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Abstract

Herein, the electrochemical and photodegradation properties of magnesium ferrite and graphene-nanoplatelets nanocomposites, (MFO)$_{1-x}$(GNPs)$_x$, (x = 0.25, 0.50, 0.75) are reported. Benefitting from the effective interfacial interaction of the bi-phase nanocomposite and superior electrical conduction of GNPs, a significant enhancement in supercapacitive performance has been demonstrated. Interestingly, the electrochemical properties of nanocomposite electrode were found to depend on the loading ratio of GNPs. Notably the (MFO)$_{0.50}$(GNPs)$_{0.50}$ (50 wt. % GNPs) showed an outstanding energy storage capacity of 612 F g$^{-1}$ at 0.5 Ag$^{-1}$ with 21.25 Wh kg$^{-1}$ energy density at power density of 125 W kg$^{-1}$ and retains $\sim$76.8% of the first cycle capacitance after continuous 1500 charge/discharge cycles. Furthermore, the (MFO)$_{0.75}$(GNPs)$_{0.25}$ (25 wt. % GNPs) composite demonstrates admirable photodegradation efficiency (99.3% in 60 min of visible light illumination) which is 3.2 times than that of neat MFO nanoparticles. The superior electrochemical and photodegradation performance suggest that the prepared nanocomposites can be effectively utilized in high-performance energy storage devices and low cost, eco-friendly water purification systems.
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1. Introduction

Ecological contamination and energy crisis are currently major global concerns, because of their adverse effects [1-6]. Such issues can be resolved by developing sustainable methods for the storage of energy and wastewater treatment [7-9]. Among various energy storage technologies, supercapacitors and batteries show great potential [10, 11]. Especially supercapacitors are among the optimal choices in available systems that are used for storage of energy, because of their fast charging/discharging, ultrahigh power density and tremendous cycling stability as compared to batteries and dielectric capacitors [12-15]. However, the main problem that hinders their commercialization is their low energy density and high production cost [9, 16, 17]. Recently, a range of transition metal oxides such as NiFe$_2$O$_4$, ZnCo$_2$O$_4$, CoFe$_2$O$_4$ etc. have been considered as efficient materials for supercapacitor electrodes, because of their rich redox reactions and relatively low electron transfer activation energy [18-20]. Among metal oxides, ferrites consist of highly electrochemically active Fe$_2$O$_3$ component, which enables them to offer large energy storage capacity and high energy density [21-25]. However redox reactions are relatively slow in electrodes made up of metal ferrites, due to their poor conductivity. It negatively affects their supercapacitance and rate capability [25]. Furthermore ferrite nanostructures tend to aggregate during charge/discharge cycles, which bring volume changes leading to poor cycling stability [26]. These issues can be astounded by combining ferrites with highly conductive carbon derived materials e.g., carbon nano-fibers [27], carbon nanotubes [28], and graphene [29] etc.

Water contamination is another major environmental issue due to release of industrial wastewater streams containing toxic pollutants e.g. organic dyes and heavy metal ions etc. into main water bodies [30]. Elimination of these contaminants from wastewater by using suitable purification methods is imperative to wellbeing of human and aquatic life, by ensuring sustainable provision of clean drinking water. In recent years, besides the traditional water purification processes, novel techniques such as electrochemical and advance oxidation processes have been developed and utilized successfully [31]. Additionally combination of traditional water purification methods with advance oxidation processes have also been adapted effectively e.g., membrane filtration technology integrated with photocatalysis etc. [32]. Among these methods, utilization of heterogeneous photocatalysis has great benefits, including eco-friendliness, suitable operating temperatures and capability of degrading pollutants completely
without generating harmful by-products [33]. In heterogeneous photocatalysis, the light absorbed by the semiconductor excites electrons from the valence band to the conduction band resulting in generation of electrons/holes pairs. These excited electrons reduces oxygen molecules and produce super-oxide anion radicals. While the holes can also form HO·, hydroxyl radicals. These radicals called reactive oxygen species attack on the molecules of organic pollutant and decomposes them to CO₂ and H₂O as final products [34].

