

PERSPECTIVE

Gaps in clinical research in frontotemporal dementia: A call for diversity and disparities-focused research

Sanne Franzen¹ | Karen Nuytemans² | Renelle Bourdage^{1,3} | Paulo Caramelli⁴ |
 Ratnavalli Ellajosyula^{5,6} | Elizabeth Finger^{7,8,9} | Ignacio Illán-Gala^{10,11} |
 Samantha M. Loi^{12,13} | Darby Morhardt¹⁴ | Yolande Pijnenburg^{15,16} |
 Katya Rascovsky¹⁷ | Monique M. Williams¹⁸ | Jennifer S. Yokoyama^{19,20} |
 Suvarna Alladi²¹ | Yavuz Ayhan²² | Iris Broce^{19,23} | Sheila Castro-Suarez^{24,25} |
 Kristy Coleman⁷ | Leonardo Cruz de Souza²⁶ | Penny A. Dacks²⁷ | Sterre C. M. de
 Boer^{15,16} | Jessica de Leon¹⁹ | Shana Dodge²⁷ | Stephanie Grasso²⁸ | Veer Gupta²⁹ |
 Vivek Gupta³⁰ | Nupur Ghoshal³¹ | Vidyulata Kamath³² | Fiona Kumfor³³ |
 Jordi A. Matias-Guiu³⁴ | Pauline Narme³ | T. Rune Nielsen³⁵ | Daniel Okhuevbie^{36,37} |
 Stefanie D. Piña-Escudero^{19,25} | Ramiro Ruiz Garcia^{7,9,38} | Marta Scarioni^{15,39} |
 Andrea Slachevsky^{40,41,42,43} | Aida Suarez-Gonzalez⁴⁴ | Boon Lead Tee^{19,25,45} |
 Elena Tsoy^{19,25} | Hülya Ulugut^{15,16,19} | Ganesh M. Babulal^{46,47,48,49} |
 Chiadi U. Onyike³² | for the ISTAART FTD PIA and ISTAART Diversity and Disparities PIA

Correspondence

Sanne Franzen, neuropsychologist and postdoctoral researcher, Department of Neurology and Alzheimer Center, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Nf-331, Dr Molewaterplein 40, 3015 GD Rotterdam, the Netherlands.
 E-mail: s.franzen@erasmusmc.nl

Abstract

Frontotemporal dementia (FTD) is one of the leading causes of dementia before age 65 and often manifests as abnormal behavior (in behavioral variant FTD) or language impairment (in primary progressive aphasia). FTD's exact clinical presentation varies by culture, language, education, social norms, and other socioeconomic factors; current research and clinical practice, however, is mainly based on studies conducted in North America and Western Europe. Changes in diagnostic criteria and procedures as well as new or adapted cognitive tests are likely needed to take into consideration global diversity. This perspective paper by two professional interest areas of the Alzheimer's

Sanne Franzen and Karen Nuytemans have shared first authorship. Ganesh M. Babulal and Chiadi U. Onyike have shared last authorship.

Funding information: ZonMW, Grant/Award Number: 73305095007; Health Holland, Topsector Life Sciences & Health, Grant/Award Number: LSHM20106; GBHI, Alzheimer's Association, and Alzheimer's Society, Grant/Award Numbers: UK-21-720973, AACSF-21-850193; Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Grant/Award Numbers: JR20/0018, PI21/00791; NIH-NIA, Grant/Award Numbers: R01 AG062588, R01 AG057234, P30 AG062422, R01AG068183, R01AG056466, R01AG07428, R01AG074302; NIH-NINDS, Grant/Award Number: U54 NS123985; Rainwater Charitable Foundation; Global Brain Health Institute; Mary Oakley Foundation; NIDCD, Grant/Award Number: K23 DC018021; National Health and Medical Research Council Career Development Fellowship, Grant/Award Number: GNT1158762; ANID/FONDAP/ID15150012; ANID/FONDEF/ID22110251; ANID/Fondecyt Regular/1210195 & 1191726; ANID/PIA/Anillos, Grant/Award Number: ACT210096; National Institutes of Health, National Institutes of Aging, Grant/Award Number: R01 AG057234; Alzheimer's Association, Grant/Award Number: SG-20-725707; Tau Consortium; Alzheimer's Association GBHI ALZ, Grant/Award Number: UK-20-639295; UKRI Healthy Ageing Challenge Catalyst Award, Grant/Award Number: ES/W006405/1; National Institute for Health Research, Grant/Award Numbers: COV-LT2-0014, ES/S010467/1; Economic and Social Research Council (UK); Alzheimer's Association Clinician Scientist Fellowship (AACSF), Grant/Award Number: AACSF-22-849085; BrightFocus Foundation, Grant/Award Number: A20211425

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2023 The Authors. *Alzheimer's & Dementia* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of Alzheimer's Association.

Association International Society to Advance Alzheimer's Research and Treatment examines how increasing global diversity impacts the clinical presentation, screening, assessment, and diagnosis of FTD and its treatment and care. It subsequently provides recommendations to address immediate needs to advance global FTD research and clinical practice.

KEYWORDS

cultural diversity, diagnosis, ethnicity, frontotemporal dementia, language, literacy, neuropsychological tests, primary progressive aphasia

1 | INTRODUCTION

Frontotemporal dementia (FTD) comprises neurodegenerative disorders usually characterized by onset in middle age or earlier and heterogeneity in the clinical presentations, neuropathological features, and genetic linkages. The canonical syndromes are defined by abnormal behaviors or defective language and communication, which have been codified in formal diagnostic criteria.^{1,2} The behavioral variant FTD (bvFTD) is defined by aberrant temperament, judgment, self-control, and conduct. Two language variants have been defined: non-fluent variant primary progressive aphasia (nfvPPA), which is characterized by labored, dysfluent and agrammatical speech, and difficulty understanding sentences; and semantic variant primary progressive aphasia (svPPA), in which speech is fluent but empty due to anomia and agnosia for words and objects. Other phenotypes have been described, featuring cognitive deficits (especially executive, attention, and language dysfunctions) alongside motor symptoms (apraxia and parkinsonism).³ FTD, defined in terms of the behavioral and language phenotypes in epidemiological studies, is a leading cause of young-onset dementia.

FTD appears to affect individuals of all races, ethnicities, and cultures, with incidence reports in > 30 population-based studies from many research and clinical centers in different world regions.⁴ However, the impact of ethnic and cultural diversity in FTD care and research is often overlooked. Given that FTD mainly manifests as deficits in social behavior and communication it is reasonable to surmise that the wide global ethnocultural diversity—with > 3800 cultures and > 6000 different languages⁵—results in disparities in FTD clinical practice and research across the world.

This article focuses on the intersection between ethnocultural diversity and clinical research and practice. It is now widely acknowledged that aspects of diversity, which encompass differences in language, social norms, socioeconomic status (SES), and education, influence the performance and outcomes of cognitive and behavioral assessments. As such, ethnocultural diversity can be expected to influence all aspects of the FTD clinical process, including help-seeking, access to health care, diagnostic practice, and treatment.⁴ It is also to be noted that the FTD clinical research literature has relied heavily on data from individuals of European descent living in North America, Western Europe, and Australia—owing to advantages in social and medical capital, expertise, expendable resources, and public health

priorities.⁶ Furthermore, in most low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), poverty, low literacy, cultural norms, and local practices are barriers to neurodegenerative disease research.^{7–9}

Today, diagnostic and monitoring tools, standard-of-care practices, and preferred treatments mainly reflect what has been learned from research and published, without systematic adaptations that take into account the worldwide disparities in local context, knowledge, expertise, and resources. There is, for example, a need for clinical assessment instruments adapted or adaptable to differing social and linguistic contexts, to facilitate case detection, diagnosis, clinical care, and research.

Two Professional Interest Area (PIA) groups supported by the Alzheimer's Association International Society to Advance Alzheimer's Research and Treatment (ISTAART), that is, the Frontotemporal Dementia and Diversity and Disparities PIAs, convened a workgroup to bring together international expertise for the purpose of examining and addressing questions about diversity and equity in current FTD research and care. Here, we examine what is known of how diversity in culture, language, education, SES, and other factors impact the clinical presentation and diagnosis of FTD and its subsequent treatment and care. We conclude by describing next steps and recommendations for future research.

2 | CLINICAL FEATURES

2.1 | Clinical presentation and diagnostic challenges in diverse populations

Ascertaining a clinical diagnosis of FTD is challenging. First, the wide familiarity with Alzheimer's disease (AD) dementia may cause patients, caregivers, and even clinicians to implicitly associate dementia with memory deficits—which are not considered among the core features of FTD syndromes. Most formal cognitive assessments focus heavily on detecting memory impairment; assessment of social cognition and behavior, which are often impaired in FTD, is rarely included in routine cognitive assessments.^{10,11} Moreover, bvFTD is frequently confounded with primary psychiatric disorders due to overlap in initial symptoms and its young age of onset—≈50% of bvFTD patients receive a psychiatric diagnosis prior to the bvFTD diagnosis.¹² Furthermore,

dementia is generally underrecognized and undertreated in LMICs and in underrepresented populations in high-income countries.^{13,14} This lack of recognition, diagnosis, and related treatment is likely due to the small number of medical specialists, particularly psychiatrists and neurologists, as well as due to the limited training these specialists have received in identifying bvFTD specifically.

Depending on the ethnocultural context, FTD may be associated with longer illness duration, or a more advanced clinical state (more severe brain atrophy, lower cognitive test scores, and more florid symptomatology) at presentation.^{15–18} In other words, later diagnosis of FTD is common in many underrepresented populations.^{15,17,19,20} These delays are due to factors at the level of the individual/family, and those at the level of the medical/health system (summarized in Table 1). In preparation for a 2021 externally led Patient-Focused Drug Development meeting on FTD, The Association for Frontotemporal Degeneration and the FTD Disorders Registry collaborated on the FTD Insights Survey, a community-based online survey of nearly 1800 diagnosed patients, care partners, and family members designed to better

TABLE 1 Individual and clinical barriers to FTD diagnosis in diverse populations.

Individual and family barriers to diagnosis	Medical and health system barriers to diagnosis
Lack of awareness in the population ^{a,15,17,20–22}	Lack of awareness in clinicians ^{a,7,22–25}
Poor education ^{a,b,26,27}	Disregard for expressed concerns ^{a,21}
Attributing dementia to normal aging ^{a,b,20,21}	Misinterpretation of behavioral symptoms by clinicians ¹⁷
Associating dementia with amnesia ^{15,21}	Lack of social cognition assessment tools based on local cultural norms ²⁸
Stigma against mental illness ^{a,15,29–31}	MMSE limited cognitive assessment and lack of validation of detailed cognitive tests ^{a,32}
Tendency to talk to religious leaders instead of doctors ^{a,21}	Limited time for patient assessment and lack of trained neuropsychologists ^{a,24,32}
Considering symptoms not important enough to address in clinical settings ^{a,15,17,21}	Difficulties in accessing high-cost diagnostic tools such as biomarkers, genetic screening, or PET scans ^{a,13,32}
Focusing on cognitive/motor symptoms or considering behavioral changes less important or secondary features ^{15,17}	Barriers in research; poor support from the governments, fewer funding opportunities for research, and negative beliefs/attitudes toward brain (organ) donation ^{a,33}
Lack of medical insurance or knowledge for the use of services ^{a,15,21,34}	Diagnostic criteria that do not reflect global diversity ^{35,36}

Abbreviations: FTD, frontotemporal dementia; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; PET, positron emission tomography.

^aThis barrier also applies to dementia in general.

^bThis barrier can also be present in medical and health systems.

RESEARCH IN CONTEXT

- Systematic Review:** The authors reviewed the literature on diversity and disparities in behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD) and primary progressive aphasia (PPA) research using traditional sources (e.g., PubMed).
- Interpretation:** Experts from the Diversity and Disparities and the Frontotemporal Dementia Professional Interest Areas of the International Society to Advance Alzheimer's Disease and Treatment (ISTAART) outline critical gaps in knowledge of how diversity in culture, language, education, and socioeconomic factors among others, impacts clinical presentation, recognition, and diagnosis of bvFTD and PPA, as well as subsequent treatment and care.
- Future Directions:** Future research should be aimed at (1) increasing global awareness and recognition of bvFTD and PPA, (2) modifying current diagnostic criteria and diagnostic procedures, (3) developing more/sensitive cognitive tests to diagnose bvFTD and PPA in diverse populations, (4) increasing enrollment of patients from underrepresented groups in FTD clinical trials, (5) conducting more research into inclusive caregiver interventions.

understand the lived experience of FTD in the United States, Canada, and United Kingdom. This survey revealed that, among patients who received a diagnosis of FTD, the subset of Black/African American and Latino/Latina respondents took longer and consulted with more doctors to obtain their diagnosis.^{37,38} It has also been shown that neighborhood-level disadvantage (i.e., low access to care) occurs in association with a lower likelihood of receiving standard diagnostic tests (e.g., structural neuroimaging, laboratory studies³⁹).

The cultural context also influences the expression and interpretation of cognitive and behavioral symptoms.^{28,40} For example, studies from India and Japan have shown their bvFTD patients to have a higher frequency of use and imitation behaviors compared to studies from North America or Europe.^{16,41} It has been observed that alterations in eating behaviors occur at similar frequencies in bvFTD patients in Japan and the UK, but, in contrast to the UK, are not associated with severe weight gain in Japan due to culture-related differences in diet.⁴² Comparisons of attitudes regarding bvFTD in Turkey, Greece, and the United States suggest that, at first, behavioral symptoms are often accepted as normal behavior in Greece and Turkey, and therefore overlooked.¹⁷ These examples illustrate the challenges in framing awareness, public health messages, and diagnostic practices in ways that maximize case identification and access to care in different ethnocultural contexts.

Interest in the potential impact of biological sex in the clinical presentation of FTD is increasingly being studied. The existence of

both patient- and study partner-related biases associated with sex and gender may influence the diagnosis of the behavioral variant and language variants of FTD, possibly withholding an accurate representation of both sexes. But other biological factors like those noted in AD or amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) may also play a role.^{43,44} The clinical presentation, longitudinal decline, and cortical thickness in bvFTD has recently been characterized by Illán-Gala et al.,⁴⁵ showing that at diagnosis, women with bvFTD showed a more severe frontotemporal atrophy burden compared to men despite showing similar clinical characteristics. Altogether, these studies warrant clinicians and researchers to be aware of the existence of sex-linked differences in the clinical presentation of FTD and its possible impact on diagnostics and prognostics.

