
This is the **accepted version** of the journal article:

Tarragón, Ernesto; Testa, Giulia; Granero, Roser; [et al.]. «Spanish validation of the brief pornography screen within a clinical sample of individuals with gambling disorder». *Archives of sexual behavior*, 10 pàg. 2024. DOI 10.1007/s10508-024-02986-3

This version is available at <https://ddd.uab.cat/record/301634>

under the terms of the  IN COPYRIGHT license

Spanish Validation of the Brief Pornography Screen Within a Clinical Sample of Individuals with Gambling Disorder

Ernesto Tarragón¹, Giulia Testa², Roser Granero^{3,4,5}, Marc N. Potenza^{6,7,8,9,10,11}, Shane Krauss¹², Juan Carlos Uriszar^{13,14}, Carlos Chiclana Actis^{2,15,17}, Fernando Fernández-Aranda^{3,5,13,16}, Susana Jiménez-Murcia^{3,5,13,16} Gemma Mestre-Bach^{2#}

1 Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad Internacional de La Rioja, Logrono, La Rioja, Spain

2 Instituto de Investigacion, Transferencia e Innovacion, Universidad Internacional de La Rioja, Logrono, La Rioja 26006, Spain

3 Ciber Fisiopatologia Obesidad y Nutricion, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Barcelona, Spain

4 Departament de Psicobiologia i Metodologia de Les Ciencies de La Salut, Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

5 Psychoneurobiology of Eating and Addictive Behaviors Group, Neuroscience Program, Bellvitge Biomedical Research Institute, Barcelona, Spain

6 Department of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

7 Child Study Center, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

8 Connecticut Mental Health Center, New Haven, CT, USA

9 Connecticut Council on Problem Gambling, Wethersfield, CT, USA

10 Department of Neuroscience, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

11 Wu Tsai Institute, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

12 Department of Psychology, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV, USA

13 Department of Clinical Psychology, University Hospital of Bellvitge, Barcelona, Spain

14 Sub-Directorate General for the Regulation of Gambling, Directorate General for Taxation and Gambling, Secretariat of Finance, Ministry of the Economy and Finance, Generalitat de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain

15 Unidad de Sexología Clínica y Salud Sexual, Consulta Dr. Carlos Chiclana, Madrid, Spain

16 Department of Clinical Sciences, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

17 Instituto de Estudios de las Adicciones, Universidad CEU San Pablo, Alcorcón, Madrid, Spain

Corresponding author: Gemma Mestre-Bach: gemma.mestre@unir.net

Abstract

Problem Pornography Use (PPU) shares key characteristics with other behavioural addictions, such as Gambling Disorder (GD) and there are suggestions that PPU and GD may be at risk for co-occurrence. In order to fill existing gaps in screening instruments for PPU validated in GD, the study examined the psychometric properties of the Spanish version of the Brief Pornography Screen (BPS) within a clinical sample of individuals with GD. Two hundred individuals seeking treatment for GD were consecutively recruited. The psychometric proprieties of the BPS were addressed by performing confirmatory factorial analysis (CFA) and testing convergent and discriminant validity. The CFA supported a one-factor solution showing high internal consistency ($\alpha=0.81$). The BPS showed convergent validity and correlated with psychological distress, general psychopathology, impulsive traits and low self-directedness. The BPS demonstrated adequate psychometric properties and is therefore recommended as a brief screening tool for identifying probable PUs in individuals with GD.

Keywords Gambling disorder; Problematic pornography use; Validation; Addictive behaviour;

Introduction

The rapid advancement of technology and the internet has dramatically transformed the accessibility and use of pornography (Lewczuk et al. 2021). Pornography has sparked significant debates regarding its potential effects on individuals, relationships, and society at large. While some argue for the personal and interpersonal benefits of pornography (Goldsmith et al., 2017; Komlenac & Hochleitner, 2022; Sommet & Berent, 2022; Tillman & Wells, 2022), others express concerns about its potentially negative consequences, such as relationship conflicts, desensitization to sexual stimuli, and problematic pornography use (PPU) (Antons & Brand, 2021; Camilleri et al., 2021; Dwulit & Rzymski, 2019; Goh et al., 2023; Park et al., 2018).

PPU refers to patterns of consumption of pornography that lead to negative consequences in various life domains, including psychological well-being, relationships, and social functioning (Ince et al., 2021). Although PPU is not currently recognized as an official diagnostic entity, it has been included in the compulsive sexual behavior disorder (CSBD) (Kraus et al., 2018), exhibiting several parallels with addictive behaviors (Antons & Brand, 2021; Gola et al., 2017; Potenza, 2017). Similar to other addictions, PPU is characterized by an inability to control or regulate one's consumption, preoccupation with pornography, and continued engagement despite negative consequences (Blinka et al., 2022; Mehmood Qadri et al., 2023). However, the novelty of PPU and the ongoing debate on its categorization highlights the need for empirical research to better understand its mechanisms and to develop appropriate measurement tools for assessing its effects (Fernandez & Griffiths, 2021). While behavioral neuroscientific and other features have been pointed out by many authors (Mestre-Bach et al., 2020; Stark et al., 2018), the addictive nature of sexually explicit materials remains debated (Love et al., 2015).

