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Abstract

The causing agent of bacterial wilt, *Ralstonia solanacearum*, is a soilborne pathogen that invades plants through their roots, traversing many tissue layers until it reaches the xylem, where it multiplies and causes plant collapse. The effects of *R. solanacearum* infection are devastating and no effective approach to fight the disease is so far available. The early steps of infection, essential for colonization, as well as the early plant defense responses, remain mostly unknown.

Here, we have set up a simple in vitro Arabidopsis-*R. solanacearum* pathosystem that has allowed us to identify three clear root phenotypes specifically associated to the early stages of infection: root growth inhibition, root hair formation and root tip cell death. Using this method we have been able to differentiate on Arabidopsis plants the phenotypes caused by mutants in the key bacterial virulence regulators *hrpB* and *hrpG*, which remained indistinguishable using the classical soil drench inoculation pathogenicity assays. In addition, we have revealed the previously unknown involvement of auxins in the root rearrangements caused by *R. solanacearum* infection.

Our system provides an easy to use, high-throughput tool to study *R. solanacearum* aggressiveness. Furthermore, the observed phenotypes may allow the identification of bacterial virulence determinants and could even be used to screen for novel forms of early plant resistance to bacterial wilt.
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Introduction

The soilborne phytopathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum* is the causing agent of bacterial wilt, one of the most destructive bacterial crop diseases worldwide (Hayward, 1991; Mansfield *et al*., 2012). Also referred as the *R. solanacearum* species complex because of its wide phylogenetic diversity, this bacterium can cause disease on more than 200 plant species including many important economical crops (Genin & Denny, 2012). *R. solanacearum* accesses the plant through the root and traverses many root layers until it reaches the xylem, where it profusely multiplies. From there, it spreads through the aerial part and causes wilting of the stem and leaves (Genin, 2010).

Wilting symptoms caused by *R. solanacearum* are largely dependent on the presence of a functional type III secretion system (T3SS) (Boucher *et al*., 1985). The T3SS is a needle-like structure present in many pathogenic bacteria that allows secretion of virulence proteins—called effectors—into the host cells (Hueck, 1998; Galan & Collmer, 1999). In plant-associated bacteria, the genes responsible for the regulation and assembly of the T3SS are known as hypersensitive response and pathogenicity (*hrp*) genes (Lindgren *et al*., 1986). Transcription of the *hrp* genes and their related effectors is activated by HrpB, the downstream regulator of a well-described regulatory cascade induced by contact with the plant cell wall (Brito *et al*., 2002). The cascade includes the membrane receptor PrhA, the signal transducer PrhI and the transcriptional regulators PrhJ and HrpG (Brito *et al*., 2002). HrpG is downstream of PrhJ and directly controls HrpB expression (and thus expression of the T3SS genes) but it also activates a number of HrpB-independent virulence determinants such as genes for ethylene synthesis (Valls *et al*., 2006).

Since the establishment of the *R. solanacearum* pathosystem almost two decades ago, leaf wilting has been typically used as the major readout to study the *Arabidopsis thaliana-R. solanacearum* interactions (Deslandes *et al*., 1998). Soil drenching with a bacterial suspension followed by leaf symptom evaluation over a time course constitutes a solid measure to quantify the degree of resistance/susceptibility of the plant towards the pathogen. The disadvantages of this system are the uncontrolled influence of soil microbiota and its high variability due to infection stochasticity, as shown in potato (Cruz *et al*., 2014). In addition, leaf wilting is the last step of *R. solanacearum* infection and does not provide information about early steps of colonization. Furthermore, soil opacity hinders direct observation of any morphological changes associated to bacterial invasion of plant tissues.
The establishment of gnotobiotic assays in which \textit{R. solanacearum} is inoculated on plants grown axenically has opened the door to study the early steps of infection. \textit{R. solanacearum} in vitro inoculation assays have been successfully established for tomato (Vasse \textit{et al.}, 1995), petunia (Zolobowska & Van Gijsegem, 2006) and the model plants \textit{Medicago truncatula} (Vailleau \textit{et al.}, 2007) and \textit{Arabidopsis thaliana} (Digonnet \textit{et al.}, 2012). These studies have shed light on some common, as well as species-specific root phenomena associated to \textit{R. solanacearum} infection. Reduced primary root elongation after infection is a common feature observed in all species analyzed. Other common root phenotypes that appeared after infection were swelling of the root tip (in tomato, petunia and \textit{M. truncatula}), inhibition of lateral root growth (in petunia and Arabidopsis) and cell death (in \textit{M. truncatula} and Arabidopsis). In petunia, \textit{R. solanacearum} infection resulted as well in the formation of root lateral structures (Zolobowska & Van Gijsegem, 2006). These structures resembled prematurely terminated lateral roots, were present both in resistant and susceptible lines, and were efficient colonization sites.

