Mediterranean basin (Critchfield and Little, 1966) and an estimated total forest cover of approximately 3.5 million ha (Fady et al., 2003). *P. halepensis* is known for being a fast grower, pioneer, and drought tolerant species with a shallow root system (Oppenheimer, 1967). These characteristics made it a favorable tree for plantations in the Mediterranean region, particularly in Israel. Its ability to withstand drought is enabled mainly by reducing growth rate and water loss. Water loss is minimized thanks to morphophysiological modifications of the leaves that are sclerotic and needle-shaped to minimize the leaf area and thus limit excessive transpiration, as well as by shifting photosynthetic activity to early morning and late afternoon.
(Maseyk et al., 2008). The BVOC emissions of *P. halepensis* are dominated by monoterpenes (a family of isoprenoid hydrocarbons with a carbon skeleton of 10 atoms) and it has been reported that drought affects monoterpene (Llusià and Peñuelas, 2000, 1998) and other BVOC (Filella et al., 2009; Seco et al., 2008) emission rates of this particular tree species, as well as of other pine species (e.g. Trowbridge *et al.*, 2014; Eller *et al.*, 2016).

We quantified the ecosystem-level fluxes of monoterpenes from two similar 50-year-old Aleppo pine plantations in Israel that differ by nearly 500 mm in the amount of annual precipitation. Measurements at the ecosystem scale afford an integrated view of the forest monoterpane fluxes, reducing the influence of plant-to-plant variability, and also limit the impact of possible damage-induced emissions due to leaf manipulation during leaf-level sampling with enclosures, which has been the common measurement technique in past *P. halepensis* studies. In addition, our choice of pine plantations provided an opportunity to study the emission of BVOCs on mature trees under naturally occurring stresses, as opposed to performing laboratory experiments on young potted plants. Measurements of monoterpenes took place between 22 April and 13 May 2013 and were part of the BRITE (Biogeochemical Research along an Israeli TransEct) campaign, which aimed to investigate CO₂, H₂O, energy, VOC, and aerosol fluxes from pine forests along a precipitation gradient in this semi-arid region using a newly designed mobile flux measurement laboratory (see Asaf *et al.*, 2013).
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Description of the forest sites

The BRITE campaign focused on two mature plantations dominated by *P. halepensis* and located in Israel (Fig. 1). The drier forest, Yatir, is a ca. 50-year-old Aleppo pine afforestation site located at the northern edge of the Negev desert (31°20'N, 35°03'E) at an elevation of 650 m asl. The forest covers an area of about 2,800 ha and grows on a predominantly light brown Rendzina soil (79 ± 45.7 cm deep), overlying a chalk and limestone bedrock (http://www.kkl-jnf.org). The climate is hot (40-year mean annual temperature is 18.2°C) and dry (40-yr average mean annual precipitation is 291 mm). During the year of this study (October 2012-September 2013) the total precipitation was 247 mm. The precipitation and temperature data was derived from the Israeli Meteorological Service (IMS, https://ims.data.gov.il) permanent stations and values used are the average of the three closest stations to the measurement site. The Yatir forest is characterized by a low stand density of ca. 300 trees ha⁻¹ (http://www.kkl-jnf.org), with a mean tree height of 10.2 m, a mean diameter at breast height (DBH) of 19.8 cm, and an average leaf area index (LAI) of 1.50 (Sprintsin et al., 2011). It has been a continuously operated Fluxnet site since 2000 (Rotenberg and Yakir, 2011; Tatarinov et al., 2016).

The second site, Birya, is a ca. 50-year-old planted forest covering approximately 2,000 ha in the northern Galilee region (33°00'N, 35°30'E, about 200 km north of Yatir) at an elevation of 755 m asl and is characterized by Rendzina and Terra rossa soil (http://www.kkl-jnf.org). Its climate is Mediterranean sub-humid with an average temperature and annual precipitation of 16°C and 755 mm, respectively (data derived from IMS stations as explained for Yatir). Between October 2012 and September 2013 the measured precipitation was 885 mm. Its average stand density is 375 trees ha⁻¹ (http://www.kkl-jnf.org), the mean tree height is 11 m and its mean DBH is 20.3 cm.
Given that plantation age, mean tree height, and mean diameter at breast height are very similar between both forests, we estimated the LAI for the Birya site as the average LAI value of Yatir multiplied by the ratio of stand density between the two sites (375/300 = 1.25), i.e. a LAI value of 1.875.

