



# Functional Characterization of the Cell Division Gene Cluster of the Wall-less Bacterium *Mycoplasma genitalium*

Carlos Martínez-Torró<sup>1†</sup>, Sergi Torres-Puig<sup>1†</sup>, Marina Marcos-Silva<sup>1</sup>, Marta Huguet-Ramón<sup>1</sup>, Carmen Muñoz-Navarro<sup>1</sup>, Maria Lluch-Senar<sup>2</sup>, Luis Serrano<sup>2</sup>, Enrique Querol<sup>1</sup>, Jaume Piñol<sup>1\*</sup> and Oscar Q. Pich<sup>1,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departament de Bioquímica i Biologia Molecular, Institut de Biotecnologia i Biomedicina, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, <sup>2</sup>EMBL/CRG Systems Biology Research Unit, Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona, Spain, <sup>3</sup>Laboratori de Recerca en Microbiologia i Malalties Infeccioses, Institut d'Investigació i Innovació Parc Taulí (I3PT), Hospital Universitari Parc Taulí, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Sabadell, Spain

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited by:

Joe Lutkenhaus,  
University of Kansas Medical Center  
Research Institute, United States

### Reviewed by:

William Margolin,  
University of Texas Health Science  
Center at Houston, United States  
Iain G. Duggin,  
University of Technology Sydney,  
Australia

### \*Correspondence:

Jaume Piñol  
jaume.pinyol@uab.cat  
Oscar Q. Pich  
oquijada@tauli.cat

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed  
equally to this work

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to  
Microbial Physiology and Metabolism,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Microbiology

**Received:** 15 April 2021

**Accepted:** 10 August 2021

**Published:** 13 September 2021

### Citation:

Martínez-Torró C, Torres-Puig S, Marcos-Silva M, Huguet-Ramón M, Muñoz-Navarro C, Lluch-Senar M, Serrano L, Querol E, Piñol J and Pich OQ (2021) Functional Characterization of the Cell Division Gene Cluster of the Wall-less Bacterium *Mycoplasma genitalium*. *Front. Microbiol.* 12:695572. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.695572

It is well-established that FtsZ drives peptidoglycan synthesis at the division site in walled bacteria. However, the function and conservation of FtsZ in wall-less prokaryotes such as mycoplasmas are less clear. In the genome-reduced bacterium *Mycoplasma genitalium*, the cell division gene cluster is limited to four genes: *mraZ*, *mraW*, MG\_223, and *ftsZ*. In a previous study, we demonstrated that *ftsZ* was dispensable for growth of *M. genitalium* under laboratory culture conditions. Herein, we show that the entire cell division gene cluster of *M. genitalium* is non-essential for growth *in vitro*. Our analyses indicate that loss of the *mraZ* gene alone is more detrimental for growth of *M. genitalium* than deletion of *ftsZ* or the entire cell division gene cluster. Transcriptional analysis revealed a marked upregulation of *ftsZ* in the *mraZ* mutant. Stable isotope labeling by amino acids in cell culture (SILAC)-based proteomics confirmed the overexpression of FtsZ in *MraZ*-deprived cells. Of note, we found that *ftsZ* expression was upregulated in non-adherent cells of *M. genitalium*, which arise spontaneously at relatively high rates. Single cell analysis using fluorescent markers showed that FtsZ localization varied throughout the cell cycle of *M. genitalium* in a coordinated manner with the chromosome and the terminal organelle (TMO). In addition, our results indicate a possible role for the RNA methyltransferase *MraW* in the regulation of FtsZ expression at the post-transcriptional level. Altogether, this study provides an extensive characterization of the cell division gene cluster of *M. genitalium* and demonstrates the existence of regulatory elements controlling FtsZ expression at the temporal and spatial level in mycoplasmas.

**Keywords:** mycoplasmas, cell division, regulation, single cell analysis, FtsZ localization, cell cycle

## INTRODUCTION

Cell division plays a central role in the life of all prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms and it requires the coordinated action of multiple proteins and regulatory circuits. In bacteria, most genes necessary for cytokinesis and peptidoglycan wall biosynthesis are encoded in the division and cell wall (*dcw*) gene cluster. Organization and length of the *dcw* gene cluster are

exquisitely conserved across phylogenetically distant species. As an exception, several genes that lie within the *dcw* gene cluster of Gram-negative and Gram-positive rods, are located elsewhere in the chromosome in Gram-positive cocci (Pucci et al., 1997). Based on this observation, a relationship between the structure of the *dcw* gene cluster and cell morphology was proposed. The underlying mechanism in this relationship, which involves the co-translational assembly of the protein complexes involved in cell division, is referred to as genomic channeling (Mingorance et al., 2004). In mycoplasmas, the *dcw* gene cluster is usually limited to four genes: *mraZ*, *mraW*, *MG\_223*, and *ftsZ* (Figure 1A; Alarcón et al., 2007). Mycoplasmas are phylogenetically related to Gram-positive bacteria, but they have lost the peptidoglycan biosynthesis genes as a result of an extensive genome reduction. Although mycoplasma cells are typically spherical, the presence in some species of a tip structure instrumental for cytoadherence, results in an elongated, flask-shaped morphology.

The function of *mraZ* and *mraW*, the first two genes of the *dcw* gene cluster, has been elusive for many years. A comprehensive study in *Escherichia coli* revealed that *MraZ* is a transcriptional repressor that controls its own expression and that of other genes of the *dcw* gene cluster (Eraso et al., 2014). In the same study, the authors found that *MraZ* binds to conserved sequences, designated as *MraZ* boxes, within the upstream region of the *dcw* gene cluster. Perhaps surprisingly, loss of *MraZ* was not associated with any apparent phenotype in this model bacterium. In spite of this, an antagonistic effect between *MraZ* and *MraW* was disclosed. *MraW* is an RNA methyltransferase that targets the 16S ribosomal RNA (Kimura and Suzuki, 2010). Characterization of an *mraW* mutant in *E. coli* demonstrated an altered non-AUG initiation and a decreased translation fidelity, suggesting that *MraW* could play a role in start codon selection and recognition of classic STOP codons. In *Staphylococcus aureus*, *mraW* mutants also exhibit an anomalous translation fidelity, along with a reduced growth rate and an increased sensitivity to oxidative stress (Kyuma et al., 2015). More recently, the *MraW* protein was found to also methylate DNA and alter gene expression in *E. coli* (Xu et al., 2019). Of note, loss of *MraW* increases the expression of *MraZ*, which supports the antagonistic activity between these two proteins described earlier (Eraso et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2019).

By contrast, the function of the ancestral homologue of tubulin, *FtsZ*, is much better established. *FtsZ* polymerizes and depolymerizes through GTP hydrolysis and forms a ring-like structure at the midcell known as the Z-ring that subsequently contracts during septation (Adams and Errington, 2009; Busiek and Margolin, 2015). The Z-ring recruits cell division proteins and septal peptidoglycan synthesizing enzymes to the division site, and constitutes the scaffold of the bacterial divisome (Errington et al., 2003). In *E. coli*, *FtsA* is the first protein known to be recruited to the septal ring and it is important for the stability of *FtsZ* (Vicente and Rico, 2006). Of note, the ratio of *FtsZ* and *FtsA* is critical for the proper functioning

of the cell division apparatus (Dai and Lutkenhaus, 1992; Dewar et al., 1992). In fact, it has been recently demonstrated that cell division in the Gram-positive bacterium *Bacillus subtilis* is mediated by filaments of *FtsZ* and *FtsA*, which treadmill circumferentially around the division ring, driving the motion of the peptidoglycan synthesizing enzymes (Bisson-Filho et al., 2017).

