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Abstract: The energy efficiency of water electrolysis is limited by the sluggish reaction kinetics of the anodic oxygen evolution reaction (OER). To overcome this limitation, OER can be replaced by a less demanding oxidation reaction, which in the ideal scenario could be even used to generate additional valuable chemicals. Herein, we focus on the electrochemical reforming of ethanol in alkaline media to generate hydrogen at a Pt cathode and acetate as a co-product at a Ni$_{1-x}$Co$_x$Se$_2$ anode. We first detail the solution synthesis of a series of Ni$_{1-x}$Co$_x$Se$_2$ electrocatalysts. By adjusting the Ni/Co ratio, the electrocatalytic activity and selectivity for the production of acetate from ethanol are optimized. Best performances are obtained at low substitutions of Ni by Co in the cubic NiSe$_2$ phase. Density function theory reveals that the Co substitution can effectively enhance the ethanol adsorption and decrease the energy barrier for its first step dehydrogenation during its conversion to acetate. However, we experimentally observe that too large amounts of Co decrease the Faradaic efficiency from values
above 90% to just 50%. At the optimized composition, the Ni$_{0.75}$Co$_{0.25}$Se$_2$ electrode delivers a stable
chronoamperometry current density of up to 45 mA cm$^{-2}$, corresponding to 1.2 A g$^{-1}$, in a 1 M KOH +
1 M ethanol solution, with a high Faradaic efficiency of 82.2% at a relatively low potential, 1.50 V vs.
RHE, and with an acetate production rate of 0.34 mmol cm$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$.
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1. Introduction

Molecular hydrogen is a convenient carbon-free energy carrier and a key component in the chemical industry. While molecular hydrogen does not exist in nature, it can be produced by water electrolysis using renewable energy sources such as wind, hydropower, and solar.[1] However, while water electrolysis systems have been commercialized for several years, their high cost has prevented extensive deployment, and most molecular hydrogen is still being produced by the partial oxidation and steam reforming of natural gas and coal gasification.[2] To turn this trend around, cost-effective strategies for the production of hydrogen from renewable sources need to be developed. In this context, biomass-derived products provide an excellent alternative source of hydrogen owing to their renewable character and their net-zero CO₂ footprint.[3] Besides, the partial oxidation of an organic molecule offers a less energy demanding anodic oxidation reaction, which can contribute to overcoming the energy efficiency limitation of water electrolysis associated with the sluggish reaction kinetics of the oxygen evolution reaction (OER).[4] An additional advantage of the production of hydrogen from the reforming of waste or biomass-derived organics is the potential co-generation of valuable organic chemicals, which can both improve process economics and further diminish the dependence on fossil resources that are currently used to produce them.[5–7] On top of these advantages, the replacement of OER by an organic oxidation reaction enables the implementation of coupled cost-effective waste abatement processes. Thus, overall, the clean electrochemical conversion of organic waste and biomass-derived products into value-added chemicals driven by renewable energy has both high fundamental interest and enormous potential for socio-economic and environmental impact.[8–11]

In this scenario, (bio)ethanol is a paradigmatic and particularly interesting hydrogen source. Ethanol is
a key commodity in the chemical industry, used as a precursor or building block for the synthesis of a plethora of chemicals, including formaldehyde, acetic acid, and plastics.[12,13] Ethanol can be renewably generated from the reduction of CO₂ and several biomass-derived feedstocks and organic residues such as sewage sludge.[12-14] As a liquid, ethanol can be easily stored and transported. Besides, bioethanol aqueous solutions can be directly used in electrocatalytic processes, without the need for purification. Compared with water splitting, the production of hydrogen from ethanol is thermodynamically advantageous (ΔG₀ = +237 kJ·mol⁻¹ for water oxidation vs. ΔG₀ = +9.6 kJ·mol⁻¹ for ethanol oxidation to acetate), which decreases the energy input required.[14] The reforming of ethanol also prevents the H₂ and O₂ back reaction. Besides, compared with the complete ethanol reforming down to CO₂, the production of H₂ and acetate could have higher economical profitability associated with the high economic value of acetate as a side product.[15]

In terms of catalysts, while OER generally requires high-cost noble metal electrocatalysts, the electrooxidation of organic molecules, such as methanol or ethanol, in alkaline media can be activated at lower cost using abundant noble-metal-free transition metal electrocatalysts, e.g. nickel, iron and cobalt.[12,16,17] Among them, nickel chalcogenides have demonstrated particularly high activity and selectivity for the conversion of methanol to formate,[18–23] thus we hypothesize them to be excellent ethanol oxidation catalysts.

