
This is the **submitted version** of the journal article:

Badr, Eslam; Bars Cortina, Francesc. «On fake ES-irreducible components of certain strata of smooth plane sextics». Journal of algebra and its applications, Vol. 24, Issue 2 (February 2025), art. 2550048. DOI 10.1142/s0219498825500483

This version is available at <https://ddd.uab.cat/record/308877>

under the terms of the  ^{IN}
COPYRIGHT license

ON FAKE ES-IRREDUCIBLE COMPONENTS OF CERTAIN STRATA OF SMOOTH PLANE SEXTICS

ESLAM BADR AND FRANCESC BARS

ABSTRACT. We construct the first examples of what we call *fake ES-irreducible components*; Definition 2.8. In our way to do so, we classify the automorphism groups of smooth plane sextics that only have automorphisms of order ≤ 3 ; Theorems 2.1, 2.4 and 2.5, Corollaries 2.9 and 2.11.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{M}_g^{\text{Pl}}$ be the set of K -isomorphism classes of smooth plane curves C of a fixed degree $d \geq 4$. Here K is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p = 0$ or $p > 2g + 1$, where $g = (d - 1)(d - 2)/2 \geq 3$ is the geometric genus of C .

We can associate to any $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g^{\text{Pl}}$ infinitely many non-singular plane models, each of them is given by a homogeneous polynomial equation $C : F(X, Y, Z) = 0$ of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^2(K)$. Moreover, two such plane models for C are K -isomorphic and their automorphism groups are $\text{PGL}_3(K)$ -conjugated via a projective change of variables $\phi \in \text{PGL}_3(K)$.

Now, suppose that G is a finite non-trivial group that can be embedded into $\text{PGL}_3(K)$. We write $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g^{\text{Pl}}(G)$ when there exists an injective representation $\varrho : G \hookrightarrow \text{PGL}_3(K)$ such that $\varrho(G)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(C)$; the automorphism group of $C : F(X, Y, Z) = 0$ inside $\text{PGL}_3(K)$. More precisely, we say that $[C]$ belongs to the component $\mathcal{M}_g^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho(G))$ of $\mathcal{M}_g^{\text{Pl}}(G)$. Similarly, we write $[C] \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\text{Pl}}(G)$ when $\varrho(G) = \text{Aut}(C)$ for some ϱ , and again we say that $[C]$ belongs to the component $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho(G))$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\text{Pl}}(G)$.

Clearly, if $\varrho_i : G \hookrightarrow \text{PGL}_3(K)$, for $i = 1, 2$, are $\text{PGL}_3(K)$ -conjugated, then $\mathcal{M}_g^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho_1(G)) = \mathcal{M}_g^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho_2(G))$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho_1(G)) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho_2(G))$. Accordingly,

$$\mathcal{M}_g^{\text{Pl}}(G) = \bigcup_{[\varrho] \in R_G} \mathcal{M}_g^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho(G)) \text{ and } \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\text{Pl}}(G) = \bigsqcup_{[\varrho] \in R_G} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho(G)).$$

Here $R_G := \{\varrho : G \hookrightarrow \text{PGL}_3(K)\} / \sim$, where $\varrho_1 \sim \varrho_2$ if and only if $\varrho_1(G)$ and $\varrho_2(G)$ are $\text{PGL}_3(K)$ -conjugated.

Definition 1.1 (ES-irreducibility [3]). Each $[\varrho] \in R_G$ such that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho(G)) \neq \emptyset$ is called an *ES-irreducible component* for $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\text{Pl}}(G)$. We call $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\text{Pl}}(G)$ *ES-irreducible* if it has exactly one ES-irreducible component.

Clearly, if a non-empty $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\text{Pl}}(G)$ is not ES-irreducible, then it is not irreducible and the number of its ES-irreducible components is a lower bound for the number of its irreducible components inside the coarse moduli space \mathcal{M}_g of K -isomorphism classes of smooth curves of genus g .

Now, in the language of ES-irreducibility, one can interpret the results of Henn [10] and Komiya-Kuribayashi [11] for smooth plane quartic curves, which are genus

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H37, 14H10, 14H45, 14H50.

Key words and phrases. plane curves; automorphism groups, ES-irreducibility.

$g = 3$ curves, as follows: the strata $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_3^{\text{Pl}}(G)$ are either empty or ES-irreducible. Thus each non-empty $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_3^{\text{Pl}}(G)$ is described by a single *normal form*; a homogenous polynomial equation $F(X, Y, Z) = 0$ in $\mathbb{P}^2(K)$ equipped with parameters as its coefficients such that any $[C] \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_3^{\text{Pl}}(G)$ can be described by a smooth plane model through a specialization of those parameters.