Because of its pivotal role in cell division, *FtsZ* expression is controlled at multiple levels (Robin et al., 1990). Similarly, septal ring formation and localization are tightly regulated (Vicente et al., 1998). The complex regulation observed within the *dcw* gene cluster has a fundamental biological role and accordingly, dissociation of *ftsZ* expression from its natural regulatory signals leads to important alterations in the physiology of cell division. However, so far, very little is known regarding the factors controlling *FtsZ* expression, localization, and function in mycoplasmas. The cell division gene cluster has an operonic structure in *Mycoplasma genitalium* and the close related species *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* (Benders et al., 2005). On the other hand, the N-terminal region of *FtsZ* from *Mycoplasma pulmonis* could function for cell division in *E. coli* suppressor strains, provided that the C-terminal tail is replaced (Osawa and Erickson, 2006). In addition, in *Mycoplasma hominis*, *FtsZ* protofilaments can form spiral structures similar to Z-spirals of *B. subtilis* and *E. coli*, suggesting also a functional role of *FtsZ* in cell division (Vishnyakov et al., 2009). Recent data also suggest that *FtsZ* stability is controlled by the Lon protease in *M. pneumoniae* (Burgos et al., 2020).


Remarkably, while the *ftsZ* gene is essential in most bacteria (Beall and Lutkenhaus, 1991; Dai and Lutkenhaus, 1991), it is dispensable in cell wall-deficient derivatives of some Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria known as L-forms (Mercier et al., 2014). Similarly, bacteria from the *Planctomycetes* and *Chlamydiae* superphylum lack the *FtsZ* protein (Pilhofer et al., 2008). In a previous study, our laboratory demonstrated that *ftsZ* was non-essential for *in vitro* growth of *M. genitalium* (Lluch-Senar et al., 2010), raising important questions as to the conservation of *ftsZ* in mycoplasmas. In keeping with this, other species phylogenetically related to *M. genitalium* such as *Mycoplasma mobile* or *Ureaplasma urealyticum*, do not code for a homolog of the *ftsZ* gene (Glass et al., 2000; Jaffe et al., 2004). Therefore, what is the role of the cell division genes in mycoplasmas? We have addressed this question by constructing and characterizing several cell division mutants in the genome-reduced bacterium *M. genitalium*.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Bacterial Strains and Culture Conditions

All *M. genitalium* strains were grown in SP-4 broth at 37°C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere in tissue culture flasks. SP-4 plates were prepared supplementing the medium with 0.8% agar (BD). Chloramphenicol (17 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>) or tetracycline (3 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>) were added for mutant selection. All *M. genitalium* strains used in this work are listed in the **Supplementary Table S1**. *Escherichia coli* strain XL-1 Blue was used for cloning and

**Abbreviations:** SEM, Scanning electron microscopy; TMO, Terminal organelle; WGS, Whole genome sequencing.



**FIGURE 1 |** Overview of the *dcw* gene cluster organization in selected bacteria. Scheme depicting the *dcw* gene cluster of three representative species of the Firmicutes phylum **(A)**. *Bacillus subtilis* (17 genes, 18.9kb), *Staphylococcus aureus* (nine genes, 10.7 kb), and *Mycoplasma genitalium* (four genes, 3.7 kb).

**(B)** Scheme of the cell division gene cluster in the different *M. genitalium* mutants created in this study. The promoter region of the *mraZ* gene ( $P_{mraZ}$ ) was characterized in a previous report (Benders et al., 2005). The *tetM* or *cat* markers (in yellow) were introduced to select for the intended mutants.

plasmid propagation. The strain was grown in Luria Bertani (LB) or LB agar plates containing  $100\mu\text{gml}^{-1}$  ampicillin,  $40\mu\text{gml}^{-1}$  X-Gal, and  $24\mu\text{gml}^{-1}$  Isopropyl  $\beta$ -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) when needed.

## DNA Manipulation

Plasmid DNA was obtained using 5Prime kit. PCR products were purified from agarose gels using Nucleospin Gel and PCR Clean-up kit (Macherey-Nagel) and digested with the corresponding restriction enzymes (Fermentas) when necessary.

All primers used in this study are listed in the **Supplementary Table S2**. Plasmids for *M. genitalium* transformation were obtained using the GenElute HP Midiprep kit (Sigma).

## Mutant Construction and Screening

A detailed explanation of the methodology, including primers and plasmids, used to generate the different mutants created in this study is supplied in the **Supplementary Material**. Integrity of the chromosome in the mutant strains, other than

the intended deletions, was assessed and confirmed by whole genome sequencing (WGS; **Supplementary Table S3**). Transformation of *M. genitalium* was carried out as previously described (Torres-Puig et al., 2015). Screening for mutants was performed using cell lysates as template for PCR or sequencing reactions. Cell lysates were obtained by centrifugation of 1.5 ml cell cultures, disruption of pellets using 30 µl of Lysis Buffer (Tris-HCl 0.1 M pH 8.5, Tween-20 0.05%, and proteinase K 0.25 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>), and incubation for 1 h at 37°C followed by inactivation at 95°C for 10 min.

## DNA Sequencing

DNA sequencing reactions were performed using BigDye® v3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit using 2.5 µl of genomic DNA or *M. genitalium* lysate, following manufacturer's instructions. All reactions were analyzed in an ABI PRISM 3130xl Genetic Analyzer at the Servei de Genòmica i Bioinformàtica (UAB).

## Growth Rate Quantification

Growth rates of *M. genitalium* cultures were determined using an adaptation of the colorimetric protocol described by Karr et al. (2012). Strains were grown to mid-log phase in 25 cm<sup>2</sup> flasks with 5 ml SP4. Attached cells were scraped off, recovered by centrifugation at 12,000 rpm, and resuspended in 3 ml of fresh SP4. Then, 300 µl of the cellular suspension were inoculated in four different wells of a 96-well plate. Each of these wells constitutes the first sample of four technical repeats. About 100 µl of the first well (sample 1) were inoculated into the next well (sample 2) already containing 200 µl of fresh SP4, thus diluting 1/3 the initial concentration. This process was repeated four more times (samples 3, 4, 5, and 6), achieving a final dilution of 1/243. The 96-well plate was sealed with transparent tape, placed into a Tecan Sunrise Absorbance Microplate Reader (Tecan), and incubated at 37°C for 7 days. As *M. genitalium* grows, the bacterial metabolism acidifies the culture medium changing the color of the phenol red indicator from red (pH 7.8) to yellow (pH 6), which was detected by measuring the absorbance at 550 nm. For each analysis, readings were taken every 30 min for up to 8 days (total of 400 reads per well). The readings were stored in an Excel datasheet and analyzed once the experiment was completed, and a curve was plotted for each dilution and the inflection point was inferred. Next, the inflection points were plotted in a graphic, using the Napierian logarithm of the dilution as the *x* coordinate for each dilution. Once all the inflection points were plotted, the slope ( $\mu$ , growth rate constant) was inferred by linear regression, and the doubling time (*g*) was obtained and according to the general equation for exponential growth of bacteria [ $g = \ln 2 / (1/\mu)$ ].

