This is the accepted version of the following article: Zheng, B. et al. Straw biochar increases the abundance of inorganic phosphate solubilizing bacterial community for better rape (Brassica napus) growth and phosphate upteke in Science of the total environment (Ed. Elsevier), vol. 647 (Jan. 2019), p. 1113-1120.

Which has been published in final form at DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.454

© 2018. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

- 1 Straw biochar increases the abundance of inorganic phosphate solubilizing
- 2 bacterial community for better rape (*Brassica napus*) growth and phosphate
- 3 uptake
- 4 Bang-Xiao Zheng^{1,2,3,4}, Kai Ding^{1*}, Xiao-Ru Yang¹, Mohammed A.M. Wadaan⁵, Wael
- 5 N. Hozzein⁵, Josep Peñuelas^{3,4}, Yong-Guan Zhu^{1,6}
- 6 ¹ Key Laboratory of Urban Environment and Health, Institute of Urban Environment,
- 7 Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xiamen 361021, PR China;
- 8 ² University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, PR China;
- 9 ³ Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Global Ecology Unit,
- 10 Centre for Ecological Research and Forestry Applications (CREAF)-CSIC-
- 11 Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona (UAB), Bellaterra, 08193 Barcelona, Catalonia,
- 12 Spain;
- ⁴ CREAF, Cerdanyola del Vallès, 08193 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain;
- ⁵ Bioproducts Research Chair, Zoology Department, College of Science, King Saud
- 15 University, Riyadh 11451, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia;
- ⁶ State Key Laboratory of Urban and Regional Ecology, Research Center for Eco-
- 17 Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100085, China.
- 18 Emails:

- 19 Bang-Xiao Zheng: <u>bxzheng@iue.ac.cn</u>; <u>b.zheng@creaf.uab.cat</u>
- 20 Kai Ding: kding@iue.ac.cn
- 21 Xiao-Ru Yang: xryang@iue.ac.cn
- 22 Mohammed A.M. Wadaan: wadaan@ksu.edu.sa
- 23 Wael N. Hozzein: whozzein@ksu.edu.sa
- Josep Peñuelas: Josep.Penuelas@uab.cat
- 25 Yong-Guan Zhu: ygzhu@iue.ac.cn
- * Corresponding Author
- 28 Key Laboratory of Urban Environment and Health, Institute of Urban Environment,
- 29 Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xiamen 361021, People's Republic of China. Phone:
- 30 (+86) 592 6190560; Fax: (+86) 592 6190977.

31 Abstract

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

The direct application of inorganic-phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (iPSBs) for improving the efficiency of phosphorus (P) use leads to a low rate of bacterial survival. Biochar is a good inoculum carrier for microbial survival, and diverse feedstocks can have different effects. We generated an iPSB community using seven selected iPSB strains with various phylogenic taxonomies and P-solubilizing abilities. Biochar was then inoculated with the iPSB community and applied to soil in pots seeded with rape (Brassica napus). Growth of the rape for four weeks and the effects of biochars produced from six raw feedstocks, rice straw, rice husks, soybean straw, peanut shells, corn cobs and wood, were compared. The synthetic iPSB community had a larger capacity to solubilize inorganic P and exude organic anions than any of the individual strains. The structure of the iPSB community was analyzed by high-throughput sequencing four weeks after inoculation. All seven iPSB strains were detected, dominated by Arthrobacter defluvii 06-OD12. The abundance of the iPSB community was significantly correlated with rape biomass, P content and P uptake (P < 0.05). The biochar amendments conferred 6.86-24.24% survival of the iPSB community, with the straw biochars conferring the highest survival. The available-P content of the biochar rather than soil pH was the dominant factor for iPSB community structure, suggesting that the biochar material was critical for the survival and functioning of the iPSB community. Our study demonstrates the feasibility of biochar-assisted iPSB improvement of crop growth and P uptake.

Importance

Inorganic phosphate solubilizing bacteria (iPSBs) are commonly proved to be useful as biofertilizer for plant growth and phosphorus (P) uptake. Previous studies dedicated to screen iPSB isolates with high solubilizing capacity. However, the exact limitation would attribute to the low survival rate after direct inoculation, dramatically shortening functioning periods of iPSB strains. A synthetic iPSB community with 7 different generic strains were first-time applied with plant inoculation. By observing the survival condition, including abundance and community structure, the results proved the straw biochar, which compared with other 5 feedstock biochars, was optimal for iPSB community survival.

