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## 1. Introduction and main results

Inner functions are analytic mappings from the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ into itself whose radial limits are of modulus one at almost every point of the unit circle $\partial \mathbb{D}$. Inner functions were introduced by R. Nevanlinna and after the pioneering work of brothers Riesz, Frostmann and Beurling, they have become a central notion in Analysis. See for instance [17]. Any inner function $f$ induces a mapping from the unit circle into itself defined at almost every point $z \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ by $f(z)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} f(r z)$. This boundary mapping will be also called $f$. It is well known that if $f(0)=0$, normalized Lebesgue measure $m$ in the unit circle is invariant under this mapping, that is, $m\left(f^{-1}(E)\right)=m(E)$ for any measurable set $E \subset \partial \mathbb{D}$. Several authors have also studied the distortion of Hausdorff measures by this mapping. See [15] and [18]. Dynamical properties of the mapping $f: \partial \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \partial \mathbb{D}$, as recurrence, ergodicity, mixing, entropy and others have been studied by Aaronson [1], Crazier [10], Doering and Mañe [11], Fernández, Melián and Pestana [14], [13], Neurwirth [19], Pommerenke [21], and others. Dynamical properties of inner functions have been recently used in several problems on the dynamics of meromorphic functions in simply connected Fatou components. See [5], [6] and [12].

It is well known that in many senses lacunary series behave as sums of independent random variables. Salem and Zygmund ([23] and [24]) proved a version of the Central Limit Theorem for lacunary series and, a few years later, Weiss proved a version of the Law of the Iterated Logarithm in this context ([26]). Our main result is a Central Limit Theorem for linear combinations of iterates of an inner function fixing the origin. It is worth mentioning that in our result no lacunarity assumption is needed. Recall that a sequence of measurable functions $\left\{f_{N}\right\}$ defined at almost every point in the unit circle converges in distribution to a (circularly symmetric) standard complex normal variable if and only if for any Borel set $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that its boundary $\partial K$ has zero area one has

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} m\left(\left\{z \in \partial \mathbb{D}: f_{N}(z) \in K\right\}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{K} e^{-|w|^{2} / 2} d A(w)
$$

As it is usual we denote by $f^{n}$ the $n$-th iterate of the function $f$.
Theorem 1. Let $f$ be an inner function with $f(0)=0$ which is not a rotation. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of complex numbers. Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{N}^{2}=\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{N} f^{\prime}(0)^{k} \sum_{n=1}^{N-k} \overline{a_{n}} a_{n+k}, \quad N=1,2, \ldots \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume there exists a constant $\eta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup \left\{\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}: n \leq N\right\}}{\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{(1-\eta) / 2}}=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{-1}} \sigma_{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} f^{n}
$$

converges in distribution to a standard complex normal variable.
Let $f$ be an inner function fixing the origin. Then it is well known that Lebesgue measure $m$ is ergodic. Hence the classical Ergodic Theorem gives that

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f^{n}(z)=0
$$

at almost every point $z \in \partial \mathbb{D}$. This can be understood as a version of the Law of Large Numbers. Our result provides the corresponding version of the Central Limit Theorem. Actually taking $a_{n}=1, n=1,2 \ldots$, in Theorem 1 , one can easily show that $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{N}^{2} / N \sigma^{2}=1$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}=\operatorname{Re} \frac{1+f^{\prime}(0)}{1-f^{\prime}(0)} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we deduce the following result.
Corollary 2. Let $f$ be an inner function with $f(0)=0$ which is not a rotation. Then

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{-1} N}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f^{n}
$$

converges in distribution to a complex normal variable with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^{2}$ given by (1.3), that is, for any Borel set $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that its boundary $\partial K$ has zero area, we have

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} m\left(\left\{z \in \partial \mathbb{D}:\left(2^{-1} N\right)^{-1 / 2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f^{n}(z) \in K\right\}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma^{2}} \int_{K} e^{-|w|^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}} d A(w) .
$$

Observe that when $f^{\prime}(0)$ is close to 1 and hence $f$ is close to be the identity map, the variance $\sigma^{2}$ is large. However if $f^{\prime}(0)$ is close to a unimodular constant different from 1 , the variance is small. On the opposite side, if $f^{\prime}(0)=0, \sigma=1$.

Let $H^{2}$ be the Hardy space of analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$ whose Taylor coefficients are square summable. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of complex numbers. It is easy to show (see Theorem 9) that $\sum_{n} a_{n} f^{n}$ converges in $H^{2}$ if and only if $\sum_{n}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}<\infty$. A repetition of the proof of our main result gives the following version of the Central Limit Theorem for the tails.

Theorem 3. Let $f$ be an inner function with $f(0)=0$ which is not a rotation. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ be a square summable sequence of complex numbers. Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}(N)=\sum_{n \geq N}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k \geq 1} f^{\prime}(0)^{k} \sum_{n \geq N} \overline{a_{n}} a_{n+k}, \quad N=1,2, \ldots \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume there exists a constant $\eta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup \left\{\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}: n \geq N\right\}}{\left(\sum_{n \geq N}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{(1-\eta) / 2}}=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{-1}} \sigma(N)} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_{n} f^{n}
$$

converges in distribution to a standard complex normal variable.
Let $S_{N}^{2}=\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}$. It is easy to show (see Theorem 9) that there exists a constant $\kappa=\kappa(f)>0$ such that $\kappa^{-1} S_{N}^{2} \leq \sigma_{N}^{2} \leq \kappa S_{N}^{2}, N=1,2, \ldots$. When $f^{\prime}(0)=0$ we have $\sigma_{N}=S_{N}$ but in general, both quantities do not coincide. However if the following uniform quasiorthogonality condition holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup _{k \leq N}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N-k} \overline{a_{n}} a_{n+k}\right|}{S_{N}^{2}}=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} S_{N} / \sigma_{N}=1$ and Theorem 1 gives that

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{-1}} S_{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} f^{n}
$$

converges in distribution to a standard complex normal variable.
We now make some remarks on the assumption and proof of Theorem 1. Condition (1.2) implies that $\sum_{n}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}=\infty$, but one can not expect this last condition to be sufficient in Theorem 1. However note that if $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, both conditions are equivalent. The proof of Theorem 1 uses two relevant properties of the iterates of an inner function fixing the origin. The first one is that the square of the modulus of the partial sums are uncorrelated. More concretely, given a set $\mathcal{A}$ of positive integers, consider the corresponding partial sum

$$
\xi(\mathcal{A})=\sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}} a_{n} f^{n}
$$

If $\max (\mathcal{A})<\min (\mathcal{B})$, we will show in Theorem 6 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}|\xi(\mathcal{A})|^{2}|\xi(\mathcal{B})|^{2} d m=\left(\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}|\xi(\mathcal{A})|^{2} d m\right)\left(\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}|\xi(\mathcal{B})|^{2} d m\right) . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second property provides an exponential decay of the higher order correlations of the iterates. More concretely, let $\varepsilon_{i}=1$ or $\varepsilon_{i}=-1$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$ and $n_{1}<\ldots<n_{k}$ be positive integers satisfying $n_{j}-n_{j-1} \geq q \geq 1, j=2, \ldots, k$. Denote $\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{n}=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$. For a positive integer $n$, denote by $f^{-n}$ the function defined by $f^{-n}(z)=\overline{f^{n}(z)}, z \in \partial \mathbb{D}$. We will prove in Theorem 13 that there exists a constant $C>0$, independent of the indices, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} f^{\varepsilon_{j} n_{j}} d m\right| \leq C^{k} k!\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{\Phi(\varepsilon, n)}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $q$ is sufficiently large and where $\Phi$ is a certain function depending on the choice of indices that satisfies $\Phi(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \boldsymbol{n}) \geq k q / 4$. The main technical tool in the proof of both properties (1.7) and (1.8) is the theory of Aleksandrov-Clark measures and more concretely, the Aleksandrov Desintegration Theorem.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce Aleksandrov-Clark measures and use them to prove property (1.7). In Section 3 we estimate the $L^{2}$ and the $L^{4}$ norm of $\xi(\mathcal{A})$. In Section 4 we prove estimate (1.8). The proof of Theorem 1 is given in Section 5 .

## 2. Aleksandrov-Clark measures and property (1.7)

We start with an elementary auxiliary result which is just a restatement of the invariance of Lebesgue measure.

Lemma 4. Let $f$ be an inner function with $f(0)=0$.
(a) Let $G$ be an integrable function on $\partial \mathbb{D}$. Then

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} G(f(z)) d m(z)=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} G(z) d m(z)
$$

(b) Let $k<j$ be positive integers. Then

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \overline{f^{k}} f^{j} d m=f^{\prime}(0)^{j-k}
$$

Proof of Lemma 4. We can assume that $G$ is the characteristic function of a measurable set $E \subset \partial \mathbb{D}$. Since $m\left(f^{-1}(E)\right)=m(E)$, the identity (a) follows. Using (a) and Cauchy formula, we have

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \overline{f^{k}} f^{j} d m=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \bar{z} f^{j-k}(z) d m(z)=f^{\prime}(0)^{j-k}
$$

Given an analytic mapping from the unit disc into itself and a point $\alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D}$, the function $(\alpha+f) /(\alpha-f)$ has positive real part and hence there exists a positive measure $\mu_{\alpha}=\mu_{\alpha}(f)$ in the unit circle and a constant $C_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha+f(w)}{\alpha-f(w)}=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{z+w}{z-w} d \mu_{\alpha}(z)+i C_{\alpha}, \quad w \in \mathbb{D} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The measures $\left\{\mu_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D}\right\}$ are called the Aleksandrov-Clark measures of the function $f$. Clark introduced them in his paper [9] and many of their deepest properties were found by Aleksandrov in [2], [3] and [4]. The two surveys [20] and [22] as well as [8, Chapter IX] contain their main properties and a wide range of applications. Observe that if $f(0)=0$ then $\mu_{\alpha}$ are probability measures. Moreover, $f$ is inner if and only if $\mu_{\alpha}$ is a singular measure for some (all) $\alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D}$. From the definition it is clear that, in the case that $f$ is an inner function, the mass of $\mu_{\alpha}$ is carried by the set $f^{-1}(\{\alpha\}) \subset \partial \mathbb{D}$. Assume $f(0)=0$. Computing the first two derivatives in formula (2.1) and evaluating at the origin, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z d \mu_{\alpha}(z)=\overline{f^{\prime}(0)} \alpha, \quad \alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z^{2} d \mu_{\alpha}(z)=\frac{\overline{f^{\prime \prime}(0)}}{2} \alpha+{\overline{f^{\prime}(0)}}^{2} \alpha^{2}, \quad \alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our main technical tool is Aleksandrov Desintegration Theorem which asserts that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \mu_{\alpha} d m(\alpha) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds true in the sense that

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} G d m=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} G(z) d \mu_{\alpha}(z) d m(\alpha)
$$

for any integrable function $G$ on the unit circle. Aleksandrov Desintegration Theorem will be used in our next auxiliary result.