Notation. Throughout the paper, $L_{i,B}$ denotes the generic homogeneous polynomial of degree i in the variables $\{X, Y, Z\} - \{B\}$.

By ζ_n we mean a fixed primitive n th root of unity in K .

A projective linear transformation $A = (a_{i,j}) \in \text{PGL}_3(K)$ is sometimes written as

$$[a_{1,1}X + a_{1,2}Y + a_{1,3}Z : a_{2,1}X + a_{2,2}Y + a_{2,3}Z : a_{3,1}X + a_{3,2}Y + a_{3,3}Z].$$

For example, $[X : Z : Y]$ represents the projective change of variables $X \mapsto X$, $Y \mapsto Z$, $Z \mapsto Y$, and $\text{diag}(1, a, b)$ represents $X \mapsto X$, $Y \mapsto aY$, $Z \mapsto bZ$ with $a, b \in K^*$.

We use the formal GAP library notations “GAP(n, m)” to refer the finite group of order n that appears in the m -th position of the atlas for small finite groups [8]. See also [GroupNames](#).

Fix the following subgroups in $\text{PGL}_3(K)$:

- $\varrho_1(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) := \langle \text{diag}(1, 1, -1) \rangle$ and $\varrho_1((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2) := \langle \varrho_1(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), \text{diag}(1, -1, 1) \rangle$,
- $\varrho_1(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) := \langle \text{diag}(1, 1, \zeta_3) \rangle$ and $\varrho_1((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2) := \langle \varrho_1(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}), \text{diag}(1, \zeta_3, 1) \rangle$,
- $\varrho_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) := \langle \text{diag}(1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^{-1}) \rangle$ and $\varrho_2((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2) := \langle \varrho_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}), [Y : Z : X] \rangle$,
- $\varrho_1(S_3) := \langle [Y : Z : X], [X : Z : Y] \rangle$ and $\varrho_2(S_3) := \langle \varrho_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}), [X : Z : Y] \rangle$,
- $\varrho_1(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes S_3) := \langle \varrho_1(S_3), \varrho_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) \rangle$,
- $\varrho_1(A_4) := \langle \varrho_1((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2), [Y : Z : X] \rangle$ and $\varrho_2(A_4) := \langle \varrho_1((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2), [\zeta_6^{-1}Y : Z : X] \rangle$.

Remark 1.2. *P. Henn observed that $\mathcal{M}_3^{\text{Pl}}(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$ admits two ES-components. One component corresponds to $\varrho_1(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$ where any $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_3^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho_1(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}))$ is given by an equation of the form $Z^3Y + L_{4,Z} = 0$. The second component corresponds to $\varrho_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$ such that any $[C'] \in \mathcal{M}_3^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}))$ is given by an equation of the form $X^4 + X(Y^3 + Z^3) + \alpha_{2,1}X^2YZ + \alpha_{1,1}X(YZ)^2 = 0$ for some $\alpha_{2,1}, \alpha_{1,1} \in K$. In particular, C' has $[X : Z : Y]$ as an extra involution, thus C' always has the symmetry group S_3 as a subgroup of automorphisms. Therefore, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_3^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})) = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{M}_3^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_3^{\text{Pl}}(S_3)$.*

Concerning smooth plane quintic curves, which are genus $g = 6$ curves, Badr-Bars [1] showed that all the strata $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_6^{\text{Pl}}(G)$ are either empty or ES-irreducible except when $G = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$. In this case, $\mathcal{M}_6^{\text{Pl}}(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$ has exactly two ES-irreducible components. Moreover, we generalized this result in [3] for any odd degree $d \geq 5$. More precisely, we proved that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\text{Pl}}(\mathbb{Z}/(d-1)\mathbb{Z})$ has at least two ES-irreducible components for any $g = (d-1)(d-2)/2$ with $d \geq 5$ odd. However, each of the strata $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_6^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho(G))$ is described again by a single normal form.

Accordingly, we were wondering if this is the situation in general. That is to say, there always exists a single normal form describing the elements of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho(G))$ for each $\varrho \in R_G$. In this article, we will show that this impression is not true at least for smooth plane sextic curves, which are genus $g = 10$ curves. We establish three counter examples corresponding to $G = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ and A_4 respectively.

