RESEARCH



Severity of post-Roux-en-Y gastric bypass dumping syndrome and weight loss outcomes: is there any correlation?

Mohammad Kermansaravi^{1,2} · Shahab ShahabiShahmiri^{1,2} · Ramon Vilallonga³ · Barmak Gholizadeh^{1,4} · Amir Hossein DavarpanahJazi¹ · Yeganeh Farsi⁴ · Rohollah Valizadeh^{1,5} · Masoud Rezvani⁶

Received: 21 April 2022 / Accepted: 19 October 2022 / Published online: 6 January 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Purpose The present research was conducted to evaluate the effect of the severity of dumping syndrome (DS) on weight loss outcomes after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) in patients with class III obesity.

Methods The present retrospective cohort study used the dumping symptom rating scale (DSRS) to evaluate the severity of DS and its correlation with weight loss outcomes in 207 patients 1 year after their RYGB. The patients were assigned to group A with mild-to-moderate DS or group B with severe DS.

Results The mean age of the patients was 42.18 ± 10.46 years and their mean preoperative BMI 42.74 ± 5.59 kg/m². The total weight loss percentage (%TWL) in group B was insignificantly higher than that in group A, but besides that was not significantly different in the two groups.

Conclusion The present findings suggested insignificant relationships between the presence and severity of DS after RYGB and adequate postoperative weight loss.

Keywords RYGB · Dumping syndrome · Weight loss · Gastric bypass · Bariatric surgery

Mohammad Kermansaravi mkermansaravi@yahoo.com; Kermansaravi.m@iums.ac.ir

Ramon Vilallonga vilallongapuy@gmail.com

¹ Department of Surgery, Minimally Invasive Surgery Research Center, Division of Minimally Invasive and Bariatric Surgery, School of Medicine, Rasool-E Akram Hospital, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

² Iran National Center of Excellence for Minimally Invasive Surgery Education, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

- ³ Obesity and Metabolic Surgery Unit, Vall Hebron Campus Hospital, Barcelona, Spain
- ⁴ Department of General Surgery, Shahid Modarres Hospital, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- ⁵ Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran
- ⁶ Department of Surgery, Davis Hwy, Inova Fair Oaks Hospital, 14904 JeffersonSuite 205, Woodbridge, VA, USA

Purpose

Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) is currently one of the most frequent bariatric surgeries performed worldwide [1]. Dumping syndrome (DS) potentially occurring within the first 3 months of RYGB is characterized by unpleasant gastrointestinal symptoms, including abdominal pain, nausea and diarrhea, and vasomotor symptoms such as palpitation, hypotension, sweating, dizziness, fatigue, and flushing [2, 3]. DS is categorized as early and late with different etiologies. Early DS mostly occurring 10–30 min after meals through rapid emptying of hyperosmolar ingestion into the jejunum is caused by the absence of the pylorus in the alimentary tract [4]. Late DS occurring 1–3 h after meals due to high-insulin response after ingestion of high carbohydrate meals emerges as postprandial hypoglycemia [5].

Severe DS can theoretically cause the patient to avoid high-fat or high-carbohydrate foods, which is in line with dieticians' nutritional orders, helps with weight loss and prevents weight regain after RYGB [6–8]. Given the rarely conducted studies on the effects of DS on weight loss outcomes after bariatric procedures [7, 8], the present study aimed at evaluating correlations between DS severity and weight loss outcomes 1 year after RYGB.

Methods

Design and setting

This retrospective cohort study recruited 207 patients undergoing primary RYGB at Rasool-e-Akram Hospital, Tehran, Iran, from January 2019 to August 2020. The inclusion criteria comprised a minimum age of 18 years and BMI>40 kg/ m^2 or BMI > 35 kg/M² and obesity-related comorbidities. The data extracted from the Iran National Obesity Surgery Database (INOSD) [9] included comorbidities and demographic and anthropometric information. Symptoms of DS were recorded through phone interviews with eligible candidates using the early dumping symptom rating scale (DSRS) within 1 year after the operation [10]. The exclusion criteria consisted of revisional and conversional RYGB and history of upper gastrointestinal surgeries. Out of the 11 items in the DSRS, nine relate to the symptoms emerging within 10-30 min after meals, one relates to the symptoms emerging after drinking during meals, and one to the symptoms after very sweet drinks. The severity of each symptom in the previous week was rated on a 7-point scale ranging from 1 "no trouble at all" to 7 "very severe problems." The frequency of dumping symptoms within the previous 2 weeks was also measured on a 6-point scale ranging from 1 "no trouble at all" to 6 "several times a day." In terms of severity, the patients with "no trouble at all," "minor inconvenience," "mild trouble," or "moderate trouble" were assigned to group A and those with "quite severe problems," "severe problems," or "very severe problems" to group B. In terms of frequency, the patients with "no trouble at all," "less than once a week," "once a week," or "a few times a week" were allocated to group A (less than once a day) and those with "once a day" or "several times a day" to group B (at least once a day).

