



Correction to: The doctrinal paradox: comparison of decision rules in a probabilistic framework

Aureli Alabert¹ · Mercè Farré¹

© The Author(s) 2021

Correction to: Social Choice and Welfare

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00355-021-01372-5>

The production team incorrectly processed the author names in the reference list by mistake in the original publication of the article. The corrected reference list is given below:

References

- Ahn DS, Oliveros S (2014) The Condorcet jur(ies) theorem. *J Econ Theory* 150:841–851
- Boland PJ (1989) Majority systems and the Condorcet Jury Theorem. *J R Stat Soc Ser D (Stat)* 38(3):181–189
- Bonnefon J-F (2010) Behavioral evidence for framing effects in the resolution of the doctrinal paradox. *Soc Choice Welf* 34(4):631–641
- Bovens L, Rabinowicz W (2004) Voting procedures for complex collective decisions: an epistemic perspective. *Ratio Juris* 17(2):241–258
- Bovens L, Rabinowicz W (2006) Democratic answers to complex questions—an epistemic perspective. *Synthese* 150(1):131–153
- Bozbay I (2019) Truth-tracking judgment aggregation over interconnected issues. *Soc Choice Welf* 53(2):337–370
- Camps R, Mora X, Saumell L (2012) A general method for deciding about logically constrained issues. *Ann Math Artif Intell* 64(1):39–72

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00355-021-01372-5>.

✉ Aureli Alabert
Aureli.Alabert@uab.cat

Mercè Farré
farre@mat.uab.cat

¹ Department of Mathematics, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Catalonia

- Condorcet, MJAN Caritat de (1785) *Essai sur l'application de l'analyse à la probabilité des décisions rendues à la pluralité des voix*. Imprimerie royale, Paris
- de Clippel G, Eliaz K (2015) Premise-based versus outcome-based information aggregation. *Games Econ Behav* 89:34–42
- Dietrich F (2007) A generalised model of judgment aggregation. *Soc Choice Welf* 28(4):529–565
- Dietrich F (2014) Scoring rules for judgment aggregation. *Soc Choice Welf* 42(4):873–911
- Dietrich F, List C (2004) A model of jury decisions where all jurors have the same evidence. *Synthese* 142(2):175–202
- Dietrich F, List C (2007) Arrow's theorem in judgment aggregation. *Soc Choice Welf* 29(1):19–33
- Dietrich F, List C (2007) Strategy-proof judgment aggregation. *Econ Philos* 23(3):269–300
- Dietrich F, Spiekermann K (2013) Epistemic democracy with defensible premises. *Econ Philos* 29(1):87–120
- Dietrich F, Spiekermann K (2013) Independent opinions? On the causal foundations of belief formation and jury theorems. *Mind* 122(487):655–685
- Egan JP (1975) *Signal detection theory and ROC-analysis*. Academic Press
- Fawcett T (2006) An introduction to ROC analysis. *Pattern Recogn Lett* 27(8):861–874
- Hand DJ, Till RJ (2001) A simple generalisation of the area under the ROC curve for multiple class classification problems. *Mach Learn* 45(2):171–186
- Karotkin D, Paroush J (2003) Optimum committee size: quality-versus-quantity dilemma. *Soc Choice Welf* 20(3):429–441
- Kornhauser LA (1992) Modeling collegial courts I: path-dependence. *Int Rev Law Econ* 12(2):169–185
- Kornhauser LA, Sager LG (1993) The one and the many: adjudication in collegial courts. *Calif Law Rev* 81(1)
- Ladha KK (1992) The Condorcet jury theorem, free speech, and correlated voters. *Am J Polit Sci* 36:617–634
- Ladha KK (1993) Condorcet's jury theorem in light of de Finetti's theorem. *Soc Choice Welf* 10(1):69–85
- Ladha KK (1995) Information pooling through majority-rule voting: Condorcet's jury theorem with correlated votes. *J Econ Behav Org* 26(3):353–372
- List C (2005) The probability of inconsistencies in complex collective decisions. *Soc Choice Welf* 24(1):3–32
- List C (2006) The discursive dilemma and public reason. *Ethics* 116(2):362–402
- List C (2012) The theory of judgment aggregation: an introductory review. *Synthese* 187(1):179–207
- List C, Pettit P (2002) Aggregating sets of judgments: an impossibility result. *Econ Philos* 18(1):89–110
- List C, Puppe C (2009) Judgment aggregation: a survey. In: Anand P, Pattanaik P K, Puppe C (eds), *Handbook of rational and social choice*, Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Nehring K, Pivato M (2011) Incoherent majorities: the McGarvey problem in judgment aggregation. *Discret Appl Math* 159(15):1488–1507

Peleg B, Zamir S (2012) Extending the Condorcet jury theorem to a general dependent jury. *Soc Choice Welf* 39(1):91–125

Pivato M (2017) Epistemic democracy with correlated voters. *J Math Econ* 72:51–69

Sapir L (1998) The optimality of the expert and majority rules under exponentially distributed competence. *Theor Decis* 45(1):19–36

Terzopoulou Z, Endriss U (2019) Optimal truth-tracking rules for the aggregation of incomplete judgments. In: *Proceedings of the 12th International Symposium on Algorithmic Game Theory (SAGT-2019)*

Terzopoulou Z, Endriss U (2019) Strategyproof judgment aggregation under partial information. *Soc Choice Welf* 53(3):415–442

The original article has been corrected.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.