Herein, we detail the preparation of bimetallic Ni-Co selenides nanoparticles (NPs) over a full range of compositions using a solution-based strategy. This set of materials is tested for the partial electrooxidation of ethanol in an alkaline electrolyte. Finally, the material is optimized to minimize the overpotential and maximize the activity and Faradaic efficiency for ethanol to acetate conversion.
2. Experimental section

2.1. Chemicals

All chemicals, including cobalt(II) acetylacetonate (Co(acac)$_2$, 97%, Sigma Aldrich), selenium powder (Se, 200 mesh, 99.5%, Acros Organics), nickel(II) acetylacetonate (Ni(acac)$_2$, 96%), oleylamine (OAm, C$_{18}$H$_{37}$N, 80-90%, Acros Organics), potassium hydroxide (KOH, 85%, Sigma Aldrich), potassium carbonate (K$_2$CO$_3$, 99.5%, Sigma Aldrich), potassium bicarbonate (KHCO$_3$, 99.7%, Sigma Aldrich), 1,2-ethanedithiol (EDT, HSCH$_2$CH$_2$SH, 98%, Sigma Aldrich), oleic acid (OAc, C$_{18}$H$_{34}$O$_2$, 99%, Sigma Aldrich), carbon black (CB, Vulcan XC72, Sigma Aldrich), and Nafion (10 wt.%, perfluorinated ion-exchange resin, dispersion in water, Sigma Aldrich), were used as received, without further treatment. Analytical grade chloroform and ethanol for the washing process were obtained from various sources. MilliQ water (18.2 MΩ·cm) was used for electrolyte and ink preparation, and ion chromatography (IC) measurements. An argon-filled glove box was used to handle sensitive chemicals and for ink preparation.

2.2. Solution-based synthesis of Ni$_{1-x}$Co$_x$Se$_2$ NPs

Bimetallic selenide NPs were produced from a two-step process involving the preparation of a reactive ink and its decomposition. First, the precursor ink was prepared inside an argon-filled glove box by dissolving (1-x) mM of Ni(acac)$_2$, x mM of Co(acac)$_2$, and 8 mM of Se powder inside a vial with 30 mL of OAm and 3 mL of EDT under vigorous stirring for 1h at 50 °C. The obtained solution was then filtered through a 0.2 μm filter. Then, 36 mL of the prepared precursor together with 60 mL of OAm and 30 mL OAc were placed in a 250 mL three-neck flask and vacuumeed at 60 °C for 30 min followed by heating to 220 °C under Ar at a rate of 5°C/min. After reacting for 20 min, the solution was rapidly cooled down to room temperature in a water bath. Next, the crude solution was mixed with 60 mL of
chloroform and then centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 5 min. The washing process was repeated by
dispersion in chloroform/ethanol and centrifugation several times. Finally, the obtained product was
stored in chloroform and stored in the glovebox.

2.3. Material characterization

Laboratory X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed on a Bruker AXS D8 Advance (Cu K radiation: \( \lambda = 1.5106 \, \text{Å} \)) to study the crystal structure. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with energy
dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) measurements were conducted on a Gemini 300 field emission
scanning electron microscope (Zeiss, Germany) equipped with an AZtecOne UltimMax40 energy
spectrometer. High-resolution transition electron microscopy (HRTEM) and scanning TEM (STEM)
investigation were performed on a field emission gun FEI Tecnai F20 microscope. High angle annular
dark-field (HAADF) STEM was combined with electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) in the
Tecnai microscope using a Gatan Quantum filter. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analyses
were conducted on a Specs system.

2.4. Electrochemical characterization

Electrochemical tests were carried out on a Bio-logic SP-200 potentiostat using a conventional
three-electrode system including a Pt wire as the counter electrode, a Hg/HgO reference electrode, and
the Ni_{1-x}Co_{x}Se_{2} NPs supported on CB on top of a 5 mm diameter glassy carbon (GC) as working
electrode (WE). To prepare the WE, a catalytic ink was prepared by dispersing 3 mg of dried NPs and
6 mg of CB in a vial containing 1.8 ml of MilliQ water/ethanol (v/v = 1:1) and 100 \( \mu \text{L} \) of a 10 wt%
Nafion solution, followed by half-an-hour of vigorous sonication. Afterwards, 5 \( \mu \text{L} \) of this ink were
drop-casted on a carefully polished GC electrode and dried naturally in air. Before the measurement,
the alkaline electrolyte was bubbled with high-purity N_{2} gas for half an hour. Cyclic voltammetry (CV)
and chronoamperometry (CA) were applied to study the electrocatalytic performance. To analyze the
product of the oxidation reaction at the anode and calculate the faradaic efficiency, 0.5 mL of the
solution was collected, diluted 20-fold with MilliQ water, and then analyzed by IC. A freshly prepared
4.5 mM KCO₃ and 0.8 mM KHCO₃ solution was used as leachate solution for the measurement. The
amount of product was quantified with a standard curve obtained from known acetate concentrations.
At the cathode, the generated hydrogen was determined by gas chromatography (GC, 8890, Agilent
Technologies) connected to the electrocatalytic cell. Before measurement, a stable flow rate of 20 cm³
min⁻¹ Ar (99.999%) was bubbled for 30 mins at the Pt wire in an H-type two-compartment cell, which
is separated by a Nafion-115 proton membrane. The GC product analysis was conducted every 12
mins. The produced gas was identified and quantified by a calibration curve using known
concentrations of standard H₂ gas. All obtained potentials (vs. Hg/HgO) were converted to the
reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) reference according to the Nernst equation: 
\[ E_{\text{RHE}} = E_{\text{Hg/HgO}} + 0.059x \text{pH} + E^{\text{O}}_{\text{Hg/HgO}}, \]
where \( E_{\text{Hg/HgO}} \) is the measured potential, \( E^{\text{O}}_{\text{Hg/HgO}} \) is the reference potential of
0.098 V, and pH is 13.6 as it corresponds to the 1.0 M KOH solution. All current densities were
referred to the geometrical area of the GC electrode, i.e. 0.196 cm².