2.2. Environmental and ecophysiological parameters

Measurements of the BRITE campaign at both sites primarily relied on the deployment of a newly designed mobile laboratory of the Weizmann Institute of Science, housed on a 12-ton four-wheel drive pneumatic air suspension truck frame. The mobile platform hosted a 28 m telescopic mast with a core (CO₂, H₂O, sensible and latent heat fluxes) eddy covariance (EC) system, and provided an air-conditioned enclosed facility for the operation of additional scientific instrumentation (e.g. Asaf et al., 2013). The EC system centered on a 3D sonic anemometer (R3-100; Gill Instruments, UK) and an enclosed-path infrared gas analyzer (IRGA, LI-7200, LI-COR Inc, Lincoln, NE, USA). The core EC fluxes were averaged over 30 min time intervals with EddyPro v5.1 software (LI-COR Inc). Sensors for other environmental parameters, such as air pressure, temperature and relative humidity (HMP45C probes, Campbell Scientific Inc., UT, USA), and solar radiation (Kipp & Zonen, Delft, Netherlands) were also installed as part of the mobile EC system.

Some periods in the evening were excluded from data collected at Yatir (including monoterpene data), due to influence of a campfire (e.g. 22, 23, 25 April) that the site guards built. Additionally, at the Yatir site the permanent EC tower located in close proximity of the mobile platform during the BRITE campaign was used to assess and cross-calibrate the mobile EC system during April 2013.
2.3. VOC measurements

VOC measurements were performed with the same instrument setup installed in the mobile laboratory, at both the Yatir forest (22 to 29 April 2013) and at the Birya forest (2 to 13 May 2013). Air from the top of the mobile tower (20 m agl), next to the sonic anemometer, was drawn by means of a pump through a 3/8 inch OD (1/4 inch ID) PFA Teflon tube to the mobile lab located at the base of the tower. VOC quantification was performed inside the mobile lab with a high sensitivity Proton Transfer Reaction –Quadrupole– Mass Spectrometer (PTR-Quad-MS, Ionicon, Austria) that has been described elsewhere (Karl et al., 2001). In short, the instrument generates hydronium ions that transfer a proton to select VOC molecules in a drift tube under a constant electric field (Lindinger et al., 1998). These charged VOC molecules are then detected by the combined effect of a quadrupole mass spectrometer and an ion detector. The drift tube of the instrument used in this study was operated at a pressure of 2.3 mbar, a temperature of 60 ºC and a voltage of 540 V, corresponding to an E/N ratio of approximately 117 Td (E being the electric field strength and N the gas number density; 1 Td = 10⁻¹⁷ V cm²).

Instrument background was measured for 5 min every 6 h by diverting the inlet air through a platinum catalytic converter heated to 380 ºC. Calibration of the PTR-MS was performed by dilution of a house-made VOC gas standard into zero air generated by a second heated catalyst (415 ºC). Two mass flow controllers (MKS Instruments, Andover MA, USA) were used for the dilution. The gas standard contained approximately 5 ppmv of camphene. The calibration factors measured for camphene were used to calculate the total monoterpene mixing ratios in ambient air. The uncertainty of the monoterpene measurements was estimated to be 15%. The $m/z$ (mass to charge ratios) of interest for this study were $m/z$ 21 (H$_3$O$^+$ isotope, 0.5 s dwell time), and $m/z$
81 and m/z 137 (monoterpene fragment and parent ions, respectively, 0.1 s dwell time each). The cycle scanning through all the measured m/z ratios had a duration of approximately 1.1 s (i.e., each m/z was measured once every 1.1 s), and measurements for EC were recorded for 25 min of each half hour. The monoterpene mixing ratio detection limit was <0.02 ppbv for each 25-min averaging period.