Lemma 5. Let $f$ be an inner function with $f(0)=0$. For $k=1,2, \ldots, p$, let $n_{k}, j_{k}$, be positive integers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{n_{k}, j_{k}\right\}<\min \left\{n_{k+1}, j_{k+1}\right\}, \quad k=1, \ldots, p-1 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \prod_{k=1}^{p} f^{n_{k}} \overline{f^{j_{k}}} d m=\prod_{k=1}^{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f^{n_{k}} \overline{f^{j_{k}}} d m \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 5. We argue by induction on $p$. Assume (2.6) holds for $p-1$ products. We can assume $n_{1}<j_{1}$. By part (a) of Lemma 4 we have

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \prod_{k=1}^{p} f^{n_{k}} \overline{f^{j_{k}}} d m=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z \overline{f^{j_{1}-n_{1}}(z)} \prod_{k=2}^{p} f^{n_{k}-n_{1}}(z) \overline{f^{j_{k}-n_{1}}(z)} d m(z) .
$$

Let $\left\{\mu_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D}\right\}$ be the Aleksandrov-Clark measures of the inner function $f^{j_{1}-n_{1}}$. The Aleksandrov Desintegration Theorem (2.4) gives that last integral can be written as

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z \bar{\alpha} \prod_{k=2}^{p} f^{n_{k}-j_{1}}(\alpha) \overline{f^{j_{k}-j_{1}}(\alpha)} d \mu_{\alpha}(z) d m(\alpha)
$$

By (2.2) and part (b) of Lemma 4, we have

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z d \mu_{\alpha}(z)={\overline{f^{\prime}(0)}}^{j_{1}-n_{1}} \alpha=\alpha \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f^{n_{1}} \overline{f^{j_{1}}} d m .
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \prod_{k=1}^{p} f^{n_{k}} \overline{f^{j_{k}}} d m=\left(\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f^{n_{1}} \overline{f^{j_{1}}} d m\right) \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \prod_{k=2}^{p} f^{n_{k}-j_{1}} \overline{f^{j_{k}-j_{1}}} d m
$$

and we can apply the inductive assumption. The invariance property of part (a) of Lemma 4 finishes the proof.

Our next result is the first important tool in the proof of Theorem 1.
Theorem 6. Let $f$ be an inner function with $f(0)=0$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, p$, be finite collections of positive integers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{n: n \in \mathcal{A}_{k}\right\}<\min \left\{n: n \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1}\right\}, \quad k=1, \ldots, p-1 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Consider

$$
\xi_{k}=\sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}_{k}} a_{n} f^{n}
$$

Then

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \prod_{k=1}^{p}\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2} d m=\prod_{k=1}^{p} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2} d m
$$

Proof of Theorem 6. Al almost every point of the unit circle we have

$$
\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2}=\sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}_{k}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}+\sum\left(\overline{a_{n}} a_{j} \overline{f^{n}} f^{j}+\overline{a_{j}} a_{n} \overline{f^{j}} f^{n}\right),
$$

where the last sum is taken over all indices $n, j \in \mathcal{A}_{k}$ with $j>n$. Hence $\prod\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2}$ can be written as a linear combination of terms of the form

$$
\prod^{f^{n k} \overline{f_{k}}},
$$

where $n_{k}, j_{k} \in \mathcal{A}_{k}$. Observe that (2.7) gives the assumption (2.5) in Lemma 5. Now Lemma 5 finishes the proof.

## 3. Norms of partial sums

In this Section we will use Aleksandrov-Clark measures to estimate the $L^{2}$ and $L^{4}$ norms of linear combinations of iterates of an inner function fixing the origin. The main result of this Section is Theorem 9. It is worth mentioning that the asymptotic behavior of the Aleksandrov-Clark measures of iterates of an inner function has been studied in [16], but we will not use their results. As before, if $n$ is a positive integer, we will use the notation $f^{-n}$ to denote the function defined by $f^{-n}(z)=\overline{f^{n}(z)}$, for almost every $z \in \partial \mathbb{D}$. We start with a technical auxiliary result which will be used later.

Lemma 7. Let $f$ be an inner function with $f(0)=0$ which is not a rotation. Let $\varepsilon_{k}=1$ or $\varepsilon_{k}=-1, k=1,2,3,4$.
(a) Let $n_{k}, k=1,2,3,4$, be positive integers with $\max \left\{n_{1}, n_{2}\right\}<\min \left\{n_{3}, n_{4}\right\}$. Then

$$
I=I\left(\varepsilon_{1} n_{1},-\varepsilon_{1} n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}\right)=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f^{\varepsilon_{1} n_{1}} f^{-\varepsilon_{1} n_{2}} f^{n_{3}} f^{n_{4}} d m=0
$$

(b) Let $n_{1}<n_{2}<n_{3}$ be positive integers and

$$
I I=I I\left(\varepsilon_{1} n_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} n_{2}, \varepsilon_{3} n_{3}\right)=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f^{\varepsilon_{1} n_{1}}\left(f^{\varepsilon_{2} n_{2}}\right)^{2} f^{\varepsilon_{3} n_{3}} d m .
$$

Then there exists a constant $C=C(f)>0$ independent of the indices $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}$, such that $|I I| \leq C\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{n_{3}-n_{1}}$.
(c) Let $n_{1}<n_{2}<n_{3}$ be positive integers and

$$
I I I=I I I\left(\varepsilon_{1} n_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} n_{2}, \varepsilon_{3} n_{3}\right)=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\left(f^{\varepsilon_{1} n_{1}}\right)^{2} f^{\varepsilon_{2} n_{2}} f^{\varepsilon_{3} n_{3}} d m .
$$

Then there exists a constant $C=C(f)>0$ independent of the indices $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}$, such that $|I I I| \leq 1$ if $n_{2}=n_{1}+1$ and $n_{3} \leq n_{2}+2$, and $|I I I| \leq C\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{n_{3}-n_{1}}$ otherwise.
(d) Let $n_{1}<n_{2}<n_{3}<n_{4}$ be positive integers and

$$
I V=I V\left(\varepsilon_{1} n_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} n_{2}, \varepsilon_{3} n_{3}, \varepsilon_{4} n_{4}\right)=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f^{\varepsilon_{1} n_{1}} f^{\varepsilon_{2} n_{2}} f^{\varepsilon_{3} n_{3}} f^{\varepsilon_{4} n_{4}} d m
$$

Then there exists a constant $C=C(f)>0$ independent of the indices $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}$, such that $|I V| \leq C\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{n_{2}-n_{1}+n_{4}-n_{3}}$ if $n_{4}-n_{3}>2$, and $|I V| \leq C\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{n_{3}-n_{1}}$ if $n_{4}-n_{3} \leq 2$. Moreover $|I V|=\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{n_{2}-n_{1}+n_{4}-n_{3}}$ if $\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}=\varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{4}=-1$.

Proof of Lemma 7. Let $C$ denote a positive constant which may depend on the function $f$ but not on the indices $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$, whose value may change from line to line.
(a) We can assume that $n_{1}<n_{2}$. Part (a) of Lemma 4 gives that

$$
I=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z^{\varepsilon_{1}} f^{-\varepsilon_{1}\left(n_{2}-n_{1}\right)}(z) f^{n_{3}-n_{1}}(z) f^{n_{4}-n_{1}}(z) d m(z)
$$

Let $\left\{\mu_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D}\right\}$ be the Aleksandrov-Clark measures of $f^{n_{2}-n_{1}}$. The Aleksandrov Desintegration Theorem (2.4) gives

$$
I=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z^{\varepsilon_{1}} \alpha^{-\varepsilon_{1}} f^{n_{3}-n_{2}}(\alpha) f^{n_{4}-n_{2}}(\alpha) d \mu_{\alpha}(z) d m(\alpha)
$$

By (2.2)

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z^{\varepsilon_{1}} d \mu_{\alpha}(z)=a \alpha^{\varepsilon_{1}}, \quad \alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D}
$$

where $|a|=\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{n_{2}-n_{1}}$. Since $f(0)=0$, we deduce

$$
|I|=\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{n_{2}-n_{1}}\left|\int_{\mathscr{D}} f^{n_{3}-n_{2}}(\alpha) f^{n_{4}-n_{2}}(\alpha) d m(\alpha)\right|=0 .
$$

(b) We can assume $\varepsilon_{1}=1$. Part (a) of Lemma 4 gives that

$$
I I=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z\left(f^{\varepsilon_{2}\left(n_{2}-n_{1}\right)}(z)\right)^{2} f^{\varepsilon_{3}\left(n_{3}-n_{1}\right)}(z) d m(z)
$$

Let $\left\{\mu_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D}\right\}$ be the Aleksandrov-Clark measures of $f^{n_{2}-n_{1}}$. The Aleksandrov Desintegration Theorem (2.4) gives

$$
I I=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z \alpha^{2 \varepsilon_{2}} f^{\varepsilon_{3}\left(n_{3}-n_{2}\right)}(\alpha) d \mu_{\alpha}(z) d m(\alpha)
$$

By (2.2)

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z d \mu_{\alpha}(z)={\overline{f^{\prime}}(0)}^{n_{2}-n_{1}} \alpha, \quad \alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D}
$$

Hence

$$
I I={\overline{f^{\prime}(0)}}^{n_{2}-n_{1}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \alpha^{1+2 \varepsilon_{2}} f^{\varepsilon_{3}\left(n_{3}-n_{2}\right)}(\alpha) d m(\alpha)
$$

Since $1+2 \varepsilon_{2} \leq 3$, the modulus of last integral is bounded by $C\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{n_{3}-n_{2}}$ if $n_{3}-n_{2}>2$ and by 1 otherwise. This proves (b).
(c) We can assume $\varepsilon_{1}=1$. Applying part (a) of Lemma 4 and Aleksandrov Desintegration Theorem as before, we have

$$
I I I=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z^{2} \alpha^{\varepsilon_{2}} f^{\varepsilon_{3}\left(n_{3}-n_{2}\right)}(\alpha) d \mu_{\alpha}(z) d m(\alpha)
$$

where $\left\{\mu_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D}\right\}$ are the Aleksandrov-Clark measures of $g=f^{n_{2}-n_{1}}$. Applying (2.3), we obtain

$$
I I I=\overline{\frac{g^{\prime \prime}(0)}{2}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \alpha^{1+\varepsilon_{2}} f^{\varepsilon_{3}\left(n_{3}-n_{2}\right)}(\alpha) d m(\alpha)+\overline{g^{\prime}(0)^{2}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \alpha^{2+\varepsilon_{2}} f^{\varepsilon_{3}\left(n_{3}-n_{2}\right)}(\alpha) d m(\alpha) .
$$

Since $2+\varepsilon_{2} \leq 3$, both integrals are bounded by $C\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{n_{3}-n_{2}}$ if $n_{3}-n_{2}>2$, and by 1 if $n_{3}-n_{2} \leq 2$. If $n_{2}-n_{1}>1$, we have that $\left|g^{\prime \prime}(0)\right| / 2+\left|g^{\prime}(0)^{2}\right| \leq C\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{n_{2}-n_{1}}$. If $n_{2}-n_{1}=1$, we have that $\left|g^{\prime \prime}(0)\right| / 2+\left|\overline{g^{\prime}(0)^{2}}\right| \leq 2$. This proves (c).
(d) We can assume $\varepsilon_{1}=1$. Arguing as before we have

$$
I V={\overline{f^{\prime}}(0)}^{n_{2}-n_{1}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \alpha^{1+\varepsilon_{2}} f^{\varepsilon_{3}\left(n_{3}-n_{2}\right)}(\alpha) f^{\varepsilon_{4}\left(n_{4}-n_{2}\right)}(\alpha) d m(\alpha)
$$

If $\varepsilon_{2}=-1$, we repeat the argument and prove that $|I V| \leq\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{n_{2}-n_{1}+n_{4}-n_{3}}$. Moreover if $\varepsilon_{2}=-1$ and if $\varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{4}=-1$, we have $|I V|=\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{n_{2}-n_{1}+n_{4}-n_{3}}$, as stated in the last part of (d). If $\varepsilon_{2}=1$, let $\left\{\mu_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D}\right\}$ be the Aleksandrov-Clark measures of $g=f^{n_{3}-n_{2}}$. The Aleksandrov Desintegration Theorem (2.4) gives that last integral can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z^{2} \alpha^{\varepsilon_{3}} f^{\varepsilon_{4}\left(n_{4}-n_{3}\right)}(\alpha) d \mu_{\alpha}(z) d m(\alpha) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.3)

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z^{2} d \mu_{\alpha}(z)=\frac{\overline{g^{\prime \prime}(0)}}{2} \alpha+\overline{g^{\prime}(0)^{2}} \alpha^{2}, \quad \alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D} .
$$

Hence the double integral in (3.1) can be written as

$$
\overline{\frac{g^{\prime \prime}(0)}{2}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \alpha^{1+\varepsilon_{3}} f^{\varepsilon_{4}\left(n_{4}-n_{3}\right)}(\alpha) d m(\alpha)+\overline{g^{\prime}(0)^{2}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \alpha^{2+\varepsilon_{3}} f^{\varepsilon_{4}\left(n_{4}-n_{3}\right)}(\alpha) d m(\alpha)
$$

Since $2+\varepsilon_{3} \leq 3$, both integrals are bounded by $C\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{n_{4}-n_{3}}$ if $n_{4}-n_{3}>2$, and by 1 if $n_{4}-n_{3} \leq 2$. If $n_{3}-n_{2}>1$, we have that $\left|g^{\prime \prime}(0)\right| / 2+\left|g^{\prime}(0)^{2}\right| \leq C\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{n_{3}-n_{2}}$. If $n_{3}-n_{2}=1$, we just use the trivial estimate $\left|g^{\prime \prime}(0)\right| / 2+\left|g^{\prime}(0)^{2}\right| \leq 2$. This proves (d).