Interestingly, research suggests that PPU shares pivotal features with other, more consolidated behavioral addictions, such as gambling disorder (GD) (Mestre-Bach et al., 2020). Both PPU and GD show impulsivity, craving, and reward-seeking behavior (Gola et al., 2017; Stark et al., 2018). In addition, previous studies have reported a high frequency of co-occurrence between CSBD and GD

(Cowie et al., 2019; Grant & Kim, 2003; Grant & Steinberg, 2005). Given the behavioral resemblance of both disorders, it would be reasonable to hypothesize that both PPU and GD rely on similar brain circuits and processes (Mestre-Bach et al., 2020; Potenza, 2009). Nonetheless, these findings are not consistent across all studies, and the exact nature of the relationship remains unclear. Hence the need for developing and employing valid and reliable measurement tools that capture its multifaceted nature. One such measure is the Brief Pornography Screen (BPS), which is a single-factor structure of five-items to assess possible PPU. The validation of the BPS scale was conducted by multiple studies in independent samples of adults from U.S. and Poland and Bangladesh, showing robust psychometric proprieties (Islam et al., 2022; Kraus et al., 2020). In addition, the BPS was validated in a clinical sample of males seeking treatment for PPU, establishing the recommended clinical cut-off (Kraus et al., 2020). However, the BPS, nor other measures of PPU have not yet been validated among individuals with GD, which is a crucial step for a comprehensive evaluation of co-occurrence and comorbidities and adaptation of effective prevention and intervention strategies. Moreover, cross-cultural validation plays a critical role in advancing the understanding of clinical constructs like PPU. Therefore, the goal of the present study is the validation of BPS on a Spanish sample of individuals seeking treatment for GD. Proving efficacy of verified diagnostic tools in one condition to another would provide remarkable insight on the shared, underlying processes of both disorders.

Methods

Sample and Procedure

Data were collected from 2021 to 2022 by consecutively recruiting adults seeking treatment for GD at the Behavioral Addictions Unit at Bellvitge University Hospital in Barcelona. This public hospital, which serves a catchment area of more than two million people in the southern region of the Barcelona metropolitan area, is recognized for its expertise in the treatment of addictive psychological behaviors. Psychologists and psychiatrists with over 20 years of clinical experience in assessing and treating GD were responsible for diagnosing the patients. Only adult patients (>18 years) of both

sexes with GD as their primary health concern were included in the present validation study. Patients with a history of brain injury or neurological disease and reported an organic or neurodegenerative diseases were not excluded. A total sample of 200 patients with GD (89% men) aged between 18 and 77 years (Mage = 40.48 years; SD = 14.22) were included in the present study (Table 1).

Regarding the translation procedure of the BPS, the scale was first translated from English to Spanish and back to English according to the guidelines for the process of cross-cultural adaptation of self-report measures (Beaton et al., 2000).

Ethics

In accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, the study procedures received approval from the University Hospital Clinical Research Ethics Committee. All subjects were fully informed about the study and provided their informed consent.

Measures

Brief Pornography Screen (BPS; Kraus et al., 2020)

The BPS is a short screening tool comprising five items designed to assess PPU within the previous six months. Participants provide their responses using a three-point scale, ranging from 0 (never) to 2 (very often). Total scores, calculated by summing the item scores, range from 0 to 10. A suggested clinical cut-off score of 4 or higher has been recommended. The BPS has demonstrated excellent reliability and validity across various independent samples (Kraus et al., 2020).

Pornography Consumption Inventory (PCI; Reid et al., 2011)

The assessment of pornography-use motivations using the PCI involves four factors: sexual pleasure, arousal seeking, sexual curiosity, and emotional avoidance. The scale comprises 13 items in Likert scales (from 1=Never to 5=Many times). Scores on the scale can range from 15 to 75, without a defined cut-off point. Higher scores indicate a stronger inclination to use pornography for specific reasons. The PCI has a factorial structure consisting of three dimensions: emotional avoidance, sexual curiosity, and excitement seeking and sexual pleasure. In this study, the Spanish validation of the

scale was utilized, demonstrating excellent reliability (>0.90) across all factors and an internal consistency of 0.93 (Leon-Larios et al., 2019). Within the study sample, internal consistency was also excellent for the different factor scales and the total score ($\alpha = 0.92$ for emotional avoidance, $\alpha = 0.92$ for sexual curiosity, $\alpha = 0.94$ for excitement-pleasure, and $\alpha = 0.92$ for the total score).

Symptom Checklist-Revised (SCL-90-R; Derogatis, 1990)

This SCL-90 is a comprehensive measure of psychological distress and psychopathology. The 90 items of the scale evaluate nine symptom dimensions: somatization, obsessive-compulsive, interpersonal sensitivity, depression, anxiety, hostility, phobic anxiety, paranoid ideation, and psychoticism. In addition, global indexes of psychopathological distress are also scored. The Spanish adapted version of the questionnaire (Derogatis, 2002) that was used in this study showed Cronbach's α between 0.79 and 0.93. In the present sample, internal consistency ranged from good to excellent (Cronbach's α between 0.81 and 0.98).

Impulsive Behavior Scale (UPPS-P; Whiteside et al., 2001)

The UPPS-P is a 59 items questionnaire of impulsive personality traits including five dimensions (negative urgency, positive urgency, lack of premeditation, lack of perseverance, and sensation seeking) and a total score. The Spanish-language adaptation shows good reliability (Cronbach's α between 0.79 and 0.93) and external validity (Verdejo-García et al., 2010). Similarly, good reliability was shown in the present sample (Cronbach's between 0.75 and 0.92).

Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale (DERS; Gratz & Roemer, 2004)

The DERS is a 36 items questionnaire to assess emotion dysregulation. This include six subscales (1) lack of emotional awareness (i.e., difficulties attending to emotional states), (2) lack of emotional clarity (i.e., impairments related to recognizing emotional experiences), (3) non-acceptance of emotional responses (i.e., the tendency to experience negative secondary emotional responses), (4) difficulties engaging in goal-directed behavior (i.e., difficulties accomplishing tasks in the presence of intense emotional states) (5) limited access to ER strategies (i.e., the belief that there is little that

can be done to effectively regulate emotions when experiencing upset) and (6) impulse control difficulties (i.e., impairment in remaining in control of one's behavior under negative emotional states). The Spanish version of the DERS (Hervás & Jódar, 2008; Wolz et al., 2015) was adopted, showing an internal consistency for the present sample that ranged $\alpha = 0.76$ to $\alpha = 0.92$.

Temperament and Character Inventory-Revised (TCI-R; Cloninger, 1999)

The TCI-R is a 240-item questionnaire to assess personality traits. Response are based on 5-point Likert-type scale. The TCI-R distinguish between seven primary personality dimensions: four temperamental factors (novelty seeking, harm avoidance, reward dependence, and persistence) and three character dimensions (self-directedness, cooperativeness, and self-transcendence). The Spanish revised version showed adequate internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha a mean value of 0.87). This version was used for the present work showing Cronbach's alpha from 0.70 to 0.87.

Sociodemographic and Clinical Variables

Demographic, clinical, and social/family variables related to gambling were collected by a face-to-face semi structured interview described elsewhere (S Jiménez-Murcia et al., 2006). The gambling behavior variables assessed included the age of onset and duration of gambling behaviour, and information concerning the type of gambling activity (e.g. strategic vs non-strategic; online vs land based) (See Table 1 for details).

Statistical analysis

Stata18 for Windows was used to carry out a Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) for the BPS (the one-dimensional solution was tested, according to the results obtained in previous studies). The next criteria was defined for considering adequate goodness-of-fit (Barret, 2007): non-significant result in the chi-square test (χ^2), root mean square error of approximation RMSEA lower than 0.08, Bentler's Comparative Fit Index CFI higher than 0.90, Tucker-Lewis Index TLI higher than 0.90, and standardized root mean square residual SRMR lower than 0.10. The convergent-discriminative validity for the BPS factor score (compared to external measures of the clinical state and the personality profile) was measured with Pearson's correlation, assuming mild-moderate effect size for $|R|>0.24$, and large-good for $|R|>0.37$ (Kelly & Preacher, 2012).

Results

Characteristics of the sample

Table 1 shows the distribution of the sociodemographic profile and gambling related measures for the sample. The distribution of sex was 22 female patients (11.0%) versus 178 male (89.0%). Most participants were single (n=99, 49.5%), with primary education (n=96, 48.0%), employed (n=129, 64.5%) and pertained to low socioeconomic position (n=100, 50.0%). Mean age was 49.5 yrs (SD=14.2), mean onset of the gambling problems was 28.9 yrs (SD=12.2), and mean duration of the gambling related harms 6.1 yrs (SD=7.1). The distribution of the gambling preference was 42.0% only non-strategic, 40.0% only strategic and 18.0% mixed. The modality of the gambling activity was 53.0% offline, 24.0% online and 23.0% mixed.

--- Insert Table 1 ---

Psychometric properties of the BPS

Adequate goodness-of-fit was obtained in the CFA: $\chi^2= 3.14$, $p=.208$; RMSEA=0.054; CFI=0.997; TLI=0.983; SRMR=0.015). The internal consistency measured with Cronbach's alpha and Omega coefficients was into the good range ($\alpha=0.811$ and Omega $\Omega=0.855$). All the items achieved significant standardized coefficients with high values (between 0.587 for “using more than what” to 0.708 to “unable stop using”). Table 2 shows the complete results with the results obtained for the factorial study.

--- Insert Table 2 ---

The correlation matrix with the associations between the BPS with external measures showed positive correlations with the psychopathology state (SCL-90R interpersonal, depression, psychosis, GSI and PST scales), impulsivity levels (UPPS-P positive urgency, negative urgency and total), and the PCI scales. A negative association between the PBS and the TCI-R self-directedness was also obtained.

--- Insert Table 3 ---

Discussion

The study aimed to validate the Spanish version of the BPS in a clinical sample of individuals with GD. Overall, the BPS showed adequate psychometric properties in the current sample, suggesting its usefulness as a brief screening tool for identifying probable PPU in individuals with GD.

The CFA supported the one-factor structure composed of five items, as for the original version of the scale (Kraus et al., 2020). The Goodness of fit was in a good range, and the BPS showed good internal consistency and reliability in the sample.

Convergent validity was confirmed by the association between BPS and the PCI, in line with the results from the original validation studies (Kraus et al., 2020). The present findings suggest that the symptoms of pornography addiction assessed by the BPS are associated with higher motivation to use pornography among individuals with GD. In particular, stronger correlations were found with the emotional avoidance subscale of the PCI. This may reflect the use of pornography to regulate emotions as an important dimension of PPU (Kor et al., 2014).

Higher values in the BPS were related to higher severity of general psychopathology. This confirms previous evidence linking PPU to psychopathology or poor mental health (Bibi et al., 2022; Borgogna et al., 2018; Hernandez-Mora & Varescon, 2022; Hernández et al., 2023; Shirk et al., 2021; Whitfield et al., 2018). Correlations between the BPS and measures of depression and anxiety were also reported in the validation study of the BPS in general population (Islam et al., 2022). Similarly, we found positive associations between BPS and depression symptoms in a clinical sample of individuals with GD. Furthermore, a higher presence of psychotic symptoms and a higher degree of discomfort, inadequacy, and inferiority during social interaction were associated with higher BPS scores in the present sample. It is reasonable to assume that individuals with co-occurring GD and PPU may have more complicated clinical profiles with a higher presence of comorbid psychopathology.

