

---

**This is a post-print version of the following article: Gaggiotti, Hugo; Marre, Diana (2017) The words leader/líder and their resonances in an Italo-Latin American multinational corporation. *Leadership*.**

**DOI: 10.1177/1742715017696610.**

**<http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/1742715017696610>**

---

**The words leader/líder and their resonances in an Italo-Latin American multinational corporation**

Hugo Gaggiotti

Faculty of Business and Law, University of the West of England, Bristol, UK

Diana Marre

Faculty of Arts and Humanities, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

**Abstract**

The problems of 'lost in translation' are well known. Yet some terms of English managerial vocabulary, which are perfectly translatable in other languages, remain untranslated. One explanation of this phenomenon is what Linguistic anthropology call negative semantic resonances. Semantic resonances focused on the issue of which meanings can or cannot be expressed by a single word in different cultures. In this paper, based on an organisational ethnography of Latin American expatriates working for an Italo-Latin-American multinational corporation (Tubworld), we analyse the resonances of the word leader/líder and director, direttore, capo, guida, coordinador, caudillo among a group of expatriates; all Italian, Spanish or multilingual speakers who use English as a second language in their everyday interactions. The paper explains how the different uses contribute to create a meaning of what a leader should and should

not be; someone who leads without leading, sometimes a manager. The authors, an Italian native speaker who learnt Spanish during childhood and use English as his everyday language and a Spanish native speaker, argue that Italian or Spanish speakers not only avoid the words *duce* and *caudillo* (the vernacular vocabulary for leader, not in use due to the political and cultural meaning) but also the word *leader/líder* itself, as it resonates to the other two (violent, authoritarian, autocratic, antidemocratic leadership) but furthermore because the word, a lexical loan from English, failed to encapsulate the complexity of leading multilingual organisations like Tubworld.

### **Keywords**

Leadership, language, multilingualism, organisations, polyphony, lexic, semantic resonances

### **Introduction**

It may seem contradictory for authors who are not English native speakers to write an article in English on the resonances of an English word in Italian and Spanish. However, one wonders; how much of the resonances of this word for English speakers is related to the meaning Italian and Spanish speakers give to it? What are the limitations on English-medium reflections on the resonance of the word in non-Anglophone contexts? Should an article like this also be written in Italian and Spanish? However, what is the problem of using English words in languages other than English itself, in addition to the obvious point of the domination of this language over others? If the words were *gin* or *rugby* it could be argued that they refer to English objects and practices, hence, the justification of using a local vocabulary of the natives of England or English native speakers. But what happens if Italians and Spanish have replaced their own native language words (*duce/caudillo*) and they use the English word *leader/líder* reluctantly? Indeed, what attracted to us to write this article was to notice the reluctance of using *leader/líder*, the need of our research participants to justify what they were talking about every time they used it and a certain sense of

apology when they pronounced it. As Italian and Spanish speakers we asked ourselves: are the resonances of *leader/líder* not helping to make sense of our organizational world?

Our first exploration to elucidate on these questions was in the vocabulary. *Duce* and *caudillo* were never used in a traditional Anglo-Saxon managerial organizational context before Mussolini and Franco, but were applied mostly to refer to political and nation state authoritarian organizing. The meaning of leader in English is closer to 'to travel' or 'showing the way' (pioneering), with no clear connotations of authoritarianism. However, why do a significant number of English dictionaries define *duce* and *caudillo* precisely as a *leader*, paradoxically, the word that Italian and Spanish speakers have borrowed from English to replace these words? Take for example a current English dictionary of etymology:

Leader: Old English *lædere* "one who leads, one first or most prominent, "agent noun from *lædan*" ... As a title for the head of an authoritarian state, from 1918 (translating Führer, Duce, caudillo, etc.) (Harper, 2016, emphasis added).

Or how English dictionaries and encyclopaedias define *duce* as a leader:

Mussolini, Benito Pronunciation: /,mʊsə'li:ni/ ...; known as Il Duce ('the leader') (*English Oxford Living Dictionaries Online*, 2016, emphasis added).

Benito Mussolini, in full Benito Amilcare Andrea Mussolini, byname Il Duce (Italian: "The Leader")... (*Encyclopaedia Britannica Online*, 2016, emphasis added).

Or a Spanish dictionary published by English publishers

Caudillo: masculine noun. (military) leader, chief. (*Collins Spanish Dictionary*, 2009, emphasis added).

Indeed, non native English speaker colleagues have suggested in conferences and research *fora* where we discussed this paper that the use of leader in languages

like German, Italian or Spanish is mostly with the intention to avoid the use of other words.

“I was reminded of a recent conversation I had with a German manager after my seminar during which I explained my dislike of the term ‘leader’ (in English, not as a borrowed word). He told me that they always speak of ‘leaders’ in German, not because they don't like managers, but because to them ‘leader’ has nothing to do with Führer, which obviously is a forbidden word. I would imagine the same goes for *Duce* and *caudillo*. In other words, they are so preoccupied with avoiding the connection with Hitler, Mussolini and Franco, that they don't have time to think that there may be a better word in English to be borrowed. On the other hand, as you say, the native English people don't have this association at all. At another seminar we came to a common jocular suggestion that leaders should actually be called ‘guides’. Obviously some semantic loans are more complicated than others” (DF, fictitious name, 2016, personal communication).

We share the same sense of discomfort because of the association of certain words in English with the words in our native tongues, but also suspicious feelings and anxiety of not expressing ourselves with the full richness of our own language, what indeed usually happens to non-English native speakers (Tsai, 2014; Woodrow, 2006). We would say that this feeling is particularly emphasized with those words in English that have replaced words of our native vocabularies. One of these words is *leader*. Indeed, in the fieldnotes one of us produce when working with Italian and Spanish speaking engineers, Author 1 wrote in his log about this impression:

I am not sure, from one side, if I am using the word *leader/líder* with the same meaning with which an English native speaker uses it; from the other side, I have the impression that they resonate, when these Tubworld (name fictitious) expatriates justify why they prefer not using them, an authoritarian, monopolistic and patronizing character, always a man, a

sort of *duce* and *caudillo*, precisely the words for leader in our original languages.

Indeed, *leader/líder* have been integrated in Italian and Spanish vocabularies by what is called a process of lexical loan or lexical borrow (see among others the seminal work of Haugen, 1950, and more specifically for Italian, Pulcini, 1994; Robinson, 2006 and for Spanish, Baumgardner, 1997 and Fairclough, 2006).

The article is based on an organisational ethnography of Latin American expatriates working for an Italo-Latin-American multinational corporation (Tubworld).<sup>1</sup> We reflect on the way Tubworld expatriates explain the uses of the word 'leader' in their native languages (*líder*, Spanish; *leader*, Italian -the word in Italian has been imported from English with the same form-) in various organizational situations and justified why they have, to them, a negative resonance. The main argument of the paper is that *duce* and *caudillo*, now excluded from vernacular vocabularies but at some point reinstated by English dictionaries in association with *leader*, still permeated the meaning of *leader* and *líder* in Tubworld, but occasionally other words were used instead of leader, like *direttore*, *director*, *capo*, *jefe*, *guida*. We claim that this was particularly evident during the organisational context of mergers, acquisitions and takeovers that affected Tubworld during the period of Author 1 fieldwork, when power and politics played a substantial role in the organizational life of the corporation.

The article unfolds as follows. First we give a very brief overview of the literature that has addressed questions of multilingualism, monolingualism and the studies of vocabulary in international organisation settings, in particular the largest literature on the subject, the organisational post-colonial approach. We continue with the presentation of the case, Tubworld, and the historical, cultural and political context of Latin American multinational corporations (MNCs). We explain later how the word 'leader' was introduced and is used in Italian and Spanish; we produce also a brief lexical description of *duce* (I), *caudillo* (S), *leader* (I), *líder* (S), *condurre* (I), *acaudillar* (S), *conducir* (S), *leadership* (I), *liderazgo* (S), *dirigente* (I), *dirigente* (S), *dirigere* (I), *dirigir* (S), *direttore* (I) and *director* (S) and

their resonances with the expatriate narratives in Tubworld. We pay particular attention to the context in which they used these words: meetings, interviews, and informal chats when they discussed internationalization, takeovers, mergers and acquisitions involving Tubworld. We finish by reflecting on the consequences of the uses of *líder*/leader in association with other non-English words, in particular *líder* (S), *capo* (I) and *guida* (I), in the context of the recent expansion of Mediterranean and Latin American MNCs.