2.5 Computational method

The Vienna ab initio package (VASP) was used to perform all the density functional theory (DFT)
calculations within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) using the PBE formulation. [24–26]
The projected augmented wave (PAW) potential was used to describe the ionic cores and valence
electrons were taken into account using a plane wave basis set with a kinetic energy cutoff of 400
eV.[27,28] Partial occupancies of the Kohn–Sham orbitals were allowed using the Gaussian smearing
method and a width of 0.05 eV. The electronic energy was considered self-consistent when the energy
change was smaller than $10^{-5}$ eV. A geometry optimization was considered convergent when the force change was smaller than 0.02 eV/Å. Grimme’s DFT-D3 methodology was used to describe the dispersion interactions.[29]

Using a $7\times11\times9$ Monkhorst-Pack k-point grid for Brillouin zone sampling, the equilibrium lattice constants of the monoclinic NiOOH unit cell were optimized to be $a=5.168$ Å, $b=2.847$ Å, $c=4.516$ Å, at $\alpha=90^\circ$, $\beta=107.1^\circ$, $\gamma=90^\circ$. They were used to construct a NiOOH(001) surface model (model 1) with $p(2\times3)$ periodicity in the x and y directions and 1 stoichiometric layer in the z direction separated by a vacuum layer in the depth of 15 Å to separate the surface slab from its periodic duplicates. In another model (model 2), three Co atoms were doped into model 1 by replacing three Ni atoms. One O atom was removed from both models to create an oxygen vacancy. During structural optimizations, the gamma point in the Brillouin zone was used for k-point sampling, and all atoms were allowed to relax.

The free energy of a gas phase molecule or an adsorbate on the surface was calculated by the equation $G = E + ZPE – TS$, where $E$ is the total energy, $ZPE$ is the zero-point energy, T is the temperature in kelvin (298.15 K), and $S$ is the entropy.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of catalysts

Ni$_{1-x}$Co$_x$Se$_2$ NPs were produced through a two-step process involving the preparation of a reactive ink from the dissolution of Se and the proper amount of Ni and Co precursors in EDT and OAm and its posterior reaction (see the experimental section for details and Fig. 1a). Fig. 1b displays the XRD patterns of the obtained Ni$_{1-x}$Co$_x$Se$_2$, with $0 \leq x \leq 1$. For $x=0$ and $x=1$, the crystal structures of NiSe$_2$ and CoSe$_2$ could be properly index with the cubic pa-3 (JCPDS No. 01 088 1771) and the orthorhombic
pnnm (JCPDS No. 00 053 0449) phases, respectively. With increasing amounts of Co within the NiSe$_2$
structure, a continuous transition from the cubic NiSe$_2$ phase to the orthorhombic CoSe$_2$ structure was
observed. Within the experimental error, SEM-EDS analyses showed the composition of the obtained
materials to be consistent with the nominal Ni/Co ratios (Fig. S1). Thus, in the following, we refer to
the different samples using the nominal values.

Fig. 1. (a) Schematic drawing of the solution-based process used to produce Ni$_{1-x}$Co$_x$Se$_2$ NPs. (b) XRD pattern of the as-synthesized product.

