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#### Abstract

We characterize the meromorphic Liouville integrability of the Hamiltonian systems


 with Hamiltonian $H=\left(p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}\right) / 2+V\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$, being $V\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ a homogeneous potential of degree -4 , with the exception of the potential $V_{8}=1 /\left(q_{1}^{4}+6 \mu q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}+q_{2}^{4}\right)$ when $\mu \in\{-5 / 3,-2 / 3\}$. For this potential we only can prove that it has no polynomial first integral.2010 MSC: Primary 37K10, Secondary 37J30, 37C10.
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## 1 Introduction and main results

Hamiltonian systems play an important role in the theory of the dynamical systems due to the fact that these systems occur frequently in mathematical physics, particularly in mechanics, engineering and other fields. In order to describe global information on the Hamiltonian systems is good to find sufficient number of first integrals. The fact that a Hamiltonian system has some additional first integral independent with its Hamiltonian is a rare phenomenon which lead to a difficult problem, how to determine whether a given Hamiltonian system has additional independent first integrals.

In this work we consider the Hamiltonian systems of two degrees of freedom

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{q}_{i}=p_{i}, \quad \dot{p}_{i}=-\frac{\partial V}{\partial q_{i}}, \quad i=1,2, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} p_{i}+V(\mathbf{q}) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V(\mathbf{q})=V\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}\left[q_{1}, q_{2}\right]$ is a homogeneous polynomial potential of degree $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Let $A=A(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ and $B=B(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ be two functions with $\mathbf{q}=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ and $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$. Their Poisson bracket is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{A, B\}=\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{\partial B}{\partial p_{i}}-\frac{\partial A}{\partial p_{i}} \frac{\partial B}{\partial q_{i}}\right) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]The functions $A$ and $B$ are in involution if $\{A, B\}=0$. A non-constant function $I=I(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ is called a first integral of the Hamiltonian system (1.1) if $I$ is in involution with the Hamiltonian function $H$, i.e. $\{H, I\}=0$. Since the Poisson bracket is antisymmetric, it is obvious that $H$ itself is always a first integral. The functions $H$ and $I$ are functionally independent if their gradients are linearly independent for all points of $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ except perhaps in a zero Lebesgue measure set. The Hamiltonian system (1.1) is completely or Liouville integrable if it has two functionally independent first integrals $H$ and $I$.

During the past four decades, there have had an extensive study on the integrability of the Hamiltonian systems (1.1), as it is shown in the papers $[1,2,4-6,8,9,14,15,19]$. The fundamental tools to investigate the integrability problem for system (1.1) are Painlevé test [7] and direct methods [10]. In [22, 23] Ziglin proved a relation between the integrability of Hamiltonian systems and the monodromy group of variational equations along a particular solution, and gave the necessary conditions of integrability for complex Hamiltonian systems. Yoshida proved some criteria for the nonexistence of an additional first integral in Hamiltonian systems with homogeneous potentials, see [20, 21]. Using the differential Galois group Morales and Ramis [18] obtained necessary conditions for the existence of an additional meromorphic first integral of Hamiltonian systems (1.1) with homogeneous potentials.

Recently Maciejewski and Przybylska [16] completely solved the meromorphic integrability problem of Hamiltonian systems (1.1) with homogeneous polynomial potentials of degree 3. Maciejewski et al. [17] and Llibre et al. [12] characterized all integrable Hamiltonian systems (1.1) with homogeneous polynomial potentials of degree 4. The integrability of Hamiltonian systems (1.1) with homogeneous potentials of degrees $2,1,0,-1$ or -2 were characterized in [13]. We note that Hamiltonian systems (1.1) with homogeneous potentials of degrees $2,1,0$ or -1 has always a polynomial first integral independent with the Hamiltonian. The polynomial integrability of Hamiltonian systems (1.1) with homogeneous potentials of degree -3 have been classified in [11].

The objective of this paper is to study the integrability of the Hamiltonian system (1.1) with homogeneous potentials of degree -4 , i.e. with

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=V\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{a q_{1}^{4}+b q_{1}^{3} q_{2}+c q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}+d q_{1} q_{2}^{3}+e q_{2}^{4}}=\frac{1}{Q\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \not \equiv 0$.
Our main results are the following.
Proposition 1. After a linear change of variables and a rescaling, the potential (1.4) can be written in one of the following forms:

$$
V_{0}= \pm \frac{1}{q_{1}^{4}} ; \quad V_{1}=\frac{1}{4 q_{1}^{3} q_{2}} ; \quad V_{2}= \pm \frac{1}{6 p_{1}^{2} p_{2}} ; \quad V_{3}= \pm \frac{1}{\left(q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}} ; \quad V_{4}= \pm \frac{1}{q_{2}^{2}\left(6 q_{1}^{2}-q_{2}^{2}\right)} ; V_{5}= \pm \frac{1}{q_{2}^{2}\left(6 q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}\right)} ;
$$

$V_{6}=\frac{1}{q_{1}^{4}+6 \mu q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}-q_{2}^{4}} ; V_{7}=-\frac{1}{q_{1}^{4}+6 \mu q_{1}^{2} 2_{2}^{2}+q_{2}^{4}}$ with $\mu>-\frac{1}{3}$ and $\mu \neq \frac{1}{3} ; V_{8}=\frac{1}{q_{1}^{4}+6 \mu q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}+q_{2}^{4}}$ with $\mu \neq \pm \frac{1}{3}$.
Proposition 1 is proved in Section 4.
Theorem 1. Hamiltonian system (1.1) with the potential:
(a) $V_{0}$ is completely integrable with the additional polynomial first integral $p_{2}$.
(b) $V_{3}$ is completely integrable with the additional polynomial first integral $q_{2} p_{1}-q_{1} p_{2}$.
(c) $V_{i}$ for $i=1,2,4,5,6,7$ does not admit an additional meromorphic first integral.
(d) $V_{8}$ does not admit an additional meromorphic first integral if $\mu \notin\left\{-\frac{5}{3},-\frac{2}{3}\right\}$. If $\mu \in\left\{-\frac{5}{3},-\frac{2}{3}\right\}$ then $V_{8}$ does not admit an additional polynomial first integral.