Keywords: straw biochar; *Brassica napus*; phosphorus; inorganic phosphate solubilizing bacteria; phosphate uptake

Introduction

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

Phosphorus (P) is an essential macronutrient for plant growth. P, however, is a non-renewable element, so the availability of rock P has been dramatically decreased to satisfy the increased demands for P fertilizers (Elser and Bennett, 2011; Elser et al., 2007; Penuelas et al., 2013). Applied P fertilizers are readily immobilized due to the strong fixation in soil solutions, which renders P unavailable for plant uptake and hinders the movement of P in terrestrial systems (Kochian, 2012). Developing new strategies to increase P-use efficiency are greatly needed. Microorganisms are active participants in numerous biogeochemical cycles (van der Heijden et al., 2008). Inorganic-phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (iPSB) are major drivers of the biomobilization of soil P, which releases many organic ions or protons to effectively liberate immobilized P and preserve P for being fixed again (Richardson et al., 2009; Richardson and Simpson, 2011). iPSB microbes are also commonly accepted as plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and are commonly used as biological inoculants to improve P assimilation and plant productivity (Collavino et al., 2010; Kumar et al., 2012). The low survival rate of the bacteria after being introduced into the soil, however, prevents the sustainability of PGPR inoculation (Bashan et al., 1995; Malusá et al., 2012). Biochar obtained by the pyrolysis of various raw feedstocks is commonly used as a stable and economic inoculum carrier (Sun et al., 2016). Generally, biochar showed beneficial effects on the abundance, diversity and functional improvement of inoculated microbial community (Lehmann et al., 2011). Biochar with internal

porosity provides a protective habitat to prolong PGPR survival, and its strong ability to absorb nutrients can sustainably support the growth of inoculants (Zimmerman et al., 2011), which has been extensively demonstrated (Ahmad et al., 2015; Akhtar et al., 2015). The biochar addition was proved to be of great benefits for regulating phosphate-solubilizing bacteria community (Wei et al., 2018; Wei et al., 2016).

Biochars produced from different materials, however, differ in their ability to maintain PGPR due to their different physicochemical properties (Cantrell et al., 2012). A previous study demonstrated the strong influence of biochars with different characteristics on PGPR survival after incorporation into soil (Khan et al., 2014). The selection of biochars is therefore of great importance for PGPR inoculations.

We selected biochars pyrolyzed from six raw feedstocks for maintaining the effect of iPSBs on P mobilization to improve plant growth: rice straw (RS), rice husks (RH), soybean straw (SS), peanut shells (PN), corn cobs (CC) and wood (WD). The growth of rape (*Brassica napus*) needs large amounts of P (Belimov et al., 2002) and was thus chosen as the test plant. Rape growth and the P status of the plants and the rhizospheric soil were determined. An iPSB community containing seven microbial strains with varying characteristics was used as a microbial inoculant, and the structures of the communities after application of the six inoculated biochars were analyzed. The relationships between rape growth, biochar addition and iPSB community structure are also discussed.

Materials and Methods

Soil sampling and characterization

Soil samples (0-15 cm layer) were collected in May 2015 after crop harvest from the Changshu AgroEcological Experimental Station in Jiangsu Province, China (31°32'N, 120°41'E). The soil contained 0.78% clay, 18.38% silt and 80.84% sand. The samples were air-dried, sieved (0.2 mm) and stored at 4 °C and -20 °C until use and analysis.

Soil pH was determined in a suspension (1:2.5 dry soil:sterilized water (w/v)) using a XL60 pH meter (Fisher Scientific, Asheville, USA). Soil moisture content (%) was calculated as the difference in weight between fresh soil and soil dried in an oven at 300 °C for 24 h. Carbon, nitrogen and sulfur contents were measured with an elemental analyzer (vario MAX CNS, Elementar, Hanau, Germany). P and available-P contents were determined after digestion by strong acid (sulfuric acid) and extraction by sodium bicarbonate using the molybdate-blue method (Murphy and Riley, 1962; Olsen et al., 1954; Parkinson and Allen, 1975), respectively. The acid-digested soils were further used to determine the concentrations of metals (potassium, calcium, sodium, magnesium, iron and aluminum) by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES, Optima 7000DV, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, USA). The physical and chemical properties of the Changshu soil are listed in Table S1.

Experimental design and analysis

The diagram of experimental design was presented in Fig.1. In brief, the soil was used for new iPSB strain isolation as well as rape growth with its sterilized version. A common iPSB community is composed of strains from genus Arthrobacter, Streptomyces, Bacillus and Beta- and Gamma-proteobacteria (Zheng et al., 2017). Based on that, we compared the isolated iPSB strains with a previous isolated iPSB database to select representative iPSB strains based on their morphology, taxonomic diversity and biochemical characterization. Then, 7 representative iPSB strains, including Arthrobacter defluvii 06-OD12 (KU647200), Burkholderia cepacia 51-Y1415 (KU647244), Bacillus megaterium CS22 (MG430229), Pseudomonas frederiksbergensis 11-D3 (KU647205), Rhodanobacter sp. 25-Y8 (KU647218), Streptomyces prasinopilosus 34-Y1 (KU647227) and Variovorax paradoxus 19-D4 (KU647212), were chosen to generate iPSB community, which was validated by biocompatibility and P solubilization capacity tests. Besides, six raw feedstocks, including RS, RH, SS, CC, PN and WD, were used to form biochars. The pH, carbon and nitrogen percentages, available P concentration, Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area and pore size of biochar were determined. To see the effect of biochar on iPSB community-assisted crop growth, six iPSB community-adhered biochars (3%) were inoculated into Changshu soil with rape (Brassica napus) growth while a soil with iPSB community inoculation but without biochar amendment was treated as control (CK). Before and after 4-week rape growth, the pH, available-P concentration and total P amount of rhizospheric soil, biomass, total P content and P uptake of plant and iPSB community survival rate were measured. The iPSB community structure

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

was also analyzed by 16S rRNA high-throughput sequencing with iPSB database alignment. Besides, to discriminately quantify the impact of biochar and iPSB community on the plant growth and its P uptake, we conducted another 21-day rape growth with four treatments: rape growth without biochar or iPSB (R-CK), only 3% RS biochar addition (R-B), with only iPSB community inoculation (R-P) and with iPSB community-adhered RS addition (R-BP). The P-related parameters were measured before and after rape growth. The detailed explication could be found in supplementary materials and methods.