### **The gap in the literature to study the leader/ship phenomenon from a lexical perspective**

There is an academic consensus that the specific topics of monolingualism and multilingualism and their implications for leadership are still an underexplored area of research, even after the attempts to study them at the beginning of 2000s (see among others Bordia and Bordia, 2015; Janssens et al., 2004; Welch et al., 2005; Charles and Marschan-Piekkari, 2002; Fredriksson et al., 2006). There is a claim that indeed the field of leadership studies requires an alternative research agenda focussed on language multiplicity (Schedlitzki et al., 2016) in order to include non-Anglicized notions of leadership (Guthey and Jackson, 2011). In their research for specific literature on leadership and language Schedlitzki et al. (2016) found a strong focus on exploring language as a tool for motivation and persuasion, whereas only one article was found in the Business Premier database (Zander et al., 2011) exploring language in relation to leadership and culture. In the same database, we found that only Jepson (2010) specifically addresses the relation between language, leadership and lexicality.

The lack of attention given to the multilingual dimension in research is not exclusive to leadership studies. Even in studies that focus on narrative (Czarniawska, 1997) and discursive (Fairhurst, 2007) aspects of organising, the multilingual perspective was traditionally invisible. For Nidhi Srinivas (2002) the absence of research on other vocabularies than English during the 1960s was part of a strategy of dividing West from East, silencing and disciplining the local by imposing single codes, words and concepts, 'here and there': "The existing

managerial vocabulary was retained, with culturalistic explanations for existing concepts like motivation and leadership” (p. 169). Indeed, the analyses tended to neglect the uses and coherency of vocabularies different than English in earlier colonial enterprises. Jepson (2011) and Ottenheimer (2006) noted that there have been attempts in organisation studies to explore the verbal dimension of leadership, but not on the multi-lingual perspective and the multiplicities of vocabularies that constitutes its semantic domain. Similar was the case of the studies of multilingualism or monolingualism in business studies, which have been studied from the communication perspectives (see, among others, Lavric and Bäck, 2009; Esmann et. al., 1999) but not from the verbal or the semantic.

The discussion on the mono-multilingual perspective of leading was also traditionally outside of the focus of leadership studies (Jepson, 2010). It seems the Narrative Turn on social research was interpreted in leadership studies more as research on “cultural leadership”. The interest was more on the way cultural differences were constructed, as Alvesson and Kärreman (2000) discussed; a reaction to an evident discourse-exclusive managerial Anglo-Saxon ideology. Indeed, the more *cultural* than, strictly speaking, lexicosemantic approach still permeates our current discussions on leadership. Schedlitzki et al. (2016) found reasons for this to be due to the dominance and unreflective use of the English language as the academic and business *lingua franca* within the field of leadership research, and its implications of overlooking lexical multiplicity for our understanding of sensitive leadership practices. Others have suggested this is due to the difficulty of native English speakers, including academics, to learn foreign languages (Lamy, 2003; McPake et al., 1999; Coleman, 2009, 2011) and therefore the difficulty to investigate the meaning of the leader/ship in other vocabularies.

Indeed, in response to concerns that UK-born and educated researchers lack essential foreign language skills, the British Academy produced a report in 2009 (British Academy for the Humanities and Social Sciences, 2009) which analysed the effect of the fall in modern language learning on research fields, especially in social sciences. A follow-up report (British Academy for the Humanities and

Social Sciences, 2011) found that the lack of language skills limited researchers in their ability to produce research in languages other than English and therefore engage internationally in or with their research, and in their career opportunities.

At some point in our research we began to question whether perhaps other analysis on the lexical dimension of leadership were produced not in English but in Italian and Spanish. We therefore conducted a search within J-Stor (there is not a specific Italian database for scientific articles) for Italian academic journals using the keywords *leadership*, *liderazgo* and *lessico*. The search brought up 20 and 61 hits respectively. We went through all these 81 articles to identify those that were specifically focussed on leadership and language. We also ran other specific searches within this database, using keywords such as *significato* and *semantica*. All the searches returned 112 articles, with only two articles (Malighetti, 1994; Balboni, 2001) exploring leadership in relation to communication and culture. We also conducted a search within Dialnet Rioja, the Spanish Social Science Database with 9695 Journals and more than 5 million papers for full texts from academic journals and J-Stor using the keywords *liderazgo* and *lingüística* and *liderazgo* and *léxico*. The search brought up 38 and 12 hits respectively. We went through all these 50 articles to identify those that were specifically focussed on leadership and language. We also ran other specific searches within these databases, using keywords such as *significado* and *semántica*. All the searches returned 4 articles, with only three articles (Gonzales Miranda, 2014; Mayorga et al., 2013; Vangehuchten, 2013) exploring the relation between leadership with power, the discourse of handbooks used in Spain to teach leadership and leadership as part of organization studies.

Schedlitzki et al. (2016) found that in academic practice, English became a sort of imposed *lingua franca*, meaning to speak and write in English is needed in order to succeed in the global academic life. This suggested to us that the lexical perspective could be more evident in post-colonial studies<sup>2</sup>. However, we found that for Prasad (2003) the discourse of the cultural complexity and its vocabulary dimension is an epiphenomenon of the traditional culturalistic

approaches that divide people and phenomena in order to make them comparable. Post-colonialists remark that neocolonial discourse, hidden within the rhetoric of an always-complex globalization and multi-nationality, simplifies the richness and contributions of the local and imposes uniformity and simplicity on the symbolic relations of the people who work in an organisation (Peltonen, 1997, 1999), particularly in their identity representations (Leonard, 2010). Scholars have noted the lack of neutrality in the global approach, its simplicity and its one-dimensional perspective, and suggest that this perspective was inherited from the colonial organisation of the world (Prasad, 1997) into local and non-local languages, cultures, religions and ethnicities. However, they have not produced concrete analyses of how this simplification is formed at the lexical level.

We were interested also in studying the recent explorations addressing the issue of the relation between multilingualism, diversity and ethnicity. The literature suggests that differences—constructed through stereotyping rather than given in nature—play a crucial role in dividing people, impeding their adjustment and creating pseudo-cultural differences and stigmatization (see, among others, Vromans et al., 2013; Hemmasi and Downes, 2013). We found a good corpus of research on language and multilingualism that has suggested a similar idea: that indeed semantic differences are what really count, but they are often overlooked in favour of explanations that emphasize local/non-local differences, barriers and cultural distances. Some authors like Billig (1995) suggested that the construction and imagination of our identity is articulated in the choices of our everyday vocabulary. The decision to use or avoid using words depending on how they resonate, or to use them in a particular way or to depict actions in one way or another (for example, 'to lead' or 'to follow', or to manage' or 'to be managed'), could have consequences on our representation of these actions, on our definition of ourselves as similar or different to others and on our interactions with others (De Cillia et al., 1999).

Our conclusion was that it is not only in the field of leadership and organization studies in English, Italian and Spanish that the research agenda on language and

lexical multiplicity is invisible, but also in other approaches that make claim to a more diverse and local approach to leadership, like postcolonial studies and mobility and nomadic organizing and expatriation.