2.2 | Language diversity in primary progressive aphasia

The global diversity in languages presents us with challenges in the recognition and diagnosis of language impairment across the FTD spectrum, but particularly in the primary progressive aphasias (PPAs). Many studies have demonstrated that language typology influences PPA symptomatology. The most frequently reported feature is the over-regularization phenomenon, one of the core linguistic features in the formal diagnostic criteria for svPPA.² In English language speakers, this phenomenon manifests as surface dyslexia or surface dysgraphia, in which there is a failure to read and spell irregular words (i.e., words with discordant grapheme–phoneme correspondence). In other words, irregular words are incorrectly read or spelled phonetically. This phenomenon appears to be absent in languages such as Spanish and Portuguese.^{46,47} In languages such as German and Spanish, words are almost always pronounced in the same way as they are spelled, and irregular words are uncommon. In these contexts, regularization manifests as inaccurate usage of articles with atypical gender nouns or difficulties with past tense verb inflectional morphology.^{48,49} In French and Hebrew, the over-regularization phenomenon presents as errors in derivational morphology.^{50–52} Japanese language speakers with svPPA have contrasting performances in reading the syllable-based script *kana* (a script that lacks irregular words) versus the ideogram script *kanji* (a script that is rich with irregular words).^{53,54} In terms of motor speech and morphosyntactic functions, monolingual English speakers have been shown to produce more distortions in connected speech compared to monolingual Italian speakers, but they performed better on syntactic comprehension and complexity tasks, reflecting distinct linguistic features of these languages.³⁵ Similarly, Chinese-speaking patients with nvPPA have tone production and tone perception deficits in lexical selection processing, which are linguistic features probably more significant in tonal languages.⁵⁵ Chinese language users with PPA have also been shown to have various linguistic dysgraphia errors unique to logographic script, such as homophone or compound word errors.⁵⁵ Without adequate linguistic diversity in the PPA research field, we lack understanding regarding the generaliz-

ability of the current PPA diagnostic criteria and treatment guidelines, which potentially contributes to underdiagnosis or misdiagnosis of PPA in non-English language speakers.

There is still much to learn about how bilingualism or multilingualism affects the progression of symptoms in PPA. Bilingual speakers with neurodegenerative disease experience either a parallel decline in the first (L1, usually the mother tongue) and second (L2) acquired languages, or a differential decline.^{56,57} To date, most studies have shown either disproportionately severe loss of L2 or parallel decline of both languages.^{58–70} However, in the largest series of bilingual patients with svPPA to date, the less proficient language prior to disease onset was lost, regardless of whether the language was L1 or L2.⁷¹ Beyond the need to understand the patterns of language decline in bilingual speakers, there is also a gap in investigating their unique symptoms, such as inappropriate mixing or code-switching.^{56,72–75} Future prospective studies should include, for all of a subject's languages, information on age and manner of acquisition, patterns of use, objective measures of proficiency, measures of education and literacy, and culturally and linguistically appropriate testing.

3 | DIAGNOSTIC AND MONITORING TOOLS

Neuropsychological assessment is challenging in many ethnocultural contexts, due to the substantial influence of culture, language, education, institutional, and economic factors on neuropsychological testing, as illustrated in the ECLECTIC framework.⁷⁶ These influences are particularly evident in the cognitive domains most relevant to bvFTD and PPA: social cognition, executive functioning, and language. Unfortunately, most assessment tools have been designed in Western Europe and North America and cannot be applied directly in other regions and countries, such as in Latin America.⁷⁷ This section will focus on screening tools, behavioral scales, functional impairment scales, and these three cognitive domains. Although FTD manifests with decline of multiple cognitive domains, including memory,⁷⁸ we focus on social cognition, executive functions, and language, particularly as tests of memory have been widely studied in diverse populations (e.g., in AD, see Franzen et al.⁷⁹).

3.1 | Cognitive screening tests

Some widely used cognitive screening tests like the Mini-Mental State Examination⁸⁰ have low sensitivity in the early symptoms of bvFTD and PPA, as they fail to detect impairment in executive dysfunction, social cognition, and language. Several exceptions should be noted, however. The Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination (ACE) was developed to assess and differentiate cognitive impairment in AD from that in the different FTD syndromes. The ACE-Revised (ACE-R) and ACE-III have been translated and culturally adapted into many languages and are used in research and clinical settings worldwide.^{81–83} Most studies report fair sensitivity and specificity of all ACE versions for FTD^{84,85}

and these tests may also be useful in PPA⁸⁶ because of the inclusion of items to screen for language deficits.

The Frontal Assessment Battery (FAB) is another example of a test that has been examined in different populations and translated/adapted to several languages.⁸⁷ Although most items of the FAB can be translated with relative ease, the letter fluency subtest poses more challenges, particularly as languages that adopt a logographic script (e.g., Chinese languages, Japanese-Kanji) do not possess sublexical form graphemes with phonemic information, leading to adaptation and interpretation challenges for phonographic dependent tests such as letter fluency.⁸⁸ For example, two different versions of the FAB are available for different Chinese populations: a Traditional Chinese FAB in which letter fluency has been substituted with orthographic fluency⁸⁹ with patients asked to name words that begin with a given Chinese orthographical structure (e.g., a word with left-right orthographical pattern) and the FAB-phonemic,⁹⁰ which requires patients to generate words starting with a specific phoneme (fā, 发). In other languages, the letter used in letter fluency may have to be changed to ensure equivalent difficulty, such as in the Chilean version of the FAB.⁹¹ An instrument that captures several elements of the abovementioned tests (verbal fluency, ACE-III, and other tests) is the Institute of Cognitive Neurology (INECO) Frontal Screening, originally developed in Argentina,⁹² now also used in several other Latin American countries. This instrument was found to be more useful for discriminating AD from FTD than the FAB in Peru.⁹³

The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) is a screening tool designed to evaluate individuals with mild cognitive impairment.⁹⁴ While the test has been translated widely into > 60 languages, many are merely direct translations; there is then a need to adapt the instrument for cross-cultural use to ensure validity.⁹⁵ The test has also been used as a global cognitive measure in FTD, including a few case-control studies conducted in diverse populations.^{96,97} In these studies, the MoCA was essentially used to characterize the overall cognitive profile of the participants, without specific analysis of its diagnostic purposes.

The Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR)⁹⁸ has been extensively used for almost three decades to stage cognitive and functional impairment in AD. However, the CDR does not contemplate clinical domains that are impaired in FTD, such as behavior and language. These domains have been incorporated in a modified version of the instrument, the CDR plus National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center frontotemporal lobar degeneration (NACC FTLD) rating scale, which has proven to be an effective staging tool in FTD.⁹⁹ These scales have been used in two Latin American studies assessing bvFTD and PPA patients.^{100,101} While the CDR did not display good sensitivity in severe disease stages in one study,¹⁰¹ the CDR plus NACC FTLD rating scale proved to be a valuable staging measure.

Although these instruments may be promising screening tools for FTD, more research is needed. It is also important to emphasize that FTD diagnosis cannot be made based on scores on any bedside or field screening tests. Accurate diagnosis requires a comprehensive clinical assessment. Screening tests are more valuable for facilitating case detection in population studies and monitoring illness severity.

3.2 | Behavioral rating scales

The Frontal Behavioral Inventory (FBI) was designed to operationalize and quantify personality and behavior changes in FTD.¹⁰² The FBI is a study partner-based questionnaire that assesses the severity and frequency of negative and positive behaviors. Blair et al.¹⁰³ demonstrated that the original English version of FBI was better than the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) for discriminating FTD from AD patients. Kertesz et al.¹⁰⁴ administered the FBI in patients with FTD, nfvPPA, AD, vascular dementia, and depressive disorder and demonstrated that the scale correctly classified 92.7% of the patients with FTD with a high internal consistency and inter-rater reliability.¹⁰⁴ The FBI has been validated for the diagnosis of bvFTD or FTD with ALS in several languages in Europe and Asia¹⁰⁵⁻¹¹³ and the different versions have generally shown good interrater and test-retest reliability, internal consistency, convergent validity, and diagnostic accuracy (when reported).

Portuguese and Spanish language adaptations of the Frontotemporal Dementia Rating Scale (FTD-FRS)¹¹⁴ have been shown to have good utility for assessing and monitoring illness severity.^{115,116} The Frontal Systems Behavior Scale (FrSBE), developed for measuring behavioral disturbances related to frontal lobe functions, with subscales for apathy, executive dysfunction, and disinhibition,¹¹⁷ has been shown to be useful for discriminating AD from FTD. A translated version has been created and used in the linguistically diverse FTD patient population in India.¹¹⁸

3.3 | Functional impairment scales

Functional impairment is a key dimension in neurodegenerative disease, as it determines the threshold between the early stages of cognitive impairment and dementia.¹¹⁹ Assessment of functional impairment is generally accomplished with self-report, informant report, and performance-based measures. In bvFTD, self-report measures tend to have little use due to loss of insight early in the disease. Performance-based measures of functional impairment are time consuming and administered under artificial conditions, potentially leading to results that differ significantly from the individual's performance in real environments.¹²⁰ Informant-rated questionnaires have proven to be a practical and valid measure of everyday functioning in dementia. Current evidence suggests that patients with FTD show a differential pattern of functional impairment compared to patients with AD dementia; patients with FTD show greater functional impairment than patients with AD dementia and tend to experience both impairment in instrumental and basic activities of daily living at an early stage.¹²¹ Cultural factors are critical to take into considerations in the assessment of functional impairment. For example, in certain cultures, it may be customary for younger members of the family to manage the household and take care of financial matters, while elders play a more social role within the community as they are aging.¹²² Similarly, older individuals who are illiterate or low educated may always have been dependent on others to support them in administrative and financial matters.

Studies are now increasingly conducted on this topic in non-European and non-North American countries (e.g., see Musa Salech et al.¹²³). Emerging evidence shows that sex, age, education, and culture influence scores on commonly used instruments of functional impairment in many parts of the world.¹²⁴

3.4 | Social cognition

Social cognition refers to the ability to attend to, interpret, and respond to social cues, and normal performance is essential for successful interactions with others.^{125,126} While definitions vary, the term encompasses three domains: (1) emotion reactivity and recognition, (2) mentalizing (empathy and theory of mind), and (3) regulation, including moral reasoning and knowledge of social norms.¹²⁷ Impaired social cognition is increasingly recognized as a core clinical feature of FTD and has been shown to be associated with abnormal social behaviors.^{1,2,11,118,128} Culture can impact all aspects of social cognition, such as (1) how emotions are perceived and categorized, for example, the perception of emotion intensity¹²⁹; (2) how social cues are responded to and how empathy is demonstrated^{130,131}; and (3) which behaviors are considered appropriate according to local social rules and norms.¹³² Multiple studies have shown different neuroanatomical activation patterns between participants of East Asian and Western cultures when engaged in similar social tasks, suggesting that the neural networks underlying social cognition and affective processes may vary across cultures.¹³³⁻¹³⁷

Relatively few tests of social cognition have been validated in FTD in general.¹³⁸ Unsurprisingly, even less research is available in underrepresented populations with FTD.¹³⁹ The adaptation or development of novel tests of social cognition has been identified as a research priority by European experts on cross-cultural neuropsychological assessment.¹⁴⁰ The Global Social Cognition Study in cognitively healthy adults demonstrated that cultural background, education, sex, and age impact performance on tests of emotion recognition and theory of mind.¹⁴¹ Cultural differences explained almost 21% of the variance on an emotion recognition task and 25% of the variance on a faux pas test.¹⁴¹ Similar cross-cultural differences were found in theory of mind using the Reading the Mind in the Eyes Test.¹⁴² Such differences do not necessarily limit the tests' utility for discriminating patients with FTD from other patients or control subjects (e.g., see Custodio et al.¹⁴³), provided normative data are available for the target population. Cultural differences can, however, impact the construct validity of these tests across cultural contexts; that is, instead of measuring a specific aspect of the construct social cognition, the test may actually measure general FTD severity or aspects of language related to the format of the test. Newly designed or adapted tests may be needed for a more valid assessment of specific cognitive domains.

Not all studies of emotion recognition report differences across different cultural groups.¹⁴⁴ However, tests of emotion recognition and theory of mind using faces as stimuli, such as the Ekman 60 Faces Test or Reading the Mind in the Eyes Test, may need to include photographs of individuals sharing physical features with the target population (e.g.,

Chinese faces in the assessment of Chinese participants), given the differences in performance depending on whether the individuals portrayed have similar or different physical features.^{142,145-147} Tests of mentalizing such as theory of mind and tests of social reasoning, such as faux pas detection and humor interpretation, are likely to be particularly influenced by culture.^{141,148,149} Such tasks therefore need to be adapted to suit local contexts. Furthermore, the use of more objective measures (e.g., physiological responses) is also receiving increasing attention,¹⁵⁰⁻¹⁵² as it may be less susceptible to sociocultural bias.

3.5 | Executive functioning

Executive functioning encompasses the capacity to form a goal, plan, and carry out goal-directed actions effectively, making use of abilities such as cognitive flexibility, concept formation, inhibition, and reasoning.¹⁵³ A two-fold challenge exists in the assessment of this complex set of functions. First, large cross-cultural differences in executive test performance (e.g., Trail Making Test) have been reported even across Western countries.¹⁵⁴ While causes of these differences are varied, linguistic diversity plays an important role in test performance on certain executive measures. A recent study has examined alternating category verbal fluency as an alternative to letter fluency tests in multicultural settings (e.g., see Narme et al.¹⁵⁵); researchers found that alternating fluency is a suitable measure of cognitive flexibility in diverse populations, but may not be able to discriminate patients with AD from patients with "frontal" neurodegenerative disease. Second, adequate assessment of low educated or illiterate individuals may be particularly challenging due to a lack of suitable tests.⁷⁹ Common tests of executive functioning, such as the Trail Making Test, Stroop test, and phonemic fluency, are generally not appropriate in these populations; such tests often require a certain level of literacy and abstract reasoning skills acquired through formal education. Alternative tests of executive functioning have therefore been developed, such as the Color Trails Test,¹⁵⁶ Five Digit Test,¹⁵⁷ and Sun-Moon test.¹⁵⁸ However, the majority of these novel or adapted tests have not yet been studied in bvFTD and PPA.