Transition metal ferrite have been extensively researched as photocatalysts, because of their easy magnetic separation, biocompatibility and cost-effectiveness [35]. Among ferrites, nano-phase MgFe₂O₄ has been recognized as efficient photo-degrading material to photodegrade organic contaminants due to its fascinating physico-chemical characteristics such as resistance to photo-corrosion and suitable band gap [36-40]. However, nano-phase MgFe₂O₄ is mostly semiconducting to insulating and has magnetic agglomeration, which prevents its practical application as a photocatalyst [41]. To overcome this issue, integration of MgFe₂O₄ nanoparticles with highly conducting graphene would be a viable option. Graphene is known to have good conducting properties and when integrated with MgFe₂O₄ nanoparticles, works as an electron acceptor, which can possibly lead to enhanced photocatalytic activity [42]. Furthermore, graphene sheets improve the dispersion of MgFe₂O₄ nanoparticles [43], which is also beneficial for the improvement of photodegradation performance of the photocatalyst.

In the present study, we investigate the electrochemical and photodegradation efficiency of (MFO)₁₋ₓ(GNPs)ₓ nanocomposites fabricated by CTAB assisted, in-situ co-precipitation method. The unique structure resulting from the combination of MFO nanoparticles and GPNs in the (MFO)₁₋ₓ(GNPs)ₓ nanocomposite plays effective role in augmenting their supercapacitive energy storage, and significantly enhances the dye removal efficiency. The details are given in the following sections.

2. Experimental Techniques

2.1. Preparation of (MFO)₁₋ₓ(GNPs)ₓ Nanocomposites

Synthesis of (MFO)₁₋ₓ(GNPs)ₓ nanocomposites have been carried out by in-situ co-precipitation method assisted by cetyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) as given in Fig. 1. Following procedure was adapted to prepare nanocomposite with 25% GNPs.
300 mg graphene was dispersed in an aqueous solution consisting of 0.1 g CTAB and 6M NaOH using ultrasonic bath. Afterwards, the solution was heated to 80°C and mixed aqueous solution of Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O (80mM) and Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (40mM) was added drop wise to it, under continuous stirring. After the reaction, (2 hours), the solid product was obtained, that was further collected and washed, using de-ionized water and ethanol respectively. The drying of the sample was performed at 60°C overnight. The obtained nanocomposite was grinded and annealed at 350°C in N$_2$ atmosphere for 5 h [44].

![Diagram of synthesis process]

**Fig. 1:** Synthesis of (MFO)$_{1-x}$(GNPs)$_x$ nanocomposites

### 2.2. General Characterizations

X-ray diffractometry of the prepared samples was acquired using Malvern PANalytical system using Cu K$_\alpha$ ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å) radiation source. Morphology of samples was characterized by TEM (Thermo Fisher). Room temperature XPS results were collected with XPS spectrometer (SPECS GmbH, Germany). Vibrational studies were performed using FTIR instrument (Bruker). UV–visible spectrometer (Shimadzu Co., Japan) was used to investigate the optical properties.
2.3. **Supercapacitance measurements**

The working electrodes were fabricated by forming mixture of the active material (80 wt. %), polyvinylidene fluoride (10 wt. %) and carbon Super P (10 wt. %) in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP) to form a homogeneous slurry. The obtained slurry was then deposited on Al sheet current collector through doctor blade technique followed by vacuum drying at 80°C, overnight. Two electrode symmetric Swagelok cells were assembled using glass microfiber as separator and 0.5 M K$_2$SO$_4$ as electrolyte. Biologic VMP3 potentiostat was used to measure electrochemical parameters.