Statistical analysis

One-way correlation and variance were determined using SPSS Statistics 21 (IBM, New York, USA). Heatmapping was generated by the PHEATMAP package (http://rpackages.ianhowson.com/cran/pheatmap/) based on R studio (version 3.2.3). Redundancy analysis (RDA), principle component analysis (PCA), variance partition analysis (VPA) and Monte Carlo permutation test were also performed by using R studio (version 3.2.3) with and VEGAN (Oksanen et al., 2007) packages.

Results

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

Physical and chemical properties of the biochars

All physical and chemical parameters of the six biochars were highly variable between treatments (Table S2). RS had the highest pH (10.30 ± 0.34), and RH had the lowest pH (8.92 ± 0.40) but the highest BET surface area ($43.00\pm3.47~\text{m}^2~\text{g}^{-1}$). Pore size differed significantly among the biochars (P < 0.05). C content was significantly higher for CC ($74.80\pm0.87\%$) and WD ($75.93\pm5.86\%$) than the other biochars, and N and Olsen-P contents were highest for RS ($2.22\pm0.21\%$ and $685.80\pm52.14~\text{mg}$ kg⁻¹, respectively).

Selection and characterization of the iPSB strains

We selected seven iPSB strains based mainly on their P-solubilizing ability and 175 phylogenic diversity to artificially simulate an iPSB community. We selected from 176 133 iPSB strains, 76 from a previous study and 57 from our study. B. megaterium 177 CS22 (*Firmicutes*) had the highest hydroxyapatite content (139.35 µg mL⁻¹, Table S3) 178 and ability to solubilize calcium-phosphate (Ca-P) (119.37 \pm 2.80 μ g mL⁻¹, Table S5) 179 180 and was thus selected for the community. Six other strains from different classes with good Ca-P-solubilizing ability were also selected: Rhodanobacter sp. 25-Y8 181 (Gammaproteobacteria, $97.34 \pm 2.00 \,\mu g \, mL^{-1}$), P. frederiksbergensis 11-D3 182 (Gammaproteobacteria, $51.65 \pm 16.41 \,\mu g \, mL^{-1}$), V. paradoxus 19-D4 183 (Betaproteobacteria, $26.62 \pm 4.00 \,\mu g \, mL^{-1}$), S. prasinopilosus 34-Y1 (Actinobacteria, 184

17.93 ± 8.98 μg mL⁻¹), B. cepacia 51-Y1415 (Betaproteobacteria, 15.14 ± 1.03 μg mL⁻¹) and A. defluvii 06-OD12 (Actinobacteria, 13.15 ± 0.17 μg mL⁻¹).

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

We comprehensively characterized these seven strains before generating the iPSB community. They had various cellular sizes and shapes (0.5-10 µm and spherical or rod-shaped, Fig. 2a). All these strains could survive in the LB, R2A and PVK (with NH₄⁺ or NO₃⁻ as the sole nitrogen source) media but with different enzymatic activities and abilities to hydrolyze carbohydrates and form acids (Table S4). For example, B. cepacia 51-Y1415 hydrolyzed 10 of 11 carbohydrates, and B. megaterium CS22 hydrolyzed only seven but hydrolyzed potassium gluconate, which is different from the other strains. B. megaterium CS22 could also form organic acids from 23 of 49 organic compounds, but *Rhodanobacter* sp. 25-Y8 did not form detectable amounts of any acid. The seven strains formed colonies with different morphologies in LB medium (Fig. 2b). The experiments with bromocresol-purple staining indicated that anion exudation differed substantially between strains that were cultivated in PVK-NH₄⁺ and PVK-NO₃ media (Fig. 2c, d). The pHs of the PVK media and ability to secrete organic anions after 72 h of cultivation also varied among the strains (Fig. 2e and Table S6). B. megaterium CS22 produced the lowest medium pH (4.73) without secreting lactic, acetic or malic anions but secreted the most succinic anions (196.60 \pm 9.98 ppm). A. defluvii 06-OD12 produced the highest medium pH (8.04) and secreted abundant organic anions and the most malic anions (107.33 \pm 5.71 ppm).

The biocompatibility experiment by colony steaking indicated that these seven strains survived well together (data not shown). The abilities of the community generated by mixing the seven strains at the same cell density (10^9 CFU mL⁻¹) to solubilize Ca-P ($146.77~\mu g$ mL⁻¹) and ferric-phosphate (Fe-P) ($29.16~\mu g$ mL⁻¹) were significantly higher than those of the individual strains (P < 0.05, Table S5). The features of community growth indicated an initial large release of inorganic P ($78.28~\pm~6.89~\mu g$ mL⁻¹ at 12 h) and then a continuous increase to $146.77~\pm~15.81~\mu g$ mL⁻¹ after 144 h of cultivation. All six organic anions were detected from the cultivation of the iPSB community (Table S6). Malic and lactic anions were the two most abundant organic anions ($80.48~\pm~10.28$ and $64.03~\pm~5.94~\mu g$ mL⁻¹ after 144 h, respectively), which increased with the release of inorganic P.