On the other hand, classifying automorphism groups of smooth curves is a long standing problem that receives interest by many people. In the case of hyperelliptic

curve, the structure of the automorphism group is quite explicit, see [6, 7, 16, 17]. For non-hyperelliptic curves, we still have a lack of knowledge about the structure, except for some special cases. For example, the cases of low genus and also Hurwitz curves, see [5, 10, 12, 13, 14]. This lack motivates us to do more investigation in this direction, especially for the case of smooth plane curves of degree $d \geq 4$. In this paper, we classify the automorphism groups of smooth plane curves C of degree 6 such that 2 and 3 are the only divisors of $|\text{Aut}(C)|$. A more detailed treatment of automorphisms of non-singular plane sextic curves is intended in [4].

Acknowledgments. We thank the referee for helping us improving the clarity of the exposition and the useful comments and suggestions.

The authors are supported by PID2020-116542GB-I00, Ministerio de Ciencia y Universidades of Spanish government. Also, E. Badr is partially supported by the School of Sciences and Engineering (SSE) of the American Univeristy in Cairo (AUC).

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. *Let C be a smooth plane sextic curve that admits an automorphism of maximal order 2. Up to K -isomorphism, C is defined by an equation of the form:*

$$C : Z^6 + Z^4 L_{2,Z} + Z^2 L_{4,Z} + L_{6,Z} = 0$$

such that $L_{6,Z}$ is of degree ≥ 5 in both X and Y , and at least one of the binary forms $L_{2,Z}$ and $L_{4,Z}$ is non-zero. Moreover, $\text{Aut}(C) = \varrho_1(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ unless $L_{2,Z}$, $L_{4,Z}$ and $L_{6,Z}$ belong to the ring $K[X^2, Y^2]$. In the latter case, $\text{Aut}(C) = \varrho_1((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2)$.

Corollary 2.2. *The strata $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{10}^{\text{Pl}}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{10}^{\text{Pl}}((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2)$ are ES-irreducible.*

Definition 2.3 ([15]). An homology of period n is a projective linear transformation of the plane $\mathbb{P}^2(K)$, which is $\text{PGL}_3(K)$ -conjugate to $\text{diag}(1, 1, \zeta_n)$. Such a transformation fixes pointwise a line \mathcal{L} (its axis) and a point P off this line (its center). In its canonical form, $\mathcal{L} : Z = 0$ and center $P = (0 : 0 : 1)$.

Otherwise, it is called a *non-homology*.

Theorem 2.4. *Let C be a smooth plane sextic curve that admits an homology of period 3 as an automorphism of maximal order. Up to K -isomorphism, C is defined by an equation of the form $Z^6 + Z^3 L_{3,Z} + L_{6,Z} = 0$ where neither $L_{3,Z}$ nor $L_{6,Z}$ equals 0. Moreover, $\text{Aut}(C)$ is always $\varrho_1(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$ except when C is K -isomorphic to C' of the form $C' : X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 + Z^3(\alpha_{3,0}X^3 + \alpha_{0,3}Y^3) + \alpha_{3,3}X^3Y^3 = 0$, such that $\alpha_{3,0}, \alpha_{0,3}, \alpha_{3,3}$ are pair-wise distinct modulo $\{\pm 1\}$. In this case, $\text{Aut}(C') = \varrho_1((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2)$.*

Theorem 2.5. *Let C be a smooth plane sextic curve that admits a non-homology of period 3 as an automorphism of maximal order. Up to K -isomorphism, C is a member of one of the following families:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_1 & : X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 + XYZ(\alpha_{4,1}X^3 + \alpha_{1,4}Y^3 + \alpha_{1,1}Z^3) + \alpha_{2,2}X^2Y^2Z^2 \\ & + \alpha_{3,3}X^3Y^3 + \alpha_{3,0}X^3Z^3 + \alpha_{0,3}Y^3Z^3 = 0 \\ \mathcal{C}_2 & : X^5Y + Y^5Z + XZ^5 + XYZ(\alpha_{3,2}X^2Y + \alpha_{1,3}Y^2Z + \alpha_{2,1}XZ^2) \\ & + \alpha_{2,4}X^2Y^4 + \alpha_{0,2}Y^2Z^4 + \alpha_{4,0}X^4Z^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In either way, $\sigma = \text{diag}(1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^{-1})$ is an automorphism of maximal order 3.