The proof of Theorem 1 is given in Section 5 .

## 2 Darboux point

The point $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash\{0\}$ is called a Darboux point of system (1.1) if it satisfies the gradient equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime}(\mathbf{d})=\gamma \mathbf{d} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V^{\prime}(\mathbf{d})$ is the gradient of $V(\mathbf{d})$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. If $\mathbf{d}$ is a Darboux point, then the point $\tilde{\mathbf{d}}=\omega \mathbf{d}$, where $\omega \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ satisfies $V^{\prime}(\tilde{\mathbf{d}})=\omega^{k-2} \gamma \tilde{\mathbf{d}}=\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\mathbf{d}}$, and consequently $\tilde{\mathbf{d}}$ is also a Darboux point. Thus these Darboux points form an equivalence class. We can view a Darboux point d as a point in a projective space $\mathbf{d}=\left[d_{1}: d_{2}\right] \in \mathbb{C P}^{1}$, for more details see [17].

Given a Darboux point $\mathbf{d}$ we consider the Hessian matrix $V^{\prime \prime}(\mathbf{q})$ evaluated at the Darboux point $\mathbf{d}$, that is,

$$
V^{\prime \prime}(\mathbf{d})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial q_{1}^{2}}(\mathbf{d}) & \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial q_{1} \partial q_{2}}(\mathbf{d})  \tag{2.2}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial q_{2} \partial q_{1}}(\mathbf{d}) & \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial q_{2}^{2}}(\mathbf{d})
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since the potential $V$ is a homogeneous function of degree $k$, the number $k-1$ is always an eigenvalue for any Darboux point d. The eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix $V^{\prime \prime}(\mathbf{d})$ are denoted by $\{k-1, \lambda\}$. We say that $\lambda$ is the non-trivial eigenvalue.

Consider the following potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{a_{0} q_{1}^{m}+a_{1} q_{1}^{m-1} q_{2}+\cdots+a_{m-1} q_{1} q_{2}^{m-1}+a_{m} q_{2}^{m}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $m \geq 2$. Let $z=q_{2} / q_{1}$. The potential (2.3) can be rewrite as

$$
V=\frac{1}{q_{1}^{m} v(z)}
$$

where $v(z)=a_{0}+a_{1} z+\cdots+a_{m} z^{m}$. In order to calculate the Darboux points and the non-trivial eigenvalue $\lambda$ associated to potential (2.3), we define the polynomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=m v(z)-z v^{\prime}(z), \quad g(z)=\left(1+z^{2}\right) v^{\prime}(z)-m z v(z) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v^{\prime}(z)$ denotes the derivative of $v(z)$ with respect to $z$.
The next result is due to Llibre et al., see Proposition 6 of [11].
Proposition 2. Assume that $g \not \equiv 0$. The Darboux points $\mathbf{d}=\left[1: z_{*}\right]$ associated with potential (2.3) are given by the zeros of $g(z)=0$ for which $h(z) \neq 0$. Moreover the non-trivial eigenvalue of $V^{\prime \prime}(\mathbf{d})$ is given by $\lambda\left(z_{*}\right)=g^{\prime}\left(z_{*}\right) / h\left(z_{*}\right)+1$.

## 3 Morales-Ramis theory

The necessary condition for the complete meromorphic integrability of Hamiltonian systems (1.1) with homogeneous potentials $V$ was given by Morales and Ramis, see page 100 of [18].

Theorem 2 (Morales-Ramis). Assume that the Hamiltonian system defined by the Hamiltonian (1.2) with a homogeneous potential $V$ of degree $k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$ is completely integrable with meromorphic first integrals, then the non-trivial eigenvalues $\lambda$ associated to its Darboux points must satisfy the conditions of Table 1, where $p$ is an integer.

Table 1: The Morales-Ramis table.

| Degree | Eigenvalue $\lambda$ | Degree | Eigenvalue $\lambda$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $k$ | $p+p(p-1) \frac{k}{2}$ | -3 | $\frac{25}{24}-\frac{1}{24}\left(\frac{12}{5}+6 p\right)^{2}$ |
| 2 | arbitrary | 3 | $-\frac{1}{24}+\frac{1}{24}(2+6 p)^{2}$ |
| -2 | arbitrary | 3 | $-\frac{1}{24}+\frac{1}{24}\left(\frac{3}{2}+6 p\right)^{2}$ |
| -5 | $\frac{49}{40}-\frac{1}{40}\left(\frac{10}{3}+10 p\right)^{2}$ | 3 | $-\frac{1}{24}+\frac{1}{24}\left(\frac{6}{5}+6 p\right)^{2}$ |
| -5 | $\frac{49}{40}-\frac{1}{40}(4+10 p)^{2}$ | 3 | $-\frac{1}{24}+\frac{1}{24}\left(\frac{12}{5}+6 p\right)^{2}$ |
| -4 | $\frac{9}{8}-\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{4}{3}+4 p\right)^{2}$ | 4 | $-\frac{1}{8}+\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{4}{3}+4 p\right)^{2}$ |
| -3 | $\frac{25}{24}-\frac{1}{24}(2+6 p)^{2}$ | 5 | $-\frac{9}{40}+\frac{1}{40}\left(\frac{10}{3}+10 p\right)^{2}$ |
| -3 | $\frac{25}{24}-\frac{1}{24}\left(\frac{3}{2}+6 p\right)^{2}$ | 5 | $-\frac{9}{40}+\frac{1}{40}(4+10 p)^{2}$ |
| -3 | $\frac{25}{24}-\frac{1}{24}\left(\frac{6}{5}+6 p\right)^{2}$ | $k$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k-1}{k}+p(p+1) k\right)$ |

## 4 Homogeneous potentials of degree -4

To prove Proposition 1, we need the following result which was given in Theorem 2.6 of Cima and Llibre in [3].