In vitro pathosystems have helped defining the different stages of \textit{R. solanacearum} infection. The bacterium was found to gain access into the tomato root through wound sites or natural openings such as emerging lateral roots (Vasse \textit{et al.}, 1995; Saile \textit{et al.}, 1997). In \textit{M. truncatula} and Arabidopsis the bacteria can also enter intact roots through the root apex (Vailleau \textit{et al.}, 2007; Digonnet \textit{et al.}, 2012). In petunia it was shown that penetration occurs equally in resistant or susceptible plants (Zolobowska & Van Gijsegem, 2006). The second stage of infection involves invasion of the root cortical area. In this stage \textit{R. solanacearum} quickly transverses the root cylinder centripetally via intercellular spaces, directed to the vasculature (Vasse \textit{et al.}, 1995; Digonnet \textit{et al.}, 2012). Massive cortical cell degeneration can be observed during this phase. The fact that cells not directly in contact with the bacteria also die led to propose that certain cell wall fragments degraded by \textit{R. solanacearum} may act as signals to induce plant programmed cell death (Digonnet \textit{et al.}, 2012). During the third stage of infection \textit{R. solanaceraum} enters into the vascular cylinder and colonizes the xylem. In Arabidopsis, it was shown that vascular invasion is promoted by collapse of two xylem pericycle cells (Digonnet \textit{et al.}, 2012). Once inside the xylem, bacteria start proliferating and moving between adjacent vessels by degrading the cell walls, but remain confined in the xylem. In the last stage of infection, disease symptoms become apparent at the whole organism level, as the stem and leaves start wilting.
All these studies have significantly broadened our understanding of the root invasion process. However, the molecular mechanisms that control these phenotypes and their timing remain vastly unexplored. In addition, no clear correlation has been established between any of the observed phenotypes and the host’s resistance or susceptibility to *R. solanacearum*. Here, we have set up a simple in vitro pathosystem to determine the impact of *R. solanacearum* on Arabidopsis root morphology at the first stages of infection.

**Results**

**In vitro infection with *R. solanacearum* causes a triple phenotype on Arabidopsis roots**

In order to analyze the impact of *R. solanacearum* infection on Arabidopsis root morphology, we established a simple in vitro inoculation assay. Sterile seeds were sown on MS media plates and grown vertically for 7 days so that plant roots developed at the surface of the medium and could be easily inoculated and visualized. Plantlets were then inoculated 1 cm above the root tip with 5 µl of a solution containing *R. solanacearum*. Infection with the wild-type GMI1000 strain caused root growth arrest (Fig. 1A). To determine whether this effect depended on the inoculation point, we inoculated at the top, middle and tip of the root. As shown in Fig. S1, *R. solanacearum* causes root growth inhibition regardless of the infection point. Hence, all experiments were performed inoculating 1 cm above the root tip. Interestingly, along with root growth inhibition we observed two additional root phenotypes caused by *R. solanacearum* infection: production of root hairs at the root tip maturation zone (Fig. 1B), and cell death at the root tip. Cell death was visualized as either Evans blue (Fig. 1C) or propidium iodide staining (Fig. S2), both of them commonly used as cell death markers as they are excluded from living cells by the plasma membrane (Gaff & Okong'O-gola, 1971; Curtis & Hays, 2007).

*R. solanacearum hrp* mutants are altered in their capacity to cause the triple root phenotype

With these three phenotypes in hand we set out to identify their causative bacterial genetic determinants. For this, we analyzed the triple root phenotypes on plants
inoculated with *R. solanacearum* GMI1000 carrying mutations on the master regulators of virulence HrpG and HrpB. Bacteria bearing a disrupted *hrpG* lost the ability to inhibit root growth, but not those bearing disrupted *hrpB* versions (*hrpB* and *hrpB*Δ, figure 2a). Inoculation with the Δ*hrpG*, in which the whole ORF had been deleted, and its complemented strain Δ*hrpG*(hrpG) confirmed the requirement of HrpG but not HrpB to induce the phenotypes. Similarly, bacterial strains disrupted in the membrane receptor prhA, the signal transducer prhI and, to a lesser extent, the transcriptional regulator prhJ were all strongly affected in their capacity to inhibit root growth (Fig. 3). This is logical, since all these mutants show decreased *hrpG* transcription (Brito et al., 2002). *hrp* mutants are all non-pathogenic (Boucher et al., 1985), so the key role of HrpG in root inhibition compared to HrpB could be due to the fact that HrpG controls a larger number of bacterial virulence activities that have been proposed to be required for xylem colonization (Vasse et al., 2000; Valls et al., 2006). To check if root phenotypes correlated with bacterial colonization, 4-week-old Arabidopsis Col-0 plants were inoculated with the wild type *R. solanacearum* GMI1000 or its *hrpB* and *hrpG* deletion mutant counterparts. Bacterial loads were measured in aerial tissues of inoculated Arabidopsis plants 14 days after inoculation as colony forming units (CFUs) per gram of tissue. Fig. S3 shows that the capacity to colonize Arabidopsis plants of *hrpB* is significantly higher than of *hrpG* mutants. Thus, although *hrp* mutants had been already described to multiply in planta (Hanemian et. al., 2013), HrpG seems to be more essential than HrpB for the bacterium to colonize the plant xylem and reach the aerial tissues.