### **A case to study leadership in a multilingual context: Tubworld and Latin America**

Latin America is a noteworthy region for the study of leadership from a lexical perspective. It has represented a fully integrated economy in the global market of commodities for almost 200 years. Between 1989 and 2002, it was also one of the preferred destinations for foreign investment and MNCs relocation and expansion (Gaggiotti, 2012). From the late 19th century, Latin America registered four distinct fluctuations in the workforce, all of them as a result of immigration and mobility: 1) 1870 and 1913, a period characterized by the agro-export model of development, when foreign MNCs settled, local MNCs were born and expanded, and the global workforce migrated in and out of Latin America, mostly from Mediterranean Europe; 2) 1914 to 1929, a period of import-substitution industrialization, with a strong presence of an international working force with Italian, Spanish, German, Lebanese, Japanese, Polish and French as their mother tongues; 3) 1990 to 1998, a period of privatization of national companies; and 4) 2004 to 2008, a period of contemporary global mobility and global careerism (Chudnovsky and López, 1997). The three phases each have in common the huge development of a workforce that in most cases has the capacity to use more than one language. Fowler (2002) noted that it is indeed very common, for example, that Mexicans, Argentineans and Brazilians who speak more than one language do not necessarily recognize having one mother tongue, but have a hybrid ethnicity and multiple national identities. 82% of the expatriate managers of Tubworld are in fact Italo-Mexicans; Italo-Argentineans, Mexican-US Americans or Brazil-Portuguese and 92% of them speak two or three languages. As a Tubworld expatriate graphically explained:

Interviewee 7: I do not see locals or nonlocals when managing people; I see people. How can I explain? For me it's not important if the director (*jefe*) is Spanish, Mexican, Japanese or German. This is not the problem!

The problem for me... I don't see the person as a foreigner ... I see the person as a person; this is why my relationship with this person is very important. How can I relate to this person from my personal point of view, not because I am his or her leader (*il suo capo*). What can I say? I might have an Italian leader (*capo*) who I like very much or an Italian leader (*capo*) that hates me.... This depends on the person, not on the fact that he was born in Italy... For me it was not because I started to work for an Italian company that was acquired, right? Purchased. In fact, so many Italian companies are not Italian anymore.... They are Argentinean, German, Chinese. It is also clear that when someone buys a company he wants to impose his way of working, which may be correct or not, but it's his.

Indeed, there is a sense of proudness, a crafted preferred version of Tubworld's expatriate engineers to construct themselves, similar to other professions (Knights and Clarke, 2014), as global, multilingual managers.

### **Methodological choices**

There is an academic agreement that the lexical dimension of organising practices are still an underexplored area of organizational research, in general, and leadership research, in particular. Here we need to make two considerations that have influenced our methodological choices. Primarily, we have considered here leadership as a socially constructed phenomenon, an organizing practice. Even if we are acknowledging the substantial differences between leadership and management studies and we are not implying management and leadership are synonymous, we analyzed the leadership phenomena from a semantic point of view, from its construction in the narrative of speakers, and not as a pre-defined theoretical concept. Probably due to the influence of how Italian and Spanish participants of this research justify their use or avoidance, sometimes referring to the terms leadership (*liderar, condurre*) and management (*administrar, amministrare*) indistinctively, we preferred not to define leadership beforehand, to avoid stipulating a precise notion of leader and leadership from the literature instead of from the fieldwork, similar to what Marturano (Marturano et. al.,

2005) and others (see for example Astley and Zammuto, 1992; Pondy, 1978) have attempted.

Secondly, that we are only taking inspiration from linguistics; as anthropologists and management scholars we cannot claim expertise in that field. We were particularly interested in the vocabulary as a tool of constructing different realities, as Silverstein (2006) defines as an “entrée into understanding cultures” (p. 481) and we found inspiration for understanding the participant’s vocabularies on Searle’s semiotic constructivism (1995), Hitchings’s cultural significance of words (2008) and Fasold’s (1984) social uses of vocabularies than in linguistic perspectives. Indeed, we used language and vocabulary in Searle’s sense, to serve “the intrinsic intentionality of humans” (1995: 61), means by which humans create not only the meaning of leadership but also justify leading.

We started our work with an etymological analysis of the word ‘leader’, its incorporation into the Italian and Spanish vocabularies and its lexical relation with the words *duce*, *caudillo*, *commando*, *liderazgo* and other words of their semantic domain, scrutinizing in particular how English dictionaries define *duce* and *caudillo* as *leaders*. We continue with the analysis of Author 1 ethnographic fieldwork at Tubworld.

The main part of the material that we analyse here comes from the talks Author 1 with Tubworld expatriates, but we also draw on his own field notes. We draw on these materials to construct a series of “tales of the field” (Van Maanen, 1988). Actually, these tales are closer to a film: they contain ellipses, short frames and flashbacks to Tubworld’ past.

Originally we analysed the talks according to narrative analysis (Czarniawska, 1997, 2004). Author 1 collected data, taking notes as recommended by Huberman and Miles (1994), in an iterative way (Kostera, 2007). Analysing the talks in chronological order also became a way of signalling his changing knowledge of the field. Gradually, he started to focus on the semantic resonances of some words, expatriate’s justifications of the avoidance of managerial

vocabulary, mostly in English, and how some non-English words gave meaning to expatriates everyday leading, in particular *jefe*, *director*, *capo* and *direttore*.

From the perspective of the analysis, our interest was in exploring the relationship among resonances and the identification of actions that emerged in stories and testimonies around characters, events and places. The intention was to determine the resonances of leader/ship and how they became evident not only in the expatriates' discourse but also in organisational discourse more generally. We were particularly interested not only in establishing how and why these resonances had consequences in expatriates' 'practices', but also in determining the extent to which non-English words for leader/ship, in particular nouns and verbs, were used when telling stories. Because of length restrictions, we quote only a few examples of texts as illustrations of phenomena that characterize the whole corpus of Tubworld interviews and field notes.

The fieldwork carried out between March and August 2005 in cities hosting two factories: Bergamo (Italy) and Buenos Aires (Argentina) resulted in 52 unstructured interviews—better described as ethnographic talks (Fontana and Frey, 1994) usually with some kind of embedded questioning (Fetterman, 1989)—with expatriates and repatriated employees of various ages (35-45), gender (95% male), places of destination (most of them Europe and South America) and hierarchal levels (executive and technical management), all of whom worked for different companies of the corporation. The talks were conducted using the languages chosen by the interviewees (Italian, Spanish, English or a mix of them), recorded and fully transcribed.<sup>3</sup> The field notes were produced in Italian, Spanish or English, depending on the language chosen by the participants for the interaction. The fieldwork generated a total of 362 pictures, 6 hours of video recording, 14 hours of audio recording and a corpus of 35,678 words of field notes.<sup>4</sup> Out of the total number of talks, 46 were conducted on the company's premises and in the physical place where the expatriate/repatriated person worked every day (the employee's office). Author 1 was able to shadow 26 of the managers he interviewed, observing their work and social routines. He was invited 6 times to formal meetings with their teams, 14 lunches and 4 out of

the office activities such as playing football or the celebration of Tubworld' anniversary of the death of the founder, where he observed how leader/líder resonated when used in the interaction with workers, friends and even family members.

### **Tubworld: an ethnic group with a multilingual leadership vocabulary**

Tubworld is a self-defined 'global organisation' that produces and trades steel pipes and offers support services for the oil and gas industry. The company, one of the multinationals within Tecpetrol, is the result of the expansion of an initial nucleus of companies and plants (sometimes a company includes more than one plant, but usually a company is composed of a single plant) producing steel pipes in three countries (Italy, Argentina and Mexico). Even though the corporate discourse does not establish a hierarchy of those who have led the processes of mergers and acquisitions in Tubworld, it is well known that it was Tubarg (Argentina), founded by the Italian engineer YTS in 1954 in the province of Buenos Aires, that took over the other two companies based in Mexico and Italy. Forty years later, the three companies started a continuous intercontinental expansion.

Towards the end of the 1990s the idea emerged of creating a global brand that would communicate the company's commitment to clients in a unified and standardized way. The concept of Tubworld as a "global leader" emerged in the corporate discourse (Catalano, 2004, 1). Multilingual brochures, pamphlets, logos and webpages were redesigned to create and promote the new brand. All the expatriates interviewed referred to this process as the *Tubworldation* of the company. *Tubworldation* in the jargon of Tubworld meant representing a conglomerate producing more than 3 million tons of seamless pipes and 850,000 tons of welded pipes, with business offices in 20 countries employing 13,000 people. All the expatriates in the study worked for one of these independent, yet related, companies.

Tubworld was defined in the organizational discourse by its multilingual organisational richness and complexity. English was Tubworld's *lingua franca*, and this language mainly supported the relationship between Tubworld and its clients and providers. However, Italian was the common managerial language and substrate of managerial discourse (the organiser of the symbolic world and organisational representations) and Spanish was the language of power, represented by Tubworld Tubarg, which led the takeovers, mergers and acquisitions.