We will now prove an elementary auxiliary result which will be used several times.
Lemma 8. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a collection of positive integers and let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of complex numbers. Fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda|<1$. Then

$$
\left|\sum_{n, k \in \mathcal{A}, k>n} \overline{a_{n}} a_{k} \lambda^{k-n}\right| \leq \frac{|\lambda|}{1-|\lambda|} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}
$$

Proof of Lemma 8. Writing $j=k-n$ we have that

$$
\sum_{n, k \in \mathcal{A}, k>n} \overline{a_{n}} a_{k} \lambda^{k-n}=\sum_{j>0} \lambda^{j} \sum_{n, n+j \in \mathcal{A}} \overline{a_{n}} a_{n+j},
$$

where the last sum is taken over all indices $n \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $n+j \in \mathcal{A}$. It is also understood that this sum vanishes if there is no $n \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $n+j \in \mathcal{A}$. By CauchySchwarz's inequality,

$$
\left|\sum_{n, n+j \in \mathcal{A}} \overline{a_{n}} a_{n+j}\right| \leq \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}
$$

This finishes the proof.
Let $H^{2}$ be the Hardy space of analytic functions in the unit disc $g(w)=\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} w^{n}$, $w \in \mathbb{D}$, such that

$$
\|g\|_{2}^{2}=\sup _{r<1} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}|g(r z)|^{2} d m(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}<\infty
$$

Any function $g \in H^{2}$ has a finite radial limit $g(z)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} g(r z)$ at almost every $z \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ and

$$
\|g\|_{2}^{2}=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}|g(z)|^{2} d m(z)
$$

See [17]. For $0<p<\infty$ let $\|g\|_{p}$ denote the $L^{p}$ norm on the unit circle of the function $g$. Next result provides estimates of the $L^{2}$ and $L^{4}$ norms of linear combinations of iterates of an inner function. It will be applied to finite linear combinations. For $t, z \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\langle t, z\rangle=\operatorname{Re}(\bar{t} z)$ be the standard scalar product in the plane.

Theorem 9. Let $f$ be an inner function with $f(0)=0$ which is not a rotation and let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of complex numbers with $\sum_{n}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}<\infty$. Consider

$$
\xi=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} f^{n}
$$

and

$$
\sigma^{2}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f^{\prime}(0)^{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{a_{n}} a_{n+k}
$$

(a) We have $\|\xi\|_{2}^{2}=\sigma^{2}$ and

$$
\kappa^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \leq \sigma^{2} \leq \kappa \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}
$$

where $\kappa=\left(1+\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)\left(1-\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)^{-1}$.
(b) For any $t \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\langle t, \xi\rangle^{2} d m=\frac{1}{2}|t|^{2} \sigma^{2} .
$$

(c) There exists a constant $C=C(f)>0$ independent of the sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$, such that $\|\xi\|_{4} \leq C\|\xi\|_{2}$.

Proof of Theorem 9. At almost every point of the unit circle we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\xi|^{2}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re} h \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\sum_{n, k \geq 1, k>n} \overline{a_{n}} a_{k} f^{k} \overline{f^{n}} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Part (b) of Lemma 4 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\xi\|_{2}^{2}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n, k \geq 1, k>n} \overline{a_{n}} a_{k} f^{\prime}(0)^{k-n} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the identity in (a). Next we prove the estimate in (a). To that end, let us first denote

$$
b_{k, n}=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \overline{f^{k}} f^{n} d m
$$

and recall that, by part (b) of Lemma 4, it holds that $b_{n, k}=f^{\prime}(0)^{n-k}$ if $n \geq k$ and $b_{n, k}={\overline{f^{\prime}}(0)}^{k-n}$ if $n<k$. Now, rewrite identity (3.4) as

$$
\left\|\sum_{n} a_{n} f^{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\sum_{n, k} a_{n} \overline{a_{k}} b_{n, k}
$$

and consider the Toeplitz matrix $T$ whose entries are $b_{n, k}=b_{n-k, 0}, n, k=1,2, \ldots$ and its symbol

$$
s(z)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b_{n, 0} z^{n}, \quad z \in \partial \mathbb{D} .
$$

It is well known that $T$ diagonalizes and its eigenvalues are contained in the interval in the real line whose endpoints are the essential infimum and the essential supremum of $s$. See [7]. Since

$$
s(z)=\frac{1-\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}}{\left|1-\overline{f^{\prime}(0)} z\right|^{2}}, \quad z \in \partial \mathbb{D}
$$

the eigenvalues of $T$ are between $\kappa^{-1}$ and $\kappa$. This finishes the proof of part (a). Since $f(0)=0$, the mean value property gives that

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \xi^{2} d m=0
$$

and (b) follows. We now prove (c). Let $C(f)$ denote a positive constant only depending on $f$ whose value may change from line to line. The identity (3.2) gives that at almost every point of the unit circle, we have

$$
|\xi|^{4}=\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}+4 \operatorname{Re} h \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}+4(\operatorname{Re} h)^{2}
$$

where $h$ is defined in (3.3). Observe that

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} h d m=\sum_{n, k \geq 1, k>n} \overline{a_{n}} a_{k} f^{\prime}(0)^{k-n} .
$$

Hence Lemma 8 gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} h d m\right| \leq \frac{\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|}{1-\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we will prove that there exists a constant $C=C(f)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}|h|^{2} d m \leq C\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that (3.5) and (3.6) give the estimate in (c). Write

$$
c_{n}=\overline{a_{n}} \sum_{k>n} a_{k} f^{k} \overline{f^{n}}
$$

Using the elementary identity

$$
\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n}\right|^{2}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{c_{n}} \sum_{j>n} c_{j}
$$

we can write

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}|h|^{2} d m=A+2 \operatorname{Re} B
$$

where

$$
A=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\left|\sum_{k>n} a_{k} f^{k}\right|^{2} d m
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \sum_{k>n} \overline{a_{k}} \sum_{j>n} \overline{a_{j}} \sum_{l>j} a_{l} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \overline{f^{k}} f^{n} \overline{f^{j}} f^{l} d m . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By part (a) we have

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\left|\sum_{k>n} a_{k} f^{k}\right|^{2} d m \leq C(f) \sum_{k>n}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}
$$

and we deduce that $A \leq C(f)\left(\sum_{n}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}$. We now estimate $B$. If $n<k$ and $n<j<l$, we have

$$
\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f^{n} \overline{f^{k} f^{j}} f^{l} d m\right|=\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{r-n+|l-s|}
$$

where $r=\min \{k, j\}$ and $s=\max \{k, j\}$. This estimate follows from last statement in part (d) of Lemma 7. Part (b) of Lemma 7 gives that

$$
\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f^{n}\left(\overline{f^{k}}\right)^{2} f^{l} d m\right| \leq C(f)\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{l-n}
$$

if $n<k<l$. The sum over $j>n$ in (3.7) will be splitted in three terms corresponding to $j>k, j=k$ and $j<k$. Then $|B| \leq C(f)\left(B_{1}+B_{2}+B_{3}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{1}=\sum_{n \geq 1}\left|a_{n}\right| \sum_{k>n}\left|a_{k}\right| \sum_{j>k}\left|a_{j}\right| \sum_{l>j}\left|a_{l}\right|\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{k-n+l-j}, \\
B_{2}=\sum_{n \geq 1}\left|a_{n}\right| \sum_{k>n}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \sum_{l>k}\left|a_{l}\right|\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{l-n}, \\
B_{3}=\sum_{n \geq 1}\left|a_{n}\right| \sum_{k>n}\left|a_{k}\right| \sum_{n<j<k}\left|a_{j}\right| \sum_{l>j}\left|a_{l}\right|\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{j-n+|l-k|} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Observe that

$$
B_{1}=\sum_{n \geq 1}\left|a_{n}\right| \sum_{k>n}\left|a_{k}\right|\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{k-n} \sum_{j>k}\left|a_{j}\right| \sum_{l>j}\left|a_{l}\right|\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{l-j} .
$$

Applying Lemma 8 we deduce that $B_{1} \leq C(f)\left(\sum_{n \geq 1}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}$. Similarly

$$
B_{2} \leq\left(\sum_{k \geq 1}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}\right) \sum_{n \geq 1}\left|a_{n}\right| \sum_{l>n}\left|a_{l}\right|\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{l-n}
$$

which again by Lemma 8 is bounded by $C(f)\left(\sum_{k \geq 1}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}$. Finally

$$
B_{3}=\sum_{n \geq 1}\left|a_{n}\right| \sum_{k>n}\left|a_{k}\right| \sum_{n<j<k}\left|a_{j}\right|\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{j-n}\left(\sum_{l>k}\left|a_{l}\right|\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{l-k}+\sum_{j<l \leq k}\left|a_{l}\right|\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{k-l}\right) .
$$

Using the trivial estimate

$$
\sum_{n<j<k}\left|a_{j}\right|\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{j-n} \leq \sum_{j>n}\left|a_{j}\right|\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{j-n},
$$

we deduce that $B_{3} \leq B_{4}+B_{5}$ where

$$
B_{4}=\sum_{n \geq 1}\left|a_{n}\right| \sum_{j>n}\left|a_{j}\right|\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{j-n} \sum_{k>n}\left|a_{k}\right| \sum_{l>k}\left|a_{l}\right|\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{l-k}
$$

and

$$
B_{5}=\sum_{n \geq 1}\left|a_{n}\right| \sum_{j>n}\left|a_{j}\right|\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{j-n} \sum_{k>n}\left|a_{k}\right| \sum_{n<l \leq k}\left|a_{l}\right|\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{k-l} .
$$

Applying Lemma 8 we have $B_{4} \leq C(f)\left(\sum_{n \geq 1}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}$. Writing $t=k-l$ we have

$$
\sum_{k>n}\left|a_{k}\right| \sum_{l<k}\left|a_{l}\right|\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{k-l} \leq \sum_{t \geq 1}\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{t} \sum_{l \geq 1}\left|a_{l}\right|\left|a_{l+t}\right| \leq \frac{\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|}{1-\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|} \sum_{n \geq 1}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}
$$

We deduce that $B_{5} \leq C(f)\left(\sum_{n \geq 1}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}$. This finishes the proof.