3.6 | Language

Changes in language are the hallmark of PPA and frequently occur in bvFTD.¹⁵⁹ The Boston Naming Test (BNT) is the most widely used test to assess naming impairment in North America and Europe.^{160,161} However, its items, such as a pretzel, beaver, and asparagus, are culture specific and not suitable for use in many parts of the world. Additionally, items such as the noose (BNT) are explicitly criticized for their offensive nature due to associations with traumatic historical and political events.¹⁶²⁻¹⁶⁶ In addition, several studies suggest that the two-dimensional black-and-white line drawings included in most confrontation naming tasks may not be appropriate for evaluation of individuals with low educational attainment or illiterate

populations.^{167,168} The Multilingual Naming Test¹⁶⁹ was developed to assess bilingual individuals in several languages; while the test is useful in some contexts, the stimuli (presented as black-and-white line drawings) may not be recognized equally across cultures.¹⁷⁰ Several initiatives have therefore focused on the development of widely applicable naming tests using colored photographs, such as the Cross-Linguistic Naming Test¹⁷¹ and the Naming Assessment in Multicultural Europe (NAME¹⁷²). However, these tests have not yet been studied in patients with FTD or PPA specifically.

In addition to naming tests, category fluency tests are relatively widely used and studied in diverse populations, although not specifically in diverse individuals with FTD.⁷⁹ It is known that category fluency can be substantially influenced by education,¹⁷³ animal fluency tests more so than foods and supermarket fluency.^{174,175} Linguistic effects can also occur; for example, Spanish speakers produce relatively few words in animal fluency due to animal names being relatively long compared to other languages, such as Vietnamese.¹⁷⁶

To differentiate between different types of PPA, neuropsychological tests are needed that take into account other aspects of language, such as syntax and phonology. Given the unique characteristics of languages across the globe, mere translation of existing tests will not be sufficient. For example, to address deficits relating to tone in individuals with PPA speaking tonal languages, researchers have used a “one-syllable tongue twister” test to measure tonal errors in the Chinese Language Assessment for Primary Progressive Aphasia (CLAP).⁵⁵ In Spanish speakers, surface dyslexia may be hard to study because of the transparent spelling to sound matching¹⁷⁷; a reading test using words in which stress marks have been left out has been proposed as a valid alternative.¹⁷⁸ These examples highlight the challenges in this area and the need for language-specific test development. To this end, the Mini Linguistic State Examination has been proposed as a brief assessment tool for the diagnosis and classification of PPA, with several language versions available, such as Spanish, Farsi, and Japanese.^{179,180} In addition, language-specific tests of semantic memory, which is culture and context dependent, have been developed to aid in the assessment of bvFTD and svPPA (e.g., in China¹⁸¹ and India¹⁸²).

Additional challenges may arise in cross-linguistic assessments of bilingual and multilingual patients. Before such an assessment can begin, it is important to examine the level of proficiency in each language to determine which language the assessment should be conducted in and how to subsequently interpret the findings. As stated by Mendis et al.,¹⁸³ however, bilingualism is dynamic, meaning proficiency can vary based on exposure to other language users, as well as opportunity and frequency of use. In addition, appropriate, short screening tests to determine language proficiency across languages are lacking. The assessment becomes even more complex when patients are assessed in their second or even third language (as is often the case for immigrant and/or refugee patients), when patients switch between languages during the assessment, or when they use different languages in speaking versus writing.¹⁸⁴ Even with the use of an interpreter, the validity and reliability of such assessments can quickly become compromised (for a more in-depth illustration, see Plejert et al.¹⁸⁵).

4 | TREATMENT

4.1 | Clinical trials

Clinical trials of pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic interventions for patients with FTD have largely been conducted in North America, Western Europe, and Australia. Ethnoracial, sex, gender, and socioeconomic data for participants enrolled in FTD clinical trials are limited but are likely similar to trends observed in AD research studies, particularly clinical trials. Participants in AD studies are consistently predominantly non-Hispanic White, with < 5% of participants being ethnoracially diverse, as noted in the ISTAART perspective paper for Diversity in AD research.¹⁸⁶ Diversity of participants with FTD enrolled in clinical trials is limited by numerous factors that have the potential to reduce the generalizability and applicability of the study findings.

Restricted geographic accessibility is a common limitation as most interventional trials are conducted in academic centers, usually in urban settings, that have small catchment areas and require long travel times of rural participants. Strict inclusion and exclusion criteria typically prohibit participation of patients with medical comorbidities and patients who are not proficient in the dominant language in a country. In a recent randomized controlled trial investigating a monoclonal antibody infusion for AD, screen failure rates were higher for traditionally underrepresented groups than for non-Hispanic White participants.¹⁸⁷ Frequent in-person visits and limited flexible scheduling present high opportunity costs which limit access for those whose caregiver or study partner is lacking adequate transportation, are working, or need child care. In our experience, enrollment of symptomatic women with FTD is more challenging than enrolling men, which delays trial completion and increases costs for studies targeting balanced enrollment.

Additional barriers need to be overcome to conduct clinical trials in LMICs. Funding (private and public) for research development has been limited in many countries outside North America, Western Europe, Australia, and Japan. For example, in Latin America < 2% of national public health budgets (the minimal percentage recommended by the Council on Health Research for Development) has been invested in research.¹⁸⁸ Difficulties with regulatory processes and SES represent additional barriers. Approximately 10% of the Latin American population is indigenous and the vast majority of this population lives in poverty, and sometimes in isolation, complicating their access to education and health programs.¹⁸⁹ Similar circumstances exist in parts of Asia and Africa.

4.2 | Speech and language interventions

Most of the extant literature has focused on linguistic diversity (i.e., speakers of the non-mainstream language or bilingual speakers), specifically in those presenting with PPA. Although a systematic review is beyond the scope of the current paper (see instead Cotelli et al.¹⁹⁰ and Pagnoni et al.¹⁹¹), an assessment of the literature shows bias in

PPA treatment research wherein English and Western European contexts and languages are over-represented compared to other language families (as has been well documented in post-stroke aphasia¹⁹²). A notable exception is a recent study from Brazil examining a case-based intervention to promote different aspects of language functioning in 18 Brazilian Portuguese speaking patients with PPA that found significant improvement in 13 of the subjects.¹⁹³

There is a very limited body of research investigating bilingual effects in the context of PPA treatment. A study in the United States¹⁹⁴ investigated the effects of a lexical retrieval intervention in a group of bilingual speakers with logopenic variant PPA and svPPA. All participants were bilingual and were treated in both English and a second language (i.e., Spanish, Portuguese, Farsi, or French). The intervention was designed to engage residual orthographic, phonological, and semantic knowledge and to encourage self-cueing. After treatment, participants showed improved performance in both dominant and non-dominant languages. In addition, cross-linguistic transfer for words that share meaning and form across languages, for example, telephone and *télefono*, was observed for most participants. In sum, there is promising evidence that dual-language speech and language intervention results in immediate and long-term naming improvements in bilingual individuals with PPA. Further research is needed to investigate additional language families, and to optimize approaches such that the unique linguistic characteristics of each language are incorporated into the treatment designs. Furthermore, future studies might indicate whether such approaches can be applied in unbalanced bilinguals, that is, individuals more proficient in one language than the other, such as immigrant populations who learned a second language later in life. Importantly, cultural factors must be considered in the future development of novel interventions in diverse populations. In the current era of globalization, it will be incumbent upon researchers and clinical training programs¹⁹⁵ to advance knowledge regarding assessment and treatment in bilingual FTD, as these individuals are often underserved due to low referral rates.^{196,197}

5 | CARE NEEDS

5.1 | Caregivers: cultural differences

Caregiver burden is a complex and multifaceted construct mediated by several variables and their interactions.¹⁹⁸ Examining variables that contribute to caregiver burden in FTD is important given the particularly high level of caregiver burden in FTD compared to AD.^{199–202} Mioshi et al. showed in 2009 that caregiver variables such as depression were relevant and, in 2013, that FTD disease severity was the main factor contributing to high levels of caregiver burden.^{203,204} However, most studies of caregiver burden in FTD have been conducted in North America, Europe, and Australia and primarily in non-Hispanic White populations. A 2013 study by Mekala et al.²⁰ was the first to compare caregiver burden in FTD in two countries with different cultures: India and Australia. They found that both groups experienced similar levels of stress and depression, despite the Indian caregivers

caring for a more impaired group of patients and delivering a greater number of hours of care; however, the Indian caregivers did report higher levels of anxiety. The authors suggest that perhaps some Indian caregivers perceived their loved one's symptoms as part of "normal" aging, making it difficult to address their worries and to obtain the right coping skills. Differences in reporting of anxiety and depression may also originate from cultural variations, such as differences in the willingness and comfort discussing such topics as part of research. The authors concluded that addressing FTD caregiver coping skills with Indian caregivers may have a greater impact than those targeting dementia-specific symptoms.

5.2 | Shame and stigma affecting diagnosis and care in FTD

Shame and stigma are important cultural factors that can impact caregiver burden and quality of life (QoL), and also affect the recognition of symptoms and whether patients obtain a diagnosis. In the following paragraphs, several illustrative examples are provided of shame and stigma affecting diagnosis and care in FTD in different global contexts. Furthermore, an example from one of the authors' clinical practice is described in Box 1.

In Colombia, caregivers felt stigmatized by their role, in that they thought they were less worthy, which predicted increased caregiver burden, greater depression, and reduced QoL.²⁰⁵ In China, Chao et al.¹⁵ reported that there was often confusion and disagreement about various symptoms, for example, motor or cognitive symptoms being attributed to "normal aging," thereby delaying the seeking of medical attention. Given the stigma surrounding mental illness in China, psychiatric or behavioral symptoms are generally not openly discussed and may not be disclosed on direct questioning. Disclosure is even less likely if the specialist is of a different race or culture. "Dementia" has the meaning of "crazy and catatonic disorder," and stigma may thus be amplified for FTD given the concurrence of cognitive dysfunctions and behavioral changes. Delayed diagnosis is very common, and the disease is often advanced before being brought to the attention of a medical professional.¹⁵ Both in China and in Latin America, there is a culture of looking after the person at home, which also relates to difficulties in finding appropriate aged care facilities when the decision is made to eventually transition the person into a formal care facility. There are also feelings of guilt that influence the willingness to pursue placement in care facilities.

In Latin America, care is predominantly provided by women with low education living in multigenerational households.⁹ As unconditional respect for the patriarch is considered very important in some Latin American cultures, women and younger family members who are caregivers for an older male are uncomfortable and have difficulty managing dysfunctional behaviors. Second, there is shame in seeking help for these behaviors, such as sexualized behavior, disinhibition, and excessive alcohol drinking. Furthermore, caregivers may perceive these behaviors as deliberate, not recognizing them as symptoms of FTD, which delays evaluation, diagnosis, and treatment—with adverse

BOX 1 Amir's story

Amir had always been a generous, compassionate, and devoted Muslim. He and his family were respected and valued members of the Muslim community and particularly well regarded at their local mosque.

In his late 50s, Amir's behavior began to change; he would breach etiquette when visiting the mosque (e.g., speaking loudly and wearing shoes) and he would flirt with other women. He also stopped observing Ramadan and showed indifference to other people's feelings and beliefs. This behavior is different to common societal perceptions of dementia (e.g., forgetfulness and disorientation), which led others to perceive Amir as a bad Muslim, someone who had "turned away the Prophet and the Qur'an." Amir's behavior created embarrassment and high levels of concern and distress to Amir's wife and daughters, who also had to deal with great social rejection and stigma. To avoid conflicts in the mosque, Amir's family drastically reduced their activities outside their home. As a result, Amir's family became more isolated, Amir's behaviors became more agitated, and the whole family's mental health suffered.

When Amir received a diagnosis of bvFTD, his wife was able to explain his symptoms to their religious community leaders. Amir's dementia was acknowledged and consequently, he was exempted from performing religious duties. Moreover, the understanding of Amir's symptoms also served to repair the bonds between his family and their religious community and partially restored their social and spiritual activities.

impacts on the levels of caregiver burden. For PPA specifically, the loss of communications pertaining to traditions and heritage can contribute to frustration and guilt.⁹

Emerging evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa pertaining to all-cause dementia has shown that people may simultaneously hold a number of different beliefs about dementia and its causes.²⁰⁶ For example, a study in South Africa found that participants, on the one hand, often believed that dementia was related to witchcraft or punishments from ancestors or God, while on the other they also believed dementia to be a medical condition.²⁰⁷ Help was therefore often sought from multiple sources simultaneously, typically faith healers and traditional medicine practitioners, and to a lesser degree allopathic medicine providers.²⁰⁸ It is also to be noted that access to psychiatrists and neurologists is very low in much of Africa. In cases of suspected witchcraft, caregivers may be shunned by community, and even family, due to fear.²⁰⁶ It is not hard to imagine that the "strange" behavior displayed by individuals with bvFTD may be misconstrued as caused by such forces in environments where religio-magical explanatory models are commonly used. In much of Africa, there is a culturally entrenched reliance on informal care from younger relatives—and residential programs are uncommon.⁴

5.3 | Caregiver support and interventions

There is very little information on caring and how to care for people with FTD in an international context. In Peru, the majority (76%) of 145 medical professionals (neurologists, psychiatrists, residents in neurology or psychiatry) who completed a survey about knowledge and attitudes for the management of bvFTD indicated that they do not provide education, information, and support to the caregiver of the bvFTD patient. The survey respondents reported that 88% of patients with advanced bvFTD were not followed by a palliative care team.²³

An appraisal of the current literature indicates large gaps with respect to intervention studies aimed at caregivers of individuals with FTD from ethnoculturally diverse populations. Nevertheless, a body of research has examined the effects of interventions administered to caregivers with AD and related disorders, some of which included those presenting with FTD. A subset of these studies purposefully included individuals from diverse backgrounds. Outcomes demonstrate that tailored caregiver interventions result in improved caregiver quality of life and increased strategy and skill usage.^{209–212} Future interventions specifically tailored to the different variants of FTD will need to be developed specially for diverse populations. In addition, it is vital that such interventions take into consideration the ethnocultural differences in clinical presentations and the related differences in caregiver perceptions of FTD. In many contexts, raising dementia awareness will be a crucial first step.²¹³

6 | GAPS AND NEXT STEPS

As the preceding sections emphasize, a substantial amount of research is needed to cover the gaps in FTD research and clinical practice. In the following paragraphs, we outline the workgroup's recommendations for next steps.