## RNA-Seq

RNA-Seq analyses were performed as previously described (Torres-Puig et al., 2018; Martínez-Torró et al., 2020). Mid-log phase cultures of *M. genitalium* were scraped off in 1 ml of fresh SP-4 and reinoculated in two new 25 cm<sup>2</sup> tissue culture flasks with fresh SP-4 medium for 6 h. Then, cells were lysed, and total

RNA was extracted using the miRNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). RNA libraries were prepared with TruSeq Stranded Total RNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina) and analyzed using a HiSeq 3000 System (Illumina) at the Genomics Unit from the Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG), Barcelona. cDNA clusters were immobilized in sequencing lanes of 2×50 reads. Reverse and complementary were computed for sequences coming from Read1 primer. Data analysis and sequence alignment were performed using Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) in the End-to-End mode and Forward-Forward paired-ends. Sequences were piled up using SAMtools (Li et al., 2009) with no limited set to the number of sequences in the alignment. Counts in the different ORFs were performed with a standalone version of featureCounts program (Liao et al., 2014) without counting the multi-mapping reads and disabling multi-overlapping reads.

Counted features were then submitted to the R/Bioconductor package DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014) for statistical analysis. DESeq2 analysis used a parametric fitType and a zero-mean normal prior on the non-intercept coefficients. Data were sorted by log2 fold change, and statistical significance was set at the common threshold value of  $p < 0.05$ . Three independent biological repeats of each strain or condition were analyzed.

## qRT-PCR

RNA was extracted from mid-log phase cultures of *M. genitalium* using the RNAqueous Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and then treated with Turbo DNase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcription was performed with iScript Reverse Transcriptase (Bio-Rad) and random primers as previously described (Torres-Puig et al., 2015). Primers used for qPCR are listed in **Supplementary Table S2** and they were designed using Primer3 software. qPCR was performed with iTaq polymerase (Bio-Rad) and SYBR green in CFX96 or CFX384 PCR instruments (Bio-Rad). Relative gene expression was calculated using the Pfaffl method (Pfaffl, 2001). Differential gene expression was judged based on the common arbitrary 2-fold cutoff. Data presented in the manuscript correspond to the analysis of RNAs isolated from three independent biological repeats.

## Quantification of Protein Abundance

Differences in relative protein abundance were assessed by stable isotope labeling by amino acids in cell culture (SILAC). To this end, *M. genitalium* cultures were grown to mid-log phase in 25 cm<sup>2</sup> flasks on Hayflick's medium with 15 mM of light (<sup>12</sup>C) or heavy (<sup>13</sup>C) lysine. Once grown, cells were split (1:10) and cultured in the same conditions. Then, cells were washed with PBS, scraped off, and pellets stored at -80°C. Protein lysates were prepared as previously described (Sabadó et al., 2012). Protein quantification was performed using the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and protein extracts from heavy and light media cultures were mixed at a 1:1 ratio. Samples were then reduced with dithiothreitol (150 nmol, 1 h, 37°C) and alkylated in the dark with iodoacetamide (300 nmol, 30 min, 25°C). The resulting protein extract was diluted 1/3 with 200 mM NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub> and digested with 5 µg LysC (Wako)



overnight at 37°C. Finally, the peptide mix was acidified with formic acid and desalted with a homemade Empore C18 column (3M, St. Paul, MN, United States; Rappsilber et al., 2007). Samples were analyzed using an LTQ-Orbitrap Velos Pro mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, United States) coupled to an EasyLC [Thermo Fisher Scientific (Proxeon), Odense, Denmark]. Peptides were loaded directly onto the analytical 25-cm column with an inner diameter of 75 µm and packed with 5-µm C18 particles (Nikkyo Technos Co. Ltd., Japan). Chromatographic gradients started at 97% buffer A and 3% buffer B, with a flow rate of 250 nl/min, and gradually increased to 65% buffer A/35% buffer B over 360 min. After each analysis, the column was washed for 10 min with 10% buffer A/90% buffer B. Buffer A: 0.1% formic acid in water. Buffer B: 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile. The mass spectrometer was operated in positive ionization mode with a nanospray voltage set at 2.2 kV and source temperature at 250°C. Ultramark 1621 for the FT mass analyzer was used for external calibration prior to the analyses. Moreover, an internal calibration was also performed using the background polysiloxane ion signal at  $m/z$  445.1200. The instrument was operated in data-dependent acquisition (DDA) mode and full MS scans with one micro scan at a resolution of 60,000 were used over a mass range of  $m/z$  350–2,000 with detection in the Orbitrap. Auto gain control (AGC) was set to  $10^6$ , and dynamic exclusion (60 s) and charge state filtering disqualifying singly charged peptides were carried out. In each cycle of DDA analysis, following each survey scan, the top 10 most intense ions with multiple charged ions above a threshold ion count of 5,000 were selected for fragmentation at normalized collision energy of 35%. Fragment ion spectra produced *via* collision-induced dissociation (CID) were acquired in the ion trap, AGC was set to  $5e^4$ , with an isolation window of 2.0  $m/z$ , activation time of 0.1 ms, and maximum injection time of 100 ms. All data were acquired with Xcalibur software v2.2. The MaxQuant software suite (v1.4.0.5) was used for peptide identification and SILAC protein quantitation (Cox and Mann, 2008). The data were searched against an in-house generated database containing all *M. genitalium* proteins.

## Scanning Electron Microscopy

*Mycoplasma genitalium* cultures were grown to mid-log phase over glass coverslips. Samples were fixed, dehydrated, and critical point dried as previously described (Pich et al., 2008). Coverslips were then sputter coated with gold and examined in a Merlin (Zeiss) scanning electron microscope (SEM). SEM micrographs were analyzed with the ImageJ software to determine the frequency of cells in division. To this end, we determined the number of terminal organelles (TMOs) per cell. As mycoplasma cells duplicate the tip structure during cell division, cells with one TMO were classified as non-dividing, while cells with two or more TMOs were classified as dividing. On the other hand, we measured the length of single cells from the tip of the TMO to the opposite pole. In addition, we determined the length of cytokinetic cells by measuring the distance from the tip of one TMO to the tip of the opposite TMO. For this analysis, only cells with one TMO at each cell pole were considered.

## Phase Contrast and Fluorescence Microscopy

*Mycoplasma genitalium* cells were grown in filtered SP-4 medium (0.22 µm) on IBIDI chamber slides for 16 h, washed once with  $1 \times$  PBS, and visualized on an inverted Nikon Eclipse TE 2000-E microscope. For the analysis of the mg191ftsZCh non-adherent mutant, IBIDI chamber slides were previously treated with 0.2 mg/ml poly-L-lysine hydrobromide (Sigma-Aldrich) solution, allowing surface adherence. In addition, cells were passed 10 times through a 25-gauge syringe to break the aggregates. Hoechst 33342  $0.01 \text{ mg ml}^{-1}$  was added when necessary. All strains were grown and visualized under the same conditions. Phase contrast, enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (eYFP), 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), and TRITC epifluorescence images were captured with a Digital Sight DS-SMC Nikon camera controlled by NIS-Elements BR software. Images were analyzed using ImageJ software and GDSC plug-in.