Finally, we also observed that mutations in the *hrpB* and the *hrpG* regulators abolished root hair formation and cell death caused by *R. solanacearum* on roots (figure 2b and 2c). In summary, we proved that root hair production and cell death induction are T3SS-dependent phenotypes. In contrast, root growth inhibition, for which HrpG is required, does not depend on a functional T3SS.

*R. solanacearum* strains unable to cause the triple root phenotype are non-virulent on Arabidopsis

Our next goal was to determine whether the ability to cause the triple phenotype in Arabidopsis roots was conserved across different *R. solanacearum* strains and if there was a correlation to aggressiveness. For this, we inoculated in vitro-grown Arabidopsis Col-0 roots with *R. solanacearum* strains belonging to different phylotypes: our
reference strain GMI1000 and strain Rd15 (phylotype I); CIP301 and CFBP2957 (phylotype IIA); NCPPB3987, UY031 and UW551 (phylotype IIB); and CMR15 (phylotype III). Interestingly, infection with phylotype IIA strains CIP301 and CFBP2957 resulted in root growth inhibition (Fig. 4a), root hair production (Fig. 4b) and cell death at the root tip (Fig. 4c), similar to what we observed with phylotype I and III strains. In contrast, phylotype IIB strains NCPPB3987, UY031 and UW551 did not cause growth inhibition, nor root hair production or cell death on infected roots. Thus, different *R. solanacearum* strains vary in their ability to cause the triple root phenotype.

To determine whether these phenotypes correlated with pathogenicity, we performed root infection assays on Arabidopsis plants grown on soil and recorded the appearance of wilting symptoms over time (Fig. 4d). Infection of wild-type Col-0 plants with the strains that were unable to cause the triple root phenotype (NCPPB3987, UY031 and UW551) did not result in wilting, which indicates a direct correlation between absence of root phenotypes in vitro and absence of symptoms in plants grown in soil. On the contrary, from all *R. solanacearum* strains causing the triple root phenotype, only GMI1000, Rd15 and CMR15 resulted in plant wilting. As seen before for the *hrpG* and *hrpB* mutants, symptom scoring has limitations to evaluate slight *R. solanacearum* pathogenicity differences. Thus, we inoculated Arabidopsis plants with all studied bacterial strains and measured bacterial numbers in the aerial part 14 dpi. The results, shown in figure 4e, indicated that the two phylotype IIA strains (CIP301 and CFBP2957) that showed the triple phenotype but were not causing disease colonized the aerial part of the plants to higher numbers than the strains not causing the root responses. These results show that Arabidopsis root phenotypes partially correlate with the capacity of *R. solanacearum* to colonize Arabidopsis Col-0 plants: the strains that are not able to produce the triple root phenotype are non-virulent.

*R. solanacearum*-triggered root hair formation is mediated by plant auxins

In order to ascertain whether any of the phenotypes triggered by *R. solanacearum* infection were mediated by known plant defense regulators, we tested how different Arabidopsis mutants responded to the pathogen (Fig. S4). Our results showed that reactive oxygen species (ROS) produced by the membrane NADPH oxidases AtRbohD and AtRbohF were not required for root growth inhibition, root hair production or cell death in response to infection. Plants that were insensitive to jasmonic acid (*jai3-1*) or that could not synthetize it (*dde2*) or its conjugated form (*jar1-1*) showed root growth...
inhibition, root hair production and cell death similar to the wild-type. Similarly, the
sid2 mutant, defective in salicylic acid biosynthesis, and the ethylene insensitive mutant
ein2, responded with the same root morphologies as wild-type to *R. solanacearum*
infection. On the contrary, the auxin insensitive mutants *tir1* and *tir1/afb2* showed
growth inhibition (Fig. 5a) and root tip cell death (Fig. 5b) but were not able to produce
root hairs in response to infection (Fig. 5c). This result indicates that root hair
production triggered by *R. solanacearum* infection requires auxin signaling. To monitor
potential changes in auxin levels during infection, we analyzed expression of the auxin
signaling reporter *DR5rev::GFP* in roots of infected versus control plants. As shown in
Fig. 5d, *R. solanacearum* inoculation induced a strong vascular GFP signal 48 hours
post-infection, suggesting that infection may result in increased auxin signaling levels in
the vascular cylinder.

*R. solanacearum* encodes a HrpG-regulated ethylene-forming enzyme (*efe*) gene (Valls
et al., 2006). To assess whether bacterial ethylene mediated root growth inhibition, we
infected wild-type Arabidopsis with *R. solanacearum* GMI1000 wild-type strain or with
the *efe* mutant. Fig. S5(a) show that infection with the mutant resulted in root growth
inhibition, indicating that ethylene produced by the bacteria is not responsible for this
phenotype. Bacterial ethylene was also not required for the root hair formation
phenotype, because infection with the *efe* mutant did not affect root hair formation (Fig.
S5b), as expected if HrpB—which does not activate the *efe* operon—controls this
phenotype (figure 2b).