Theorem 3. For each real fourth-order binary form $Q\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ there exists some $\sigma \in G L(2, \mathbb{R})$ which transforms $Q\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ in one and only one of the following canonical forms:
(I) $Q=q_{1}^{4}+6 \mu q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}+q_{2}^{4}$ with $\mu<-\frac{1}{3}$;
(II) $Q=\alpha\left(q_{1}^{4}+6 \mu q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}+q_{2}^{4}\right)$ with $\mu>-\frac{1}{3}$ and $\mu \neq \frac{1}{3}$;
(III) $Q=q_{1}^{4}+6 \mu q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}-q_{2}^{4}$;
(IV) $Q=\alpha q_{2}^{2}\left(6 q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}\right)$;
(V) $Q=\alpha q_{2}^{2}\left(6 q_{1}^{2}-q_{2}^{2}\right)$;
(VI) $Q=\alpha\left(q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}$;
(VII) $Q=6 \alpha p_{1}^{2} p_{2}^{2}$;
(VIII) $Q=4 q_{1}^{3} q_{2}$;
(IX) $Q=\alpha q_{1}^{4}$;
(X) $Q=0$;
where $\alpha= \pm 1$ and $G L(2, \mathbb{R})$ is the linear group.
Proof of Proposition 1. It follows immediately from Theorem 3 and the fact that the linear changes of variables are canonical changes in the theory of Hamiltonian systems.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1

Potentials $V_{0}$ and $V_{3}$. It is immediate to check that the Hamiltonian system (1.1) with the potentials $V_{0}$ and $V_{3}$ have polynomial first integral $I=p_{2}$ and $I=q_{2} p_{1}-q_{1} p_{2}$, respectively. So statements ( $a$ ) and (b) hold.

By Theorem 2 we know that if potential (1.4) is integrable, then its eigenvalue $\lambda$ must be one of Table 1. For convenience, we define the following sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{1}=\left\{\frac{9}{8}-\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{4}{3}+4 p\right)^{2}: p \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{2}=\{p-2(p-1) p: p \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\
& \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{3}=\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{5}{4}-4 p(p+1)\right): p \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Potential $V_{1}$. Using Proposition 2 we obtain the Darboux points $z_{*}= \pm 1 / \sqrt{3}$ and the corresponding non-trivial eigenvalue $\lambda=-1$. It is easy to check that $-1 \notin\left\{\mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{1} \cup \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{2} \cup \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{3}\right\}$. By Theorem $2 V_{1}$ is not integrable.

Potential $V_{2}$. By Proposition 2 the potential $V_{2}$ has the Darboux points $z_{*}= \pm 1$. The corresponding non-trivial eigenvalue is $\lambda=-1$. Since $-1 \notin\left\{\mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{1} \cup \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{2} \cup \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{3}\right\}$, by Theorem 2 the Hamiltonian system (1.1) with the potential $V_{2}$ is not integrable.

Potential $V_{4}$. Analogously the potential $V_{4}$ has the Darboux points $z_{*}= \pm \sqrt{3} / 2$ with nontrivial eigenvalue $\lambda=-5 / 3$. It is not difficult to check that $-5 / 3 \notin\left\{\mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{1} \cup \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{2} \cup \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{3}\right\}$. By Theorem $2 V_{4}$ is not integrable.

Potential $V_{5}$. The potential $V_{5}$ has the Darboux points $z_{*}= \pm \sqrt{6} / 2$. The corresponding non-trivial eigenvalue is $\lambda=-1 / 3$. Since $-1 / 3 \notin\left\{\mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{1} \cup \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{2} \cup \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{3}\right\}$, the Hamiltonian system (1.1) with the potential $V_{5}$ is not integrable.

Potential $V_{6}$. Using the notations of Proposition 2, we get $g\left(z_{*}\right)=4\left(3 \mu-1-(3 \mu+1) z_{*}^{2}\right) z_{*}$ and $h\left(z_{*}\right)=12 z_{*}^{2} \mu+4$.

If $\mu=-1 / 3$, then this potential has Darboux points $z_{*}=0$ with non-trivial eigenvalue $\lambda=-1 \notin\left\{\mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{1} \cup \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{2} \cup \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{3}\right\}$. Therefore the potential $V_{6}$ for $\mu=-1 / 3$ is not integrable.

If $\mu \neq-1 / 3$, it has 3 Darboux points of the form [1: $z_{*}$ ]

$$
z_{*, 1}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad z_{*, 2}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{3 \mu-1}{3 \mu+1}}
$$

and 2 Darboux points of the form $[0: z] z= \pm \sqrt[3]{2}$. The corresponding non-trivial eigenvalues are $\pm 3 \mu$ and $4 /\left(1+9 \mu^{2}\right)-1$. If potential $V_{6}$ is integrable, then eigenvalues $\pm 3 \mu \in\left\{\mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{1} \cup \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{2} \cup \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{3}\right\}$. So we need to analyse nine possible cases, that is, $3 \mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{j}$ and $-3 \mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{k}$ for $j, k=1,2,3$. The integer of Table 1 corresponding to the case $3 \mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{j}$ (respectively $-3 \mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{k}$ ) is denoted by $p_{0}$ (respectively $p$ ). All the possible values of integers $p_{0}$ and $p$ are shown in Table 2 , where $\eta=\sqrt{3(3-8 \mu)}$ and $\xi=\sqrt{3(3+8 \mu)}$ satisfy $\eta^{2}+\xi^{2}=18$.