## RESULTS


### Deletion of the Entire Cell Division Gene Cluster of *Mycoplasma genitalium*

Previously, we demonstrated that the *ftsZ* gene was non-essential in *M. genitalium* (Lluch-Senar et al., 2010). Based on this finding, we speculated whether the entire cell division gene cluster could be dispensable in this wall-less bacterium. To ascertain this, we replaced the four genes of the cell division gene cluster of *M. genitalium* by the chloramphenicol resistance marker (Figure 1B). We recovered several chloramphenicol resistant transformants and confirmed the deletion of the entire gene cluster (3.7 kb) by PCR and sequencing. We found that the duplication time of the resulting *dcw* mutant was significantly longer ( $10.13 \pm 0.33 \text{ h}$ ) than that of the wild-type strain ( $8.38 \pm 0.28 \text{ h}$ ; Figure 2A). In addition, SEM analyses revealed an increased frequency of cells in division in the *dcw* mutant (30.53%) as compared to the wild-type strain (13.35%; Figure 2B).

### Characterization of Cell Division Mutants of *Mycoplasma genitalium*

To dissect and better understand the phenotype of the *dcw* mutant, we created strains defective for each gene of the cell division gene cluster by allelic exchange. First, we obtained mutants for the MG\_223 and *ftsZ* genes using the chloramphenicol resistance marker for selection (Figure 1B; Supplementary Material). Our analyses revealed that the growth rate of the *ftsZ* mutant ( $8.29 \pm 0.09 \text{ h}$ ) was comparable to that of the wild-type strain ( $8.38 \pm 0.28 \text{ h}$ ; Figure 2A). By contrast, the duplication time of the MG\_223 mutant increased significantly ( $9.80 \pm 0.56 \text{ h}$ ). SEM analyses revealed an increased frequency of cells in division in both mutant strains (*ftsZ*, 22.44%; MG\_223, 29.15%; Figure 2B).

Next, we created mutants lacking either *mraZ* or *mraW*. In this case, to preserve the operonic structure of the gene cluster, the antibiotic resistance marker was placed at the 5' end of the



**FIGURE 2 |** Cell fitness analysis of the wild-type strain and several *M. genitalium* mutants. Growth rates (A) and percentage of cells in division (B) of different *M. genitalium* mutants of the cell division gene cluster. Statistical significance was assessed using the Student's *t*-test and the value of *p* of significant values ( $p < 0.05$ ) is indicated above each data point. An average of 900 cells for each strain were analyzed (C). Graph depicting the length of single or cytokinetic cells. An average of 320 cells for each strain were measured.

*mraZ* UTR (Figure 1B; Supplementary Material). For control purposes, we also created a reference strain, designated *mraREF*, carrying the selectable marker in the same chromosomal location, but with an intact cell division gene cluster (Figure 1B; Supplementary Material). Cells from the *mraREF* strain grew at a similar rate ( $8.81 \pm 0.29$  h) than those of the wild-type strain ( $8.38 \pm 0.28$  h; Figure 2A). However, the duplication time increased significantly upon the loss of *MraZ* ( $11.54 \pm 0.38$  h). Cells from the *mraW* null mutant duplicated at a slower rate ( $9.96 \pm 0.53$  h), indicating that the absence of *MraW* is also detrimental for growth. All the mutant strains obtained were examined by genome resequencing for the presence of mutations other than those introduced by genetic manipulation. No major genome rearrangements like large deletions or translocations were detected in all the analyzed strains. However, all the strains showed the

presence of small variants like SNPs or INDELS in different frequencies. Many of these variants were also identified in the G37 strain. All new variants were found in recombogenic, variable repeated regions, being most of them in non-coding genome locations, thus supporting the absence of additional mutations that could impact on the phenotype of the *dcw* strains (Supplementary Material).

Scanning electron microscopy analyses revealed a slight increase in the frequency of cells in division in the *mraW* mutant (19.0%) when compared to the wild-type (13.35%) or the *mraREF* strains (12.99%; Figure 2B). Remarkably, the frequency of dividing cells found in the *mraZ* mutant was markedly high (38.44%). Moreover, we observed that cytokinetic cells from the *mraZ* mutant were markedly elongated (Figures 3A,B). Quantitative analysis confirmed that cells in

cytokinesis from the *mraZ* mutant were longer ( $1.651 \pm 0.372 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $n=96$ ) than those of the wild-type strain ( $1.118 \pm 0.177 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $n=24$ ; **Figure 2C**). By contrast, analyses of the other cell division mutants obtained in this study did not reveal morphological changes in the cytokinetic cells (**Figure 2C**).

## Transcriptional Analysis of the Cell Division Gene Cluster in Different Mutant Backgrounds

Previous studies in *E. coli* indicated that *MraZ* is a transcriptional repressor (Eraso et al., 2014). Therefore, we conducted a genome-wide transcriptional analysis in *M. genitalium* by RNA-Seq to identify transcriptional changes in the *mraZ* mutant (**Table 1**). Our transcriptomic data revealed that *mraW*, *ftsZ*, and the MG\_223 gene were significantly upregulated in the absence of *MraZ*. By contrast, the transcription was largely unaffected in both the *mraW* mutant and the *mraREF* control strain. qRT-PCR analyses confirmed the increased expression of the cell division genes in the absence of *MraZ* (**Figure 4**). To confirm that the altered gene expression observed in the *mraZ* mutant was due to the loss of the *MraZ* protein, we reintroduced an ectopic copy of the *mraZ* gene under the control of its native promoter. Of note, several complementation attempts with a transposon encoded copy of the *mraZ* gene, alone or in combination with *mraW*, were unsuccessful. However, insertion of the *mraZ* gene at the end of the cell division gene cluster in the *mraZ* mutant restored the transcriptional levels of *mraW*, *ftsZ*, and the MG\_223 gene (**Figure 4**; **Supplementary Figure S1**). On the other hand, we also assessed

transcription of the cell division gene cluster in a mutant strain lacking the *mg191* gene, which codes for the major cytoadhesin P140 of *M. genitalium*. Cells from the *mg191* mutant are pleomorphic and grow in suspension as large cell aggregates (Burgos et al., 2006). This non-adherent mutant was analyzed to shed some light on the connection between cell division and adherence in *M. genitalium*. In this sense, in a previous study (Lluch-Senar et al., 2010), we showed that, in contrast to WT cells, an *ftsZ* mutant could not generate non-adherent variants. Based on this observation, we hypothesized that non-adherent mutants rely exclusively on the cell division cluster for division. Remarkably, transcriptional analysis of the *mg191* mutant revealed a significant upregulation of the cell division gene cluster (**Figure 4**).