**Absence of the triple root phenotype in Arabidopsis might reveal new sources of
resistance to strain GMI1000**

Next, we wanted to determine the degree of conservation of the correlation between
absence of the triple phenotype and resistance to *R. solanacearum*. For this, besides
Col-0, we selected the accessions C24, Cvi-0, Ler-1, Bl-1, Rrs-7 among the 20 proposed
as representatives of the maximum variability of Arabidopsis (Delker et al., 2010). In
addition, we included Nd-1, known to be resistant to *R. solanacearum* (Deslandes et al.,
1998) and Tou-A1-74, which does not show the triple phenotype (see below). Despite
the differences in root length among accessions, the majority of them displayed the
triple root phenotype after inoculation with *R. solanacearum* (Fig. 6a, b, c). Only Rrs-7
and Tou-A1-74 did not show any of the three phenotypes in response to infection. To
determine whether the presence/absence of the triple phenotype correlated to
susceptibility to *R. solanacearum* GMI1000, we performed a pathogenicity assay using these accessions (Fig. 6d). Interestingly, Rrs-7 –but not Tou-A1-74- was resistant to *R. solanacearum*, indicating that absence of the root phenotypes could be used to identify some sources of resistance to the pathogen. Resistance to *R. solanacearum* was not found in random accessions showing the triple root phenotype, which however did not correlate with susceptibility, since the resistant accessions Nd-1 (Deslandes *et al.*, 1998) and Bl-1 reacted with root growth inhibition, root hair production and cell death after infection (Fig. 6d).

**Discussion**

*Plant host root phenotypes appear as early symptoms of colonization by* *R. solanacearum*

The use of *in vitro* pathosystems to study the interactions between the vascular pathogen *R. solanacearum* and some of its plant hosts has emerged as a very powerful technique to understand the early stages of infection (Vasse *et al.*, 1995; Vasse *et al.*, 2000; Zolobowska & Van Gijsegem, 2006; Vailleau *et al.*, 2007; Turner *et al.*, 2009; Digonnet *et al.*, 2012). In this work, we have used *in vitro*-grown Arabidopsis as the model host to deepen our knowledge on the first steps of *R. solanacearum* root invasion. In *vitro* infection has several advantages: i) it reveals easily screenable root phenotypes associated with the infection that would remain hidden when using the soil-drench inoculation; ii) it facilitates microscopy studies to determine the penetration point and the infection itinerary through the root cell layers; iii) it is a useful tool to study the genetic determinants controlling both *R. solanacearum* virulence and host defense.

A very detailed microscopic analysis of the gnotobiotic Arabidopsis-*R. solanacearum* interaction has been recently published (Digonnet *et al.*, 2012). This study revealed the path followed by *R. solanacearum* through Arabidopsis roots, highlighting the sites of bacterial multiplication and the specific cell wall barriers degraded by the bacterium. Moving forward this knowledge, our data defines a set of root phenotypes associated to infection that can be correlated to bacterial aggressiveness and plant resistance and are genetically amenable both from the bacterial and the plant side.

In our system, infection of intact roots with a droplet of *R. solanacearum* resulted in root growth inhibition, root hair production and cell death. Root growth inhibition or
delayed elongation has been previously observed as a result of *R. solanacearum* infection when using gnotobiotic systems (Vasse *et al.*, 1995; Zolobowska & Van Gijsen, 2006; Vailleau *et al.*, 2007; Turner *et al.*, 2009; Digonnet *et al.*, 2012). One could hypothesize that root growth inhibition is the direct cause of the massive cell death observed after infection in the root cortex of Arabidopsis (this work and (Digonnet *et al.*, 2012)) or other species (Vasse *et al.*, 1995; Turner *et al.*, 2009). However, this does not seem to be the case, since a *hrpB* mutant strain causes root growth inhibition in the absence of cell death. Considering this, root growth inhibition would rather reflect xylem colonization, which takes place both for wild-type *R. solanacearum* GMI1000 and the *hrpB* mutant. In agreement with this interpretation, the *hrpG* mutant, which has an extremely reduced capacity to invade the xylem (Fig. S3, (Vasse *et al.*, 1995; Vasse *et al.*, 2000)), does not cause root growth inhibition after infection. This further highlights the proposed role of HrpG as a central regulator controlling still-unknown activities essential for the bacterium to reach and multiply in the plant xylem (Vasse *et al.*, 2000; Valls *et al.*, 2006). These activities are likely encoded in genes regulated by HrpG independently of HrpB, as the latter is able to colonize the xylem. Amongst the 184 genes specifically regulated by HrpG, an obvious candidate responsible for the root growth inhibition is the gene controlling bacterial production of the phytohormone ethylene. However, we found that bacterial mutant defective in this gene still inhibited root growth (figure S5a), indicating that xylem colonization and subsequent root inhibition is controlled by other still-undefined HrpG-regulated genes.