VOC fluxes were calculated with the virtual disjunct eddy covariance technique (vDEC; Karl et al., 2002). The disjunct time series that was generated for each m/z every half hour was time-aligned with the vertical wind data from the sonic anemometer by shifting one time series relative to the other until the absolute maximum covariance between the two time series was determined. Using this procedure the time lag between the two measurements was found to be approximately 3 s. Previously, the wind data had been rotated according to the planar fit method (Wilczak et al., 2001). Computed monoterpene fluxes were excluded from further analysis if any of the following conditions occurred: (1) turbulence was low (u*<0.15); (2) vertical wind rotation exceeded 5º; (3) results of the stationarity test (Foken et al., 2004) were higher than 30%, and (4) flux values were less than twice the flux detection limit. The flux detection limit was calculated according to the approaches of Spirig et al. (2005) and Billesbach (2011), and ranged between 0.02 and 0.2 mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ at different times of the day. These conditions excluded 56% and 61% of the total half-hour EC fluxes calculated for monoterpenes at Yatir and Birya, respectively. Excluding small fluxes and periods of low turbulence and large wind rotations may bias our averaged diel flux profiles (Figs. 4b and 5b), particularly at night when turbulence is often below the threshold. In this regard, nighttime fluxes presented in this study should be viewed as upper limits and we will mainly focus our discussion on daytime results. High frequency losses due to the instrument gas exchange time in the drift tube were not corrected for
because comparison to temperature co-spectra showed that the contribution of high frequencies to fluxes was typically under 10%. vDEC calculations were performed with MATLAB software (Mathworks, Natick MA, USA). Hereinafter, we will refer to our measurement-based vDEC flux estimates as “measured” fluxes when comparing to the “standardized” and “modeled” fluxes (see section 2.4).

Since most monoterpenes are reactive with ozone, and ozone was not scrubbed from the inlet line, we estimated the impact of reactions with ozone on the calculated monoterpene fluxes. The delay-time from the inlet to the detector was on the order of only 2 s, so we estimated that at ambient ozone levels of 60 ppbv this would equate to a flux loss of less than 0.5% for γ-terpinene, one of the most reactive monoterpenes. Solid absorbent cartridge ambient air sampling at the Yatir field site indicated that the monoterpenes were dominated by α-pinene which suggests that the canopy loss rate, according to modeling results of Stroud et al. (2005), is about 10% assuming ozone concentrations of 60 ppbv.

2.4. VOC flux modeling

To allow a better comparison of monoterpene emission capacity between the two sites, the measured canopy-scale monoterpene fluxes were standardized with regards to both i) light and temperature and ii) stand density. First, the light and temperature standardization was performed with a big-leaf model approach (e.g. Geron et al., 1997), which considers the canopy as a single multispecies layer of foliage. Thus, above-canopy photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) instead of leaf-level PAR, and above-canopy air temperature instead of leaf temperature were used in the leaf-level algorithms developed by Guenther and colleagues (1999, 1993, 1991) to model the light- and temperature-dependent emission of monoterpenes. The light- and temperature-
standardized emission of monoterpenes ($\varepsilon_{MT}$; mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) was calculated from the measured monoterpen emission flux ($F_{MT}$; mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$):

$$F_{MT} = \varepsilon_{MT} \times \gamma_P \times \gamma_T$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\gamma_P$ and $\gamma_T$ are light and temperature activity factors, respectively, defined as

$$\gamma_P = (1 - LDF) + LDF \times \gamma_{P,LDF}$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\gamma_T = (1 - LDF) \times \gamma_{T,LIF} + LDF \times \gamma_{T,LDF}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