### **Italian, Spanish and English words for leader**

It is not our intention to expand on a detailed semantic analysis of leader/ship in English and other languages such as Italian and Spanish. However, when discussing this article with our reviewers, it was suggested that indeed the word 'leader' is a taboo in other languages and had negative resonances even when used in English. It is a well-known that after the demise of Nazism the name *Führerschule* was replaced with "management school" in every German speaking university, even if the word *Geschäftsführer* is still in use (Bremen, 2016). Moreover, the word 'leadership', paradoxically, is lexically borrowed from the German *leiten* or Dutch *leiden* and it means originally something about travelling or pioneering. Leadership as "to be in first place" is from late 14c. and used as a noun is first recorded c.1300. Used as to be in "the front or leading place" is from 1560s (*Thesaurus Online*, 2016).

In Italian, 'leader' (written in the same way as in English) is used in colloquial speech, as *capo* or *guida*. Contemporary Italian dictionaries include the word in its English form with no connotation of a managerial or corporate role (Gabrielli, 2015).

Figure 1 shows different dictionaries' definitions of the word 'leader' and alternate words that are defined or used to define 'leader', depending on the context. Italians do not say, for example, 'the module leader' but 'the head of a course'; when a competitor is ahead in a tournament she/he is not 'a leader' but

someone who is 'ahead among the winners.' In Italian, it is possible to construct words using *capo* depending on the function of the leader, like *caposquadra* (head of the squad), *capoclasse* (head of the class) or *capogruppo* (head of the group). Translating these words into English as 'leader' produces different resonances. The 'leader of the group' or the 'leader of the module' suggests someone unique, alone and singular, with extreme power.

Latin American researchers have documented the first use of the word *líder* in Spanish in the XIX century. Before 1929 the word used was *caudillo*. The Spanish form *líder* is documented in the Spanish vocabulary in 1929, and after a few years of being used alternately with the English form 'leader', was finally adopted in common use at the beginning of 1932. Godoy (2004) noted that the word originally was used in particular to refer to political leadership and, in exceptional cases, to refer to 'leaders' of horse races. Lázaro Carreter confirmed that *líder* was used first in Spanish "to refer to someone who manages or directs the mind and behaviour of others, in particular applied to politicians" (Lázaro Carreter, 1997, 656). However, *líder* was not used to refer to general Franco, ruler and supreme dictator of Spain from 1936 to 1975. He was called by the traditional form *caudillo* and was the only one allowed to receive this form of address, much like Mussolini's *duce*. English dictionaries and encyclopaedias however define the *caudillo* as a leader:

Francisco, Francisco. Pronunciation: /'frʌŋkəʊ/ (1892–1975), Spanish *general and dictator, head of state 1939–75. Leader of the Nationalists in the Civil War, in 1937 Franco became head of the Falange Party and proclaimed himself Caudillo ('leader') of Spain (English Oxford Living Dictionaries Online, 2016).*

Francisco Franco, in full Francisco Paulino Hermenegildo Teódulo Franco Bahamonde, byname El Caudillo (The *Leader*) (born December 4, 1892, El Ferrol, Spain—died November 20, 1975, Madrid) general and *leader of the Nationalist forces that overthrew the Spanish democratic republic in the Spanish Civil War (1936–39); thereafter he was the head of the*

government of Spain until 1973 and *head* of state until his death in 1975 (*Encyclopaedia Britannica Online*, 2016).

*Líder* was added in the *Diccionario de la Real Academia Española* -DRAE- (dictionary produced, edited, and published by the Spanish Royal Academy – RAE-) in 1927. The plural *líderes* started to be used after 1936. *Liderato* and *liderazgo* (leadership) were included as independent vocabulary entries in DRAE's 1970 edition and *liderar* (to lead) in 1989. The last printed (2001) and electronic (2005) editions of DRAE have the following vocabulary entries: *líder*, *liderar*, *liderato*, *liderazgo* (with the notation that RAE prefers the use of *liderazgo* instead of *liderato*; see Figure 1) and added the gendered noun *lideresa* (feminine for *líder*/'leader', a word usually considered masculine).

Alcoba and Freixas (2009) suggest that in official Spanish documents and in the press, *liderar* (to lead) is used to encompass a huge spectrum of verbs that are part of the same semantic domain, such as *encabezar* (to head), *dirigir* (to manage), *capitanear* (to lead a ship) or *mandar* (to command). All of these verbs have a derived noun in Spanish (*cabeza*-head, *director*-director, *capitán*-captain, *comandante*-commander), similar to the Italian (*testa*-head, *capo*-boss, *capitano*-captain, *comandante*-commander). *Liderar* is a function derived from the position of someone who is ahead of others, leading them.

v English	Italian/Spanish	Etymology	Def (Trans E)	Dictionary
leader	duce (I)	From Latin dūce(m), same root as ducēre 'condurre, guidare'.	1. title given to B. Mussolini ( 1883-1945 ) after the March on Rome, as head of Fascism   person who behaves like a dictator. (dim): ducetto; 2. Also f. (Literally . ) Guide ; chief , leader	Garzanti
leader	caudillo (S)	From late Latin: capitellum, y este dim. from lat. caput, -itis 'cabeza'.	1. m . absolute ruler of an army ; 2. m . Man who heads a group , community or body ; 3. m . political dictator	RAE
leader	leader (I)	From English; deriv. from "to lead": 'guidare'.	recognized leader of a party , an organization , a group , a movement	Garzanti
leader	líder (S)	From English	Person or entity to the head in his class	RAE
to lead	condurre (I)	From Latin conducēre, comp. di cūm 'insieme' and ducēre 'guidare'.	to bring, accompany (also figuratively ): Conduct a walk, to school ; lead to ruin and despair ; carry through , successful, end happily ; to complete , completed , finish   bring in a certain place ; having head : this road leads to a parking lot in the square	Garzanti
to lead	acaudillar (S)	No etymology noted	1. tr . Mandar, as head or chief men of war ; 2. tr . Guide , lead, direct ; 3. prnl . Take or choose leader	RAE
to lead	conducir (S)	From Latin: conducēre.	1. tr . Transport someone or something from one party to another ; 2. tr . Guide or direct someone or something to a place ; 3. tr . Guide or direct someone or something to a target or a situation . U. t . c . intr . ; 4. tr . Guide or direct a business or the performance of a community ; 5. tr . Guiding a motor vehicle. U. t . c . intr . ; 6. tr . his. Adjust , arrange for price or wage . ; 7. intr. his. Agreeing be apropos for any purpose . ; 8. prnl . Handled , behave , behave , proceed one way or another , right or wrong.	RAE
leadership	leadership (I)	From English; comp. from leader 'capo' e -ship, suff. che esprime condizione, ufficio, funzione e sim.	position of prominence , help function ; hegemony : political leadership ; h	Garzanti
leadership	liderazgo (S)	No etymology noted	1. m . The condition to be a leader ; 2. m . Exercise leader activities . ; 3. m . Position of superiority in which an institution or organization , a product or an economic sector , within its scope is	RAE
manager	dirigente (I)	No etymology noted	in the meanings of the verb : Committee executive body; who directs , who directives , especially as a professional qualification functions : technical managerial, sales ; union leader , company .	Garzanti
manager	dirigente (S)	No etymology noted	The ant . part . act. to direct ; 1. adj. He leads. U. t . c . s .	RAE
to manage	dirigere (I)	Etymology: ← dal lat. dirigēre, comp. di dis- 'dis-' e regēre 'reggere, governare'.	1. be in charge of a particular activity or act as moderator : directing an office, company ; directing traffic   ( Sport) referee a match , arbitrarlo ; 2. turn towards one direction : their gaze , the gaze ;   turn towards a definite end : he directed all his efforts to the strengthening of the institutions ; 3. address , address : directing a message , a telegram ; direct the word to someone .	Garzanti
to manage	dirigir (S)	Del lat. Dirigēre	1. tr . Straighten , directly bring something to a term or designated place . U. t . c . prnl . ; 2. tr . Guide , showing or giving signs of a road ; 3. tr . Put a letter , bundle, bundle box or other signs indicating where and who is to be sent ; 4. tr . Intended route and operations specific purpose ; 5. tr . Govern , rule , give rules for handling a unit, agency or claim; 6. tr . Advise and govern one's conscience ; 7. tr . Guide , guide, counsel who performs work ; 8. tr . Dedicate a work of genius ; 9. tr . Apply someone said or done ; 10. tr . Make an artistic orientation and marking components ; an orchestra or choir, or those involved in a movie or show.	RAE
director	direttore (I)	Etymology: ← dal lat. tardo directōre(m), deriv. di dirēctus, part. pass. di dirigēre 'dirigere'.	Who directs; in particular, who is invested with the direction of a group of people, an activity, a school, an office, company etc .: conductor (direttore d'orchestra); the editor (direttore) of a newspaper	Garzanti
director	director (S)	Del lat. director, -ōris.	1. adj. Who leads. 2. m . and f . Person who leads something because of their profession or office. The director of the compan, research. "He is a filmmaker (director de cine)".	RAE