**Auxin signaling alterations caused by *R. solanacearum* infection likely trigger root structure rearrangements, resulting in root hair formation**

Our plant mutant analysis showed that neither of the defense regulators salicylic acid, jasmonic acid, ethylene or NADPH-produced ROS were required for any of the root phenotypes observed after *R. solanacearum* GMI1000 infection. On the contrary, we showed that auxin signaling was clearly required for infection-triggered root hair formation. This is not surprising, since auxin is one of the main orchestrators of root hair formation (Lee & Cho, 2013; Grierson *et al.*, 2014) and can promote this process (Pitts *et al.*, 1998). Root hairs are outgrowths of epidermal cells that contribute to nutrient and water absorption (Grierson *et al.*, 2014), but they also participate in plant-microbe interactions. For instance, root hairs are the entry point of both mutualistic
rhizobacteria (Rodriguez-Navarro et al., 2007) and pathogenic bacteria such as *Plasmodiophora brassicaceae*, the causing agent of the clubroot disease (Kageyama & Asano, 2009). Interestingly, auxin signaling was proposed to promote cell wall remodeling to allow root hair growth (Breakspear et al., 2014) and it has been shown to be a key component of both pathogenic and mutualistic root hair infections (Jahn et al., 2013; Laplaze et al., 2015).

During *R. solanacearum*-Arabidopsis interactions, auxin signaling may have additional important roles beyond its involvement in root hair formation. *R. solanacearum* inoculation resulted in an induction of $DR5rev::GFP$ expression in the root vascular cylinder at early stages of infection, indicative of increased auxin signaling levels. Furthermore, plant infection results in increased expression of several auxin-related genes (Zuluaga et al., 2015). On a hypothetic scenario, *R. solanacearum* could directly and specifically –for example, via a T3SS effector– manipulate the host auxin signaling pathway(s) to its own benefit. There are many examples of effector-mediated manipulation of the host auxin pathway, extensively reviewed by Kazan & Lyons (2014). In most cases the pathogen uses its type III effector arsenal to specifically increase auxin levels in the host by targeting auxin biosynthesis, signaling or transport. Elevated auxin levels are beneficial for many pathogens, towards which auxin promotes susceptibility. This is the case of *Pseudomonas syringae*, *Xanthomonas oryzae* and *Magnaporte oryzae*, among others. In rice, elevated susceptibility has been linked to auxin-induced loosening of the protective cell wall, which would facilitate pathogen colonization. Other pathogens increase the host susceptibility by secreting auxin into the host, which in turn induces auxin production inside the host’s cells and promotes susceptibility (Fu et al., 2011). Our data points towards a potential link between increased auxin levels as a result of invasion, although further work needs to be done to determine whether this is directly correlated with an increase in susceptibility. In this context, it also remains to be clarified whether auxin-mediated root hair formation during infection facilitates *R. solanacearum* invasion or it is a mere consequence of elevated auxin levels in certain root cells. Also, it is not known whether root hairs may constitute favorite entry points for the bacteria.

**Absence of the triple root phenotype to screen for *R. solanacearum* virulence factors or resistance in Arabidopsis**
When analyzing different *R. solanacearum* strains, the absence of the root phenotypes is directly linked to the inability of the bacterium to cause symptoms. Thus, strains not capable to induce the triple root phenotype show low pathogenicity on Arabidopsis, as it is the case of NCPPB3987, UY031 and UW551. Presence of the phenotype is not always correlated with increased aggressiveness of a particular strain. CIP301 and CFBP2957 are not pathogenic on Arabidopsis Col-0 plants despite causing the triple root phenotype. Gene-for-gene interactions may mask these root phenotypic features and block *R. solanacearum* before it starts causing wilt. This may indicate that the Col-0 accession possesses resistance proteins that recognize effectors secreted by the two phyloype IIA strains or that phyloype IIA strains lack one or several virulence factors required to establish disease on Arabidopsis or repress some plant defenses. Similarly, the *hrpG* mutant, which has an extremely reduced capacity to invade the xylem, does not cause root inhibition (see above).

Our data show that the lack of the triple root phenotype can be linked to resistance to *R. solanacearum*. This is the case of Arabidopsis accession Rrs-7, that appears completely resistant to *R. solanacearum* GMI1000 and does not display any of described root phenotypes. Resistance to *R. solanacearum* is very rare amongst Arabidopsis accessions. The clear enrichment of resistant accessions amongst those lacking the capacity to cause the triple phenotype indicates that the root phenotypes described here can be used to screen plant varieties in search for resistance. The fact that other resistant accessions present the phenotypes may indicate that they possess alternative forms of resistance or that other factors, including gene-for-gene interactions, override the observed phenotypes. This could be the case of the resistant accession Nd-1, which is able to detect *R. solanacearum* GMI1000 infection through recognition of the effector PopP2 by the resistance protein RRS1-R (Deslandes et al., 2003). This system could thus be used to differentiate ecotypes with resistances due to a gene-for-gene recognition (Nd-1 resistance associated to the presence of the triple response) compared to other resistance mechanisms (Rrs-7 resistance associated to absence of the triple root response). Along this line, the Arabidopsis Bl-1, which also does not wilt but shows clear infection indicated by the appearance of the root phenotypes, may also recognize *R. solanacearum* through an alternative effector–resistance protein pair and stop invasion.