2.4 **Photocatalytic measurements**

The photodegradation efficiency of (MFO)$_{1-x}$(GNPs)$_x$ nanocomposite samples have been assessed for organic dye, methylene blue (MB) using visible light source, xenon lamp (500 W). Typically, a 25 mg of the sample was dispersed in 10 mg/L MB aqueous solution. After establishing adsorption/desorption equilibrium for 30 minutes, 1 mL H$_2$O$_2$ was added to the solution. The mixture was then irradiated with visible light. Finally, about 4 mL aliquots from suspension were collected, at regular time intervals, centrifuged and were analyzed using a UV-vis spectrophotometer.

3. **Results and discussions**

3.1. **Crystallography**

The crystallinity and phase of MFO and (MFO)$_{1-x}$(GNPs)$_x$ samples have been studied using XRD and the results so obtained are presented in Fig. 2. The indexed peaks (220), (311), (222), (400), (331), (422), (511), and (440) planes in XRD patterns are well matched with the cubic spinel phase of MFO nanoparticles (JCPDS card No. 36-0398) indicating the phase purity of MFO sample [45]. It is clear from Fig. 2 that the peak corresponding GNPs’ (002) plane lies at 2θ = 26.5° in the composite samples [46].
3.2. TEM Analysis

Morphology of the neat MFO and the composite materials is given in Fig. 3. The TEM image of neat MFO shown in Fig. 3(a), which depicts that MFO has grown in the form of nanoparticles with sizes that range from 6 to 30 nm. The TEM images of (MFO)$_{1-x}$(GNPs)$_x$ in Fig. 3(b)-(d) depict that MFO nanoparticles are uniformly anchored on GNPs sheets. The results describe the successful formation of nanocomposites.
Fig. 3: TEM image of neat MFO (a), and (MFO)\textsubscript{1-x}(GNPs)\textsubscript{x} nanocomposites (b)-(d).

It is notable that the density of nanoparticles on the surface of GNPs decreases with the increasing content of GNPs. The sheet-like structure of GNPs favors the prevention of the MFO nanoparticles to form aggregates and enable their fine dispersion over the surface of GNPs. Whereas, MFO nanoparticles work as intercalates for GNPs and hinder their restacking. This interfacial contact helps in enhancing the contact of electrolyte ions with the active sites of the composite electrode leading to accelerated redox processes [47].

3.3. XPS Analysis

XPS investigation was carried out to inspect the detailed electronic structure and valence states of the prepared samples and the results are presented in Fig. 4.

Fig. 4: High resolution XPS spectra of (MFO)\textsubscript{1-x}(GNPs)\textsubscript{x} samples.

The peak at 50.18 eV (Fig. 4 (a)) is attributed to Mg$^{2+}$ state in MFO nanoparticles [48]. The Fe 2p binding energy peak consists of two components Fe 2p\textsubscript{3/2} and Fe 2p\textsubscript{1/2} with maxima at 725.3 eV and 711.4 eV respectively as given in Fig. 4(b). The two satellite peaks at 719.7 eV and 734 eV confirm the Fe$^{3+}$ state [49]. The observed Fe 2p and Mg 2p photoelectron peak in
(MFO)\textsubscript{50}(GNPs)\textsubscript{50} sample is slightly shifted towards the lower energy. This red shift in Mg 2p and Fe 2p peaks may be due to the intimate interface bondage between MFO and GNPs due to π-π interaction [50]. The wide-angle O 1s XPS photoelectron spectra of (MFO)\textsubscript{50}(GNPs)\textsubscript{50} sample, presented in Fig. 4(c), can be resolved into three components with maxima at 530.1 eV, 531.8 eV and 533 eV. These peaks arise due to Mg-O-Mg bond, Mg–O–H and oxygen, respectively [50, 51]. The C1s spectrum exhibit two resolved peaks positioned at 284.35 eV, 285 eV shown in Fig. 4 (d), which can be ascribed to C=C  and C–C covalent bonds respectively [52].

3.4. Optical properties

UV-vis spectroscopy gives useful information about optical properties of photocatalysts. The UV-vis spectra of neat MFO and (MFO)\textsubscript{1-x}(GNPs)\textsubscript{x} nanocomposites have been shown in Fig. 5.