Table 2: Integers $p_{0}$ and $p$.

| Eigenvalue $3 \mu$ | Integer $p_{0}$ | Eigenvalue $-3 \mu$ | Integer $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 \mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{1}$ | $\frac{1}{12}(-4 \pm 3 \eta)$ | $-3 \mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{1}$ | $\frac{1}{12}(-4 \pm 3 \xi)$ |
| $3 \mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{2}$ | $\frac{1}{4}(3 \pm \eta)$ | $-3 \mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{2}$ | $\frac{1}{4}(3 \pm \xi)$ |
| $3 \mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{3}$ | $\frac{1}{4}(-2 \pm \eta)$ | $-3 \mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{3}$ | $\frac{1}{4}(-2 \pm \xi)$ |

Case $3 \mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{1}$ and $-3 \mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{1}$. Since $p_{0}, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $(3 \eta, 3 \xi) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. From the equation $\eta^{2}+\xi^{2}=18$, it follows that $(\eta, \xi)=(3,3)$. Thus $\mu=0$.

Case $3 \mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{1}$ and $-3 \mu \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{2}$. Similarly $(3 \eta, \xi) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. Using the equation $\eta^{2}+\xi^{2}=18$ we obtain $(\eta, \xi)=(3,3)$. So $\mu=0$.

The remaining cases can be analyzed in a similar way. We summarize all the possible values for $\eta, \xi$ and $\mu$ in Table 3. Consequently if the potential $V_{6}$ is completely integrable, then $\mu=0$. For $\mu=0$ the non-trivial eigenvalue $4 /\left(1+9 \mu^{2}\right)-1$ becomes $3 \notin\left\{\mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{1} \cup \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{2} \cup \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{3}\right\}$. So the Hamiltonian system (1.1) with the potential $V_{6}$ is not integrable.

Table 3: The values of $\eta, \xi$ and $\mu$.

| Condition | $(\eta, \xi)$ | $\mu$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $(3 \eta, 3 \xi) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ | $(3,3)$ | 0 |
| $(3 \eta, \xi) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ | $(3,3)$ | 0 |
| $(\eta, 3 \xi) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ | $(3,3)$ | 0 |
| $(\eta, \xi) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ | $(3,3)$ | 0 |

Potential $V_{7}$. From Proposition 2 it follows that the Darboux points of the form [1: $z_{*}$ ] are given by the zeros of $g\left(z_{*}\right)=4(3 \mu-1) z_{*}\left(z_{*}^{2}-1\right)$ for which $h\left(z_{*}\right)=-4\left(3 \mu z_{*}^{2}+1\right) \neq 0$. We have that the Darboux points $\left[1: z_{*}\right]$ are $z_{*, 1}=0$ and $z_{*, 2}= \pm 1$, and the Darboux points of the form $[0: z]$ are $z= \pm \sqrt[3]{2}$. The corresponding non-trivial eigenvalues are $\lambda_{1}=3 \mu$ and $\lambda_{2}=-1+4 /(1+3 \mu)$. The necessary conditions for the complete meromorphic integrability of potential $V_{7}$ are $\lambda_{1,2} \in\left\{\mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{1} \cup \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{2} \cup \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{3}\right\}$. We need to consider nine possible cases, that is, $\lambda_{1} \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{j}$ and $\lambda_{2} \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{k}$ for $j, k=1,2,3$. For each case there exist two integers $p_{0}$ and $p$ such that $\lambda_{1} \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{j}$ and $\lambda_{2} \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{k}$. All the possible values of the integers $p_{0}$ and $p$ are given in Table 4, where $\eta=\sqrt{3(3-8 \mu)}$ and $\zeta=\sqrt{17-32 /(1+3 \mu)}$ satisfy $\eta^{2} \zeta^{2}-17 \zeta^{2}-17 \eta^{2}+33=0$. Recall that $\mu>-1 / 3$ and $\mu \neq 1 / 3$. Thus $\eta \in[0,1) \cup(1, \sqrt{17}]$ and $\zeta \in[0,1) \cup(1, \sqrt{17}]$.

Table 4: Integers $p_{0}$ and $p$.

| Eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ | Integer $p_{0}$ | Eigenvalue $\lambda_{2}$ | Integer $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda_{1} \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{1}$ | $\frac{1}{12}(-4 \pm 3 \eta)$ | $\lambda_{2} \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{1}$ | $\frac{1}{12}(-4 \pm 3 \zeta)$ |
| $\lambda_{1} \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{2}$ | $\frac{1}{4}(3 \pm \eta)$ | $\lambda_{2} \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{2}$ | $\frac{1}{4}(3 \pm \zeta)$ |
| $\lambda_{1} \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{3}$ | $\frac{1}{4}(-2 \pm \eta)$ | $\lambda_{2} \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{3}$ | $\frac{1}{4}(-2 \pm \zeta)$ |

For $\lambda_{1,2} \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{1}$, we have $(3 \eta, 3 \zeta) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ due to the fact that $p_{0}$ and $p$ are integers. Combining with the conditions $\eta \in[0,1) \cup(1, \sqrt{17}], \zeta \in[0,1) \cup(1, \sqrt{17}]$ and $\eta^{2} \zeta^{2}-17 \zeta^{2}-17 \eta^{2}+33=0$, we conclude that $\eta$ and $\zeta$ do not exist. The analysis of other cases are similar. We list all the possible values of $\eta, \xi$ and $\zeta$ in Table 5. So $\lambda_{1} \notin\left\{\mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{1} \cup \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{2} \cup \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{3}\right\}$ or $\lambda_{2} \notin\left\{\mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{1} \cup \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{2} \cup \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{3}\right\}$. Therefore the Hamiltonian system (1.1) with the potential $V_{7}$ is not integrable.