Fig. 2a displays a representative TEM micrograph of the Ni$_{0.75}$Co$_{0.25}$Se$_2$ sample. It consists of NPs
having an average diameter of around 16 nm. When varying the Co composition over the entire Ni/Co
range, the NPs size remained unchanged (Fig. S2). HRTEM micrographs show the NPs to be highly
crystalline (Fig. 2b). The lattice parameter of the Ni$_{0.75}$Co$_{0.25}$Se$_2$ NPs is $a=b=c=5.840$ Å, which is in
between that of the NiSe$_2$ cubic phase ($a=b=c=5.973$ Å) and the CoSe$_2$ cubic phase ($a=b=c=5.681$ Å),
in good agreement with a lattice containing a mixture of both cations Ni$_x$Co$_{1-x}$Se$_2$. According to
Vegard’s law, and considering a linear approximation, the amount of Co present in the structure is
estimated at $x=0.46$ for the Ni$_{0.75}$Co$_{0.25}$Se$_2$ sample. The composition deviation obtained from Vegard’s
law can be attributed to the different bonding forces of Ni and Co with selenium within the
selenide.[30] As can be seen in the EELS compositional maps (Fig. 2c), the Ni$_{0.75}$Co$_{0.25}$Se$_2$ NPs display
a homogeneous distribution of the three elements, with an average ration Ni/Co=7/3, consistent with
the nominal composition and EDS data.

Fig. 2. TEM and XPS characterization of Ni$_{0.75}$Co$_{0.25}$Se$_2$ NPs. (a) TEM image and size distribution
histogram. (b) HRTEM micrograph and indexed power spectra. (c) HAADF STEM image and
EELS-STEM compositional maps obtained from HAADF STEM shown region. Individual Ni L2,3-edges
at 855 eV (red), Co L2,3-edges at 779 eV (red), and Se M1-edges at 231 eV (blue) composition maps.
Colour gradient maps display the relative compositions of Ni and Co. The colour scale is the same for both
panels (Ni and Co). (d) Ni 2p$_{3/2}$, Co 2p$_{3/2}$, and Se 3d high resolution XPS spectra.

XPS analysis was used to characterize the surface composition and element oxidation state (Fig. 2d
and Fig. S3). Figure 2d displays the high resolution Ni 2p$_{3/2}$, Co 2p$_{3/2}$, and Se 3d XPS spectra of
Ni$_{0.75}$Co$_{0.25}$Se$_2$ NPs. Each of the three elements presents two chemical states that we associate with a
metal selenide and a metal oxide environment, in good agreement with previous publications including
an XPS characterization of nickel- and cobalt- selenides.[31,32] Specifically, aside from a satellite
peak at 859.8 eV, the Ni 2p$_{3/2}$ region shows the presence of two chemical states that we associate with
Ni ions within Ni-Se (852.6 eV) and within Ni-O (854.7 eV) chemical environments.[33] The Co 2p$_{3/2}$
region also shows two chemical environments associated with Co-Se (778.0 eV) and Co-O (779.4
Finally, the Se 3d XPS spectrum shows a component at 53.4 eV associated with a metal selenide environment and a component at 58.4 eV associated with a selenium oxide environment. The surface oxide component was attributed to the partial oxidation of the NPs surface during XPS sample preparation and transportation.

3.2. Electrocatalytic performance

Working electrodes were prepared by coating a glassy carbon with a catalyst ink prepared by mixing dried NPs and CB in an ethanol/water solution containing Nafion. The electrochemical performance was explored in a conventional three-electrode electrochemical cell using a Pt wire as the counter electrode and a Hg/HgO reference electrode (see the experimental section for details).

**Fig. 3.** Electrocatalytic performance for (a) NiSe$_2$ NP-based electrodes, and (b) CoSe$_2$ NP-based electrodes electrolyte containing a 1 M KOH (red curve) and a 1 M KOH + 1 M ethanol (black curve).

First, NiSe$_2$- and CoSe$_2$-based electrodes were tested in the potential window 0.9-1.7 V vs. RHE with a scan rate of 50 mV s$^{-1}$ in 1 M KOH electrolyte solution. In a basic solution, a hydroxide is formed on the surface of Ni oxides and Ni chalcogenides. As shown in Fig. 3a, during the forward scan, the first current density peak measured from NiSe$_2$-based electrodes (black curve) was attributed to the oxidation of surface Ni(OH)$_2$ to NiOOH at around 1.392 V vs. RHE. At higher applied potential, above ca. 1.65 V vs RHE, a rise in the current density was associated with the OER. During the
reverse scan, NiSe$_2$-based electrodes displayed a NiOOH to Ni(OH)$_2$ reduction peak at around 1.380 V vs. RHE.

