



This is the **accepted version** of the journal article:

Urciuoli, Alessandro; Zanolli, Clément; Beaudet, Amélie; [et al.]. «A comparative analysis of the vestibular apparatus in Epipliopithecus vindobonensis : Phylogenetic implications». Journal of Human Evolution, Vol. 151, (February 2021), art. 102930. DOI 10.1016/j.jhevol.2020.102930

This version is available at https://ddd.uab.cat/record/289090

under the terms of the **COBY-NC-ND** license

- 1 A comparative analysis of the vestibular apparatus in *Epipliopithecus vindobonensis*:
- 2 Phylogenetic implications
- 3
- 4 Alessandro Urciuoli^{a,*}, Clément Zanolli^b, Amélie Beaudet^{c,d}, Marta Pina^{a,e}, Sergio
- 5 Almécija ^{f,g,a}, Salvador Moyà-Solà ^{a,h,i}, David M. Alba ^{a,*}
- 6
- ⁷ ^a Institut Català de Paleontologia Miquel Crusafont, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona,
- 8 Edifici ICTA-ICP, c/ Columnes s/n, Campus de la UAB, 08193 Cerdanyola del Vallès,
- 9 Barcelona, Spain
- ¹⁰ ^b Univ. Bordeaux, CNRS, MCC, PACEA, UMR 5199, F-33600 Pessac, France
- ¹¹ ^c School of Geography, Archaeology and Environmental Studies, University of the
- 12 Witwatersrand, Private Bag 3, Johannesburg, WITS 2050, South Africa
- ¹³ ^d Department of Anatomy, University of Pretoria, PO Box 2034, Pretoria, 0001, South
- 14 Africa
- ¹⁵ ^e School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Science and Engineering,
- 16 University of Manchester, 176 Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK
- ^{17 f} Division of Anthropology, American Museum of Natural History, Central Park West at 79th
- 18 Street, New York, NY 10024, USA
- ¹⁹ ⁹ New York Consortium in Evolutionary Primatology, New York, NY, USA
- 20 h Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), Passeig de Lluís Companys
- 21 23, 08010 Barcelona, Spain
- ²² ⁱ Unitat d'Antropologia (Departament de Biologia Animal, Biologia Vegetal i Ecologia),
- 23 Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Campus de la UAB s/n, 08193 Cerdanyola del Vallès,
- 24 Barcelona, Spain
- 25
- 26 *Corresponding authors.

- 27 *E-mail address: alessandro.urciuoli@icp.cat* (A. Urciuoli); <u>david.alba@icp.cat</u> (D.M. Alba).
- 28

29 Acknowledgements

This research has been funded by the Agencia Estatal de Investigación (CGL2016-30 76431-P and CGL2017-82654-P, AEI/FEDER EU; and BES-2015-071318 to A.U.), the 31 Generalitat de Catalunya (CERCA Programme, and consolidated research groups 2017 32 SGR 86 and 2017 SGR 116 GRC), the French Centre National de la Recherche 33 Scientifique, the Leakey Foundation (research grant), and the Synthesys Project (AT-TAF-34 4689; <u>http://synthesys3.myspecies.info/</u>), which is financed by the European Community 35 36 Research Infrastructure Action under the FP7. Part of the analyses were performed using High Performance Computing resources from BSC (BCV-2020-1-0008). We are grateful to 37 Jose Torres for the technical help provided in the setup of the analysis. We thank the 38 39 following people for providing or permitting access to CT scans: Ursula Göhlich (Epipliopithecus NHMW 1970/1397/0003), Martin Dockner, Loïc Costeur (Epipliopithecus 40 NMBOE 303), Lorenzo Rook (Oreopithecus), José Braga (human specimens), Lynn 41 Copes, Lynn Lucas, and the MCZ (part of the scans used in the study, funded by NSF 42 DDIG #0925793 and Wenner-Gren Foundation Dissertation Grant #8102 to Lynn Copes), 43 Richard Kay (Homunculus and Dolichocebus), Timothy Ryan (Aegyptopithecus; funded by 44 NSF BCS-0416164 to Timothy Ryan and the Leakey Foundation), and the Division of 45 Fossil Primates of the Duke Lemur Center (Parapithecus). We also thank Erik Seiffert and 46 Steven Heritage for providing a digital rendering of *Parapithecus*. Finally, we thank the 47 Editor (Andrea Taylor), the Associate Editor, and three anonymous reviewers for useful 48 comments that helped us to improve a previous version of this paper. 49

50

1 A comparative analysis of the vestibular apparatus in *Epipliopithecus vindobonensis*:

- 2 Phylogenetic implications
- 3

4 Abstract

Pliopithecoids are an extinct group of catarrhine primates from the Miocene of Eurasia. 5 More than fifty years ago, they were linked to hylobatids due to some morphological 6 7 similarities, but most subsequent studies have supported a stem catarrhine status, due to 8 the retention of multiple plesiomorphic features (e.g., the ectotympanic morphology) relative to crown catarrhines. More recently, some morphological similarities to hominoids 9 10 have been noted, raising the question of whether they could be stem members of this clade. To re-evaluate these competing hypotheses, we examine the morphology of the 11 semicircular canals of the bony labyrinth of the middle Miocene pliopithecid *Epipliopithecus* 12 vindobonensis. The semicircular canals are suitable to test between these hypotheses 13 because: (1) they have been shown to embed strong phylogenetic signal and reliably 14 15 discriminate among major clades; (2) several potential hominoid synapomorphies have been identified previously in the semicircular canals; and (3) semicircular canal 16 morphology has not been previously described for any pliopithecoid. We use a 17 18 deformation-based (landmark-free) three-dimensional geometric morphometric approach to compare *Epipliopithecus* with a broad primate sample of extant and extinct anthropoids. 19 We quantify similarities in semicircular canal morphology using multivariate analyses, 20 21 reconstruct ancestral morphotypes by means of a phylomorphospace approach, and identify catarrhine and hominoid synapomorphies based on discrete characters. 22 *Epipliopithecus* semicircular canal morphology most closely resembles that of platyrrhines 23 and Aegyptopithecus due to the retention of multiple anthropoid symplesiomorphies. 24 However, *Epipliopithecus* is most parsimoniously interpreted as a stem catarrhine more 25 26 derived than *Aegyptopithecus* due to the possession of a crown catarrhine synapomorphy

(i.e., the rounded anterior canal), combined with the lack of other catarrhine and any
 hominoid synapomorphies. Some similarities with hylobatids and atelids are interpreted as
 homoplasies likely related to positional behavior. The semicircular canal morphology of
 Epipliopithecus thus supports the common view that pliopithecoids are stem catarrhines.

Keywords: Pliopithecidae; Catarrhini; Miocene; Inner ear; Phylogeny; Geometric
 morphometrics

34

35 **1.** Introduction

36 1.1. The phylogenetic position of pliopithecoids

Pliopithecoids are an extinct superfamily of catarrhine primates, recorded in Eurasia 37 38 from the early to the late Miocene (Andrews et al., 1996; Begun, 2002, 2017; Harrison, 2005, 2013). Their first occurrence, in the early Miocene of China (~18–17 Ma; Harrison 39 and Gu, 1999; Begun, 2002; Harrison, 2013), slightly predates the oldest record of large-40 41 bodied apes in Eurasia (Heizmann and Begun, 2001; Casanovas-Vilar et al., 2011). In the absence of older (earliest Miocene) catarrhines in that continent, pliopithecoids are 42 assumed to have an African origin (Harrison, 1987, 2013; Begun, 2017). Like apes, 43 44 pliopithecoid ancestors probably dispersed into Eurasia before the Langhian transgression, which was possible due to the lowered sea level and tectonic events that 45 led to the closure of the Tethys Seaway and the establishment of an intermittent terrestrial 46 47 corridor beginning at ~19 Ma (Harzhauser et al., 2007; Harrison, 2013). Decades ago, pliopithecoids were considered to be phylogenetically related to 48 hylobatids due to some superficial resemblances in cranial morphology as well as body 49 size and proportions (e.g., Hürzeler, 1954; Zapfe, 1958, 1960, 1961; Simons and Fleagle, 50 1973). Currently, they are generally considered a clade of stem catarrhines—as supported 51 52 by the retention of several cranial and postcranial features that are plesiomorphic

compared to the crown members of the group (Andrews, 1975; Ciochon and Corruccini, 53 1977; Fleagle, 1984; Harrison, 1987, 2005, 2013; Andrews et al., 1996; Begun, 2002, 54 2017). The divergence of pliopithecoids before the split of crown catarrhines is further 55 supported by most recent cladistic analyses (Zalmout et al., 2010; Stevens et al., 2013; 56 Nengo et al., 2017; Gilbert et al., 2020), implying a long ghost lineage of ca. 12–14 Myr for 57 pliopithecoids (Begun, 2017). The exception is the cladistic analysis by Alba et al. (2015), 58 which recovered pliopithecoids as a clade of stem hominoids—thereby eliminating the 59 need to hypothesize a long gap in the pliopithecoid fossil record. Most recently, Almécija et 60 al. (2019) further documented similarities in femoral morphology between pliopithecoids 61 (Epipliopithecus) and extant hominoids, thereby casting additional doubts on the status of 62 pliopithecoids as stem catarrhines. Further uncertainty in this regard stems from the fact 63 64 that no tail vertebrae are known from pliopithecoids (Begun, 2017). Based on sacral morphology, Zapfe (1958, 1961) argued that no external tail would have been present, as 65 in hominoids; although this has subsequently been rebutted (Ankel, 1965; Russo, 2016), 66 67 available evidence in this regard remains uncertain.

There are multiple genera of pliopithecids (Harrison and Gu, 1999; Moyà-Solà et al., 68 2001; Begun, 2002, 2017; Harrison, 2005, 2013; Alba et al., 2010; Alba and Moyà-Solà, 69 70 2012; Alba and Berning, 2013; Sankhyan et al., 2017; Harrison et al., 2020), which, following Harrison et al. (2020), we provisionally group into four different families: 71 dionysopithecids (Dionysopithecus and Platodontopithecus), krishnapithecids 72 73 (Krishnapithecus), pliopithecids (*Pliopithecus* and *Epipliopithecus*), and crouzeliids (Plesiopliopithecus, Barberapithecus, Anapithecus, Egarapithecus, and Laccopithecus). 74 However, it is noteworthy that the treatment of these genera at the family rank, and even 75 the placing of some genera in one or another group, differs among authors (e.g., compare 76 Alba and Moyà-Solà, 2012 with Begun, 2017). Such disagreements largely stem from the 77

fact that the internal phylogeny of pliopithecoids is still unclear and that their affinities with
fossil catarrhines from Africa remain uncertain (e.g., Harrison, 2013).

80

81 1.2. Evidence from Epipliopithecus

Deciphering the phylogenetic relationships of most pliopithecoids is hampered by 82 the fact that they are mostly known by fragmentary dentognathic remains, with the 83 exception of *Epipliopithecus vindobonensis*, whose craniodental and postcranial 84 morphology is well documented by several skeletons from the middle Miocene (MN6. 85 ~14.85–13.45 Ma¹) karstic infillings of Devínska Nová Ves, Slovakia (Zapfe, 1958, 1961; 86 87 Andrews et al., 1996; Begun, 2002; Harrison, 2013). Epipliopithecus was originally established as a subgenus of *Pliopithecus* by Zapfe and Hürzeler (1957), being 88 89 subsequently considered a junior subjective synonym of the latter (e.g., Andrews et al., 1996; Harrison and Gu, 1999; Moyà-Solà et al., 2001; Harrison, 2005, 2013; Alba et al., 90 2010) or a distinct genus (e.g., Begun, 2002; Alba and Moyà-Solà, 2012; Arias-Martorell et 91 al., 2015; Alba et al., 2015; this study). From a locomotor viewpoint, E. vindobonensis has 92 93 been variously depicted as an arboreal or semiterrestrial generalized guadruped with varying degrees of climbing and suspensory abilities (see discussion in Arias-Martorell et 94 95 al., 2015). From a phylogenetic perspective, its purported stem catarrhine status has been supported by features such as the short and only partially enclosed ectotympanic, the 96 presence of entepicondylar foramen in the distal humerus, and single hinge-like 97 98 carpometacarpal joint in the thumb (Zapfe, 1961; Szalay and Delson, 1979; Harrison, 1987, 2005; Andrews et al., 1996; Begun, 2002, 2017). 99

¹ Age uncertainly based on the boundaries recognized for MN6 (van der Meulen et al., 2011).