The bacterial, soil and plant responses after four weeks of rape growth

Parameters of the microbes, rhizospheric soil and plants associated with the four weeks of rape growth are presented in Table 1. The bacterial population was largest for RS ($2.88 \pm 0.45 \times 10^8$ CFU g⁻¹ dry soil), followed by RH and SS (1.89 ± 0.18 and $1.52 \pm 0.39 \times 10^8$ CFU g⁻¹ dry soil, respectively). iPSB survival after four weeks of rape growth was significantly higher for RH ($30.34 \pm 1.49\%$) than CK, followed by RS, SS and PN at 24.24 ± 2.15 , 19.58 ± 1.29 and $18.79 \pm 2.40\%$, respectively (P < 0.05). The pH of the rhizospheric soil was about 6.5-6.9 for all treatments. The total P content was slightly higher for RH, CC and PN than CK. The amount of Olsen P,

- 227 however, was significantly higher with biochar addition and was highest for RS
- 228 (34.93 \pm 0.72 mg kg⁻¹, P < 0.05). RS and SS had significantly higher plant biomass
- 229 $(6.10 \pm 0.57 \text{ and } 6.14 \pm 0.70 \text{ g pot}^{-1}, \text{ respectively})$, P content $(0.66 \pm 0.03 \text{ and } 0.68 \pm 0.03 \text{ and } 0.68 \pm 0.03 \text{ are possible})$
- 230 0.05 g kg⁻¹, respectively) and P uptake $(4.05 \pm 0.55 \text{ and } 4.19 \pm 0.36 \text{ mg pot}^{-1}$,
- respectively) than the other treatments (P < 0.05).
- 232 Response of the iPSB community after four weeks of rape growth
- A total of 61816 reads of the 16S rRNA gene were obtained after filtering for
- quality and removing chimeric reads. Of these, 15640 sequences with
- similarities >99% with the iPSB database were accepted as potential iPSB species.
- The percentage of iPSBs in each biochar treatment ranged from $6.44 \pm 1.55\%$ (CK) to
- 237 $62.57 \pm 17.27\%$ (RS), with an average of 33.81 ± 18.58%. The abundance of the iPSB
- community was significantly higher for RS and SS ($50.73 \pm 12.02\%$) than the other
- biochar-addition treatments (Fig. 3a, P < 0.05), and the Shannon diversity index of the
- community was significantly higher for CK (2.38 \pm 0.01) and CC (2.36 \pm 0.03) than
- 241 the other treatments (Fig. 3b, P < 0.05).
- The pattern of the structures of the iPSB communities is shown in Fig. 4a. Thirty
- species were aligned, and the seven selected iPSB strains were successfully detected
- in all treatments. A. defluvii 06-OD12 was the dominant species with percentages of
- 245 the total iPSB populations of 1.04 ± 0.30 , 4.61 ± 1.08 , 3.99 ± 0.98 , 3.13 ± 0.50 , 38.28
- \pm 11.20, 9.65 \pm 2.54 and 28.61 \pm 7.77% for CK, WD, PN, CC, RS, RH and SS,
- respectively. The average abundances of *B. megaterium* CS22, *B. cepacia* 51-Y1415,

P. frederiksbergensis 11-D3, Rhodanobacter sp. 25-Y8, S. prasinopilosus 34-Y1 and
V. paradoxus 19-D4 were 4.19 ± 2.23, 2.03 ± 1.83, 0.19 ± 0.25, 1.68 ± 0.65, 4.65 ±
3.14 and 2.09 ± 2.14%, respectively. The PCA of different iPSB strains across
different biochar treatments showed that six strains from stimulated iPSB community
were well separated from other strains (Figure S1).

The RDA indicated that the first two axes explained 90.72% of the effect of the soil and biochar characteristics on the iPSB community, with RDA1 and RDA2 explaining 85.07 and 5.65%, respectively (Fig. 4b). Biochar available P (AP) and soil AP were the most important factors affecting the iPSB communities, supported by their significant correlations (P < 0.0001) in the Monte Carlo permutation tests (Table S7). Other significantly correlated factors were pore size, biochar N content, soil total P content, biochar pH and BET surface area (P < 0.0001).

The contribution of each factor and their interactions to the structure of the iPSB communities was quantified, and the percentages of the variance due to one or two variables resolved by the VPA are listed in Table S8. Biochar and soil AP contributed the most, at 0.352 and 0.219%, respectively. The interaction between soil AP and biochar AP explained most of the variance (9.367%) for the iPSB community, followed by the interactions between pore size and pH (3.273%) and between biochar C and N content (3.045%). The analyses of correlations between iPSB community abundance with diversity and the plant parameters are presented in Fig. 5. The abundance of the iPSB community was significantly correlated negatively with its

diversity (P < 0.001) but positively with plant biomass, plant P and plant P uptake (P < 0.001).

