This is a preprint of: "Averaging theory at any order for computing limit cycles of discontinuous piecewise differential systems with many zones", Jaume Llibre, Douglas D. Novaes, Camila A. B. Rodrigues, *Phys. D*, vol. 353-354, 1–10, 2017.

DOI: [10.1016/j.physd.2017.05.003]

AVERAGING THEORY AT ANY ORDER FOR COMPUTING LIMIT CYCLES OF DISCONTINUOUS PIECEWISE DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS WITH MANY ZONES

JAUME LLIBRE, DOUGLAS D. NOVAES AND CAMILA A. B. RODRIGUES

Abstract This work is devoted to study the existence of periodic solutions for a family of planar discontinuous differential systems $Z(x,y;\varepsilon)$ with many zones. We show that for $|\varepsilon| \neq 0$ sufficiently small the averaged functions at any order control the existence of crossing limit cycles for systems in this family. We also provide some examples dealing with nonlinear centers when $\varepsilon = 0$.

Keywords periodic solution \cdot averaging method \cdot nonsmooth differential system \cdot discontinuous differential system

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 34C29 · 34C25 · 37G15 · 34C07

1. Introduction and statement of the main results

In the qualitative theory of real planar differential system the determination of limit cycles, defined by Poincaré [21], has become one of the main problems. The second part of the 16th Hilbert problem deals with planar polynomial vector fields and proposes to find a uniform upper bound H(n) (called Hilbert's number) for the number of limit cycles that these vector fields can have depending only on the polynomial degree n. The averaging method has been used to provide lower bounds for the Hilbert number H(n) see, for instance, [13]. The interest on this topic extends to what we call discontinuous piecewise vector fields.

The increasing interest in the theory of nonsmooth vector fields has been mainly motivated by its strong relation with Physics, Engineering, Biology, Economy, and other

Jaume Llibre

Departament de Matematiques, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain Email: jllibre@mat.uab.cat

Douglas D. Novaes

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Rua Sérgio Baruque de Holanda, 651, Cidade Universitária Zeferino Vaz, 13083-859, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil, Email: ddnovaes@ime.unicamp.br

Camila A. B. Rodrigues

Departamento de Matemática, ICMC-Universidade de São Paulo, 13560-970,

São Carlos, São Paulo, Brazil, Email: camilaap@icmc.usp.br



branches of science. In fact, their associated differential systems are very useful to model phenomena presenting abrupt switches such as electronic relays, mechanical impact, and neuronal networks, see for instance [2, 7, 23]. The extension of the averaging theory to discontinuous piecewise vector field has been the central subject of investigation of the following works [11, 12, 14, 17].

A piecewise vector field defined on an open bounded set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a function $F:U\to\mathbb{R}^n$ which is continuous except on a set Σ of measure 0, called the set of discontinuity of the vector field F. It is assumed that $U \setminus \Sigma$ is a finite collection of disjoint open sets U_i , $i=1,2,\ldots,m$, such that the restriction $F_i=F|_{U_i}$ is continuous and extendable to the compact set $\overline{U_i}$. The local trajectory of F at a point $p \in U_i$ is given by the usual notion. However the local trajectory of F at a point $p \in \Sigma$ needs to be given with some care. In [8], taking advantage of the theory of differential inclusion (see [1]), Filippov established some conventions for what would be a local trajectory at points of discontinuity where the set Σ is locally a codimension one embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n . For a such point $p \in \Sigma$, we consider a sufficiently small neighborhood U_p of p such that Σ splits $U_p \setminus \Sigma$ in two disjoint open sets U_p^+ and U_p^- and denote $F^{\pm}(p) = F|_{U_p^{\pm}}(p)$. In short, if the vectors $F^{\pm}(p)$ point at the same direction then the local trajectory of F at p is given as the concatenation of the local trajectories of F^{\pm} at p. In this case we say that the trajectory crosses the set of discontinuity and that p is a crossing point. If the vectors $F^{\pm}(p)$ point in opposite directions then the local trajectory of F at p slides on Σ . In this case we say that p is a sliding point. For more details on the Filippov conventions see [8, 10].

In this paper we are interested in establishing conditions for the existence of crossing limit cycles for a class of planar discontinuous piecewise vector fields, that is limit cycles which only crosses the set of discontinuity Σ . It is worth to say that if Σ is locally described as $h^{-1}(0)$, being $h: U \to \mathbb{R}$ a smooth function and 0 a regular value, then $\langle \nabla h(p), F^+(p) \rangle \langle \nabla h(p), F^-(p) \rangle > 0$ is the condition in order that p is a crossing point.

In the sequel we introduce a short review of the averaging theory for computing isolated periodic solutions of differential equations. Then we set the class of planar discontinuous piecewise differential equations that we are interested. After that the rest of the section is devoted to the statement of our main result.

1.1. Background on the averaging theory for smooth systems. Let D be an open bounded subset of \mathbb{R}_+ and consider C^{k+1} functions $F_i: \mathbb{R} \times D \to \mathbb{R}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, and $R: \mathbb{R} \times D \times (-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0) \to \mathbb{R}$. We assume that all these functions are 2π -periodic in the first variable. Now consider the following differential equation

(1)
$$r'(\theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \varepsilon^{i} F_{i}(\theta, r) + \varepsilon^{k+1} R(\theta, r, \varepsilon),$$

and assume that the solution $\varphi(\theta, z)$ of the unperturbed system $r'(\theta) = F_0(\theta, r)$, such that $\varphi(0, \rho) = \rho$, is 2π -periodic for every $\rho \in D$. Here the prime denotes the derivative in the variable θ .

A central question in the study of system (1) is to understand which periodic orbits of the unperturbed system $r'(\theta) = F_0(\theta, r)$ persists for $|\varepsilon| \neq 0$ sufficiently small. In others words to provide sufficient conditions for the persistence of isolated periodic solutions. The averaging theory is one of the best tools to track this problem. Summarizing, it consists in defining a collection of functions $f_i: D \to \mathbb{R}$, for i = 1, 2, ..., k, called averaged functions, such that their simple zeros provide the existence of isolated periodic solutions of the differential equation (1). In [15, 16] it was proved that these averaged functions are

(2)
$$f_i(\rho) = \frac{y_i(2\pi, \rho)}{i!},$$

where $y_i : \mathbb{R} \times D \to \mathbb{R}$ for i = 1, 2, ..., k, are defined recurrently by the following integral equations

$$y_{1}(\theta, \rho) = \int_{0}^{\theta} F_{1}(s, \varphi(s, \rho)) ds,$$

$$y_{i}(\theta, \rho) = i! \int_{0}^{\theta} \left(F_{i}(s, \varphi(s, \rho)) + \sum_{l=1}^{i} \sum_{S_{l}} \frac{1}{b_{1}! b_{2}! 2!^{b_{2}} \cdots b_{l}! l!^{b_{l}}} \right)$$

$$\cdot \partial^{L} F_{i-l}(s, \varphi(s, \rho)) \prod_{j=1}^{l} y_{j}(s, \rho)^{b_{j}} ds, \text{ for } i = 2, \dots, k.$$

Here $\partial^L G(\phi, \rho)$ denotes the derivative order L of a function G with respect to the variable ρ , and S_l is the set of all l-tuples of non-negative integers (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_l) satisfying $b_1 + 2b_2 + \cdots + lb_l = l$, and $L = b_1 + b_2 + \cdots + b_l$.

1.2. A class of planar discontinuous piecewise smooth vector fields. When one consider the above problem in the world of discontinuous piecewise differential systems it is not always true that the higher averaged functions (2) allow to study the persistence of isolated periodic solutions. In [17, 12] this problem was considered for general Filippov systems when $F_0(\theta, r) \equiv 0$ and it was proved that the averaged function of first order can provide information in the persistence of crossing isolated periodic solutions. Furthermore the authors have found conditions on those systems in order to assure that the averaged function of second order also provides information on the existence of crossing isolated periodic solutions. When $F_0(\theta, r) \not\equiv 0$ but satisfies the condition that the solution $\varphi(\theta, \rho)$ is 2π -periodic the authors in [14] have found conditions on those systems in order to assure that the averaged function of first order provides information on the existence of crossing isolated periodic solutions.

This work is devoted to study the existence of isolated periodic solutions for an ε -family of planar discontinuous piecewise differential system $(\dot{x},\dot{y})^T=Z(x,y;\varepsilon)$. Here the dot denotes derivative in the variable t. In short we shall provide sufficient conditions in order to show that for $|\varepsilon|\neq 0$ sufficiently small the averaged functions (2) at any order can be used for obtaining information on the existence of crossing limit cycles for systems of this family.