The external morphology of the petrosal bone of *E. vindobonensis* (Zapfe, 1961; 100 101 Szalay, 1975; Fricano, 2018) has been of utmost significance in the discussion of its phylogenetic affinities, given that the presence of a tubular ectotympanic is considered 102 synapomorphic of crown catarrhines (e.g., Szalay, 1975; Szalay and Delson, 1979; 103 Harrison, 1987, 2005; Andrews et al., 1996; Begun, 2002; Zalmout et al., 2010; Alba et al., 104 2015; Nengo et al., 2017). The possibility remains that such ossification took place to 105 some extent independently in cercopithecoids, hominoids and/or other anthropoids such 106 as pliopithecoids (Begun, 2002, 2017; Alba et al., 2015). However, other features of 107 *Epipliopithecus* also appear plesiomorphic as compared to crown catarrhines and show no 108 109 particular similarities with hominoids, namely: the large postglenoid process separated from the acoustic meatus, as in platyrrhines (Zapfe, 1961); the lack of ossification in the 110 111 tentorium cerebelli (unlike in most platyrrhines and stem anthropoids, but similar to Aegyptopithecus and crown catarrhines; Kay et al., 2009a); and the deep subarcuate 112 fossa (Zapfe, 1961), as in platyrrhines and most anthropoids except hominids (Gannon et 113 al., 1988; Kunimatsu et al., 2019). In contrast, the inner ear morphology of *Epipliopithecus* 114 has not been described and therefore its potential phylogenetic implications remain 115 unexplored. 116

117

118 1.3. The bony labyrinth of the inner ear

Among the inner cavities of the petrosal, the bony labyrinth of the inner ear is constituted by the semicircular canals (SCs) and the vestibule (which together host the soft-tissue structures linked with the sense of balance) plus the cochlea. Semicircular canal size (e.g., Spoor et al., 2007; Silcox et al., 2009; Ryan et al., 2012; Grohé et al., 2018) and orientation (David et al., 2010; Malinzak et al., 2012; Berlin et al., 2013; Perier et al., 2016; Gonzales et al., 2019) have been frequently used for inferring agility, while the shape of the canals as a whole has tentatively been linked to positional behavior (Le

Maître et al., 2017). At the same time, recent studies have demonstrated that the SCs bear strong phylogenetic signal among anthropoids (Lebrun, 2010, 2012; Urciuoli et al., 2019, 2020; del Rio et al., 2020; Morimoto et al., 2020) and other mammals (e.g., Grohé et al., 2015; Mennecart et al., 2016, 2017; Costeur et al., 2018).

Although adaptively relevant characters may constitute synapomorphies of 130 particular clades, arguably their relationship with function makes them potentially more 131 prone to homoplasy. However, the correlation between SC morphology and positional 132 behavior has recently been questioned by some studies (i.e., Rae et al., 2016; del Río et 133 al., 2020; Morimoto, et al., 2020), and SC shape variation has been shown to largely follow 134 the expectations of a Brownian motion mode of evolution in both platyrrhines (del Río et 135 al., 2020) and catarrhines (Urciuoli et al., 2020). These results are in accordance with 136 137 those obtained for the bony labyrinth as a whole, showing that its morphology reflects phylogenetic relatedness as inferred from molecular data (Lebrun et al., 2010; Ekdale, 138 2013; Macrini, et al., 2013; Billet et al., 2015). Cumulatively, this evidence suggests that 139 140 bony labyrinth morphology is phylogenetically informative among mammals (Mennecart et al., 2017) and may thus potentially illuminate the phylogenetic relationships of extinct 141 primates. Following Mennecart and Costeur (2016), who suggested that inner ear 142 143 structures might be highly informative for large cladistics analyses, Urciuoli et al. (2020) explored catarrhine SC shape variation among catarrhines and proposed several potential 144 synapomorphies for crown hominoids. 145

Here we test between two different phylogenetic hypotheses for *Epipliopithecus*, one hypothesis being that *Epipliopithecus* is a stem catarrhine, the other hypothesis that *Epipliopithecus* is a hominoid, based on the information provided by the shape of the SCs and vestibule. This morphology is described here for the first time using a threedimensional geometric morphometric (3DGM) approach applied to a broad sample of extant and fossil anthropoids (Urciuoli et al., 2020). We refrained from analyzing the entire

bony labyrinth (i.e., including also the cochlea) because its potential for phylogenetic 152 reconstruction among primates is currently unclear. A recent analysis in platyrrhines 153 suggested that cochlear shape departs from a Brownian motion mode of evolution 154 (Blomberg's K < 1; del Río et al., 2020), thus potentially reflecting a greater influence of 155 function (and likely homoplasy due to similar selection pressures) than is the case for the 156 SCs and vestibule. This is in agreement with previous studies linking several macroscopic 157 cochlear features to hearing capabilities (e.g., Manoussaki et al., 2006; Kirk and Gosselin-158 Ildari, 2009; Coleman and Colbert, 2010). More detailed morphometric analyses of this 159 structure among anthropoids is thus required to determine whether cochlear morphology 160 can be meaningfully used to decipher the phylogenetic relationships of extinct catarrhines 161 such as Epipliopithecus. 162

- 163
- 164 **2.** Materials and methods

165 2.1. Described material

We inspected three petrosals of *E. vindobonensis* belonging to two individuals from Devínska Nová Ves, Slovakia (Zapfe, 1960, 1961): NMB OE 303a, b (individual III), left (a) and right (b), housed in the Naturhistorisches Museum of Basel, Switzerland²; and NHMW 169 1970/1397/0003 (individual II), right, housed in the Naturhistorisches Museum of Wien, Austria.

- 170 Au
- 171
- 172 2.2. Comparative sample

The comparative sample includes µCT scans of 162 dried crania and temporal
bones belonging to 31 extant anthropoid species (see Supplementary Online Material
[SOM] Table S1 for the sample size of the extant species), plus five fossil anthropoids

² Morimoto et al. (2020) included the bony labyrinth of NMB OE 303a in their comparative study but did not depict or specifically describe its morphology.

176 (SOM Table S2): the stem anthropoid *Parapithecus* (Bush et al., 2004), the stem

catarrhine *Aegyptopithecus* (Simons et al., 2007), the stem platyrrhines *Dolichocebus* (Kay
et al., 2009b) and *Homunculus* (Fulwood et al., 2016), and the hominoid *Oreopithecus*(Rook et al., 2004).

180

181 2.3. Sample preparation

NMB OE 303 was scanned with a Phoenix Nanotom®, GE at the Biomaterials 182 Science Centre of the University of Basel (Switzerland) obtaining a voxel size of 25 µm. 183 NHMW 1970/1397/0003 was scanned at the Vienna µCT-Lab using a Viscom X8060 184 (Viscom XT9190-THP X-ray tube) obtaining a voxel size of 22 µm. The canals and 185 vestibule of NMB OE 303a, b were filled with air, while in NHMW 1970/1397/0003 they 186 187 were partially filled with sediment. In both cases we segmented the SCs and vestibule cavities using the 'watershed' tool of Avizo v. 9.0.1 (FEI Visualization Sciences Group, 188 Houston), with additional manual corrections for NHMW 1970/1397/0003. The 3D surfaces 189 of NMB OE 303b and NHMW 1970/1397/0003 were mirrored for comparison. The 3D 190 meshes of the two individuals are available from MorphoSource (see Table 1). 191

The µCT scans of most extant comparative species and of fossil anthropoids were 192 193 accessed from MorphoSource.org digital repository (https://www.morphosource.org) with the exception of Oreopithecus bambolii petrosal, which was kindly provided by Lorenzo 194 Rook (see SOM Table S2 for voxel sizes). Further details about the µCT scans of the 195 196 extant comparative sample (voxel sizes, exact source, DOI, etc.) can be found in Urciuoli et al. (2020: Supplementary File 1). The slice stacks of these crania were processed using 197 Avizo v. 9.0.1. and the left bony labyrinth was segmented using the semiautomatic 198 'watershed' tool of Avizo (with additional manual corrections in the case of partially filled 199 canals found in the fossil specimens) and digitally extracted; when the left bony labyrinth 200 201 was unavailable, the right one was mirrored. As in Urciuoli et al. (2020), the SCs and the

vestibule were separated from the cochlea by cutting the generated 3D meshes 202 203 immediately inferior to the saccule and the oval window, using landmarks placed along the maximum curvature of the junction between the vestibule and the cochlea as reference for 204 the cutting plane (Fig. 1). The resulting holes were filled with a flat surface using Geomagic 205 Studio v. 2014.3.0 (3D Systems, Rock Hill, USA). Prior to the 3DGM analysis, the surfaces 206 were first roughly prealigned by manually superimposing the meshes to ensure biological 207 correspondence. Subsequently, the alignment was automatically refined using the Avizo 208 module 'Align Surface' with the 'rigid + uniform' option. Similar to Procrustes 209 superimposition, this module minimizes the distances between the faces of each surface 210 by scaling, translating and rotating the analyzed meshes. The phylogenetic relationships of 211 the extinct taxa included in the analyses, relative to extant anthropoids, are summarized in 212 213 Figure 2.

214

215 2.4. Shape analysis

216 Differences in vestibule and SC shape were evaluated using a landmark-free 3DGM technique based on deformation, which relies on the geometrical correspondence of 217 continuous surfaces and computes the magnitude and direction of deformation of the 218 219 analyzed meshes from a group-average template (Glaunès and Joshi, 2006; Durrleman et al., 2012a, b; Dumoncel et al., 2014; Beaudet et al., 2016; Urciuoli et al., 2020). The 220 deformations are mathematically modeled to obtain a one-to-one correspondence of the 221 222 3D space using the open-source software Deformetrica 4 (Bône et al., 2018). This technique yields results similar to landmark-based 3DGM methods while more easily 223 tracking changes in volume (Urciuoli et al., 2020), and is less prone to biases introduced 224 by the design of landmarking protocols, caused by the inherent difficulty to adequately 225 capture complex 3D shapes based on a reduced number of homologous landmarks. 226

Due to the high computational power required, the sets of vectors, representing the 227 228 flow of deformations from the initial position of the control points on the template to the target shape, were computed in the Barcelona Supercomputing Center (BSC) using the 229 MinoTauro cluster (https://www.bsc.es/marenostrum/minotauro). To identify major patterns 230 of shape variation across the sample, the resulting sets of vectors were inspected using 231 between-group principal component analysis (bgPCA; Mitteroecker and Bookstein, 2011), 232 using major clades (platyrrhines, cercopithecoids, hylobatids, and hominids) as the 233 grouping factor (Urciuoli et al., 2020). To address recent concerns about the use of bgPCA 234 based on highly multivariate data sets, such as those generated by 3DGM, and to rule out 235 the presence of spurious groupings in our results (Bookstein, 2019; Cardini, et al., 2019), 236 we computed cross-validated bgPCA scores. These were obtained by iteratively repeating 237 238 the bgPCA on a subset of the sample. The cross-validated bgPCA scores were then compared to those obtained with standard bgPCA (Cardini and Polly, 2020). The affinities 239 of fossil specimens with the groups defined a priori in the bgPCA were evaluated using the 240 'typprobClass' function of the Morpho package v. 2.7 (Schlager, 2017) in R v. 3.6.1 (R 241 Core Team, 2019). This function computes posterior probabilities of group membership 242 based on the Mahalanobis distances between the bgPC scores of fossil specimens and 243 244 group centroids. Null hypotheses of group membership were rejected at p < 0.05. Similarities among anthropoid species were also evaluated by running a cluster analysis 245 (Ward's method) on the Mahalanobis distances between pairs of bgPCA species centroid 246 247 scores using the 'ward.D2' method of the 'hclust' function of the 'stats' package in R. The cophenetic correlation coefficient, which allows one to evaluate how faithfully the obtained 248 249 dendrogram preserves the pairwise distances between the original unmodeled datapoints, was calculated using the same package. 250

In addition, we inspected the volumetric proportions of *Epipliopithecus* and the remaining fossil taxa included in the analysis, and determined the correlation between log-

transformed cube root canal volume (In VoISC, mm) and log-transformed canal length (In
L, mm) by means of ordinary least-squares regression. Given that previous analyses
identified an allometric grade shift between hominids and nonhominid anthropoids (Urciuoli
et al., 2020), separate regression lines were computed for hominids and nonhominid
anthropoid taxa using the 'stats' package in R.

258

259 2.5. Phylomorphospace, ancestral state estimation, and phylogenetic signal

To intuitively visualize the direction and magnitude of evolutionary change we relied 260 on a phylomorphospace approach (Sidlauskas, 2008), by which a phylogenetic tree is 261 projected onto the tangent space defined by the bgPCA of our shape data. Ancestral 262 states for the internal nodes are estimated using a maximum likelihood method for 263 264 continuous characters via the 'fastAnc' function of the 'phytools' version 0.6-60 package for R (Revell, 2012), while the tips of the tree branches correspond to the centroid scores 265 for the included taxa. We repeated the analyses using two composite phylogenetic trees, 266 one with *Epipliopithecus* as a stem catarrhine and the other with this taxon as a stem 267 hominoid (Figs. 2 and 3). For extant taxa we relied on a Bayesian phylogenetic analysis of 268 eleven mitochondrial and six autosomal genes downloaded from the 10kTrees Website v. 269 270 3 (Arnold et al., 2010). Extinct species were added based on their phylogenetic position, their divergence being arbitrarily placed 1 Myr before the estimated divergence age of the 271 next derived node, and tip ages based on their chronostratigraphic age. We used the 272 273 following tip age estimates: *Epipliopithecus* 14.15 Ma (mean of 14.85 and 13.45 Ma, the maximum-minimum age range for MN6 in central Europe according to van der Meulen et 274 al., 2011); Aegyptopithecus and Parapithecus, 29.85 Ma (mean of 30.2 and 29.5 Ma, 275 based on the revised age range of the fauna of quarries I and M of the Jebel Qatrani 276 Formation of the Fayum depression by Seiffert, 2006); Dolichocebus, 20.5 Ma (mean of 277 21.0 and 20.0 Ma age provided by Kay, 2015); Homunculus, 17.2 Ma (mean of 17.9 and 278

16.5 Ma age provided by Kay, 2015); and *Oreopithecus* 6.75 Ma (mean of 7.0 and 6.5 Ma
for the last occurrence according to Rook et al., 2000).

The phylogenetic signal embedded in the shape data was measured using Pagel's λ (Pagel, 1999) and Blomberg's K (Blomberg et al., 2003), together with the multivariate version of Blomberg's K (K_{mult}; Adams, 2014). Pagel's λ and Blomberg's K were computed using the 'phylosig' function of the 'phytools' package in R, while K_{mult} was computed with the 'physignal' function of the 'geomorph' package v. 3.1.0 in R (Adams et al., 2019). These metrics were computed based on extant taxa only (Arnold et al., 2010).