6.1 | Recognition of bvFTD and PPA in diverse populations

Awareness of bvFTD and PPA is often limited and requires targeted efforts and awareness campaigns. For example, in a study of 14 countries, the level of public awareness of the general concept of aphasia varied from 1% in Argentina to 66% in Sweden.²¹⁴ Given the influence of cultural diversity in clinical presentations, and of dementia literacy, explanatory models, and modes of help-seeking, public awareness campaigns need to be tailored to the specific ethnocultural contexts, and target audiences must be mindfully defined. In addition to awareness campaigns in the general population, investments should be made to disseminate expert knowledge on FTD and PPA to clinicians working in the field. For example, a study in New Zealand found that only 21% of health-care professionals surveyed had basic knowledge about the general concept of aphasia.²¹⁵ This knowledge dissemination can take different forms. One option is through remote or in-person clinician training for general practitioners, neurologists, and psychiatrists,

to improve recognition of early and later presentations and to differentiate different subtypes. Another possibility is the development of a best practice manual for the diagnosis of FTD—as was recently done for dementia in Latin America.²¹⁶ Additionally, this topic should be included in the curriculum of undergraduate studies from health sciences.

From a systems perspective, the development of formal partnerships and exchange programs between established centers in North America, Western Europe, Australia, and Japan, and clinical programs in LMICs will foster knowledge transfer and the development of local expertise. A global effort to map the definitions and delineations of normal and abnormal behavior in FTD and language symptoms (via table or concept map) may be helpful to gain a better understanding of the variation in presentation across cultures. To this end, researchers in LMICs may contribute valuable knowledge about the influence of culture on behavioral symptoms of FTD, as well as the role of language typology and the different linguistic features characteristic of PPA in different languages. Consensus criteria could then be developed to diagnose PPA in diverse populations, moving away from the current over-reliance on aspects such as surface dyslexia. Such advances are hindered, however, by a lack of funding for FTD research in LMICs. For example, of the 613 FTD-related grants (\$432,167,275) awarded between 1998 and 2008, the majority (89%) was from the United States and the remainder largely from Europe.²¹⁷ In addition to more funding, open access publication should be the standard requirement to improve access to scientific knowledge for researchers working in low-resource settings. Open access publications will facilitate access to scientific knowledge.

6.2 | Cognitive assessment and diagnosis of FTD in diverse populations

Researchers should be aware that mere translation of existing cognitive tests developed in North America and Europe is insufficient to make such tests appropriate for other populations. Test development should follow international guidelines for cross-cultural translation and adaptation procedures, such as those currently being formulated in a neuropsychological addendum to the existing International Test Commission Guidelines by the Cultural Special Interest Group of the International Neuropsychological Society.²¹⁸ They should also take into consideration the cultural and linguistic appropriateness of the stimuli and test procedures. Ideally, individuals possessing relevant cultural expertise are involved early in the development and/or adaptation of the target measure and during pilot testing. Regrettably, few researchers use these guidelines for test development in FTD research.

To enhance the diagnosis of PPA, language-specific tests are likely needed; however, as there are > 6000 living languages worldwide, it may not be feasible to develop unique sets of speech and language batteries for all these languages. Instead, the demands for linguistically tailored tests in each language should be evaluated by comparing

the linguistic differences of each PPA relevant language features with that of the English language that are relatively well studied in the PPA research field. For instance, the reading and writing presentations between languages with different writing systems would be expected to vary more than between languages using the same writing system. Because most research has been dedicated to the reading and writing symptomatology of alphabetic language users with PPA, the demands for studying dyslexia and dysgraphia in non-alphabetical languages may be more clinically imperative. Culture is to be taken into account as well; studies relying on the BNT have demonstrated that speakers of the same language may have regional differences in their item responses.^{219,220} This is likely related to the local variations in a language and differences in cultural background. Thus, it is also important to consider validating the speech and language tools of the same language in a population-specific manner.

Researchers in the domain of social cognition face similar questions about whether to develop culture-specific tests or try to design tests applicable to individuals with a wider variety of backgrounds. One interesting example from schizophrenia research is the development of the SOCRATIS battery,²²¹ in which researchers tailored a commonly used false belief task to reflect the local context in India by changing stories, characters, and images to reflect culture-specific settings (e.g., temple instead of a church).

Using novel digital technologies such as virtual reality and automatic speech processing may provide promising ways of obtaining neuropsychological data that closely resembles everyday life, which may be particularly important for individuals who are not familiar with being formally tested due to a lack of formal education or relevant exposure, and who may not understand the need to complete more abstract tests.^{184,222}

6.3 | Addressing diversity in FTD treatment and care

Much work remains to be done to improve FTD treatment and care across the globe. A crucial first step is to improve equity in access to a timely and accurate diagnosis of FTD. In addition to this being a priority on ethical grounds, a delayed or incorrect diagnosis will also impede access to care and participation in research. It is also to be noted that lower levels of case recognition also impede FTD treatment development, by reducing the pool of potential participants in the relevant research. In the context of clinical trials, in 2020, the US Food and Drug Administration issued non-binding guidance recommendations for industry for enhancing the diversity of clinical trial populations. The guidance highlights two key steps—broadening eligibility while limiting exclusion criteria and improving recruitment so that participants involved in the trial reflect those most likely to use the drug.²²³ For clinical trials in FTD, purposeful study design and support for participants will be needed to ensure sufficient enrollment of women, residents from rural settings, and participants from underrepresented ethnic and racial groups. Potential strategies to accomplish broader participation

and more diverse enrollment and retention in FTD clinical trials and other studies include:

1. Limiting in-person study visits and using remote data collection to reduce participant burden and opportunity costs, with increased reliance on remote data collection either directly from the patient's home or from digital assessment tools or standardized sample collection deployed with local clinical sites. Such decentralized trial design would also enable broader catchment areas for established sites within countries and expansion to a larger set of international sites.
2. Active collaboration with physicians and health providers serving rural and underrepresented racial and ethnic communities, alongside international collaborations, in long-term relationships that foster education and clinical support and extend research opportunities. Partnerships between leading clinical trials centers and those in developing countries has been implemented successfully for decades in infectious disease clinical trials.²²⁴
3. Adaptation, translation, and validation of study materials to a broader range of languages and cultures to facilitate inclusion of countries outside of North America, Western Europe, and Australia in FTD clinical trials, leveraging existing local expertise and growing international FTD consortia. This would also include the development of measures less reliant on reports from a single caregiver, for patients in alternative living and care situations. Language and cultural similarities have motivated the organization of research networks that will facilitate clinical research and trials across international networks, such as the Multi-Partner Consortium to Expand Dementia Research in Latin America (ReDLat) group, an FTD consortium spanning Latin American and Caribbean countries.²²⁵ Similar consortia have been developed in Japan and South Korea and are developing in China and South East Asia.
4. Providing under-resourced centers with technical assistance for navigating regulatory processes, study start-up, and other infrastructure necessary for the implementation of clinical trials (e.g., modern brain imaging methods, sophisticated genetic and biochemical assays). The use of direct-to-patient registries like the FTD Disorders Registry may also help identify which under-resourced clinical sites are likely to have a substantial cohort of potential participants for FTD clinical trials.
5. Assurance of adequate enrollment of men and women, and consideration of sex/gender effects on behavioral and other psychometric measures, and caregiver and quality of life outcomes.
6. Application of the social marketing model of recruitment to maximize the diversity of participant enrollment. The social marketing model is an effective means to increase research participation of underrepresented populations.²²⁶ The recruitment method involves six principles: product, price, place, promotion, participants, and partners.
7. Involvement of people with FTD and caregivers from diverse backgrounds in the design of interventions to maximize fit with needs and expectations. Similar input should be incorporated on clinical

trial design and outcome measures, to maximize participation, minimize attrition, and ensure that the trial tools accurately capture what matters.

8. Involvement of researchers from diverse backgrounds in the design and implementation of interventions.

It is fundamental that caregivers of patients with FTD are provided with a tailored, interdisciplinary approach to care, including training on complex medical symptoms, psychosocial issues, spiritual well-being, and planning for the future. However, improvement in health literacy, such as what is considered "normal aging," is required to increase the profile of dementia, including FTD. This should not be targeted just to caregivers, but also needs to be recognized as a social issue by government and health bodies. Using social media might be one method to provide relevant information to large populations.²²⁷ This might improve help-seeking and access to care and decrease stigma. Furthermore, high-quality online resources and remote caregiver programs may improve access to people living in remote areas by reducing financial and logistical barriers. For PPA, multidisciplinary teams with speech pathologists and other language experts need to collaborate to design language-specific interventions that can be delivered by non-specialists available in community settings.

7 | CONCLUSIONS

This work has focused on priorities pertaining to a multicultural and international perspective of FTD care and research, highlighting gaps in our understanding of the ethnocultural factors that shape how illness is manifested, experienced, and articulated, as well as what happens for diagnosis, treatment and research, and for the psychosocial adaptation of patients and families. Questions regarding FTD epidemiology, genetics, and biofluid and neuroimaging biomarkers, are also crucial—they are tackled in a subsequent paper.²²⁸ From the foregoing, it will be clear to the reader that examination of these cross-cultural aspects of FTD is in its infancy—and disparities exist worldwide with respect to the expertise, knowledge, and resources required to provide the care and to bridge gaps in our knowledge.

Recognition of the need for global and ethnocultural perspectives for FTD research is timely and growing. The multicentric research collaborations developed in North America (ARTFL-LEFFTDS Longitudinal Frontotemporal Lobar Degeneration²²⁹) and Europe (Genetic Frontotemporal Dementia Initiative²³⁰) have yielded invaluable insights regarding the natural history of FTD, with respect to symptom progression, brain atrophy, and physicochemical change. However, it is recognized that these findings, valuable as they are, derive from a study population that lacks diversity. As such, it would be premature to take the findings as representative of all FTD cases in the various ethnocultural contexts around the world. This limitation, and its recognition, is one of the motivations for the formation of the Frontotemporal Prevention Initiative (FPI²³¹). The FPI is working actively to coalesce the regional international consortia (currently those from North America, Europe, Latin America and the Caribbean, Australia and New Zealand,

South East Asia, Japan, South Korea, and China) into a global initiative to foster harmonization of methods and sharing of resources, and bring a timely diversity in the populations and contexts in which FTD is investigated. This is a work in progress, with recognized gaps that include incomplete worldwide reach (e.g., no presence in Africa and Eurasia), need for knowledge transfer and capacity building programs, and the necessity of adaptations of research methods for the cross-cultural work that is to be done.

Alongside this international development within the field, there is recognition at the policy level, embodied in the 2022 US National Institutes of Health draft recommendations for FTD research, of the urgency for major investments in research to advance our understanding of how ethnocultural and socioeconomic factors correlate with risk factors and pathophysiology, and influence FTD clinical expression; illness progression; treatment response; psychosocial adaptation; and research participation, advocacy, and other sociocultural aspects.

Ultimately, we hope that the increasing recognition of the importance of diversity in FTD, together with the recommendations presented in this perspective paper, will encourage global discussion of diversity in FTD research and practice, and result in the formation of one or more workgroups or multi-stakeholder expert panels that can determine which goals to prioritize, formulate action plans, and generate the road map and activities to these challenges.

AFFILIATIONS

¹Department of Neurology and Alzheimer Center, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

²John P. Hussman Institute for Human Genomics and Dr. John T. Macdonald Department of Human Genetics, University of Miami, Miller School of Medicine, Miami, Florida, USA

³Laboratoire Mémoire Cerveau et Cognition (UR 7536), Institut de Psychologie, Université Paris Cité, Boulogne-Billancourt, France

⁴Behavioral and Cognitive Neurology Research Group, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte (MG), Brazil

⁵Manipal Hospitals, Bangalore and Annasawmy Mudaliar Hospital, Bangalore, India

⁶Manipal Academy of Higher Education (MAHE), Manipal, India

⁷Parkwood Institute Research, London, Ontario, Canada

⁸Robarts Research Institute, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada

⁹Department of Clinical Neurological Sciences, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada

¹⁰Sant Pau Memory Unit, Department of Neurology, Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Biomedical Research Institute Sant Pau, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

¹¹Centro de Investigación en Red-Enfermedades Neurodegenerativas (CIBERNED), Barcelona, Spain

¹²Neuropsychiatry, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Parkville, Victoria, Australia

¹³Department of Psychiatry, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia

¹⁴Mesulam Center for Cognitive Neurology and Alzheimer's Disease and Department of Preventive Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois, USA

¹⁵Alzheimer Center Amsterdam, Neurology, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam UMC location VUmc, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

¹⁶Amsterdam Neuroscience, Neurodegeneration, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

¹⁷Department of Neurology and Penn Frontotemporal Degeneration Center, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

¹⁸St. Louis Oak Street Health, St. Louis, Missouri, USA

¹⁹Memory and Aging Center, Department of Neurology, Weill Institute for Neurosciences, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA

²⁰Department of Radiology and Biomedical Imaging, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA

²¹National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences, Bangalore, India

²²Faculty of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey

²³Department of Neurosciences, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA

²⁴CBI en Demencias y Enfermedades Desmielinizantes del Sistema Nervioso, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Neurológicas, Lima, Peru

²⁵Global Brain Health Institute, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA and Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland

²⁶Department of Internal Medicine, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil

²⁷The Association for Frontotemporal Degeneration, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania, USA

²⁸Speech, Language and Hearing Sciences, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, USA

²⁹IMPACT—The Institute for Mental and Physical Health and Clinical Translation, School of Medicine, Deakin University, Geelong, Victoria, Australia

³⁰Macquarie Medical School, Faculty of Medicine, Health and Human Sciences, Macquarie University, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

³¹Departments of Neurology and Psychiatry, Knight Alzheimer Disease Research Center, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA

³²Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

³³Brain & Mind Centre and the School of Psychology, The University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

³⁴Department of Neurology, Hospital Clinico San Carlos, San Carlos Institute for Health Research (IdiSSC), Universidad Complutense, Madrid, Spain

³⁵Danish Dementia Research Center, Department of Neurology, Copenhagen University Hospital - Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark

³⁶Department of Cell Biology and Genetics, University of Lagos, Lagos, Nigeria

³⁷Department of Comparative Biosciences, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

³⁸National Institute of Neurology and Neurosurgery, Mexico City, Mexico

³⁹Department of Neurology, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium

⁴⁰Geroscience Center for Brain Health and Metabolism (GERO), Santiago, Chile

⁴¹Neuropsychology and Clinical Neuroscience Laboratory (LANNEC), Physiopathology Department – Intitute of Biomedical Sciences (ICBM), Neuroscience and East Neuroscience Departments, Faculty of Medicine, University of Chile, Santiago, Chile

⁴²Memory and Neuropsychiatric Center (CMYN), Memory Unit, Neurology Department, Hospital del Salvador and Faculty of Medicine, University of Chile, Santiago, Chile

⁴³Servicio de Neurología, Departamento de Medicina, Clínica Alemana-Universidad del Desarrollo, Santiago, Chile

⁴⁴Dementia Research Centre, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, University College London, London, UK

⁴⁵Department of Neurology, Dyslexia Center, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA

⁴⁶Department of Neurology, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, Missouri, USA

⁴⁷Institute of Public Health, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, Missouri, USA

⁴⁸Department of Psychology, Faculty of Humanities, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg, South Africa

⁴⁹Department of Clinical Research and Leadership, The George Washington University School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Washington, District of Columbia, USA

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Sanne Franzen is supported by ZonMW (#73305095007) and Health Holland, Topsector Life Sciences & Health (PPP-allowance; #LSHM20106). Renelle Bourdage is supported by the IDEX Fellowship. Ignacio Illán-Gala is supported with funding from GBHI, Alzheimer's Association, and Alzheimer's Society (GBHI ALZ UK-21-720973 and AACSF-21-850193), as well as by the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (JR20/0018 and PI21/00791). Darby Morhardt receives funding from NIH/NIA, HRSA, and a private foundation. Jennifer S. Yokoyama is supported by NIH-NIA R01 AG062588, R01 AG057234, P30 AG062422; NIH-NINDS U54 NS123985; the Rainwater Charitable Foundation; the Alzheimer's Association; the Global Brain Health Institute; and the Mary Oakley Foundation. Jessica de Leon is supported by NIDCD K23 DC018021. Fiona Kumfor is supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council Career Development Fellowship GNT1158762. Andrea Slachevsky is supported by ANID/FONDAP /ID15150012; ANID/FONDEF/ID22110251; ANID/Fondecyt Regular/1210195 & 1191726; ANID/PIA/Anillos ACT210096; Multi-Partner-Consortium to expand dementia research in Latin-America (ReDLat, supported by National Institutes of Health, National Institutes of Aging [R01 AG057234], Alzheimer's Association [SG-20-725707], Tau Consortium, and Global Brain Health Institute), and Alzheimer's Association GBHI ALZ UK-20-639295. Aida Suarez-Gonzalez is funded by a UKRI Healthy Ageing Challenge Catalyst Award (ES/W006405/1), the National Institute for Health Research (COV-LT2-0014), and a grant jointly funded by the Economic and Social Research Council (UK) and the National Institute for Health Research (UK; ES/S010467/1). Elena Tsoy is supported by the Alzheimer's Association. Hülya Ulugut has received the Alzheimer's Association Clinician Scientist Fellowship (AACSF) grant (Re: AACSF-22-849085). Ganesh M. Babulal is supported by NIH/NIA: R01AG068183 (GMB), R01AG056466 (GMB), R01AG067428 (GMB), R01AG074302 (GMB), and BrightFocus Foundation A20211425 (GMB).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

S.F. has received consulting fees from Biogen (paid to her organization) unrelated to this manuscript. All other authors report no conflicts of interest. Author disclosures are available in the [supporting information](#).

REFERENCES

- Rascovsky K, Hodges JR, Knopman D, et al. Sensitivity of revised diagnostic criteria for the behavioural variant of frontotemporal dementia. *Brain*. 2011;134(9):2456-2477. doi:10.1093/brain/awr179

- Gorno-Tempini ML, Hillis AE, Weintraub S, et al. Classification of primary progressive aphasia and its variants. *Neurology*. 2011;76(11):1006-1014. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e31821103e6
- Poole ML, Brodtmann A, Darby D, Vogel AP. Motor speech phenotypes of frontotemporal dementia, primary progressive aphasia, and progressive apraxia of speech. *J Speech Lang Hear Res*. 2017;60(4):897-911. doi:10.1044/2016.jslhr-s-16-0140
- Onyike CU, Shinagawa S, Ellajosyula R. Frontotemporal dementia: a cross-cultural perspective. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2021;1281:141-150. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-51140-1_10
- UNESCO. *Investing in Cultural Diversity and Intercultural Dialogue*. UNESCO Publishing; 2009. unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000185202/PDF/185202eng.pdf.multi
- Onyike CU, Diehl-Schmid J. The epidemiology of frontotemporal dementia. *Int Rev Psychiatry*. 2013;25(2):130-137. doi:10.3109/09540261.2013.776523
- Lekoubou A, Echouffo-Tcheugui JB, Kengne AP. Epidemiology of neurodegenerative diseases in Sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;14(653):1-32. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-14-653
- Mattap SM, Mohan D, McGrattan AM, et al. The economic burden of dementia in low-and middle-income countries (LMICs): a systematic review. *BMJ Global Health*. 2022;7(4):e007409. doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2021-007409
- Piña-Escudero SD, Aguirre GA, Javandel S, Longoria-Ibarrola EM. Caregiving for patients with frontotemporal dementia in Latin America. *Front Neurol*. 2021;12:665694. doi:10.3389/fneur.2021.665694
- Bertoux M, Volle E, De Souza L, Funkiewiez A, Dubois B, Habert M. Neural correlates of the mini-SEA (Social cognition and Emotional Assessment) in behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia. *Brain Imaging Behav*. 2014;8(1):1-6. doi:10.1007/s11682-013-9261-0
- Narme P, Mouras H, Rousel M, Devendeville A, Godefroy O. Assessment of socioemotional processes facilitates the distinction between frontotemporal lobar degeneration and Alzheimer's disease. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol*. 2013;35(7):728-744. doi:10.1080/13803395.2013.823911
- Woolley JD, Khan BK, Murthy NK, Miller BL, Rankin KP. The diagnostic challenge of psychiatric symptoms in neurodegenerative disease: rates of and risk factors for prior psychiatric diagnosis in patients with early neurodegenerative disease. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2011;72(2):126-133. doi:10.4088/JCP.10m06382oli
- Ferri CP, Jacob K. Dementia in low-income and middle-income countries: different realities mandate tailored solutions. *PLoS medicine*. 2017;14(3):e1002271. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1002271
- Prince M, Comas-Herrera A, Knapp M, Guerchet M, Karagiannidou M. Improving healthcare for people living with dementia: coverage, quality and costs now and in the future. *World Alzheimer Report*. 2016:1-131. doi:10.13140/RG.2.2.22580.04483
- Chao SZ, Rosen HJ, Azor V, et al. Frontotemporal dementia in eight Chinese individuals. *Neurocase*. 2013;19(1):76-84. doi:10.1080/13554794.2011.654218
- Ghosh A, Dutt A, Ghosh M, Bhargava P, Rao S. Using the revised diagnostic criteria for frontotemporal dementia in India: evidence of an advanced and florid disease. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(4):e60999. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060999
- Papatrifiantayllou JD, Viskontas IV, Papageorgiou SG, et al. Difficulties in detecting behavioral symptoms of frontotemporal lobar degeneration across cultures. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*. 2009;23(1):77-81. doi:10.1097/WAD.0b013e318182d874
- Sheng B, Law CB, Yeung KM. Characteristics and diagnostic profile of patients seeking dementia care in a memory clinic in Hong Kong. *Int Psychogeriatr*. 2009;21(2):392-400. doi:10.1017/S104161020800817X
- Guimarães HC, Vale TC, Pimentel V, de Sá NC, Beato RG, Caramelli P. Analysis of a case series of behavioral variant frontotemporal

- dementia: emphasis on diagnostic delay. *Dement Neuropsychol*. 2013;7(1):55-59. doi:10.1590/S1980-57642013DN7010009
20. Mekala S, Alladi S, Chandrasekar K, et al. Cultural differences are reflected in variables associated with carer burden in FTD: a comparison study between India and Australia. *Dement Neuropsychol*. 2013;7(1):104-109. doi:10.1590/S1980-57642013DN70100016
 21. Mahoney DF, Cloutterbuck J, Neary S, Zhan L. African American, Chinese, and Latino family caregivers' impressions of the onset and diagnosis of dementia: cross-cultural similarities and differences. *Gerontologist*. 2005;45(6):783-792. doi:10.1093/geront/45.6.783
 22. Nakamura AE, Opaleye D, Tani G, Ferri CP. Dementia underdiagnosis in Brazil. *Lancet*. 2015;385(9966):418-419. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(15)60153-2
 23. Castro-Suarez S, Guevara-Silva E, Caparó-Zamalloa C, et al. Knowledge and attitudes for the management of behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia. *Front Neurol*. 2021;12:786448. doi:10.3389/fneur.2021.786448
 24. Prince M, Livingston G, Katona C. Mental health care for the elderly in low-income countries: a health systems approach. *World Psychiatry*. 2007;6(1):5-13.
 25. Gleichgerrcht E, Flichtentrei D, Manes F. How much do physicians in Latin America know about behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia? *J Mol Neurosci*. 2011;45(3):609-617. doi:10.1007/s12031-011-9556-9
 26. Hahn RA, Truman BI. Education improves public health and promotes health equity. *Int J Health Serv*. 2015;45(4):657-678. doi:10.1177/0020731415585986
 27. Raghupathi V, Raghupathi W. The influence of education on health: an empirical assessment of OECD countries for the period 1995-2015. *Arch Public Health*. 2020;78:20. doi:10.1186/s13690-020-00402-5
 28. Koelkebeck K, Uwatoko T, Tanaka J, Kret ME. How culture shapes social cognition deficits in mental disorders: a review. *Soc Neurosci*. 2017;12(2):102-112. doi:10.1080/17470919.2016.1155482
 29. Corrigan PW, Watson AC. Understanding the impact of stigma on people with mental illness. *World Psychiatry*. 2002;1(1):16-20.
 30. Kim S, Werner P, Richardson A, Anstey KJ. Dementia Stigma Reduction (DESeRvE): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial of an online intervention program to reduce dementia-related public stigma. *Contemp Clin Trials Commun*. 2019;14:100351. doi:10.1016/j.conctc.2019.100351
 31. Rewerska-Juško M, Rejda K. Social Stigma of People with Dementia. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2020;78(4):1339-1343. doi:10.3233/jad-201004
 32. Prince MJ, Acosta D, Castro-Costa E, Jackson J, Shaji KS. Packages of care for dementia in low- and middle-income countries. *PLoS Med*. 2009;6(11):e1000176. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000176
 33. Stoner CR, Lakshminarayanan M, Durgante H, Spector A. Psychosocial interventions for dementia in low-and middle-income countries (LMICs): a systematic review of effectiveness and implementation readiness. *Aging & Mental Health*. 2021;25(3):408-419. doi:10.1080/13607863.2019.1695742
 34. Janevic MR, Connell CM. Racial, ethnic, and cultural differences in the dementia caregiving experience: recent findings. *Gerontologist*. 2001;41(3):334-347. doi:10.1093/geront/41.3.334
 35. Canu E, Agosta F, Battistella G, et al. Speech production differences in English and Italian speakers with nonfluent variant PPA. *Neurology*. 2020;94(10):e1062-e1072. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000008879
 36. Tee BL, Kwan-Chen LYL, Chen T-F, et al. Dysgraphia phenotypes in native Chinese speakers with primary progressive aphasia. *Neurology*. 2022;98(22):e2245-e2257. doi:10.1212/WNL.000000000000200350
 37. Dodge SG, Vincent L, Dacks P, Wheaton DKH, African American experiences of Frontotemporal Degeneration (FTD): a sub-cohort assessment of the FTD Insights Survey. Alzheimer's Association International Conference, San Diego, CA. July 2022.
 38. Vincent L, Dodge SG, Dacks P, Wheaton DKH, Perceptions of Frontotemporal Degeneration (FTD) Experiences among Latino Americans: A sub-cohort assessment of the FTD Insights Survey. Latinos and Alzheimer's Symposium, Bonita Springs, FL. 2022.
 39. Tsoy E, Kiehofer RE, Guterma EL, et al. Assessment of racial/ethnic disparities in timeliness and comprehensiveness of dementia diagnosis in California. *JAMA Neurol*. 2021;78(6):657-665. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2021.0399
 40. McLean D, Thara R, John S, et al. DSM-IV "criterion A" schizophrenia symptoms across ethnically different populations: evidence for differing psychotic symptom content or structural organization? *Cult Med Psychiatry*. 2014;38(3):408-426. doi:10.1007/s11013-014-9385-8
 41. Shimomura T, Mori E. Obstinate imitation behaviour in differentiation of frontotemporal dementia from Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet*. 1998;352(9128):623-624. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(05)79578-7
 42. Shinagawa S, Ikeda M, Nestor P, et al. Characteristics of abnormal eating behaviours in frontotemporal lobar degeneration: a cross-cultural survey. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2009;80(12):1413-1414. doi:10.1136/jnnp.2008.165332
 43. Davis EJ, Solsberg CW, White CC, et al. Sex-specific association of the X chromosome with cognitive change and tau pathology in aging and Alzheimer disease. *JAMA Neurol*. 2021;78(10):1249-1254. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2021.2806
 44. Flaherty C, Kraft J, Brothers A, et al. The relationship between oestrogen and executive functioning in ALS females with emerging Frontotemporal Lobar Degeneration (FTLD) supports a neuroendocrine model of FTLD attenuation. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener*. 2017;18(1-2):74-85. doi:10.1080/21678421.2016.1249487
 45. Illán-Gala I, Leo A, Karydas A, et al. Plasma tau and neurofilament light in frontotemporal lobar degeneration and Alzheimer disease. *Neurology*. 2021;96(5):e671-e683. doi:10.1212/WNL.00000000000011226
 46. Senaha MLH, Caramelli P, Nitrini R, Charchat-Fichman H, Weekes BS. Semantic dementia without surface dyslexia in Portuguese. *Brain Lang*. 2006;99(1-2):33-34. doi:10.1016/j.bandl.2006.06.031
 47. Wilson MA, Martínez-Cuitiño M. Semantic dementia without surface dyslexia in Spanish: unimpaired reading with impaired semantics. *Behav Neurol*. 2012;25(3):273-284. doi:10.3233/BEN-2012-119009
 48. Billette OV, Preiß D, Nestor PJ. The concept of regularization: resolving the problem of surface dyslexia in semantic variant primary progressive aphasia across different languages. *Neuropsychology*. 2020;34(3):298. doi:10.1037/neu0000611
 49. Ralph MAL, Sage K, Heredia CG, et al. El-La: the impact of degraded semantic representations on knowledge of grammatical gender in semantic dementia. *Acta Neuropsychol*. 2011;9(2):115-131.
 50. Auclair-Ouellet N, Fossard M, Houde M, Laforce R, Macoir J. Production of morphologically derived words in the semantic variant of primary progressive aphasia: preserved decomposition and composition but impaired validation. *Neurocase*. 2016;22(2):170-178. doi:10.1080/13554794.2015.1081391
 51. Auclair-Ouellet N, Fossard M, Laforce Jr R, Bier N, Macoir J. Conception or *conceivation? The processing of derivational morphology in semantic dementia. *Aphasiology*. 2017;31(2):166-188. doi:10.1080/02687038.2016.1168918
 52. Kavé G, Heinik J, Biran I. Preserved morphological processing in semantic dementia. *Cogn Neuropsychol*. 2012;29(7-8):550-568. doi:10.1080/02643294.2012.759097
 53. Sasanuma S, Monoi H. The syndrome of Gogi (word-meaning) aphasia: selective impairment of kanji processing. *Neurology*. 1975;25(7):627-627. doi:10.1212/wnl.25.7.627
 54. Yamadori A. Gogi (word meaning) aphasia and its relation with semantic dementia. *Front Neurol Neurosci*. 2019;44:30-38. doi:10.1159/000494950