We start defining the family of smooth piecewise differential systems that we shall study. The construction that we shall perform in the sequel has been done in [12] for a particular class of systems. Let n>1 be a positive integer, $\alpha_n=2\pi$ and $\alpha=(\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{n-1})\in\mathbb{T}^n$ is a n-tuple of angles such that $0=\alpha_0<\alpha_1<\alpha_2<\cdots<\alpha_{n-1}<\alpha_n=2\pi$ and let $\mathcal{X}(x,y;\varepsilon)=(X_1,X_2,\ldots,X_n)$ be a n-tuple of smooth vector fields defined on an open bounded neighborhood $U\subset\mathbb{R}^2$ of the origin and depending on a small parameter ε in the following way

(4)
$$X_j(x,y;\varepsilon) = \sum_{i=0}^k \varepsilon^i X_i^j(x,y) \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

For $j=1,2,\ldots,n$ let L_j be the intersection between the domain U with the ray starting at the origin and passing through the point $(\cos \alpha_j, \sin \alpha_j)$, and take $\Sigma = \bigcup_{j=1}^n L_j$. We note that Σ splits the set $U \setminus \Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ in n disjoint open sectors. We denote the sector delimited by L_j and L_{j+1} , in counterclockwise sense, by C_j , for $j=1,2,\ldots,n$.

Now let $Z_{\mathcal{X},\alpha}: U \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a discontinuous piecewise vector field defined as $Z_{\mathcal{X},\alpha}(x,y;\varepsilon)$ = $X_j(x,y;\varepsilon)$ when $(x,y) \in C_j$, and consider the following planar discontinuous piecewise differential system

(5)
$$(\dot{x}, \dot{y})^T = Z_{\mathcal{X}, \alpha}(x, y; \varepsilon).$$

The above notation means that at each sector C_j we are considering the smooth differential system

(6)
$$(\dot{x}, \dot{y})^T = \mathcal{X}_j(x, y; \varepsilon).$$

As our main hypothesis we shall assume that there exists a period annulus \mathcal{A} homeomorphic to $\{(x,y)\in U: 0<|(x,y)|\leq 1\}$, surrounding the origin, fulfilled by crossing periodic solutions of the unperturbed system $(\dot{x},\dot{y})^T=Z_{\mathcal{X},\alpha}(x,y;0)$.

1.3. Standard form and main result. The averaging theory deals with periodic nonautonomous differential systems in the standard form (1). Therefore in order to use the averaging theory for studying system (5) it has to be written in the standard form. A possible approach for doing this is to consider the polar change of variables $x = r \cos \theta$ and $y = r \sin \theta$. However the appropriate change of variables may depend on the initial system (5). In general, for each j = 1, 2, ..., n, after a suitable change of variables system (6) reads

(7)
$$r'(\theta) = \frac{\dot{r}(t)}{\dot{\theta}(t)} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \varepsilon^{i} F_{i}^{j}(\theta, r) + \varepsilon^{k+1} R^{j}(\theta, r, \varepsilon).$$

Now $\theta \in [\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j]$, $F_i^j : \mathbb{S}^1 \times D \to \mathbb{R}$ and $R^j : \mathbb{R} \times D \times (-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0) \to \mathbb{R}$ are C^{k+1} functions depending on the vector fields X_i^j , and they are 2π -periodic in the first variable, being D an open bounded interval of \mathbb{R}_+ and $\mathbb{S}^1 \equiv \mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$. Furthermore system (5) becomes

(8)
$$r'(\theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \varepsilon^{i} F_{i}(\theta, r) + \varepsilon^{k+1} R(\theta, r, \varepsilon),$$

where

(9)
$$F_{i}(\theta, r) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \chi_{[\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_{j}]}(\theta) F_{i}^{j}(\theta, r), \quad i = 0, 1, ..., k, \quad \text{and}$$

$$R(\theta, r, \varepsilon) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \chi_{[\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_{j}]}(\theta) R^{j}(\theta, r, \varepsilon),$$

where the characteristic function $\chi_A(\theta)$ of an interval A is defined as

$$\chi_A(\theta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \theta \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if } \theta \notin A. \end{cases}$$

X System (8) is now a nonautonomous periodic discontinuous piecewise differential system having its set of discontinuity formed by $\Sigma = (\{\theta = 0\} \cup \{\theta = \alpha_1\} \cup \cdots \cup \{\theta = \alpha_{n-1}\}) \cap \mathbb{S}^1 \times D$.

Denote by $\varphi(\theta, \rho)$ the solution of the system $r'(\theta) = F_0(\theta, r)$ such that $\varphi(0, \rho) = \rho$. From now on this last system will be called *unperturbed system*. We assume the following hypothesis:

(H1) For each $z \in D$ the solution $\varphi(\theta, \rho)$ is defined for every $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^1$, it reaches Σ only at crossing points, and it is 2π -periodic.

In what follows we state our main result.

Theorem 1. Assume that for some $l \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ the functions defined in (2) satisfy $f_s = 0$ for s = 1, 2, ..., l - 1 and $f_l \neq 0$. Moreover we assume that the function $\varphi(\theta, \rho)$ of the unperturbed system is a 2π -periodic function. If there exists $\rho^* \in D$ such that $f_l(\rho^*) = 0$ and $f'_l(\rho^*) \neq 0$, then for $|\varepsilon| \neq 0$ sufficiently small there exists a 2π -periodic solution $r(\theta, \varepsilon)$ of system (8) such that $r(0, \varepsilon) \to \rho^*$ when $\varepsilon \to 0$.

The assumption $D \subset \mathbb{R}$ is not restrictive. In fact, if one consider D as being an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n the conclusion of Theorem 1 still holds by assuming that the Jacobian matrix $Jf_l(\rho^*)$ is nonsingular, that is $\det(Jf_l(\rho^*)) \neq 0$. In this case the derivative $\partial^L G(\phi, \rho)$ is a symmetric L-multilinear map which is applied to a "product" of L vectors of \mathbb{R}^n , denoted as $\prod_{j=1}^L y_j \in \mathbb{R}^{nL}$ (see [15]).

For the particular class of systems (8) Theorem 1 generalizes the main results of [12, 14, 17], increasing the order of the averaging theory. It also generalizes the main results of [11, 22] dealing now with nonvanishing unperturbed systems and allowing more zones of continuity.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we provide, explicitly, the formulae of the averaged functions (2) for nonsmooth systems in the standard form (8). In section 3 we state some auxiliar results for proving Theorem 1. In section 4 we use Theorem 1 to give an estimative for the number of limit cycles of three types of planar systems: nonsmooth perturbation of a linear center, a nonsmooth perturbation of a discontinuous piecewise constant center, and a nonsmooth perturbation of an isocrhonous quadratic center.

2. The averaged functions

In this section we develop a recurrence to compute the averaged function (2) in the particular case of the discontinuous differential equation (8). So consider the functions $z_i^j:(\alpha_{j-1},\alpha_j]\times D\to\mathbb{R}$ defined recurrently for $i=1,2,\ldots,k$ and $j=1,2,\ldots,n$, as

$$\begin{split} z_{1}^{1}(\theta,\rho) &= \int_{0}^{\theta} \left(F_{1}^{1}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) + \partial F_{0}^{1}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) z_{1}^{1}(\phi,\rho) \right) d\phi, \\ z_{i}^{1}(\theta,\rho) &= i! \int_{0}^{\theta} \left(F_{i}^{1}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) + \partial F_{0}^{1}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) z_{1}^{1}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) \prod_{m=1}^{l} z_{m}^{1}(\phi,\rho)^{b_{m}} \right) d\phi, \\ &+ \sum_{l=1}^{i} \sum_{S_{l}} \frac{1}{b_{1}! \, b_{2}! 2!^{b_{2}} \cdots b_{l}! l!^{b_{l}}} \cdot \partial^{L} F_{i-l}^{1}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) \prod_{m=1}^{l} z_{m}^{1}(\phi,\rho)^{b_{m}} \right) d\phi, \\ z_{i}^{j}(\theta,\rho) &= z_{i}^{j-1}(\alpha_{j-1},\rho) + i! \int_{\alpha_{j-1}}^{\theta} \left(F_{i}^{j}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) + \sum_{m=1}^{l} z_{m}^{j}(\phi,\rho)^{b_{m}} \right) d\phi. \end{split}$$

Thus we have the next result.

Proposition 2. For i = 1, 2, ..., k, the averaged function (2) of order i, is

(11)
$$f_i(\rho) = \frac{z_i^n(2\pi, \rho)}{i!}.$$

Proof. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, define

(12)
$$z_i(\theta, \rho) = \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{[\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j]}(\theta) z_i^j(\theta, \rho).$$

Given $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ there exists a positive integer \bar{k} such that $\theta \in (\alpha_{\bar{k}-1}, \alpha_{\bar{k}}]$ and, therefore $z_i(\theta, \rho) = z_i^{\bar{k}}(\theta, \rho)$. Moreover using the expressions (9) and (12) we can write (10) into

the form

$$z_{1}^{1}(\theta,\rho) = \int_{0}^{\theta} \left(F_{1}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) + \partial F_{0}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) z_{1}(\phi,\rho) \right) d\phi,$$

$$z_{i}^{1}(\theta,\rho) = i! \int_{0}^{\theta} \left(F_{i}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{i} \sum_{S_{l}} \frac{1}{b_{1}! b_{2}! 2!^{b_{2}} \cdots b_{l}! l!^{b_{l}}} \partial^{L} F_{i-l}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) \prod_{m=1}^{l} z_{m}(\phi,\rho)^{b_{m}} \right) d\phi,$$

$$z_{i}^{\bar{k}}(\theta,\rho) = z_{i}^{\bar{k}-1}(\alpha_{\bar{k}-1},\rho) + i! \int_{\alpha_{\bar{k}-1}}^{\theta} \left(F_{i}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{i} \sum_{S_{l}} \frac{1}{b_{1}! b_{2}! 2!^{b_{2}} \cdots b_{l}! l!^{b_{l}}} \partial^{L} F_{i-l}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) \prod_{m=1}^{l} z_{m}(\phi,\rho)^{b_{m}} \right) d\phi.$$