Ancestral node morphologies were computed from the bgPC scores for the last 287 common ancestors (LCAs) estimated by means of maximum likelihood, which were 288 rotated and translated from the morphospace back into the deformation field space, 289 290 generating a set of momentum vectors that were used in Deformetrica 4 to warp the template surface into the target LCA morphology. Volumetric proportions for the LCAs 291 were computed based on the rescaled 3D models obtained from the phylomorphospace 292 approach; the scaling factor for each LCA was estimated using the 'anc.ML' function of the 293 R package 'phytools'. Morphological similarities between *Epipliopithecus* and the LCA 294 centroids were assessed by means Euclidean distances between the *Epipliopithecus* 295 296 centroid and the LCA bgPC scores, weighted on the basis of the percentage of variance explained by each bgPC and computed using the 'distances' function of the 'distances' 297 package version 0.1.8 in R (Savje, 2019). 298

The two phylogenetic hypotheses for *Epipliopithecus* depicted in Figure 3 were assessed further based on the coding of seven discrete characters that were deemed of phylogenetic significance based on shape comparisons and analyses. The resulting character-taxon matrix was analyzed for character congruence against a fixed topology consistent with the phylogenetic hypotheses depicted in Figure 3. For both cladograms, three indices customarily employed in cladistics (Farris, 1989) were computed in PAUP* v.

4.0a168 for Mac (Swofford, 2003) to assess the most parsimonious hypothesis: the
 consistency index (CI), the retention index (RI), and the rescaled consistency index (RC).

308 **3.** Results

309 3.1. Description and comparisons

The three bony labyrinths of *E. vindobonensis* are well preserved—except for the 310 lateral canal of NMB OE 303b, which shows a small fracture in the bony encasing—and 311 are not affected by diagenetic deformation, thereby permitting a straightforward extraction 312 of the 3D surfaces of the vestibular apparatus bony labyrinth (Fig. 4a-c). Overall, the 313 canals are fairly slender, as in platyrrhines and cercopithecins, falling within their variability 314 as shown by a bivariate plot of SC volume vs. length (Fig. 5; Table 2; SOM Table S3). The 315 316 bony vestibule is large, albeit less so than in hominids. The anterior and posterior canals are larger than the lateral canal, as in platyrrhines (Fig. 4e-i) and modern humans (Fig. 317 4u). 318

The *E. vindobonensis* common crus (CC) is long, as in extant platyrrhines (Fig. 4g– i) and in *Dolichocebus* (Fig. 4e), but unlike in most catarrhines. The trajectories of the anterior and posterior canal form a right angle when merging at the CC apex. Despite some similarities, the morphology of *Epipliopithecus* is clearly distinguishable from that of *Dolichocebus* and *Parapithecus* (Fig. 4d), as the CC is not posteromedially inclined and the anterior canal connection is placed more laterally.

The anterior canal of *E. vindobonensis* is slightly wider than tall (as in *Hoolock*; Fig. 4q), yet clearly rounded and lacking the vertical compression characteristic of extant hominoids (Fig. 4o–u), the anterosuperior elongation typical of hylobatids and *Pongo* (Fig. 4o–r; Urciuoli et al., 2020), and the extreme superior projection found in *Ateles* (Fig. 4g). The anterior canal of *Epipliopithecus* further differs from that of the stem anthropoid *Parapithecus* (Fig. 4d), the stem platyrrhine *Dolichocebus* (Fig. 4e), and the stem

catarrhine Aegyptopithecus (Fig. 4j), characterized by an almost triangular morphology 331 332 (albeit less so in the last genus). The superiormost portion of the anterior canal bends medially, causing a moderate torsion of the canal trajectory. This morphology is also found 333 in the stem platyrrhine Homunculus (Fig. 4f) and, to a lesser extent, Chlorocebus (Fig. 4l) 334 and Dolichocebus (Fig. 4e), while in most cercopithecoids it is much more bent (e.g., 335 Macaca; Fig. 4m). A sinuous trajectory of the anterior canal, although with a different 336 morphology, is also displayed by other taxa (e.g., Cebus; Fig. 4i) and thus is not very 337 informative from a phylogenetic viewpoint. Despite the aforementioned similarities, 338 Epipliopithecus differs from the stem platyrrhines Homunculus (Fig. 4f) and Dolichocebus 339 (Fig. 4e), from most extant platyrrhines (particularly Ateles; Fig. 4g), and from the stem 340 catarrhine Aegyptopithecus (Fig. 4j), in displaying a much less mediolaterally compressed 341 342 anterior canal.

The posterior canal of *Epipliopithecus* is slightly taller than wide, similar to that of *Alouatta* (Fig. 4h) and *Symphalangus* (Fig. 4p), but differs from the latter by displaying a less arched connection with the CC. The orientation of the posterior canal relative to the plane defined by the anterior canal is different in the two individuals of *Epipliopithecus*: it forms an obtuse angle in NHMW 1970/1397/0003 (resembling the hylobatid condition), but forms a right angle in NMB OE 303 (as in other anthropoids; SOM Fig. S2).

The lateral canal is rounded and smaller than the other canals (more so in NMB OE 349 303), as in stem platyrrhines (Fig. 4e, f) and the stem catarrhine Aegyptopithecus (Fig. 4j), 350 351 although in *Epipliopithecus* this canal is not strongly compressed mediolaterally as in the latter taxon (Fig. 4j). The trajectory of the ampullary portion of the lateral canal slightly 352 bends superiorly (more so in NMB OE 303; Fig. 4b, c), while the insertion of its slender 353 part is located anteriorly to the base of the CC (particularly in NHMW 1970/1397/0003; Fig. 354 4a), so that—as in extant hominoids but unlike cercopithecoids—the lateral canal does not 355 intersect the plane defined by the posterior canal. The lateral canal also shows a wave-like 356

shape, with its lateral-most tip pointing downwards, superficially resembling some
individuals of *Pongo* (Fig. 4r), while differing from the morphology of *Trachypithecus* (Fig.
4k) and *Macaca* (Fig. 4m), where the canal bends inferiorly right before the ampullary
portion.

361

362 3.2. Shape analysis

The bgPCA discriminates major anthropoid clades with just minimal overlap when the three axes are considered simultaneously (Fig. 6), thus closely resembling the previous results by Urciuoli et al. (2020) despite the increased number of platyrrhine taxa included here. The bgPCA results reported in Figure 6 closely resemble those derived using a cross-validated bgPCA (SOM Fig. S1), indicating that group separation is not spurious (Cardini and Polly, 2020).

The first principal component (bgPC1, which explains 59% of the variance) mainly 369 reflects differences in volumetric proportions among the SCs and the volume they occupy 370 371 relative to that of the bony vestibule, separating hominids (stout canals; quite negative scores) from both cercopithecoids and hylobatids (slender canals; positive to slightly 372 negative scores), while platyrrhines (including stem taxa), the stem anthropoid 373 374 Parapithecus, the stem catarrhine Aegyptopithecus, the stem hominoid Oreopithecus, and *Epipliopithecus* occupy an intermediate position in the morphospace. In particular, the two 375 Epipliopithecus individuals, due to their fairly slender canals (Fig.4a-c), display similar 376 377 intermediate scores along this axis, overlapping extensively with both extant and extinct platyrrhines in the overlap zone of cercopithecoids and hominoids (Fig. 6a, c). 378

In turn, bgPC2 (which explains 30% of the variance) accounts for differences in the size and shape of the anterior and posterior canals (Fig. 6a, d), in the position of the lateral canal ampullary insertion on the vestibule, and in CC length, separating most platyrrhines (positive scores) from catarrhines (moderately positive to negative scores). In particular,

platyrrhines possess large and very superiorly elongated canals in the portion close to the 383 CC apex, as well as a flat lateral canal, which also connects more inferiorly on the 384 vestibule with its ampullary portion. Catarrhines are more variable in these features, 385 showing rounded to vertically compressed anterior and posterior canals, a shorter CC, and 386 a variably sinuous lateral canal with its ampullary portion connecting more superiorly. 387 *Epipliopithecus* displays moderately positive scores, falling within the range of several 388 extant platyrrhines (Aotus, Alouatta, Callithrix and Callicebus), due to their large anterior 389 and posterior canals, coupled with a long CC and a small lateral canal. Both the stem 390 platyrrhines and Oreopithecus show similar moderately positive scores, while 391 Aegyptopithecus and Parapithecus show markedly positive values due to their superiorly 392 elongated vertical canals (Fig. 6a). 393

394 Finally, bgPC3 (which explains 11% of the variance) is driven by the position of the lateral canal relative to the posterior one, by the size and orientation of the posterior canal, 395 as well as the shape of the anterior canal and CC thickness (Fig. 6b, e), separating 396 397 hylobatids (most positive values) from most extant and fossil anthropoids (intermediate to negative scores). Hylobatids have a much larger gap between the lateral and posterior 398 canals than other anthropoids except some modern humans, and their posterior canal is 399 400 also smaller than, and forms an obtuse angle with, the large and anteriorly-protruding anterior canal. In contrast, in most cercopithecoids, Aotus, and Callithrix, the lateral canal 401 broadly intersects with the posterior canal, while in the African great apes, *Theropithecus*, 402 403 and *Cebus* the canals are only minimally separated. In addition, in all extant anthropoids except hylobatids, the plane of the posterior canal forms a right angle with the anterior 404 canal, which does not project anteriorly. Both Epipliopithecus individuals display positive 405 scores (NMB OE 303 with lower values), overlapping with some hylobatids (mainly 406 Hoolock) and other extant anthropoids (particularly the hominids Homo and Pongo, the 407 platyrrhines Ateles and Alouatta, and the cercopithecoids Theropithecus and Piliocolobus). 408

The slightly dissimilar bgPC3 scores for the two *Epipliopithecus* individuals result from differences in orientation between the posterior and anterior canals (obtuse angle in NHMW 1970/1397/0003 vs. right angle in NMB OE 303; SOM Fig. S2), causing a wider separation between the lateral and posterior canals (Fig. 4a–c).

When the three bgPCs are considered together, the two *Epipliopithecus* individuals 413 show the greatest morphological similarities with platyrrhines (less so in NHMW 414 415 1970/1397/0003), as demonstrated by Mahalanobis distances from group centroids and by their posterior probabilities of group membership (Table 3), leading us to reject close 416 similarities to the remaining groups for NMB OE 303, and to all anthropoid groups for 417 NHMW 1970/1397/0003 (p < 0.05). Aegyptopithecus, Parapithecus, Oreopithecus, and 418 stem platyrrhines also closely resemble extant New World monkeys, with Oreopithecus 419 420 also showing marginal affinities with cercopithecoids (Table 3). We obtain very similar results when considering all catarrhines as a single group, with all fossils being classified 421 as platyrrhines (Table 4). For Oreopithecus and NHMW 1970/1397/0003, group 422 membership for catarrhines cannot be rejected. However, both specimens show much 423 lower Mahalanobis distances to the platyrrhine centroid (almost three times) than to that of 424 catarrhines. The two *Epipliopithecus* individuals are closer to one another than they are to 425 426 other fossil taxa (except for one individual of Homunculus, MPM-PV 3501), in turn showing similarities with stem platyrrhines, Aegyptopithecus and Oreopithecus (Table 5). A cluster 427 analysis based on the momenta of the deformation fields confirms these results (Fig. 7). 428 429 Epipliopithecus clusters with Alouatta and Ateles (large and rounded vertical canals and a large gap between the lateral and posterior canals), as well as *Pithecia* (obtuse angle 430 formed by the anterior and posterior canals), within a larger cluster that includes the 431 remaining extant platyrrhines and the other fossil taxa included in the analysis. In 432 particular, Aegyptopithecus and Homunculus cluster with Saimiri and Cebus (flat lateral 433 canal and similarities in the anterior canal morphology), while Oreopithecus clusters with 434

Callicebus (orientation of the anterior and posterior canals). Hylobatids cluster within a
 larger group that also includes most cercopithecoids, and extant great apes cluster
 together due to their distinctive stout volumetric proportions (Urciuoli et al., 2020).

438

439 3.3. Phylogenetic signal and phylomorphospace

Like previous analyses (Urciuoli et al., 2020; del Rio et al., 2020; Morimoto, et al., 440 2020, our results indicate that the vestibule and SCs embed significant phylogenetic signal 441 ($K_{mult} = 1.134$, p < 0.001), suggesting these traits conform to a Brownian motion model of 442 evolution, with closely related taxa resembling one another slightly more than expected 443 444 $(K_{mult} > 1)$. The phylogenetic signal computed for each bgPC separately is significant in all instances (Table 6), with bgPC1 and bgPC2 suggesting the same evolutionary mode as 445 446 K_{mult} (K > 1). Conversely, we observe that the variance accumulates within clades for bgPC3 (K < 1), thus suggesting that changes along this axis might be more strongly 447 affected by homoplasy. 448

449 The phylogenetic signal detected justifies the application of the phylomorphospace approach (Fig. 8). The results indicate that the reconstructed LCAs of crown anthropoids 450 (Fig. 9a) and crown catarrhines (Fig. 9c) fall within the variability of extant New World 451 452 monkeys, being very close to the platyrrhine LCA (Fig. 9b)-irrespective of the phylogenetic hypothesis used in the analysis for *Epipliopithecus* (i.e., stem catarrhine vs. 453 stem hominoid, Figs. 3 and 8; SOM Fig. S3). Cercopithecoids and hominoids appear much 454 455 more derived in SC morphology than platyrrhines, but in different directions. The crown anthropoid, crown platyrrhine and crown catarrhine LCAs are reconstructed as possessing 456 large and slightly vertically-elongated canals (more so in the crown anthropoid and crown 457 platyrrhine LCAs; Fig. 9a, b) coupled with a long CC (shorter in the crown catarrhine LCA; 458 Fig. 9c), intermediate volumetric proportions (similar to those found in New World monkeys 459 460 and cercopithecins; Fig. 10), and a coplanar lateral canal that does not intersect the plane

of the posterior one (Fig. 9a–c). The LCA of crown catarrhines also shows a slightly more
superiorly bent ampullary portion, more so than in *Epipliopithecus* (Fig. 9c). In contrast, the
reconstructed crown hominoid LCA (Fig. 9d) is found in an area of the morphospace
devoid of extant taxa and, according to our estimation, it already displayed some derived
characters that are not found in *Epipliopithecus* (i.e., moderately vertically-compressed
anterior canal, stouter canal proportions, lateral ampulla connecting more superiorly with
the vestibule).