Figure 1. Leader/ship in Italian and Spanish dictionaries

Jepson (2010) has noted a similar situation for the word leader in German. "When consulting, for example, a German dictionary such as Collins or the Oxford Duden, it appears that there are a multitude of different translations for the English terms leader and manager. Some of these overlap and others contradict, whilst other English terms such as follower and subordinate do not have a perfect match in the German language. This multitude of possible translations and interpretations is partly due to the functional character of the German language as the all-embracing word 'manager' is more specifically defined within the German language in terms of titles that describe specific positions and

functions in an organisation and hierarchy, that is, manager can in German mean both managing director (*Geschäftsführer*) and department head (*Abteilungsleiter*). Further, when translating such German words, for example, *Geschäftsführer* or *Abteilungsleiter*, as ‘manager’ in English, this will not capture the entire meaning and status of the German words” (p. 427).

The entire meaning of *leader/ship* in English is indeed impossible to be reproduced by any of the Italian or Spanish words used to refer to positions and functions of a *leader/líder*. The noun ‘lead’ in English, for example, can refer to the *cord for restraining or guiding a dog or other domestic animal* (*English Oxford Living Dictionaries Online*, 2016). The equivalent Italian *cinture per cane* or Spanish *correa de perro* have not any lexical or semantic relation with *leader/líder*.

The Spanish word for ‘leader’ (*líder*) has, like in English, an associated noun (*liderazgo*/‘leadership’) that is common in organizational discourse and the economics press. There is no equivalent associated noun in Italian (*commando*/‘leadership’). However, the equivalent of ‘leading’ (*liderando*) is rarely used in Spanish and not used in Italian at all.

### ***Leader/líder in Tubworld***

#### *Avoiding the use of ‘leader/líder’*

At different moments of the fieldwork Author 1 noticed that Tubworld expatriates refer to the action of leaders not necessarily as leadership, they tried not to use the words *leader/líder*, *leadership/liderazgo* and they used the word *caudillo* to express the negative resonances that could emerge when using the word *líder*.

HG: Do you think ZTL exercised good leadership in the process?

Interviewee 11: Well, not really leadership I would say; I think not. He was a good facilitator; he did the job that had to be done without imposing,

without being authoritarian and imposing his ideas as a leader (*sin imponer sus ideas como un caudillo*)...

HG: But, is it possible to be a leader (I used the word *líder*) without being authoritarian?

Interviewee 11: I don't know; it seems very difficult to me.... How can you not make decisions and impose your ideas in the context of a takeover?

Later on, he wrote in his field notes:

I met with Interviewee 13 for coffee. He explained to me what he refers to as *tubworldzation*: We're not down here (in Tubworld) under a magnifying glass of a leader (he used the word *caudillo*) who imposes his ideology, his way of doing things. We have to take the initiative, be autonomous and show that we can take risks. If you are not able to do that, you can't develop as a manager in Tubworld. I asked whether someone should assume the leadership role (*rol del líder*). He replied: Sometimes; only if it's necessary. It should not to be imposed; you need to take the initiative and take calculated risks, even if they are not fully aligned with the strategy. A leader (*líder*) could be like a dictator, dictating what to do, what has to be done and what can't be done. This is not considered a professional executive manager (*directivo*) around here.

Another participant, was not only reluctant of using *líder/leader* but also using only one term to explain actions in permanent change; highly complex to be explained using just a single term:

Interviewee 6: ZXC led the takeover.

HG: I thought you had also led the takeover.

Interviewee 6: No. I just was part of the team with ZXC. I was in charge of directing operations.

HG: That is, ZXC was the leader, the *capo*...?

Interviewee 6: Well, he was the Managing Director (*Direttore generale*) and sometimes the leader (*capo*). In a meeting with RDG, he explained to

me that the problems in the takeover in Mexico were due to Tubarg's project leaders style (*estilo de los líderes de proyecto*), their arrogance, their indiscriminate use of the power they had as buyers. No leadership is needed there, he said, but what is necessary is to be sensible and work with the people, hand in hand, to explain the standards of Tubworld. He explained: Good bosses (*capos*), not *duci* were needed. I asked if the issue was because of lack of leadership training. He replied, There were very capable people, but they took a leadership role and this complicated everything. So, it was decided that other people would be in charge of the takeovers in Eastern Europe from then and they would always be mixed groups, not just people from Tubarg.

*Non transformasi in un duce and no necesitas ser un caudillo (do not become and do not be a leader)*

In one of his periods at Tubworld Tubital (Bergamo, Italy) Author 1 worked extensively with Interviewee 44 who described his experience in the takeover of Tubirom (Romania). They spoke in Italian. In Interviewee 44 words, his goal when working in Tubirom "wasn't becoming a *duce* and getting people accustomed to following him". This was an unusual use of *duce*, as the word was never used publicly in the Italian business sector. As one of the reviewers of this article suggested, even in popular business press the common word used is *capo* (boss). In politics, on the contrary, *duce* is widely used: the former Italian Prime Minister Matteo Renzi was labelled by alternative press as *Il ducetto di Rignano* (the little *duce* from Rignano - Renzi's hometown near Florence).

For Interviewee 44, however, there was no other way of leading a takeover. The idea, he said, was for them to learn Tubworld's culture, to take initiative, to understand Tubworld's way of working and to take calculated risks. They were used to work in the context of a public company, where they were always waiting to be told what to do, to receive orders. They did what they were asked to do, rather than what needed to be done, and his job was "to lead (*condurre*) them so

they can adopt the Tubworld way of working...they needed to be convinced of that and I had the power to do it”.

Indeed, in Tubworld, *liderar* means to use power. However, it has to be a very sophisticated use of it, in conjunction with what the expatriates call the transmission of ideas (*transmisión de ideas*), in order to be efficient. There is not a single word or expression for this. The failure to transmit ideas leads to antagonism, the result of a *caudillo* practice, as it was explained by Interviewee 30:

Interviewee 30: There are different roles, to lead (*liderar*) and to convey ideas. If someone can integrate the two, I think it's easier. I think there was a lot of power involved. A takeover is a takeover. Clearly when you take over you have to assume you have a lot of power over all the company structure; power is something you need to know how to handle. The reactions you get depend on how you handle it. I would not say it was a feeling against our powerful *líderes* in Venezuela. But you don't need to be a *caudillo*.

### *Leadership and management*

Author 1 started his analysis by scrutinizing the conversations where the references to *leader/leadership -líder/liderar-* were addressed during his first month of fieldwork. The first impression was on the flexibility of the vocabulary the expatriates used when they express their leadership and management experiences.

Interviewee 7, a Mexican expatriate working in Bergamo (Italy) characterized a 'leader as a 'good' manager with two skills: someone who manages without others taking note of his/her hierarchical power and someone who has technical capacity. It was possible to be part of a leadership team by being a manager.

Interviewee 7: The leadership team was Ficaro, Romango and myself.

HG: Ficaro led the takeover?

Interviewee 7: No, Ficaró also had a way, a very good way of working with people.... He was the manager, but nobody perceived it ... He was a manager with a great technical ability and because of it he was also a good leader. So I did the same and I put myself in a position of saying to people: I have a lot of experience with this. Can I help you?