55. Tee BL, Deleon J, Chen Li Ying LK, et al. Tonal and orthographic analysis in a Cantonese-speaking individual with nonfluent/agrammatic variant primary progressive aphasia. *Neurocase*. 2022;28(1):1-10. doi:10.1080/13554794.2021.1925302
56. Paradis M. In: Whitaker HA, Whitaker H, eds. *Bilingualism and aphasia*. Academic Press; 1977:65-121.
57. Albert ML, Obler LK. *The Bilingual Brain: Neuropsychological and Neurolinguistic Aspects of Bilingualism. Perspectives in Neurolinguistics and Psycholinguistics*. Academic Press; 1978.
58. Costa AS, Jokel R, Villarejo A, et al. Bilingualism in primary progressive aphasia: a retrospective study on clinical and language characteristics. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*. 2019;33(1):47. doi:10.1097/WAD.000000000000288
59. Devaughn S, Chen W, Burciaga J, Peery S. A case of semantic variant of primary progressive aphasia (svPPA) in a balanced bilingual. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol*. 2016;31(6):588-588. doi:10.1093/arclin/acw043.14
60. Druks J, Weekes BS. Parallel deterioration to language processing in a bilingual speaker. *Cogn Neuropsychol*. 2013;30(7-8):578-596. doi:10.1080/026443294.2014.882814
61. Filley CM, Ramsberger G, Menn L, Wu J, Reid BY, Reid AL. Primary progressive aphasia in a bilingual woman. *Neurocase*. 2006;12(5):296-299. doi:10.1080/13554790601126047
62. Hernández M, Caño A, Costa A, Sebastián-Gallés N, Juncadella M, Gascón-Bayarri J. Grammatical category-specific deficits in bilingual aphasia. *Brain Lang*. 2008;107(1):68-80. doi:10.1016/j.bandl.2008.01.006
63. Kambanaros M, Grohmann KK. BATting multilingual primary progressive aphasia for Greek, English, and Czech. *J Neurolinguistics*. 2012;25(6):520-537. doi:10.1016/j.jneuroling.2011.01.006
64. Lerner AJ. Progressive non-fluent aphasia in a bilingual subject: relative preservation of "Mother Tongue". *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2012;24(1):E9-E10. doi:10.1176/appi.neuropsych.11010019
65. Lind M, Simonsen HG, Ribu ISB, Svendsen BA, Svennevig J, de Bot K. Lexical access in a bilingual speaker with dementia: changes over time. *Clin Linguist Phon*. 2018;32(4):353-377. doi:10.1080/02699206.2017.1381168
66. Machado Á, Rodrigues M, Simões S, Santana I, Soares-Fernandes J. The Portuguese who could no longer speak French: primary progressive aphasia in a bilingual man. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2010;22(1):123. e131-123. e132. doi:10.1176/jnp.2010.22.1.123.e31
67. Malcolm T, Lerman A, Korytkowska M, Vonk JM, Obler LK. *Primary progressive aphasia in bilinguals and multilinguals*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.; 2019.
68. Mendez MF, Saghabi S, Clark DG. Semantic dementia in multilingual patients. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2004;16(3):381-381. doi:10.1176/jnp.16.3.381
69. Meyer AM, Snider SF, Eckmann CB, Friedman RB. Prophylactic treatments for anomia in the logopenic variant of primary progressive aphasia: cross-language transfer. *Aphasiology*. 2015;29(9):1062-1081. doi:10.1080/02687038.2015.1028327
70. Zanini S, Angeli V, Tavano A. Primary progressive aphasia in a bilingual speaker: a single-case study. *Clin Linguist Phon*. 2011;25(6-7):553-564. doi:10.3109/02699206.2011.566464
71. Ellajosyula R, Narayanan J, Patterson K. Striking loss of second language in bilingual patients with semantic dementia. *J Neurol*. 2020;267(2):551-560. doi:10.1007/s00415-019-09616-2
72. Adrover-Roig D, Galparsoro-Izagirre N, Marcotte K, Ferré P, Wilson MA, Inés Ansaldo A. Impaired L1 and executive control after left basal ganglia damage in a bilingual Basque-Spanish person with aphasia. *Clin Linguist Phon*. 2011;25(6-7):480-498. doi:10.3109/02699206.2011.563338
73. Bhat S, Chengappa S. Code switching in normal and aphasic Kannada-English bilinguals. *Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Bilingualism*; 2005; Somerville, Massachusetts.
74. Chengappa S, Daniel KE, Bhat S. Language mixing and switching in Malayalam-English bilingual aphasics. *Asia Pac Disabil Rehabil J*. 2004;15(2):68-76.
75. Paradis M, Goldblum M-C, Abidi R. Alternate antagonism with paradoxical translation behavior in two bilingual aphasic patients. *Brain Lang*. 1982;15(1):55-69. doi:10.1016/0093-934x(82)90046-3
76. Fujii DE. Developing a cultural context for conducting a neuropsychological evaluation with a culturally diverse client: the ECLECTIC framework. *Clin Neuropsychol*. 2018;32(8):1356-1392. doi:10.1080/13854046.2018.1435826
77. Henriquez F, Cabello V, Baez S, et al. Multidimensional clinical assessment in frontotemporal dementia and its spectrum in Latin America and the Caribbean: a narrative review and a glance at future challenges. *Front Neurol*. 2021;12:768591-768591. doi:10.3389/fneur.2021.768591
78. Poos JM, Russell LL, Peakman G, et al. Impairment of episodic memory in genetic frontotemporal dementia: a GENFI study. *Alzheimers Dement (Amst)*. 2021;13(1):e12185. doi:10.1002/dad2.12185
79. Franzen S, van den Berg E, Goudsmit M, et al. A systematic review of neuropsychological tests for the assessment of dementia in non-western, low-educated or illiterate populations. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2020;26(3):331-351. doi:10.1017/S1355617719000894
80. Hutchinson A, Mathias J. Neuropsychological deficits in frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease: a meta-analytic review. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2007;78(9):917-928. doi:10.1136/jnnp.2006.100669
81. Bruno D, Slachevsky A, Fiorentino N, et al. Argentinian/Chilean validation of the Spanish-language version of Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination III for diagnosing dementia. *Neurologia (Engl Ed)*. 2020;35(2):82-88. doi:10.1016/j.nrl.2017.06.004
82. Bruno D, Vignaga SS. Addenbrooke's cognitive examination III in the diagnosis of dementia: a critical review. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2019;15:441. doi:10.2147/NDT.S151253
83. Mekala S, Paplikar A, Mioshi E, et al. Dementia diagnosis in seven languages: the Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination-III in India. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol*. 2020;35(5):528-538. doi:10.1093/arclin/acaa013
84. Mathuranath PS, Nestor PJ, Berrios GE, Rakowicz W, Hodges JR. A brief cognitive test battery to differentiate Alzheimer's disease and frontotemporal dementia. *Neurology*. 2000;55(11):1613-1620. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000434309.85312.19
85. Amaral-Carvalho V, Lima-Silva TB, Mariano LI, et al. Brazilian version of Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination—Revised in the differential diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease and behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol*. 2022;37(2):437-448. doi:10.1093/arclin/acab071
86. Leyton CE, Hornberger M, Mioshi E, Hodges JR. Application of Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination to diagnosis and monitoring of progressive primary aphasia. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*. 2010;29(6):504-509. doi:10.1159/000313980
87. Custodio N, Alva-Diaz C, Becerra-Becerra Y, et al. Performance of cognitive brief test in elderly patients with dementia in advanced stage living in an urban community of Lima. *Peru Rev Peru Med Exp Salud Publica*. 2016;33(4):662-669. doi:10.17843/rpmesp.2016.334.2549
88. Eng N, Vonk JM, Salzberger M, Yoo N. A cross-linguistic comparison of category and letter fluency: Mandarin and English. *Q J Exp Psychol (Hove)*. 2019;72(3):651-660. doi:10.1177/1747021818765997
89. Wang T-L, Hung Y-H, Yang C-C. Psychometric properties of the Taiwanese (traditional Chinese) version of the Frontal Assessment Battery: a preliminary study. *Appl Neuropsychol Adult*. 2016;23(1):11-20. doi:10.1080/23279095.2014.995792

90. Li X, Shen M, Jin Y, et al. Validity and reliability of the new Chinese version of the Frontal Assessment Battery-phonemic. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2021;80(1):371-381. doi:10.3233/JAD-201028
91. Grandi F, Martínez-Pernía D, Parra M, et al. Standardization and diagnostic utility of the Frontal Assessment Battery for healthy people and patients with dementia in the Chilean population. *Dement Neuropsychol.* 2022;16:69-78. doi:10.1590/1980-5764-DN-2021-0059
92. Torralva T, Roca M, Gleichgerrcht E, Lopez P, Manes F. INECO Frontal Screening (IFS): a brief, sensitive, and specific tool to assess executive functions in dementia. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc.* 2009;15(5):777-786. doi:10.1017/S1355617709990415
93. Custodio N, Herrera-Perez E, Lira D, et al. Evaluation of the INECO Frontal Screening and the Frontal Assessment Battery in Peruvian patients with Alzheimer's disease and behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia. *eNeurologicalSci.* 2016;5:25-29. doi:10.1016/j.ensci.2016.11.001
94. Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bédirian V, et al. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2005;53(4):695-699. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.53221.x
95. Kaul S, Paplikar A, Varghese F, et al. MoCA in five Indian languages: a brief screening tool to diagnose dementia and MCI in a linguistically diverse setting. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2022;37(10). doi:10.1002/gps.5808
96. Tan YL, Ng A, Kandiah N. Frontotemporal dementia in southeast Asia: a comparative study. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Dis Extra.* 2013;3(1):1-9. doi:10.1159/000345780
97. Reyes P, Ortega-Merchan MP, Rueda A, et al. Functional connectivity changes in behavioral, semantic, and nonfluent variants of frontotemporal dementia. *Behav Neurol.* 2018;2018:9684129. doi:10.1155/2018/9684129
98. Morris JC. The Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR): current version and scoring rules. *Neurology.* 1993;43(11):2412-2414. doi:10.1212/wnl.43.11.2412-a
99. Knopman DS, Kramer JH, Boeve BF, et al. Development of methodology for conducting clinical trials in frontotemporal lobar degeneration. *Brain.* 2008;131(Pt 11):2957-2968. doi:10.1093/brain/awn234
100. Russo G, Russo MJ, Buyatti D, et al. Utility of the Spanish version of the FTLD-modified CDR in the diagnosis and staging in frontotemporal lobar degeneration. *J Neurol Sci.* 2014;344(1-2):63-68. doi:10.1016/j.jns.2014.06.024
101. Lima-Silva TB, Mioshi E, Bahia VS, et al. Disease progression in frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer disease: the contribution of staging scales. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol.* 2021;34(5):397-404. doi:10.1177/0891988720944239
102. Kertesz A, Davidson W, Fox H. Frontal Behavioral Inventory: diagnostic criteria for frontal lobe dementia. *Can J Neurol Sci.* 1997;24(1):29-36. doi:10.1017/s0317167100021053
103. Blair M, Kertesz A, Davis-Faroque N, et al. Behavioural measures in frontotemporal lobar dementia and other dementias: the utility of the Frontal Behavioural Inventory and the Neuropsychiatric Inventory in a national cohort study. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord.* 2007;23(6):406-415. doi:10.1159/000101908
104. Kertesz A, Nadkarni N, Davidson W, Thomas AW. The Frontal Behavioral Inventory in the differential diagnosis of frontotemporal dementia. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc.* 2000;6(4):460-468. doi:10.1017/s1355617700644041
105. Alberici A, Geroldi C, Cotelli M, et al. The Frontal Behavioural Inventory (Italian version) differentiates frontotemporal lobar degeneration variants from Alzheimer's disease. *Neurol Sci.* 2007;28(2):80-86. doi:10.1007/s10072-007-0791-3
106. Bahia VS, da Silva MM, Viana R, et al. Behavioral and activities of daily living inventories in the diagnosis of frontotemporal lobar degeneration and Alzheimer's disease. *Dement Neuropsychol.* 2008;2:108-113. doi:10.1590/S1980-57642009DN20200006
107. Boutoleau-Brettonnière C, Lebouvier T, Volteau C, et al. Prospective evaluation of behavioral scales in the behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord.* 2012;34(2):75-82. doi:10.1159/000341784
108. Chin J, Seo SW, Kim SH, et al. Neurobehavioral dysfunction in patients with subcortical vascular mild cognitive impairment and subcortical vascular dementia. *Clin Neuropsychol.* 2012;26(2):224-238. doi:10.1080/13854046.2012.658865
109. Diehl-Schmid J, Schülte-Overberg J, Hartmann J, Förstl H, Kurz A, Häussermann P. Extrapyramidal signs, primitive reflexes and incontinence in fronto-temporal dementia. *Eur J Neurol.* 2007;14(8):860-864. doi:10.1111/j.1468-1331.2007.01773.x
110. Gündüz T, Emir Ö, Kürtüncü M, et al. Cognitive impairment in neuro-Behcet's disease and multiple sclerosis: a comparative study. *Int J Neurosci.* 2012;122(11):650-656. doi:10.3109/00207454.2012.704454
111. Li S, Ou R, Yuan X, et al. Executive dysfunctions and behavioral changes in early drug-naïve patients with Parkinson's disease. *J Affect Disord.* 2019;243:525-530. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2018.09.052
112. Pachalska M, Talar J, Kurzbauer H, Frańczuk B, Grochmal-Bach B, Macqueen BD. Differential diagnosis of frontal syndrome in patients with closed-head injuries. *Ortop Traumatol Rehabil.* 2002;4(1):81-87.
113. Watanabe Y, Beeldman E, Raaphorst J, et al. Japanese version of the ALS-FTD-Questionnaire (ALS-FTD-QJ). *J Neurol Sci.* 2016;367:51-55. doi:10.1016/j.jns.2016.05.036
114. Mioshi E, Hsieh S, Savage S, Hornberger M, Hodges JR. Clinical staging and disease progression in frontotemporal dementia. *Neurology.* 2010;74(20):1591-1597. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181e04070
115. Lima-Silva TB, Bahia VS, Carvalho VA, et al. Translation, cross-cultural adaptation and applicability of the Brazilian version of the Frontotemporal Dementia Rating Scale (FTD-FRS). *Dement Neuropsychol.* 2013;7:387-396. doi:10.1590/S1980-57642013DN7400006
116. Turró-Garriga O, Hermoso Contreras C, Olives Cladera J, et al. Adaptation and validation of a Spanish-language version of the Frontotemporal Dementia Rating Scale (FTD-FRS). *Neurologia.* 2017;32(5):290-299. doi:10.1016/j.nrl.2015.12.004
117. Malloy P, Tremont G, Grace J, Frakey L. The frontal systems behavior scale discriminates frontotemporal dementia from Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2007;3(3):200-203. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2007.04.374
118. Arshad F, Paplikar A, Mekala S, et al. Social cognition deficits are pervasive across both classical and overlap frontotemporal dementia syndromes. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Dis Extra.* 2020;10(3):115-126. doi:10.1159/000511329
119. Sachdev PS, Lipnicki DM, Kochan NA, et al. The prevalence of mild cognitive impairment in diverse geographical and ethnocultural regions: the COSMIC Collaboration. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(11):e0142388. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0142388
120. Schmitter-Edgecombe MGT. Measures of activities of daily living. *The SAGE handbook of clinical neuropsychology (Vol 2)*. Sage Publications. forthcoming.
121. Giebel CM, Knopman D, Mioshi E, Khondoker M. Distinguishing frontotemporal dementia from Alzheimer disease through everyday function profiles: trajectories of Change. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol.* 2021;34(1):66-75. doi:10.1177/0891988720901791
122. Yemm H, Robinson DL, Paddick SM, et al. Instrumental activities of daily living scales to detect cognitive impairment and dementia in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2021;83(1):451-474. doi:10.3233/jad-210532
123. Musa Salech G, Lillo P, van der Hiele K, Méndez-Orellana C, Ibáñez A, Slachevsky A. Apathy, executive function, and emotion recognition are the main drivers of functional impairment in behavioral variant