From a phenetic viewpoint, based on weighted Euclidean distances between *Epipliopithecus* and the bgPC scores for the reconstructed LCAs (Table 7), the former taxon is most similar to the crown catarrhine ancestral condition, and also closer to the crown anthropoid and platyrrhine LCAs, than to the ancestral conditions reconstructed for either hominoids or cercopithecoids.

We further synthesized the information provided by the phylomorphospace 473 approach by defining seven discrete characters coded in a cladistic fashion (Table 8: Fig. 474 11). Their coding for the reconstructed LCAs as well as both extant and extinct 475 anthropoids included in the analyses is reported in Table 9 and SOM Table S4. When the 476 character states for extinct and extant taxa are analyzed against the two phylogenetic 477 478 hypotheses by considering parsimony as a criterion (Table 10), *Epipliopithecus* is more parsimoniously interpreted as a stem catarrhine (Fig. 3a) than as a stem hominoid (Fig. 479 3b). The phylogenetic implications of the seven coded characters (Fig. 11; Tables 8 and 9; 480 481 SOM Table S4) are discussed below and illustrated in Figure 12. Size of the vestibule relative to the semicircular canals Extant hominids differ from all the 482 remaining extant taxa in possessing a relatively larger vestibule, which may be thus 483

interpreted as a synapomorphy of at least crown hominids. Among the extinct taxa, only

the purported stem hominoid *Oreopithecus* displays the derived hominid condition,

indicating either an independent acquisition of this feature in this taxon (as supported by

our LCA reconstructions) or a secondary reversal in hylobatids. *Epipliopithecus*, in any
 case, retains the plesiomorphic condition of nonhominoid anthropoids.

Robusticity of the semicircular canals This character has the same distribution as the size 489 of the vestibule relative to the SCs. Extant hominids and Oreopithecus differ from the 490 remaining taxa by displaying stouter proportions. Accordingly, such proportions might be 491 interpreted either as convergent between Oreopithecus and hominids, or as a hominoid 492 synapomorphy with subsequent reversal in hylobatids. Our LCA reconstructions do not 493 provide clear support for either possibility, as they suggest an intermediate ancestral 494 condition in the overlap zone between hominoids and nonhominoid catarrhines. In either 495 case, for this character Epipliopithecus displays the more plesiomorphic condition of 496 nonhominoid anthropoids. 497

498 Shape of the anterior semicircular canal This character is more variable than the preceding ones, both within anthropoid subclades, and sometimes even within the same 499 species. However, extant catarrhines generally differ from platyrrhines by possessing an 500 501 anterior canal that is not superiorly elongated, being instead either rounded (as in humans and most cercopithecoids) or vertically compressed (as in great apes and generally 502 hylobatids, although in the latter it varies intraspecifically between rounded and vertically 503 504 compressed). Our LCA reconstructions suggest that the ancestral anthropoid condition-a superiorly elongated anterior canal—is symplesiomorphic not only for platyrrhines but also 505 for the stem catarrhine *Aegyptopithecus*. They further support the view that a rounded 506 507 anterior SC is synapomorphic of crown catarrhines, while a vertically compressed anterior SC would be synapomorphic for crown hominoids + Oreopithecus. In this regard, 508 Epipliopithecus is more derived than Aegyptopithecus but less so than Oreopithecus. This 509 character, therefore, unambiguously supports for *Epipliopithecus* a catarrhine status more 510 derived than in *Aegyptopithecus*, although it would be consistent with either a stem 511 512 catarrhine or a stem hominoid status.

Shape of the anterior portion of the semicircular canal Hylobatids and orangutans differ 513 from the rest of the sample by displaying an anterosuperiorly-projecting anterior portion of 514 the anterior canal. This condition may be interpreted as a crown hominoid synapomorphy 515 subsequently reversed in hominines, as further supported by the fact that Oreopithecus 516 displays the derived condition for hominoids. Alternatively, this feature might have been 517 independently acquired in Oreopithecus, as suggested by our LCA reconstructions, which 518 only recover it as a hylobatid synapomorphy. Given the possession of other SC hominoid 519 synapomorphies in *Oreopithecus*, we tend to favor the former interpretation, even if both 520 are equally parsimonious. In any case, *Epipliopithecus* retains the more plesiomorphic 521 condition of non-hominoid anthropoids. 522

Shape of the posterior semicircular canal Although this character is somewhat variable 523 524 within anthropoid subclades and sometimes even within species, some generalities can be drawn. In platyrrhines, the posterior canal is generally elongated superiorly to some extent, 525 whereas most cercopithecoids have a rounded posterior canal, and hominoids generally 526 vary between a rounded and a vertically compressed morphology (only sometimes 527 superiorly elongated in Pan). Our LCA reconstructions indicate that platyrrhines and 528 Aegyptopithecus retain the ancestral anthropoid condition (superiorly elongated posterior 529 canal), whereas the rounded morphology would be synapomorphic for crown hominoids. 530 *Epipliopithecus* displays the plesiomorphic anthropoid condition and thus differs from 531 Oreopithecus, which displays the derived catarrhine morphology. 532 533 Shape of the lateral semicircular canal ampullary portion Extant hominoids differ from the remaining extant taxa and all the analyzed extinct genera by displaying a markedly 534 superiorly-bent ampullary portion of the lateral canal. Both Epipliopithecus and 535 Oreopithecus thus display a more plesiomorphic condition than crown hominoids, as 536 537 further confirmed by our LCA reconstructions.

Length of the common crus This character is also variable to some extent, but platyrrhines generally display a longer CC than extant catarrhines, with hominoids having an even shorter CC than most cercopithecoids. Our LCA reconstructions support an intermediate length of the CC as synapomorphic of crown catarrhines, with a short CC being synapomorphic for hominoids. *Epipliopithecus* resembles *Aegyptopithecus* and platyrrhines by retaining the ancestral anthropoid condition, whereas *Oreopithecus* displays the derived hominoid morphology.

545

546 **4. Discussion**

Our analysis of the SC and vestibule morphology of *Epipliopithecus* allows us to 547 refine our understanding of the evolution of this anatomical region in anthropoid primates 548 and to refine previous hypotheses proposed by Urciuoli et al. (2020). The results of our 549 deformation-based 3DGM analysis and the reconstruction of ancestral morphotypes for 550 main anthropoid clades indicate that, like the stem catarrhine Aegyptopithecus, 551 Epipliopithecus displays a platyrrhine-like morphology most similar to that reconstructed 552 for the crown catarrhine LCA. This might be compatible with Epipliopithecus being either a 553 stem catarrhine, or a crown catarrhine only slightly postdating the cercopithecoid-hominoid 554 555 split. However, the fact that *Epipliopithecus* most closely resembles the crown anthropoid (and platyrrhine) LCAs (Table 7) suggests that the semicircular morphology of this taxon is 556 most consistent with its status as a stem catarrhine. This conclusion is further supported 557 558 by the analysis of seven discrete characters coded for this anatomical area—which indicate that this is the most parsimonious hypothesis, for reasons discussed in greater 559 detail below. 560

561

562 4.1. Epipliopithecus as a hominoid

Based on the morphology of the SCs and vestibule, *Epipliopithecus* lacks multiple 563 hominoid synapomorphies, including a large vestibule relative to the canals, stout SCs, 564 vertically-compressed anterior canal, anterosuperiorly-projecting anterior portion of the 565 anterior canal, markedly superiorly-bent ampullary portion of the lateral canal, and short 566 CC. Urciuoli et al.(2020) already interpreted some of these features (vertically-compressed 567 anterior canal and markedly superiorly-bent ampullary portion of the lateral canal) as 568 potential crown hominoid synapomorphies, whereas they interpreted others (large 569 vestibule and stout canals) as hominid synapomorphies. Urciuoli et al. (2020) interpreted a 570 superiorly-bent ampullary portion of the lateral canal as a hominoid synapomorphy. 571 572 However, hominoids are, in fact, characterized by the possession of a markedly bent trajectory, whereas other catarrhines display a flat to slightly superiorly-bent ampullary 573 portion of the lateral canal. This is the case for *Epipliopithecus*, which displays much less 574 bending of the lateral canal than in *Oreopithecus* or any extant hominoid. 575

The possession of a large vestibule and stout canals was previously interpreted as 576 577 being synapomorphic for hominids (Urciuoli et al., 2020) because hylobatids display a different ('monkey-like') condition. The differences in volumetric proportions between 578 *Epipliopithecus* and hominids are particularly clear (Fig. 10), with the former closely 579 resembling platyrrhines, Aegyptopithecus, and the inferred ancestral catarrhine condition. 580 Given that both features are present in *Oreopithecus*, they may be interpreted as hominoid 581 synapomorphies subsequently reversed in hylobatids—thereby supporting a more basal 582 583 branching for *Epipliopithecus*. However, their interpretation as hominid synapomorphies is equally parsimonious, as it would only imply their independent acquisition in Oreopithecus. 584 Therefore, neither a large vestibule nor stout canals can be used to unambiguously 585 discount a hominoid status for *Epipliopithecus*. A similar caveat applies to the lack of an 586 anterosuperiorly-projecting anterior canal in *Epipliopithecus*. This condition was previously 587 588 interpreted as an autapomorphy of Hylobates (Le Maître, et al., 2017) or as a hylobatid

589 synapomorphy (Spoor and Zonneveld, 1998; Urciuoli, et al. 2020). However, given its 590 presence in orangutans and Oreopithecus, it is more readily interpreted as a hominoid synapomorphy subsequently reversed in hominines. The interpretation of some of the 591 potential hominoid synapomorphies lacking in *Epipliopithecus* is ambiguous due to 592 593 homoplasy (convergence and/or reversal). However, it is worth noting that, except for the markedly superiorly bent ampullary portion of the lateral canal, Oreopithecus further 594 displays two more unambiguous hominoid synapomorphies (vertically compressed anterior 595 canal and short CC). The absence of these features in *Epipliopithecus* thus conclusively 596 excludes a more derived hominoid status for the latter as compared with Oreopithecus. 597 Epipliopithecus also displays some hylobatid-like features in the spatial 598 configuration between the lateral and posterior canals, as well as in the orientation 599 between the anterior and posterior canals. According to Urciuoli et al. (2020), the lack of 600 intersection between the lateral and posterior canals and the presence of an obtuse angle 601 between the anterior and posterior canals would be synapomorphic for hominoids and 602 hylobatids, respectively. However, only Hylobates consistently displays both features, 603 while most anthropoid taxa, as well as the two Epipliopithecus individuals, show a 604 considerable amount of intraspecific variation. Hence, we refrained from coding these 605 606 features in a cladistic manner, especially in view of the low phylogenetic signal (K < 1) recovered for bgPC3 (accounting for the variation in the configuration of these features), 607 which suggests a substantial degree of homoplasy. Indeed, previous analyses 608 609 hypothesized that suspensory species possess more obtuse angles between the vertical canals (Gonzales et al., 2019), as this configuration provides an increased sensitivity for 610 pitch (at the expense of roll) head movements (Muller and Verhagen, 2002a,b,c). The 611 similarities between NHMW 1970/1397/0003, hylobatids, and some atelids (Spoor and 612 Zonneveld, 1998; Gonzales et al., 2019) would thus agree with previous inferences about 613 614 the locomotor repertoire of this taxon including some degree of suspensory behaviors

(Zapfe, 1958; Fleagle, 1983; Langdon, 1986; Rose, 1994; Arias Martorell et al., 2015). In
contrast, the more plesiomorphic condition of NBM OE 303III (characterized by tangent
lateral and posterior canals, and vertical canals approximating a right angle), also found in *Aegyptopithecus* and some nonsuspensory platyrrhine species, suggests caution when
using SC orientation alone for inferring positional behaviors (Perier et al., 2016; contra
Malinzak et al., 2012; Berlin et al., 2013).

- 621
- 622 4.2. Epipliopithecus as a stem catarrhine

Epipliopithecus resembles both stem platyrrhines and the stem catarrhine 623 Aegyptopithecus in lacking all of the aforementioned hominoid synapomorphies, thereby 624 retaining the plesiomorphic anthropoid condition—a relatively small vestibule, slender SCs, 625 626 anterosuperiorly nonprojecting anterior portion of the anterior canal, superiorly elongated posterior canal, ampullary portion of the lateral canal not markedly bent superiorly, and 627 long CC. The fact that *Epipliopithecus* lacks hominoid synapomorphies displayed by 628 Oreopithecus could still be consistent with a more basal stem hominoid status. However, 629 such an interpretation is contradicted by the retention in *Epipliopithecus* of a superiorly 630 elongated posterior canal and a long CC-contrasting with the rounded posterior canal 631 632 and moderately short CC that are synapomorphic of crown catarrhines. The catarrhine status of *Epipliopithecus* and other pliopithecoids is well established based on multiple 633 features, such as the loss of the second premolars and the presence of a C¹/P₃ honing 634 635 complex (e.g., Harrison, 2013). The catarrhine status of *Epipliopithecus* is further supported by the possession of a rounded anterior canal, which is intermediate between 636 the primitive morphology (superiorly elongated anterior canal) retained by platyrrhines and 637 Aegyptopithecus, and the more derived (vertically compressed) morphology 638 synapomorphic of hominoids. In this regard, *Epipliopithecus* is more derived toward crown 639 catarrhines than the propliopipithecoid Aegyptopithecus, in agreement with other cranial 640

features such as the possession of a partially enclosed tubular ectotympanic in *Epipliopithecus* (e.g., Harrison, 2013).