## Proteome Analysis of the *mraZ* and *mraW* Mutants

Next, we wondered whether the transcriptional changes observed in the *mraZ* null mutant were conserved at the protein level. To this end, we determined the proteome profile of the *mraZ* mutant by SILAC (**Supplementary Tables S4 and S5**). Our analyses demonstrated a marked increase in the cellular levels of *MraW* (14.3-fold) and *FtsZ* (25-fold; **Table 2**). Along the same lines, expression of the MG\_223 protein could only be detected when *MraZ* was absent.

We also investigated the presence of proteomic changes in the *mraW* mutant (**Supplementary Tables S6 and S7**). We found that several proteins, including a number of DNA and RNA methyltransferases, were differentially expressed in the absence of *MraW*. As these changes in protein abundance were not accompanied by changes in mRNA levels, we anticipate that *MraW* may modulate protein expression at the post-transcriptional level. Of note, we found that the levels of the *FtsZ* protein were slightly higher (by 2-fold) in the *mraW* mutant (**Table 2**).

## FtsZ Expression and Localization Dynamics

Previous attempts in our laboratory to monitor *FtsZ* expression in *M. genitalium* using fluorescent markers were unsuccessful. In these studies, we characterized mutant strains carrying an




**FIGURE 3 |** Characterization of cell morphology of the *mraZ* mutant. Scanning electron micrographs of the wild-type strain (**A**) and the *mraZ* mutant (**B**). White arrows indicate some cells in cytokinesis.

**TABLE 1 |** Transcriptional changes in the *mraZ* and *mraW* mutants by RNA-Seq.

| Gene        | <i>mraZ</i>      |  | <i>mraW</i>      |          |
|-------------|------------------|--|------------------|----------|
|             | Log2 fold change | <i>p</i>                                 | Log2 fold change | <i>p</i> |
| <i>mraZ</i> | <b>−4.64</b>     | <b>0</b>                                 | 0.10             | 0.24     |
| <i>mraW</i> | <b>3.02</b>      | <b>0</b>                                 | <b>−3.84</b>     | <b>0</b> |
| MG_223      | <b>2.08</b>      | <b><math>2 \times 10^{-139}</math></b>   | −0.21            | 0.02     |
| <i>ftsZ</i> | <b>2.34</b>      | <b><math>9.3 \times 10^{-218}</math></b> | −0.21            | 0.03     |

Transcriptional changes in the cell division gene cluster identified by RNA-Seq in the *mraZ* and *mraW* mutants. Changes that are statistically significant (value of  $p < 0.05$ ) and biologically relevant (above or below the  $\log_2 \pm 1$  arbitrary cutoff) are highlighted in bold. Data were obtained from the analysis of three independent biological repeats of each mutant.



**FIGURE 4 |** Transcriptional analysis of the cell division gene cluster of several *M. genitalium* mutants by qRT-PCR. Transcriptional changes of the cell division gene cluster in the *mraREF* mutant (A) or the *mraZ*, *mraW*, and *mg191* mutants (B) compared to the wild-type strain. Bars represent the average log2 fold change of at least three independent biological repeats. Statistical significance was assessed using the Student's *t*-test, and the value of *p* of biologically significant values ( $\log_2 \pm 1$ ) is stated above the corresponding bar if significant (value of  $p < 0.05$ ).

**TABLE 2 |** Comparative analysis of the abundance of cell division proteins in the wild-type strain and the *mraZ* and *mraW* mutants by stable isotope labeling by amino acids in cell culture (SILAC).

| Locus tag | Gene        | Gene product                                    | G37                | mraZ               |              | mraW               |      |
|-----------|-------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------|------|
|           |             |   | AUC                | AUC                | FC           | AUC                | FC   |
| MG_221    | <i>mraZ</i> | Transcriptional regulator MraZ                  | $4.12 \times 10^8$ | ND                 | –            | $4.65 \times 10^8$ | –    |
| MG_222    | <i>mraW</i> | Ribosomal RNA small subunit methyltransferase H | $4.17 \times 10^7$ | $5.95 \times 10^8$ | <b>14.28</b> | ND                 | –    |
| MG_223    | –           | Uncharacterized protein                         | ND                 | $7.88 \times 10^6$ | –            | ND                 | –    |
| MG_224    | <i>ftsZ</i> | Cell division protein                           | $4.40 \times 10^6$ | $1.10 \times 10^6$ | <b>24.96</b> | $8.50 \times 10^6$ | 1.93 |


Area under the curve (AUC) of the four proteins encoded in the cell division gene cluster in the wild-type (G37) and the *mraZ* and *mraW* mutants. Fold-change (FC) with respect to the G37 strain is indicated. Biologically significant fold changes ( $>2$  and  $<0.5$ ) are highlighted in bold. ND stands for not detected.

*ftsZ-mcherry* fusion at its native locus, that is, at the 3' end of the cell division gene cluster (Figure 5). Presumably, FtsZ expression was too low to allow detection of the fluorescent fusion in single cells. However, we hypothesized that the increased levels of FtsZ expression observed in the *mraZ* mutant could facilitate the visualization of this protein in live cells. Therefore, we assessed FtsZ-mCherry expression in *MraZ*-deprived cells (Supplementary Material) and found a large number (56.63%) of fluorescent cells, although at different extents (Figure 5). The presence of non-fluorescent cells suggests that the expression and assembly of FtsZ are under the control of other factors in addition to MraZ (Burgos et al., 2020). Separately, we assessed FtsZ expression in the absence of MraW and observed that a small subset of cells (1.58%) exhibited mCherry fluorescence (Figure 5). This result is in keeping with the increased amount of FtsZ identified in the *mraW* mutant by proteomics analysis. On the other hand, we tested whether the FtsZ-mCherry fusion was visible in the P140 adhesin mutant. In agreement with the transcriptional data,

we observed that some cells exhibited a conspicuous FtsZ-associated fluorescence (Figure 5).

A detailed analysis of the *mraZ* mutant revealed that FtsZ foci displayed a polar location. Of note, *M. genitalium* cells are polar because they exhibit a TMO instrumental for cytoadherence. For this reason, we wanted to test whether FtsZ foci co-localized with the TMO of this bacterium. To this end, we tagged P65, a protein that localizes at the distal end of the TMO (Burgos et al., 2008). Introduction of an eYFP fluorescent marker at the P65 native locus (MG\_217) allowed the identification of sharp P65-eYFP foci, providing a way to unambiguously identify the cell pole containing the TMO (Figure 6). We found that FtsZ clustered at the cell pole opposite to P65 in cells showing a single P65 focus. This is important because as mycoplasma cells duplicate the TMO prior to cytokinesis (Miyata and Seto, 1999), some cells displayed two separate P65 foci. In addition, we observed that the intensity and localization of the FtsZ foci varied through the cell division cycle. Based on the localization of the two tagged proteins






(FtsZ and P65) and the chromosome stained with Hoechst 33258, we recognized eight different stages throughout the cell cycle (**Figure 7**). Non-dividing cells (stage 1) exhibit a single P65 focus and FtsZ signal is weak. In time, FtsZ is recruited at the cell pole opposite to the TMO (stage 2). Prior to cytokinesis, a new P65 focus forms close to the pre-existent focus (stage 3). Then, one of these P65 foci migrates to the opposite cell pole and localizes near the FtsZ focus (stage 4). Next, likely coinciding with chromosome segregation, FtsZ leaves the polar location and moves to the midcell to form a diffuse band (stage 5). As cytokinesis proceeds, FtsZ signal decreases (stage 6) and daughter cells display small FtsZ foci with marginal fluorescence (stage 7). Finally, daughter cells separate and FtsZ signal disappears (stage 8), but soon after, FtsZ accumulation starts within the cell body (stage 1).