![Fig. 5: (a) UV-vis spectra, (b) Tauc’s plots of (MFO)\textsubscript{1-x}(GNPs)\textsubscript{x} samples](image)

Clearly absorption of (MFO)\textsubscript{1-x}(GNPs)\textsubscript{x} samples shift towards more visible region with the increasing loading ratio of GNPs, which suggests that GNPs enhances the visible light absorption capability of
MFO nanoparticles. Hence, a visible light initiated photocatalytic activity of the prepared nanocomposite photocatalysts is anticipated. The bandgaps of the synthesized samples have been evaluated with the help of Tauc’s equation [53], which come out to be 2.08, 1.96, 1.89 and 1.82 eV for MFO and (MFO)$_{1-x}$(GNPs)$_x$ nanocomposites with (x = 0, 0.25, 0.50, and 0.75) respectively. The GNPs loading ratio dependent red shift in band gap has been observed, which could possibly be due to creation of Fe–O–C bonds and formation of mid-gap energy states in MFO nanoparticles [54].

3.5. FTIR analysis

Fig. 6 depicts the results FTIR spectroscopy of MFO and (MFO)$_{1-x}$(GNPs)$_x$ composite samples.

![FTIR spectra](image)

Fig. 6: FTIR spectra of neat MFO and (MFO)$_{1-x}$(GNPs)$_x$ nanocomposites

The bands observed at 435 cm$^{-1}$ and 548 cm$^{-1}$ are attributed to the Mg–O and Fe–O bonds stretching vibrations in MFO, confirming the successful synthesis of MFO nanoparticles [55]. Furthermore, the absorption bands at ~1214 cm$^{-1}$ and ~1742 cm$^{-1}$ can be ascribed to observed O–H bending vibration and the bands at ~3384 cm$^{-1}$ originates from the hydroxyl (-OH) functional group [56, 57]. The bands in the FTIR spectrum of (MFO)$_{1-x}$(GNPs)$_x$ sample are slightly shifted to the lower wavenumbers suggesting strong interaction between MFO and GNPs [58].
4. Electrochemical performance

4.1. CV and GCD measurements

A comparative analysis of CV profiles obtained at 5 mVs\(^{-1}\) for MFO and \((\text{MFO})_{1-x}(\text{GNPs})_x\) electrodes are shown in Fig. 7(a). Clearly, the CV curve of pristine MFO and \((\text{MFO})_{1-x}(\text{GNPs})_x\) electrodes have quasi-rectangular shape, suggesting their good capacitive performance. Obviously, the area under the CV curve is maximum for \((\text{MFO})_{50}(\text{GNPs})_{50}\) is maximum as compared to the other samples, showing that the energy storage capacity of \((\text{MFO})_{50}(\text{GNPs})_{50}\) electrode is highest among all the prepared electrodes. This might be due to the synergistic effects arising in nanocomposites due to MFO and GNPs, both, at this optimum GNPs loading [59].

![Image](image1.png)

**Fig. 7**: (a) CV profiles at 5 mVs\(^{-1}\), (b) GCD profiles at 0.5 Ag\(^{-1}\), (c) specific capacitance at different current densities for \((\text{MFO})_{1-x}(\text{GNPs})_x\) samples

Fig. 7 (b) shows the GCD profiles corresponding to MFO and \((\text{MFO})_{1-x}(\text{GNPs})_x\) electrodes. The slightly curved shape in the discharge profiles of all the samples, indicates the contribution of pseudocapacitance originated from redox reaction at the surface [60]. As clear from the Fig.7 (b), the Ohmic drop (IR-drop) of \((\text{MFO})_{50}(\text{GNPs})_{50}\) electrode is minimum among all the prepared electrodes, which suggests the fast electrochemical response, improved reversibility as well as the excellent conducting nature of the electrode [61]. The specific capacitance of a single electrode \(C_s, \text{F g}^{-1}\) can be calculated using the equation [62]

\[
C_s = \frac{4t \Delta t}{m \Delta V} = 4C_T
\]  