Surgical technique

An experienced surgical team performed long laparoscopic biliopancreatic RYGB in the French position. After creating a 6-cm slim gastric pouch with about 40–60 ml volume, a posterior gastro-jejunostomy was created with a 30-mm linear endo-stapler about 125 cm after the ligament of Treitz (long BP). A 45-mm jejuno-jejunal anastomosis was then created using the linear endo-stapler and a 75-cm Roux limb. Both Petersen and jejuno-jejunal defects were closed with non-absorbable sutures at the end of the operation.

Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocol was applied to all the included patients and their majority was discharged within the first day after the surgery. All the patients were followed-up 10 days and 1, 3, 6, and 12 months after the surgery by the surgical, nutritionist, and multi-disciplinary team members.

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed in SPSS-21 at a P < 0.05 significance level. After confirming the distribution normality of the variables using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, the relationships of the main factors with the outcomes were investigated using the independent *t* test and linear regression. The data were expressed as descriptive statistics, i.e., frequency and percentage, or mean ± SD.

Results

The mean age of the patients was 42.18 ± 10.46 years, their mean preoperative BMI 42.74 ± 5.59 kg/m² and 89.4% (n=185) were female. Table 1 presents baseline variables, i.e., diabetes mellitus (T2DM), obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), cardiovascular disease (CVD), gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), hypertension (HTN), and dyslipidemia (DLP).

Table 2 shows the difference for TWL% in the groups A and B regarding the severity of the measured items. These TWL% were not significantly different (P > 0.05) but fatigue and cramp.

Table 3 shows differences in %TWL between groups A and B by the frequency of the measured items. Although %TWL was insignificantly different (P > 0.05), nausea or vomiting and cold sweats were significantly different between the two groups.

 Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of the studied patients

Variable	Value			
Age, mean \pm SD (range), year	42.18±10.46 (21–72)			
Female sex, no. (%)	185 (89.4)			
Pre-op BMI, mean \pm SD (range), kg/m ²	42.74±5.59 (31.08–55.68)			
T2DM, no. (%)	38 (18.4)			
OSA, no. (%)	81 (39.1)			
CVD, no. (%)	4 (1.9)			
HTN, no. (%)	47 (22.7)			
DLP, no. (%)	61 (29.5)			
GERD, no. (%)	55 (26.57)			

BMI body mass index, *SD* standard deviation, *T2DM* type 2 diabetes mellitus, *OSA* obstructive sleep apnea, *CVD* cardiovascular disease, *GERD* gastroesophageal reflux disease, *HTN* hypertension, *DLP* dyslipidemia.

Table 2 Comparison of TWL%by the severity of the dumpingsyndrome

Item		%TWL	P value
		Mean \pm SD	
Fatigue 10–30 min after meals	Group A	33.06 ± 1.20	0.017
	Group B	32.52 ± 1.49	
Palpitations 10-30 min after meals	Group A	32.90 ± 1.30	0.276
	Group B	33.13 ± 1.22	
Sweating/flushing 10-30 min after meals	Group A	32.91 ± 1.26	0.367
	Group B	33.11 ± 1.37	
Cold sweats/paleness	Group A	33.00 ± 1.20	0.145
	Group B	32.62 ± 1.71	
Need to lie down for a while	Group A	32.97 ± 1.21	0.694
	Group B	32.89 ± 1.46	
Diarrhea 10–30 min after meals	Group A	32.96 ± 1.30	0.831
	Group B	32.90 ± 1.20	
Nausea/vomiting 10-30 min after meals	Group A	38.94 ± 1.22	0.777
	Group B	33.00 ± 1.53	
Stomach cramps 10-30 min after meals	Group A	33.05 ± 1.21	0.023
	Group B	32.53 ± 1.52	
Fainting esteem/shaking 10-30 min after meals	Group A	32.99 ± 1.22	0.438
	Group B	32.78 ± 1.63	
Pain/vomiting after drinking fluids in moderate amount	Group A	33.03 ± 1.26	0.320
	Group B	32.86 ± 1.30	
Sudden abdominal problems, faint, or fatigue after drinking	Group A	32.96 ± 1.22	0.921
heavily sweetened fluids	Group B	32.94 ± 1.37	