Taken together, our results on both the bacterial and the plant side favor the notion that absence of the root phenotypes is indicative of ineffective colonization that may reflect
novel forms of resistance. Thus, the absence of the root phenotypes described here could help in the search for plant varieties with higher resistance to the devastating bacterial wilt disease.

**Materials and Methods**

**Biological material**

*Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotypes Bl-1, C24, Col-0, Cvi-0, Ler-1, Nd-1, Rrs-7 (Delker *et al.*, 2010, Clark *et al.*, 2007), Tou-A1-74 (Horton *et al.*, 2012), and the Col-0 mutants: *sid2-2* (Tsuda *et al.*, 2009), *dde2-2* (Tsuda *et al.*, 2009), *ein2-1* (Tsuda *et al.*, 2009), *tir1-1* (Dharmasiri *et al.*, 2005), *tir1-1/afb2-3* (Parry *et al.*, 2009), *jar1-1* (Staswick & Tiryaki, 2004), *jai3-1* (Chini *et al.*, 2007), *atrbohD* and *atrbohF* (Torres *et al.*, 2002) were used. The Col-0 transgenic line *DR5rev::GFP* (Friml *et al.*, 2003) was used to monitor auxin signaling.

All *R. solanacearum* strains used are described in Supplementary Table 1. Bacteria were grown at 28°C in solid or liquid rich B medium (1% Bactopeptone, 0.1% Yeast extract and 0.1% Casamino acids -all from Becton, Dickinson and Co., Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) adding the appropriate antibiotics, as described in (Monteiro *et al.*, 2012).

**In vitro inoculation assay**

Seeds were sterilized with a solution containing 30% bleach and 0.02% Triton-X 100 for 10 min, washed five times with Milli-Q water and sown (20 seeds/plate) on MS-plates containing vitamins (Duchefa Biochemie B.V., Haarlem, the Netherlands) and 0.8% Agar (Becton, Dickinson and Co., Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Sown plates were stratified at 4°C in the dark for two days. Then plates were transferred to chambers and grown for 6-7 days under constant conditions of 21-22°C, 60% humidity and a 16h light/8h dark photoperiod.

For inoculation, *R. solanacearum* was collected by centrifugation (4000 rpm, 5 min) from overnight liquid cultures, resuspended with water and adjusted to a final OD$_{600}$ of 0.01. Six to seven-day-old Arabidops seedlings grown on plates as detailed above were inoculated with 5 μL of the bacterial solution, which was applied 1cm above the root tip, as described previously (Digonnet *et al.*, 2012). Plates with the infected seedlings were sealed with micropore tape (3M Deutschland GmbH, Neuss, Germany)
and transferred to a controlled growth chamber at 25°C, 60% humidity and a 12h light/12h dark photoperiod. Root length of infected seedlings was recorded over time. For root hair evaluation, pictures were taken 6 days post inoculation (dpi) with an Olympus DP71 stereomicroscope (Olympus, Center Valley, PA, USA) at 11.5x. To analyze cell death, roots from seedlings grown on plates were collected 6 dpi and immediately stained by carefully submerging them into a solution containing 0.05% w/v of Evans blue (Sigma-Aldrich, Buchs, Switzerland) for 30 min at room temperature. Roots were then washed twice with distilled water and photographed under a 20× lens with a Nomarski Axiophot DP70 microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). For propidium iodide staining, roots of infected seedlings were soaked into 1μg/ml staining solution (Sigma-Aldrich, Buchs, Switzerland), and immediately photographed with a 20x magnification on an Olympus FV1000 (Olympus, Center Valley, PA, USA) or a Leica SP5 (Wetzlar, Germany) confocal microscope.

Pathogenicity assays

*R. solanacearum* pathogenicity tests were carried out using the soil-drench method (Monteiro et al., 2012). Briefly, Arabidopsis was grown for 4-to-5 weeks on Jiffy pots (Jiffy Group, Lorain, OH, USA) in a controlled chamber at 22°C, 60% humidity and a 8h light/16h dark photoperiod. Jiffys were cut at 1/3 from the bottom and immediately submerged for 30 min into a solution of overnight-grown *R. solanacearum* adjusted to OD₆₀₀=0.1 with distilled water (35 ml of bacterial solution per plant). Then inoculated plants were transferred to trays containing a thin layer of soil drenched with the same *R. solanacearum* solution and kept in a chamber at 28°C, 60% humidity and 12h light/12h dark. Plant wilting symptoms were recorded every day and expressed according to a disease index scale (0: no wilting, 1: 25% wilted leaves, 2: 50%, 3: 75%, 4: death). At least 30 plants were used in each assay, performed at least in three replicate experiments.