To discriminate the impact of biochar or iPSB community for the rape growth and its P uptake, we conducted another experiment for 21 days. Results (Table S9) showed that solo biochar addition (R-B) or iPSB inoculation (R-P) significantly increased rhizosphere soil and plant P content and increased plant biomass compared with R-CK treatment, while R-P was measured with significantly higher P amounts than R-B in rhizosphere soil (P < 0.05). Combined amendment with biochar and iPSB (R-BP) performed significantly higher soil P concentration and plant parameters (P < 0.05).

Discussion

Plant biomass and the ability to take up P are thus important indices for assessing the effect of an iPSB community on plant growth. Plant biomass, P content and P uptake in our study were all significantly correlated (P < 0.001) with the abundance of the iPSB community (Fig. 5b-d), suggesting that the inoculation of the biochar with the iPSB community positively contributed to rape growth.

Inoculation of microorganism with biochar should perform with improvement effect since the physical and chemical characters of biochar will provide appropriate habitats for microbial community, including its abundance, diversity, nutrient acquirement, mobilization and cycling (Lehmann et al., 2011; Quilliam et al., 2013). All biochars tested in this study were beneficial as inoculum carriers for the survival of the iPSB community, but their efficacy varied, perhaps due to the physical and chemical properties of the individual biochars. RS was the best feedstock as an inoculum carrier; the maintenance of the bacterial population was significantly highest for RS ($2.88 \pm 0.45 \times 10^8$ CFU g⁻¹ dry soil, P < 0.05; Table 1), perhaps due to its surface area and porosity. BET surface area (35.29 ± 3.02 m² g⁻¹) and pore size (27.86 ± 1.22 µm) were significantly higher (P < 0.05) for RS than the other biochars except RH (Table S2). The larger pore size would provide a habitat niche for microbes and have positive effects on the capacity to retain nutrients by binding both cations and anions to the surface (Atkinson et al., 2010; Liang et al., 2006). A systematic

study of 32 biochars found that the survival of *Rhizobium tropici* had a quadratic dependence on biochar pore size (Vanek et al., 2016).

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

The porosity of RS also allowed the retention of significantly (P < 0.05) more N $(2.22 \pm 0.21\%)$ and AP $(685.80 \pm 52.14 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ than the other biochars, which provided a good habitat for the survival of the iPSB community. The correlation analysis (Table S7) found that the structure of the iPSB community depended mostly on biochar AP content ($R^2 = 0.881$), followed by biochar N content ($R^2 = 0.652$), pore size $(R^2 = 0.656)$ and BET surface area $(R^2 = 0.352)$, suggesting that the survival of the community may dependent on the retention of nutrients by the biochar. Biochar is a good material for the retention of key macronutrients such N and P due to its surface area and availability of anionic and cationic charges (Lehmann, 2007). The nutrient status of biochars, however, depends on the origin of the biochar feedstock and its mode of production. RS was the best feedstock in our study for nutrient storage and bacterial survival. A previous study found that RS biochar could hold more organic C and organic matter for the survival of Bradyrhizobium and sulfur-reducing bacteria (Khan et al., 2014). Another study also reported that the benefits of RS biochar to microbes contributed to the significantly higher survival than for other biochars of Bacillus mucilaginosus, which had higher carbohydrate contents after four weeks of incubation (Sun et al., 2015).

We used seven strains to generate the iPSB community. All strains were detected by high-throughput sequencing after four weeks of cultivation (Fig. 4a). *A. defluvii*

one-odd was the most abundant iPSB strain, followed by *S. prasinopilosus* 34-Y1 and *B. megaterium* CS22. This finding was consistent with previous studies reporting that *Arthrobacter*, *Streptomyces* and *Bacillus* were commonly isolated iPSB genera in rhizospheric soils that promoted plant growth (Aislabie et al., 2006; Gopalakrishnan et al., 2011; Taha et al., 1969; Xuan et al., 2011). *Pseudomonas* has been extensively used as an iPSB genus due to a specific gene for solubilizing inorganic phosphate (Kwak et al., 2015; Miller et al., 2010). *Pseudomonas* in our study, however, was less competitive, perhaps because the biochars provided alkaline environments (pH >8, Table S2), and *Pseudomonas* prefers acidic environments (Garbeva et al., 2004).