*Group A: mild to moderate, **Group B: severe

lable 4 Comparing %TWL by the severity
--

Severity		N	Mean \pm SD	P value
%TWL	Mild-moderate (11–44)	187	32.95±1.29	0.959
	Severe (more than 44)	20	32.96 ± 1.16	

Table 3	Comparing %TWL by the DS frequency	

Item		%TWL Mean±SD	P value
Fatigue	Group A	33.00 ± 1.19	0.052
	Group B	32.39 ± 1.97	
Palpitations	Group A	32.98 ± 1.29	0.104
	Group B	32.27 ± 0.81	
Sweating/flushing	Group A	32.97 ± 1.29	0.331
	Group B	32.54 ± 0.85	
Cold sweats/paleness	Group A	33.00 ± 1.20	0.001
	Group B	31.26 ± 2.43	
Need to lie down	Group A	32.98 ± 1.18	0.357
	Group B	32.73 ± 1.94	
Diarrhea	Group A	32.95 ± 1.28	0.679
	Group B	33.17 ± 1.59	
Nausea/vomiting	Group A	33.00 ± 1.20	0.009
	Group B	31.80 ± 2.45	
Stomach cramps	Group A	32.96 ± 1.30	0.603
	Group B	32.72 ± 0.79	
Fainting/shaking	Group A	32.96 ± 1.12	0.854
	Group B	32.91 ± 1.68	

Table 5 Comparing %TWL by the frequency of DS

Frequenc	N		Mean \pm SD	P value	
%TWL	Mild-to-moderate (9–27)	193	32.95 ± 1.29	0.929	
	Severe (more than 27)	14	32.98 ± 1.15		

Tables 4 and 5 show insignificant differences in %TWL between the two groups by the severity and frequency of DS (P > 0.05), it should be noted that both Tables 4 and 5 included 207 patients (187 + 20 patients = 207 in Table 4 and 193 + 14 = 207 patients in Table 5), but the difference in the "mild-to-moderate" and "severe" groups is related to the assessment of the DS in terms of "severity" or "frequency," e.g., severe score in Table 4 is more than 44 but in Table 5 is more than 27 indeed type of scoring is different so it is rational to have different patients in each group. Eleven severity items scored 1–7 (total score = 11–77) were

considered mild-to-moderate (11-44) or severe (more than 44). Nine frequency items scored 1–6 (total score = 9–54) were also considered mild-to-moderate (9–27) or severe (more than 27).

According to Table 6, gender, age, DS, and comorbidities such as T2DM, DLP, HTN, and OSA at 1-year follow-up were not correlated with %TWL. Intractable DS was not observed in any of the patients, which required reversal to normal anatomy or sleeve, and all the patients were treated with conservative managements including diet modifications and medical treatment.

Discussion

Dumping syndrome is a well-known sequel of Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB). Studies have reported a prevalence of DS ranging from 3 to 80% after LRYGB [4, 5, 11–13]. DS can also be seen after non-anastomotic bariatric procedures, such as sleeve gastrectomy (SG). DS after SG may occur in up to 40% of patients [4, 5, 11–13].

In summary, our study showed that the mean age and pre-op BMI of the patients were 42.18 ± 10.46 years and 42.74 ± 5.59 kg/m², respectively. Study groups of "mild to moderate" and "severe" were not significantly different (P > 0.05) regarding %TWL but fatigue and cramp. Regarding frequency of "less than 1 time per day" and "once and more than 1 time per day," %TWL was not significantly different (P > 0.05) but nausea vomiting feelings and cold sweats. It should be noted that severity with 11 questions ranging from 1 to 7 (minimum total score, 11; maximum total score, 77) was divided into mild to moderate (score, 11-44) and severe (more than 44). The frequency with 9 questions ranging from 1 to 6 (minimum total score, 9; maximum total score, 54) was divided into mild to moderate (score, 9–27) and severe (more than 27). None of the comorbidities such as T2DM, dyslipidemia, HTN, and sleep apnea at 1-year follow-up, gender, and age as well as dumping syndromes were correlated with the changes of %TWL. None of

Variable

the patients included had intractable DS which could require reversal to normal anatomy or sleeve gastrectomy.