In the above equality we are denoting

$$\partial^{L} F_{i-l}(\phi, \varphi(\phi, \rho)) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \chi_{[\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_{j}]}(\phi) \partial^{L} F_{i-l}^{j}(\phi, \varphi(\phi, \rho)).$$

Proceeding recursively on \bar{k} we obtain

$$z_{1}(\theta,\rho) = \int_{0}^{\theta} \left(F_{1}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) + \partial F_{0}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) z_{1}(\phi,\rho) \right) d\phi,$$

$$z_{i}(\theta,\rho) = \sum_{p=1}^{\bar{k}-1} \int_{\alpha_{p-1}}^{\alpha_{p}} \left(F_{i}^{p}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) + \sum_{l=1}^{i} \sum_{S_{l}} \frac{1}{b_{1}! b_{2}! 2!^{b_{2}} \cdots b_{l}! l!^{b_{l}}} \right) d\phi,$$

$$\cdot \partial^{L} F_{i-l}^{p}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) \prod_{m=1}^{l} z_{m}^{p}(\phi,\rho)^{b_{m}} d\phi + \int_{\alpha_{\bar{k}-1}}^{\theta} \left(F_{i}^{\bar{k}}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) + \sum_{l=1}^{i} \sum_{S_{l}} \frac{1}{b_{1}! b_{2}! 2!^{b_{2}} \cdots b_{l}! l!^{b_{l}}} \partial^{L} F_{i-l}^{\bar{k}}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) \prod_{m=1}^{l} z_{m}^{\bar{k}}(\phi,\rho)^{b_{m}} d\phi$$

$$= i! \int_{0}^{\theta} \left(F_{i}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) + \sum_{l=1}^{i} \sum_{S_{l}} \frac{1}{b_{1}! b_{2}! 2!^{b_{2}} \cdots b_{l}! l!^{b_{l}}} \right) d\phi.$$

$$\cdot \partial^{L} F_{i-l}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) \prod_{m=1}^{l} z_{m}(\phi,\rho)^{b_{m}} d\phi.$$

Computing the derivative in the variable θ of the expressions (14) and (3) for i=1 we see that the functions $z_1(\theta,\rho)$ and $y_1(\theta,\rho)$ satisfy the same differential equation. Moreover for each $i=2,\cdots,k$, the integral equations (3) and (14) which provides respectively y_i and z_i are defined by the same recurrence. Therefore we conclude that

 y_i and z_i satisfy the same differential equations for $i=1,2,\cdots,k$, which are linear with variable coefficients (that is the Existence and Uniqueness Theorem holds). Now, it only remains to prove that their initial conditions coincide. Let $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,k\}$, then $y_i(0,\rho)=0$ and by (13) $z_i(0,\rho)=0$, concluding that the initial conditions are the same. Hence $y_i(\theta,\rho)=z_i(\theta,\rho)$, concluding the Proposition.

Note that when $F_0 \neq 0$ the recurrence defined in (10) is actually an integral equation. Moreover in order to implement an algorithm to compute the averaged function, it may be easier to write each z_i^j in terms of the partial Bell polynomials, which are already implemented in algebraic manipulators as Mathematica and Maple. For each pair of nonnegative integers (p, q), the partial Bell polynomial is defined as

$$B_{p,q}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p-q+1}) = \sum_{\widetilde{S}_{p,q}} \frac{p!}{b_1! b_2! \cdots b_{p-q+1}!} \prod_{j=1}^{p-q+1} \left(\frac{x_j}{j!}\right)^{b_j},$$

where $\widetilde{S}_{p,q}$ is the set of all (p-q+1)-tuple of nonnegative integers $(b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_{p-q+1})$ satisfying $b_1+2b_2+\cdots+(p-q+1)b_{p-q+1}=p$, and $b_1+b_2+\cdots+b_{p-q+1}=q$. In the next proposition, following [20], we solve the integral equation (10) to provide the explicit recurrence formula for z_j^i in terms of the Bell polynomials.

Proposition 3. For each j = 1, 2, ..., n let $\eta_j(\theta, \rho)$ be defined as

$$\eta_j(\theta, \rho) = \int_{\alpha_{j-1}}^{\theta} \partial F_0^j(\phi, \varphi(\phi, \rho)) d\phi.$$

Then for i = 1, 2, ..., k and j = 1, 2, ..., n the recurrence (10) can be written as follows

$$\begin{split} z_1^1(\theta,\rho) &= e^{\eta_1(\theta,\rho)} \int_0^\theta e^{-\eta_1(\phi,\rho)} F_1^1(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) d\phi, \\ z_1^j(\theta,\rho) &= e^{\eta_j(\theta,\rho)} \left(z_1^{j-1}(\alpha_{j-1},\rho) + \int_{\alpha_{j-1}}^\theta e^{-\eta_j(\phi,\rho)} F_1^j(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) d\phi \right), \quad for \ j=2,\dots \\ z_i^1(\theta,\rho) &= e^{\eta_1(\theta,\rho)} i! \int_0^\theta e^{-\eta_1(\phi,\rho)} \left[F_i^1(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) + \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \sum_{m=1}^l \frac{1}{l!} \partial^m F_{i-l}^1(\theta,\varphi(\theta,\rho)) B_{l,m}(z_1^1,z_2^1,\dots,z_{l-m+1}^1) + \sum_{m=2}^l \frac{1}{i!} \partial^m F_0^1(\theta,\varphi(\theta,\rho)) B_{i,m}(z_1^1,z_2^1,\dots,z_{l-m+1}^1) \right] d\phi, \qquad for \ i=2,\dots \\ z_i^j(\theta,\rho) &= e^{\eta_j(\theta,\rho)} \left(z_i^{j-1}(\alpha_{j-1},\rho) + i! \int_{\alpha_{j-1}}^\theta e^{-\eta_j(\phi,\rho)} \left[F_i^j(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) + \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \sum_{m=1}^l \frac{1}{l!} \partial^m F_{i-l}^j(\theta,\varphi(\theta,\rho)) B_{l,m}(z_1^j,z_2^j,\dots,z_{l-m+1}^j) + \sum_{m=2}^l \frac{1}{i!} \partial^m F_0^j(\theta,\varphi(\theta,\rho)) B_{i,m}(z_1^j,z_2^j,\dots,z_{l-m+1}^j) \right] d\phi \right), \quad for \ i,j=2,\dots. \end{split}$$

Proof. We shall prove this proposition for i = 1, 2, ..., k, and j = 1. The other cases will follow in a similar way.

For i=j=1, the integral equation (10) is equivalent to the following Cauchy problem:

$$\frac{\partial z_1^1}{\partial \theta}(\theta, \rho) = F_1^1\left(\theta, \varphi(\theta, \rho)\right) + \partial F_0^1\left(\theta, \varphi(\theta, \rho)\right)u \text{ with } z_1^1(0, \rho) = 0.$$

Solving the above linear differential equation we get

$$z_1^1(\theta, \rho) = e^{\eta_1(\theta, \rho)} \int_0^\theta e^{-\eta_1(\phi, \rho)} F_1^1(\phi, \varphi(\phi, \rho)) d\phi.$$

Now for i = 2, ..., k and j = 1 the recurrence (10) can be written in terms of the partial Bell polynomials as (for more details, see [20])

(15)
$$z_{i}^{1}(\theta,\rho) = i! \int_{0}^{\theta} \left(F_{i}^{1}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) + \sum_{l=1}^{i} \sum_{m=1}^{l} \frac{1}{l!} \partial^{m} F_{i-l}^{1}(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) B_{l,m}(z_{1}^{1},z_{2}^{1},\ldots,z_{l-m+1}^{1}) \right) d\phi.$$

We note that the function z_i^1 appears in the right hand side of (15) only if l=i and m=1. In this case $B_{i,1}(z_1^1, z_2^1, \dots, z_i^1) = z_i^1$ for every $i \geq 1$. So we can rewriting (15)

as the following integral equation

$$\begin{split} z_i^1(\theta,\rho) &= i! \int_0^\theta \left(F_i^1(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) \right. \\ &+ \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \sum_{m=1}^l \frac{1}{l!} \partial^m F_{i-l}^1(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) B_{l,m}(z_1^1,z_2^1,\dots,z_{l-m+1}^1) \\ &+ \sum_{m=2}^i \frac{1}{i!} \partial^m F_0^1(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) B_{i,m}(z_1^1,z_2^1,\dots,z_{i-m+1}^1) \\ &+ \frac{1}{i!} \partial F_0^1(\phi,\varphi(\phi,\rho)) B_{i,1}(z_1^1,z_2^1,\dots,z_i^1) \right) d\phi, \end{split}$$

which is equivalent to the following Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial z_{i}^{1}}{\partial \theta}(\theta,\rho) &= \quad i! \left[F_{i}^{1}(\theta,\varphi(\theta,\rho)) + \frac{1}{i!} \partial F_{0}^{1}(\theta,\varphi(\theta,\rho)) z_{i}^{1} \right. \\ &+ \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \sum_{m=1}^{l} \frac{1}{l!} \partial^{m} F_{i-l}^{1}(\theta,\varphi(\theta,\rho)) B_{l,m}(z_{1}^{1},z_{2}^{1},\ldots,z_{l-m+1}^{1}) \\ &+ \sum_{m=2}^{i} \frac{1}{i!} \partial^{m} F_{0}^{1}(\theta,\varphi(\theta,\rho)) B_{i,m}(z_{1}^{1},z_{2}^{1},\ldots,z_{i-m+1}^{1}) \right], \\ z_{i}^{1}(0,\rho) &= \quad 0. \end{split}$$

Solving the above linear differential equation we obtain the expressions of $z_i^1(\theta, \rho)$, for i = 2, ..., k, given in the statement of the proposition.