Fig. 4. (a) CV curves for the Ni$_{1-x}$Co$_x$Se$_2$ (x=0.25; 0.50; 0.75) electrodes in 1 M KOH electrolyte in the potential range from 0.9 V to 1.7 V with a scan rate of 50 mV s$^{-1}$. (b) CV curves in 1M KOH + 1M ethanol electrolyte. (c) Current densities in 1 M KOH (green) and 1M KOH + 1M ethanol electrolyte at 1.55 V vs. RHE. (d) CV curves of Ni$_{1-x}$Co$_x$Se$_2$ (x=0.25) based electrode in 1.0 M KOH in the non-Faradaic potential range (0.9-1.0 V) with a scan rate of 10-100 mV s$^{-1}$. (e) Linear fit of the forward current at 0.98 V and backward current at 0.92 V as a function of scan rate, from 10 to 100 mV s$^{-1}$. (f) CV curves of a Ni$_{1-x}$Co$_x$Se$_2$ (x=0.25) electrode in the potential range from 1.0 V to 1.60 V with a variable scan rate, from 10-100 mV s$^{-1}$. (g) Linear fit of the peak current and scan rate from 10 to 50 mV s$^{-1}$. (h) Liner fit of the peak current and square root of scan rate 60 to 100 mV s$^{-1}$. (i) Comparison of the surface coverage of redox species ($\Gamma^*$) and diffusion coefficient (D) for the Ni-containing Ni$_{1-x}$Co$_x$Se$_2$ NPs based electrode in 1 M KOH solution.

For the CoSe$_2$–based electrode in 1M KOH solution (black curve in Fig. 3b), two small current density peaks in the forward scan were observed. In an alkaline medium, the surface of Co-based
chalcogenides is generally oxidized.[38–40] During the forward scan, the surface cobalt oxide is further oxidized probably to CoOOH at 1.11 V vs. RHE. At ca. 1.439 V vs RHE, CoOOH is even further oxidized, possibly to CoO₂. These phases are reduced during the reverse scan. At ca. 1.60 V vs. RHE, the OER is activated on the CoSe₂-based electrode, showing a significantly higher OER activity than the NiSe₂–based electrode. Upon adding 1M ethanol to the electrolyte solution, a sharp rise in the current density (red curves in Fig. 3) and corresponding to the ethanol oxidation reaction (EOR) was measured at ca. 1.35 V for both NiSe₂- and CoSe₂–based electrodes. Above the OER onset potential, both reactions, OER and EOR, compete with each other [41–43].

Figure 4 displays the results from the electrochemical characterization of the electrodes based on ternary chalcogenides, Ni₁₋ₓCoxSe₂. In a 1 M KOH solution, we observed the OER activity to increase with the amount of Co. Specifically, as shown in Fig. 4a, the potential required to generate 10 mA cm⁻² decreased from 1.698 V for NiSe₂, to 1.672 V for x=0.25, 1.638 V for x=0.50, and 1.620 V for x=0.75. These values were still above that of CoSe₂ electrodes, 1.599 V (Table S1).

When adding 1M ethanol to the electrolyte, a rapid current density increase at lower potentials was observed. In contrast with the OER, the overpotential for EOR at 10 mA cm⁻² did not follow a monotonous trend with the Co introduction, but we observed the addition of a small amount of Co to result in the highest improvement of the results obtained for NiSe₂ (Fig. 4b and Table S1). Figure 4c compares the current density of the different electrodes at a fixed potential, 1.55 V, significantly below the OER onset potential. After subtracting the current density measured in 1 M KOH solution, the net EOR current density increased from 33.3 mA cm⁻² for NiSe₂, to 81.6 mA cm⁻² for Ni₀.7₅Co₀.₂₅Se₂, and decreased at higher Co concentrations. If considering the loading mass of NPs, the highest mass current density at 1.55 V was also obtained for the Ni₀.7₅Co₀.₂₅Se₂ electrode, 2.1 A mg⁻¹, and it was
significantly above that of recently reported Ni NPs/C,[44] Co₃O₄ nanosheets,[45] CoNi hydroxide nanosheets,[46] and fluorine-modified FeOOH,[47] and comparable to that of phosphine doped CoS₂,[48] Ni-Fe phosphide and Co₃S₄ nanosheets (Table S2).[49,50]

As shown in Fig. 4d, a double-layer pattern for Ni₀.₇₅Co₀.₂₅Se₂ NPs was obtained in 1 M KOH in the potential window of 0.9 V-1.0 V from 10 mV s⁻¹ to 100 mV s⁻¹. The electrochemical double-layer capacitance ($C_d$) in the non-faradaic region was calculated from the linear fit of the current ($i$) with the scan rate ($v$):

$$i_c = v \cdot C_d$$

From this capacitance, the electrochemical active area (ECSA) was determined using the following equation:

$$ECSA = \frac{C_{dl}}{C_s}$$

where $C_s$ is 0.04 mF cm⁻², according to the values reported for Ni-based electrodes in alkaline solution.[51] By averaging the slope obtained from the forward scan at 0.98 V and backward scan at 0.92 V (Fig. 4e), the calculated ECSA for Ni₀.₇₅Co₀.₂₅Se₂ was 90.1 cm² g⁻¹, well above the values obtained for NiSe₂ (75.7 cm² g⁻¹), Ni₀.₅₀Co₀.₅₀Se₂ (71.0 cm² g⁻¹), and Ni₀.₂₅Co₀.₇₅Se₂ (49.7 cm² g⁻¹) electrodes (Fig. S4 and Table S1).