As expected, the UPPS-P dimensions of negative and positive urgency were associated with higher BPS scores, confirming the results from the original validation studies (Kraus et al., 2020). The present findings may indicate that the comorbidity or co-occurrence of GD and PPU is more likely in individuals characterized by emotion-driven impulsivity.

However, no significant correlations were present between the BPS and emotion dysregulation measured by the DERS. Although dysfunctional emotion regulation/coping strategies have been linked to GD (Marchica et al., 2019; Neophytou et al., 2023; Velotti et al., 2021) this variable does not appear to be directly related to PPU in individuals with GD. Taken together the present findings may suggest that symptoms of pornography addiction measured by the BPS in individuals with GD are linked to emotional-driven impulsivity rather than to general emotion regulation difficulties.

Finally, BPS correlated with lower self-directedness, which is a personality trait reflecting low flexibility and reduced ability to adapt behaviors to achieve one's goals and values. Low self-directedness has been previously reported in individuals with GD and has been related to higher severity of the GD symptoms (Forbush et al., 2008; Janiri et al., 2007; Susana Jiménez-Murcia et al., 2021; Moragas et al., 2015; Pettor Russo et al., 2021). Low self-directedness is also linked to lower willpower and lower self-regulation abilities, which may contribute to the likelihood of developing problematic or addictive behaviors (Hahn et al., 2017).

One of the major strengths of the present study is the lack of validated measures to detect PPU in the GD population. Considering the risk of co-occurrence of addictive behaviors in individuals with GD (Cowie et al., 2019; Grant & Kim, 2003; Grant & Steinberg, 2005; Susana Jiménez-Murcia et al., 2014) the BPS provides a quick and easy assessment of PPU due to its brevity (five-items). This makes it easy to include the BPS in the initial assessment of patients with GD. At the clinical level, this would facilitate the identification of gamblers with multiple addictive behaviors and thus help tailor specific interventions.

The study has some limitations. First, a small sample size of females with GD in the study. Although a higher prevalence of GD and pornography use/PPU has been frequently reported in males than

females (Kürbitz & Briken, 2021), the gender invariance of the BPS could not be tested as recommended (Bőthe et al., 2018). Overall, studies in larger samples of females with GD, if available, are recommended to further extend psychometric proprieties of the BPS to female patients. Another limitation is the self-report nature of the assessment, which may introduce potential biases. For example, it should be considered that individuals seeking gambling treatment may be more likely to disclose other problems, such as those related to pornography use.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, this study evaluated the psychometric properties of the BPS in patients with GD. The BPS is a reliable and valid scale of PPU that can be used as an initial screening tool to detect the addictive use of pornography in individuals with GD. Therefore, BPS is recommended to be included in the initial assessment of GD to help identify co-occurring disorders and consequently develop appropriate interventions.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-024-02986-3>.

Acknowledgment Ernesto Tarragon and Giulia Testa shared first authorship.

Funding Dr. Potenza's involvement was supported by the Connecticut Council on Problem Gambling. Dr. Mestre-Bach and this study was supported by the CITEI B22-001 project (Universidad Internacional de La Rioja) and by the Proyecto de Investigación concedido por la UNIR en la convocatoria 2021-2023. Financial support was received through the Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades through the grant RTI2018-101837-B-100 (co-funded by European Regional Development Fund. ERDF, a way to build Europe). FIS PI14/00290, FIS PI17/01167 received aid from the Ministerio de Sanidad, Servicios Sociales e Igualdad. The research was also funded by the Delegación del Gobierno para el Plan Nacional sobre Drogas (2019I47 and 2021I031), CIBER Fisiología Obesidad y Nutrición (CIBERobn is an initiatives of

ISCIII. We thank CERCA Programme / Generalitat de Catalunya for institutional support. Roser Granero was supported by The Catalan Institution for Research and Advanced Studies (ICREA Academia Program).

Conflicts of interest

Dr. Potenza discloses that he has consulted for and advised Game Day Data, Addiction Policy Forum, AXA, Idorsia, Baria-Tek, and Opiant Therapeutics; been involved in a patent application with Yale University and Novartis; received research support from the Mohegan Sun Casino and the Connecticut Council on Problem Gambling; consulted for or advised legal and gambling entities on issues related to impulse control and addictive behaviors; provided clinical care related to impulse-control and addictive behaviors; performed grant reviews; edited journals/journal sections; given academic lectures in grand rounds, CME events, and other clinical/scientific venues; and generated books or chapters for publishers of mental health texts. Dr. Fernández-Aranda received consultancy honoraria from Novo Nordisk and editorial honoraria as EIC from Wiley. The rest of the authors have no conflicts of interest with the content of this manuscript.

Ethical Approval All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the review board of the Bellvitge University Hospital on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000.

Informed Consent All participants provided signed consent prior to being included in the study.

References

Antons, S., & Brand, M. (2021). Diagnostic and Classification Considerations Related to Compulsive Sexual Behavior Disorder and Problematic Pornography Use. *Current Addiction Reports*, 8(3), 452–457. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S40429-021-00383-7/METRICS>

Ballester-Arnal, R., Garcia-Barba, M., Castro-Calvo, J., Gimenez- Garcia, C., & Gil-Llario, M. D. (2022). Pornography consumption in people of different age groups: An analysis based on gender, contents, and consequences. *Sexuality Research and Social Policy*, 20, 766-779. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13178-022-00720-z>

Barrett, P. (2007). Structural equation modelling: Adjudging model fit. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 42(5), 815-824. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PAID.2006.09.018>

Beaton, D. E., Bombardier, C., Guillemin, F., & Ferraz, M. B. (2000). Guidelines for the process of cross-cultural adaptation of self-report measures. *Spine, 25*(24), 3186–3191.