3. Proof of the main result

In this section we shall present the proof of Theorem 1. This proof is based on a preliminary result (see Lemma 4) which expands the solutions of the discontinuous differential equation (8) in powers of ε .

From hypothesis (H1) the solution $\varphi(\theta, \rho)$ of the unperturbed system reads

$$\varphi(\theta, \rho) = \begin{cases} \varphi_1(\theta, \rho) & \text{if } 0 = \alpha_0 \le \theta \le \alpha_1, \\ \vdots & & \\ \varphi_j(\theta, \rho) & \text{if } \alpha_{j-1} \le \theta \le \alpha_j, \\ \vdots & & \\ \varphi_n(\theta, \rho) & \text{if } \alpha_{n-1} \le \theta \le \alpha_n = 2\pi, \end{cases}$$

such that, for each j = 1, 2, ..., n, φ_j is the solution of the unperturbed system with the initial condition $\varphi_j(\alpha_{j-1}, \rho) = \varphi_{j-1}(\alpha_{j-1}, \rho)$.

Now for j = 1, 2, ..., n let $\xi_j(\theta, \theta_0, \rho_0, \varepsilon)$ be the solution of the discontinuous differential equation (7) such that $\xi_j(\theta_0, \theta_0, \rho_0, \varepsilon) = \rho_0$. We then define the recurrence

$$r_j(\theta, \rho, \varepsilon) = \xi_j(\theta, \alpha_{j-1}, r_{j-1}(\alpha_{j-1}, \rho, \varepsilon), \varepsilon), \quad j = 2, \dots, n,$$

with initial condition $r_1(\theta, \rho, \varepsilon) = \xi_1(\theta, 0, \rho, \varepsilon)$. From hypothesis (H1) it is easy to see that each $r_j(\theta, \rho, \varepsilon)$ is defined for every $\theta \in [\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j]$. Therefore $r(\cdot, \rho, \varepsilon) : [0, 2\pi] \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$r(\theta, \rho, \varepsilon) = \begin{cases} r_1(\theta, \rho, \varepsilon) & \text{if } 0 = \alpha_0 \le \theta \le \alpha_1, \\ r_2(\theta, \rho, \varepsilon) & \text{if } \alpha_1 \le \theta \le \alpha_2, \\ \vdots & & \\ r_j(\theta, \rho, \varepsilon) & \text{if } \alpha_{j-1} \le \theta \le \alpha_j, \\ \vdots & & \\ r_n(\theta, \rho, \varepsilon) & \text{if } \alpha_{n-1} \le \theta \le \alpha_n = 2\pi \end{cases}$$

is the solution of the differential equation (8) such that $r(0, \rho, \varepsilon) = \rho$. Moreover the equalities hold

(16)
$$r_1(0, \rho, \varepsilon) = \rho \text{ and } r_j(\alpha_{j-1}, \rho, \varepsilon) = r_{j-1}(\alpha_{j-1}, \rho, \varepsilon),$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Clearly $r_j(\theta, \rho, 0) = \varphi_j(\theta, \rho)$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Lemma 4. For $j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and $\theta_{\rho}^{j} > \alpha_{j}$, let $r_{j}(\cdot, \rho, \varepsilon) : [\alpha_{j-1}, \theta_{\rho}^{j})$ be the solution of (7). Then

$$r_j(\theta, \rho, \varepsilon) = \varphi_j(\theta, \rho) + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\varepsilon^i}{i!} z_i^j(\theta, \rho) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{k+1}),$$

where $z_i^j(\theta,\rho)$ is defined in (10).

Proof. Fix $j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, from the continuity of the solution $r_j(\theta, \rho, \varepsilon)$ and by the compactness of the set $[\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j] \times \overline{D} \times [-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0]$ it is easy to obtain that

$$\int_{\alpha_{j-1}}^{\theta} R^{j}(\theta, r_{j}(\theta, \rho, \varepsilon), \varepsilon) ds = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon), \quad \theta \in [\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_{j}].$$

Thus integrating the differential equation (7) from α_{i-1} to θ , we get

(17)
$$r_j(\theta, \rho, \varepsilon) = r_j(\alpha_{j-1}, \rho, \varepsilon) + \sum_{i=0}^k \varepsilon^i \int_{\alpha_{j-1}}^{\theta} F_i^j(\phi, r_j(\phi, \rho, \varepsilon)) d\phi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{k+1}).$$

Note that in the above expression the value of the initial condition $r_j(\alpha_{j-1}, \rho, \varepsilon)$ is not substituted yet.

In the sequel we shall expand the right hand side of the above equality in Taylor series in ε around $\varepsilon=0$. To do that we first recall the Faá di Bruno's Formula about the l-th derivative of a composite function. Let g and h be sufficiently smooth functions then

$$\frac{d^{l}}{d\alpha^{l}}g(h(\alpha)) = \sum_{S_{l}} \frac{l!}{b_{1}! \, b_{2}! 2!^{b_{2}} \cdots b_{l}! l!^{b_{l}}} g^{(L)}(h(\alpha)) \prod_{i=1}^{l} \left(h^{(j)}(\alpha)\right)^{b_{j}},$$

where S_l is the set of all l-tuples of non-negative integers (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_l) satisfying $b_1 + 2b_2 + \dots + lb_l = l$, and $L = b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_l$. So expanding $F_i^j(\phi, r_j(\phi, \rho, \varepsilon))$ in

Taylor series in ε around $\varepsilon = 0$ we obtain

(18)
$$F_{i}^{j}(\phi, r_{j}(\phi, \rho, \varepsilon)) = F_{i}^{j}(\phi, r_{j}(\phi, \rho, 0)) + \sum_{l=1}^{k-i} \frac{\varepsilon^{l}}{l!} \left(\frac{\partial^{l}}{\partial \varepsilon^{l}} F_{i}^{j}(\phi, r_{j}(\phi, \rho, \varepsilon)) \right) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{k-i+1}).$$

From the Faá di Bruno's Formula we compute

(19)
$$\frac{\partial^{l}}{\partial \varepsilon^{l}} F_{i}^{j}(\phi, r_{j}(\phi, \rho, \varepsilon)) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = \sum_{S_{l}} \frac{l!}{b_{1}! b_{2}! 2! b_{2} \cdots b_{l}! l!^{b_{l}}} \cdot \partial^{L} F_{i}^{j}(\phi, \varphi_{j}(\phi, \rho)) \prod_{m=1}^{l} w_{m}^{j}(\phi, \rho)^{b_{m}},$$

where

$$w_m^j(\phi, \rho) = \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \varepsilon^m} r_j(\phi, \rho, \varepsilon) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0}$$

Substituting (19) in (18) we have

(20)

$$F_{i}^{j}(\phi, r_{j}(\phi, \rho, \varepsilon)) = F_{i}^{j}(\phi, \varphi_{j}(\phi, \rho)) + \sum_{l=1}^{k-i} \sum_{S_{l}} \frac{\varepsilon^{l}}{b_{1}! b_{2}! 2!^{b_{2}} \cdots b_{l}! l!^{b_{l}}} \partial^{L} F_{i}^{j}(\phi, \varphi_{j}(\phi, \rho)) \prod_{m=1}^{l} w_{m}^{j}(\phi, \rho)^{b_{m}},$$

for i = 0, 1, ..., k - 1. Moreover for i = k we have that

(21)
$$F_k^j(\phi, r_i(\phi, \rho, \varepsilon)) = F_k^j(\phi, \varphi_i(\phi, \rho)) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon).$$

Substituting (20) and (21) in (17) we get

$$(22) r_{j}(\theta, \rho, \varepsilon) = r_{j}(\alpha_{j-1}, \rho, \varepsilon) + \int_{\alpha_{j-1}}^{\theta} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} \varepsilon^{i} F_{i}^{j}(\phi, \varphi_{j}(\phi, \rho)) d\phi \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{l=1}^{k-i} \varepsilon^{l+i} \sum_{S_{l}} \frac{1}{b_{1}! b_{2}! 2!^{b_{2}} \cdots b_{l}! l!^{b_{l}}}$$

$$\cdot \partial^{L} F_{i}^{j}(\phi, \varphi_{j}(\phi, \rho)) \prod_{m=1}^{l} w_{m}^{j}(\phi, \rho)^{b_{m}} d\phi + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{k+1}).$$