An Argentinian expatriate working also in Italy assumed that the leader was a role associated with the constitution of a team to be *directed*.

Interviewee 10: My trip to Canada was for a project, the development of a company that is not ours; we signed a contract with them to use and manage the steel factory and the supply chain.

HG: You went as a Project Leader?

Interviewee 10: Yes, but my role was not only to lead but also to build a team and direct, decide what everybody had to do.

Author 1 was intrigued by this way of referring to leadership and teams but not to leaders and to managers instead. Indeed, he took notes referring to the “importance of being a good manager in Tubworld; the superlative qualities of Tubworld managers and the associations the expatriates made between being a good engineer and a good manager, but never between a good engineer and a good leader”. He started to pay attention to other words in Italian and Spanish that could represent *leader/ship* in the conventional way, as different than *manage/ment*. *Direttore/director* emerged as an interesting word. For Interviewee 23, a bilingual Argentine expatriate in Italy, a *director*, ‘led’ processes and created culture.

Interviewee 23: He was the director of quality of Planar. Then he moved to work for Cuttar and was the plant manager; he had to lead the whole take over. Here, he said, we must build a new culture.

In Tubworld, the factory directorship (*direttore de azienda–director de fábrica*) is considered the top managerial role. Expatriates never refer to them as ‘leaders’,

except when they assume a particular role, for example, conduct a takeover or a post-takeover restructuring. It is common that the factory directors are asked in fact to assume leadership roles in takeovers.

Interviewee 54 was an expatriate with experience in takeovers in Brazil and Italy. He was trilingual, Spanish, Italian and Portuguese. The verbs *estar a cargo/encargado* (to be in charge), *conducir/dirigir/conducir* (to manage) and *liderar* (to lead) were interchangeable with one another and were used as part of a unified vocabulary when telling the story of the Tubworld takeovers, but not indistinctively. Each of these words were used to denote different actions. *Estar a cargo de*/was in charge of (taking over; buying) operated sometimes as a synonym for 'to lead' but also 'to manage', depending on the phase of the takeover.

Interviewee 54: I was in charge of buying CSP. He (referring to the other manager) was in charge of the purchase team and, when it was finished, he said, Well, now you have bought it; now, you have to manage (*manejarla*) it.

HG: So you led (*lideraste*) the takeover of CSP and TANO?

Interviewee 54: No, I bought CSP but I didn't lead (*no lideré*) the takeover; It happened that I led the takeover of TANO in Italy; in '94 I came to SOPA and from SOPA, I was in charge of taking over TANO in Italy. My experience in managing and buying CSP was crucial for leading the takeover of TANO.

What is clear is that an assumed (and perhaps generally accepted) idea of leadership represented only by a word from one language was not common in Tubworld. Indeed, in a discussion among two Tubworld expatriate managers, the question was suggested in these terms:

FCD was very emphatic when discussing with TFR. He said: This is a multinational corporation and you need to lead (*dirigir*) people from

different backgrounds. If you need to speak Italian, you speak Italian. If you need to speak Spanish or English, you must speak Spanish or English.

### *Words and images of leadership in Tubworld*

It was particularly interesting how Tubworld expatriates represent, if they in fact do, the image of the *leader/líder*. In the interview with Interviewee 48, Author 1 asked:

HG: Who would be a Tubworld leader (*líder*) for you?

Interviewee 48: I don't know.... I think YTS. He was more than a leader to me; he was a pioneer. He created Techpetrol from scratch. It was he who invented it. Look: I have the photo he gave me when I celebrated my 30<sup>th</sup> anniversary at Tubarg.

Indeed, in Tubworld is common to see a picture of YTS in the offices of the engineers. YTS was always writing, working, suggesting; an iconic image of a manager. The picture has a footnote, handwritten by YTS, dedicated to the employer. In the case of Interviewee 48, an engineer from working at Tubworld Bergamo factory, the dedicatory was in recognition of Interviewee 48's long career at the company. Interviewee told that YTS handed the picture to him in a formal act. "This is what a real *capo* does," he said. For him, the image, publicly visible behind his desk to everyone who entered his office, also helped him present himself to other employees as someone who had received recognition from the founder himself.

The same picture has been used 'officially' by the corporation to illustrate the History and Expansion of Tecpetrol. The picture is published under the subtitle 'Founding' together with other pictures of workers with a footnote: "The founder was YTS, an innovative engineer, manager and entrepreneur, and a key force behind the development of the Italian steel industry in the 1930s".

When interviewing Interviewee 55 another image of a *líder/leader* was used. *Il capo dei capi era il Leonardo* (the leader of the leaders was Leonardo –da Vinci-) he said. Indeed, Leonardo da Vinci was the inventor of the process of pipe drilling and in the main entrance of Tubworld offices the face and the name of da Vinci, together with the drawing of his design of a drill machine, is displayed (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Fieldwork in Tubworld(Bergamo, Italy)

## Conclusions

The words for *leader/líder* used at Tubworld together with other words to express who is in charge, the *capo*, the *director*, etc. were used alternately (but not synonymously), as elements of a useful rhetoric that served expatriates to give a more complete picture of the complex actions of leaders and managers during takeovers: sometimes directing (*dirigendo*) and sometimes managing (*mandando*). This was similar to what Fasold (1994) and Wardhaugh (1986) described as happening with words borrowed from another language – the practice of deciding which words to use or avoid depends on the situation or context.

In the context of the takeovers of Tubworld, there is good evidence to support the argument that 'to lead' resonated with the authoritarian use of power yet there was a need to demonstrate that power was not being used in an authoritarian way. The association of the words *leader/líder* with *duce/caudillo*, even if *leader/líder* in fact were borrowed from the English, could suggest the use of authoritarian power, hence the need to justify the use of *leader/líder* in conjunction with other words with the intention to minimise negative resonances. This may have to do with the context of Tubworld takeovers, in which managers (*capo di azienda; director de planta/factory director*) alternated in their roles: they lead the initial phases of the takeover, when power 'needed' to be used discretionally, followed by phases when leading represented not the uses of power but to be in a top managerial role. Conceptually, *leader/ship* and *manage/ment* are different, as they are represented, in English, by two different words, but the limits of these differences were explained by Tubworld expatriates when using Italian and Spanish.

For the expatriates of Tubworld, *liderar* (to lead) was always to lead 'something': a takeover, a group, a merger, etc., to 'do' something. It was not the characteristic of someone who did nothing or was 'merely' inspired by ideas or inspired ideas in others. At Tubworld, it was not possible to be a leader passively. Corporate leaders were more like political leaders, as the uses of the word suggest in Italian and Spanish. The leader was a *factotum*, a doer. This could be said to be a controversial and ethically questionable understanding of leader and leadership, but it should still be taken into account when working with Latin American leaders and managers, who are now more present in the global corporate world.

In Tubworld, English was considered an obvious (and compulsory) *lingua franca*, a practical and technical code necessary to do business, provide accurate information to stake and shareholders and customers and providers. However, the organisational vocabulary of Tubworld was made by words, *syntagmas* and phrases from three languages. Intermediate and top leaders and managers were fluent in these three languages and used words of them constantly to be clear of

what they were trying to said or avoid. Part of the success in getting access from Tubworld to conduct the fieldwork was due to the Author 1's capacity of being an Italian-Spanish native speaker, with formal primary and secondary education in English.

Multilingual practices in Tubworld were not only a consequence of the multiple origins of the corporation, but also part of a way of organising that minimised misunderstandings and helped to deal with organisational sophistication and a more precise use of words. Feely and Harzing (2003) have concluded that there is a relation between damages in organizational relationships and language barriers. As we saw above, there was a concern in Tubworld expatriates of avoiding internal colonialism of *duci/caudillos* leading when expanding through takeovers and acquisitions. The risk of isolation, exclusion, patronization and the formation of subaltern groups described by the literature when imposing monolingual barriers during mergers and acquisitions (Vaara et al., 2005; Piekkari et al., 2005) was minimized in Tubworld by choosing not to define an official company language but three.