## 4. Higher order correlations

Next we will use Aleksandrov-Clark measures to estimate certain integrals which will appear in the proof of Theorem 1. The main result of this Section is Theorem 13. We start giving bounds for the size of the iterates $f^{n}$ and of their derivatives at the origin.

Lemma 10. Let $f$ be an analytic mapping from the unit disc into itself with $f(0)=0$ and $0<\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|<1$. Then, there exists an integer $d=d(f)>0$ such that

$$
\left|f^{n}(w)\right|<\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{n}(1-|w|)^{-d}, \quad w \in \mathbb{D}
$$

for every $n \geq 1$.

Proof of Lemma 10. This is a minor modification of [21, Lemma 2]. We include the argument for completeness. Let us denote $a=\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|$ and consider the function

$$
\psi(w)=w \frac{a+w}{1+a w}, \quad w \in \mathbb{D}
$$

denote its $n$-th iterate by $\psi^{n}$ and observe that, by Schwarz's Lemma and induction, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{n}(w)\right| \leq \psi^{n}(|w|), \quad w \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $n \geq 1$. Next we use the construction of the Königs function of $\psi$ (see [25, pp. 89-93]). Define for each $n \geq 1$ the function

$$
g_{n}(w)=\frac{1}{a^{n}} \psi^{n}(w), \quad w \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

It is known that $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$ to $g(w)=w+\ldots$ for $w \in \mathbb{D}$, satisfying $g(\psi(w))=a g(w)$. Moreover, for $0 \leq x<1$ we have that

$$
g_{n+1}(x)=\frac{\psi\left(\psi^{n}(x)\right)}{a^{n+1}}=g_{n}(x) \frac{1+a^{n-1} g_{n}(x)}{1+a^{n+1} g_{n}(x)} \geq g_{n}(x)
$$

so that $g_{n}(x) \leq g(x)$ for every $n \geq 1$.
Next, since $a>0$, there exists $\delta=\delta(f)>0$ such that $\psi$ is univalent on $\{|w|<\delta\}$ and, thus, $\psi^{n}$ and $g_{n}$ are also univalent in this region by Schwarz's Lemma. By Koebe Distortion Theorem, there exists $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(f)>0$ such that $|g(w)|<1$ if $|w|<\varepsilon$. Now take $x_{0}=\varepsilon$ and, for $n \geq 1$, let $x_{n+1}=\psi^{-1}\left(x_{n}\right)$. Observe that Schwarz's Lemma implies that $x_{n+1}>x_{n}$ for every $n \geq 0$. Let $d$ be a positive integer that will be determined later on. We want to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)<(1-x)^{-d}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq x_{n} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $n \geq 0$. By the choice of $x_{0}$, it is clear that (4.2) holds for $n=0$. Assume that (4.2) holds for $n$ and let $x_{0} \leq x \leq x_{n+1}$. By construction, we have that $0<\psi(x) \leq x_{n}$. Therefore, we get

$$
g(x)=\frac{1}{a} g(\psi(x))<\frac{1}{a}(1-\psi(x))^{-d}=\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{1+a x}{1+x}\right)^{d}(1-x)^{-d} .
$$

Since $x \geq x_{0}=\varepsilon$, we get the bound

$$
g(x)<\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{1+a \varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\right)^{d}(1-x)^{-d}
$$

Hence, using that $a=\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|<1$, we can choose $d=d(f)$ large enough and independent of $n$ so that (4.2) holds. Note that, since $x_{n} \rightarrow 1$, one has in fact that (4.2) is valid for $0 \leq x<1$. Taking (4.1) and applying (4.2), we get

$$
\left|f^{n}(w)\right| \leq a^{n} g_{n}(|w|) \leq a^{n} g(|w|)<a^{n}(1-|w|)^{-d}
$$

as we wanted to see.

Lemma 11. Let $f$ be an analytic mapping from the unit disc into itself with $f(0)=0$ and $a=\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|<1$. Let $k, l, n$ be positive integers with $l \leq n$ and consider $g(w)=\left(f^{n}(w)\right)^{k}$ for $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Then there exists $n_{0}=n_{0}(f)>0$ such that for $n \geq n_{0}$ we have

$$
\frac{\left|g^{l)}(0)\right|}{l!} \leq a^{k n / 2}
$$

Proof of Lemma 11. Observe first that if $a=0$, the result holds trivially. Indeed, if $f$ has a zero at the origin of multiplicity $m \geq 1$, then $g$ has a zero of multiplicity $\mathrm{km}^{n}$ at the origin. Thus, if $m \geq 2$ and $l \leq n$, we have that $g^{l)}(0)=0$.

Assume now that $a>0$. In this case, Lemma 10 asserts that there is a positive integer $d=d(f)$ for which

$$
\left|f^{n}(w)\right| \leq a^{n}(1-|w|)^{-d}, \quad w \in \mathbb{D}
$$

for $n=1,2, \ldots$ Hence, Cauchy's estimate gives

$$
\frac{\left|g^{l)}(0)\right|}{l!} \leq \frac{\max \{|g(w)|:|w|=r\}}{r^{l}} \leq \frac{a^{k n}}{r^{l}(1-r)^{k d}}, \quad 0<r<1
$$

Since $l \leq n$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|g^{l)}(0)\right|}{l!} \leq \frac{a^{k n}}{r^{n}(1-r)^{k d}}, \quad 0<r<1 . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $r$ such that $a^{1 / 4}<r<1$. Then there exists $n_{0}=n_{0}(f, r)$ such that

$$
\frac{a^{n / 2}}{r^{n}(1-r)^{d}} \leq \frac{a^{n / 4}}{(1-r)^{d}}<1
$$

if $n \geq n_{0}$. Since $k \geq 1$ we deduce that

$$
\frac{a^{k n / 2}}{r^{n}(1-r)^{k d}} \leq \frac{a^{n / 2}}{r^{n}(1-r)^{d}}<1
$$

Hence, estimate (4.3) gives

$$
\frac{\left|g^{l)}(0)\right|}{l!} \leq a^{k n / 2}
$$

Let $f$ be an inner function with $f(0)=0$ and let $\left\{\mu_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D}\right\}$ be its AleksandrovClark measures. Recall that (2.1) gives that for any $\alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D}$, there exists a constant $C_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\frac{\alpha+f(w)}{\alpha-f(w)}=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{z+w}{z-w} d \mu_{\alpha}(z)+i C_{\alpha}, \quad w \in \mathbb{D}
$$

Expanding both terms in power series, for any positive integer $l$ we have

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \bar{z}^{l} d \mu_{\alpha}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{l} \bar{\alpha}^{k} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f(z)^{k} \bar{z}^{l} d m(z), \quad \alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D} .
$$

Hence for any integer $l$, the $l$-th moment of $\mu_{\alpha}$ is a trigonometric polynomial in the variable $\alpha$ of degree less or equal than $|l|$. We will need to estimate the coefficients of this trigonometric polynomial.

Lemma 12. Let $f$ be an inner function with $f(0)=0$ and $a=\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|<1$. Let $l, n$ be integers with $1 \leq|l| \leq n$ and let $\left\{\mu_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D}\right\}$ be the Aleksandrov-Clark measures of $f^{n}$. Then there exists a constant $n_{0}=n_{0}(f)>0$ such that if $n \geq n_{0}$, the coefficients of the trigonometric polynomial

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \bar{z}^{l} d \mu_{\alpha}(z)
$$

are bounded by $a^{n / 2}$ for any $\alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D}$.

Proof of Lemma 12. We can assume $l>0$. Then

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \bar{z}^{l} d \mu_{\alpha}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{l} \bar{\alpha}^{k} \frac{g_{k}^{l}(0)}{l!}, \quad \alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D}
$$

where $g_{k}(w)=\left(f^{n}(w)\right)^{k}, w \in \mathbb{D}$. Lemma 11 gives that

$$
\frac{\left|g_{k}^{l)}(0)\right|}{l!} \leq a^{k n / 2}
$$

if $n$ is sufficiently large. Since $k \geq 1$, the proof is completed.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this Section. As before, if $n$ is a positive integer, we will use the notation $f^{-n}$ to denote the function defined by $f^{-n}(z)=\overline{f^{n}(z)}$, for almost every $z \in \partial \mathbb{D}$.

Theorem 13. Let $f$ be an inner function with $f(0)=0$ and $a=\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|<1$. Let $1 \leq k \leq q$ be positive integers. Let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=\left\{\varepsilon_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{k}$ where $\varepsilon_{j}=1$ or $\varepsilon_{j}=-1$, and let $\boldsymbol{n}=\left\{n_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{k}$ where $n_{1}<n_{2}<\ldots<n_{k}$ are positive integers with $n_{j+1}-n_{j}>q$ for any $j=1,2, \ldots, k-1$. Consider

$$
I(\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{n})=\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} f^{\varepsilon_{j} n_{j}} d m\right|
$$

Then there exist constants $C=C(f)>0, q_{0}=q_{0}(f)>0$ independent of $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ and of $\boldsymbol{n}$, such that if $q \geq q_{0}$ we have

$$
I(\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{n}) \leq C^{k} k!a^{\Phi(\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{n})}, k=1,2, \ldots,
$$

where $\Phi(\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{n})=\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \delta_{j}\left(n_{j+1}-n_{j}\right)$, with $\delta_{j} \in\{0,1 / 2,1\}$ for any $j=1, \ldots, k-1$, and with $\delta_{1}=1$ and $\delta_{k-1} \geq 1 / 2$. In addition, for $j=2, \ldots, k-1$ the coefficient $\delta_{j}=1$ if and only if $\delta_{j-1}=0$. Furthermore, if $\delta_{j-1}>0$, the coefficient $\delta_{j}$ depends on $\varepsilon_{j+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k}$ and $n_{j}, \ldots, n_{k}$ for $j=2, \ldots, k-1$.