Denote

$$Q_{j}(\phi, \rho, \varepsilon) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{l=1}^{k-i} \varepsilon^{l+i} \sum_{S_{l}} \frac{1}{b_{1}! b_{2}! 2!^{b_{2}} \cdots b_{l}! l!^{b_{l}}} \partial^{L} F_{i}^{j}(\phi, \varphi_{j}(\phi, \rho)) \prod_{m=1}^{l} w_{m}^{j}(\phi, \rho)^{b_{m}}.$$

After some transformations of the indexes i and l we obtain

$$(23) \ Q_{j}(\phi,\rho,\varepsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \varepsilon^{i} \sum_{l=1}^{i} \sum_{S_{l}} \frac{1}{b_{1}! \ b_{2}! 2!^{b_{2}} \cdots b_{l}! l!^{b_{l}}} \partial^{L} F_{i-l}^{j}(\phi,\varphi_{j}(\phi,\rho)) \prod_{m=1}^{l} w_{m}^{j}(\phi,\rho)^{b_{m}}.$$

Therefore from (22) and (23) we have

(24)
$$r_{j}(\theta, \rho, \varepsilon) = r_{j}(\alpha_{j-1}, \rho, \varepsilon) + \sum_{i=0}^{k} \varepsilon^{i} I_{i}^{j}(\theta, \rho) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{k+1}),$$

where for i = 0, ..., k and j = 1, 2, ..., n we are taking

$$I_0^j(\theta, \rho) = \int_{\alpha_{j-1}}^{\theta} F_0^j(\phi, \varphi_j(\phi, \rho)) d\phi, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$I_i^j(\theta, \rho) = \int_{\alpha_{j-1}}^{\theta} \left(F_i^j(\phi, \varphi_j(\phi, \rho)) + \sum_{l=1}^{i} \sum_{\sigma} \frac{1}{b_1! \, b_2! \, 2!^{b_2} \cdots b_l! \, l!^{b_l}} \right)$$

$$\partial^L F_{i-l}^j(\phi, \varphi_j(\phi, \rho)) \prod_{m=1}^l w_m^j(\phi, \rho)^{b_m} d\phi, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Note that for i = 1, ..., k and j = 2, ..., n the following recurrence holds

(26)
$$w_{i}^{j}(\theta, \rho) = \frac{\partial^{i}}{\partial \varepsilon^{i}} r_{j}(\theta, \rho, \varepsilon) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0}$$

$$= \frac{\partial^{i}}{\partial \varepsilon^{i}} r_{j-1}(\alpha_{j-1}, \rho, \varepsilon) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} + i! I_{i}^{j}(\theta, \rho)$$

$$= w_{i}^{j-1}(\alpha_{j-1}, \rho) + i! I_{i}^{j}(\theta, \rho),$$

with the initial condition

$$(27) w_i^1(\theta,\rho) = \frac{\partial^i r_1}{\partial \varepsilon^i}(\theta,\rho,\varepsilon)\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = \frac{\partial^i}{\partial \varepsilon^i} \left(\rho + \sum_{q=0}^k \varepsilon^q I_q^1(\theta,\rho)\right) \bigg|_{\varepsilon=0} = i! I_i^1(\theta,\rho).$$

Putting (26) and (27) together we obtain

$$w_i^j(\theta,\rho) = i! \left(I_i^1(\alpha_1,\rho) + I_i^2(\alpha_2,\rho) + \dots + I_i^{j-1}(\alpha_{j-1},\rho) + I_i^j(\theta,\rho) \right).$$

for i = 1, 2, ..., k and j = 1, 2, ..., n.

Claim 1. For $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ we have

$$r_j(\theta, \rho, \varepsilon) = \varphi_j(\theta, \rho) + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\varepsilon^i}{i!} w_i^j(\theta, \rho) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{k+1}).$$

This claim will be proved by induction on j. Let j = 1. Since φ_1 is the solution of (7) for $\varepsilon = 0$ and j = 1 with the initial condition $\varphi_1(0, \rho) = \rho$ we get

$$\varphi_1(\theta,\rho) = \rho + \int_0^\theta F_0^1(\theta,\varphi_1(\phi,\rho))d\phi.$$

Hence from (24), (16) and (27) it follows that

$$\begin{split} r_1(\theta,\rho,\varepsilon) &= \rho + \sum_{i=0}^k \varepsilon^i I_i^1(\theta,\rho) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{k+1}) \\ &= \rho + \int_0^\theta F_0^1(\theta,\varphi_1(\phi,\rho)) d\phi + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\varepsilon^i}{i!} w_i^1(\theta,\rho) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{k+1}) \\ &= \varphi_1(\theta,\rho) + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\varepsilon^i}{i!} w_i^1(\theta,\rho) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{k+1}). \end{split}$$

Therefore the claim is proved for j = 1.

Now using induction we shall prove the claim for $j = j_0$ assuming that it holds for $j = j_0 - 1$, that is

(28)
$$r_{j_0-1}(\theta,\rho,\varepsilon) = \varphi_{j_0-1}(\theta,\rho) + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\varepsilon^i}{i!} w_i^{j_0-1}(\theta,\rho) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{k+1}).$$

Since φ_{j_0} is the solution of (7) for $\varepsilon = 0$ and $j = j_0$ with the initial condition $\varphi_{j_0}(\alpha_{j_0-1}, \rho) = \varphi_{j_0-1}(\alpha_{j_0-1}, \rho)$ we get

(29)
$$\varphi_{j_0}(\theta,\rho) = \varphi_{j_0-1}(\alpha_{j_0-1},\rho) + \int_{\alpha_{j_0-1}}^{\theta} F_0^1(\theta,\varphi_j(\phi,\rho))d\phi = \varphi_{j_0-1}(\alpha_{j_0-1},\rho) + I_0^{j_0}(\theta,\rho).$$

From (24), (16) and (26) we have

$$r_{j_0}(\theta, \rho, \varepsilon) = r_{j_0 - 1}(\alpha_{j_0 - 1}, \rho, \varepsilon) + \sum_{i = 0}^k \varepsilon^i I_i^{j_0}(\theta, \rho) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{k+1})$$

$$= r_{j_0 - 1}(\alpha_{j_0 - 1}, \rho, \varepsilon) + I_0^{j_0}(\theta, \rho) + \sum_{i = 1}^k \varepsilon^i \frac{w_i^{j_0}(\theta, \rho) - w_i^{j_0 - 1}(\alpha_{j_0 - 1}, \rho)}{i!} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{k+1}).$$

Finally using (28) and (29) the above expression becomes

$$r_{j_{0}}(\theta, \rho, \varepsilon) = \varphi_{j_{0}-1}(\alpha_{j_{0}-1}, \rho) + I_{0}^{j_{0}}(\theta, \rho) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\varepsilon^{i}}{i!} w_{i}^{j_{0}-1}(\alpha_{j_{0}-1}, \rho)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\varepsilon^{i}}{i!} (w_{i}^{j_{0}}(\theta, \rho) - w_{i}^{j_{0}-1}(\alpha_{j_{0}-1}, \rho)) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{k+1})$$

$$= \varphi_{j_{0}}(\theta, \rho) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\varepsilon^{i}}{i!} w_{i}^{j_{0}}(\theta, \rho) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{k+1}).$$

This proves the Claim 1.

The proof of Lemma 4 ends by proving the following claim.

Claim 2. The equality
$$w_i^j = z_i^j$$
 holds for $i = 1, 2, ..., k$ and $j = 1, 2, ..., n$.

Computing the derivative in the variable θ of the expressions (10) and (27), for i = j = 1, we see, respectively, that the functions $z_1^1(\theta, \rho)$ and $w_1^1(\theta, \rho)$ satisfy the same differential equation. Moreover for each i = 1, 2, ..., k the integral equations (10) and (26) (and the equivalent differential equations), which provides respectively z_i^j and w_i^j , are defined by the same recurrence for j = 2, ..., n. Therefore we conclude that the functions $z_i^j(\theta, \rho)$ and $w_i^j(\theta, \rho)$ satisfy the same differential equations for i = 1, 2, ..., k and j = 1, 2, ..., n.

It remains to prove that their initial conditions coincide. Let $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$. For j = 1 we have from (27) and (10) that $w_i^1(0, \rho) = 0 = z_i^1(0, \rho)$. For j = 2, ..., n the initial conditions are defined by the recurrence $z_i^j(\alpha_{j-1}, \rho) = z_i^{j-1}(\alpha_{j-1}, \rho)$ (see (10)), which is the same recurrence for the initial conditions of $w_i^j(\alpha_{j-1}, \rho)$. Indeed from (26) and (25) we see that for j = 2, ..., n we have $w_i^j(\alpha_{j-1}, \rho) = w_i^{j-1}(\alpha_{j-1}, \rho) + i!I_i^j(\alpha_{j-1}, \rho) = w_i^{j-1}(\alpha_{j-1}, \rho)$. Therefore $z_i^j(\alpha_{j-1}, \rho) = w_i^j(\alpha_{j-1}, \rho)$ for every i = 1, 2, ..., k and j = 1, 2, ..., n.