In summary, based on the morphology of the SCs and vestibule, *Epipliopithecus* is 643 most parsimoniously interpreted as a stem catarrhine more derived than Aegyptopithecus, 644 due to its possession of a crown catarrhine synapomorphy-rounded anterior canal-645 coupled with the lack of two additional crown catarrhine synapomorphies (superiorly 646 elongated posterior canal and long CC) and multiple hominoid and/or hominid 647 synapomorphies as described above. The shapes of the anterior and posterior canals and 648 CC should be considered with caution in light of the intraspecific variability displayed by 649 these characters in some taxa (SOM Table S4). Previous analyses noted a structural 650 relationship between the morphology of these canals and the extension of the subarcuate 651 fossa (Jeffery and Spoor, 2006; Jeffery et al., 2008), and this relationship has been 652 uncritically assumed in some studies (Spoor et al., 2007; Silcox et al., 2009; Gonzales et 653 al., 2019). However, in most cases the fossa simply expands within the space left available 654 655 from the ossification of the canals, with little or no influence on their shape (Jeffery et al., 2008; see also Urciuoli et al., 2020). In support of the latter hypothesis, we observe 656 meager dissimilarities in the anterior canal morphology of NHMW 1970/1397/0003 and 657 658 NBM OE 303III—except for the angle, as discussed above—irrespective of the marked differences in the morphology of the fossa between the two individuals (Zapfe, 1960). 659 660 While a large amount of morphological variation has been documented within ruminant 661 genera (Mennecart and Costeur, 2016), variation in CC length and shape has not been exhaustively analyzed in primates (Spoor and Zonneveld, 1998; Ekdale 2013; Lee et al., 662 2013). In the present study, we found considerable intraspecific variation in CC length for 663 some species of monkeys and apes. Nevertheless, our results support a clear morphocline 664 from the ancestral condition (long CC) retained by platyrrhines, Aegyptopithecus, and 665 Epipliopithecus, to the most derived condition (short CC) characteristic of hominoids, with 666

cercopithecoids displaying an intermediate condition that is likely synapomorphic for crown
catarrhines as a whole. Therefore, *Epipliopithecus* SC morphology supports its
interpretation as more derived than *Aegyptopithecus* toward crown catarrhines, but
excludes a crown catarrhine status and, in particular, a closer relationship with hominoids
(unlike in the case of *Oreopithecus*).

672

673 **5.** Conclusions

Our results are in broad agreement with previous analyses suggesting that *Epipliopithecus* displays a 'typical monkey' inner ear morphology (Morimoto et al., 2020), while *Oreopithecus* possesses SC and vestibule features derived toward the crown hominoid condition (Urciuoli et al., 2020). At the same time, our study further refines previous comparisons of SC and vestibule morphology between *Epipliopithecus* and other anthropoids, enabling us to test competing hypotheses about the phylogenetic position of this taxon (i.e., stem catarrhine vs. stem hominoid).

From a phenetic viewpoint, for this anatomical area *Epipliopithecus* more closely 681 resembles platyrrhines and the stem catarrhine Aegyptopithecus, as well as the 682 reconstructed ancestral catarrhine morphotype. The fact that *Epipliopithecus* shows 683 greater similarities with the platyrrhine and anthropoid ancestral morphotypes, rather than 684 with those of cercopithecoids or hominoids, supports the view that *Epipliopithecus* is a 685 stem catarrhine instead of a stem hominoid. From a cladistic perspective, this 686 687 interpretation is confirmed based on a series of crown catarrhine and crown hominoid synapomorphies. *Epipliopithecus* is more parsimoniously interpreted as a stem catarrhine 688 than as a stem hominoid based on the vestibular morphology analyzed here because it 689 lacks several catarrhine and all hominoid synapomorphies. Specifically, the possession of 690 a rounded posterior canal reinforces the view that *Epipliopithecus* is more derived than 691 692 Aegyptopithecus among stem catarrhines.

The information provided by the SCs and vestibule is thus congruent with the 693 694 ectotympanic morphology of Epipliopithecus (see review in Fricano, 2018), which is more plesiomorphic than in crown catarrhines but more derived than in propliopithecoids. Some 695 similarities between *Epipliopithecus* and hylobatids are based on characters that are too 696 697 variable within species to be of use for phylogenetic assessment. Such features might have evolved independently between some atelids and hylobatids, due to similar 698 locomotor-related selection pressures, and do not support the close phylogenetic link 699 classically hypothesized between pliopithecoids and hylobatids (Hürzeler, 1954; Zapfe, 700 701 1960, 1961; Simons and Fleagle, 1973), particularly given that *Epipliopithecus* displays no 702 crown hominoid synapomorphies. We therefore conclude that the SC and vestibular 703 morphology reinforces the most commonly held view that, in accordance with most (Zalmout et al., 2010; Stevens et al., 2013; Nengo et al., 2017) but not all (Alba et al., 704 2015) recent cladistic analyses, *Epipliopithecus* is best interpreted as a stem catarrhine 705 rather than a stem hominoid. 706

707

708 **References**

- Adams, D.C., 2014. A generalized K statistic for estimating phylogenetic signal from shape
- and other high-dimensional multivariate data. Syst. Biol. 63, 685–697.
- Adams D.C, Collyer, M.L., Kaliontzopoulou, A., 2019. Geomorph: Software for geometric
- 712 morphometric analyses. R Package Version.
- 713 <u>https://cran.rproject.org/package=geomorph.</u>
- Alba, D.M., Berning, B., 2013. On the holotype and original description of the pliopithecid
 Plesiopliopithecus lockeri (Zapfe, 1960). J. Hum. Evol. 65, 338–340.
- Alba, D.M., Moyà-Solà, S., 2012. A new pliopithecid genus (Primates: Pliopithecoidea)
- from Castell de Barberà (Vallès-Penedès Basin, Catalonia, Spain). Am. J. Phys.
- 718 Anthropol. 147, 88–112.

719	Alba, D.M., Moyà-Solà, S., Malgosa, A., Casanovas-Vilar, I., Robles, J.M., Almécija, S.,
720	Galindo, J., Rotgers, C., Bertó Mengual, J.V., 2010. A new species of Pliopithecus
721	Gervais, 1849 (Primates: Pliopithecidae) from the Middle Miocene (MN8) of Abocador
722	de Can Mata (els Hostalets de Pierola, Catalonia, Spain). Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 141,
723	52–75.
724	Alba, D.M., Almécija, S., DeMiguel, D., Fortuny, J., Pérez de los Ríos, M., Pina, M.,
725	Robles, J. M., Moyà-Solà, S., 2015. Miocene small-bodied ape from Eurasia sheds

light on hominoid evolution. Science 350, aab2625.

Almécija, S., Tallman, L., Sallam, H.M., Fleagle, J.G., Hammond, A.S., Seiffert, E.R.,

2019. Early anthropoid femora reveal divergent adaptive trajectories in catarrhine hind-

limb evolution. Nat. Commun. 10, 4778.

Andrews, P.J., Harrison, T., Delson, E., Bernor, R.L., Martin, L., 1996. Distribution and
 biochronology of European and Southwest Asian Miocene catarrhines. In: Bernor,

R.L., Fahlbusch, V., Mittmann, H. (Eds.), The Evolution of Western Eurasian Neogene

733 Mammalian Faunas. Columbia University Press, New York, pp. 168–207.

Ankel, F., 1965. Der Canalis Sacralis als Indikator für die Länge der Caudalregion der

Primaten. Folia Primatol. 3, 263–276.

Arias-Martorell, J., Alba, D.M., Potau, J. M., Bello-Hellegouarch, G., Pérez-Pérez, A.,

2015. Morphological affinities of the proximal humerus of *Epipliopithecus*

vindobonensis and Pliopithecus antiquus: Suspensory inferences based on a 3D

geometric morphometrics approach. J. Hum. Evol. 80, 83–95.

Arnold, C., Matthews, L.J., Nunn, C.L., 2010. The 10kTrees website: A new online

resource for primate phylogeny. Evol. Anthropol. 19, 114–118.

Beaudet, A., Dumoncel, J., Thackeray, J.F., Bruxelles, L., Duployer, B., Tenailleau, C.,

Bam, L., Hoffman, J., de Beer, F., Braga, J., 2016. Upper third molar internal structural

organization and semicircular canal morphology in Plio-Pleistocene South African

cercopithecoids. J. Hum. Evol. 95, 104–120.

- Begun, D.R., 2002 The Pliopithecoidea. In: Hartwig, W.C. (Ed.), 2002. The Primate Fossil
 Record. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 221–240.
- Begun, D.R., 2017. Evolution of the Pliopithecoidea. In A. Fuentes (Ed.), *The International Encyclopedia of Primatology* (pp. [1-4]): John Wiley & Sons.
- 750 Berlin, J.C., Kirk, E.C., Rowe, T.B., 2013. Functional implications of ubiquitous
- semicircular canal non-orthogonality in mammals. PLoS One 8, e79585.

Billet, G., Hautier, L., Lebrun, R., 2015. Morphological diversity of the bony labyrinth (inner

ear) in extant xenarthrans and its relation to phylogeny. J. Mammal. 96, 658–672.

Blomberg, S.P., Garland, T., Ives, A.R., 2003. Testing for phylogenetic signal in

comparative data: behavioral traits are more labile. Evolution 57, 717–745.

Bône, A., Louis, M., Martin, B., Durrleman, S., 2018. Deformetrica 4: an open-source

software for statistical shape analysis. In: Reuter, M., Wachinger, C., Lombaert, H.,

Paniagua, B., Lüthi, M., Egger, B. (Eds.), Shape in Medical Imaging. Shape MI 2018.

- 759 Springer, Cham, pp. 3–13.
- Bookstein, F.L., 2019. Pathologies of between-groups principal components analysis in
 geometric morphometrics. Evol. Biol. 46, 271–302.
- Braga, J., Samir, C., Risser, L., Dumoncel, J., Descouens, D., Thackeray, J.F., Barlesque,
- P., Oettlé, A., Loubes, J.-M., Fradi, A., 2019a. Cochlear shape reveals that the human
 organ of hearing is sex-typed from birth. Sci. Rep. 9, 10889.
- Bush, E.C., Simons, E.L., Dubowitz, D.J., Allman, J.M., 2004. Endocranial volume and
- optic foramen size in *Parapithecus grangeri*. In: Ross, C.F., Kay, R.F. (Eds),
- Anthropoid Origins: New Visions. Kluwer/Plenum, New York, pp. 603–614.
- Cardini, A., O'Higgins, P., Rohlf, F.J., 2019. Seeing distinct groups where there are none:
- 769 Spurious patterns from between-group PCA. Evol. Biol. 46, 303–316.

- Cardini, A., Polly, P.D., 2020. Cross-validated between-group PCA scatterplots: A solution
 to spurious group separation? Evol. Biol. 47, 85–95.
- 772 Casanovas-Vilar, I., Alba, D.M., Garcés, M., Robles, J.M., Moyà-Solà, S., 2011. Updated
- chronology for the Miocene hominoid radiation in Western Eurasia. Proc. Natl. Acad.
- 774 Sci. USA 108, 5554–5559.
- Ciochon, R.L., Corruccini, R.S., 1977. The phenetic position of *Pliopithecus* and its
 phylogenetic relationship to the Hominoidea. Syst. Zool. 26, 290–299.
- Coleman, M.N., Colbert, M.W. 2010. Correlations between auditory structures and hearing
 sensitivity in non-human primates. J. Morphol. 271, 511–532.
- Costeur, L., Grohé, C., Aguirre-Fernández, G., Ekdale, E., Schulz, G., Müller, B.,
- Mennecart, B., 2018. The bony labyrinth of toothed whales reflects both phylogeny
 and habitat preferences. Sci. Rep. 8, 7841.
- David, R., Droulez, J., Allain, R., Berthoz, A., Janvier, P., Bennequin, D., 2010. Motion
- from the past. A new method to infer vestibular capacities of extinct species. C.R.
 Palevol 9, 397–410.
- David, R., Stoessel, A., Berthoz, A., Spoor, F., Bennequin, D., 2016. Assessing
- morphology and function of the semicircular duct system: introducing new in-situ
- visualization and software toolbox. Sci. Rep. 6, 32772.
- del Rio, J., Aristide, L., dos Reis, S.F., dos Santos, T.M.P., Lopes, R.T., Perez, S.I., 2020.
- Allometry, function and shape diversification in the inner ear of platyrrhine primates. J.
- 790 Mammal. Evol. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10914-019-09490-9.
- 791 Delson, E., Andrews, P.J., 1975. Evolution and interrelationships of the catarrhine
- primates. In: Luckett, W.P., Szalay, F.S. (Eds.), Phylogeny of the Primates: A
- Multidisciplinary Approach. Plenum Press, New York, pp. 405–446.