According to the DSRS self-assessment questionnaire, we measured the severity and frequency of nine dumping symptoms. In our study, as explained, subjects were classified based on the results of the DSRS questionnaire into two groups: mild to moderate and severe dumping syndrome. The incidence of severe DS in our study population following LRYGB was 20 cases (10%) while the other 187 cases (90%) complained of mild to moderate dumping syndrome. Similarly, in Laurenius et al.'s study among 129 patients post-LRYGB, 12% reported severe dumping problems based on the DSRS questionnaire [10].

The DS was thought to induce changes in food preference, as initially, it was considered as a useful characteristic of the RYGB to "teach" patients to avoid calorie-dense foods and thus consume fewer calories. However, an unlikely explanation as most patients with severe dumping still reports that they have learned to consume only small quantities that do not cause negative visceral symptoms or consume sweets at night before bedtime.

The present study did not find relationships between DS and weight loss. In addition to the insignificantly-higher %TWL in group B (severe DS) than in group A (mild-tomoderate), the difference between the two groups was insignificant in terms of %TWL at one-year follow-up by the severity and frequency of each measured dumping symptom. Given the lack of differences in the eating habit of the two groups, the patients might have resumed their preoperative diet.

Several published studies could not show any significant association between dumping and concomitant weight loss. A study by Banerjee et al. recruiting 50 patients undergoing RYGB [13] found higher decreases in the mean BMI in the patients without DS compared to in those with DS 1 year (18.5 versus 14.4) and 2 years (17.8 versus 13.7) after the RYGB. In contrast, in Looveren et al. study on 100 consecutive patients who underwent revisional RYGB, due to inadequate weight loss or band intolerance after laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding (LAGB), fifty-five patients

Standard-

t

P value

Table 6Predictive factors for%TWL obtained using linearregression

		coefficient		ficient		
		В	Std. error	Beta		
Age	To predict %TWL	0.012	0.014	0.110	0.832	0.409
Gender	To predict %TWL	-0.843	0.424	-0.248	-1.988	0.052
T2DM at 1-year follow-up	To predict %TWL	0.158	0.484	0.044	0.327	0.745
Dyslipidemia at 1-year follow-up	To predict %TWL	-0.606	0.358	-0.227	-1.693	0.096
Hypertension at 1-year follow-up	To predict %TWL	0.022	0.468	0.007	0.047	0.962
OSA at 1-year follow-up	To predict %TWL	0.951	0.848	0.140	1.121	0.267

Non-standardized

(59.1%) suffered from dumping. Based on the findings in their patient group, we suggest that dumping helps patients achieve sustainable weight loss. Therefore, dumping can be regarded as a positive sequel rather than a complication [8].

A study by Laurenius et al. on early dumping found patients to consider DS a shield against over-consumption despite their unpleasant symptoms [14–17]. Few studies on late DS also reported associations between the symptoms of post-bariatric hypoglycemia and weight regain [7, 15, 18].

Different degrees of DS were observed in all the present study patients after RYGB. Mild-to-moderate DS might have been, however, under-reported in the absence of specific screening tools.

The present study limitations comprised failure to perform objective tests to confirm DS given the limitation of using questionnaires. This study relied on patients' phoneinterview self-reports in the DSRS to diagnose DS. In addition, DSRS does not differentiate between early and late dumping syndromes, but is rather screening for early dumping symptoms. As the best predictor of overall health, long-term weight loss and weight maintenance, dietary habits were not investigated. It is well known that the dietary habits of an individual are the best predictors of their overall health and long-term weight loss and weight maintenance. DS after gastric bypass was found not to guarantee adequate weight loss.

In conclusion, the risk of DS causes significant apprehension in patients, even to the point of declining surgery preoperatively in some cases. DS and its severity were found not to help with weight loss. Dietary habit modification appeared the optimal predictor of weight loss in the long-run.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00423-022-02736-w.

Author contributions Conceptualization: Mohammad Kermansaravi, Shahab ShahabiShahmiri, and Barmak Gholizadeh; Methodology: Rohollah Valizadeh, Yeganeh Farsi, and Masoud Rezvani; Formal analysis and investigation: Rohollah Valizadeh, Mohammad Kermansaravi, Amir Hossein DavarpanahJazi, and Yeganeh Farsi; Original draft preparation: Mohammad Kermansaravi, Barmak Gholizadeh, and Ramon Vilallonga; Reviewing and editing: Mohammad Kermansaravi and Amir Hossein Davarpanah; Supervision: Ramon Vilallonga, Masoud Rezvani, and Mohammad Kermansaravi. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding Open Access Funding provided by Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona.