In summary statement $(c)$ of Theorem 1 is proved.
Table 5: The values of $\eta, \zeta$ and $\mu$.

| Condition | $(\eta, \zeta)$ | $\mu$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(3 \eta, 3 \zeta) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |
| $(3 \eta, \zeta) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |
| $(\eta, 3 \zeta) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |
| $(\eta, \xi) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |

Potential $V_{8}$. Applying Proposition 2 the Darboux points of the form $\left[1: z_{*}\right]$ are given by the zeros of $g\left(z_{*}\right)=4(1-3 \mu) z_{*}\left(z_{*}^{2}-1\right)$ for which $h\left(z_{*}\right)=4\left(3 \mu z_{*}^{2}+1\right) \neq 0$. The Darboux points of the form $\left[1: z_{*}\right]$ and $[0: z]$ are respectively $z_{*, 1}=0$ and $z_{*, 2}= \pm 1$, and $z= \pm \mathbf{i} \sqrt[3]{2}$ with $\mathbf{i}=\sqrt{-1}$. The corresponding non-trivial eigenvalues are $\lambda_{1}=3 \mu$ and $\lambda_{2}=-1+4 /(1+3 \mu)$. By the same reasons as above one can get Table 4. Next we will divide the proof into two cases:

Case (i) $\mu>-\frac{1}{3}$ and $\mu \neq \frac{1}{3}$.
Case (ii) $\mu<-\frac{1}{3}$.
The Case (i) is the same as the potential $V_{7}$. Thus statement ( $d$ ) holds for Case (i).
Now we consider Case (ii). Since $\mu<-\frac{1}{3}, \eta=\sqrt{3(3-8 \mu)}$ and $\zeta=\sqrt{17-32 /(1+3 \mu)}$, we
get that $\eta>\sqrt{17}, \zeta>\sqrt{17}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\sqrt{\frac{256}{\eta^{2}-17}+17} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p$ must be an integer we have Table 6. Let $\tilde{\eta}=3 \eta$ and $\tilde{\zeta}=3 \zeta$. Then equation (5.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\zeta}=3 \sqrt{\frac{2304}{\tilde{\eta}^{2}-153}+17} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\tilde{\eta}>3 \sqrt{17}$ and $\tilde{\zeta}>3 \sqrt{17}$. If $(3 \eta, 3 \zeta) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, then $(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\zeta}) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with $\tilde{\eta} \geq 13$ and $\tilde{\zeta} \geq 13$. Furthermore, $13 \leq \tilde{\zeta} \leq 38$ due to the fact that equation (5.2) is decreasing with $\tilde{\eta}$. For each value of $\tilde{\zeta}=13,14, \ldots, 38$, equation (5.2) provides a value of $\tilde{\eta}$. We get the first row of Table 6 . For the second row of Table 6, equation (5.1) can be rewrite as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\sqrt{\frac{2304}{\tilde{\eta}^{2}-153}+17} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\tilde{\eta}>3 \sqrt{17}$ and $\zeta>\sqrt{17}$. If $(\tilde{\eta}, \zeta) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, then $\tilde{\eta} \geq 13$ and $\zeta \geq 5$. Since equation (5.3) is decreasing with $\tilde{\eta}$, we have $5 \leq \zeta \leq 12$. The second row of Table 6 holds. The remaining rows of Table 6 are obtained in a similar way.

Table 6: The values of $\eta, \zeta$ and $\mu$.

| Condition | $(\eta, \zeta)$ | $\mu$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(3 \eta, 3 \zeta) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ | $(5,7)$ or $(7,5)$ | $-\frac{2}{3}$ or $-\frac{5}{3}$ |
| $(3 \eta, \zeta) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ | $(5,7)$ or $(7,5)$ | $-\frac{2}{3}$ or $-\frac{5}{3}$ |
| $(\eta, 3 \zeta) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ | $(5,7)$ or $(7,5)$ | $-\frac{2}{3}$ or $-\frac{5}{3}$ |
| $(\eta, \xi) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ | $(5,7)$ or $(7,5)$ | $-\frac{2}{3}$ or $-\frac{5}{3}$ |

For $\mu=-2 / 3$ the non-trivial eigenvalues are $\lambda_{1}=-2$ and $\lambda_{2}=-5$. For $\mu=-5 / 3$, the non-trivial eigenvalues are $\lambda_{1}=-5$ and $\lambda_{2}=-2$. Obviously $-2 \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{2}(p=2)$ and $-5 \in \mathcal{Z}_{-4}^{2}$ $(p=-1)$. For $\mu=-2 / 3$ or $-5 / 3$, we cannot use Theorem 2 to decide whether or not the Hamiltonian system is meromorphically integrable. Next we show that $V_{8}$ does not admit an additional polynomial first integral when $\mu \in\{-5 / 3,-2 / 3\}$.