The RS and SS biochars that held abundant AP were the best for population growth of the iPSB community (Fig. 4a). The size of the community was significantly correlated with biochar properties and soil AP (P < 0.05, Fig. 4b and Table S7). The interaction between soil and biochar AP contributed the most to the structure of the community (Table S8). Soil pH has a strong impact on the abundance of bacterial communities (Shen et al., 2013), and iPSB strains are sensitive to soil pH, with abundance increasing with pH (Zheng et al., 2017). The interaction between soil pH and pore size or N content of biochar would also make greater contribution for iPSB community structuring, which may suggest that the combined effect of soil pH and biochar would provide a better environment for the survival of iPSB community, even for its better functioning. Our results, however, indicated that AP had a larger effect, suggesting that the biochar provided a protective environment for the survival and growth of the iPSB community. The combined effect of biochar and soil AP was

proved to be given the best contribution for the formation and functionality of iPSB community, suggesting that available P was the critical dependent factor for iPSB community. Exogenously amended inoculants may not survive better due to strong competition with native microbes for long periods, so the storage of abundant nutrients by biochars would be critical for increasing community size (Lehmann et al., 2011). Biochars with nearly neutral internal pHs would benefit microbial survival, suggested by the significant correlation between iPSB community abundance and biochar pH rather than soil pH (P < 0.05). The biodiversity of the iPSB community indicated by the Shannon index was significantly lower (P < 0.05) for RS and SS than the other treatments (Fig. 4b), and the biodiversity of all treatments was significantly negatively correlated (P < 0.05) with iPSB community abundance (Fig. 5a), perhaps because the strains of the inoculated iPSB community survived well and became dominant with the assistance of the RS and SS biochars.

The combined usage of RS biochar and iPSB community inoculation was most beneficial for rape growth. In terms of rhizospheric soil (Table S9), RS biochar significantly increased the P contents while the effect of iPSB community was much higher (P < 0.05), suggesting that the iPSB community inoculation had the effect of P mobilization and was better functioning on soil P releasing than biochar. As for the impact on plant growth, we could not discriminate significant differences from the effect of solo biochar or iPSB community on the promotion of plant biomass and its P content and uptake; however, the combined application of biochar and iPSB performed significantly higher promotion (P < 0.05), which suggested that the RS

biochar may provide a better habitat for P releasing by iPSB community to promote rape growth. The biochar was extensively reported with beneficial effects on soil nutrient mobilization and plant nutrient uptake (Atkinson et al., 2010), which was also found in our study (nearly 2 times higher in soil Olsen-P concentration and 2 times of plant P uptake). If we considered the enhancing effect of biochar for P releasing is permanent, the remaining increasing effect observed in R-BP treatment (almost 1.5 times higher in available P enhancement and 1.5 times of plant P uptake than R-B treatment) should be attributed to the functioning of iPSB community; however, the actual effect of solo iPSB community inoculation was far less. One reasonable explanation to this phenomenon may be that RS biochar provide a well environment for iPSB survival and strengthen its ability for P mobilization. Although we have found RS biochar was the best for the survival of iPSB community (Table 1), we could not conclude that the biochar was the only reason for iPSB survival since there is not a longer period (more than 3 month) to observe its living status. A similar study of 20 weeks was reported that the biochar addition showed significant impact on the population increase of *Bacillus mucilaginosus* within 4 weeks; however, the survival rate was gradually declined afterwards (Sun et al., 2015). Another study also reported the short-term effect of biochar amendment for the better survival of soil bacteria (Hale et al., 2013). Both of those studies indicate that the biochar was effective but may hardly give a long-term support for bacterial survival. A possibility is that there would be not enough nutrients (such as available N and P) retained in biochar after a

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

long time, and the starving bacteria may enter into a non-culturable state to keep them survive without functioning or even cell division (Sun et al., 2016).

The iPSB community benefited crop growth, and the biochar provided suitable conditions for iPSB community survival due to its strong absorption of AP. All biochar feedstocks in this study benefited the iPSB community, but the straw biochars (RS and SS) were best for increasing iPSB community abundance and its functioning in P mobilization.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge Dr. Jian-Qiang Su (Institute of Urban Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences) and Dr. Yu Yan (College of Chemical Engineering, Huaqiao University) for their helpful suggestions and assistance with figure production. This work was financially supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB15020402), the Natural Science Foundation of China (41571130063, 41430858, 41701299), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2017YFD0200201). JP acknowledged European Research Council Synergy grant ERC-SyG-2013-610028 IMBALANCE-P. MAMW and WNH are grateful to the Deanship of Scientific Research, King Saud University for funding through Vice Deanship of Scientific Research Chairs.

References

406

Ahmad MT, Asghar HN, Saleem M, Khan MY, Zahir ZA. Synergistic effect of 407 rhizobia and biochar on growth and physiology of maize. Agron J 2015; 107: 408 2327-2334. 409 Aislabie JM, Chhour K-L, Saul DJ, Miyauchi S, Ayton J, Paetzold RF, et al. 410 Dominant bacteria in soils of Marble Point and Wright Valley, Victoria Land, 411 412 Antarctica. Soil Biol Biochem 2006; 38: 3041-3056. Akhtar SS, Andersen MN, Naveed M, Zahir ZA, Liu F. Interactive effect of biochar 413 and plant growth-promoting bacterial endophytes on ameliorating salinity 414 stress in maize. Funct Plant Biol 2015; 42: 770-781. 415 Atkinson CJ, Fitzgerald JD, Hipps NA. Potential mechanisms for achieving 416 agricultural benefits from biochar application to temperate soils: a review. 417 418 Plant Soil 2010; 337: 1-18. Bashan Y, Puente ME, Rodriguez-Mendoza MN, Toledo G, Holguin G, Ferrera-419 Cerrato R, et al. Survival of Azospirillum brasilense in the Bulk Soil and 420 421 Rhizosphere of 23 Soil Types. Appl Environ Microbiol 1995; 61: 1938-1945. Belimov AA, Safronova VI, Mimura T. Response of spring rape (Brassica napus var. 422 oleifera L.) to inoculation with plant growth promoting rhizobacteria 423 containing 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase depends on 424 nutrient status of the plant. Can J Microbiol 2002; 48: 189-199. 425