Bibi, K., Fatima, A., Amin, R., & Rowland, D. L. (2022). Understanding Serial Mediators of Problematic Pornography Use in Pakistani Men and Women. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19*(21).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/IJERPH192114336>

Blinka, L., Ševčíková, A., Dreier, M., Škařupová, K., & Wölfling, K. (2022). Online Sex Addiction: A Qualitative Analysis of Symptoms in Treatment-Seeking Men. *Frontiers in Psychiatry, 13*, 1333. [https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYT.2022.907549/BIBTEX](https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYT.2022.907549)

Borgogna, N. C., Duncan, J., & McDermott, R. C. (2018). Is scrupulosity behind the relationship between problematic pornography viewing and depression, anxiety, and stress? <Https://Doi-Org.Sire.Ub.Edu/10.1080/10720162.2019.1567410>, 25(4), 293–318.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10720162.2019.1567410>

Bőthe, B., Tóth-Király, I., Zsila, Á., Griffiths, M. D., Demetrovics, Z., & Orosz, G. (2018). The Development of the Problematic Pornography Consumption Scale (PPCS). <Https://Doi-Org.Sire.Ub.Edu/10.1080/00224499.2017.1291798>, 55(3), 395–406.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2017.1291798>

Camilleri, C., Perry, J. T., & Sammut, S. (2021). Compulsive Internet Pornography Use and Mental Health: A Cross-Sectional Study in a Sample of University Students in the United States. *Frontiers in Psychology, 11*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYG.2020.613244/FULL>

Cloninger, C. R. (1999). *The temperament and character inventory-revised*.

Cowie, M. E., Kim, H. S., Hodgins, D. C., McGrath, D. S., Scanavino, M. D. T., & Tavares, H. (2019). Demographic and psychiatric correlates of compulsive sexual behaviors in gambling disorder. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions, 8*(3), 451–462.
<https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.8.2019.35>

Derogatis, L. (1990). *SCL-90-R. Administration, scoring and procedures manual* (Clinical P).

Derogatis, L. R. (2002). *SCL-90-R. Cuestionario de 90 síntomas-Manual*. TEA Editorial.

Dwulit, A. D., & Rzymski, P. (2019). The Potential Associations of Pornography Use with Sexual Dysfunctions: An Integrative Literature Review of Observational Studies. *Journal of Clinical Medicine 2019, Vol. 8, Page 914*, 8(7), 914. <https://doi.org/10.3390/JCM8070914>

Fernandez, D. P., & Griffiths, M. D. (2021). Psychometric Instruments for Problematic Pornography Use: A Systematic Review. *Evaluation and the Health Professions, 44*(2), 111–141. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0163278719861688>

Forbush, K. T., Shaw, M., Graeber, M. A., Hovick, L., Meyer, V. J., Moser, D. J., Bayless, J., Watson, D., & Black, D. W. (2008). Neuropsychological characteristics and personality traits in pathological gambling. *CNS Spectrums, 13*(4), 306–315.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1092852900016424>

Goh, P. H., Phuah, L. A., & Low, Y. H. (2023). Pornography consumption and sexual health among emerging adults from Malaysia: an observational study. *Sexual Health, 20*(2).
<https://doi.org/10.1071/SH22181>

Gola, M., Wordecha, M., Sescousse, G., Lew-Starowicz, M., Kossowski, B., Wypych, M., Makeig, S., Potenza, M. N., & Marchewka, A. (2017). Can Pornography be Addictive? An fMRI Study of Men Seeking Treatment for Problematic Pornography Use. *Neuropsychopharmacology: Official Publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology, 42*(10), 2021–2031. <https://doi.org/10.1038/NPP.2017.78>

Goldsmith, K., Dunkley, C. R., Dang, S. S., & Gorzalka, B. B. (2017). Pornography consumption and its association with sexual concerns and expectations among young men and women. <Https://Doi.Org/10.3138/Cjhs.262-A2>, 26(2), 151–162. <https://doi.org/10.3138/CJHS.262-A2>

Grant, J. E., & Kim, S. W. (2003). Comorbidity of impulse control disorders in pathological gamblers. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 108*(3), 203–207. <https://doi.org/10.1034/J.1600-0447.2003.00162.X>

Grant, J. E., & Steinberg, M. A. (2005). Compulsive Sexual Behavior and Pathological Gambling.

Sexual Addiction & Compulsivity, 12(2–3), 235–244.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10720160500203856>

Gratz, K. L., & Roemer, L. (2004). Multidimensional Assessment of Emotion Regulation and Dysregulation: Development, Factor Structure, and Initial Validation of the Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment*, 26(1), 41–54. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOBA.0000007455.08539.94>

Hahn, E., Reuter, M., Spinath, F. M., & Montag, C. (2017). Internet addiction and its facets: The role of genetics and the relation to self-directedness. *Addictive Behaviors*, 65, 137–146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ADDBEH.2016.10.018>

Hernandez-Mora, M., & Varescon, I. (2022). Sociodemographic and Psychopathological Factors Predicting Problematic Pornography Use in a Young Adult French Community Sample. *Https://Doi-Org.Sire.Ub.Edu/10.1080/26929953.2022.2110342*, 29(3–4), 108–126. <https://doi.org/10.1080/26929953.2022.2110342>

Hernández, M., Ruiz, M., Castillo, D., Bonnet, P., Varescon, I., María, *, & Ruiz, H.-M. (2023). Profiles of Pornography Use Based on Addictive Mechanisms and Psychopathological Features. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction* 2023, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11469-023-01087-X>

Hervás, G., & Jódar, R. (2008). Adaptación al castellano de la Escala de Dificultades en la Regulación Emocional. *Clínica y Salud*, 19(2), 139–156.