The CV curves of the bimetallic selenides displayed just one peak in the forward scan and one peak in the reverse scan (Fig. S5). The peak current density for the bimetallic selenides was found at lower potentials than for NiSe₂ (1.381 V) and the peak potential decreased with the amount of Co introduced, from 1.371 for NiSe₂ to 1.209 V for Ni₀.₂₅Co₀.₇₅Se₂. On the other hand, the voltage of the peak current density in the backward scan did not change monotonically with the amount of Co introduced, but it increased from NiSe₂ (1.219 V) to Ni₀.₂₅Co₀.₇₅Se₂ (1.249 V) and decreased at higher Co concentrations.
Associating these peaks to the Ni(OH)$_2$\leftrightarrow NiOOH redox reaction, we observed the potential difference $\Delta E_p$ between the oxidation and reduction peaks decreased from 162 mV for NiSe$_2$ to 79, 40, and 20 mV with an increasing amount of Co. The smaller potential differences are related to the improved electron transfer kinetics between the electrode surface and the active centres, associated with the modified electronic structure of the bimetallic selenides.[52]

The surface coverage of Ni(OH)$_2$/NiOOH redox species was determined from the dependence of the peak current density on the scan rate. Fig. 4h shows CV profiles from the Ni$_{0.75}$Co$_{0.25}$Se$_2$ electrode in 1 M KOH solution at 10-100 mV s$^{-1}$. The redox peak associated with the Ni(OH)$_2$\leftrightarrow NiOOH redox reaction can be observed in the positive and negative scans. From the linear relationship between the peak current density and the scan rate from 10-50 mV s$^{-1}$, the surface coverage of Ni(OH)$_2$/NiOOH redox species ($I^*$) was determined using the following equation:

$$I_p = \left(\frac{n^2F^2}{4RT}\right) A I^* v$$

where $n$ is the number of transferred electrons (assumed to be 1 for Ni(OH)$_2$/NiOOH), $F$ is the Faraday constant (96,845 C mol$^{-1}$), $R$ is the gas constant (8.314 J K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$), $T$ is the absolute temperature (295 K) and $A$ is the geometric surface area of the GC electrode (0.196 cm$^2$). $I^*$ was calculated considering the average of the fitted slopes for the anodic and cathodic peaks. As expected, the surface coverage of redox species, $I^*$, measured for the Ni$_{0.75}$Co$_{0.25}$Se$_2$ electrode, $3.7 \times 10^{-8}$ mol cm$^{-2}$, was well below that of NiSe$_2$, $9.2 \times 10^{-8}$ mol cm$^{-2}$, but slightly above the values obtained from materials containing higher amounts of Co (Fig. 4i and S6). These values were consistent with those previously obtained from Ni-based chalcogenide.[18,53]

The proton diffusion is generally regarded as a rate-limiting step that controls the Ni(OH)$_2$\leftrightarrow NiOOH
redox reaction in Ni-based electrodes.[54] In the scan rate range 60-100 mV s\(^{-1}\) (Figure 4j), both the
anodic and cathodic peak current (\(i_p\)) were found to be a linear function of the square root of scan rate
\((\nu^{1/2})\), inferring a diffusion-limited redox reaction within the NiSe\(_2\) and the bimetallic selenides. Thus,
according to the Randles–Sevcik equation, we investigated the diffusion coefficient (D) of the redox
limiting species within the electrodes using the following equation:[55]
\[
I_p = 2.69 \times 10^5 n^{3/2} A D^{1/2} C^{1/2} \nu^{1/2}
\]
where \(n\) and \(C\) are the electron transfer number (assumed to be 1) and the initial concentration of redox
species, respectively. The concentration of Ni(II) was found to be 3.97 g cm\(^{-3}\), thus we estimated the \(C\)
value to be 0.043 mol cm\(^{-3}\).[42,53] Based on this equation, the diffusion coefficient of the redox
limiting species in the Ni\(_{0.75}\)Co\(_{0.25}\)Se\(_2\) electrode was estimated at 1.2×10\(^{-9}\) cm\(^{2}\) s\(^{-1}\), an order of
magnitude above that of the other two Ni-Co-based selenide electrodes (Fig. 4i and S6), but well
below that of NiSe\(_2\), 5.0×10\(^{-9}\) cm\(^{2}\) s\(^{-1}\).