Hence Claim 2 follows from the uniqueness property of the solutions of the differential equations. $\hfill\Box$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since $\varphi(\theta, \rho)$ is 2π -periodic, using Lemma 4 we have

$$r_n(2\pi, \rho, \varepsilon) = \varphi_n(2\pi, \rho) + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\varepsilon^i}{i!} z_i^n(2\pi, \rho) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{k+1})$$
$$= \rho + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\varepsilon^i}{i!} z_i^n(2\pi, \rho) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{k+1}).$$

Therefore from (11) the following equality holds

(30)
$$r_n(2\pi, \rho, \varepsilon) = \rho + \varepsilon f_1(\rho) + \varepsilon^2 f_2(\rho) + \dots + \varepsilon^k f_k(\rho) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{k+1}).$$

Consider the displacement function

$$f(\rho,\varepsilon) = r(2\pi,\rho,\varepsilon) - \rho = r_n(2\pi,\rho,\varepsilon) - \rho.$$

Clearly for some $\varepsilon = \bar{\varepsilon} \in (-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0)$ discontinuous differential equation (8) admits a periodic solution passing through $\bar{\rho} \in D$ if and only if $f(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\varepsilon}) = 0$. From (30) we have that

$$f(\rho, \varepsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \varepsilon^{i} f_{i}(\rho) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{k+1}).$$

By hypotheses $f_l(\rho^*) = 0$ and $f'_l(\rho^*) \neq 0$. Using the Implicit Function Theorem for the function $\mathcal{F}(\rho,\varepsilon) = f(\rho,\varepsilon)/\varepsilon^l$ we guarantee the existence of a differentiable function $\rho(\varepsilon)$ such that $\rho(0) = \rho^*$ and $f(\rho(\varepsilon),\varepsilon) = 0$ for every $|\varepsilon| \neq 0$ sufficiently small. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

4. Examples

In this section we present three applications of our main result (Theorem 1). In the first two examples (subsections 4.1 and 4.2) we use the averaged functions (11) up to order 7 to provide lower bounds for the maximum number of limit cycles admitted by some piecewise linear systems with four zones. The first system is a piecewise linear perturbation of the linear center $(\dot{x}, \dot{y}) = (-y, x)$, and the second one is a piecewise linear perturbation of a discontinuous piecewise constant center. As usual, the expressions of the higher order averaged functions are extensive (see [11, 15]), so we shall omit them here. We emphasize that our goal in these first two examples, by taking particular classes of perturbations, is to illustrate the using of the higher order averaged functions.

In the third example we study the quadratic isochronous center $(\dot{x}, \dot{y}) = (-y + x^2, x + xy)$ perturbed inside a particular family of piecewise quadratic system with n zones. Using the first order averaged function (11) we provide lower bounds, depending on n, for the maximum number of limit cycles admitted by this system. We emphasize that our goal in this last example, again by taking a particular class of perturbation, is to illustrate the using of Theorem 1 to study discontinuous piecewise nonlinear system with many zones.

The next proposition, proved in [6], is needed to deal with our examples.

Proposition 5. Consider n linearly independent functions $h_i: I \to \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, ..., n$.

(i) Given n-1 arbitraries values of $a_i \in I$, i = 1, 2, ..., n-1 there exist n constants β_k , i = 1, 2, ..., n such that

(31)
$$h(x) \doteq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \beta_k h_k(x),$$

is not the zero function and $h(a_i) = 0$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1.

- (ii) Furthermore, if all h_i are analytical functions on I and there exists $j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ such that $h_j|_I$ has constant sign, it is possible to get an h given by (31), such that it has at least n-1 simple zeroes in I.
- 4.1. Nonsmooth perturbation of the linear center. The bifurcation of limit cycles from smooth and nonsmooth perturbations of the linear center $(\dot{x}, \dot{y}) = (-y, x)$ is a fairly studied problem in the literature, see for instance [3, 4, 9, 18, 19]. Here we apply our main result (Theorem 1) to study these limit cycles when the linear center is perturbed inside a particular of piecewise linear system with 4 zones. Following the notation introduced in subsection 1.2 we take

(32)
$$X_0^j(x,y) = (-y,x), \text{ for } j = 1,\dots, n, \text{ and } X_i^j(x,y) = (a_{ij}x + b_j, 0), \text{ for } j = 1,\dots, n, \text{ and } i = 1,\dots, k.$$

with $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ for all i, j. We consider the discontinuous piecewise differential system $(\dot{x}, \dot{y})^T = Z_{\mathcal{X},\alpha}(x, y; \varepsilon)$ (see (5)) where $\mathcal{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_4)$ (see (4)) and $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = (0, \pi/2, \pi, 3\pi/2)$.

First of all, in order to apply our main result (Theorem 1) to study the limit cycles of $(\dot{x}, \dot{y})^T = Z_{\mathcal{X},\alpha}(x, y; \varepsilon)$, we shall write it into the standard form (8). To do that we consider the polar coordinates $x = r \cos \theta$, $y = r \sin \theta$. So the set of discontinuity becomes $\Sigma = \{\theta = 0\} \cup \{\theta = \alpha_1\} \cup \{\theta = \alpha_2\} \cup \{\theta = \alpha_3\}$ and in each sector C_j (see (6)), j = 1, 2, 3, 4, the differential system $(\dot{x}, \dot{y})^T = Z_{\mathcal{X},\alpha}(x, y; \varepsilon)$ reads

$$\dot{r}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{7} \varepsilon^{i} (a_{ij}r \cos^{2}\theta + b_{ij}\cos\theta),$$

$$\dot{\theta}(t) = 1 - \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{7} \varepsilon^{i} (a_{ij}r \cos\theta \sin\theta + b_{ij}\sin\theta).$$

Note that $\dot{\theta}(t) \neq 0$ for $|\varepsilon|$ sufficiently small, thus we can take θ as the new independent time variable by doing $r'(\theta) = \dot{r}(t)/\dot{\theta}(t)$. Then

(33)
$$r'(\theta) = \frac{\dot{r}(t)}{\dot{\theta}(t)} = \sum_{i=1}^{7} \varepsilon^{i} F_{i}^{j}(\theta, r) + \varepsilon^{k+1} R^{j}(\theta, r, \varepsilon), \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

where F_i^j is the coefficient of ε^i in the Taylor series in ε of $\dot{r}(t)/\dot{\theta}(t)$ around $\varepsilon = 0$.

From here we shall use the averaged functions (11) up to order 7 to study the isolated periodic solutions of the piecewise differential equation defined by (33) or, equivalently, the limit cycles of the piecewise differential system $(\dot{x}, \dot{y})^T = Z_{\mathcal{X},\alpha}(x,y;\varepsilon)$ defined by (32). As we have said before, due to the complexity of the expressions of the higher order averaged functions we shall not provided them explicitly. So we first describe the methodology to obtain lower bounds for the number of their zeros, and consequently for the number of limit cycles of (32).

Assume that one have computed the list of averaged functions f_i , i = 1, ..., k, and that they are polynomials. The first step is to established a lower bound for the number of zeros that f_1 can have. To do that, one can build a vector M_1 where each entry s of M_1 is given by the coefficient of r^s of the function f_1 . Clearly M_1 is a function on the parameter variable $v_1 = \{a_{1j} : j = 1, ..., 4\} \cup \{b_{1j} : j = 1, ..., 4\}$. So taking the derivative $D_{v_1}M_1$, a lower bound for the number of zeros of f_i will be given by the rank of the matrix $D_{v_1}M_1$ decreased by 1. For instance, in our first example system (33), the averaged function f_1 reads

$$f_1(r) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} F_1^1(\theta, r) d\theta + \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\pi} F_1^2(\theta, r) d\theta + \int_{\pi}^{\frac{3\pi}{2}} F_1^3(\theta, r) d\theta + \int_{\frac{3\pi}{2}}^{2\pi} F_1^4(\theta, r) d\theta$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{4} r(a_{11} + a_{12} + a_{13} + a_{14}) + b_{11} - b_{12} - b_{13} + b_{14}.$$

Clearly f_1 has at most one positive root and there exists parameters a_{1j} 's and b_{1j} 's for which this zero exists. In this case

$$M_{1} = \left(b_{11} - b_{12} - b_{13} + b_{14}, \frac{1}{4}\pi(a_{11} + a_{12} + a_{13} + a_{14})\right),$$

$$D_{v_{1}}M_{1} = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1\\ \frac{\pi}{4} & \frac{\pi}{4} & \frac{\pi}{4} & \frac{\pi}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

We note that the matrix $D_{v_1}M_1$ has maximum rank 2. Applying Theorem 1 for l=1 we obtain at least one limit cycle for the differential system (32).