Ince, C., Yücel, M., Albertella, L., & Fontenelle, L. F. (2021). Exploring the clinical profile of problematic pornography use. *CNS Spectrums*, 26(6), 648–657. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1092852920001686>

Islam, M. S., Tasnim, R., Sujan, M. S. H., Bóthe, B., Ferdous, M. Z., Sikder, M. T., Siddique, M. A. Bin, Toma, T. Y., Kamruzzaman, S., Sakib, M. N., Pinky, K. N., Islam, M. R., Anter, F. S., Hossain, A., Hossen, I., Kraus, S. W., & Potenza, M. N. (2022). Validation and Evaluation of the Psychometric Properties of the Bangla Version of the Brief Pornography Screen in Men and Women. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11469-022-00903-0/TABLES/4>

Janiri, L., Martinotti, G., Dario, T., Schifano, F., & Bria, P. (2007). The gamblers' Temperament and Character Inventory (TCI) personality profile. *Substance Use and Misuse*, 42(6), 975–984. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10826080701202445>

Jiménez-Murcia, S, Aymamí-Sanromà, M Gómez-Peña, M., Álvarez-Moya, E., & Vallejo, J. (2006). Protocols de tractament cognitivoconductual pel joc patològic i d'altres addiccions no tòxiques [Cognitive-behavioral treatment protocols for pathological gambling and other non-toxic addictions. *Barcelona, Spain: Hospital Universitari de Bellvitge, Departament de Salut, Generalitat de Catalunya*.

Jiménez-Murcia, Susana, Fernández-Aranda, F., Granero, R., Chóliz, M., La Verde, M., Aguglia, E., Signorelli, M. S., Sá, G. M., Aymamí, N., Gómez-Peña, M., Del Pino-Gutiérrez, A., Moragas, L., Fagundo, A. B., Sauchelli, S., Fernández-Formoso, J. A., & Menchón, J. M. (2014). Video game addiction in gambling disorder: Clinical, psychopathological, and personality correlates. *BioMed Research International*, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/315062>

Jiménez-Murcia, Susana, Giménez, M., Granero, R., López-González, H., Gómez-Peña, M., Moragas, L., Bañas, I., Pino-Gutiérrez, A. Del, Codina, E., Mena-Moreno, T., Valenciano-Mendoza, E., Mora-Maltas, B., Valero-Solís, S., Rivas-Pérez, S., Guillén-Guzmán, E., Menchón, J. M., & Fernández-Aranda, F. (2021). Psychopathological status and personality correlates of problem gambling severity in sports bettors undergoing treatment for gambling disorder. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 1(aop). <https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.2020.00101>

Komlenac, N., & Hochleitner, M. (2022). Associations Between Pornography Consumption, Sexual Flexibility, and Sexual Functioning Among Austrian Adults. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 51(2), 1323–1336. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10508-021-02201-7>

Kor, A., Zilcha-Mano, S., Fogel, Y. A., Mikulincer, M., Reid, R. C., & Potenza, M. N. (2014).

Psychometric development of the Problematic Pornography Use Scale. *Addictive Behaviors*, 39(5), 861–868. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ADDBEH.2014.01.027>

Kraus, S. W., Gola, M., Grubbs, J. B., Kowalewska, E., Hoff, R. A., Lew-Starowicz, M., Martino, S., Shirk, S. D., & Potenza, M. N. (2020). Validation of a Brief Pornography Screen across multiple samples. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 9(2), 259–271. <https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.2020.00038>

Kraus, S. W., Krueger, R. B., Briken, P., First, M. B., Stein, D. J., Kaplan, M. S., Voon, V., Abdo, C. H. N., Grant, J. E., Atalla, E., & Reed, G. M. (2018). Compulsive sexual behaviour disorder in the ICD-11. *World Psychiatry*, 17(1), 109–110. <https://doi.org/10.1002/WPS.20499>

Kürbitz, L. I., & Briken, P. (2021). Is Compulsive Sexual Behavior Different in Women Compared to Men? *Journal of Clinical Medicine* 2021, Vol. 10, Page 3205, 10(15), 3205. <https://doi.org/10.3390/JCM10153205>

Leon-Larios, F., Saavedra-Macias, F. J., Albar-Marin, M. J., & Gomez-Baya, D. (2019). Pornography Influence Among Young Students: Adaptation and Validation of Pornography Consumption Inventory into Spanish. *Journal of Sex and Marital Therapy*, 45(7), 652–661. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0092623X.2019.1610124>

Love, T., Laier, C., Brand, M., Hatch, L., & Hajela, R. (2015). Neuroscience of Internet Pornography Addiction: A Review and Update. *Behavioral Sciences*, 5(3), 388. <https://doi.org/10.3390/BS5030388>

Marchica, L. A., Mills, D. J., Derevensky, J. L., & Montreuil, T. C. (2019). The Role of Emotion Regulation in Video Gaming and Gambling Disorder: A Systematic Review. *Canadian Journal of Addiction*, 10(4), 19–29. <https://doi.org/10.1097/CXA.0000000000000070>