(2)
Here, \( m \) is the combined mass of the nanocomposite (g), \( \Delta t \) is the time of discharge (s), \( I \) is the discharging current (A), \( \Delta V \) symbolizes the potential window (V) and \( C_T \) represents specific capacity of the device (F g\(^{-1}\)). The specific capacitance for MFO and \((\text{MFO})_{1-x} (\text{GNPs})_x\) nanocomposites are evaluated to be 298, 411, 612 and 180 F g\(^{-1}\) respectively at 0.5 A g\(^{-1}\). The admirable supercapacitance of \((\text{MFO})_{1-x} (\text{GNPs})_x\) electrodes might be because of the synergistic effects arising from the GNPs (double layer capacitance) and MFO (pseudocapacitance) as well as the improved distribution of MFO nanoparticles on the GNPs surface. Notably, the supercapacitance decreases drastically by increasing GNPs content to 75 wt. %. This drop in supercapacitance can be ascribed to the excessive graphene present in the sample [63, 64]. Fig. 7(c) shows the dependence of specific capacitance on current density. The \((\text{MFO})_{50} (\text{GNPs})_{50}\) electrode is able to provide comparatively greater specific capacitance at large current densities, suggesting its superior rate performance and fast I-V response [65].

4.2 Energy-Power density, EIS spectroscopy and cyclic stability

The energy density, \( E \), and the power density, \( P \), of MFO and \((\text{MFO})_{1-x} (\text{GNPs})_x\) electrodes are calculated using the following equations [66],

\[
\text{Energy density} = E = \frac{0.5C_T(\Delta V)^2}{3.6} \tag{3}
\]

\[
\text{Power density} = P = \frac{E}{\Delta t} \tag{4}
\]

Here, \( \Delta V \) and \( \Delta t \) are potential window (V) and the discharge time (h), while \( C_T \) is obtained from Equation (2). Fig. 8 (a) depicts Ragone plots for MFO and \((\text{MFO})_{1-x} (\text{GNPs})_x\) electrodes, at power density of 125 W kg\(^{-1}\).
Fig. 8: (a) Ragone plots, (b) EIS spectra, (c) performance for (MFO)$_{1-x}$(GNPs)$_x$ electrodes

The energy density of the prepared electrodes has been calculated to be 10.3 Wh Kg$^{-1}$, 14.2 Wh Kg$^{-1}$, 21.2 Wh Kg$^{-1}$ and 6.2 Wh Kg$^{-1}$ respectively. The excellent energy density of (MFO)$_{50}$(GNPs)$_{50}$ electrode at this optimal graphene ratio might be due to the increased Faradic contribution to the specific capacitance and highly porous structure of the electrode material resulting from better dispersion of MFO nanoparticles at GNPs [67].

Nyquist curves of MFO and (MFO)$_{1-x}$(GNPs)$_x$ electrodes presented in Fig. 8(b), exhibit a semicircular and a linear portion. The radius of the semicircular part is attributed to the interfacial resistance between electrode and electrolyte. As clear from the Fig. 8(b) that the radius of semicircular part, in case of (MFO)$_{50}$(GNPs)$_{50}$ electrode, is significantly smaller than that of other prepared electrodes, indicating its minimum resistivity at the interface of electrode and electrolyte. Which may be attributed to the enhancement in the conductivity of MFO nanoparticles induced by graphene, in the nanocomposite. Furthermore, the straight-line portion of the Nyquist plot is more vertical as compared to other electrodes showing reduced diffusive resistivity of electrolyte ions in the (MFO)$_{50}$(GNPs)$_{50}$ electrode. The lower diffusive resistance can be ascribed to the improved porosity of the composite material [68].