The next step is to chose parameters to assure that $f_1(r) \equiv 0$. In our example $a_{11} = -(a_{12} + a_{13} + a_{14})$ and $b_{11} = b_{12} + b_{13} - b_{14}$. To continue the analysis we repeat the above procedure: build a vector M_2 where each entry s of M_2 is given by the coefficient of r^s of the function f_2 ; define the parameter vector $v_2 = \{a_{1j} : i = 1, 2, j = 1, \ldots, 4\} \cup \{b_{1j} : i = 1, 2, j = 1, \ldots, 4\}$; and take the derivative $D_{v_2}M_2$. Again a lower bound for the number of zeros of f_2 is given by the rank of the matrix $D_{v_2}M_2$ decreased by 1. In our example

$$f_2(r) = r^2 \left[\pi (a_{21} + a_{22} + a_{23} + a_{24}) + 2(a_{12} + a_{13})(a_{13} + a_{14}) \right]$$

$$+ r \left[\pi (a_{12} + a_{13})(b_{13} - b_{14}) - 4(a_{14}b_{12} + (a_{12} + a_{14})b_{13} \right]$$

$$+ a_{13}(b_{12} + 2b_{13} - b_{14}) - a_{12}b_{14} - b_{21} + b_{22} + b_{23} - b_{24}) \right]$$

$$+ 4(b_{12} + b_{13})(b_{13} - b_{14}).$$

The function f_2 is a polynomial of degree 2 in r. It is easy to see that the matrix $D_{v_2}M_2$ has maximum rank again, that is 3. Applying Theorem 1 for l=2 we obtain at least two limit cycles for the differential system (32).

In general, after estimating a lower bound for the number of zeros of f_{l-1} we chose parameters to assure that $f_{l-1}(r) \equiv 0$. Then we follow the above steps: build a vector M_l where each entry s of M_l is given by the coefficient of r^s of the function f_l ; define the parameter vector $v_l = \{a_{ij} : i = 1, ..., l, j = 1, ..., 4\} \cup \{b_{ij} : i = 1, ..., l, j = 1, ..., 4\}$; and take the derivative $D_{v_l}M_l$. As above a lower bound for the number of zeros of f_l will be given by the rank of the matrix $D_{v_l}M_l$ decreased by 1.

In what follows, using the procedure described above, we provide a table showing the lower bound N(l), l = 1, ..., 7, for the maximum number of limit cycles of the piecewise differential system $(\dot{x}, \dot{y})^T = Z_{\mathcal{X}, \alpha}(x, y; \varepsilon)$, defined by (32), obtained by studying the averaged function of order l.

l	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
N(l)	1	2	2	3	3	3	3

4.2. Nonsmooth perturbation of a piecewise constant center. Consider the discontinuous piecewise constant differential system

(34)
$$(\dot{x}, \dot{y})^T = X(x, y) = \begin{cases} X_1(x, y) & \text{if } x > 0 \text{ and } y > 0, \\ X_2(x, y) & \text{if } x < 0 \text{ and } y > 0, \\ X_3(x, y) & \text{if } x < 0 \text{ and } y < 0, \\ X_4(x, y) & \text{if } x > 0 \text{ and } y < 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$X_1(x,y) = \begin{cases} -1 + \sum_{i=1}^7 \varepsilon^i (a_{i1}x + b_{i1}), & X_2(x,y) = \begin{cases} -1 + \sum_{i=1}^7 \varepsilon^i (a_{i2}x + b_{i2}), \\ -1, & \end{cases}$$

$$X_3(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 + \sum_{i=1}^7 \varepsilon^i (a_{i3}x + b_{i3}), \\ -1, \end{cases} X_4(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 + \sum_{i=1}^7 \varepsilon^i (a_{i4}x + b_{i4}), \\ 1, \end{cases}$$

with $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., 7\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

First of all, in order to apply our main result (Theorem 1) to study the limit cycles of the differential system (34), we shall write it into the standard form (8). Again, to do that we consider polar coordinates $x = r \cos \theta$, $y = r \sin \theta$. So the set of discontinuity becomes $\Sigma = \{\theta = 0\} \cup \{\theta = \alpha_1\} \cup \{\theta = \alpha_2\} \cup \{\theta = \alpha_3\}$, with $\alpha_0 = 0, \alpha_1 = \pi/2, \alpha_2 = \pi, \alpha_3 = 3\pi/2$, and $\alpha_4 = 2\pi$, and for each j = 1, 2, 3, 4 the differential system $(\dot{x}, \dot{y}) = X_j(x, y)$ reads

$$\dot{r}(t) = g_j(\theta) + \sum_{i=1}^7 \varepsilon^i (a_{ij} r \cos^2 \theta + b_{ij} \cos \theta),$$
$$\dot{\theta}(t) = \frac{1}{r} \left(\widehat{g}_j(\theta) - \sum_{i=1}^7 \varepsilon^i (a_{ij} r \cos \theta \sin \theta + b_{ij} \sin \theta) \right),$$

where

$$g_1(\theta) = \sin \theta - \cos \theta \qquad \qquad \widehat{g}_1(\theta) = \sin \theta + \cos \theta,$$

$$g_2(\theta) = -(\sin \theta + \cos \theta) \qquad \qquad \widehat{g}_2(\theta) = \sin \theta - \cos \theta,$$

$$g_3(\theta) = -\sin \theta + \cos \theta \qquad \qquad \widehat{g}_3(\theta) = -(\sin \theta + \cos \theta),$$

$$g_4(\theta) = \sin \theta + \cos \theta \qquad \qquad \widehat{g}_4(\theta) = -\sin \theta + \cos \theta.$$

Note that for each j=1,2,3,4 and $\alpha_{j-1} \leq \theta \leq \alpha_j$, we have that $\dot{\theta}(t) \neq 0$ for $|\varepsilon|$ sufficiently small, thus we can take θ as the new independent time variable by doing $r'(\theta) = \dot{r}(t)/\dot{\theta}(t)$. Then

(35)
$$r'(\theta) = \frac{\dot{r}(t)}{\dot{\theta}(t)} = \sum_{i=0}^{7} \varepsilon^{i} F_{i}^{j}(\theta, r) + \varepsilon^{k+1} R^{j}(\theta, r, \varepsilon),$$

where F_i^j is the coefficient related to ε^i in Taylor series in ε of $\dot{r}(t)/\dot{\theta}(t)$ around $\varepsilon = 0$.

From here we shall use the averaged functions (11) up to order 7 to study the isolated periodic solutions of the piecewise differential equation defined by (35) or, equivalently, the limit cycles of the piecewise differential system (34). Following the same methodology described in subsection 4.1, we provide a table showing the lower bound N(l), l = 1, ..., 7, for the maximum number of limit cycles of (34) obtained by studying the averaged function of order l.

l	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
N(k)	1	2	2	2	2	2	2

4.3. Nonsmooth perturbation of an isochronous quadratic center. In this section we consider the quadratic isochronous center $(\dot{x}, \dot{y}) = (-y + x^2, x + xy)$ perturbed inside a class of piecewise quadratic system with n zones. Following the notation introduced in subsection 1.2 we take

$$X_0^1(x,y) = (-y + x^2, x + xy), \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n, \text{ and}$$

$$X_i^1(x,y) = (a_j x^2 + b_j x + c_j, 0), \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n,$$

where a_j, b_j and c_j are real numbers for all $j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. We consider the discontinuous piecewise differential system $(\dot{x}, \dot{y})^T = Z_{\mathcal{X}, \alpha}(x, y; \varepsilon)$ (see (5)) where $\mathcal{X} = (X_1, ..., X_n)$ (see (4)) and $\alpha = (\alpha_j)_{j=0}^{n-1} = (2j\pi/n)_{j=0}^{n-1}$.

As before, in order to apply our main result (Theorem 1) to study the limit cycles of $(\dot{x},\dot{y})^T=Z_{\mathcal{X},\alpha}(x,y;\varepsilon)$, we shall write it into the standard form (8). To do that we consider a first change of coordinates x=-u/(v-1), y=-v/(v-1) (see [5]). Note that this change keeps fixed all straight lines passing through the origin and therefore does not change the set of discontinuity. In each sector C_j (see (6)), j=1,2,3,4, the differential system $(\dot{x},\dot{y})^T=Z_{\mathcal{X},\alpha}(x,y;\varepsilon)$ reads

(36)
$$\dot{u} = -v + \varepsilon \left(u \left(b_j - \frac{a_j}{v - 1} u \right) + c_j (1 - v) \right),$$

$$\dot{v} = u.$$

Now, as a second change of variables, we consider the polar coordinates $u = r \cos \theta$ and $v = r \sin \theta$. Taking θ as the new independent time variable by doing $r'(\theta) = \dot{r}(t)/\dot{\theta}(t)$, system (36) becomes

$$r'(\theta) = \varepsilon F^j(\theta, r) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2),$$

where

$$F^{j}(\theta, r) = \cos \theta \left(c_{j} + r \left(-c_{j} \sin \theta + \cos \theta \left(b_{j} + \frac{a_{j} r \cos \theta}{1 - r \sin \theta} \right) \right) \right).$$

for j = 1, ..., n.