Proof of Theorem 13. We first prove the following estimate
Claim 14. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I(\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{n}) \leq\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{n_{2}-n_{1}} \max \left\{I\left(\left\{\varepsilon_{3}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k}\right\},\left\{n_{3}-n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}-n_{2}\right\}\right),\right. \\
& \left.\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z^{2} \prod_{i=3}^{k} f^{\varepsilon_{i}\left(n_{i}-n_{2}\right)}(z) d m(z)\right|,\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z^{-2} \prod_{i=3}^{k} f^{\varepsilon_{i}\left(n_{i}-n_{2}\right)}(z) d m(z)\right|\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove Claim 14 we can assume $\varepsilon_{1}=1$. By Lemma 4 and Aleksandrov Desintegration Theorem we have

$$
I(\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{n})=\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z \alpha^{\varepsilon_{2}} \prod_{i=3}^{k} f^{\varepsilon_{i}\left(n_{i}-n_{2}\right)}(\alpha) d \mu_{\alpha}(z) d m(\alpha)\right|,
$$

where $\left\{\mu_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D}\right\}$ are the Aleksandrov-Clark measures of $f^{n_{2}-n_{1}}$. By (2.2) we have

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z d \mu_{\alpha}(z)={\overline{f^{\prime}}(0)}^{n_{2}-n_{1}} \alpha, \quad \alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D}
$$

Hence if $\varepsilon_{2}=-1$, we obtain

$$
I(\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{n})=a^{n_{2}-n_{1}} I\left(\left\{\varepsilon_{3}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k}\right\},\left\{n_{3}-n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}-n_{2}\right\}\right)
$$

and if $\varepsilon_{2}=1$, we obtain

$$
I(\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{n})=a^{n_{2}-n_{1}}\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z^{2} \prod_{i=3}^{k} f^{\varepsilon_{i}\left(n_{i}-n_{2}\right)}(z) d m(z)\right|
$$

This proves Claim 14. We now prove
Claim 15. For any integers $k, l, j$ with $0<|l|<j$ and $0<j<k$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z^{l} \prod_{i=j}^{k} f^{\varepsilon_{i}\left(n_{i}-n_{j-1}\right)}(z) d m(z)\right| \leq \\
& \leq j a^{\left(n_{j}-n_{j-1}\right) / 2} \max _{|n| \leq|l|+1}\left\{\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z^{n} \prod_{i=j+1}^{k} f^{\varepsilon_{i}\left(n_{i}-n_{j}\right)}(z) d m(z)\right|\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Aleksandrov Desintegration Theorem (2.4) we have

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z^{l} \prod_{i=j}^{k} f^{\varepsilon_{i}\left(n_{i}-n_{j-1}\right)}(z) d m(z)=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z^{l} \alpha^{\varepsilon_{j}} \prod_{i=j+1}^{k} f^{\varepsilon_{i}\left(n_{i}-n_{j}\right)}(\alpha) d \mu_{\alpha}(z) d m(\alpha)
$$

where $\left\{\mu_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D}\right\}$ are the Aleksandrov-Clark measures of $f^{n_{j}-n_{j-1}}$. Since $l \neq 0$, according to Lemma 12 , the moment

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z^{l} d \mu_{\alpha}(z), \alpha \in \partial \mathbb{D},
$$

is a polynomial in the variable $\alpha$ of degree at most $|l|<j$ whose coefficients are bounded by $a^{\left(n_{j}-n_{j-1}\right) / 2}$. This proves Claim 15 .

The proof of Theorem 13 proceeds as follows. We first estimate $I(\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{n})$ by the modulus of one of the three integrals in the right hand side of Claim 14 and the factor $a^{n_{2}-n_{1}}$, that corresponds to choosing $\delta_{1}=1$. Note that any of these three integrals involve $k-2$ products of iterates of $f$. In addition, the integral yielding the maximum in Claim 14 depends only on $\varepsilon_{3}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k}$ and on $n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}$. Now if the integral giving the maximum is the first one, we apply Claim 14 again, obtaining the factor $a^{n_{4}-n_{3}}$ and this gives $\delta_{2}=0$ and $\delta_{3}=1$. Otherwise we apply Claim 15 , obtaining a factor $2 a^{\left(n_{3}-n_{2}\right) / 2}$, which corresponds to choosing $\delta_{2}=1 / 2$. Assume that we have applied this procedure to determine the values of $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{j-1}$. We continue applying Claim 14 or 15 depending on which integral is yielding the maximum in the previous step, which depends on $\varepsilon_{j+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k}$ and $n_{j}, \ldots, n_{k}$. Observe that when Claim 14 is applied, the number of factors of iterates of $f$ is reduced by two units and we obtain the factor $a^{n_{j+2}-n_{j+1}}$, which corresponds to fixing $\delta_{j}=0$ and $\delta_{j+1}=1$. When Claim 15 is applied, we obtain the factor $j a^{\left(n_{j+1}-n_{j}\right) / 2}$,
corresponding to taking $\delta_{j}=1 / 2$, and the number of factors of iterates of $f$ is reduced by one unit. We continue applying this process at least $k / 2$ times and at most $k-2$ times, until we reach integrals of the form

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z^{l} f^{\varepsilon_{k}\left(n_{k}-n_{k-1}\right)}(z) d m(z), \quad|l|<k-1
$$

or

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f^{\varepsilon_{k-1}\left(n_{k-1}-n_{k-2}\right)} f^{\varepsilon_{k}\left(n_{k}-n_{k-2}\right)} d m .
$$

Let $g=f^{n_{k}-n_{k-1}}$. The modulus of the first integral is $\left|g^{l}(0)\right| / l$ !. Since $|l|<q<$ $n_{k}-n_{k-1}$, if $q$ is sufficiently large, Lemma 11 gives that last expression is bounded by $a^{\left(n_{k}-n_{k-1}\right) / 2}$. The modulus of the second integral is bounded by $a^{n_{k}-n_{k-1}}$. This shows that $\delta_{k-1} \geq 1 / 2$ and concludes the proof.

In the proof of Theorem 1 we will split the partial sum into finitely many terms such that the sum of the variances of these terms is asymptotically equivalent to the variance of the initial partial sum. Next auxiliary result provides sufficient conditions for this splitting.

Lemma 16. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of complex numbers and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda|<1$. Consider the sequence

$$
\sigma_{N}^{2}=\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda^{k} \sum_{n=1}^{N-k} \overline{a_{n}} a_{n+k}, \quad N=1,2 \ldots
$$

For $N>1$, let $\mathcal{A}_{j}=\mathcal{A}_{j}(N), j=1, \ldots, M=M(N)$, be pairwise disjoint sets of consecutive positive integers smaller than $N$. Consider

$$
\sigma^{2}\left(\mathcal{A}_{j}\right)=\sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}_{j}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda^{k} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}_{j}: n+k \in \mathcal{A}_{j}} \overline{a_{n}} a_{n+k}, \quad j=1,2 \ldots, M
$$

Let $\mathcal{A}=\cup \mathcal{A}_{j}$. Assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}{\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}=1 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\max \left\{\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}: n \in \mathcal{A}_{j}\right\}}{\sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}_{j}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}=0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{M} \sigma^{2}\left(\mathcal{A}_{j}\right)}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}=1
$$

Proof of Lemma 16. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the set of positive integers smaller or equal to $N$ which are not in the collection $\mathcal{A}$. Then

$$
\sigma_{N}^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{M} \sigma^{2}\left(\mathcal{A}_{j}\right)=A+2 \operatorname{Re} B+2 \operatorname{Re} C
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\sum_{n \in \mathcal{B}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}, \\
B=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda^{k} \sum_{\mathcal{B}(k)} \overline{a_{n}} a_{n+k},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mathcal{B}(k)=\{n \in \mathcal{B}, n \leq N-k\}$ and

$$
C=\sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda^{k} \sum_{\mathcal{A}(j, k)} \overline{a_{n}} a_{n+k}
$$

where $\mathcal{A}(j, k)=\left\{n \in \mathcal{A}_{j}: n \leq N-k, n+k \notin \mathcal{A}_{j}\right\}$. According to part (a) of Theorem 9 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{N}^{2} \geq \frac{1-|\lambda|}{1+|\lambda|} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\frac{A}{\sigma_{N}^{2}} \leq \frac{1+|\lambda|}{1-|\lambda|} \frac{A}{\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}
$$

which by assumption (4.4), tends to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly

$$
\frac{|B|}{\sigma_{N}^{2}} \leq \frac{1+|\lambda|}{1-|\lambda|} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N}|\lambda|^{k} \sum_{\mathcal{B}(k)}\left|a_{n}\right|\left|a_{n+k}\right|}{\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}
$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality

$$
\sum_{\mathcal{B}(k)}\left|a_{n}\right|\left|a_{n+k}\right| \leq\left(\sum_{n \in \mathcal{B}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and we deduce

$$
\frac{B}{\sigma_{N}^{2}} \leq|\lambda| \frac{1+|\lambda|}{(1-|\lambda|)^{2}} \frac{\left(\sum_{n \in \mathcal{B}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

which according to (4.4), tends to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$. We now estimate $C$. For any $k \geq 1$, Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality gives

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{\mathcal{A}(j, k)}\left|a_{n}\right|\left|a_{n+k}\right| \leq \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}: n \leq N-k}\left|a_{n}\right|\left|a_{n+k}\right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}
$$

Hence, applying (4.6), for any positive integer $k_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum_{k \geq k_{0}}|\lambda|^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}(j, k)}\left|a_{n}\right|\left|a_{n+k}\right|}{\sigma_{N}{ }^{2}} \leq|\lambda|^{k_{0}} \frac{1+|\lambda|}{(1-|\lambda|)^{2}} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $\varepsilon>0$ and use assumption (4.5) to pick $j_{0}=j_{0}(\varepsilon)>0$ large enough so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}: n \in \mathcal{A}_{j}\right\} \leq \varepsilon \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}_{j}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $j>j_{0}$. Pick also $k_{0}$ such that $|\lambda|^{k_{0}}<\varepsilon$. Fix $k \leq k_{0}$ and note that there are at most $k$ indices $n \in \mathcal{A}_{j}$ such that $n+k \notin \mathcal{A}_{j}$. Hence

$$
\sum_{\mathcal{A}(j, k)}\left|a_{n}\right|\left|a_{n+k}\right| \leq k\left|a_{n_{j}}\right|\left|a_{n_{j}+k}\right|
$$

where $n_{j}=n_{j}(k) \in \mathcal{A}_{j}$ is the index in $\mathcal{A}_{j}$ with $n_{j}+k \leq N$, where the product $\left|a_{n}\right|\left|a_{n+k}\right|$ is maximum. Hence

$$
\sum_{j \geq j_{0}}^{M} \sum_{\mathcal{A}(j, k)}\left|a_{n}\right|\left|a_{n+k}\right| \leq k \sum_{j \geq j_{0}}^{M}\left|a_{n_{j}}\right|\left|a_{n_{j+k}}\right| \leq k\left(\sum_{j \geq j_{0}}^{M}\left|a_{n_{j}}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{M}\left|a_{n_{j}+k}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Note that (4.8) gives that

$$
\sum_{j \geq j_{0}}\left|a_{n_{j}}\right|^{2} \leq \varepsilon \sum_{j \geq j_{0}} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}_{j}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \leq \varepsilon \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}
$$

Since there are at most $k$ indices $n \in \mathcal{A}_{j}$ such that $n+k \notin \mathcal{A}_{j}$, we also have

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{M}\left|a_{n_{j}+k}\right|^{2} \leq k \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}
$$

Applying (4.6) again, we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum_{k \leq k_{0}}|\lambda|^{k} \sum_{j \geq j_{0}}^{M} \sum_{\mathcal{A}(j, k)}\left|a_{n}\right|\left|a_{n+k}\right|}{\sigma_{N}^{2}} \leq \varepsilon^{1 / 2} \frac{1+|\lambda|}{1-|\lambda|} C_{1} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}=\sum_{k \geq 1}|\lambda|^{k} k^{3 / 2}$. The estimates (4.7) and (4.9) give that

$$
\frac{|C|}{\sigma_{N}^{2}} \leq \frac{\sum_{k \leq k_{0}}|\lambda|^{k} \sum_{j<j_{0}} \sum_{\mathcal{A}(j, k)}\left|a_{n}\right|\left|a_{n+k}\right|}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}+\frac{1+|\lambda|}{(1-|\lambda|)^{2}} \varepsilon+C_{1} \frac{1+|\lambda|}{1-|\lambda|} \varepsilon^{1 / 2}
$$

This finishes the proof.

We close this Section with an elementary result which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 17. Let $\left\{f_{n}\right\},\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences of measurable functions defined at almost every point of the unit circle. Assume that there exists a constant $C>0$ such that the following conditions hold
(a) $\sup _{n}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{2} \leq C$ and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f_{n} d m=1
$$

(b) $g_{n}(z)>-C$ for almost every $z \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|g_{n}\right\|_{2}=0$.

Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f_{n} e^{-g_{n}} d m=1
$$

Proof of Lemma 17. Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality gives

$$
\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f_{n}\left(e^{-g_{n}}-1\right) d m\right| \leq\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{2}\left\|e^{-g_{n}}-1\right\|_{2}
$$

Note that there exists a constant $M=M(C)>0$ such that $\left|e^{-x}-1\right| \leq M|x|$ if $x \geq-C$. Hence $\left\|e^{-g_{n}}-1\right\|_{2} \leq M\left\|g_{n}\right\|_{2}, n=1,2, \ldots$. This finishes the proof.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof of Theorem 1. Let

$$
S_{N}^{2}=\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}, \quad N=1,2, \ldots
$$

Recall that by part (a) of Theorem 9, we have $\kappa^{-1} \sigma_{N}^{2} \leq S_{N}^{2} \leq \kappa \sigma_{N}^{2}, N=1,2, \ldots$, where $\kappa=\left(1+\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)\left(1-\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)^{-1}$. Pick $0<\varepsilon<\eta, p_{N}=S_{N}^{1+\varepsilon}$ and $q_{N}=S_{N}^{1-\varepsilon}$. Let $C(f)$ denote a positive constant only depending on $f$ whose value may change from line to line. The proof is organized in several steps.

1. Splitting the Sum. In this first step, for $N$ large, we will recursively find indices $0 \leq M_{k}<N_{k}<M_{k+1} \leq N, 1 \leq k \leq Q_{N}$, such that if

$$
\xi_{k}=\sum_{n=M_{k}+1}^{N_{k}} a_{n} f^{n}, \quad \eta_{k}=\sum_{n=N_{k}+1}^{M_{k+1}} a_{n} f^{n}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q_{N}}{q_{N}}=1,  \tag{5.1}\\
\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} f^{n}-\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\left(\xi_{k}+\eta_{k}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2 C(f) p_{N},  \tag{5.2}\\
p_{N} \leq \sum_{n=M_{k}+1}^{N_{k}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \leq 2 p_{N}, \quad q_{N} \leq \sum_{n=N_{k}+1}^{M_{k+1}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \leq 2 q_{N}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, Q_{N},  \tag{5.3}\\
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sigma_{N}}\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} f^{n}-\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}} \xi_{k}\right\|_{2}=0,  \tag{5.4}\\
M_{k+1}-N_{k} \geq q_{N}^{\beta}, \quad N_{k}-M_{k} \geq p_{N}^{\gamma}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, Q_{N}-1, \tag{5.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\beta=(\eta-\varepsilon)(1-\varepsilon)^{-1}$ and $\gamma=(\eta+\varepsilon)(1+\varepsilon)^{-1}$.
Pick $M_{1}=0$ and let $N_{1}$ be the smallest positive integer such that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N_{1}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \geq p_{N}
$$

The minimality of $N_{1}$ gives that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N_{1}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \leq p_{N}+\left|a_{N_{1}}\right|^{2}
$$

Now let $M_{2}$ be the smallest positive integer such that

$$
\sum_{n=N_{1}+1}^{M_{2}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \geq q_{N}
$$

As before, the minimality of $M_{2}$ gives that

$$
\sum_{n=N_{1}+1}^{M_{2}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \leq q_{N}+\left|a_{M_{2}}\right|^{2} .
$$

We repeat this process until we arrive at an index $N_{k}$ or $M_{k}$ bigger than $N$. Let $Q_{N}$ be the number of times this process is repeated, that is, $k=1,2, \ldots, Q_{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{N}=\sum_{M_{Q_{N}}+1}^{N}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \leq 2 p_{N} . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} f^{n}-\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\left(\xi_{k}+\eta_{k}\right)=\sum_{n=M_{Q_{N}}+1}^{N} a_{n} f^{n}
$$

the estimate (5.2) follows from part (a) of Theorem 9. By construction we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{N} \leq \sum_{n=M_{k}+1}^{N_{k}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \leq p_{N}+\left|a_{N_{k}}\right|^{2}  \tag{5.7}\\
& q_{N} \leq \sum_{n=N_{k}+1}^{M_{k+1}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \leq q_{N}+\left|a_{M_{k+1}}\right|^{2} \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

for $k=1,2, \ldots, Q_{N}$. Fix $\delta>0$. Observe that the assumption (1.2) gives that $\left|a_{N_{k}}\right|^{2}+$ $\left|a_{M_{k+1}}\right|^{2}<\delta q_{N}$ if $N$ is sufficiently large. Taking $\delta<1$ one deduces that (5.3) holds if $N$ is sufficiently large. Moreover the estimates (5.7) give that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{N}+q_{N}\right) Q_{N} \leq S_{N}^{2}-R_{N} \leq(1+\delta)\left(p_{N}+q_{N}\right) Q_{N} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $N$ is large enough. Since $p_{N} q_{N}=S_{N}^{2}$ and because of the estimate (5.6), (5.1) follows from (5.9) tending $\delta$ to 0 . Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=N_{k}+1}^{M_{k+1}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \geq q_{N}=S_{N}^{1-\varepsilon} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.2), if $N$ is sufficiently large, we have that $\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \leq S_{N}{ }^{1-\eta}$ for any $n \leq N$. We deduce from (5.10) that $S_{N}{ }^{1-\eta}\left(M_{k+1}-N_{k}\right) \geq q_{N}$ and $M_{k+1}-N_{k} \geq q_{N}^{\beta}$. A similar argument shows that $N_{k}-M_{K} \geq p_{N}^{\gamma}$. This proves (5.5). We are now going to prove (5.4). Observe that at almost every point of the unit circle we have

$$
\left|\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}} \eta_{k}\right|^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\left|\eta_{k}\right|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}-1} \sum_{j>k}^{Q_{N}} \overline{\eta_{k}} \eta_{j}
$$

Since $\left\|\eta_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2 C(f) q_{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\left|\eta_{k}\right|^{2} d m \leq 2 C(f) q_{N} Q_{N} \leq 3 C(f) q_{N}^{2} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $N$ is sufficiently large. On the other hand, if $j>k$ we have

$$
\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \overline{\eta_{k}} \eta_{j} d m\right| \leq \sum\left|a_{r}\right|\left|a_{t}\right|\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{t-r}
$$

where the sum is taken over all indices $r, t$ with $N_{k}<r \leq M_{k+1}$ and $N_{j}<t \leq M_{j+1}$. Observe that by (5.5), we have $t-r \geq p_{N}^{\gamma}$. Writing $l=t-r$ and applying CauchySchwarz's inequality, we obtain

$$
\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \overline{\eta_{k}} \eta_{j} d m\right| \leq \sum_{l \geq p_{N}^{\gamma}}\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{l} \sum\left|a_{r}\right|\left|a_{l+r}\right| \leq C(f)\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{p_{N}^{\gamma}} S_{N}^{2}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}-1} \sum_{j>k}^{Q_{N}}\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \overline{\eta_{k}} \eta_{j} d m\right| \leq C(f) q_{N}^{2} S_{N}^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{p_{N}^{\gamma}} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (5.11) and (5.12) we obtain that

$$
\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}} \eta_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 4 C(f) q_{N}^{2}
$$

if $N$ is sufficiently large. Since $\sigma_{N}^{2}>C(f) S_{N}^{2}=C(f) p_{N} q_{N}$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}} \eta_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}=0 \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (5.2) and (5.13) give (5.4).
The main idea in the rest of the proof is that $\left\{\eta_{k}\right\}$ are irrelevant while due to (5.5), $\left\{\xi_{k}\right\}$ act as independent random variables.
2. Arranging the Fourier Transform. Applying (5.4), the proof of Theorem 1 reduces to show that

$$
T_{N}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{-1}} \sigma_{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}} \xi_{k}, \quad N=1,2, \ldots
$$

converge in distribution to a standard complex normal variable. By the Levi Continuity Theorem, it is sufficient to show that for any complex number $t$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{N}(t)=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} e^{i\left\langle t, T_{N}\right\rangle} d m \rightarrow e^{-|t|^{2} / 2}, \quad \text { as } \quad N \rightarrow \infty \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\langle t, w\rangle=\operatorname{Re}(\bar{t} w)$ is the standard scalar product in the plane. In this second step of the proof we will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{N}(t)-\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \prod_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\left(1+\frac{i\left\langle t, \xi_{k}\right\rangle}{\sqrt{2^{-1}} \sigma_{N}}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{\left\langle t, \xi_{k}\right\rangle^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right) d m=0 \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fixed $\delta>0$, consider the sets $E_{k}=\left\{z \in \partial \mathbb{D}:\left|\xi_{k}(z)\right|>\delta S_{N}\right\}, k=1,2, \ldots, Q_{N}$. By part (c) of Theorem 9 we have $\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|_{4}^{4} \leq C(f) p_{N}^{2}$. Chebyshev inequality and (5.1) give

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}} m\left(E_{k}\right) \leq \frac{C(f) p_{N}^{2} Q_{N}}{\delta^{4} S_{N}^{4}} \leq \frac{2 C(f)}{\delta^{4} q_{N}}
$$

if $N$ is sufficiently large. For $\mu>1$, consider the set

$$
E_{0}=\left\{z \in \partial \mathbb{D}: \sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\left\langle t, \xi_{k}(z)\right\rangle^{2}>\mu S_{N}^{2}\right\} .
$$

By part (a) of Theorem 9 we have $\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|_{2} \leq C(f) p_{N}$. Chebyshev inequality and (5.1) give

$$
m\left(E_{0}\right) \leq \frac{C(f)|t|^{2} Q_{N}}{\mu q_{N}} \leq \frac{2 C(f)|t|^{2}}{\mu}
$$

if $N$ is sufficiently large. Hence the set

$$
E=\bigcup_{k=0}^{Q_{N}} E_{k}
$$

satisfies

$$
m(E) \leq 2 C(f)\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{4} q_{N}}+\frac{|t|^{2}}{\mu}\right)
$$

Using the elementary identity

$$
\exp (z)=(1+z) \exp \left(\frac{z^{2}}{2}+o\left(\left|z^{2}\right|\right)\right)
$$

where $o\left(|z|^{2}\right) /|z|^{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow 0$, we deduce

$$
\exp \left(i\left\langle t, T_{N}\right\rangle\right)=\left(\prod_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\left(1+\frac{i\left\langle t, \xi_{k}\right\rangle}{\sqrt{2^{-1}} \sigma_{N}}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{\left\langle t, \xi_{k}\right\rangle^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right)\right) \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}} o\left(\frac{\left\langle t, \xi_{k}\right\rangle^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right)\right)
$$

Fix $\varepsilon>0$. Taking $\delta>0$ sufficiently small we have

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}} o\left(\frac{\left\langle t, \xi_{k}(z)\right\rangle^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right) \leq C(f) \varepsilon \mu, \quad z \in \partial \mathbb{D} \backslash E
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\int_{\partial \mathbb{D} \backslash E} \exp \left(i\left\langle t, T_{N}\right\rangle\right) d m-\int_{\partial \mathbb{D} \backslash E} \prod_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\left(1+\frac{i\left\langle t, \xi_{k}\right\rangle}{\sqrt{2^{-1}} \sigma_{N}}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{\left\langle t, \xi_{k}\right\rangle^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right) d m \right\rvert\, \leq \\
& \leq\left(e^{C(f) \varepsilon \mu}-1\right) \int_{\partial \mathbb{D} \backslash E} \prod_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\left(1+\frac{\left\langle t, \xi_{k}\right\rangle^{2}}{2^{-1} \sigma_{N}^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{\left\langle t, \xi_{k}\right\rangle^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right) d m \leq e^{C(f) \varepsilon \mu}-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Last inequality follows from the elementary estimate $(1+x)^{1 / 2} e^{-x / 2} \leq 1$ if $x \geq 0$. Hence

$$
\left|\varphi_{N}(t)-\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \prod_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\left(1+\frac{i\left\langle t, \xi_{k}\right\rangle}{\sqrt{2^{-1}} \sigma_{N}}\right) \exp -\left(\frac{\left\langle t, \xi_{k}\right\rangle^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right) d m\right| \leq 2 m(E)+e^{C(f) \varepsilon \mu}-1
$$

which proves (5.15). Therefore to prove (5.14) it is sufficient to show that for any $t \in \mathbb{C}$ one has