## DISCUSSION

Mycoplasmas are wall-less bacteria that divide by binary fission. So far, the factors mediating and coordinating cell division in these genome-reduced bacteria are poorly understood. Typically, the cell division gene cluster of mycoplasmas comprises four genes, including *ftsZ*. In walled bacteria, it is well-established that FtsZ drives peptidoglycan synthesis at the division site (Bisson-Filho et al., 2017). However, the conservation in mycoplasmas of FtsZ and other cell division related proteins, such as *MraZ* or *MraW*, is puzzling. In this study, we constructed and characterized several cell division mutants of *M. genitalium*. We found that deletion of *mraZ* induces a strong activation of the other genes of the cell division gene cluster. Hence, our results indicate that *MraZ* functions as a transcriptional repressor.




This finding correlates well with proteomics data showing that *MraW*, *FtsZ*, and *MG\_223* proteins are overexpressed in the absence of *MraZ*. Altogether, our results substantiate the repressor role of *MraZ* previously documented by Eraso et al. (2014). Unlike in *E. coli*, *MraZ* depletion in *M. genitalium* results in a clear phenotype. The *mraZ* mutant exhibits a significant growth delay, important morphological alterations, and a high frequency of cells stuck in cytokinesis. These data indicate that expression of the cell division proteins of *M. genitalium* at relative high levels throughout the cell cycle is largely detrimental.

A previous study in *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* revealed that *MraZ* overexpression induces a characteristic cell filamentation (Fisunov et al., 2016), which is reminiscent to the phenotype described in the *mraZ* mutant of *M. genitalium*. Moreover, the filamentation described in *M. gallisepticum* was also associated with increased levels of *FtsZ*. In the same study, the authors define a binding site for *MraZ* in Mollicutes, characterized by a series of direct repeats of the sequence AAAGTG[T/G]. The only

occurrence of this motif in the chromosome of *M. genitalium* lays within the promoter region of the *mraZ* gene. This finding is consistent with the lack of transcriptional changes outside the cell division gene cluster in our *mraZ* mutant. Of note, complementation of the *mraZ* mutant of *M. genitalium* could only be achieved in *cis*, that is, reintroducing a copy of the *mraZ* gene at an ectopic site within the cell division gene cluster. This result reveals a complex interplay between the relative location of the *mraZ* gene in the chromosome and *MraZ* activity. In this sense, our results indicate that the repressor role of *MraZ* requires a transcriptional linkage between the *mraZ* gene, the *MraZ* operator, and the cell division gene cluster.

On the other hand, we found that cultures of the *mraW* mutant grew at a slower rate than those of the wild-type strain. More importantly, we could detect FtsZ-mCherry fluorescence in a small subset of cells from this mutant. This fact is relevant because we could not detect FtsZ-mCherry expression in the wild-type background. Thus, *MraW* may



**FIGURE 7 |** FtsZ localization dynamics throughout the cell cycle of *M. genitalium*. Scheme depicting different stages of the *M. genitalium* cell cycle based on the localization of FtsZ foci relative to the terminal organelle (TMO) and the chromosome. In this model, FtsZ accumulates at the cell body (1) and clusters at the cell pole opposite to the TMO (2). Then, the TMO duplicates (3) and one TMO moves to the opposite cell pole (4). Next, FtsZ foci move to the midcell (5). Finally, cytokinesis starts (6) and the FtsZ signal decreases (7) until the process is completed (8).

play a role in regulating FtsZ expression in *M. genitalium*. This regulation must take place at the post-transcriptional level, as no transcriptional changes could be detected in the *mraW* mutant. In agreement with these data, our proteomics analysis revealed a slight increase of FtsZ levels in the absence of MraW. Of note, FtsZ expression in the *mraW* mutant is expected to be low because of the repressor activity of MraZ. The differential expression of several methyltransferases in the *mraW* mutant was also noticeable, which may represent a response of *M. genitalium* to the loss of the methyltransferase activity of MraW. The existence of species with orphan *mraW* genes supports a role for MraW independent from MraZ function in the regulation of cell division. It has been reported that MraW methylates the C1402 residue of the 16S rRNA (Kimura and Suzuki, 2010). This residue is involved in translation initiation and its mutation in *E. coli* leads to an increased doubling time (Jemiolo et al., 1985). Moreover, the loss of MraW function has been directly related to an altered translation fidelity (Kyuma et al., 2015), DNA methylation, and regulation of gene expression (Xu et al., 2019). Our RNA-Seq data from the *mraW* mutant suggest that MraW does not regulate gene expression in *M. genitalium*. However, whether MraW has a

role in DNA or rRNA methylation in this bacterium still needs to be fully addressed.

The increased levels of FtsZ expression in the *mraZ* mutant allowed us to visualize this protein in single cells and to determine the FtsZ dynamics throughout the cell cycle of *M. genitalium*. We found that FtsZ clusters at the cell pole opposite to the TMO. When TMOs duplicate and migrate to the opposite cell pole, FtsZ-associated foci move toward the midcell. Intensity of the FtsZ foci decreases when they arrive at the midcell and the two chromosomes start to segregate. This pattern strongly suggests that FtsZ might play an active role in cell division and facilitates cytokinesis in *M. genitalium*. In addition, the localization of FtsZ protein during the cell cycle seems to be tightly regulated. Although the presence of a Min system (Lutkenhaus, 2007; Rowlett and Margolin, 2015) has not been described in mycoplasmas, it is presumed that unidentified regulatory factors inhibit the formation of a Z-ring close to the TMO. Interestingly, *M. genitalium* codes for a DivIVA domain-containing protein (MG211), a key component of the Min system of *B. subtilis* (Edwards and Errington, 1997). In addition, we observed that FtsZ foci were sometimes located between two DNA foci, suggesting that nucleoid occlusion is also in place in *M. genitalium*.

Altogether, the distinct localization of the FtsZ protein throughout the cell cycle suggests the existence of unknown factors regulating cell division in this genome-reduced bacterium. These underlying regulatory mechanisms coordinate the cytokinesis process with chromosome segregation (Seto and Miyata, 1999).