- of frontotemporal dementia. *Front Neurol*. 2021;12:734251. doi:10.3389/fneur.2021.734251
124. Ayhan Y, Karahan S, Akbulut BB, Topçuoğlu ES, Bilir N, Caman OK. Culture Matters in Assessment of Daily Activities: An example from Turkey in a large community sample. *Alzheimer's Dement*. 2022;18(Suppl 8):e069456. doi:10.1002/alz.069456
 125. Fiske ST, Taylor SE. *Social Cognition: From Brains to Culture*. Sage Publications, Ltd; 2013.
 126. Frith CD, Frith U. Social cognition in humans. *Curr Biol*. 2007;17(16):R724-R732. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2007.05.068
 127. Dickerson BC. Dysfunction of social cognition and behavior. *Continuum (Minneap Minn)*. 2015;21:660. doi:10.1212/01.CON.0000466659.05156.1d
 128. Seeley WW, Carlin DA, Allman JM, et al. Early frontotemporal dementia targets neurons unique to apes and humans. *Ann Neurol*. 2006;60(6):660-667. doi:10.1002/ana.21055
 129. Engelmann JB, Pogosyan M. Emotion perception across cultures: the role of cognitive mechanisms. *Front Psychol*. 2013;4:118. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00118
 130. Atkins D, Uskul AK, Cooper NR. Culture shapes empathic responses to physical and social pain. *Emotion*. 2016;16(5):587. doi:10.1037/emo0000162
 131. Cassels TG, Chan S, Chung W, Birch SAJ. The role of culture in affective empathy: cultural and bicultural differences. *J Cogn Cult*. 2010;10(3-4):309-326. doi:10.1163/156853710x531203
 132. Eriksson K, Strimling P, Gelfand M, et al. Perceptions of the appropriate response to norm violation in 57 societies. *Nat Commun*. 2021;12(1):1-11. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-21602-9
 133. Cheon BK, Im D-m, Harada T, et al. Cultural influences on neural basis of intergroup empathy. *NeuroImage*. 2011;57(2):642-650. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.04.031
 134. Derntl B, Habel U, Robinson S, et al. Amygdala activation during recognition of emotions in a foreign ethnic group is associated with duration of stay. *Soc Neurosci*. 2009;4(4):294-307. doi:10.1080/17470910802571633
 135. Han S, Ma Y. Cultural differences in human brain activity: a quantitative meta-analysis. *NeuroImage*. 2014;99:293-300. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.05.062
 136. Ma Y, Bang D, Wang C, et al. Sociocultural patterning of neural activity during self-reflection. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci*. 2014;9(1):73-80. doi:10.1093/scan/nss103
 137. Moriguchi Y, Ohnishi T, Kawachi T, et al. Specific brain activation in Japanese and Caucasian people to fearful faces. *Neuroreport*. 2005;16(2):133-136. doi:10.1097/00001756-200502080-00012
 138. Dodich A, Crespi C, Santi GC, Cappa SF, Cerami C. Evaluation of discriminative detection abilities of social cognition measures for the diagnosis of the behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia: a systematic review. *Neuropsychol Rev*. 2021;31(2):251-266. doi:10.1007/s11065-020-09457-1
 139. Kumfor F, McDonald S. Research methodologies, brain correlates, cross-cultural perspectives. In: McDonald S, ed. *Clinical Disorders of Social Cognition*. Routledge; 2021:52-80.
 140. Franzen S, Pappa JM, van den Berg E, Nielsen TR. Cross-cultural neuropsychological assessment in the European Union: a Delphi expert study. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol*. 2021;36(5):815-830. doi:10.1093/arclin/aca083
 141. Quesque F, Coutrot A, de Souza LC, et al. Does culture shape our understanding of others' thoughts and emotions? An investigation across 12 countries. *Neuropsychology*. 2022;36(7):664-682. doi:10.1037/neu0000817
 142. Vellante M, Baron-Cohen S, Melis M, et al. The "Reading the Mind in the Eyes" test: systematic review of psychometric properties and a validation study in Italy. *Cogn Neuropsychiatry*. 2013;18(4):326-354. doi:10.1080/13546805.2012.721728
 143. Custodio N, Montesinos R, Cruzado L, et al. Social cognition and behavioral assessments improve the diagnosis of behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia in older Peruvians with low educational levels. *Front Neurol*. 2021;12:704109. doi:10.3389/fneur.2021.704109
 144. de Souza LC, Bertoux M, de Faria ÁRV, et al. The effects of gender, age, schooling, and cultural background on the identification of facial emotions: a transcultural study. *Int Psychogeriatr*. 2018;30(12):1861-1870. doi:10.1017/S1041610218000443
 145. Elfenbein HA, Ambady N. When familiarity breeds accuracy: cultural exposure and facial emotion recognition. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 2003;85(2):276-290. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.85.2.276
 146. Elfenbein HA, Ambady N. On the universality and cultural specificity of emotion recognition: a meta-analysis. *Psychol Bull*. 2002;128(2):203-235. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.128.2.203
 147. Perez-Zapata D, Slaughter V, Henry JD. Cultural effects on mindreading. *Cognition*. 2016;146:410-414. doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2015.10.018
 148. Adams Jr RB, Rule NO, Franklin Jr RG, et al. Cross-cultural reading the mind in the eyes: an fMRI investigation. *J Cogn Neurosci*. 2010;22(1):97-108. doi:10.1162/jocn.2009.21187
 149. Vu T-V, Finkenauer C, Huizinga M, Novin S, Krabbendam L. Do individualism and collectivism on three levels (country, individual, and situation) influence theory-of-mind efficiency? A cross-country study. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(8):e0183011. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0183011
 150. Christopoulos GI, Uy MA, Yap WJ. The body and the brain: measuring skin conductance responses to understand the emotional experience. *Organ Res Methods*. 2019;22(1):394-420. doi:10.1177/1094428116681073
 151. Kumfor F, Hazelton JL, Rushby JA, Hodges JR, Piguet O. Facial expressiveness and physiological arousal in frontotemporal dementia: phenotypic clinical profiles and neural correlates. *Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci*. 2019;19(1):197-210. doi:10.3758/s13415-018-00658-z
 152. Rahal R-M, Fiedler S. Understanding cognitive and affective mechanisms in social psychology through eye-tracking. *J Exp Soc Psychol*. 2019;85:103842. doi:10.1016/j.jesp.2019.103842
 153. Lezak MD. The problem of assessing executive functions. *Int J Psychol*. 1982;17(1-4):281-297. doi:10.1080/00207598208247445
 154. Fernandez AL, Marcopulos BA. A comparison of normative data for the Trail Making Test from several countries: equivalence of norms and considerations for interpretation. *Scand J Psychol*. 2008;49(3):239-246. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9450.2008.00637.x
 155. Narme P, Maillat D, Palisson J, Le Clésiau H, Moroni C, Belin C. How to assess executive functions in a low-educated and multicultural population using a switching verbal fluency test (the TFA-93) in neurodegenerative diseases? *Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen*. 2019;34(7-8):469-477. doi:10.1177/1533317519833844
 156. Maj M, D'Elia L, Satz P, et al. Evaluation of two new neuropsychological tests designed to minimize cultural bias in the assessment of HIV-1 seropositive persons: a WHO study. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol*. 1993;8(2):123-135. doi:10.1016/0887-6177(93)90030-5
 157. Sedó M. 5 digit test: a multilingual non-reading alternative to the Stroop test. *Rev Neurol*. 2004;38(9):824-828.
 158. Goudsmit M, Uysal-Bozkir Ö, Parlevliet JL, van Campen JP, de Rooij SE, Schmand B. The Cross-Cultural Dementia Screening (CCD): a new neuropsychological screening instrument for dementia in elderly immigrants. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol*. 2017;39(2):163-172. doi:10.1080/13803395.2016.1209464
 159. Suárez-González A, Cassani A, Gopalan R, Stott J, Savage S. When it is not primary progressive aphasia: a scoping review of spoken language impairment in other neurodegenerative dementias. *Alzheimers Dement (N Y)*. 2021;7(1):e12205. doi:10.1002/trc2.12205