Monoterpene emissions include a light-dependent fraction ($LDF$) with the remaining light independent fraction ($LIF=1-LDF$) that is not influenced by light. Since leaf-level measurements at Yatir showed that approximately half of the monoterpene emissions were triggered by light (Llusia et al., 2016), we used an $LDF$ of 0.5. The light and temperature algorithms are defined as

$$\gamma_{P,LDF} = \frac{\alpha \times C_{L1} \times L}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2 \times L^2}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\gamma_{P,LDF}$ is a scalar representing electron transport rates to simulate the response (non-dimensional) of isoprene emission to light (Guenther et al., 1991), $\alpha$ (=0.0027) and $C_{L1}$ (=1.066) are empirical parameters, and $L$ is PAR (µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$),

$$\gamma_{T,LDF} = \frac{E_{opt} \times C_{T2} \times e^{C_{T1} \times x}}{C_{T2} - C_{T1} \times (1-e^{C_{T2} \times x})} \times \frac{1}{T_{opt} \times \frac{1}{R}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\gamma_{T,LDF}$ is a scalar representing an enzyme activation to simulate the response (non-dimensional) of isoprene emission to temperature (Guenther et al., 1991), $E_{opt}$ (=1.9 nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) is the maximum standardized emission capacity at temperature $T_{opt}$ (=312.5 K), $C_{T1}$ (=80 kJ mol$^{-1}$) and $C_{T2}$ (=230 kJ mol$^{-1}$) are empirical parameters, $T$ is the air temperature (K) and $R$ is the ideal gas constant (=0.008314 kJ K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$), and
where \( T_{\text{ref}} \) equals 303.15 K and \( \beta (=0.1 \text{ K}^{-1}) \) is an empirically determined coefficient with the value recommended for monoterpenes by Guenther et al. (2012). Secondly, the stand density standardization consisted of dividing the light- and temperature-standardized fluxes of the Biry site by 1.25, viz. the ratio of stand density between the two sites, the same approach used to estimate the LAI of Biry.

As an additional modeling experiment, monoterpene fluxes at both sites were estimated with a single location version of the widely-used MEGAN version 2.1 model (Guenther et al., 2012) that includes an explicit canopy environment model with a canopy radiation transfer and energy balance scheme to calculate direct and diffuse light and leaf temperature of sun and shade leaves at each of five layers. The model calculates fluxes as the product of a fixed canopy emission factor and non-dimensional emission activity factors. For this study, we used the model’s global default canopy emission factor assigned to pine forests for monoterpenes, with a value of 2.25 mg m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\) based on whole canopy fluxes measured above pine forests (Holzinger et al., 2006; Kaser et al., 2013; Räisänen et al., 2009). The environmental conditions measured at the tower (air temperature, solar radiation, wind speed, etc) and the LAI of each site (i.e. 1.5 and 1.875 for Yatir and Biry, respectively) were used to constrain the driving variables of the model’s emission activity factors. The temperature and light emission activity factors also included the influence of the past 24 h of temperature and light conditions. Unlike Yatir, that had the fixed tower data available, the influence of the past 240 h could not be computed for Biry due to insufficient data since the mobile laboratory was deployed only several hours before the PTR-MS measurements were started at that site. Using the past 240 h algorithm with Yatir’s fixed tower data showed maximum modeled hourly monoterpene emission flux increases of 15-25%.
For the sake of comparability between sites, the influence of the past 240 h was not included in the modeling results of either site.
3. Results

3.1. Environmental conditions

During the weeks preceding our measurements at Yatir, maximum daily temperatures reached up to 20 °C (data not shown). Starting around 23 April, a heat wave (see Tatarinov et al., 2016) affected the area and maximum daily temperatures at Yatir raised up to 35 °C (Fig. 2). As a consequence, vapor pressure deficit (VPD) also gradually increased from below 1 kPa to above 4 kPa (Fig. 2). At Birya, temperatures during our sampling period reached maxima around 30 °C, then progressively declined as the heat wave ended, and VPD was in general lower than at Yatir, with occasional peaks around 3.7 kPa and declining with time to maxima of below 1 kPa (Fig. 2).

The comparison of hourly averages calculated for the entire campaign dataset shows that temperatures and VPD were higher (up to 4 °C and 1.4 kPa more, respectively) at Yatir than at Birya (Fig. 3). Solar radiation was also higher on average (up to 200 W m\(^{-2}\) more, i.e. approximately 420 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) more of PAR) during the middle of the day at Yatir (Fig. 3). This was in spite of the relatively close proximity (less than 200 km) and reflects the higher cloudiness in the northern site.