*R. solanacearum* vessel colonization was tested in Arabidopsis plants inoculated with a lower inoculum (OD₆₀₀= 0.01). To quantify bacterial colonization, the plant aerial parts were cut 14 days after inoculation and homogenized. Dilutions of the homogenate plant material were plated on rich B medium supplemented with the appropriate antibiotics and the bacterial content measured as colony formation units (cfu) per gram of fresh plant tissue. At least 20 plants were inoculated per *R. solanacearum* strain and the experiment was repeated three times.
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**Figure Legends**

**Figure 1.** Root phenotypes caused by *R. solanacearum* GMI1000 (GMI1000) in *vitro* infection. 6-day-old Col-0 seedlings were inoculated with 5μL of a GMI1000 solution or with water as a control. (A) GMI1000 inhibition of root growth. Left panel: stereoscope images of the plantlets under white light at 6 days post inoculation (dpi). Right panel: root length at different times after infection. (B) Root hair formation on the root tip caused by GMI1000 infection. Root tip pictures obtained as before at 6 dpi. (C) Observation of cell death at root tips visualized by Evans blue staining. Representative Nomarski microscope pictures of stained roots obtained 6 dpi. 10-15 plants were used in 3 independent experiments.
Figure 2. HrpG is required for all the phenotypes caused by GMI1000 while HrpB is only essential for cell death and root hair formation. 6-day-old Col-0 seedlings were inoculated with water (control) or with the following strains: GMI1000 wild type (WT), ΔhrpG (whole gene deletion), hrpG (Tn5 transposon insertion), ΔhrpG(hrpG), hrpB (Tn5 transposon insertion) and hrpBΩ (Ω cassette insertion). (A) Mutations on HrpG but not HrpB abolish growth inhibition. Left panel: picture taken at 9 dpi. Right panel: root growth measurements at 9 dpi. (B) Both hrpG and hrpB mutations abolish root hair formation. Pictures were taken at 6 dpi. (C) Neither hrpG nor hrpB mutant cause root tip cell death. Pictures of infected seedlings at 6 dpi stained with Evans blue as in figure 1C. Each experiment was repeated at least 3 times using 5-10 plants.

Figure 3. Detection of plant signals is essential for GMI1000 to cause root growth inhibition. Six-day-old Col-0 seedlings were inoculated with GMI1000 (WT), its derivative strains disrupted for components of the hrp signaling cascade or treated with water. (A) Root growth was measured at 9 dpi and (B) pictures were taken at 9 dpi. Letters above bars indicate statistical significance; bars not sharing letters represent significant mean differences by one-way ANOVA (p < 0.05, α = 0.05) with post-hoc Scheffé (α = 0.05). 5-7 plants were used in 3 independent experiments.

Figure 4. The ability to cause root growth inhibition, root hair formation and cell death varies across different R. solanacearum strains. Six-day-old Col-0 seedlings were inoculated with the indicated R. solanacearum wild-type strains or water. (A) Root growth after infection at 6 dpi. (B) Pathogenicity assay. (C) Bacterial multiplication in planta measured 14 days after inoculation. For graphs (A-C) letters indicate statistical significance; values not sharing letters represent significant mean differences by one-way ANOVA (p < 0.05, α = 0.05) with post-hoc Scheffé (α = 0.05). In (B), the statistical test was applied separately for each dpi. (D) Root hair formation at 6 dpi. (E) Roots from infected seedlings at 6 dpi stained with Evans blue. Each experiment was repeated at least 3 times using 10-15 plants.

Figure 5. Auxin signaling is required for R. solanacearum-triggered root hair formation in Arabidopsis, but not for root growth inhibition and cell death. Six-day-old Col-0, tir1 and tir1/afb2 seedlings were inoculated with R. solanacearum GMI1000 or water and: (A) root growth was measured 6 dpi; (B) root hair formation
was evaluated at 6 dpi; and (C) roots from infected seedlings at 6 dpi were stained with Evans blue. (D) Expression of the auxin signaling marker DR5 was analyzed under the confocal microscope in roots of transgenic Col-0 DR5rev::GFP plants infected with R. solanacearum GMI1000 or water at 24 and 48 hours after inoculation (hpi). Representative pictures of both the meristem area and maturation zone are shown. 6-10 plants were used in 3 different experimental replicates.

**Figure 6. The absence of the triple phenotype caused by R. solanacearum in Arabidopsis is indicative of resistance.** Six-day-old Arabidopsis seedlings from ecotypes C24, Col-0, Cvi-0, Ler-1, Nd-1, Rrs-7, Bl-1 and Tou-A1-74 were inoculated with R. solanacearum GMI1000 or water and at 6 dpi root growth was measured (A), root hair was visualized (B) and cell death was observed after Evans blue staining (C). (D) Five-week old plants grown in Jiffy pots were inoculated with GMI1000. Disease Index indicates the symptoms measured in a 1 to 4 scale as described in methods. Letters indicate statistical significance; values not sharing letters represent significant mean differences by one-way ANOVA (p < 0.05, α = 0.05) with post-hoc Scheffé (α = 0.05). The statistical test was applied separately for each dpi. 7-13 plants were used in each of 3 independent experiments.