The mechanism of the partial ethanol oxidation to acetate involves two main steps: (1) Ni(OH)\(_2\)
activated to NiOOH, and (2) the subsequent oxidation of the adsorbed intermediate:[56–59]
\[
\begin{align*}
(1) \quad & \text{OH}^- + \text{Ni(OH)}_2 \rightarrow \text{NiOOH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{e}^- \\
(2) \quad & \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + 3\text{NiOOH} + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CHOO}^- + 3\text{Ni(OH)}_2
\end{align*}
\]
The Ni(OH)\(_2\) oxidation to NiOOH is generally considered to be the limiting step.[60] The partial
substitution of Ni by Co within the Ni\(_2\)Se certainly implies a slight reduction of the amount of surface
NiOOH, but much higher EOR current densities were obtained for Ni\(_{0.75}\)Co\(_{0.25}\)Se\(_2\) when compared with
NiSe\(_2\). This could be directly related to a higher surface area, better kinetics of NiOOH formation,
and/or more effective ethanol adsorption by the introduction of Co in the NiSe\(_2\) structure.[56]
Fig. 5. Electrochemical performance of the bimetallic selenide electrode in 1M KOH + 1M ethanol. (a) CA curves of continuous 2,000 s operation for Ni$_{0.75}$Co$_{0.25}$Se$_2$ NPs at each potential of 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, and 1.7 V. (b) IC profile at the end of each 2,000 s intervals. Inset shows the peaks at 4.6 min obtained at each potential. Black, red, blue, pink, and green curves were recorded at the 2,000th, 4,000th, 6,000th, 8,000th, and 10,000th s respectively. (c) Calculated acetate concentration and Faradaic efficiency after 2,000 s testing at each potential for Ni$_{0.75}$Co$_{0.25}$Se$_2$. (d) CA curves for the selenide over the entire composition range at 1.50 V for 10,000 s testing. (e,f) Corresponding current density change and faradaic efficiency.

Fig. 5a displays the CA test for Ni$_{0.75}$Co$_{0.25}$Se$_2$ electrode in 1M KOH + 1M ethanol at 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, and 1.7 V. After reacting for 2000 s, a small portion of the electrolyte was analyzed by IC (see details in the experimental section). As shown in Fig. 5b, the peak at 4.6 min in the IC profile was associated with acetate, which was the only identified product of the ethanol electrooxidation on Ni$_{0.75}$Co$_{0.25}$Se$_2$ electrodes. No acetate was detected at 1.3 V, where EOR was not activated on NiOOH. The cumulative acetate concentration in solution was quantified at 0.29, 1.08, 2.01, 2.77 mmol L$^{-1}$, corresponding to 9.2, 33.5, 61.1, and 82.6 μmol acetate produced at 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, and 1.7 V, respectively. If only considering the acetate production at each potential, the acetate concentration was found to be 0.29, 0.79, 0.93, and 0.75 mM, equaling to 9.2, 24.2, 27.7, and 21.4 μmol (Fig. 5c and Table S3). The acetate production increased with the applied potential, up to 1.6 V. Above this voltage,
competition with OER decreased the amount of acetate produced.

The Faradaic efficiency (FE) was calculated using the following equation:

\[
FE(\%) = \frac{\text{mol of product} \times n \times F}{\text{total charge passed}} \times 100\%
\]

where \( n \) is the electron transfer number (assumed to be 4 for the ethanol to acetate reaction), and \( F \) is the Faradaic constant (96485 C mol\(^{-1}\)).

For Ni\(_{0.75}\)Co\(_{0.25}\)Se\(_2\) electrodes, the lowest Faradaic efficiency for the ethanol to acetate was achieved at 1.4 V, and it was found to increase above 80% at 1.6 V, and then decrease at higher potentials due to the activation of the competing OER. More quantitatively, a total charge of 6.9, 12.2, 12.9, and 13.4 C passed through the electrode at 1.4 V, 1.5 V, 1.6 V and 1.7 V, which translated into a Faradaic efficiency of 51.9%, 76.6%, 82.9% and 61.7%, respectively (Table S3).

The long-term stability of the electrocatalyst was tested using CA at 1.5 V. As can be seen in Fig. 5d, almost all the electrodes suffered a rapid decay in current density for the first several minutes. Around 71.3%, 56.4, 90.6%, 90.4, and 64.6% of the initial current densities were lost after 1x10\(^4\) s operation (Fig. 5e). The activity loss is mainly associated with the accumulation of strongly adsorbed intermediate species poisoning the active surface sites. But changes in the surface chemistry of the electrode, including element rearrangement, surface aggregation of the elements and phase evolution in alkaline media at a high external potential could also play an important role.[61–63]

For the Ni\(_{0.75}\)Co\(_{0.25}\)Se\(_2\) electrode, a stable current density of 45 mA cm\(^{-2}\), corresponding to 1.2 A g\(^{-1}\), was sustained over the entire test after the initial rapid decay. IC analysis of the solution after 1x10\(^4\) s operation (Fig. S8) showed the produced acetate amount to reach 182.3 \( \mu \)mol for the Ni\(_{0.75}\)Co\(_{0.25}\)Se\(_2\) electrode, well above the values obtained for NiSe\(_2\) (80.2 \( \mu \)mol) and the other Ni-Co selenides (Table S3).
The rate of acetate production on the Ni$_{0.75}$Co$_{0.25}$Se$_2$ electrode was 0.34 mmol cm$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$. In terms of Faradaic efficiency, NiSe$_2$ reached 91.3%, and this value decreased with the incorporation of Co (Table S4).