Consider the following potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\frac{1}{q_{1}^{4}+6 \mu q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}+q_{2}^{4}} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mu \in\{-5 / 3,-2 / 3\}$. The corresponding Hamiltonian system (1.1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{q}_{1}=p_{1}, \quad \dot{q}_{2}=p_{2}, \quad \dot{p}_{1}=\frac{4 q_{1}\left(q_{1}^{2}+3 \mu q_{2}^{2}\right)}{\left(q_{1}^{4}+6 \mu q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}+q_{2}^{4}\right)^{2}}, \quad \dot{p}_{2}=\frac{4 q_{2}\left(3 \mu q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}\right)}{\left(q_{1}^{4}+6 \mu q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}+q_{2}^{4}\right)^{2}} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

After a rescaling of the time variable $d t=\left(q_{1}^{4}+6 \mu q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}+q_{2}^{4}\right)^{2} d s$, system (5.5) becomes

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\dot{q}_{1}=p_{1}\left(q_{1}^{4}+6 \mu q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}+q_{2}^{4}\right)^{2}, & \dot{q}_{2}=p_{2}\left(q_{1}^{4}+6 \mu q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}+q_{2}^{4}\right)^{2}  \tag{5.6}\\
\dot{p}_{1}=4 q_{1}\left(q_{1}^{2}+3 \mu q_{2}^{2}\right), & \dot{p}_{2}=4 q_{2}\left(3 \mu q_{1}^{2}+q_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Doing the transformation $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, p_{1}, P\right)$ with $P=q_{2} p_{1}-q_{1} p_{2}$, system (5.6) writes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{q}_{1}=p_{1}\left(q_{1}^{4}+6 \mu q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}+q_{2}^{4}\right)^{2}, \quad \dot{q}_{2}=\frac{q_{2} p_{1}-P}{q_{1}}\left(q_{1}^{4}+6 \mu q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}+q_{2}^{4}\right)^{2}  \tag{5.7}\\
& \dot{p}_{1}=4 q_{1}\left(q_{1}^{2}+3 \mu q_{2}^{2}\right), \quad \dot{P}=4(1-3 \mu) q_{1} q_{2}\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that system (5.6) has a polynomial first integral $F\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}\left[q_{1}, q_{2}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right]$. In the new variables ( $q_{1}, q_{2}, p_{1}, P$ ), it can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, p_{1}, P\right)=F\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, p_{1}, \frac{q_{2} p_{1}-P}{q_{1}}\right)=\sum_{j=-n}^{n} f_{j}\left(q_{2}, p_{1}, P\right) q_{1}^{j}, \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{j}\left(q_{2}, p_{1}, P\right) \in \mathbb{C}\left[q_{2}, p_{1}, P\right]$. Since $\bar{F}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, p_{1}, P\right)$ is a first integral of system (5.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{q}_{1} \frac{\partial \bar{F}}{\partial q_{1}}+\dot{q}_{2} \frac{\partial \bar{F}}{\partial q_{2}}+\dot{p}_{1} \frac{\partial \bar{F}}{\partial p_{1}}+\dot{P} \frac{\partial \bar{F}}{\partial P}=0 \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For clarity we introduce the following differential operators acting on $f_{j}\left(q_{2}, p_{1}, P\right) \in \mathbb{C}\left[q_{2}, p_{1}, P\right]$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}\left[f_{j}\right] & :=j p_{1} f_{j}+\left(q_{2} p_{1}-P\right) \frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial q_{2}}, \\
\mathcal{B}\left[f_{j}\right] & :=3 \mu q_{2}^{4} \mathcal{A}\left[f_{j}\right]-(1-3 \mu) q_{2} \frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial P}+3 \mu \frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial p_{1}}, \\
\mathcal{C}\left[f_{j}\right] & :=\left(18 \mu^{2}+1\right) q_{1}^{4} \mathcal{A}\left[f_{j}\right]+2\left((1-3 \mu) q_{2} \frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial P}+\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial p_{1}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the above notions equation (5.9) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=-n}^{n}\left(q_{1}^{j+7} \mathcal{A}+12 \mu q_{2}^{2} q_{1}^{j+5} \mathcal{A}+2 q_{1}^{j+3} \mathcal{C}+4 q_{2}^{2} q_{1}^{j+1} \mathcal{B}+q_{2}^{8} q_{1}^{j-1} \mathcal{A}\right)\left[f_{j}\right]=0 \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f_{j} \in \mathbb{C}\left[q_{2}, p_{1}, P\right]$. Moreover $\bar{F}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, p_{1}, P\right)$ is a first integral of system (5.7) if and only if the coefficients of $q_{1}^{j-1}$ in equation (5.10) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}\left[f_{j-8}\right]+12 \mu q_{2}^{2} \mathcal{A}\left[f_{j-6}\right]+2 \mathcal{C}\left[f_{j-4}\right]+4 q_{2}^{2} \mathcal{B}\left[f_{j-2}\right]+q_{2}^{8} \mathcal{A}\left[f_{j}\right]=0, \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=-n, \ldots, n+8$ and $f_{j}=0$ if $j<-n$ or $j>n$. Therefore system (5.6) has a polynomial first integral if and only if there exist $2 n+1$ polynomials $f_{j}$ such that equations (5.11) hold. The existence of such polynomials are given by the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1. If $\bar{F}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, p_{1}, P\right)$ is a first integral of system (5.7), then $f_{j}\left(q_{2}, p_{1}, P\right)=0$ for $j=$ $1, \ldots, n$.

Proof. For $j=n+8$ equation (5.11) becomes $\mathcal{A}\left[f_{n}\right]=0$. The solution of $\mathcal{A}\left[f_{n}\right]=0$ is $f_{n}=$ $\alpha\left(p_{1}, P\right) /\left(P-q_{2} p_{1}\right)^{n}$, where $\alpha\left(p_{1}, P\right)$ is a function in the variables $p_{1}$ and $P$. So $f_{n}=0$ due to the fact that $f_{n} \in \mathbb{C}\left[q_{2}, p_{1}, P\right]$. If $n=1$ we are done.

When $n \geq 2$, taking $j=n+8, n+7$, we have $\mathcal{A}\left[f_{n}\right]=0$ and $\mathcal{A}\left[f_{n-1}\right]=0$. By the same reason as above, $f_{n}=f_{n-1}=0$. If $n=2$, then the lemma holds.