- Dumoncel, J., Durrleman, S., Braga, J., Jessel, J.P., Subsol, G., 2014. Landmark-free 3D
 method for comparison of fossil hominins and hominids based on endocranium and
 EDJ shapes. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 153 (S58), 110.
- Durrleman, S., Pennec, X., Trouvé, A., Ayache, N., Braga, J., 2012. Comparison of the
- endocranial ontogenies between chimpanzees and bonobos via temporal regression
- and spatiotemporal registration. J. Hum. Evol. 62, 74–88.
- Durrleman, S., Prastawa, M., Korenberg, J.R., Joshi, S., Trouvé, A., Gerig, G., 2012.
- 801 Topology preserving atlas construction from shape data without correspondence using
- sparse parameters. In: Ayache, N., Delingette, H., Golland, P., Mori, K. (Eds.), Medical
- 803 Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention MICCAI 2012. Springer,

804 Berlin, pp. 223–230.

- Ekdale, E.G., 2013. Comparative anatomy of the bony labyrinth (inner ear) of placental
 mammals. PLoS One 8, e66624.
- Farris, J.S., 1989. The retention index and the rescaled consistency index. Cladistics 5,
 417–419.
- 809 Fleagle, J.G., 1983. Locomotor adaptations of Oligocene and Miocene hominoids and their
- phyletic implications. In: Ciochon, R.L., Corruccini, R.S. (Eds.), New Interpretations of

Ape and Human Ancestry. Plenum Press, New York, pp. 301–324.

- Fleagle, J.G., 1984. Are there any fossil gibbons? In: Preuschoft, H., Chivers, D.J.,
- Brockelman, W.Y., Creel, N. (Eds.), The Lesser Apes: Evolutionary and Behavioral
- Biology. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, pp. 431–447.
- Fricano, E.E.I., 2018. The primate ectotympanic tube: correlates of structure, function, and
 development. Ph.D. Dissertation, Johns Hopkins University.
- Fulwood, E.L., Boyer, D.M., Kay, R.F., 2016. Stem members of Platyrrhini are distinct from
- catarrhines in at least one derived cranial feature. J. Hum. Evol. 100, 16–24.

819	Gilbert, C.C., Ortiz, A., Pugh, K.D., Campisano, C.J., Patel, B.A., Singh, N.P., Fleage,
820	J.G., Patnaik, R., 2020. New middle Miocene ape (Primates: Hylobatidae) from
821	Ramnagar, India fills major gaps in the hominoid fossil record. Proc. R. Soc. B 287,
822	20201655.
823	Glaunès, J.A., Joshi, S., 2006. Template estimation form unlabeled point set data and
824	surfaces for Computational Anatomy. in: Pennec, X., Joshi, S. (Eds.), MICCAI 2006
825	Workshop Proceedings. MFCA'06 Workshop. Mathematical Foundations of
826	Computational Anatomy: Geometrical and Statistical Methods for Modelling Biological
827	Shape Variability. INRIA/MICCAI, Conpenhagen, pp. 29–39.
828	Gonzales, L.A., Malinzak, M.D., Kay, R.F., 2019. Intraspecific variation in semicircular
829	canal morphology—A missing element in adaptive scenarios? Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.
830	168, 10–24.
831	Grohé, C., Tseng, Z. J., Lebrun, R., Boistel, R., Flynn, J.J., 2015. Bony labyrinth shape
832	variation in extant Carnivora: a case study of Musteloidea. J. Anat. 228, 366–383.
833	Grohé, C., Lee, B., Flynn, J.J., 2018. Recent inner ear specialization for high-speed
834	hunting in cheetahs. Sci. Rep. 8, 1–8.
835	Harrison, T., 1982. Small-bodied apes from the Miocene of East Africa. Ph.D. Dissertation,
836	University College London.
837	Harrison, T., 1987. The phylogenetic relationships of the early catarrhine primates: a

review of the current evidence. J. Hum. Evol. 16, 41–80.

- Harrison, T., 2005. The zoogeographic and phylogenetic relationships of early catarrhine
 primates in Asia. Anthropol. Sci. 113, 43–51.
- 841 Harrison, T., 2010. Dendropithecoidea, Proconsuloidea, and Hominoidea (Catarrhini,
- 842 Primates). In: Werdelin, L. (Ed.), Cenozoic Mammals of Africa. University of California
- 843 Press, Berkeley, pp. 429–469.
- Harrison, T., 2013. Catarrhine origins. In: Begun, D.R. (Ed.), A Companion to
 Paleoanthropology. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, pp. 376–396.
- Harrison, T., Gu, Y., 1999. Taxonomy and phylogenetic relationships of early Miocene
 catarrhines from Sihong, China. J. Hum. Evol. 37, 225–277.
- Harrison, T., Zhang, Y., Wei, G., Sun, C., Wang, Y., Liu, J., Tong, H., Huang, B., Xu, F.,
- 2020. A new genus of pliopithecoid from the late Early Miocene of China and its
- implications for understanding the paleozoogeography of the Pliopithecoidea. J. Hum.Evol. 145, 102838.
- Harzhauser, M., Kroh, A., Mandic, O., Piller, W. E., Göhlich, U., Reuter, M., Berning, B.,
- 2007. Biogeographic responses to geodynamics: A key study all around the Oligo-
- Miocene Tethyan Seaway. Zool. Anz. 246, 241–256.
- Hürzeler, J., 1954. Contribution a l'odontologie et a la phylogénèse du genre *Pliopithecus*Gervais. Ann. Paleontol. 40, 5–63.
- ⁸⁵⁷ Jeffery, N., Spoor, F., 2006. The primate subarcuate fossa and its relationship to the ⁸⁵⁸ semicircular canals part I: prenatal growth. J. Hum. Evol. 51, 537–549.
- Jeffery, N., Ryan, T.M., Spoor, F., 2008. The primate subarcuate fossa and its relationship
- to the semicircular canals part II: Adult interspecific variation. J. Hum. Evol. 55, 326–
- 861 339.
- Kay, R.F., Simons, E., Ross, J.L., 2009a. The basicranial anatomy of African
- Eocene/Oligocene anthropoids. Are there any clues for platyrrhine origins? In: Fleagle,
- J.G., Gilbert, C.C. (Eds.), Elwyin Simons: A Search for Origins. Springer, New York,
- 865 pp. 125–158.
- Kay, R.F., Fleagle, J.G., Mitchell, T.R.T., Colbert, M., Bown, T., Powers, D.W., 2009b. The
- anatomy of *Dolichocebus gaimanensis*, a stem platyrrhine monkey from Argentina. J.
- 868 Hum. Evol. 54, 323–382.

- Kay, R.F., 2015. Biogeography in deep time What do phylogenetics, geology, and
 paleoclimate tell us about early platyrrhine evolution? Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 82, 358–
 374.
- Kirk, E.C., Gosselin-Ildari, A.D., 2009. Cochlear labyrinth volume and hearing abilities in
 primates. Anat. Rec. 292, 765–776.
- Kunimatsu, Y., Nakatsukasa, M., Shimizu, D., Nakano, Y., Ishida, H., 2019. Loss of the
 subarcuate fossa and the phylogeny of *Nacholapithecus*. J. Hum. Evol. 131, 22–27.
- Langdon, J.H., 1986. Functional morphology of the Miocene hominoid foot. Contrib.
- 877 Primatol. 22, 239e257.
- Lebrun, R., P. de León, M., Tafforeau, P., Zollikofer, C., 2010. Deep evolutionary roots of
 strepsirrhine primate labyrinthine morphology. J. Anat 216, 368–380.
- Lebrun, R., Godinot, M., Couette, S., Tafforeau, P., Zollikofer, C., 2012. The labyrinthine
 morphology of *Pronycticebus gaudryi* (Primates, Adapiformes). Palaeobiodiv.
- 882 Palaeoenvir. 92, 527–537.
- Lee, J.Y., Shin, K.J., Kim, J.N., Yoo, J.Y., Song, W.C., Koh, K.S., 2013. A morphometric
- study of the semicircular canals using micro-CT images in three-dimensional
 reconstruction. Anat. Rec. 269, 834–839.
- Le Maître A., Schuetz, P., Vignaud, P., Brunet, M., 2017. New data about semicircular canal morphology and locomotion in modern hominoids. J. Anat. 231, 95–109.
- Macrini, T.E., Flynn, J.J., Ni, X., Croft, D.A., Wyss, A. R., 2013. Comparative study of
 notoungulate (Placentalia, Mammalia) bony labyrinths and new phylogenetically
 informative inner ear characters. J. Anat. 223, 442–461.
- Malinzak, M.D., Kay, R.F., Hullar, T.E., 2012. Locomotor head movements and
- semicircular canal morphology in primates. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 109, 17914–
- 893 17919.

- Manoussaki, D., Dimitriadis, E.K., Chadwick, R.S., 2006. Cochlea's graded curvature
 effect on low frequency waves. Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 088701.
- Mennecart, B., Costeur, L., 2016. Shape variation and ontogeny of the ruminant bony
 labyrinth, an example in Tragulidae. J. Anat. 229, 422–435.
- Mennecart, B., Rössner, G. E., Métais, G., DeMiguel, D., Schulz, G., Müller, B., Costeur,
 L., 2016. The petrosal bone and bony labyrinth of early to middle Miocene European
- deer (Mammalia, Cervidae) reveal their phylogeny. J. Morphol. 277, 1329–1338.
- Mennecart, B., DeMiguel, D., Bibi, F., Rössner, G.E., Métais, G., Neenan, J.M., Wang, S.,
- Schulz, G, Müller, B., Costeur, L., 2017. Bony labyrinth morphology clarifies the origin
 and evolution of deer. Sci. Rep. 7, 13176.
- Mitteroecker, P., Bookstein, F., 2011. Linear discrimination, ordination, and the
- visualization of selection gradients in modern morphometrics. Evol. Biol. 38, 100–114.
- 906 Moyà-Solà, S., Köhler, M., 2000. Comprendere Oreopithecus bambolii, un ominoide

907 fossile enigmatico. Atti Mus. St. Nat. Maremma 18, 39–65.

- Moyà-Solà, S., Köhler, M., Alba, D.M., 2001. *Egarapithecus narcisoi*, a new genus of
 Pliopithecidae (Primates, Catarrhini) from the late Miocene of Spain. Am. J. Phys.
 Anthropol. 114, 312–324.
- Morimoto, N., Kunimatsu, Y., Nakatsukasa, M., Ponce de León, M. S., Zollikofer, C. P.,
- Ishida, H., Sasaki, T., Suwa, G., 2020. Variation of bony labyrinthine morphology in
- 913 Mio–Plio–Pleistocene and modern anthropoids. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 173, 276–292.
- Muller, M., Verhagen, J.H.G., 2002a. Optimization of the mechanical performance of a
- 915 two-duct semicircular duct system—Part 1: dynamics and duct dimensions. J. Theor.
 916 Biol. 216, 409–424.
- 917 Muller, M., Verhagen, J.H.G., 2002b. Optimization of the mechanical performance of a
- 918 two-duct semicircular duct system—Part 2: excitation of endolymph movements. J.
- 919 Theor. Biol. 216, 425–442.

- Muller, M., Verhagen, J.H.G., 2002c. Optimization of the mechanical performance of a
 two-duct semicircular duct system—Part 3: the positioning of the ducts in the head. J.
 Theor. Biol. 216, 443–459.
- Nengo, I., Tafforeau, P., Gilbert, C.C., Fleagle, J.G., Miller, E.R., Feibel, C., Fox, D.L.,
- Feinberg, J., Pugh, K.D., Berruyer, C., Mana, S., Engle, Z., Spoor, F., 2017. New
- 925 infant cranium from the African Miocene sheds light on ape evolution. Nature 548,926 169–174.
- Pagel, M., 1999. Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. Nature 401, 877–
 884.
- Perier, A., Lebrun, R., Marivaux, L., 2016. Different level of intraspecific variation of the
 bony labyrinth morphology in slow- versus fast-moving Primates. J. Mammal. Evol. 23,
- 931 **353–368**.
- R Core Team, 2019. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna.
- 834 Rae, T.C., Johnson, P.M., Yano, W., Hirasaki, E. 2016. Semicircular canal size and
- 935 locomotion in colobine monkeys: a cautionary tale. Folia Primatol. 87, 213–223.
- Revell, L.J., 2012. Phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and
 other things). Methods Ecol. Evol. 3, 217–223.
- 938 Rook, L., Renne, P., Benvenuti, M., Papini, M., 2000. Geochronology of Oreopithecus-
- bearing succession at Baccinello (Italy) and the extinction pattern of european
 miocene hominoids. J. Hum. Evol. 39, 577–582.
- Rook, L., Bondioli, L., Casali, F., Rossi, M., Köhler, M., Moyá Solá, S., Macchiarelli, R.,
- 2004. The bony labyrinth of *Oreopithecus bambolii*. J. Hum. Evol. 46, 347–354.
- 943 Rose, M.D., 1994. Quadrupedalism in some Miocene catarrhines. J. Hum. Evol. 26, 387-
- 944 411.