**Supplementary Figure Legends**

**Supplementary Table 1** R. solanacearum strains were used in this study.

**Figure S1.** The root site of infection does not have effect on growth inhibition caused by R. solanacearum GMI1000. Six-day-old Col-0 seedlings were inoculated with GMI1000 or water. Left panel: Picture of a 6-day-old seedling before infection, with yellow arrows pointing at the selected positions for R. solanacearum infection. Right panel: root growth inhibition occurs independently of the site of infection. 5-8 plants were used in at least 3 different experiments.

**Figure S2.** R. solanacearum-triggered cell death at the root tip visualized using propidium iodide staining. Photographs were taken using confocal microscopy at 6 dpi.

**Figure S3.** R. solanacearum GMI1000 Wt, hrpB and hrpG mutants show different plant colonization capacity compared to Wt. Four-week old plants grown in Jiffy pots were inoculated with the following strains: GMI1000 Wt, hrpB (Tn5 transposon insertion) and hrpG (Tn5 transposon insertion) at OD600 of 0.01 (107 cfu/mL). At 14 dpi
bacterial load was calculated; three different experiments are plotted, with a total of 10 plants for the Wt control, 20 plants for hrpB and 20 plants for hrpG. Letters indicate statistical significance; values not sharing letters represent significant mean differences by post-hoc Tukey’s (p < 0.05).

**Figure S4.** The plant defense regulators jasmonic acid, ethylene, salicylic acid and reactive oxygen species are not essential for the triple phenotype caused by *R. solanacearum* GMI1000 infection. Six-day-old mutant dde2, jai3-1, jar1-1, sid2, ein2, atrbohD, atrbohF and wild type Col-0 seedlings were inoculated with GMI1000 or water. (A) Root growth was measured at 6 dpi. (B) Root hair formation was photographed at 6 dpi. (C) Cell death was observed by Evans blue staining at 6 dpi using Nomarski microscopy. Around 6-10 plants were used in at least 3 different experiments.

**Figure S5.** Ethylene produced by GMI1000 is not required for root growth inhibition, root hair formation nor cell death. (A) Disruption of the ethylene forming enzyme gene efe does not abolish root growth inhibition and (B) it does not affect root hair formation nor cell death caused by GMI1000. 6-day-old Col-0 seedlings were inoculated with GMI1000 or water. Infected seedlings were photographed at 9 dpi and root growth was measured at 9 dpi. Root hair formation and cell death was stained as in fig. 1 and photographed at 6 dpi. 10-14 plants were used in 3 independent experiments.
Figure S1. The root site of infection does not have effect on growth inhibition caused by *R. solanacearum* GMI1000. Six-day-old Col-0 seedlings were inoculated with GMI1000 or water. Left panel: Picture of a 6-day-old seedling before infection, with yellow arrows pointing at the selected positions for *R. solanacearum* infection. Right panel: root growth inhibition occurs independently of the site of infection. 5-8 plants were used in at least 3 different experiments.
Figure S2. *R. solanacearum*-triggered cell death at the root tip visualized using propidium iodide staining. Photographs were taken using confocal microscopy at 6 dpi.
Figure S3. *R. solanacearum* GMI1000 *hrpB* and *hrpG* mutants show different plant colonization capacity compared to Wt. Four-week old plants grown in Jiffy pots were inoculated with the following strains: GMI1000 Wt, *hrpB* (Tn5 transposon insertion) and *hrpG* (Tn5 transposon insertion) at OD600 of 0.01 (10^7 cfu/mL). At 14 dpi bacterial load was calculated; three different experiments are plotted, with a total of 10 plants for the Wt control, 20 plants for *hrpB* and 20 plants for *hrpG*. Letters indicate statistical significance; values not sharing letters represent significant mean differences by post-hoc Tukey’s (p < 0.05)
Figure S4. The plant defense regulators jasmonic acid, ethylene, salicylic acid and reactive oxygen species are not essential for the triple phenotype caused by *R. solanacearum* GMI1000 infection. Six-day-old mutant *dde2, jai3-1, jar1-1, sid2, ein2, atrbohD, atrbohF* and wild type Col-0 seedlings were inoculated with GMI1000 or water. (A) Root growth was measured at 6 dpi. (B) Root hair formation was photographed at 6 dpi. (C) Cell death was observed by Evans blue staining at 6 dpi using Nomarski microscopy. Around 6-10 plants were used in at least 3 different experiments.
Figure S5. Ethylene produced by GMI1000 is not required for root growth inhibition, root hair formation nor cell death. (A) Disruption of the ethylene forming enzyme gene efe does not abolish root growth inhibition and (B) it does not affect root hair formation nor cell death caused by GMI1000. 6-day-old Col-0 seedlings were inoculated with GMI1000 or water. Infected seedlings were photographed at 9 dpi and root growth was measured at 9 dpi. Root hair formation and cell death was stained as in fig. 1 and photographed at 6 dpi. 10-14 plants were used in 3 independent experiments.