The cycle stability of the (MFO)$_{50}$(GNPs)$_{50}$ symmetric supercapacitor has been tested for 1500 cycles of charging and discharging at 5 Ag$^{-1}$. Fig. 8 (c) depicts that the symmetric device retains 76.7% specific capacity relative to that of the first cycle, which indicates the brilliant cyclic permanence of the (MFO)$_{50}$(GNPs)$_{50}$ electrode. The high cycling stability of (MFO)$_{50}$(GNPs)$_{50}$ electrode is due to the synergistic improvements made by MFO nanoparticles and GNPs. This excellent cycling stability shows that the MFO nanoparticles anchored to GNPs do not experience significant volume change or decomposition during charge discharge/discharge cycles [69]. The presence of GNPs in the nanocomposite buffers the volume changes in the process.

The outstanding electrochemical efficiency of the (MFO)$_{1-x}$(GNPs)$_x$ electrodes is assigned to combined effects resulting from the effective attachment of component materials (i.e., MFO nanoparticles and GNPs) within the nanocomposite system. Firstly, the small-size distribution of the MFO nanoparticles grafted to the surface of GNPs provide large number of electroactive sites
for electrochemical reactions, which in turn contribute to enhancement of the total charge storage. Secondly, the inter-connected GNPs sheets in the nanocomposite system provide conducting pathways for the fast electron transfer, during the electrochemical reaction. Consequently, these factors greatly enhance the energy storage capacity and rate capability of the composite electrodes [70]. Moreover, the robust interfacial interaction and better dispersion of MFO nanoparticles over the surface of GNPs provide the composite electrodes an exceptional structural stability [71], which leads to improved cyclic performance.

5. Photocatalysis

The photocatalytic performance of MFO nanoparticles and the composite samples has been explored for the visible light mediated photodegradation of MB dye. Fig. 9 depicts the photocatalytic degradation of MB caused by MFO and \((\text{MFO})_{1-x}(\text{GNPs})_x\) nanocomposites. It has been found that within 60 min of irradiation, approximately 16% and 31% of the MB gets degraded by neat GNPs and MFO nanoparticles respectively. Higher photodegradation efficiencies i.e., 99.3%, 90.1% and 67.7% have been observed for \((\text{MFO})_{1-x}(\text{GNPs})_x\) (x = 0.25, 0.50, 0.75) nanocomposite photocatalysts, respectively, within the same interval of time.

![Photocatalysis Graph](image_url)

**Fig. 9:** (a) Photodegradation of MB by MFO, GNPs and \((\text{MFO})_{1-x}(\text{GNPs})_x\) nanocomposites.

The results demonstrate that incorporating GNPs with MFO nanoparticles could considerably improve the photocatalytic efficiency up to a certain optimum GNPs loading ratio and then decreases beyond that ratio. The decrease in photocatalytic activity has been observed previously
and might be because of the visible shielding produced by GNPs [72]. From the experimental results, it can be inferred that the degradation of MB dye is governed by the synergistic contribution of two possible mechanisms i.e., photocatalytic and photo-Fenton reaction as presented in Fig. 10. The photo-generated electrons and holes produce reactive oxygen species (e.g. •O₂⁻ and •OH radicals) during the photocatalytic reaction [73-75]. While in photo-Fenton reaction the mutual conversion of Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ ion pairs causes H₂O₂ in the test solution to form •OH radicals [76]. These radicals attack MB dye molecules that are adsorbed on the catalyst surface and degrade the dye to H₂O and CO₂ as final products.

Fig. 10: Photocatalytic reaction mechanism of (MFO)₁₋ₓ(GNPs)ₓ composite materials