The results of our study indicate that the cell division gene cluster of *M. genitalium* plays a minor role during *in vitro* propagation of wild-type cells. This is consistent with previous reports showing that motility seems to be the primary force facilitating cytokinesis in *M. pneumoniae*, a close relative species (Hasselbring et al., 2006). A few years ago, we documented that deletion of the *ftsZ* gene abrogated the occurrence of non-adherent phase variants in *M. genitalium* (Lluch-Senar et al., 2010). These non-adherent variants arise at relatively high frequencies and may play an important role to evade the immune response during infection (Burgos et al., 2018). Based on this observation, we proposed that FtsZ could be important for cell division in non-adherent cells of *M. genitalium*. Of note, non-adherent derivatives of *M. genitalium* are non-motile, largely pleomorphic and grow as large cell aggregates (Burgos et al., 2006). In the current study, we provide evidence that the cell division gene cluster is upregulated in a non-adherent mutant of *M. genitalium*. This finding is in agreement with our previous hypothesis that FtsZ and the formation of a rudimentary divisome may be required when gliding motility is unfeasible. Therefore, the strict parasitic lifestyle of *M. genitalium* and the intricate strategy to escape immune surveillance, likely imposes the conservation of the cell division gene cluster in this wall-less bacterium. Remarkably, most *Mycoplasma* species are non-motile, which may also enforce the conservation of the cell division genes. Supporting this notion, a recent report has demonstrated that *ftsZ* is important to restore cell morphology and proficiency of cell division in synthetic minimal cells (Pelletier et al., 2021).

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are publicly available. This data can be found here: National Center for

Biotechnology Information (NCBI) BioProject database under accession number PRJNA750275.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CM-T, ST-P, ML-S, LS, EQ, JP, and OQP contributed to conception and design of the study. CM-T, ST-P, MM-S, MH-R, CM-N, ML-S, and OQP performed the experiments. CM-T and ST-P performed the statistical analysis. CM-T and OQP wrote the first draft of the manuscript. ST-P, EQ, and JP wrote some parts of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## FUNDING

This work was supported by the grant BIO2017-84166-R from the Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the staff of the Servei de Genòmica i Bioinformàtica (UAB), the Genomics Unit (CRG) and the Proteomics Unit (CRG), for performing Sanger sequencing, RNA-Seq and SILAC analyses, respectively. In addition, we are thankful to the thesis committee of CM-T and the two reviewers of this manuscript for their helpful comments and constructive discussion. Finally, CM-T and MM-S want to acknowledge a PIF fellowship from the UAB and a FI predoctoral fellowship from the Generalitat de Catalunya, respectively.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2021.695572/full#supplementary-material>

## REFERENCES

- Adams, D., and Errington, J. (2009). Bacterial cell division: assembly, maintenance and disassembly of the Z ring. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 7, 642–653. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2198
- Alarcón, E., de Vasconcelos, A. T. R., Yim, L., and Zaha, A. (2007). Genes involved in cell division in mycoplasmas. *Genet. Mol. Biol.* 30, 174–181. doi: 10.1590/S1415-47572007000200003
- Beall, B., and Lutkenhaus, J. (1991). FtsZ in *Bacillus subtilis* is required for vegetative septation and for asymmetric septation during sporulation. *Genes Dev.* 5, 447–455. doi: 10.1101/gad.5.3.447
- Benders, G. A., Powell, B. C., and Hutchison, C. A. (2005). Transcriptional analysis of the conserved *ftsZ* gene cluster in *Mycoplasma genitalium* and *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*. *J. Bacteriol.* 187, 4542–4551. doi: 10.1128/JB.187.13.4542-4551.2005
- Bisson-Filho, A. W., Hsu, Y. P., Squyres, G. R., Kuru, E., Wu, F., Jukes, C., et al. (2017). Treadmilling by FtsZ filaments drives peptidoglycan synthesis and bacterial cell division. *Science* 355, 739–743. doi: 10.1126/science.aak9973
- Burgos, R., Pich, O. Q., Ferrer-Navarro, M., Baseman, J. B., Querol, E., and Piñol, J. (2006). *Mycoplasma genitalium* P140 and P110 cytoadhesins are reciprocally stabilized and required for cell adhesion and terminal-organelle development. *J. Bacteriol.* 188, 8627–8637. doi: 10.1128/JB.00978-06
- Burgos, R., Pich, O. Q., Querol, E., and Piñol, J. (2008). Deletion of the *Mycoplasma genitalium* MG\_217 gene modifies cell gliding behaviour by altering terminal organelle curvature. *Mol. Microbiol.* 69, 1029–1040. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06343.x
- Burgos, R., Weber, M., Martínez, S., Lluch-Senar, M., and Serrano, L. (2020). Protein quality control and regulated proteolysis in the genome-reduced organism *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 16:e9530. doi: 10.15252/msb.20209530
- Burgos, R., Wood, G. E., Iverson-Cabral, S. L., and Totten, P. A. (2018). *Mycoplasma genitalium* nonadherent phase variants arise by multiple mechanisms and escape antibody-dependent growth inhibition. *Infect. Immun.* 86:e00866-17. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00866-17
- Busiek, K. K., and Margolin, W. (2015). Bacterial actin and tubulin homologs in cell growth and division. *Curr. Biol.* 25, R243–R254. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2015.01.030