3.2. Water and carbon dioxide fluxes

Daytime canopy-level water flux measured at Yatir was lower than at Birya (Fig. 2). At both sites the highest water fluxes occurred in the morning between 8 and 13 h, with average values of 1.5-1.9 and 4-5.5 mmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) for Yatir and Birya, respectively (Fig. 3). During the afternoon, water fluxes declined gradually until sunset.
During daytime hours before the onset of the heat wave, net ecosystem exchange (NEE) of CO$_2$ mainly consisted of assimilation at Yatir. The half-hour CO$_2$ assimilation peaks were as high as 10 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, similar to what is shown in Fig. 2 for 22 April. From April 23, daytime CO$_2$ NEE showed a tendency towards net emission (with occasional half-hour emission peaks of 6-8 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) with net CO$_2$ assimilation being limited to the 6-9 h morning time frame and to magnitudes of up to 2 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (Fig. 2). At Birya, daytime carbon assimilation was the norm, with a general temporal trend of an increase from daytime half-hour net CO$_2$ uptake maxima around 10 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, at the beginning, to around 20 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at the end of the campaign (Fig. 2).

Hourly averages of CO$_2$ fluxes calculated for the entire campaign dataset show that CO$_2$ NEE presented two daily assimilation peaks at both sites (Fig. 3). At Yatir, the morning peak of assimilation occurred between 6 and 9 h with magnitudes of approximately 1 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, whereas the afternoon peak of approximately 0.5 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ occurred between 16 and 17 h. Between these two peaks, a mid-day depression in NEE consisted of CO$_2$ release to the atmosphere of up to 2.7 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (Fig. 3). At Birya, hourly average peaks of net assimilation of approximately 13 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ occurred between 8 and 10 h and between 12 and 13 h, with a small decrease of approximately 2 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ between both peaks (Fig. 3). Nighttime NEE at both forests was characterized by CO$_2$ release (i.e. ecosystem respiration) of approximately 2-4 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (Fig. 3). The aggregate of these CO$_2$ NEE fluxes over 24 h yields a daily average carbon release of 2 g(C) m$^{-2}$ and absorption of 3.8 g(C) m$^{-2}$ at Yatir and Birya, respectively.
3.3. Measured VOC fluxes and mixing ratios

Monoterpene mixing ratios were lower at Yatir than at Birya, with half-hour maxima of 0.2 and 1.2 ppbv, respectively (Fig. 2). Hourly averages show a daily trend at Yatir with minimum values recorded around 3-5 h (approximately 0.09 ppbv) and maxima during the evening (approximately 0.16 ppbv), although mixing ratios between 5 and 23 h varied within a narrow range (0.12-0.16 ppbv) (Fig. 4). Measurements at Birya revealed a clearer diurnal cycle, with higher values from 6 to 13 h (0.24-0.32 ppbv) and minima during nighttime (0.12-0.16 ppbv) (Fig. 4).

Half-hour canopy-level fluxes of monoterpenes measured at Yatir were at or below 0.5 mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ during daytime, with occasional peaks of up to 0.6 mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$. At Birya canopy fluxes were generally higher, between 0.5 and 1 mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$, with some peaks reaching 1.9 mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ (Fig. 2). Consequently, hourly averages at Yatir between 6 and 18 h ranged between 0.3 and 0.4 mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$, while at Birya monoterpene average fluxes were similar to those of Yatir during early morning and afternoon but higher between 9 and 13 h, with values of up to 1 mg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ (Fig. 4). Birya’s net emission flux decreased after 16 h to the point that the average monoterpene net flux during several hours resulted in deposition (Fig. 4).