Data availability The datasets generated and/or analyzed in the present study are made available by the corresponding author upon a reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethical approval The present research was performed based on the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran (IR.IUMS. REC.1400.684). Written informed consent was obtained from all the participants, and ethical issues, including plagiarism, data fabrication, and double publication, were completely observed by the authors.

Consent for publication All the authors reviewed and approved the final version of the articles and agreed to its publication.

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Munoz-Flores F, Rodriguez-Quintero JH, Pechman D, Creange C, Zenilman A, Choi J, Moran-Atkin E, Lima DL, Camacho D (2022) Weight loss one year after laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass is not dependent on the type of gastrojejunal anastomosis. Surg Endosc 36(1):787–792. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00464-021-08288-2
- Van Beek A, Emous M, Laville M, Tack J (2017) Dumping syndrome after esophageal, gastric or bariatric surgery: pathophysiology, diagnosis, and management. Obes Rev 18(1):68–85
- Bettini S, Belligoli A, Fabris R, Busetto L (2020) Diet approach before and after bariatric surgery. Rev Endocr Metab Disord 21(3):297–306
- Berg P, McCallum R (2016) Dumping syndrome: a review of the current concepts of pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment. Dig Dis Sci 61(1):11–18
- Abdeen G, le Roux CW (2016) Mechanism underlying the weight loss and complications of Roux-en-y gastric bypass. Review Obesity surgery 26(2):410–421
- Reichmann MTF, Todeschini S, Setter N, Vilela RM, Radominski RB (2019) Comparison of the dietary intake amongst women in the late postoperative period after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass with the bariatric food pyramidof dietary intake with reference values among women in late postoperative of Roux-y-en gastric bypass. Nutr Hosp 36(3):599–603
- Biter LU, Leeman M, Friskes I, der Kinderen M, Apers JA, Dunkelgrun M et al (2020) The prognostic value of the Dutch sweet eating questionnaire on weight loss after metabolic surgery: a randomized controlled trial. Obes Surg 30(7):2497–2504
- Van Looveren R, Mandeville Y, Logghe P, Vandendriessche K, Verbeke X, Vuylsteke P et al (2018) The effect of dumping on weight loss in conversion of failed restrictive surgery: a crosssectional pilot study. Obes Surg 28(3):665–670
- Kermansaravi M, Shahmiri SS, Khalaj A, Jalali SM, Amini M, Alamdari NM et al (2022) The first web-based Iranian National Obesity and Metabolic Surgery Database (INOSD). Obes Surg 32(6):2083–2086

- Laurenius A, Olbers T, Näslund I, Karlsson J (2013) Dumping syndrome following gastric bypass: validation of the dumping symptom rating scale. Obes Surg 23(6):740–755
- Ahmad A, Kornrich DB, Krasner H, Eckardt S, Ahmad Z, Braslow A et al (2019) Prevalence of dumping syndrome after laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy and comparison with laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. Obes Surg 29(5):1506–1513
- Nielsen JB, Pedersen AM, Gribsholt SB, Svensson E, Richelsen B (2016) Prevalence, severity, and predictors of symptoms of dumping and hypoglycemia after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. Surg Obesity Related Dis: Official J Am Soc Bariat Surg 12(8):1562–1568
- Banerjee A, Ding Y, Mikami DJ, Needleman BJ (2013) The role of dumping syndrome in weight loss after gastric bypass surgery. Surg Endosc 27(5):1573–1578
- Laurenius A, Engström M (2016) Early dumping syndrome is not a complication but a desirable feature of Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery. Clinical obesity 6(5):332–340
- 15. Varma S, Clark JM, Schweitzer M, Magnuson T, Brown TT, Lee CJ (2017) Weight regain in patients with symptoms of

post-bariatric surgery hypoglycemia. Surg Obesity Related Dis: Official J Am Soc Bariat Surg 13(10):1728–1734

- Miras AD, le Roux CW (2010) Bariatric surgery and taste: novel mechanisms of weight loss. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 26(2):140–145
- 17. Deitel M (2008) The change in the dumping syndrome concept. Obes Surg 18(12):1622–1624
- Emous M, Wolffenbuttel BHR, van Dijk G, Totté E, van Beek AP (2018) Long-term self-reported symptom prevalence of early and late dumping in a patient population after sleeve gastrectomy, primary, and revisional gastric bypass surgery. Surg Obesity Related Dis: Official J Am Soc Bariat Surg 14(8):1173–1181

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.