There were phases in Tubworld takeovers that created situations whereby the negotiation of what words should resonate were crucial: leader in the sense of *duce* and *caudillo* needed always to be avoided, even at the expense of not using the word leader itself. It was important to define not the meaning of the words but also the communication patterns within the group of Tubworld expatriates. A leader of Tubworld never had to appear to be aggressive, authoritarian, someone who could be associated to a *duce* or a *caudillo*. There are these situations when Balboni refers that "the language skills are crucial" (Balboni, 2001, 460-461).

Indeed, we do things with words, and as De Cillia et. al (1999) referred, we decide what and how we do things by deciding which words to use to name our actions. To avoid negative resonances, Tubworld's expatriates switched constantly to different words, in particular when expressing leading. If just translated into English, it seems they used *leader-leadership* and *manager-management* as if they were synonymous, but they avoided authoritarian

resonances that the word leader could produce in the particular context of Tubworld expansion. Tubworld's expatriates were aware that the use of the vocabulary of the three Tubworld's languages help them to produce actions and express leadership polyphony, the complexity of the different conceptions of authority, power and hierarchies that needed to be professionally and sophisticatedly managed, often at specific times in Tubworld, a common practice, as Brett (2006) suggests, in the increasingly polyglot world of contemporary organizing.

## References

Alcoba S and Freixas M (ed) (2009) Las palabras del Libro de Estilo: entre censura y sanción. In: Alcoba S (ed) *Lengua, comunicación y libros de estilo*. Barcelona: Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, pp. 44-90.

Alvesson M and Kärreman D (2000) Taking the Linguistic Turn in Organisational Research: Challenges, Responses, Consequences. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science* 36: 136-158.

Astley WG and Zammuto RY (1992) Organization Science, Managers and Language Games. *Organization Science* 3(4): 443-460.

Balboni PE (2001) Problemi di comunicazione interculturale tra Italiani e parlanti di italiano in Nord America. *Italica* 78(4): 445-463.

Baumgardner R (1997) English in Mexican Spanish: a survey of English-language borrowings among Mexican consumers. *English Today* 52(13): 27-35.

Bhabha H (1990) Introduction: Narrating the nation. In: Bhabha, H (ed) *Nation and Narration*. London: Routledge, pp. 1-7.

Bhabha H (1994) *The Location of Culture*. London: Routledge.

Billig M (1995) *Banal nationalism*. London: Sage.☐

Bordia S and Bordia P (2015) Employees' willingness to adopt a foreign functional language in multilingual organizations: The role of linguistic identity. *Journal of International Business Studies* 46(4): 415-428.

Bremen (2016) Hochschule Bremen City University of Applied Sciences. <http://www.hs-bremen.de/internet/de/hsb/struktur/mitarbeiter/rspiecker/> (accessed 4 September 2016).

Brett J, Behfar K and Kern M (2006) Managing Multicultural Teams. *Harvard Business Review* 11: 84-95.

British Academy for the Humanities and Social Sciences (2009). *Language Matters*. <http://www.britac.ac.uk/policy/language-matters.cfm> (accessed, 25 April 2016).

British Academy for the Humanities and Social Sciences (2011). *Language Matters More and More*. [http://www.britac.ac.uk/policy/Language\\_Matters\\_More\\_And\\_More.cfm](http://www.britac.ac.uk/policy/Language_Matters_More_And_More.cfm) (accessed 25 April 2016).

Catalano Ch (2004) *Tubworld: creating a global leader from an emerging market*. Case IB-60. Stanford: Stanford Graduate School of Business.

Charles M and Marschan-Piekkari R (2002) Language training for enhanced horizontal communication: a challenge for MNCs. *Business Communication Quarterly* 65(2): 9-29.

Chudnovsky D and López A (1997) *Las estrategias de las empresas transnacionales en Argentina, Brasil y Uruguay en los años noventa*. Buenos Aires: CENIT.

Coleman JA (2009) Why the British do not learn languages: myths and motivation in the United Kingdom. *Language Learning Journal* 37(1): 111-127.

Coleman JA (2011) Modern languages in the United Kingdom. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education* 10(2): 127-129.

*Collins Spanish Dictionary* (2009) *Caudillo*. Glasgow: HarperCollins.

Czarniawska B (1997) *Narrating the Organisation: Dramas of Institutional Identity*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Czarniawska B (2004) *Narratives in social science research*. London and Thousand Oaks: Sage.

De Cillia R, Reisigl M and Wodak R (1999) The discursive construction of national identities. *Discourse and Society* 10(2): 149-73.

*Encyclopaedia Britannica Online* (2016) *Francisco Franco*. Available at: <https://global.britannica.com/biography/Francisco-Franco> (accessed 25 May 2016).

*Encyclopaedia Britannica Online* (2016) *Benito Mussolini*. Available at: <http://www.britannica.com/biography/Benito-Mussolini> (accessed 25 May 2016).

*English Oxford Living Dictionaries Online* (2016) *Franco, Francisco*. Available at: [https://en.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/us/franco,\\_francisco](https://en.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/us/franco,_francisco) (accessed 25 May 2016).

*English Oxford Living Dictionaries Online* (2016) *Lead*. Available at: <https://en.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/us/lead> (accessed 25 May 2016).

*English Oxford Living Dictionaries Online* (2016) *Mussolini, Benito*. Available at: <http://www.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/english/mussolini-benito?q=Mussolini> (accessed 25 May 2016).

Esmann N, Lintner P and Hagen S (1999) The language and cultural needs of German exporters in Swabia and Augsburg. In: Hagen S (ed) *Business communication across borders. A study of language use and practice in European companies*. London: Languages National Training Organisation/Centre for Information on Language Teaching and Research, pp. 69-82.

Fairclough M (2006) Spanish/English interaction in the US Hispanic heritage learners' writing. In: Mar-Molinero C and Stewart M, *Globalization and language in the Spanish speaking world: macro and micro perspectives*. Houndsmills: Palgrave MacMillan, pp. 76-93.

Fairhurst G (2007) *Discursive Leadership: In Conversation with Leadership Psychology*. London: Sage.

Fanon F (1986) *Black Skin, White Masks*. London: Pluto Press.

Fasold R (1984) *The Sociolinguistics of Society*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Feely AJ and Harzing AW (2003) Language Management in Multinational Companies. *Cross Cultural Management* 10(2): 37-52.

Fetterman DM (1989). *Ethnography step by step*. London: Sage.

Fontana A and Frey JH (1994). Interviewing: The Art of Science. In: Denzin NK and Lincoln YS (eds), *Handbook of Qualitative Research*. Thousand Oaks: Sage, pp. 361-376.

Fowler W (2002) *Latin America, 1800-2000: modern history for modern languages*. London: Arnold.

Fredriksson R, Barner-Rasmussen W and Piekkari R (2006) The multinational corporation as a multilingual organisation: the notion of a common corporate language. *Corporate Communications: An International Journal* 11(4): 406-423.

Gabrielli A (2015) *Grande Dizionario Italiano Online Leader*. Available at: [http://www.grandidizionari.it/Dizionario\\_Italiano/parola/L/leader.aspx?query=leader](http://www.grandidizionari.it/Dizionario_Italiano/parola/L/leader.aspx?query=leader) (accessed 25 May 2016).

Gaggiotti H (2012) Giving sense to global careers: Exploration of the structural, cultural and contextual difficulties in Argentina. In: Baruch Y and Reis C, *Careers without borders: critical perspectives*. London: Routledge, pp. 107-134.

Goddard C and Wierzbicka A (2014) *Words and Meanings: Lexical Semantics Across Domains, Languages, and Cultures*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Godoy L (2004) *Líder y su familia léxica en el español de Chile*. *Estudios Filológicos* 39: 37-47.

Gonzales-Miranda DR (2014) Los Estudios Organizacionales. Un campo de conocimiento comprensivo para el estudio de las organizaciones. *Innovar. Revista de Ciencias Administrativas y Sociales* 24: 43-58.

Guthey E and Jackson B (2011) Cross-cultural Leadership Revisited. In Bryman A, Collinson D, Grint K, Jackson B and Uhl-Bien M (eds), *The SAGE Handbook of Leadership*. London: Sage, pp. 165-178.

Harper D (2016). *Online Etymology Dictionary Leader*. Available at: <http://www.etymonline.com/index.php?term=leader> (accessed 2 September 2016).