- Cox, J., and Mann, M. (2008). MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized p.p.b.-range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 26, 1367–1372. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1511
- Dai, K., and Lutkenhaus, J. (1991). *ftsZ* is an essential cell division gene in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* 173, 3500–3506. doi: 10.1128/jb.173.11.3500-3506.1991
- Dai, K., and Lutkenhaus, J. (1992). The proper ratio of FtsZ to FtsA is required for cell division to occur in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* 174, 6145–6151. doi: 10.1128/jb.174.19.6145-6151.1992
- Dewar, S. J., Begg, K. J., and Donachie, W. D. (1992). Inhibition of cell division initiation by an imbalance in the ratio of FtsA to FtsZ. *J. Bacteriol.* 174, 6314–6316. doi: 10.1128/jb.174.19.6314-6316.1992
- Edwards, D. H., and Errington, J. (1997). The *Bacillus subtilis* DivIVA protein targets to the division septum and controls the site specificity of cell division. *Mol. Microbiol.* 24, 905–915. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.1997.3811764.x
- Eraso, J. M., Markillie, L. M., Mitchell, H. D., Taylor, R. C., Orr, G., and Margolin, W. (2014). The highly conserved MraZ protein is a transcriptional regulator in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* 196, 2053–2066. doi: 10.1128/JB.01370-13
- Errington, J., Daniel, R. A., and Scheffers, D.-J. (2003). Cytokinesis in bacteria. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 67, 52–65. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.67.1.52-65.2003
- Fisunov, G. Y., Evsytina, D. V., Semashko, T. A., Arzamasov, A. A., Manuvera, V. A., Letarov, A. V., et al. (2016). Binding site of MraZ transcription factor in mollicutes. *Biochimie* 125, 59–65. doi: 10.1016/j.biochi.2016.02.016
- Glass, J., Lefkowitz, E., Glass, J., Heiner, C. R., Chen, E. Y., and Cassell, G. H. (2000). The complete sequence of the mucosal pathogen *Ureaplasma urealyticum*. *Nature* 407, 757–762. doi: 10.1038/35037619
- Hasselbring, B. M., Jordan, J. L., Krause, R. W., and Krause, D. C. (2006). Terminal organelle development in the cell wall-less bacterium *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 103, 16478–16483. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0608051103
- Jaffe, J. D., Stange-Thomann, N., Smith, C., DeCaprio, D., Fisher, S., Butler, J., et al. (2004). The complete genome and proteome of *Mycoplasma mobile*. *Genome Res.* 14, 1447–1461. doi: 10.1101/gr.2674004
- Jemioło, D. K., Zwieb, C., and Dahlberg, A. E. (1985). Point mutations in the 3' minor domain of 16S rRNA of *E. coli*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 13, 8631–8643. doi: 10.1093/nar/13.23.8631
- Karr, J. R., Sanghvi, J. C., MacKlin, D. N., Gutschow, M. V., Jacobs, J. M., Bolival, B., et al. (2012). A whole-cell computational model predicts phenotype from genotype. *Cell* 150, 389–401. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.05.044
- Kimura, S., and Suzuki, T. (2010). Fine-tuning of the ribosomal decoding center by conserved methyl-modifications in the *Escherichia coli* 16S rRNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 38, 1341–1352. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp1073
- Kyuma, T., Kimura, S., Hanada, Y., Suzuki, T., Sekimizu, K., and Kaito, C. (2015). Ribosomal RNA methyltransferases contribute to *Staphylococcus aureus* virulence. *FEBS J.* 282, 2570–2584. doi: 10.1111/febs.13302
- Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* 9, 357–359. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1923
- Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., et al. (2009). The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25, 2078–2079. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352
- Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K., and Shi, W. (2014). featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 30, 923–930. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656
- Lluch-Senar, M., Querol, E., and Piñol, J. (2010). Cell division in a minimal bacterium in the absence of *ftsZ*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 78, 278–289. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07306.x
- Love, M. I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 15:550. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8
- Lutkenhaus, J. (2007). Assembly dynamics of the bacterial MinCDE system and spatial regulation of the Z ring. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 76, 539–562. doi: 10.1146/annurev.biochem.75.103004.142652
- Martínez-Torró, C., Torres-Puig, S., Monge, M., Sánchez-Alba, L., González-Martín, M., Marcos-Silva, M., et al. (2020). Transcriptional response to metal starvation in the emerging pathogen *Mycoplasma genitalium* is mediated by fur-dependent and - independent regulatory pathways. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 9, 5–19. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2019.1700762
- Mercier, R., Kawai, Y., and Errington, J. (2014). General principles for the formation and proliferation of a wall-free (L-form) state in bacteria. *eLife* 3:e04629. doi: 10.7554/eLife.04629
- Mingorance, J., Tamames, J., and Vicente, M. (2004). Genomic channeling in bacterial cell division. *J. Mol. Recognit.* 17, 481–487. doi: 10.1002/jmr.718
- Miyata, M., and Seto, S. (1999). Cell reproduction cycle of *Mycoplasma*. *Biochimie* 81, 873–878. doi: 10.1016/S0300-9084(99)00209-6
- Osawa, M., and Erickson, H. P. (2006). FtsZ from divergent foreign bacteria can function for cell division in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* 188, 7132–7140. doi: 10.1128/JB.00647-06
- Pelletier, J. F., Sun, L., Wise, K. S., Assad-Garcia, N., Karas, B. J., Deerinck, T. J., et al. (2021). Genetic requirements for cell division in a genomically minimal cell. *Cell* 184, 2430.e16–2440.e16. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2021.03.008
- Pfaffl, M. W. (2001). A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29:e45. doi: 10.1093/nar/29.9.e45
- Pich, O. Q., Burgos, R., Ferrer-Navarro, M., Querol, E., and Piñol, J. (2008). Role of *Mycoplasma genitalium* MG218 and MG317 cytoskeletal proteins in terminal organelle organization, gliding motility and cytoadherence. *Microbiology* 154, 3188–3198. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.2008/020636-0
- Pilhofer, M., Rappl, K., Eckl, C., Bauer, A. P., Ludwig, W., Schleifer, K. H., et al. (2008). Characterization and evolution of cell division and cell wall synthesis genes in the bacterial phyla Verrucomicrobia, Lentisphaerae, Chlamydiae, and Planctomycetes and phylogenetic comparison with rRNA genes. *J. Bacteriol.* 190, 3192–3202. doi: 10.1128/JB.01797-07
- Pucci, M. J., Thanassi, J. A., Discotto, L. F., Kessler, R. E., and Dougherty, T. J. (1997). Identification and characterization of cell wall-cell division gene clusters in pathogenic gram-positive cocci. *J. Bacteriol.* 179, 5632–5635. doi: 10.1128/jb.179.17.5632-5635.1997
- Rappsilber, J., Mann, M., and Ishihama, Y. (2007). Protocol for micro-purification, enrichment, pre-fractionation and storage of peptides for proteomics using StageTips. *Nat. Protoc.* 2, 1896–1906. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2007.261
- Robin, A., Joseleau-Petit, D., and D'Ari, R. (1990). Transcription of the *ftsZ* gene and cell division in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* 172, 1392–1399. doi: 10.1128/jb.172.3.1392-1399.1990
- Rowlett, V. W., and Margolin, W. (2015). The min system and other nucleoid-independent regulators of Z ring positioning. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:478. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00478
- Sabidó, E., Quehenberger, O., Shen, Q., Chang, C. Y., Shah, I., Armando, A. M., et al. (2012). Targeted proteomics of the eicosanoid biosynthetic pathway completes an integrated genomics-proteomics-metabolomics picture of cellular metabolism. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 11:M111.014746. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M111.014746
- Seto, S., and Miyata, M. (1999). Partitioning, movement, and positioning of nucleoids in *Mycoplasma capricolum*. *J. Bacteriol.* 181, 6073–6080. doi: 10.1128/JB.181.19.6073-6080.1999
- Torres-Puig, S., Broto, A., Querol, E., Piñol, J., and Pich, O. Q. (2015). A novel sigma factor reveals a unique regulon controlling cell-specific recombination in *Mycoplasma genitalium*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, 4923–4936. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv422
- Torres-Puig, S., Martínez-Torró, C., Granero-Moya, I., Querol, E., Piñol, J., and Pich, O. Q. (2018). Activation of  $\sigma^{20}$ -dependent recombination and horizontal gene transfer in *Mycoplasma genitalium*. *DNA Res.* 25, 383–393. doi: 10.1093/dnares/dsy011
- Vicente, M., Gomez, M. J., and Ayala, J. A. (1998). Regulation of transcription of cell division genes in the *Escherichia coli* *dcw* cluster. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 54, 317–324. doi: 10.1007/s000180050158
- Vicente, M., and Rico, A. I. (2006). The order of the ring: assembly of *Escherichia coli* cell division components. *Mol. Microbiol.* 61, 5–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05233.x
- Vishnyakov, I. E., Borchsenius, S. N., Basovskii, Y. I., Levitskii, S. A., Lazarev, V. N., Snigirevskaia, E. S., et al. (2009). Localization of division protein FtsZ in *Mycoplasma hominis*. *Cell Tiss. Biol.* 3, 254–262. doi: 10.1134/S1990519X09030079
- Xu, X., Zhang, H., Huang, Y., Zhang, Y., Wu, C., Gao, P., et al. (2019). Beyond a ribosomal RNA methyltransferase, the wider role of MraW in DNA

methylation, motility and colonization in *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:2520. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.02520

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may

be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

*Copyright © 2021 Martínez-Torró, Torres-Puig, Marcos-Silva, Hugué-Ramón, Muñoz-Navarro, Lluch-Senar, Serrano, Querol, Piñol and Pich. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.*