CA at 1.5 V in 1M KOH showed the contribution of current not associated to EOR to increase with the Co addition, from 1.9% for NiSe$_2$ and 1.8% for Ni$_{0.75}$Co$_{0.25}$Se$_2$, to values well above 10% for materials containing higher amounts of Co (Fig. S7b and Table S4). Thus the decrease of Faradaic efficiency with the increase of the Co content was associated with the enhanced OER performance.

**Fig. 6.** (a) DFT optimized adsorbed intermediates on the Co-containing NiOOH surface of Ni$_{0.75}$Co$_{0.25}$Se$_2$ electrode, grey ball for Ni, blue for Co, red for O, orange for H, and wine for C. (b) Gibbs free energy diagrams for the ethanol conversion on NiOOH and Co-containing Ni–OOH surfaces.

The EOR electrocatalytic mechanism and the role of Co in the NiSe$_2$ electrode were systematically investigated by DFT. Since NiOOH is the active surface for EOR in alkaline solution, we built two slab models, NiOOH, and Co-substituted NiOOH. Fig. S9 and 6a show the adsorbed intermediates on
the NiOOH and Co-substituted NiOOH surface, respectively. Fig. 6b compares the corresponding Gibbs free energy diagram. The ethanol molecule adsorption energy was calculated to be -0.14 eV and -0.30 eV on the NiOOH and Co-substituted NiOOH surface, indicating stronger ethanol adsorption in the presence of cobalt. The presence of cobalt decreases the formation energy of the intermediate CH₃CH₂O through a dehydrogenation process, from 0.82 eV for NiOOH, to 0.69 eV for Co-substituted NiOOH. The conversion of CH₃CH₂O to CH₃CHO is energetically downwards for both catalysts, with a free energy change of -0.45 eV for and -0.32 eV for Co-substituted NiOOH. Both catalysts show a similar barrier (0.46 eV for NiOOH and 0.42 eV for Co-NiOOH) for the third dehydrogenation step, which results in adsorbed CH₃CO. This dehydrogenation process is generally accompanied by the reduction of NiOOH to Ni(OH)₂. Last, adsorbed CH₃CO is released when interacting with OH⁻ ions to form CH₃COOH.

Fig. 7. (a) Setup for the H-type cell with two compartments. (b) GC curves within 1 hour GC sampling at the cathode. (c) Calculated Faradaic efficiency for HER.

To detect and quantify the gas product generated at the cathode, an H-type cell with two compartments separated by a proton exchange membrane was used (Fig. 7a). The cell contained 1 M KOH and 1 M ethanol in both compartments. At the cathode side, high-purity Ar was used to carry the produced gas to the GC. As can be seen in Fig. 7b, two peaks were located at ca. 1.1 and 1.5 min, which were associated to molecular hydrogen and argon. By comparing with known standard hydrogen...
concentrations, the calculated Faradaic efficiency was determined to be nearly 100% (Fig. 7c), indicating that only hydrogen was produced on the cathode. In the absence and presence of ethanol in the electrolyte, a total charge of 1.6 C and 85.6 C passed through the Ni$_{0.75}$Co$_{0.25}$Se$_2$ electrode and the Pt wire, respectively (Table S4). In the presence of ethanol, over 50-fold higher hydrogen was produced during 10,000 s operation.

4. Conclusion

In summary, we developed a solution-based method to produce Ni$_{1-x}$Co$_x$Se$_2$ NPs with tuned metal ratios. The electrochemical performance of the materials was tested in 1 M KOH and 1 M KOH + 1 M ethanol aqueous electrolytes. CoSe$_2$ showed enhanced OER activity and NiSe$_2$ demonstrated a more efficient EOR. The incorporation of small amounts of Co to the NiSe$_2$ structure resulted in the highest EOR activities. DFT calculations showed that the presence of Co improved ethanol adsorption and decreased the barrier for ethanol dehydrogenation. A current density up to 82.3 mA cm$^{-2}$ was achieved for Ni$_{0.75}$Co$_{0.25}$Se$_2$ in 1 M KOH + 1 M ethanol electrolyte at 1.55 V, which is twofold higher than that obtained for NiSe$_2$. Over 10,000s testing, the optimized electrocatalyst delivered an average acetate production rate of 0.34 mmol cm$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$. Additionally, the ethanol to acetate faradaic efficiency was determined as 82.3%. This work provides a cost-effective approach to the high Faradaic efficiency electrochemical reforming of ethanol with acetate co-production. This work is also an excellent example for other small molecules oxidation and conversion into valuable chemicals.
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