When $n \geq 3$, taking $j=n+8, n+7, n+6$, we get, respectively, $\mathcal{A}\left[f_{n}\right]=0, \mathcal{A}\left[f_{n-1}\right]=0$ and $\mathcal{A}\left[f_{n-2}\right]+12 \mu q_{2}^{2} \mathcal{A}\left[f_{n}\right]=0$. This implies that $\mathcal{A}\left[f_{n-2}\right]=0$. Using similar arguments we obtain $f_{n}=f_{n-1}=f_{n-2}=0$. If $n=3$, the proof is finished.

Consider $n \geq 4$. Substituting $j=n+8, n+7, n+6, n+5$ into equation (5.11), we get, respectively, $\mathcal{A}\left[f_{n}\right]=0, \mathcal{A}\left[f_{n-1}\right]=0, \mathcal{A}\left[f_{n-2}\right]+12 \mu q_{2}^{2} \mathcal{A}\left[f_{n}\right]=0$ and $\mathcal{A}\left[f_{n-3}\right]+12 \mu q_{2}^{2} \mathcal{A}\left[f_{n-1}\right]=0$. Using similar arguments to the case $n \geq 3$, it is easy to prove that $f_{n}=f_{n-1}=f_{n-2}=f_{n-3}=0$. If $n=4$, the lemma is proved.

When $n \geq 5$, we analyze respectively $j=n+8, n+7, n+6, n+5, n+4$ in equation (5.11). Using similar arguments as in the previous cases, one can get that $f_{n}=f_{n-1}=f_{n-2}=f_{n-3}=f_{n-4}=0$. If $n=5$ the lemma is confirmed.

Next we prove this lemma by induction when $n \geq 6$. Assume that $f_{n}=f_{n-1}=\cdots=f_{i+1}=0$, where $i \geq 1$. Now we consider equation (5.11) for $j=i+8$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}\left[f_{i}\right]+12 \mu q_{2}^{2} \mathcal{A}\left[f_{i+2}\right]+2 \mathcal{C}\left[f_{i+4}\right]+4 q_{2}^{2} \mathcal{B}\left[f_{i+6}\right]+q_{2}^{8} \mathcal{A}\left[f_{i+8}\right]=0 . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the induction hypothesis we have $\mathcal{A}\left[f_{i+2}\right]=\mathcal{A}\left[f_{i+8}\right]=\mathcal{C}\left[f_{i+4}\right]=\mathcal{B}\left[f_{i+6}\right]=0$. Thus equation (5.12) reduces to $\mathcal{A}\left[f_{i}\right]=0$. From the above analysis we know that the only polynomial solution of differential equation $\mathcal{A}\left[f_{i}\right]=0$ is $f_{i}=0$. This ends the proof.

Lemma 2. If $\bar{F}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, p_{1}, P\right)$ is a first integral of system (5.7), then $f_{j}\left(q_{2}, p_{1}, P\right)=0$ for $j=$ $-n,-n+1, \ldots,-1$, and $f_{0}\left(q_{2}, p_{1}, P\right)$ is a constant.

Proof. We consider equation (5.11) for $j=-n,-n+1,-n+2$, that is, $q_{2}^{8} \mathcal{A}\left[f_{-n}\right]=0, q_{2}^{8} \mathcal{A}\left[f_{-n+1}\right]=$ 0 and $4 q_{2}^{2} \mathcal{B}\left[f_{-n}\right]+q_{2}^{8} \mathcal{A}\left[f_{-n+2}\right]=0$. So $\mathcal{A}\left[f_{-n}\right]=\mathcal{A}\left[f_{-n+1}\right]=0$. The polynomial solutions of the differential equations $\mathcal{A}\left[f_{-n}\right]=0$ and $\mathcal{A}\left[f_{-n+1}\right]=0$ are respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{-n}=\alpha_{-n}\left(P-q_{2} p_{1}\right)^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad f_{-n+1}=\alpha_{-n+1}\left(P-q_{2} p_{1}\right)^{n-1} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{-n}=\alpha_{-n}\left(p_{1}, P\right)$ and $\alpha_{-n+1}=\alpha_{-n+1}\left(p_{1}, P\right)$ are polynomials in the variables $p_{1}$ and $P$.
From equations $4 q_{2}^{2} \mathcal{B}\left[f_{-n}\right]+q_{2}^{8} \mathcal{A}\left[f_{-n+2}\right]=0$ and (5.13), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{-n+2}=\left(\alpha_{-n+2}+\beta_{-n+2}\right)\left(P-q_{2} p_{1}\right)^{n-2} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{-n+2}=\alpha_{-n+2}\left(p_{1}, P\right)$ is an integration constant, $\beta_{-n+2}=\frac{1}{15 q_{2}^{5}} \tilde{\beta}_{-n+2}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\beta}_{-n+2}=15 n q_{2} \alpha_{-n}+5(1-3 \mu)\left(3 P-4 p_{1} q_{2}\right) q_{2} \frac{\partial \alpha_{-n}}{\partial P}+9 \mu\left(5 p_{1} q_{2}-4 P\right) \frac{\partial \alpha_{-n}}{\partial p_{1}} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha_{-n}$ is a polynomial, we claim that $\beta_{-n+2}$ is also a polynomial. Assume that $\beta_{-n+2}$ is not a polynomial. Then $\beta_{-n+2}\left(P-q_{2} p_{1}\right)^{n-2}$ is a rational function with denominator $15 q_{2}^{5}$. Since $f_{-n+2}$ must be a polynomial, we have that $\alpha_{-n+2}\left(P-q_{2} p_{1}\right)^{n-2}$ is also a rational function with denominator $15 q_{2}^{5}$. This contradicts the fact that $\alpha_{-n+2}$ is independent of the variable $q_{2}$. This means that $\beta_{-n+2}$ is a polynomial if and only if $\tilde{\beta}_{-n+2}$ is divisible by $q_{2}^{5}$. Thus $\tilde{\beta}_{-n+2}=0$. Evaluating (5.15) on $q_{2}=0$, we have $\partial \alpha_{-n} / \partial p_{1}=0$ due to the fact that $9 \mu \neq 0$. After division by $5 q_{2}$ in equation (5.15), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 n \alpha_{-n}+(1-3 \mu)\left(3 P-4 p_{1} q_{2}\right) \frac{\partial \alpha_{-n}}{\partial P}=0 \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $q_{2}=3 P / 4 p_{1}$ into (5.16), we get $\alpha_{-n}=0$. Consequently $f_{-n}=0$ and $f_{-n+2}=$ $\alpha_{-n+2}\left(P-q_{2} p_{1}\right)^{n-2}$.