- Russo, G.A., 2016. Comparative sacral morphology and the reconstructed tail lengths of
 five extinct primates: *Proconsul heseloni, Epipliopithecus vindobonensis*,
- 947 Archaeolemur edwardsi, Megaladapis grandidieri, and Palaeopropithecus kelyus. J.
- 948 Hum. Evol. 90, 135–162.
- 949 Ryan, T.M., Silcox, M.T., Walker, A., Mao, X., Begun, D.R., Benefit, B.R., Gingerich, P.D.,
- 950 Köhler, M., Kordos, L., McCrossin, M.L., Moyà-Solà, S., Sanders, W.J., Seiffert, E.R.,
- 951 Simons, E., Zalmout, I.S., Spoor, F., 2012. Evolution of locomotion in Anthropoidea:
- the semicircular canal evidence. P. Roy. Soc. B. 279, 3467–3475.
- 953 Sankhyan, A. R., Kelley, J., & Harrison, T. 2017. A highly derived pliopithecoid from the
- Late Miocene of Haritalyangar, India. Journal of Human Evolution 105, 1–12.
- 955 Savje, F. 2019. distances: tools for distance metrics. https://cran.r-
- 956 project.org/web/packages/distances/index.html.
- 957 Schlager, S., 2017. Morpho and Rvcg shape analysis in R: R-packages for geometric
- 958 morphometrics, shape analysis and surface manipulations. In: Zheng, G., Li, S.,
- 959 Székely, G. (Eds.), Statistical Shape and Deformation Analysis. Methods,
- 960 Implementation and Applications. Academic Press, London, pp. 217–256.
- 961 Seiffert, E.R., 2006. Revised age estimates for the later Paleogene mammal faunas of

962 Egypt and Oman. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 103, 5000–5005.

- 963 Sidlauskas, B., 2008. Continuous and arrested morphological diversification in sister
- 964 clades of characiform fishes: a phylomorphospace approach. Evolution 62, 3135–
- 965 **3156**.
- Silcox, M.T., Bloch, J.I., Boyer, D.M., Godinot, M., Ryan, T.M., Spoor, F., Walker, A., 2009.
 Semicircular canal system in early primates. J. Hum. Evol. 56, 315–327.
- 968 Simons, E.L., Fleagle, J.G., 1973. The history of extinct gibbon-like primates. In:
- Rumbaugh, D.M. (Ed.), Gibbon and Siamang Vol. 2. Anatomy, Dentition, Taxonomy,
- 970 Molecular Evolution and Behavior. Karger, Basel, pp. 121–148.

- 971 Simons, E.L., Seiffert, E.R., Ryan, T.M., Attia, Y., 2007. A remarkable female cranium of
- 972 the early Oligocene anthropoid *Aegyptopithecus zeuxis* (Catarrhini, Propliopithecidae).

973 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 104, 8731–8736.

- Spoor, F., Zonneveld., F., 1998. Comparative review of the human bony labyrinth. Yearb.
 Phys. Anthropol. 41, 211–251.
- Spoor, F., Garland, T., Krovitz, G., Ryan, T.M., Silcox, M.T., Walker, A., 2007. The primate
 semicircular canal system and locomotion. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 104, 10808–
 10812.
- 979 Stevens, N.J., Seiffert, E.R., O'Connor, P.M., Roberts, E.M., Schmitz, M.D., Krause, C.,
- 980 Gorscak, E., Ngasala, S., Hieronymus, T.L., Temu, J., 2013. Palaeontological
- evidence for an Oligocene divergence between Old World monkeys and apes. Nature
 497, 611–614.
- 983 Swofford, D., 2003. PAUP*. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and Other

984 Methods). Version 4. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland.

- 985 Szalay, F.S., 1975. Phylogeny of primate higher taxa: the basicranial evidence. In: Luckett,
- 986 W.P., Szalay, F.S. (Eds.), Phylogeny of the Primates: A Multidisciplinary Approach.

987 Plenum Press, New York, pp. 91–125.

- Szalay, F.S., Delson, E., 1979. Evolutionary History of the Primates. Academic Press, New
 York.
- 990 Tejedor, M.F., Rosenberger, A.L., 2008. A neotype for Homunculus patagonicus
- Ameghino, 1891, and a new interpretation of the taxon. PaleoAnthropology 2008, 68–82.
- ⁹⁹³ Urciuoli, A., Zanolli, C., Begun, D.R., Almécija, S., Dumoncel, J., Moyà-Solà, S., Alba,
- D.M., 2019. A deformation-based geometric morphometric analysis of the vestibular
- apparatus in the Miocene apes *Hispanopithecus laietanus* and *Rudapithecus*
- 996 *hungaricus*. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 168 (S68), 253.

- Urciuoli, A., Zanolli, C., Beaudet, A., Dumoncel, J., Santos, F., Moyà-Solà, S., Alba, D.M., 997 998 2020. The evolution of the vestibular apparatus in apes and humans. eLife 9, e51261. van der Meulen, A.J., García-Paredes, I., Álvarez-Sierra, M.Á., van den Hoek Ostende, 999 L.W., Hordijk, K., Oliver, A., López-Guerrero, P., Hernández-Ballarín, V., Peláez-1000 1001 Campomanes, P., 2011. Biostratigraphy or biochronology? Lessons from the Early and Middle Miocene small Mammal Events in Europe, Geobios 44, 309–321. 1002 Zalmout, I.S., Sanders, W.J., MacLatchy, L., Gunnell, G., Al-Mufarreh, Y.A., Ali, M.A., 1003 Nasser, A.-A. H., Al-Masary, A.M., Al-Sobhi, S.A., Nadhra, A.O., Matari, A.H., Wilson, 1004 J. A., Gingerich, P. D., 2010. New Oligocene primate from Saudi Arabia and the 1005 1006 divergence of apes and Old World monkeys. Nature 466, 360–365. 1007 Zapfe, H., 1958. The skeleton of *Pliopithecus* (*Epipliopithecus*) vindobonensis Zapfe and Hürzeler. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 16, 441–457. 1008 Zapfe, H., 1961. Die Primatenfunde aus der miozänen Spaltenfüllung von Neudorf an der 1009 March (Děvínská Nová Ves), Tschechoslowakei. Schweizer. palaeontol. Abh. 78, 1-1010 1011 293. 1012 Zapfe, H., Hürzeler, J., 1957. Die Fauna der miozänen Spaltenfüllung von Neudorf an der March (ČSR.). Primates. Sitzungsber. Öst. Akad. Wiss. Math. Naturwiss. Kl. 166, 113-1013 123. 1014 1015 **Figure captions** 1016 1017 Figure 1. Illustration of the protocol used for digitally separating the cochlea (green) from 1018 the semicircular canals and the vestibule (blue). a) In anterior view, the first landmark 1019 (yellow filled circle) is placed anteriorly to the oval window, on the point of maximum 1020 surface curvature of the ridge-like morphology formed by the narrowing of the vestibule. b) 1021
 - 1022 In posterior view, three landmarks are placed along the junction between the bony
 - 40

vestibule and the cochlea, defined by the line of maximum surface curvature found 1023 1024 immediately below the bulge formed by the saccular recess. A cutting plane (here perpendicular to the view and depicted by a black line) is best fitted to the identified 1025 landmarks using the 'Points To Fit' option of the 'Clipping Plane' module of Avizo version 1026 1027 9.0.1 (FEI Visualization Sciences Group, Houston) via a customized script (available upon request to A.U.), and used as a reference for a straight cut. Abbreviations: asc = anterior 1028 1029 semicircular canal; psc = posterior semicircular canal; lsc = lateral semicircular canal; CC 1030 = common crus.

1031

1032 Figure 2. Cladogram of extant and fossil anthropoids showing the two phylogenetic 1033 hypotheses for Epipliopithecus. The solid line (A) denotes the most widely accepted phylogenetic position of *Epipliopithecus* as a stem catarrhine, whereas the dashed line (B) 1034 denotes the alternative hypothesis that *Epipliopithecus* would be more closely related to 1035 hominoids. Key nodes are highlighted as follows: gray circle = crown anthropoids; green 1036 circle = crown platyrrhines; blue circle = crown catarrhines; orange circle = crown 1037 1038 hominoids. Skulls and crania (not to scale) were taken from the following sources for illustrative purposes only: extant skulls and *Aegyptopithecus*, Wikimedia Commons; 1039 1040 Dolichocebus, Kay et al. (2009b: Fig. 1); Homunculus, Tejedor and Rosenberger (2008: Fig. 2); Oreopithecus (reconstruction), Moyà-Solà and Köhler (2000: Fig. 5); 1041 Epipliopithecus, photograph of a cast; Parapithecus, digital reconstruction with 1042 1043 photographic texture made by Steven Heritage. 1044 Figure 3. Phylogenetic trees used for the phylomorphospace approach. They differ in 1045 considering *Epipliopithecus* as a stem catarrhine (a) or a stem hominoid (b). 1046

1047

Figure 4. Rendered 3D models of the semicircular canals and vestibule of *Epipliopithecus* 1048 1049 vindobonensis (all specimens depicted as from the left side) and selected extant anthropoids, in lateral (left), superior (middle), and posterior (right) views: a) E. 1050 1051 vindobonensis (individual II, NHMW 1970/1397/0003, mirrored); b) E. vindobonensis 1052 (individual III, NMB OE 303a); c) E. vindobonensis (individual III, NMB OE 303b, mirrored); 1053 d) Parapithecus grangeri (DPC 18651); e) Dolichocebus gaimanensis (MACN 14128); f) Homunculus patagonicus (MPM-PV 3501); g) Ateles geoffroyi (MCZ 29628); h) Alouatta 1054 palliata (DU EA LP12); i) Cebus apella (MCZ27891); j) Aegyptopithecus zeuxis (CGM 1055 1056 85785); k) Trachypithecus cristatus (MCZ35603); l) Chlorocebus pygerythrus (SIU 4796); 1057 m) Macaca fascicularis (MCZ 35765); n) Oreopithecus bambolii (BAC 208); o) Hylobates *lar* (MCZ 41424); p) *Symphalangus syndactylus* (AMNH.M 106583); g) *Hoolock hoolock* 1058 (AMNH.M 83425); r) Pongo pygmaeus (IPS10647); s) Gorilla gorilla (AMNH.M 167338; t) 1059 Pan paniscus (MCZ 38019); u) Homo sapiens (EMBR 179). Scale bars equal 5 mm. 1060 1061

Figure 5. Bivariate plot of canal log-transformed cube root canal volume (mm; Ln VolSC)
vs. log-transformed canal length (mm; ln L). Separate regression lines are depicted for
hominids (red line) and for nonhominid anthropoids (blue line). *Epipliopithecus* (NHMW
1970/1397/0003 and NMB OE 303a, b) falls within the variability of nonhominid
anthropoids, similar to all extinct taxa except *Oreopithecus*. Measurements for the included
fossil taxa given in Table 6.

1068

Figure 6. Patterns of vestibule and semicircular canal shape variation among major

1070 anthropoid clades based on the results of a between-group principal component analysis,

1071 as depicted by bivariate plots between principal components (bgPCs): a) bgPC2 vs.

bgPC1; b) bgPC3 vs. bgPC1. Variance explained by each component is given along each

1073 axis. c–e) Extreme conformations of maximum (above) and minimum (below) bgPC

scores: c) bgPC1; d) bgPC2; e) bgPC3. Four groups (platyrrhines, cercopithecoids,
hylobatids, and hominids) were defined a priori, whereas specimens of *Epipliopithecus vindobonensis* were plotted post hoc onto the morphospace. Renderings in lateral (left),
superior (middle), and posterior (right) views of warped 3D models representing the
extreme conformations for each bgPC are placed close to the corresponding axis. Convex
hulls depict the range of variation for a priori defined groups using the following color code:
green = platyrrhines; blue = cercopithecoids; red = hylobatids; orange = hominids.

Figure 7. Dendrogram resulting from a cluster analysis (Ward's method) based on
Mahalanobis distances computed between the species centroids of the between-group
principal component analysis (bgPCA) of shape data. The cophenetic correlation
coefficient is 0.703.

1086

Figure 8. Phylomorphospace of the anthropoid semicircular canal. The phylogenetic tree 1087 (with Epipliopithecus included as a stem catarrhine; Fig. 3a) is projected onto the tangent 1088 1089 space defined by the between-group principal components (bgPCs) as depicted in Figure 6. The internal nodes (i.e., the ancestral states) were estimated using maximum likelihood: 1090 1091 a) bgPC2 vs. bgPC1; b) bgPC3 vs. bgPC1. Variance explained by each component is given along each axis. Convex hulls depict the range of variation for a priori defined 1092 groups using the following color code: green = platyrrhines; blue = cercopithecoids; red = 1093 1094 hylobatids; orange = hominids. The ancestral nodes discussed for assessing 1095 Epipliopithecus phylogenetic affinities do not change consistently in their position in the morphospace irrespective of the phylogenetic hypothesis used for their estimation (see 1096 SOM Fig. S3 for the alternative phylogenetic tree including *Epipliopithecus* as a stem 1097 1098 hominoid). Key nodes are highlighted as follows: gray circle = crown anthropoids; green

1099 circle = crown platyrrhines; blue circle = crown catarrhines; orange circle = crown
1100 hominoids.

1101

Figure 9. Reconstruction of the semicircular canals and vestibule for the last common ancestors (LCAs) of the following clades: a) crown anthropoids; b) crown platyrrhines; c) crown catarrhines; d) crown hominoids. The renderings of each 3D model are depicted in lateral (left), superior (middle), and posterior (right) views.

1106

Figure 10. Box-and-whisker plot of allometric residuals based on best-fit line of the nonhominid anthropoid regression of log-transformed cube root of canal volume and logtransformed canal length (as depicted in Fig. 5). Vertical lines correspond to the median, boxes depict interquartile range, whiskers represent maximum and minimum values within 1.5 times the interquartile range, and black dots are outliers. Sample sizes for extant groups are the following: Platyrrhini (n = 40), Cercopithecoidea (n = 75), Hylobatidae (n =17), Hominidae (n = 30).

1114

Figure 11. Illustration of the discrete characters of semicircular canal (SC) and vestibule morphology used in this paper. Numbers preceding each state (0, 1, 2) correspond to character states numbered in Tables 8 and 9, and SOM Table S4.