Mehmood Qadri, H., Waheed, A., Munawar, A., Saeed, H., Abdullah, S., Munawar, T., Luqman, S., Saffi, J., Ahmad, A., & Babar, M. S. (2023). Physiological, Psychosocial and Substance Abuse Effects of Pornography Addiction: A Narrative Review. *Cureus*. <https://doi.org/10.7759/CUREUS.33703>

Mestre-Bach, G., Fernández-Aranda, F., Jiménez-Murcia, S., & Potenza, M. N. (2020). Decision-Making in Gambling Disorder, Problematic Pornography Use, and Binge-Eating Disorder: Similarities and Differences. *Current Behavioral Neuroscience Reports*, 7(3), 97–108. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S40473-020-00212-7/TABLES/1>

Moragas, L., Granero, R., Stinchfield, R., Fernández-Aranda, F., Fröberg, F., Aymamí, N., Gómez-Peña, M., Fagundo, A. B., Islam, M. A., del Pino-Gutiérrez, A., Agüera, Z., Savvidou, L. G., Arcelus, J., Witcomb, G. L., Sauchelli, S., Menchón, J. M., & Jiménez-Murcia, S. (2015). Comparative analysis of distinct phenotypes in gambling disorder based on gambling preferences. *BMC Psychiatry*, 15(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-015-0459-0>

Neophytou, K., Theodorou, M., Artemi, T. F., Theodorou, C., & Panayiotou, G. (2023). Gambling to escape: A systematic review of the relationship between avoidant emotion regulation/coping strategies and gambling severity. *Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science*, 27, 126–142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCBS.2023.01.004>

Park, C. H. K., Lee, J. W., Lee, S. Y., Shim, S. H., Moon, J. J., Paik, J. W., Cho, S. J., Kim, S. G., Kim, M. H., Kim, S., Park, J. H., You, S., Jeon, H. J., & Ahn, Y. M. (2018). Implications of Increased Trait Impulsivity on Psychopathology and Experienced Stress in the Victims of Early Trauma with Suicidality. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 206(11), 840–849. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NMD.0000000000000884>

Pettor Russo, M., Testa, G., Granero, R., Martinotti, G., d'Andrea, G., di Giannantonio, M., Fernández-Aranda, F., Mena-Moreno, T., Gómez-Peña, M., Moragas, L., Baenas, I., Del Pino-Gutierrez, A., Codina, E., Valenciano-Mendoza, E., Mora-Maltas, B., Zoratto, F., Valero-Solís, S., Guillen-Guzmán, E., Menchón, J. M., & Jiménez-Murcia, S. (2021). The transition time to gambling disorder: The roles that age, gambling preference and personality traits play. *Addictive Behaviors*, 116, 106813. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ADDBEH.2020.106813>

Potenza, M. N. (2009). The Importance of Animal Models of Decision Making, Gambling, and Related Behaviors: Implications for Translational Research in Addiction.

Neuropsychopharmacology 2009 34:13, 34(13), 2623–2624.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2009.152>

Potenza, M. N. (2017). Clinical neuropsychiatric considerations regarding nonsubstance or behavioral addictions. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience*, 19(3), 281–291.
<https://doi.org/10.31887/DCNS.2017.19.3/mpotenza>

Reid, R. C., Li, D. S., Gilliland, R., Stein, J. A., & Fong, T. (2011). Reliability, Validity, and Psychometric Development of the Pornography Consumption Inventory in a Sample of Hypersexual Men. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*, 37(5), 359–385.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0092623X.2011.607047>

Shirk, S. D., Saxena, A., Park, D., & Kraus, S. W. (2021). Predicting problematic pornography use among male returning US veterans. *Addictive Behaviors*, 112.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ADDBEH.2020.106647>

Sommet, N., & Berent, J. (2022). Porn use and men's and women's sexual performance: evidence from a large longitudinal sample. *Psychological Medicine*, 1–10.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S003329172100516X>

Stark, R., Klucken, T., Potenza, M. N., Brand, M., & Strahler, J. (2018). A Current Understanding of the Behavioral Neuroscience of Compulsive Sexual Behavior Disorder and Problematic Pornography Use. *Current Behavioral Neuroscience Reports*, 5(4), 218–231.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/S40473-018-0162-9/METRICS>

Tillman, M., & Wells, B. E. (2022). An Intersectional Feminist Analysis of Women's Experiences of Authenticity in Pornography. <Https://Doi.Org/10.1080/00224499.2021.2024489>.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2021.2024489>

Velotti, P., Rogier, G., Beomonte Zobel, S., & Billieux, J. (2021). Association between gambling disorder and emotion (dys)regulation: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 87, 102037. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CPR.2021.102037>

Verdejo-García, A., Lozano, Ó., Moya, M., Alcázar, M. Á., & Pérez-García, M. (2010). Psychometric properties of a Spanish version of the UPPS-P impulsive behavior scale: reliability, validity and association with trait and cognitive impulsivity. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 92(1), 70–77. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00223890903382369>

Whiteside, S. P., Lynam, D. R., Miller, J. D., & Reynolds, S. K. (2001). Validation of the UPPS Impulsive Behavior Scale: A four factor model of impulsivity. *ProQuest Dissertations and Theses*, 574(May 2004), 90-90 p. <https://doi.org/10.1002/per.556>