For $j=-n+3$ equation (5.11) becomes $4 q_{2}^{2} \mathcal{B}\left[f_{-n+1}\right]+q_{2}^{8} \mathcal{A}\left[f_{-n+3}\right]=0$. In the same way as $f_{-n+2}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{-n+3}=\left(\alpha_{-n+3}+\beta_{-n+3}\right)\left(P-q_{2} p_{1}\right)^{n-3} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{-n+3}=\alpha_{-n+3}\left(p_{1}, P\right)$ is an integration constant, $\beta_{-n+3}=\frac{1}{15 q_{2}^{5}} \tilde{\beta}_{-n+3}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\beta}_{-n+3}=15 q_{2} \alpha_{-n+1}(n-1)+5(1-3 \mu)\left(3 P-4 p_{1} q_{2}\right) q_{2} \frac{\partial \alpha_{-n+1}}{\partial P}+9 \mu\left(5 p_{1} q_{2}-4 P\right) \frac{\partial \alpha_{-n+1}}{\partial p_{1}} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the same arguments as above one can get that $\alpha_{-n+1}=0$ and $\beta_{-n+3}=0$. Therefore $f_{-n+1}=0$ and $f_{-n+3}=\alpha_{-n+3}\left(P-q_{2} p_{1}\right)^{n-3}$.

Using exactly the same steps as in the previous cases, we can prove that $f_{-n+3}=\cdots=f_{-3}=0$, $f_{-2}=\alpha_{-2}\left(P-q_{2} p_{1}\right)^{2}$ and $f_{-1}=\alpha_{-1}\left(P-q_{2} p_{1}\right)$, where $\alpha_{-2}=\alpha_{-2}\left(p_{1}, P\right)$ and $\alpha_{-1}=\alpha_{-1}\left(p_{1}, P\right)$ are polynomials in the variables $p_{1}$ and $P$.

From Lemma 1 we know that $f_{j}=0$ for $j \geq 1$. For $j=8$ equation (5.11) becomes $\mathcal{A}\left[f_{0}\right]=0$, that is $\partial f_{0}\left(q_{2}, p_{1}, P\right) / \partial q_{2}=0$. This implies that $f_{0}$ does not depend on $q_{2}$.

Finally we prove that $f_{-1}=f_{-2}=0$ and $f_{0}=$ constant.
Consider equation (5.11) for $j=0$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}\left[f_{-2}\right]=\mathcal{B}\left[\alpha_{-2}\left(P-q_{2} p_{1}\right)^{2}\right]=0 \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general solution of the linear partial differential equation (5.19) is

$$
\alpha_{-2}\left(p_{1}, P\right)=\frac{1}{9 \mu^{2}\left(P-p_{1} q_{2}\right)^{2}} \varphi\left(P-p_{1} q_{2}+\frac{p_{1} q_{2}}{3 \mu}\right)
$$

where $\varphi$ is a function in the variable $P-p_{1} q_{2}+\frac{p_{1} q_{2}}{3 \mu}$. Since $\alpha_{-2}$ does not depend on $q_{2}$ and $\frac{1}{3 \mu} \neq 0$, we have $\alpha_{-2}=0$. Consequently $f_{-2}=0$.

Substituting $j=1$ into (5.11) we have $\mathcal{B}\left[f_{-1}\right]=\mathcal{B}\left[\alpha_{-1}\left(P-q_{2} p_{1}\right)\right]=0$. The general solution of this linear partial differential equation is

$$
\alpha_{-1}\left(p_{1}, P\right)=\frac{1}{3 \mu\left(P-p_{1} q_{2}\right)} \psi\left(P-p_{1} q_{2}+\frac{p_{1} q_{2}}{3 \mu}\right) .
$$

By the same reasons as above we get $\alpha_{-1}=0$.
From Lemma 1 and $f_{-6}=f_{-4}=f_{-2}=0$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& (3 \mu-1) q_{2} \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial P}+3 \mu \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial p_{1}}=0 \quad \text { for } j=2 \text { in equation (5.11) } \\
& (1-3 \mu) q_{2} \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial P}+\frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial p_{1}}=0 \quad \text { for } j=4 \text { in equation (5.11) } \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

System (5.20) is linear in the unknowns $q_{2} \partial f_{0} / \partial P$ and $\partial f_{0} / \partial p_{1}$. Its coefficient matrix is $9 \mu^{2}-1 \neq 0$ due to the fact that $\mu \in\{-5 / 3,-2 / 3\}$. Thus $\partial f_{0} / \partial P=\partial f_{0} / \partial p_{1}=0$ that is $f_{0}=$ constant.

This completes the proof of the lemma.
Proposition 3. The Hamiltonian system (1.1) with the potential (5.4) does not admit an additional polynomial first integral.

Proof. From Lemmas 1 and 2 the proposition follows.
This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
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