The enhancement of photo-degradation of MB by the composite sample can be attributed to various factors such as improved charge separation efficiency, accelerated photo-Fenton reaction, and reduced agglomeration of the ferrite nanoparticles. By incorporation of GNPs in the composite system, photo-generated electrons in ferrites can be readily accepted by GNPs to reduce the charge carrier recombination. Which in turn enhances the photocatalytic activity [77]. Here, GNPs play two key roles for the enhancement of the photo-catalytic activity of the (MFO)₁₋ₓ(GNPs)ₓ nanocomposites. First, as reported previously, the planar π-conjugated
structure of GNPs makes it an excellent electron accepting material [78, 79]. Therefore, electrons that are excited to the conduction band of MFO can effectively transfer to GNPs through mechanism of percolation [80]. This helps in reducing recombination of charge carriers and thus more electrons are available for the formation of reactive species as shown in Fig. 10. Consequently, enhanced photo-degradation of MB dye is favored. Second, the highly conducting nature of GNPs enables fast charge carrier transport, which helps in their effective carriers separation during photocatalytic reaction. Furthermore, MFO, present in the nanocomposite helps to increase the rate of photo-Fenton reaction, consequently, the ability of the nanocomposite to degrade MB dye is improved [81]. Lastly, the reduced agglomeration makes surface area higher to allow better adsorption of photocatalyst, which is crucial for the enhanced photo-degradation of MB.

The cyclic stability of the photocatalyst is crucial factor to ensure its cost effectiveness and its suitability for commercialization. The re-usability of \((\text{MFO})_{0.75}(\text{GNPs})_{0.25}\) nanocomposite sample has been tested for three consecutive cycles and the results are shown in Fig. 11. It is obvious from the results that there is negligible change in the photocatalytic activity after repeated use, which indicates that the photocatalyst has outstanding cycling stability. This study
highlights the possible application of MgFe$_2$O$_4$/GNPs nanocomposites in effective low-cost energy storage and water purification systems, on practical grounds.

Table. 1: A comparison of the similar work done before

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photocatalysis</th>
<th>MB concentration /volume</th>
<th>Amount of photocatalyst</th>
<th>degradation time/efficiency</th>
<th>Light Source</th>
<th>Synthesis Method</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MgFe$_2$O$_4$/rGO</td>
<td>250 mL of 40 ppm MG</td>
<td>40 mg</td>
<td>120 min/98%</td>
<td>Sunlight</td>
<td>sol-gel</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgFe$_2$O$_4$/ rGO</td>
<td>20 mg L$^{-1}$/100 mL MO</td>
<td>50 mg</td>
<td>60 min/99%</td>
<td>300 W</td>
<td>solvothermal</td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dispersion and attachment to graphene not good/ No graphene ratio dependent study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supercapacitors</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Specific capacitance (Fg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Synthesis Method</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zn doped MgFe$_2$O$_4$</td>
<td>1 M Na$_2$SO$_4$</td>
<td>484.6 at 1 mAcm$^{-2}$</td>
<td>sol–gel citrate method</td>
<td>[83]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li storage performance of MgFe$_2$O$_4$/Graphene has been studied but supercapacitor performance has not been reported [84, 85]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Combined study of MgFe$_2$O$_4$/Graphene for photocatalysis and supercapacitors has not been reported

Table. 1 depicts a comparison of the same nanocomposites with the work presented here, to address the novelty. The novelty of the work is discussed and presented above.

6. Conclusion

In this work, (MFO)$_{1-x}$(GNPs)$_x$ nanocomposite with different GNPs loading ratio (25, 50 and 75%) are fabricated and are tested as electrode materials for symmetric supercapacitors. It is notable that (MFO)$_{0.50}$(GNPs)$_{0.50}$ nanocomposite has maximum specific energy storage capacity of 612 F g$^{-1}$ and a highest energy density, 21.25 Wh Kg$^{-1}$. This nanocomposite electrode shows 76.7% retention for 1500 cycles of charge/discharge. Substantial enhancement in the supercapacitive performance of the composite sample mainly results due to better conductivity, improved interfacial charge transfer and structural stability. The photocatalytic activity has been found to be highest for the composite with 25% GNPs content, which can be attributed to the reduced agglomeration of MFO nanoparticles, decreased recombination of charge carriers and conducting nature of GNPs. Hence, the supercapacitor electrodes and photocatalysts based on (MFO)$_{1-x}$(GNPs)$_x$ nanocomposites could be potentially used in energy storage devices and water purification systems respectively.
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