Whitfield, T. H. F., Rendina, H. J., Grov, C., & Parsons, J. T. (2018). Viewing Sexually Explicit Media and Its Association with Mental Health Among Gay and Bisexual Men Across the U.S. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 47(4), 1163–1172. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10508-017-1045-Y>

Wolz, I., Agüera, Z., Granero, R., Jiménez-Murcia, S., Gratz, K. L., Menchón, J. M., & Fernández-Aranda, F. (2015). Emotion regulation in disordered eating: Psychometric properties of the difficulties in emotion regulation scale among spanish adults and its interrelations with personality and clinical severity. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6(JUN).
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00907>

Table 1 Descriptive for the sample

		<i>n</i>	%		<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>
Sex	Female	22	11.0%		40.48	14.22
	Male	178	89.0%			
Civil status	Single	99	49.5%		28.87	12.19
	Married – couple	83	41.5%			
Education	Divorced – separated	18	9.0%		6.14	7.07
	Primary	96	48.0%			
	Secondary	88	44.0%			
Employment	University	16	8.0%			
	Unemployed	71	35.5%			
	Employed	129	64.5%			
Social	High	4	2.0%			
	Mean-high	24	12.0%			
	Mean	26	13.0%			
	Mean-low	46	23.0%			
	Low	100	50.0%			
<i>Gambling activity</i>				<i>n</i>	%	
Preference				84	42.0%	
				80	40.0%	
				36	18.0%	
Modality				Land based	106	53.0%
				Online	48	24.0%
				Mixed	46	23.0%

Note. SD: standard deviation.

Table 2 Results in the SEM (CFA), descriptive, and reliability statistics, for the total sample

Item	Results obtained in the CFA					Descriptive					
	Std.B	SE	z-stat.	p-value	95%CI (Std.B)	Never	Ocass.	Often	Mean	SD	
1. Using more than want	0.587	0.071	8.26	<0.001	0.448	0.726	76.5%	20.5%	3.0%	1.27	0.51
2. Unable stop using	0.708	0.062	11.45	<0.001	0.587	0.830	89.0%	10.0%	1.0%	1.12	0.36
3. Urges to use	0.690	0.065	10.6	<0.001	0.562	0.817	89.0%	7.5%	3.5%	1.15	0.44
4. Use to cope emotions	0.591	0.063	9.44	<0.001	0.468	0.714	76.0%	20.5%	3.5%	1.28	0.52
5. Use even feel guilty	0.643	0.070	9.18	<0.001	0.506	0.780	80.0%	15.5%	4.5%	1.25	0.53
Consistency	Cronbach's alpha: $\alpha = .811$; Omega: $\Omega = .855$										
Fit statistics	$\chi^2=3.14$ ($p=.208$), RMSEA=0.054; CFI=0.997; TLI=0.983; SRMR=0.015										
Correlation and reliability	Item-1	Item-2	Item-3	Item-4	Item-5	SM-ID	SV-ID	CI-TC	SMC	CA-ID	
	Item-1	---	.437	.592	.313	.643	4.79	2.02	.646	.494	.760
	Item-2		---	.497	.419	.434	4.93	2.45	.567	.333	.790
	Item-3			---	.394	.582	4.91	2.15	.675	.473	.753
	Item-4				---	.414	4.78	2.21	.471	.254	.817
	Item-5					---	4.81	1.93	.686	.504	.746
Summary item statistics			Mean		Min		Max		Variance		
	Item means		1.210		1.120		1.275		0.005		
	Item variances		0.225		0.126		0.276		0.004		
	Inter-item corr.		0.472		0.313		0.643		0.010		

Note. Std.B: standardized coefficient. SE: standard error. 95%CI: 95% confidence interval.

SM-ID: scale mean if item deleted. SV-ID: scale variance if item deleted. CI-TC: corrected item – total correlation.

SMC: squared multiple correlation. CA-ID: Cronbach's alpha if item deleted. Sample size: $n = 200$

Table 3 Correlation matrix assessing the relationships between the BPS factor score with external measures: convergent/discriminant validity (n=200)

SCL-90R Somatization	.232	DERS Non acceptance emotions	.139
SCL-90R Obsessive/compulsive	.232	DERS Diff. directed behaviors	.203
SCL-90R Interpersonal sensitivity	.277[†]	DERS Impulse control diff.	.168
SCL-90R Depressive	.260[†]	DERS Lack emotional awareness	.082
SCL-90R Anxiety	.150	DERS Limited access emotions	.214
SCL-90R Hostility	.228	DERS Lack emotional clarity	.224
SCL-90R Phobic anxiety	.240	DERS Total	.235
SCL-90R Paranoid Ideation	.205	TCI-R Novelty seeking	-.031
SCL-90R Psychotic	.244[†]	TCI-R Harm avoidance	.128
SCL-90R GSI	.266[†]	TCI-R Reward dependence	-.098
SCL-90R PST	.283[†]	TCI-R Persistence	-.006
SCL-90R PSDI	.199	TCI-R Self-directedness	-.282[†]
UPPS-P Lack premeditation	.088	TCI-R Cooperativeness	-.146
UPPS-P Lack perseverance	.157	TCI-R Self-transcendence	.198
UPPS-P Sensation seeking	.157	PCI Emotional avoidance	.536[†]
UPPS-P Positive urgency	.280[†]	PCI Sexual curiosity	.318[†]
UPPS-P Negative urgency	.252[†]	PCI Excitement pleasure	.466[†]
UPPS-P Total	.306[†]	PCI Total	.566[†]

Note. Results adjusted by sex and age. [†]Bold: effect size into the ranges mild to large.