The 24-h total carbon emitted in the form of monoterpenes was, on average, 4.7 and 6 mg(C) m$^{-2}$ at Yatir and Birya, respectively. Thus, since the daily average net carbon exchange had different sign between sites, the carbon emitted as monoterpenes equaled 0.24% of the net daily release of carbon as CO$_2$ at Yatir, while at Birya it represented 0.16% of the net daily carbon assimilation.
3.4. Modeled VOC fluxes

Adjusting for light intensity and temperature at Yatir, and for light and temperature and stand density at Biryaa, resulted in standardized (for a temperature of 30 °C, PAR of 1000 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, and stand density of 300 trees ha⁻¹) fluxes that were generally higher than the measured fluxes (Fig. 4) primarily because temperatures were typically below 30 °C at both sites (Figs. 2 and 3).

Average standardized fluxes at Yatir were highest immediately after sunrise (1.3-2.1 mg m⁻² h⁻¹), although the highest value (found between 7 and 8 h) was based only on one data point from the morning of 23 April, when temperatures were not yet fully affected by the regional heat wave.

For the remainder of the daylight hours, average standardized fluxes at Yatir were in the range 0.5-1 mg m⁻² h⁻¹ (Fig. 4). Biryaa’s standardized fluxes also increased, compared to the observed values, in the early morning up to 1.1 mg m⁻² h⁻¹ but were still highest around 9 h with average values of up to 1.3 mg m⁻² h⁻¹. For most of the remainder of the daylight hours, average standardized fluxes at Biryaa were in the range of 0-1.3 mg m⁻² h⁻¹ (Fig. 4). Thus the average standardized monoterpene fluxes at both pine forests spanned a similar range (0-1.3 mg m⁻² h⁻¹).

Results from the application of the MEGANv2.1 model, using a global default emission factor for pine trees, are compared to our measurements in Fig. 5. The model slightly underestimated monoterpene fluxes at Yatir during the first days of the campaign. During the heat wave, modeled fluxes overestimated the observed fluxes by as much as a factor of two on 28 April. As a result, the hourly averages calculated for the entire campaign dataset indicate that MEGAN, using default pine emission factors, predicted higher monoterpene emissions at Yatir from 8 to 16 h, also doubling the measured fluxes from 12 to 14 h (Fig. 5). If the influence of the past 10 days is included in the model calculations for Yatir (data not shown), monoterpene predicted emissions are increased by approximately 20%, which might partly reconcile the model results.
and the observations during the first days, but exacerbates the overestimation of the MEGAN model during the hottest days of the heat wave. MEGAN’s predictions for Birya reflected the day-to-day variation of the magnitude of the emissions better than for Yatir (Fig. 5). However, Birya’s average observed fluxes gradually increased until they peaked between 10 and 11 h (1 mg m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)) and gradually declined afterwards, while the model predicted emissions of monoterpenes continued to increase later in the day and showed a plateau from 10 to 13h (0.65-0.71 mg m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)). As a result, modeled monoterpane fluxes were higher than measured until sunset at Birya (Fig. 5).
4. Discussion

The Yatir semi-arid pine afforestation system experienced harsher conditions for plant growth than the similar pine forest in Birya, as expected. Furthermore, the typical seasonal heat wave (Tatarinov et al., 2016) that affected the region at the end of April 2013 exacerbated the environmental conditions, as exemplified by the high VPD, low water fluxes, and practically zero daytime NEE (Figs. 2 and 3). Limitation of water loss is an important adaptive strategy of plants in this region, with the observed reduction of NEE being consistent with reports of strong reductions in stomatal conductance, and hence photosynthesis, at VPD > 2 kPa in *P. halepensis* trees located at Yatir (Klein et al., 2011; Maseyk et al., 2008). Despite the harsh environmental conditions at the Yatir site, this forest has successfully adapted by shifting the growing season such that it can assimilate carbon in annual amounts comparable to other temperate forests found in more favorable environments (Grunzweig et al., 2003; Maseyk et al., 2008) and also shows a great resilience to seasonal heat waves (Tatarinov et al., 2016).