1118

Figure 12. Simplified cladogram of crown anthropoids and selected extinct catarrhines (*Epipliopithecus* and *Oreopithecus*) summarizing the main synapomorphies inferred for the various clades in semicircular canal and vestibule morphology. The four extant anthropoid clades distinguished (platyrrhines, cercopithecoids, hylobatids and hominids) are depicted as terminal nodes. The synapomorphies inferred for each node are summarized below; character number (preceded by a hash) and character state (within parentheses) are

provided after each synapomorphy within brackets. a) *Epipliopithecus* + crown catarrhines: 1125 rounded anterior canal [#3(1)]; b) Crown catarrhines: rounded posterior canal [#5(1)], 1126 moderately short CC [#7(1)]; c) Oreopithecus + crown hominoids: vertically compressed 1127 anterior canal [#3(0)], anterosuperiorly-projecting anterior portion of the anterior canal 1128 1129 [#4(1)], short CC [#7(2)]; d) Crown hominoids: markedly superiorly bent ampullary portion of the lateral canal [#6(1)]; e) Crown hominids (unless Oreopithecus + crown hominoid 1130 synapomorphies [node c] with reversal in hylobatids): large vestibule relative to the SCs 1131 [#1(1)], stout SCs [#2(1)]. Abbreviations: CC = common crus; SC = semicircular canals. 1132 See Figure 11 for an illustration of the various character states and Table 9 and SOM 1133 1134 Table S4 for the scoring of reconstructed last common ancestors and individual taxa, 1135 respectively.





























- 2 Digital object identifiers (DOIs) of the 3D virtual models of the vestibule and semicircular
- 3 canals of *Epipliopithecus vindobonensis* available from MorphoSource.org.
- 4 (<u>https://www.morphosource.org</u>).

Catalog No.	Museum	DOI
NMBOE 303a (individual III)	NMBOE	https://doi.org/10.17602/M2/M113935
NMBOE 303b (individual III)	NMBOE	https://doi.org/10.17602/M2/M113933
NHMW 1970/1397/0003 (individual II)	NHMW	https://doi.org/10.17602/M2/M113932

- 5 Abbreviations: NMB OE = Naturhistorisches Museum Basel, Switzerland; NHMW,
- 6 Naturhistorisches Museum Wien, Austria.

Log-transformed cube root of canal volume (In VoISC, mm³) and log-transformed canal length (In L, mm) measured for the fossil taxa included in the analysis.^a

Catalog No.	Taxon	In VoISC	In L
NMBOE 303a	Epipliopithecus vindobonensis	3.640	0.993
NMBOE 303b	Epipliopithecus vindobonensis	3.602	0.910
NHMW 1970/1397/0003	Epipliopithecus vindobonensis	3.593	0.916
CGM 85785	Aegyptopithecus zeuxis	3.365	0.847
MPM-PV 30501	Homunculus patagonicus	3.391	0.780
MPM-PV 30502	Homunculus patagonicus	3.359	0.720
MPM-PV 30503	Homunculus patagonicus	3.359	0.784
MACN 14128	Dolichocebus gaimanensis	3.802	0.803
BAC 208	Oreopithecus bambolii	3.295	0.803
DPC 18651	Parapithecus grangeri	3.640	0.993

Abbreviations: BAC = Baccinello (field acronym; housed at Naturhistorisches Museum Basel, Switzerland); CGM = Egyptian Geological Museum, Cairo, Egypt; MPM-PV = Museo Regional Provincial Padre M.J. Molina, Río Gallegos, Argentina; MACN = Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales, Buenos Aires, Argentina; DPC = Duke Lemur Center, Durham, NC, USA.

^a See SOM Table S1 for the specimens included in the extant comparative sample.

- 2 Mahalanobis distances (D²) and posterior probabilities of group membership (*p*) based on the scores for fossil specimens in the
- 3 between-group principal component analysis for the entire anthropoid sample.^{a-b}

D ²	Cercopithecoidea	Hominidae	Hylobatidae	Platyrrhini
Epipliopithecus vindobonensis (NHMW 1970/1397/0003)	17.179	10.539	6.485	3.437
Epipliopithecus vindobonensis (NMB OE 303a)	12.190	14.262	6.588	0.779
Epipliopithecus vindobonensis (NMB OE 303b)	13.307	15.085	6.682	1.056
Oreopithecus bambolii (BAC 208)	8.083	5.450	11.574	1.579
Aegyptopithecus zeuxis (CGM 85785)	12.430	9.133	15.513	0.990
Homunculus patagonicus (MPM-PV 3501)	11.817	13.356	11.017	0.073
Homunculus patagonicus (MPM-PV 3502)	10.336	12.736	12.056	0.165
Homunculus patagonicus (MPM-PV 3503)	10.083	7.449	11.284	0.590
Dolichocebus gaimanensis (MACN 14128)	5.204	13.516	12.687	1.935
Parapithecus grangeri (DPC 18651)	6.533	17.859	13.047	2.110
p	Cercopithecoidea	Hominidae	Hylobatidae	Platyrrhini
Epipliopithecus vindobonensis (NHMW 1970/1397/0003)	0.006	0.007	0.018	0.029
Epipliopithecus vindobonensis (NMB OE 303a)	0.018	<0.001	<0.001	0.678

Epipliopithecus vindobonensis (NMB OE 303b)	0.013	<0.001	<0.001	0.608 4
Oreopithecus bambolii (BAC 208)	0.052	0.005	<0.001	0.530 5
Aegyptopithecus zeuxis (CGM 85785)	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.688 $\frac{6}{7}$
Homunculus patagonicus (MPM-PV 3501)	0.003	<0.001	<0.001	0.980 8
Homunculus patagonicus (MPM-PV 3502)	0.003	<0.001	<0.001	0.919 9 10
Homunculus patagonicus (MPM-PV 3503)	0.019	0.001	<0.001	0.848
Dolichocebus gaimanensis (MACN 14128)	0.013	<0.001	<0.001	0.612
Parapithecus grangeri (DPC 18651)	0.002	<0.001	<0.001	0.411

11 Abbreviations: NHMW = Naturhistorisches Museum of Wien, Austria; NMB = Naturhistorisches Museum of Basel, Switzerland; BAC =

12 NMB accession number for Oreopithecus bambolii specimens; CGM = Egyptian Geological Museum, Cairo, Egypt; MPM-PV = Museo

13 Regional Provincial "Padre M.J. Molina", Río Gallegos, Argentina; MACN = Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales, Buenos Aires,

14 Argentina; DPC = Duke Lemur Center, Durham, NC, USA.

¹⁵ ^a Note that these are probability estimates of having a particular score given membership in a particular group, not the likelihood

of group membership in each of the a priori defined groups given a particular score (the greater the number, the higher the

17 probability).

^b The lowest distance (D^2) and highest posterior probability of group membership (p) for each specimen are bolded.

Mahalanobis distances (D²) and posterior probabilities of group membership (*p*) based on the scores for fossil specimens in the between-group principal component analysis for the entire anthropoid sample and considering all catarrhines as a single group.^{a-b}

D ²	Catarrhini	Platyrrhini
Epipliopithecus vindobonensis (NHMW 1970/1397/0003)	13.016	5.341
Epipliopithecus vindobonensis (NMB OE 303a)	11.837	1.654
Epipliopithecus vindobonensis (NMB OE 303b)	13.040	2.098
Oreopithecus bambolii (BAC 208)	9.085	3.890
Aegyptopithecus zeuxis (CGM 85785)	16.900	3.204
Homunculus patagonicus (MPM-PV 3501)	13.814	0.135
Homunculus patagonicus (MPM-PV 3502)	13.057	0.284
Homunculus patagonicus (MPM-PV 3503)	10.989	1.806
Dolichocebus gaimanensis (MACN 14128)	8.645	2.417
Parapithecus grangeri (DPC 18651)	12.592	3.670
ρ	Catarrhini	Platyrrhini
Epipliopithecus vindobonensis (NHMW 1970/1397/0003)	0.109	0.241
Epipliopithecus vindobonensis (NMB OE 303a)	0.022	0.876
Epipliopithecus vindobonensis (NMB OE 303b)	0.017	0.847
Oreopithecus bambolii (BAC 208)	0.124	0.673
Aegyptopithecus zeuxis (CGM 85785)	0.002	0.886
Homunculus patagonicus (MPM-PV 3501)	0.002	0.985
Homunculus patagonicus (MPM-PV 3502)	0.002	0.968
Homunculus patagonicus (MPM-PV 3503)	0.034	0.935

 Dolichocebus gaimanensis (MACN 14128)
 0.013
 0.841

 Parapithecus grangeri (DPC 18651)
 0.002
 0.795

Abbreviations: NHMW = Naturhistorisches Museum of Wien, Austria; NMB = Naturhistorisches Museum of Basel, Switzerland; BAC = NMB accession number for *Oreopithecus bambolii* specimens; CGM = Egyptian Geological Museum, Cairo, Egypt; MPM-PV = Museo Regional Provincial "Padre M.J. Molina", Río Gallegos, Argentina; MACN = Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales, Buenos Aires, Argentina; DPC = Duke Lemur Center, Durham, NC, USA.

^a Note that these are probability estimates of having a particular score given membership in a particular group, not the likelihood of group membership in each of the a priori defined groups given a particular score (the greater the number, the higher the probability).

^b The lowest distance and highest probability for each specimen are bolded.

Mahalanobis distances (D²) between specimens of *Epipliopithecus* and other fossils based on between group principal component analysis scores.

D ²	NHMW 1970/1397/0003	NMB OE 303a	NMB OE 303b
Epipliopithecus vindobonensis (NHMW 1970/1397/0003)		1.332	1.285
Epipliopithecus vindobonensis (NMB OE 303a)	1.332	—	0.176
Epipliopithecus vindobonensis (NMB OE 303b)	1.285	0.176	—
Oreopithecus bambolii (BAC 208)	2.244	1.901	2.055
Aegyptopithecus zeuxis (CGM 85785)	2.360	1.804	1.927
Homunculus patagonicus (MPM-PV 3501)	1.943	0.919	1.033
Homunculus patagonicus (MPM-PV 3502)	2.246	1.251	1.388
Homunculus patagonicus (MPM-PV 3503)	1.914	1.450	1.592
Dolichocebus gaimanensis (MACN 14128)	3.081	2.077	2.243
Parapithecus grangeri (DPC18651)	3.201	2.017	2.161

Abbreviations: NHMW = Naturhistorisches Museum Wien, Austria; NMB OE = Naturhistorisches Museum Basel, Switzerland; BAC = Baccinello (housed at NMB); CGM = Egyptian Geological Museum, Cairo, Egypt; MPM-PV = Museo Regional Provincial Padre

M.J. Molina, Río Gallegos, Argentina; MACN = Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales, Buenos Aires, Argentina; DPC = Duke Lemur Center, Durham, NC, USA.

Phylogenetic signal computed for the between-group principal analysis applied to the deformation fields of the extant anthropoid comparative sample. The variance explained by each principal component (bgPC) and the *p*-value for the statistics are given within parentheses.

	bgPC1 (59%)	bgPC2 (30%)	bgPC3 (11%)
Pagel's λ	1.000 (<i>p</i> < 0.0001)	0.843 (<i>p</i> < 0.0001)	0.925 (<i>p</i> < 0.0001)
Blomberg's K	1.148 (<i>p</i> < 0.0001)	1.446 (<i>p</i> <0.001)	0.732 (<i>p</i> < 0.001)

Weighted Euclidean distances computed between the between-group principal component scores of the reconstructed last common ancestors (LCAs) and the *Epipliopithecus* centroid.

LCA	Distance
Crown anthropoids	1.304
Crown platyrrhines	1.141
Crown catarrhines	0.989
Crown cercopithecoids	1.646
Crown hominoids	1.256

Definition of the discrete characters of semicircular canal (SC) and vestibule morphology used in this paper.

Character No.	Character statements (characters + character states)
#1	Size of the vestibule relative to the SCs: 0 = small; 1 = large.
#2	Robusticity of the SCs: 0 = slender; 1 = stout.
#3	Shape of the anterior SC: 0 = vertically compressed; 1 = rounded; 2 = elongated superiorly.
#4	Shape of the anterior portion of the anterior SC: 0 = non-projecting anterosuperiorly; 1 = anterosuperiorly projecting.
#5	Shape of the posterior SC: 0 = vertically compressed; 1 = rounded; 2 = elongated superiorly.
#6	Shape of the lateral SC ampullary portion: 0 = flat or only slightly bent superiorly; 1 = markedly bent superiorly.
#7	Length of the CC: 0 = long; 1 = intermediate; 2 = short.

^a See Figure 11 for an illustration of the character states.

Character states coded for the estimated last common ancestors (LCAs) and for the fossil taxa included in the analysis.^a

Species/LCAs	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7
Epipliopithecus vindobonensis	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
Aegyptopithecus zeuxis	0	0	2	0	2	0	0
Dolichocebus gaimanensis	0	0	2	0	2	0	0
Homunculus patagonicus	0	0	2	0	0, 2	0	0
Oreopithecus bambolii	1	1	0	1	1	0	2
Parapithecus grangeri	0	0	2	0	2	0	0
Crown anthropoid LCA	0	0	2	0	2	0	0
Crown platyrrhine LCA	0	0	2	0	2	0	0
Crown catarrhine LCA	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
Crown cercopithecoid LCA	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
Crown hominoid LCA	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
Crown hylobatid LCA	0	0	0	1	1	1	2
Crown hominid LCA	1	1	0	0	1	1	2
^a See character definitions in Table 7 and SOM Table S2 for the coding of extant species.

Table 10

Measures of character congruence for the two main phylogenetic hypotheses (i.e., stem catarrhine vs. stem hominoid) discussed in this paper for *Epipliopithecus*. The higher the index, the more parsimonious the hypothesis.^a

Metrics	Stem catarrhine (Fig. 3a)	Stem hominoid (Fig. 3b)
Tree length	22	24
CI	0.455	0.417
RI	0.826	0.797
RC	0.376	0.332

Abbreviations: CI = consistency index; RI = retention index; RC = rescaled

consistency index.

^a See also Figure 3 and SOM Table 2 for character descriptions.

Supplementary Online Material

Click here to access/download **e-Component** R4_SOM.pdf