160. Maruta C, Guerreiro M, De Mendonça A, Hort J, Scheltens P. The use of neuropsychological tests across Europe: the need for a consensus in the use of assessment tools for dementia. *Eur J Neurol*. 2011;18(2):279-285. doi:10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.03134.x
161. Rabin LA, Paolillo E, Barr WB. Stability in test-usage practices of clinical neuropsychologists in the United States and Canada over a 10-year period: a follow-up survey of INS and NAN members. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol*. 2016;31(3):206-230. doi:10.1093/arclin/acw007
162. Baird AD, Ford M, Podell K. Ethnic differences in functional and neuropsychological test performance in older adults. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol*. 2007;22(3):309-318. doi:10.1016/j.acn.2007.01.005
163. Barker-Collo S. Boston naming test performance of older New Zealand adults. *Aphasiology*. 2007;21(12):1171-1180. doi:10.1080/02687030600821600
164. Boone KB, Victor TL, Wen J, Razani J, Pontón M. The association between neuropsychological scores and ethnicity, language, and acculturation variables in a large patient population. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol*. 2007;22(3):355-365. doi:10.1016/j.acn.2007.01.010
165. Byrd DA, Rivera Mindt MM, Clark US, et al. Creating an antiracist psychology by addressing professional complicity in psychological assessment. *Psychol Assess*. 2021;33(3):279. doi:10.1037/pas0000993
166. Salo SK, Marceaux JC, McCoy KJ, Hilsabeck RC. Removing the noose item from the Boston naming test: a step toward antiracist neuropsychological assessment. *Clin Neuropsychol*. 2022;36(2):311-326. doi:10.1080/13854046.2021.1933187
167. Reis A, Faisca L, Ingvar M, Petersson KM. Color makes a difference: two-dimensional object naming in literate and illiterate subjects. *Brain Cogn*. 2006;60(1):49-54. doi:10.1016/j.bandc.2005.09.012
168. Reis A, Petersson KM, Castro-Caldas A, Ingvar M. Formal schooling influences two-but not three-dimensional naming skills. *Brain Cogn*. 2001;47(3):397-411. doi:10.1006/brcg.2001.1316
169. Gollan TH, Weissberger GH, Runnqvist E, Montoya RI, Cera CM. Self-ratings of spoken language dominance: a Multilingual Naming Test (MINT) and preliminary norms for young and aging Spanish-English bilinguals. *Biling (Camb Engl)*. 2012;15(3):594-615. doi:10.1017/S1366728911000332
170. Li C, Zeng X, Neugroschl J, et al. The 32-item Multilingual Naming Test: cultural and linguistic biases in monolingual Chinese-speaking older adults. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2022;28(5):511-519. doi:10.1017/S1355617721000746
171. Ardila A. Toward the development of a cross-linguistic naming test. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol*. 2007;22(3):297-307. doi:10.1016/j.acn.2007.01.016
172. Franzen S, van den Berg E, Ayhan Y, et al. The Naming Assessment in Multicultural Europe (NAME): development and validation in a multicultural memory clinic. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2022;1-13. doi:10.1017/S135561772100148X
173. Fichman HC, Fernandes CS, Nitrini R, et al. Age and educational level effects on the performance of normal elderly on category verbal fluency tasks. *Dement Neuropsychol*. 2009;3:49-54. doi:10.1590/S1980-57642009DN30100010
174. Da Silva CG, Petersson KM, Faisca L, Ingvar M, Reis A. The effects of literacy and education on the quantitative and qualitative aspects of semantic verbal fluency. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol*. 2004;26(2):266-277. doi:10.1076/j.jcen.26.2.266.28089
175. Nielsen TR, Waldemar G. Effects of literacy on semantic verbal fluency in an immigrant population. *Neuropsychol Dev Cogn B Aging Neuropsychol Cogn*. 2016;23(5):578-590. doi:10.1080/13825585.2015.1132668
176. Kempler D, Teng EL, Dick M, Taussig IM, Davis DS. The effects of age, education, and ethnicity on verbal fluency. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 1998;4(6):531-538. doi:10.1017/s1355617798466013
177. Rascovsky K, Matallana DL. Frontotemporal dementia. *International Neurology*. 2016:153-156.
178. Matías-Guiu JA, Cueto F, Cabrera-Martín MN, et al. Reading difficulties in primary progressive aphasia in a regular language-speaking cohort of patients. *Neuropsychologia*. 2017;101:132-140. doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2017.05.018
179. Matías-Guiu JA, Pytel V, Hernández-Lorenzo L, et al. Spanish version of the mini-linguistic state examination for the diagnosis of primary progressive aphasia. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2021;83(2):771-778. doi:10.3233/jad-210668
180. Patel N, Peterson KA, Ingram R, et al. The Mini Linguistic State Examination (MLSE): a brief but accurate assessment tool for classifying Primary Progressive Aphasias. *medRxiv*. 2020:1-35. doi:10.1101/2020.06.02.20119974
181. Guo Q, He C, Wen X, Song L, Han Z, Bi Y. Adapting the Pyramids and Palm Trees Test and the Kissing and Dancing Test and developing other semantic tests for the Chinese population. *Applied Psycholinguistics*. 2014;35(6):1001-1019. doi:10.1017/S0142716412000677
182. Paplikar A, Vandana VP, Mekala S, et al. Semantic memory impairment in dementia: a cross-cultural adaptation study. *Neurol Sci*. 2022;43(1):265-273. doi:10.1007/s10072-021-05272-5
183. Mendis SB, Raymont V, Tabet N. Bilingualism: a global public health strategy for healthy cognitive aging. *Front Neurol*. 2021;12:628368. doi:10.3389/fneur.2021.628368
184. Nielsen TR. Effects of illiteracy on the European Cross-Cultural Neuropsychological Test Battery (CNTB). *Arch Clin Neuropsychol*. 2019;34(5):713-720. doi:10.1093/arclin/acy076
185. Plejert C, Antelius E, Yazdanpanah M, Nielsen TR. 'There's a letter called e!' on challenges and repair in interpreter-mediated tests of cognitive functioning in dementia evaluations: a case study. *J Cross Cult Gerontol*. 2015;30(2):163-187. doi:10.1007/s10823-015-9262-0
186. Babulal GM, Quiroz YT, Albeni BC, et al. Perspectives on ethnic and racial disparities in Alzheimer's disease and related dementias: update and areas of immediate need. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2019;15(2):292-312. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2018.09.009
187. Raman R, Quiroz YT, Langford O, et al. Disparities by race and ethnicity among adults recruited for a preclinical Alzheimer disease trial. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2021;4(7):e2114364-e2114364. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.14364
188. Coronel E, Halstead D, Fregni F. Clinical research in Latin America: obstacles and opportunities. *Clin Investig*. 2011;1(7):911-913. doi:10.4155/CLI.11.83
189. Gómez HL, Pinto JA, Castañeda C, Vallejos CS. Current barriers for developing clinical research in Latin America: a cross-sectional survey of medical oncologists. *Clin Res Trials*. 2015;1(2):22-28. doi:10.15761/CRT.1000108
190. Cotelli M, Manenti R, Ferrari C, Gobbi E, Macis A, Cappa SF. Effectiveness of language training and non-invasive brain stimulation on oral and written naming performance in Primary Progressive Aphasia: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2020;108:498-525. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.12.003
191. Pagnoni I, Gobbi E, Premi E, et al. Language training for oral and written naming impairment in primary progressive aphasia: a review. *Transl Neurodegener*. 2021;10(1):1-34. doi:10.1186/s40035-021-00248-z
192. Beveridge ME, Bak TH. The languages of aphasia research: bias and diversity. *Aphasiology*. 2011;25(12):1451-1468. doi:10.1080/02687038.2011.624165
193. Machado TH, Carthery-Goulart MT, Campanha AC, Caramelli P. Cognitive intervention strategies directed to speech and language deficits in primary progressive aphasia: practice-based evidence from 18 cases. *Brain Sci*. 2021;11(10):1268. doi:10.3390/brainsci11101268

194. Grasso SM, Peña ED, Kazemi N, et al. Treatment for anomia in bilingual speakers with progressive aphasia. *Brain Sci.* 2021;11(11):1371. doi:10.3390/brainsci11111371
195. Santhanam SP, Parveen S, Santhanam SP, Parveen S. Serving culturally and linguistically diverse clients: a review of changing trends in speech-language pathologists' self-efficacy and implications for stakeholders. *Clin Arch Commun Disord.* 2018;3(3):165-177. doi:10.21849/cacd.2018.00395
196. Riedl L, Last D, Danek A, Diehl-Schmid J. Long-term follow-up in primary progressive aphasia: clinical course and health care utilisation. *Aphasiology.* 2014;28(8-9):981-992. doi:10.1080/02687038.2014.904497
197. Taylor C, Kingma RM, Croot K, Nickels L. Speech pathology services for primary progressive aphasia: exploring an emerging area of practice. *Aphasiology.* 2009;23(2):161-174. doi:10.1080/02687030801943039
198. Brodaty H, Donkin M. Family caregivers of people with dementia. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci.* 2009;11(2):217-228. doi:10.31887/DCNS.2009.11.2/hbrodaty
199. Boutoleau-Bretonnière C, Vercelletto M, Volteau C, Renou P, Lamy E. Zarit burden inventory and activities of daily living in the behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord.* 2008;25(3):272-277. doi:10.1159/000117394
200. de Vugt ME, Riedijk SR, Aalten P, Tibben A, van Swieten JC, Verhey FR. Impact of behavioural problems on spousal caregivers: a comparison between Alzheimer's disease and frontotemporal dementia. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord.* 2006;22(1):35-41. doi:10.1159/000093102
201. Mourik JC, Rosso SM, Niermeijer MF, Duivenvoorden HJ, Van Swieten JC, Tibben A. Frontotemporal dementia: behavioral symptoms and caregiver distress. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord.* 2004;18(3-4):299-306. doi:10.1159/000080123
202. Riedijk SR, De Vugt ME, Duivenvoorden HJ, et al. Caregiver burden, health-related quality of life and coping in dementia caregivers: a comparison of frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord.* 2006;22(5-6):405-412. doi:10.1159/000095750
203. Mioshi E, Bristow M, Cook R, Hodges JR. Factors underlying caregiver stress in frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord.* 2009;27(1):76-81. doi:10.1159/000193626
204. Mioshi E, Foxe D, Leslie F, et al. The impact of dementia severity on caregiver burden in frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer disease. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord.* 2013;27(1):68-73. doi:10.1097/WAD.0b013e318247a0bc
205. Velilla L, Hernández J, Giraldo-Chica M, Guzmán-Vélez E, Quiroz Y, Lopera F. A Spanish neuropsychological battery discriminates between the behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia and primary progressive aphasia in a Colombian sample. *Front Neurol.* 2021;12:656478. doi:10.3389/fneur.2021.656478
206. Brooke J, Ojo O. Contemporary views on dementia as witchcraft in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic literature review. *J Clin Nurs.* 2020;29(1-2):20-30. doi:10.1111/jocn.15066
207. Khonje V, Milligan C, Yako Y, Mabelane M, Borochoowitz KE, de Jager CA. Knowledge, attitudes and beliefs about dementia in an urban Xhosa-speaking community in South Africa. *Adv Alzheimer Dis.* 2015;04(02):21-36. doi:10.4236/aad.2015.42004
208. Mushi D, Rongai A, Paddick SM, Dotchin C, Mtuya C, Walker R. Social representation and practices related to dementia in Hai District of Tanzania. *BMC Public Health.* 2014;14:260. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-14-260
209. Belle SH, Burgio L, Burns R, et al. Enhancing the quality of life of dementia caregivers from different ethnic or racial groups: a randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med Clin Cases.* 2006;145(10):727-738. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-145-10-200611210-00005
210. Gallagher-Thompson D, Gray HL, Dupart T, Jimenez D, Thompson LW. Effectiveness of cognitive/behavioral small group intervention for reduction of depression and stress in non-Hispanic white and Hispanic/Latino women dementia family caregivers: outcomes and mediators of change. *J Ration Emot Cogn Behav Ther.* 2008;26(4):286-303. doi:10.1007/s10942-008-0087-4
211. Hu M, Ma C, Sadarangani T, Wu B. Social-behavioral interventions for Asian and Hispanic American dementia caregivers: an integrative review. *Aging Health Res.* 2021;1(3):100027. doi:10.1016/j.ahr.2021.100027
212. Nielsen TR, Nielsen DS, Waldemar G. A personalized dementia care intervention for family carers from minority ethnic groups in Denmark: a pilot study. *Dementia.* 2022;21(2):477-488. doi:10.1177/14713012211046597
213. Askari N, Bilibrey AC, Garcia Ruiz I, Humber MB, Gallagher-Thompson D. Dementia awareness campaign in the Latino community: a novel community engagement pilot training program with promotoras. *Clin Gerontol.* 2018;41(3):200-208. doi:10.1080/07317115.2017.1398799
214. Code C. The implications of public awareness and knowledge of aphasia around the world. *Ann Indian Acad Neurol.* 2020;23(Suppl 2):S95. doi:10.4103/aian.AIAN_460_20
215. McCann C, Tunnicliffe K, Anderson R. Public awareness of aphasia in New Zealand. *Aphasiology.* 2013;27(5):568-580. doi:10.1080/02687038.2012.740553
216. Ibanez A, Slachevsky A, Serrano C, Manual de Buenas Practicas para el Diagnostica de Demencia. Fundacion INECO. 2020.
217. Walentas CD, Shineman DW, Horton AR, Boeve BF, Fillit HM. An analysis of global research funding for the frontotemporal dementias: 1998-2008. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2011;7(2):142-150. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2010.11.010
218. International Test Commission. The International Test Commission guidelines for translating and adapting tests. 2017 (Second Edition).
219. Chen TB, Lin CY, Lin KN, et al. Culture qualitatively but not quantitatively influences performance in the Boston naming test in a chinese-speaking population. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Dis Extra.* 2014;4(1):86-94. doi:10.1159/000360695
220. Li Y, Qiao Y, Wang F, et al. Culture effects on the Chinese version Boston naming test performance and the normative data in the native Chinese-speaking elders in Mainland China. *Front Neurol.* 2022;13:866261. doi:10.3389/fneur.2022.866261
221. Mehta UM, Thirthalli J, Naveen Kumar C, et al. Validation of Social Cognition Rating Tools in Indian Setting (SOCRATIS): a new test-battery to assess social cognition. *Asian J Psychiatr.* 2011;4(3):203-209. doi:10.1016/j.ajp.2011.05.014
222. Kosmidis MH. Challenges in the neuropsychological assessment of illiterate older adults. *Lang Cogn Neurosci.* 2018;33(3):373-386. doi:10.1080/23273798.2017.1379605
223. United States Food and Drug Administration. Enhancing the Diversity of Clinical Trial Populations - Eligibility Criteria, Enrollment Practices, and Trial Designs Guidance for Industry. 2020.
224. Matee MI, Manyando C, Ndumbe PM, et al. European and Developing Countries Clinical Trials Partnership (EDCTP): the path towards a true partnership. *BMC Public Health.* 2009;9:249. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-9-249
225. Ibanez A, Yokoyama JS, Possin KL, et al. The Multi-Partner Consortium to Expand Dementia Research in Latin America (ReDLat): driving Multicentric Research and Implementation Science. *Front Neurol.* 2021;12:631722. doi:10.3389/fneur.2021.631722
226. Williams MM, Meisel MM, Williams J, Morris JC. An interdisciplinary outreach model of African American recruitment for Alzheimer's disease research. *Gerontologist.* 2011;51(Suppl 1):S134-141. doi:10.1093/geront/gnq098

227. Leung AYM, Molassiotis A, Zhang J, et al. Dementia literacy in the Greater Bay Area, China: identifying the at-risk population and the preferred types of mass media for receiving dementia information. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(7):2511. doi:10.3390/ijerph17072511
228. Nuytemans K, Franzen S, Caramelli P, et al. Gaps in basic science research in frontotemporal dementia: A call for diversity and disparities focused research. Manuscript in preparation. 2022.
229. ALLFTD. ARTFL-LEFFTDs Longitudinal Frontotemporal Lobar Degeneration: a multisite research consortium. 2012; Accessed 2022. www.allftd.org
230. Genetic FTD Initiative. GENFI. 2012; Accessed 2022. www.genfi.org. Updated 2022.
231. The FTD Prevention Initiative. The FPI. 2015; Accessed 2022. www.thefpi.org. Updated 2022.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Franzen S, Nuytemans K, Bourdage R, et al. Gaps in clinical research in frontotemporal dementia: A call for diversity and disparities-focused research. *Alzheimer's Dement*. 2023;19:5817-5836. <https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.13129>