Monoterpene emissions from different plant species occur at least through two distinct processes: in a light-independent manner from storage pools found in specialized tissues like resin ducts, and as a light-dependent release of freshly synthesized molecules. It has been traditionally thought that conifer trees emit monoterpene mainly from storage pools even though there were reports of a strong light response of emissions of some monoterpene from conifers including the Mediterranean stone pine, *Pinus pinea* (Staudt et al., 1997). Isotope labeling studies have recently been used to confirm that *de novo* light-dependent monoterpene can comprise a significant fraction of emissions from European conifers in laboratory studies (Ghirardo et al., 2010) and under field conditions for the North American species *Pinus ponderosa* (Harley et al., 2014). The existence in *P. halepensis* of this dual path of monoterpene emissions was...
corroborated during our field campaign with leaf-level measurements at Yatir, showing that about half of the emitted monoterpenes were driven by the incident PAR intensity (Llusia et al., 2016). Furthermore, our ecosystem-level data supports the prevalent role of light-dependent emissions since daytime monoterpene mixing ratios were higher than at nighttime at both sites (Fig. 4). In ecosystems where monoterpene emissions mainly follow a temperature controlled release from storage pools, the nighttime mixing ratios are higher due to decreased vertical mixing and oxidation rates even though emissions are also lower (e.g., Seco et al., 2013; Davison et al., 2009).

During the heat wave, monoterpene net emission fluxes at Yatir persisted during daytime even though the net CO₂ flux showed very small assimilation or mainly consisted of CO₂ efflux (Figs. 2-4). Apparently, part of this monoterpene emission consisted of light-independent releases from storage pools. But contribution from de novo light-dependent emissions, as has been shown from plant species that do not store monoterpenes, cannot be ruled out despite the intensely reduced net CO₂ assimilation. Isoprenoid emission concurrent with reduced photosynthesis has been described in previous studies and our dataset could be yet another example of the uncoupling between photosynthesis and isoprenoid emissions that occurs under stressful environmental conditions (e.g. Seco et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2015). Continued monoterpene emissions from leaves with limited stomatal conductance may happen through the cuticle but are also possible via the stomata due to their high gas to water partitioning coefficient that makes most biogenic isoprenoid emissions practically insensitive to stomatal closure (Harley, 2013; Niinemets and Reichstein, 2003). In addition, these isoprenoids emitted under stress, if freshly synthesized, can obtain their carbon supply from metabolic sources other than the recent photosynthate pool (Affek and Yakir, 2003; Brilli et al., 2007; Funk et al., 2004). The fact that monoterpenes are
emitted, sometimes even in increased amounts, during drought situations have been postulated to be a response of the plants to cope with high temperatures that usually accompany drought episodes (Loreto et al., 1998). In contrast, the pine trees at Biriya showed no signs of strong water stress during our campaign, although water and CO₂ fluxes showed a midday depression that can be indicative of some level of mild water or VPD stress (Haldimann et al., 2008; Pathre et al., 1998). Likewise, the emission of monoterpenes was equivalent to only a small fraction of the assimilated carbon (up to 0.2% of NEE) at Biriya, which is a relatively low amount compared to the percentage of carbon emitted as BVOC reported elsewhere for severely drought-stressed forests (e.g. up to 5-10% of NEE emitted as isoprene by high-emitting, non-storing temperate oak forests; Seco et al., 2015).