126	Cantrell KB, Hunt PG, Uchimiya M, Novak JM, Ro KS. Impact of pyrolysis
127	temperature and manure source on physicochemical characteristics of biochar.
128	Bioresour Technol 2012; 107: 419-428.
129	Collavino MM, Sansberro PA, Mroginski LA, Aguilar OM. Comparison of in vitro
130	solubilization activity of diverse phosphate-solubilizing bacteria native to acid
131	soil and their ability to promote Phaseolus vulgaris growth. Biol Fert Soils
132	2010; 46: 727-738.
133	Elser J, Bennett E. Phosphorus cycle: a broken biogeochemical cycle. Nature 2011;
134	478: 29-31.
135	Elser JJ, Bracken ME, Cleland EE, Gruner DS, Harpole WS, Hillebrand H, et al.
136	Global analysis of nitrogen and phosphorus limitation of primary producers in
137	freshwater, marine and terrestrial ecosystems. Ecol Lett 2007; 10: 1135-1142.
138	Garbeva P, van Veen JA, van Elsas JD. Assessment of the diversity, and antagonism
139	towards Rhizoctonia solani AG3, of Pseudomonas species in soil from
140	different agricultural regimes. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2004; 47: 51-64.
141	Gopalakrishnan S, Humayun P, Kiran BK, Kannan IGK, Vidya MS, Deepthi K, et al.
142	Evaluation of bacteria isolated from rice rhizosphere for biological control of
143	charcoal rot of sorghum caused by Macrophomina phaseolina (Tassi) Goid.
144	World J Microbiol Biotechnol 2011; 27: 1313-1321.
145	Hale SE, Jensen J, Jakob L, Oleszczuk P, Hartnik T, Henriksen T, et al. Short-term
146	effect of the soil amendments activated carbon, biochar, and ferric

447	oxyhydroxide on bacteria and invertebrates. Environ Science Technol 2013;
448	47: 8674-8683.
449	Khan TF, Ahmed MM, Huq SMI. Effects of biochar on the abundance of three
450	agriculturally important soil bacteria. J Agr Chem Environ 2014; 3: 31.
451	Kochian LV. Rooting for more phosphorus. Nature 2012; 488: 466-467.
452	Kumar P, Dubey R, Maheshwari D. Bacillus strains isolated from rhizosphere showed
453	plant growth promoting and antagonistic activity against phytopathogens.
454	Microbiol Res 2012; 167: 493-499.
455	Kwak Y, Jung BK, Shin JH. Complete genome sequence of Pseudomonas
456	rhizosphaerae IH5T (=DSM 16299T), a phosphate-solubilizing
457	rhizobacterium for bacterial biofertilizer. J Biotechnol 2015; 193: 137-8.
458	Lehmann J. Bio-energy in the black. Frontiers Ecol Environ 2007; 5: 381-387.
459	Lehmann J, Rillig MC, Thies J, Masiello CA, Hockaday WC, Crowley D. Biochar
460	effects on soil biota-a review. Soil Biol Biochem 2011; 43: 1812-1836.
461	Liang B, Lehmann J, Solomon D, Kinyangi J, Grossman J, O'neill B, et al. Black
462	carbon increases cation exchange capacity in soils. Soil Sci Soc Am J 2006;
463	70: 1719-1730.
464	Malusá E, Sas-Paszt L, Ciesielska J. Technologies for beneficial microorganisms
465	inocula used as biofertilizers. Sci World J 2012; 2012.
466	Miller SH, Browne P, Prigent-Combaret C, Combes-Meynet E, Morrissey JP, O'Gara
467	F. Biochemical and genomic comparison of inorganic phosphate solubilization
168	in Pseudomonas species. Environ Microbiol Rep 2010: 2: 403-411

169	Murphy J, Riley JP. A modified single solution method for the determination of
170	phosphate in natural waters. Anal Chim Acta 1962; 27: 31-36.
171	Oksanen J, Kindt R, Legendre P, O'Hara B, Stevens MHH, Oksanen MJ, et al. The
172	vegan package. Community Ecology Package 2007; 10.
173	Olsen SR, Cole CV, Wantanabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in
174	soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate: Washington, USDA, 1954.
175	Parkinson JA, Allen SE. A wet oxidation procedure suitable for the determination of
176	nitrogen and mineral nutrients in biological material. Commun Soil Sci Plan
177	1975; 6: 1-11.
178	Penuelas J, Poulter B, Sardans J, Ciais P, van der Velde M, Bopp L, et al. Human-
179	induced nitrogen-phosphorus imbalances alter natural and managed
180	ecosystems across the globe. Nat Commun 2013; 4.
181	Quilliam RS, Glanville HC, Wade SC, Jones DL. Life in the 'charosphere'-Does
182	biochar in agricultural soil provide a significant habitat for microorganisms?
183	Soil Biol Biochem 2013; 65: 287-293.
184	Richardson AE, Barea J-M, McNeill AM, Prigent-Combaret C. Acquisition of
185	phosphorus and nitrogen in the rhizosphere and plant growth promotion by
186	microorganisms. Plant Soil 2009; 321: 305-339.
187	Richardson AE, Simpson RJ. Soil microorganisms mediating phosphorus availability
188	update on microbial phosphorus. Plant Physiol 2011; 156: 989-996.