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \prod_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\left(1+\frac{i\left\langle t, \xi_{k}\right\rangle}{\sqrt{2^{-1}} \sigma_{N}}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{\left\langle t, \xi_{k}\right\rangle^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}\right) d m=\exp \left(-|t|^{2} / 2\right)
$$

This will follow from Lemma 17 applied to the functions

$$
f_{N}=\prod_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\left(1+\frac{i\left\langle t, \xi_{k}\right\rangle}{\sqrt{2^{-1}} \sigma_{N}}\right)
$$

$$
g_{N}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{N}^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\left\langle t, \xi_{k}\right\rangle^{2}-\frac{|t|^{2}}{2} .
$$

According to Lemma 17 it is sufficient to show

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{N}\left\|f_{N}\right\|_{2}<\infty  \tag{5.16}\\
& \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left\|g_{N}\right\|_{2}=0  \tag{5.17}\\
& \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f_{N} d m=1 . \tag{5.18}
\end{align*}
$$

3. Estimating $\left\|f_{N}\right\|_{2}$. Observe that

$$
\prod_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\left(1+\frac{\left\langle t, \xi_{k}\right\rangle^{2}}{2^{-1} \sigma_{N}^{2}}\right)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}} \frac{1}{2^{-k} \sigma_{N}^{2 k}} \sum\left\langle t, \xi_{j_{1}}\right\rangle^{2} \ldots\left\langle t, \xi_{j_{k}}\right\rangle^{2}
$$

where the last sum is taken over all collections of indices $1 \leq j_{1}<\ldots<j_{k} \leq Q_{N}$. Since $\left\langle t, \xi_{n}\right\rangle^{2} \leq|t|^{2}\left|\xi_{n}\right|^{2}$, Theorem 6 and part (a) of Theorem 9 give that

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\left\langle t, \xi_{j_{1}}\right\rangle^{2} \ldots\left\langle t, \xi_{j_{k}}\right\rangle^{2} d m \leq C(f)^{k}|t|^{2 k} p_{N}^{k}
$$

Since the total number of distinct collections of indices $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}$ verifying $1 \leq j_{1}<$ $\ldots<j_{k} \leq Q_{N}$ is $\binom{Q_{N}}{k}$, we deduce

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \prod_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\left(1+\frac{\left\langle t, \xi_{k}\right\rangle^{2}}{2^{-1} \sigma_{N}{ }^{2}}\right) d m \leq 1+\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\binom{Q_{N}}{k} \frac{C(f)^{k}|t|^{2 k} p_{N}^{k}}{2^{-k} \sigma_{N}^{2 k}}
$$

Since $\sigma_{N}^{2} \geq C(f)^{-1} S_{N}^{2}=C(f)^{-1} p_{N} q_{N}$, we deduce

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \prod_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\left(1+\frac{\left\langle t, \xi_{k}\right\rangle^{2}}{2^{-1} \sigma_{N}^{2}}\right) d m \leq 1+\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\binom{Q_{N}}{k} \frac{C(f)^{2 k}|t|^{2 k}}{2^{-k} q_{N}^{k}}=\left(1+\frac{C(f)^{2}\left|t^{2}\right|}{2^{-1} q_{N}}\right)^{Q_{N}}
$$

Hence (5.1) gives that $\left\|f_{N}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \exp \left(3 C(f)^{2}|t|^{2}\right)$ if $N$ is sufficiently large. This gives (5.16).
4. Estimating $\left\|g_{N}\right\|_{2}$. Consider the set of indices $\mathcal{A}_{k}=\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: M_{k}<n \leq N_{k}\right\}$, $k=1, \ldots, Q_{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{k}=\sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}_{k}} a_{n} f^{n}, \quad k=1, \ldots, Q_{N} \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{A}=\bigcup_{k=1}^{Q_{N}} \mathcal{A}_{k}$. Observe that (5.4) gives

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}}{S_{N}^{2}}=1
$$

This is assumption (4.4) of Lemma 16. Assumption (4.5) follows from (1.2). Thus, Lemma 16 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}=1 \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $\lambda=t /|t|$. We have

$$
g_{N}=\frac{|t|^{2}}{4 \sigma_{N}^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\left(2\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2}+\overline{\lambda^{2}} \xi_{k}^{2}+\lambda^{2} \overline{\xi_{k}^{2}}-2 \frac{\sigma_{N}^{2}}{Q_{N}}\right) .
$$

Applying (5.20), the proof of (5.17) reduces to show

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\frac{1}{\sigma_{N}^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}} \psi_{k}\right\|_{2}=0
$$

where $\psi_{k}=2\left(\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2}-\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\overline{\lambda^{2}} \xi_{k}^{2}+\lambda^{2} \overline{\xi_{k}^{2}}$. Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}} \psi_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\left\|\psi_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}-1} \sum_{j>k}^{Q_{N}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \overline{\psi_{k}} \psi_{j} d m \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|\psi_{k}\right| \leq 4\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2}+2\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}$, parts (a) and (c) of Theorem 9 give that $\left\|\psi_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq C(f) p_{N}^{2}$. Hence

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\left\|\psi_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq C(f) p_{N}^{2} Q_{N}
$$

and we deduce

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sigma_{N}^{4}} \sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\left\|\psi_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}=0
$$

The second term in (5.21) is splitted as

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}-1} \sum_{j>k}^{Q_{N}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \overline{\psi_{k}} \psi_{j} d m=A+B+C+D
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =4 \sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}-1} \sum_{j>k}^{Q_{N}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\left(\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2}-\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\left|\xi_{j}\right|^{2}-\left\|\xi_{j}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) d m, \\
B & =2 \sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}-1} \sum_{j>k}^{Q_{N}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\left(\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2}-\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\overline{\lambda^{2}} \xi_{j}^{2}+\lambda^{2} \overline{\xi_{j}^{2}}\right) d m, \\
C & =2 \sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}-1} \sum_{j>k}^{Q_{N}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\left(\overline{\lambda^{2}} \xi_{k}^{2}+\lambda^{2} \overline{\xi_{k}^{2}}\right)\left(\left|\xi_{j}\right|^{2}-\left\|\xi_{j}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) d m, \\
D & =\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}-1} \sum_{j>k}^{Q_{N}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\left(\overline{\lambda^{2}} \xi_{k}^{2}+\lambda^{2} \overline{\xi_{k}^{2}}\right)\left(\overline{\lambda^{2}} \xi_{j}^{2}+\lambda^{2} \overline{\xi_{j}^{2}}\right) d m .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 6, $\left\|\xi_{k} \xi_{j}\right\|_{2}=\left\|\xi_{k}\right\|_{2}\left\|\xi_{j}\right\|_{2}$ if $k \neq j$ and we deduce $A=0$. Since the mean of $\xi_{j}^{2}$ over the unit circle vanishes and at almost every point in the unit circle one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2}=\sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}_{k}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}_{k}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}_{k}, j>n} \overline{a_{n}} a_{j} \overline{f^{n}} f^{j}, \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

the integrals in $B$ can be written as a linear combination of

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f^{n_{1}} \overline{f^{j_{1}}}\left(\overline{\lambda^{2}} \xi_{j}^{2}+\lambda^{2} \overline{\xi_{j}^{2}}\right) d m
$$

where $n_{1}, j_{1} \in \mathcal{A}_{k}$ and hence $\max \left\{n_{1}, j_{1}\right\}<\min \left\{n: n \in \mathcal{A}_{j}\right\}$. According to part (a) of Lemma 7,

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f^{n_{1}} \overline{f^{j_{1}}} \xi_{j}^{2} d m=0
$$

and we deduce $B=0$. Since the mean of $\xi_{k}^{2}$ over the unit circle vanishes, we have

$$
C=4 \operatorname{Re} \overline{\lambda^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}-1} \sum_{j>k}^{Q_{D}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \xi_{k}^{2}\left|\xi_{j}\right|^{2} d m
$$

For the same reason, using the formula (5.22), we have

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \xi_{k}^{2}\left|\xi_{j}\right|^{2} d m=\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \xi_{k}^{2} \operatorname{Re} h_{j} d m,
$$

where

$$
h_{j}=2 \sum_{r, l \in \mathcal{A}_{j}, l>r} \overline{a_{r}} a_{l} \overline{f^{r}} f^{l} .
$$

Using formula (5.19) to expand $\xi_{k}^{2}$, we obtain

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \xi_{k}^{2}\left|\xi_{j}\right|^{2} d m=E+F
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
E=\sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}_{k}} \sum_{r, l \in \mathcal{A}_{j}, l>r} a_{n}^{2} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\left(f^{n}\right)^{2}\left(\overline{a_{r}} a_{l} \overline{f^{r}} f^{l}+a_{r} \overline{a_{l}} f^{r} \overline{f^{l}}\right) d m, \\
F=2 \sum_{n, s \in \mathcal{A}_{k}: s>n} a_{n} a_{s} \sum_{r, l \in \mathcal{A}_{j}, l>r} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f^{n} f^{s}\left(\overline{a_{r}} a_{l} \overline{f^{r}} f^{l}+a_{r} \overline{a_{l}} f^{r} \overline{f^{l}}\right) d m .
\end{gathered}
$$

By part (c) of Lemma 7 we have

$$
\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\left(f^{n}\right)^{2} \overline{f^{r}} f^{l} d m\right|+\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\left(f^{n}\right)^{2} \overline{f^{l}} f^{r} d m\right| \leq C(f)\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{l-n}, \quad \text { if } n<r<l .
$$

We deduce that

$$
|E| \leq C(f) \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}_{k}}\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \sum_{r, l \in \mathcal{A}_{j}, l>r}\left|a_{r}\right|\left|a_{l}\right|\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{l-n}
$$

According to (5.5), we have $r-n \geq q_{N}^{\beta}$ for any $r \in \mathcal{A}_{j}$ and any $n \in \mathcal{A}_{k}, j>k$. Now

$$
\sum_{r, l \in \mathcal{A}_{j}, l>r}\left|a_{r}\right|\left|a_{l}\right|\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{l-n} \leq\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{q_{N}^{\beta}} \sum_{t \geq 1}\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{t} \sum_{r \in \mathcal{A}_{j}: r+t \in \mathcal{A}_{j}}\left|a_{r}\right|\left|a_{r+t}\right| .
$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, last sum is bounded by $\sum_{r \in \mathcal{A}_{j}}\left|a_{r}\right|^{2} \leq 2 p_{N}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
|E| \leq C(f)\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{q_{N}^{\beta}} p_{N}^{2} \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, part (d) of Lemma 7 gives that

$$
\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f^{n} f^{s} \overline{f^{r}} f^{l} d m\right|+\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f^{n} f^{s} f^{r} \overline{f^{l}} d m\right| \leq C(f)\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{l-n}, \quad n<s<r<l-2,
$$

and

$$
\left|\int_{\mathfrak{D}} f^{n} f^{s} \overline{f^{r}} f^{l} d m\right|+\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f^{n} f^{s} f^{r} \overline{f^{l}} d m\right| \leq C(f)\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{r-n}, \quad n<s<r<l, r \geq l-2
$$