The MEGANv2.1 model, when using a global average pine emission factor and partitioning of stored (light independent) and de novo (light dependent) emissions, did not accurately reproduce the monoterpane fluxes at Yatir (Fig. 5). During the first days of the campaign, the midday model results were similar to measurements but the diel pattern was not reproduced. After the onset of the heat wave the model clearly overestimated the emissions, mainly due to the higher temperatures driving the model’s temperature response algorithms. At Biriya, modeled emission magnitudes were in general closer to measurements (Fig. 5). However, the measured diel cycle showed a decline of monoterpane net emission fluxes earlier in the day compared to the model (Fig. 5), with observed monoterpane fluxes mirroring the diel water fluxes rather than the daily temperature trend (Figs. 3 and 4). This suggests a more prevalent role of de novo light-driven monoterpane synthesis and emission than assumed by MEGAN, and consequently a smaller role of the temperature-driven emissions of stored monoterpenes. As earlier stated, the fact that monoterpane mixing ratios were higher during daytime at both sites (Fig. 4) agrees with this
interpretation. In addition, the leaf-level measurements at Yatir also indicated that the
monoterpene emissions from these Aleppo pines were partly driven by the incident PAR
intensity (Llusia et al., 2016), and thus it is likely that the trees at Birya responded in a similar
way. We did not have soil water content data for our campaign, even though this type of
information is critical to help us understand BVOC emissions in the context of drought stress.
Soil water content data can improve MEGAN’s modeling results by the use of its simple drought
algorithm, although recent results show that even when soil moisture data is available there is
still room for model improvement (Seco et al., 2015) and that atmospheric demand for water can
make VPD more limiting for ecosystem functioning than soil moisture supply (Novick et al.,
2016). Furthermore, novel findings suggest that emissions of monoterpenes from pine oleoresin
storage pools may also be regulated by the xylem water potential and not only by the ambient
temperature and light conditions (Rissanen et al., 2016). These facts highlight the need for
comprehensive availability of environmental and physiological information in order to gain
insight into our physiological understanding and improve our BVOC emission modeling
capability. It is especially relevant in the case of drought because limited water availability,
particularly in areas like the Mediterranean, usually occurs during the summer when
temperatures are also high. As a consequence, current air quality models could overestimate the
BVOC emissions used as inputs for their predictions, leading to inaccurate results.
Our study shows that, despite the differences in environmental aridity between sites, both \( P. \)
\textit{halepensis} populations showed comparable monoterpene emission capacities during the spring
season, as indicated by our standardized ecosystem-level eddy covariance results (Fig. 4c) and
also by the leaf-level enclosure measurements reported by Llusia et al (2016). Leaf-level data
available for the summer season, however, shows that monoterpene emissions at Yatir almost
ceased while at Birya, with more favorable conditions in terms of aridity, they increased 5-fold (Llusia et al., 2016). This site contrast emphasizes the role of water availability in regulating monoterpene emissions and its interactions with temperature. With sufficient water supply monoterpene emissions increase with temperature, while under severe drought stress the emissions are severely reduced.
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Figure legends

**Fig. 1.** Map showing the location of our two pine forest sites: Yatir in the south, Birya in the north of Israel. The base map image is from Google Earth (image and data copyright: Google, US Dept of State Geographer, Landsat, SIO, NOAA, US Navy, NGA, GEBCO).

**Fig. 2.** Half-hour data of environmental and physiological parameters (solar radiation and temperature, top panel; water flux and vapor pressure deficit, second panel; net CO$_2$ ecosystem exchange, third panel), and monoterpenes (MT) mixing ratios and canopy-level fluxes (bottom panel) measured at the two sites: Yatir (left) and Birya (right). Date labels indicate 00:00 h Israel Standard Time (UTC +2 h).

**Fig. 3.** Hourly averaged diel cycles of the environmental and physiological parameters measured at the two pine forests: temperature (a), solar radiation (b), net water flux (c), vapor pressure deficit (d), and net CO$_2$ ecosystem exchange (e). Error bars indicate plus or minus one standard deviation for each hourly average.

**Fig. 4.** Hourly averaged diel cycles of the monoterpenes (MT) mixing ratios (a, top panel), measured MT fluxes (b, middle panel), and standardized MT fluxes (c, bottom panel). Nighttime measured fluxes should be viewed as upper limits and are colored lighter in panel b. Standardized fluxes were computed to account for light, temperature, and tree density differences between sites (see section 2.4 for details) and only when PAR > 150 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Error bars indicate plus or minus one standard deviation for each hourly average.

**Fig. 5.** Comparison of half-hour data (a) and hourly averaged diel cycles (b) of canopy-level monoterpenes (MT) fluxes between the measurements and the MEGAN model results for each
Nighttime measured fluxes should be viewed as upper limits and are colored lighter in panel b. Error bars in panel b indicate plus or minus one standard deviation for each hourly average.
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