In this new coordinates the piecewise differential system $(\dot{x}, \dot{y})^T = Z_{\mathcal{X},\alpha}(x, y; \varepsilon)$ reads

(37)
$$r'(\theta) = \varepsilon F(\theta, r) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2),$$

where

$$F(\theta, r) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \chi_{\left[\frac{2(j-1)\pi}{n}, \frac{2j\pi}{n}\right]}(\theta) F^{j}(\theta, r).$$

Computing the first order averaged function f_1 of (37) we obtain

$$f_{1}(r) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\frac{2(j-1)\pi}{n}}^{\frac{2j\pi}{n}} F^{j}(\theta, r) d\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} 4(a_{j} + cj) \left(\sin \left(\frac{2j\pi}{n} \right) - \sin \left(\frac{2(j-1)\pi}{n} \right) \right) \right) + r \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{4\pi}{n} + \sin \left(\frac{4j\pi}{n} \right) - \sin \left(\frac{4(j-1)\pi}{n} \right) \right) b_{j} \right) + (a_{j} - c_{j}) \left(\cos \left(\frac{4(j-1)\pi}{n} \right) - \cos \left(\frac{4j\pi}{n} \right) \right) \right) + \frac{(r^{2} - 1)}{r} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} 4a_{j} \ln \left(1 - r \sin \left(\frac{2(j-1)\pi}{n} \right) \right) \right) + \frac{(r^{2} - 1)}{r} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} -4a_{j} \ln \left(1 - r \sin \left(\frac{2j\pi}{n} \right) \right) \right) \right].$$

Since $\sin\left(\frac{2(j-1)\pi}{n}\right) = 0$ for j = 1, and $\sin\left(\frac{2j\pi}{n}\right) = 0$ for j = n, the above expression simplifies as

$$f_1(r) = \frac{1}{4} \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n 4(a_j + cj) \left(\sin \left(\frac{2j\pi}{n} \right) - \sin \left(\frac{2(j-1)\pi}{n} \right) \right) \right) + r \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{4\pi}{n} + \sin \left(\frac{4j\pi}{n} \right) - \sin \left(\frac{4(j-1)\pi}{n} \right) \right) b_j + (a_j - c_j) \left(\cos \left(\frac{4(j-1)\pi}{n} \right) - \cos \left(\frac{4j\pi}{n} \right) \right) \right) + \frac{(r^2 - 1)}{r} \left(\sum_{j=2}^n 4(a_j - a_{j-1}) \ln \left(1 - r \sin \left(\frac{2(j-1)\pi}{n} \right) \right) \right) \right].$$

Note that f_1 is written as a linear combination of n+1 functions of the family

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ 1, r, h_j(r) \doteq \frac{(r^2 - 1)}{r} \ln \left(1 - r \sin \left(\frac{2(j - 1)\pi}{n} \right) \right) : j = 2, 3, \dots, n \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that this combination is linearly independent.

Regarding the functions h_i 's we have the following properties

- (1) Let $j \in \{2, 3, ..., n\}$. Then $h_j(r) \equiv 0$ if and only if n is even and j = 1 + n/2.
- (2) Let $j_1, j_2 \in \{2, 3, ..., n\}$. Then $h_{j_1}(r) \equiv h_{j_2}(r)$ if and only if n is even and $(j_1 + j_2 2) \in \{n/2, 3n/2\}$.

From the above properties we first conclude that if n is odd then the function f_1 is a linearly independent combination of n+1 linearly independent functions. From Proposition 5 we can find parameters such that f_1 has n simple zeros.

If n=2 then $f_1(r)=\pi(b_1+b_2)r/2$ which has no simple positive zeros. From now on we assume that n is even and greater than 2. From property (1) we already know that $h_{j_0} \equiv 0$ for $j_0 = 1 + n/2$. From property (2) it remains to analyze how many pairs of integers (j_1, j_2) , $2 \le j_1 < j_2 \le n$, satisfy the equations $2(j_1 + j_2 - 2) = n$ and $2(j_1 + j_2 - 2) = 3n$.

Let \overline{n} be a positive integer. If $n=4\overline{n}$ then both equations $2(j_1+j_2-2)=n$ and $2(j_1+j_2-2)=3n$ have n/4-1 solutions. If $n=4\overline{n}+2$ then both equations $2(j_1+j_2-2)=n$ and $2(j_1+j_2-2)=3n$ have (n-2)/4 solutions. Therefore we conclude that:

- If $n = 4\overline{n}$ then $\#\mathcal{F} = \frac{n}{2} + 2$;
- If $n = 4\overline{n} + 2$ then $\#\mathcal{F} = \frac{n}{2} + 1$;

Denote by N the maximum number of limit cycles of $(\dot{x}, \dot{y})^T = Z_{\mathcal{X},\alpha}(x, y; \varepsilon)$. Applying Proposition 5 and Theorem 1 we conclude that:

- (i) If n is odd then $N \geq n$;
- (ii) If n = 2 then $N \ge 0$ (no information!);
- (iii) If n = 4k then $N \ge \frac{n}{2} + 1$;
- (iv) If n = 4k + 2 then $N \ge \frac{n}{2}$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author is partially supported by a MINECO grant MTM2013-40998-P and an AGAUR grant number 2014SGR-568. The second author is supported by FAPESP grants 2015/02517-6 and 2015/24841-0. The first and the second authors are supported by the European Community FP7-PEOPLE-2012-IRSES-316338 and FP7-PEOPLE-2012-IRSES-318999 grants. The third author has been supported by a Ph.D. CAPES grant and by CAPES CSF-PVE-88887. The three authors are also supported by the joint project CAPES-MECD grant PHB-2009-0025-PC.

References

- [1] J. P. Aubin and A. Cellina, *Dierential Inclusions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [2] M. DI BERNARDO, C. J. BUDD, A. R. CHAMPNEYS, AND P. KOWALCZYK, Piecewise-Smooth Dynamical Systems: Theory and Applications, Springer, 2008.
- [3] C. Buzzi, C. Pessoa and J. Torregrosa, *Piecewise linear perturbations of a linear center*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, **33** (2013), 3915–3936.

- [4] P. CARDIN AND J. TORREGROSA, Limit cycles in planar piecewise linear differential systems with nonregular separation line, Preprint 2016.
- [5] J. CHAVARRIGA AND M. SABATINI, A survey of isochronous centers, Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst. 1 (1999), 1–70.
- [6] B. Coll, A. Gasull and R. Prohens, Bifurcation of limit cycles from two families of centers, Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst. Ser. A 18 (2011), 203–214.
- [7] S. COOMBES, Neuronal networks with gap junctions: A study of piecewise linear planar neuron models, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 7 (2008), 1101–1129.
- [8] A. F. FILIPPOV, Differential Equations with Discontinuous Righthand Side, Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluver Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1988.
- [9] M.R.A. GOUVEIA, J. LLIBRE AND D.D. NOVAES, On limit cycles bifurcating from the infinity in discontinuous piecewise linear differential systems, Appl. Math. Comput. 271 (2015), 365–374.
- [10] M. GUARDIA, T.M. SEARA, M.A. TEIXEIRA, Generic Bifurcations of low codimension of Planar Filippov Systems, J. Differential Equations 250 (2011), 1967–2023.
- [11] J. ITIKAWA, J. LLIBRE AND D. D. NOVAES, A new result on averaging theory for a classe of discontinuous planar differential systems with applications, to appear in Revista Matemática Iberoamericana.
- [12] J. LLIBRE, A.C. MEREU AND D.D. NOVAES, Averaging theory for discontinuous piecewise differential systems, J. Differential Equation 258 (2015), 4007–4032.
- [13] J. LLIBRE, A.C. MEREU AND M. A. TEIXEIRA, Limit cycles of the generalized polynomial Liénard differential equations, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc 142 (2010), 363–383.
- [14] J. LLIBRE AND D.D. NOVAES, On the periodic solutions of discontinuous piecewise differential systems, arXiv:1504.03008, 2014.
- [15] J. LLIBRE, D.D. NOVAES AND M. A. TEIXEIRA, Higher order averaging theory for finding periodic solutions via Brouwer degree, Nonlinearity 27 (2014), 563–583.
- [16] J. LLIBRE, D.D. NOVAES AND M. A. TEIXEIRA, Corrigendum: Higher order averaging theory for finding periodic solutions via Brouwer degree (2014 Nonlinearity 27 563), Nonlinearity 27 (2014), 2417
- [17] J. LLIBRE, D.D. NOVAES AND M.A. TEIXEIRA, On the birth of limit cycles for non-smooth dynamical systems, Bull. Sci. Math. 139 (2015) 229–244.
- [18] J. LLIBRE AND M.A. TEIXEIRA, Limit cycles for m-piecewise discontinuous polynomial Liénard differential equations, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 66 (2015), 51–66.
- [19] D.D. Novaes, On nonsmooth perturbations of nondegenerate planar centers, Publicacions Matemàtiques, Vol. Extra (2014), 395–420.
- [20] D. D. Novaes, A equivalente formulation of the averaged functions via Bell polynomials, Preprint 2016.
- [21] H. Poincaré, Memoire sur les coubes definies par une equation differentielle I, II, III, IV. J. Math. Pures Appl. 7 (1881), 375–422. 8 (1882), 251–296. 1 (1885), 167–244. 2 (1886) 155–217.
- [22] L. WEI AND X. ZHANG, Averaging theory of arbitrary order for piecewise smooth differential systems and its application, J. Dyn. Diff. Equat. (2016), DOI:10.1007/s10884-016-9534-6.
- [23] Various, Special issue on dynamics and bifurcations of nonsmooth systems, Phys. D 241 (2012), 1825–2082.