Using the trivial estimate $\left|a_{k}\right| \leq S_{N}$ for any $k \leq N$, we deduce that

$$
|F| \leq C(f) S_{N}^{4} \sum_{n, s \in \mathcal{A}_{k}: s>n} \sum_{r, l \in \mathcal{A}_{j}, l>r}\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{l-n} .
$$

As before, $l-n \geq q_{N}^{\beta}$ for any $r \in \mathcal{A}_{j}$ and any $n \in \mathcal{A}_{k}, j>k$. We deduce

$$
|F| \leq C(f) S_{N}^{4}\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{q_{N}^{\beta} / 2}
$$

Now, the exponential decay in (5.23) and (5.16) give that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C}{\sigma_{N}^{2}}=0 \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding estimate for $D$ follows from the estimate

$$
\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \xi_{k}^{2} \overline{\xi_{j}^{2}} d m\right| \leq C(f) S_{N}^{4}\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{q_{N}^{\beta}}, \quad k<j .
$$

As before this last estimate follows from (5.5) and from

$$
\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f^{n} f^{s} \overline{f^{l}} \overline{f^{t}} d m\right| \leq C(f)\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{t-n}, n<s<l<t-2
$$

which follows from part (d) of Lemma 7. This finishes the proof of (5.17).
5. Integrating $f_{N}$. In this last step we will prove (5.18). Observe that at almost every point in the unit circle we have

$$
f_{N}=1+\sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}} \frac{i^{k}}{2^{-k / 2} \sigma_{N}^{k}} \sum\left\langle t, \xi_{i_{1}}\right\rangle \ldots\left\langle t, \xi_{i_{k}}\right\rangle,
$$

where the second sum is taken over all collections of indices $1 \leq i_{1}<\ldots i_{k} \leq Q_{N}$. Fix $1 \leq i_{1}<\ldots i_{k} \leq Q_{N}$. The integral

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\left\langle t, \xi_{i_{1}}\right\rangle \ldots\left\langle t, \xi_{i_{k}}\right\rangle d m=2^{-k} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \prod_{n=1}^{k}\left(\bar{t} \xi_{i_{n}}+t \overline{\xi_{i_{n}}}\right) d m
$$

is a multiple of a sum of $2^{k}$ integrals of the form

$$
\bar{t}^{r} t^{l} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \xi_{i_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \ldots \xi_{i_{k}}^{\varepsilon_{k}} d m
$$

where $r+l=k, \varepsilon_{i}=1$ or $\varepsilon_{i}=-1$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ and we denote $\xi_{i}^{-1}(z)=\overline{\xi_{i}(z)}$, $z \in \partial \mathbb{D}$. Now, each $\xi_{i}$ is a linear combination of iterates of $f$,

$$
\xi_{j}=\sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}(j)} a_{n} f^{n}
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \xi_{i_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \ldots \xi_{i_{k}}^{\varepsilon_{k}} d m=\sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} a_{n_{j}}^{\varepsilon_{j}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f^{n_{1} \varepsilon_{1}} \ldots f^{n_{k} \varepsilon_{k}} d m
$$

where $\sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{C}}$ means the sum over all possible $k$-tuples $\boldsymbol{n}=\left\{n_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{k}$ of indices such that $n_{j} \in \mathcal{A}\left(i_{j}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots, k$. Since $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq S_{N}, n \leq N$, we have

$$
\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \xi_{i_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \ldots \xi_{i_{k}}^{\varepsilon_{k}} d m\right| \leq S_{N}^{k} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}}\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f^{n_{1} \varepsilon_{1}} \ldots f^{n_{k} \varepsilon_{k}} d m\right|
$$

Let $\varepsilon=\left\{\varepsilon_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{k-1}$ be fixed and consider $\Phi(\boldsymbol{n})=\Phi(\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{n})=\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \delta_{j}\left(n_{j+1}-n_{j}\right)$ where $\delta_{j} \in\{0,1 / 2,1\}$ for $j=1, \ldots, k-1$, with $\delta_{1}=1$ and $\delta_{k-1} \geq 1 / 2$, and with $\delta_{j}=1$ if and only if $\delta_{j-1}=0$ for $j=2, \ldots, k-1$, as defined in Theorem 13. Let $a=\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|$. Theorem 13 gives

$$
\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \xi_{i_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \ldots \xi_{i_{k}}^{\varepsilon_{k}} d m\right| \leq k!S_{N}^{k} C(f)^{k} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{C}} a^{\Phi(\boldsymbol{n})}
$$

We split the sum over $\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{C}$ as follows. Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the set of $(k-1)$-tuples $\boldsymbol{\delta}=\left\{\delta_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{k-1}$ of coefficients that can appear in $\Phi(\boldsymbol{n})$. That is, those tuples with $\delta_{j} \in\{0,1 / 2,1\}$ for $j=1, \ldots, k-1$, with $\delta_{1}=1$ and $\delta_{k-1} \geq 1 / 2$, and with $\delta_{j}=1$ if and only if $\delta_{j-1}=0$, for $j=2, \ldots, k-1$. Observe that there are less than $2^{k}$ such tuples. Given a $k$-tuple $\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{C}$, let us denote by $\boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{n})$ the ( $k-1$ )-tuple $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ of coefficients appearing in $\Phi(\boldsymbol{n})$. Then we have that

$$
\sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{C}} a^{\Phi(\boldsymbol{n})}=\sum_{\boldsymbol{\delta} \in \mathcal{D}} \sum_{\{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{C}: \boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{n})=\boldsymbol{\delta}\}} a^{\Phi(\boldsymbol{n})}
$$

Given $\boldsymbol{\delta}=\left\{\delta_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{k-1} \in \mathcal{D}$, we define $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\boldsymbol{n})=\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \delta_{j}\left(n_{j+1}-n_{j}\right)$ for every $\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{C}$. We clearly have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{C}} a^{\Phi(\boldsymbol{n})} \leq \sum_{\boldsymbol{\delta} \in \mathcal{D}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{C}} a^{\Phi_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\boldsymbol{n})} . \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now a fixed $\boldsymbol{\delta}=\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{k-1}\right)$, and recall that $\delta_{1}=1$. Let $l(1)$ be the minimum integer such that $\delta_{l(1)+1}=0$ (we set $l(1)=k-1$ if $\delta_{j} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ ).

In particular, observe that if $l(1)>1$, we have that $\delta_{j}=1 / 2$ for $2 \leq j \leq l(1)$ by Theorem 13. Thus, to find a bound for the right-hand side of (5.25), we need to estimate sums of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{n_{j} \in \mathcal{A}\left(i_{j}\right)} a^{\left(n_{2}-n_{1}\right)+\left(n_{l}-n_{2}\right) / 2}=\sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{n_{j} \in \mathcal{A}\left(i_{j}\right)} a^{\left(n_{2}-n_{1}\right) / 2+\left(n_{l}-n_{1}\right) / 2} \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $1<l \leq k-1$. Denote here $\overline{n_{1}}=\max \mathcal{A}\left(i_{1}\right), \underline{n_{2}}=\min \mathcal{A}\left(i_{2}\right)$ and $\underline{n_{l}}=\min \mathcal{A}\left(i_{l}\right)$, and observe that $\underline{n_{2}}-\overline{n_{1}} \geq q_{N}^{\beta}$ because of (5.5). Assume $l>2$. Summing over $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ we get that (5.26) is bounded by

$$
C a^{q_{N}^{\beta} / 2} \sum_{j=3}^{l} \sum_{n_{j} \in \mathcal{A}\left(i_{j}\right)} a^{\left(n_{l}-\overline{n_{1}}\right) / 2} .
$$

Next, summing over $n_{j}$ for $j$ up to $l-1$ yields the factor $\left|\mathcal{A}\left(i_{3}\right)\right|+\ldots+\left|\mathcal{A}\left(i_{l-1}\right)\right|$, while summing over $n_{l}$ we get the factor $a \underline{\left(n_{l}-\overline{n_{1}}\right) / 2}$. Here, $\left|\mathcal{A}\left(i_{j}\right)\right|$ denotes the number of indices in the set $\mathcal{A}\left(i_{j}\right)$. Using (5.5), we have that $\left|\mathcal{A}\left(i_{j}\right)\right| \geq p_{N}^{\gamma}>q_{N}^{\beta}$ for any $j=1, \ldots, k$ and, thus, we get that $\underline{n_{l}}-\overline{n_{1}} \geq q_{N}^{\beta}+\left|\mathcal{A}\left(i_{2}\right)\right|+\ldots+\left|\mathcal{A}\left(i_{l-1}\right)\right|>l q_{N}^{\beta}$. Hence, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{n_{j} \in \mathcal{A}\left(i_{j}\right)} a^{\left(n_{2}-n_{1}\right)+\left(n_{l}-n_{2}\right) / 2} \leq C a^{l q_{N}^{\beta} / 4} \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if $l=1$ or $l=2$, then (5.27) is obvious. Assume now that we have determined $l(m-1)$. If $l(m-1)<k-1$, then let $l(m)$ be the minimum integer such that $l(m-1)<$ $l(m) \leq k-1$ and such that $\delta_{l(m)+1}=0$. We iterate this process until we set $l(r)=k-1$ for some integer $1 \leq r \leq k$. Observe that, by Theorem 13, we have that $l(m) \geq l(m-1)+2$. Taking $l(0)=0$, the full sum over $\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{C}$ in the right-hand side of (5.25) becomes a product of sums of the form (5.26) with $j$ ranging from $l(m-1)+1$ to $l(m)$, for $m=1, \ldots, r$. Thus, applying the bound (5.27) we get that

$$
\sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{C}} a^{\Phi_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{n})} \leq \prod_{m=1}^{r} C a^{(l(m)-l(m-1)) q_{N}^{\beta} / 4} \leq C^{k} a^{k q_{N}^{\beta} / 4}
$$

Now, summing over $\boldsymbol{\delta} \in \mathcal{D}$ and using the fact that there are at most $2^{k}$ such tuples, we get that

$$
\sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathcal{C}} a^{\Phi(\boldsymbol{n})} \leq C^{k} a^{k q_{N}^{\beta} / 4}
$$

Thus

$$
\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \xi_{i_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \ldots \xi_{i_{k}}^{\varepsilon_{k}} d m\right| \leq k!S_{N}^{k} C(f)^{k} a^{k q_{N}^{\beta} / 4}
$$

We deduce that

$$
\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\left\langle t, \xi_{i_{1}}\right\rangle \ldots\left\langle t, \xi_{i_{k}}\right\rangle d m\right| \leq k!S_{N}^{k} C(f)^{k}|t|^{k} a^{k q_{N}^{\beta} / 4} .
$$

Since the total number of collections of indices $1 \leq i_{1}<\ldots<i_{k} \leq Q_{N}$ is $\binom{Q_{N}}{k}$, we deduce that

$$
\left|\int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} f_{N} d m-1\right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{Q_{N}}\binom{Q_{N}}{k} k!2^{k / 2} \sigma_{N}^{-k}\left(C(f) S_{N}|t|\right)^{k} a^{k q_{N}^{\beta} / 4} .
$$

Last sum is smaller than

$$
\left(1+\frac{C(f)|t| S_{N} Q_{N} a^{q_{N}^{\beta} / 4}}{\sqrt{2^{-1}} \sigma_{N}}\right)^{Q_{N}}-1
$$

which tends to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$ because

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{N} Q_{N}^{2} a^{q_{N}^{\beta} / 4}}{\sigma_{N}}=0
$$
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