489	Shen C, Xiong J, Zhang H, Feng Y, Lin X, Li X, et al. Soil pH drives the spatial
490	distribution of bacterial communities along elevation on Changbai Mountain.
491	Soil Biol Biochem 57: 204-211. Soil Biol Biochem 2013; 57: 204-211.
492	Sun D, Hale L, Crowley D. Nutrient supplementation of pinewood biochar for use as
493	a bacterial inoculum carrier. Biol Fert Soils 2016; 52: 515-522.
494	Sun D, Meng J, Liang H, Yang E, Huang Y, Chen W, et al. Effect of volatile organic
495	compounds absorbed to fresh biochar on survival of Bacillus mucilaginosus
496	and structure of soil microbial communities. J Soils Sediments 2015; 15: 271-
497	281.
498	Taha SM, Mahmoud SAZ, El-Damaty AH, El-Hafez AMA. Activity of phosphate-
499	dissolving bacteria in Egyptian soils. Plant Soil 1969; 31: 149-160.
500	van der Heijden MG, Bardgett RD, van Straalen NM. The unseen majority: soil
501	microbes as drivers of plant diversity and productivity in terrestrial
502	ecosystems. Ecol Lett 2008; 11: 296-310.
503	Vanek S, Thies J, Wang B, Hanley K, Lehmann J. Pore-Size and Water Activity
504	Effects on Survival of Rhizobium tropici in Biochar Inoculant Carriers. J
505	Microb Biochem Technol 2016; 8: 296-306.
506	Wei Y, Zhao Y, Shi M, Cao Z, Lu Q, Yang T, et al. Effect of organic acids production
507	and bacterial community on the possible mechanism of phosphorus
508	solubilization during composting with enriched phosphate-solubilizing
509	bacteria inoculation. Bioresour Technol 2018; 247: 190-199.

510	Wei Y, Zhao Y, Wang H, Lu Q, Cao Z, Cui H, et al. An optimized regulating method
511	for composting phosphorus fractions transformation based on biochar addition
512	and phosphate-solubilizing bacteria inoculation. Bioresour Technol 2016; 221:
513	139-146.
514	Xuan Y, Xu L, Tian HZ, Liu GH, Cui M. Isolation and characterization of phosphate-
515	solubilizing bacteria from walnut and their effect on growth and phosphorus
516	mobilization. Biol Fertil Soils. Biol Fert Soils 2011; 47: 437-446.
517	Zheng BX, Hao XL, Ding K, Zhou GW, Chen QL, Zhang JB, et al. Long-term
518	nitrogen fertilization decreased the abundance of inorganic phosphate
519	solubilizing bacteria in an alkaline soil. Sci Rep-UK 2017; 7: 42284.
520	Zimmerman AR, Gao B, Ahn MY. Positive and negative carbon mineralization
521	priming effects among a variety of biochar-amended soils. Soil Biol Biochem
522	2011; 43: 1169-1179.

Figure legends

524

543

Figure 1. The diagram of experimental design. The soils sampled from Changshu was 525 used in new iPSB strain isolation and its sterilized one were used for rape growth with 526 different treatments. The asterisk (*) indicates that those samples were physically or 527 chemically analyzed. The pound sign (#) suggests that those strains were being 528 biochemically determined. The detail method was explicated in Supplementary 529 Information. 530 Figure 2. (a) Morphology of the iPSBs by scanning electron microscopy and colonies 531 after 72 h of cultivation at 30 °C on (b) LB medium, (c) PKV medium with NH₄⁺ as the 532 N source and (d) PKV medium with NO₃ as the N source. E. coli without P-solubilizing 533 ability was used as a negative control. (e) Heatmap of the profiles of medium pH and 534 organic-anion exudation by the iPSBs after 144 h of cultivation based on the average 535 of three replicate experiments. 536 Figure 3. Abundance (a) and Shannon diversity (b) of the biochars inoculated with the 537 538 iPSB community. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the replicates (n=4). Different letters indicate significant differences at P < 0.05. 539 Figure 4. Heatmap and redundancy analysis (RDA) of the iPSB communities with the 540 biochars after four weeks of rape growth based on Bray-Curtis distances. (a) Profile of 541 iPSB community composition and (b) the effect of the physical and chemical properties 542

of the soil and biochar on the iPSB communities. The plotted values are natural-

logarithm transformations of relative iPSB community abundance. The columns in (a)
are labeled with biochar names and replicate numbers. The seven strains used to
generate the iPSB community are identified in red, and the other strains are identified
as species or genera.

Figure 5. Relationships between iPSB community abundance and the Shannon diversity index (a), plant biomass (b), plant P (c) and plant P uptake (d).