Haugen E (1950) The analysis of linguistic borrowing. *Language* 26: 210-231.

Hemmasi M and Downes M (2013) Cultural distance and expatriate adjustment revisited. *Journal of Global Mobility: The Home of Expatriate Management Research* 1(1): 72–91.

Hitchings H (2008) *The Secret Life of Words: How English Became English*. London: John Murray.

Huberman AM and Miles MB (1994) Data management and analysis methods. In: Denzin NK and Lincoln YS (eds) *Handbook of Qualitative Research*. Thousand Oaks: Sage, pp. 428-444.

Janssens M, Lambert J and Steyaert C (2004) Developing language strategies for international companies: the contribution of translation studies. *Journal of World Business* 39(4): 414-430.

Jepson D (now Schedlitzki) (2010) The importance of national language as a level of discourse within individuals' theorising of leadership — A qualitative study of German and English employees. *Leadership* 6(4): 425–445.

Jepson D (now Schedlitzki) (2011) National language and its importance for worldly leadership. In: Turnbull S, Case P, Edwards G, Jepson D and Simpson P (eds) (2011) *Worldly Leadership*. London: Palgrave.

Knights D and Clarke CA (2014) It's a bittersweet symphony, this life: Fragile academic selves and insecure identities at work. *Organization Studies* 35: 335–357.

Knowles C and Sweetman P (2004). *Picturing the Social Landscape: Visual Methods and the Sociological Imagination*. London: Routledge.

Kostera M (2007) *Organisational Ethnography. Methods and Inspirations*. Lund: Studentlitteratur.

- Lamy MN (2003) Is there language teaching after global English? *FULGOR (Flinders University Languages Group Online Review)* 1(2): 1-13.
- Lavric E and Bäck B (2009) English, French, Spanish, Italian, Portuguese? Code choice and Austrian export. *International Journal of Multilingualism* 6(1): 37-67.
- Lázaro Carreter F (1997) *El dardo en la palabra*. Barcelona: Círculo de Lectores.
- Leonard P (2010) *Expatriate Identities in Postcolonial Organisations: Working Whiteness*. Surrey: Ashgate.
- McPake J, Sachdev I, Carroll T, Birks T and Mukadam A (2008) *Community Languages in Higher Education: Towards Realising the Potential Routes into Languages*. Stirling: University of Stirling.
- Malighetti R (1994) Comunicazione e Cultura. Un Approccio Interpretativo All'analisi Organizzativa. *La Ricerca Folklorica* 29: 137-143.
- Marturano A, Wood M and Gosling J (2005) *Toward a linguistic analysis of leadership*. Exeter: University of Exeter/ Centre for Leadership Studies.
- Mayorga JJS, Sanabria M and Smida A (2013) De la influencia al poder: elementos para una mirada foucaultiana al liderazgo. *Innovar. Revista de Ciencias Administrativas y Sociales* 23(50): 17-33.
- Ottenheimer H (2006) *The Anthropology of Language: an introduction to linguistic anthropology*. Belmont: Wadsworth.
- Peltonen T (1997) Facing the Rankings from the Past: A Tournament Perspective on Repatriate Career Mobility. *International Journal of Human Resource Management* 8(1): 106-123.

Peltonen T (1999) Colonialism, postcolonialism and the organisation of the "local" self. Recursive identity narratives as tactical re-appropriations of the 'half european' subjectivity. *Proceedings from CMS1*. Manchester: First International Critical Management Studies Conference.

Piekkari R, Vaara E, Tienari J and Santii R (2005) Integration or Disintegration? Human Resource Implications of a Common Corporate Language Decision in a Cross-Border merger. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management* 16(3): 330-344.

Pondy L (1978) Leadership is a language game. In: McAll H and Lombardo M (eds) *Leadership: Where Else Can We Go?* Durham: Duke University Press, pp. 87-101.

Prasad A (1997) The colonizing consciousness and representations of the other: A postcolonial critique of the discourse of oil. In: Prasad P, Mills A, Elmes M and Prasad A (eds) *Managing the organisational melting pot: Dilemmas of workplace diversity*. Thousand Oaks/London/New Delhi: Sage, pp. 285-311.

Prasad A (2003) *Postcolonial theory and organisational analysis: A critical engagement*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Pulcini V (1994) The English language in Italy. *English Today* 40: 45-49.

Robinson I (2006) Genre and loans: English words in an Italian newspaper. *English Today* 22(4): 9-20.

Said E (1978) *Orientalism: Western representations of the Orient*. New York: Pantheon.

Schedlitzki D, Ahonen P, Edwards G, Gaggiotti H and Wankhade P (2016) Working with language: A refocused research agenda for cultural leadership studies. *International Journal of Management Reviews* doi/10.1111/ijmr.12100.

Searle J (1995) *The Construction of the Social Reality*. New York: Simon and Schuster.

Silverstein M (2006) Old Wine, New Ethnographic Lexicography. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 35: 481-496.

Stéphane R (2008) Words and their meanings. Principles of variation and stabilization. In Vanhove M (ed) *From polysemy to semantic change: towards a typology of lexical semantic associations*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company, pp. 55-92.

Srinivas N (2002) Cultivating Indian Management. Institutions, subjectivity, and the nature of knowledge. In: van Iterson A, Mastebroek W, Newton T and Smith D (ed) *The Civilized Organisation. Norbert Elias and the future of organisation studies*. [Advances in Organisation Studies, 10]. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company, pp. 151-169.

*Thesaurus Online* (2016) *Leading*. Available at <http://www.thesaurus.com/browse/leading/adjective/7> (accessed 4 September 2016).

Tsai C (2014) English as a Foreign Language Speaking Anxiety among University of Technology Students in Taiwan. *Modern Journal of Language Teaching Methods* 4(4): 44-49.

Vaara E, Tienari J, Piekkari R and Santii R (2005) Language and the Circuits of Power in a Merging Multinational Corporation. *Journal of Management Studies* 42(3): 595-624.

Vangehuchten L (2013) Liderazgo Intercultural: un análisis comparativo de este tema en estudios empíricos, manuales académicos y libros de ELE para fines

económicos y comerciales. *RAEL Revista Electrónica de Lingüística Aplicada* 12: 147-163.

Van Maanen J (1988) *Tales of the Field: On Writing Ethnography*. Chicago: University of Chicago.

Vromans P, van Engen M and Mol S (2013) Presumed cultural similarity paradox: Expatriate adjustment and performance across the border or over the globe. *Journal of Global Mobility* 1(2): 219-238.

Wardhaugh R (1986) *An Introduction to Sociolinguistics*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Welch D, Welch L and Piekkari R (2005) Speaking in tongues: the impact of language in international management processes. *International Studies of Management and Organisation* 35(1): 10-27.

Woodrow L (2006) Anxiety and Speaking English as a Second Language. *RELC Journal of Language, Teaching and Research* 37(3): 308-328.

Zander L, Mockaitis AL and Harzing AW (2011) Standardization and contextualisation: A Study of language and leadership across 17 countries. *Journal of World Business* 46: 296-304.

---

<sup>1</sup> All levels and names are pseudonyms.

<sup>2</sup> See, among others, the seminal works of Said (1978), Fanon (1986) and Bhabha (1990, 1994) and in particular in postcolonial organisational studies Prasad (1997, 2003).

<sup>3</sup> The interviews were transcribed *verbatim* and translated into English by Author 1. We discussed the translations with an Anglophone editor in order to produce texts with reasonable English reading standards, but trying to keep expressions of participants in their native language, even at the expense of incurring on syntax and grammar issues.

<sup>4</sup> We included in the analysis elements from semantic and rhetorical analyses, but focussed in particular on the description of the everyday world of the expatriates, and as re-produced by them, in particular in visual versions (logos, façades of buildings, webpages). The photographs selected can be considered the *evidence of the social* (Knowles and Sweetman, 2004). This means that the photographs were not *documents* supporting a topic, a concept or an idea. They were images of the landscape experienced by Tubworld expatriates living their everyday working lives: commuting to work, circulating among the towns where the factories were located, accessing their offices and parking their cars. These images portray a part of the world experienced by everybody at Tubworld.