(1) *The automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1) = \varrho_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$ except when one of the following conditions holds.*

(i) If $\alpha_{4,1} = \alpha_{1,4} = \alpha_{1,1} = \alpha_{2,2} = 0$ such that $\alpha_{3,3} \neq \alpha_{3,0}$, then \mathcal{C}_1 reduces to

$$X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 + X^3(\alpha_{3,3}Y^3 + \alpha_{3,0}Z^3) + \alpha_{0,3}Y^3Z^3 = 0,$$

where $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1) = \varrho_1((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2)$.

(ii) If **(a)** $\alpha_{4,1} = \pm\alpha_{1,4}$ and $\alpha_{3,0} = \pm\alpha_{0,3}$, **(b)** $\alpha_{1,4} = \pm\alpha_{1,1}$ and $\alpha_{3,3} = \pm\alpha_{3,0}$, or **(c)** $\alpha_{4,1} = \pm\alpha_{1,1}$ and $\alpha_{3,3} = \pm\alpha_{0,3}$, then \mathcal{C}_1 is K -isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}'_1 : & X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 + \alpha'_{4,1}X^4YZ + \alpha'_{3,3}X^3(Y^3 + Z^3) + \alpha'_{2,2}X^2Y^2Z^2 \\ & + \alpha'_{1,2}XYZ(Y^3 + Z^3) + \alpha'_{0,3}Y^3Z^3 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}'_1) = \varrho_2(S_3)$ if $\alpha'_{4,1} \neq \alpha'_{1,2}$ or $\alpha'_{3,3} \neq \alpha'_{0,3}$, and $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}'_1) = \varrho_1(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes S_3)$ otherwise.

Remark 2.6. $(\alpha'_{3,3}, \alpha'_{1,2}) \neq (0, 0)$ or $\text{diag}(1, \zeta_6, \zeta_6^{-1})$ will be an automorphism of order $6 > 3$.

(iii) If $\alpha_{4,1} = \zeta_6^\ell \alpha_{1,1}$, $\alpha_{1,4} = \pm\zeta_6^{-\ell} \alpha_{1,1}$, $\alpha_{3,3} = \pm(-1)^\ell \alpha_{3,0}$, $\alpha_{0,3} = \pm\alpha_{3,0}$ for some $\ell \neq 0$ or $3 \bmod 6$, then \mathcal{C}_1 is K -isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}''_1 : & X^6 + \zeta_6^{2\ell}Y^6 + \zeta_6^{-2\ell}Z^6 + \alpha'_{1,1}XYZ(X^3 + \zeta_6^{2\ell}Y^3 + \zeta_6^{-2\ell}Z^3) + \\ & + \alpha'_{3,0}(X^3Y^3 + \zeta_6^{-2\ell}X^3Z^3 + \zeta_6^{2\ell}Y^3Z^3) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}''_1) = \varrho_2((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2)$.

(iv) If **(a)** $(\alpha_{4,1}, \alpha_{1,1}, \alpha_{1,4})$, $(\alpha_{1,4}, \alpha_{4,1}, \alpha_{1,1})$ or $(\alpha_{1,1}, \alpha_{1,4}, \alpha_{4,1})$ equals

$$\left(\frac{2(29 - 54\lambda^6 - 54\mu^6)}{27\lambda\mu}, \frac{2(27\mu^6 - 54\lambda^6 - 52)}{27\lambda\mu^4}, \frac{2(27\lambda^6 - 54\mu^6 - 52)}{27\lambda^4\mu} \right),$$

(b) $(\alpha_{3,0}, \alpha_{3,3}, \alpha_{0,3})$, $(\alpha_{3,3}, \alpha_{0,3}, \alpha_{3,0})$ or $(\alpha_{0,3}, \alpha_{3,0}, \alpha_{3,3})$ equals

$$\left(\frac{2(81\lambda^6 - 27\mu^6 - 26)}{27\mu^3}, \frac{2(81\mu^6 - 27\lambda^6 - 26)}{27\lambda^3}, \frac{2(82 - 27\lambda^6 - 27\mu^6)}{27\lambda^3\mu^3} \right),$$

and **(c)** $\alpha_{2,2} = \frac{9\lambda^6 + 9\mu^6 + 10}{3\lambda^2\mu^2}$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in K^*$, then \mathcal{C}_1 is K -isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{1,\lambda,\mu} : X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 & + f_1(\lambda, \mu)X^2Y^2Z^2 + f_2(\lambda, \mu)(X^4Y^2 + X^2Z^4 + Y^4Z^2) \\ & + f_2(\mu, \lambda)(X^4Z^2 + X^2Y^4 + Y^2Z^4) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\lambda, \mu) &:= 3(80 + 81\lambda^6 + 81\mu^6), \\ f_2(\lambda, \mu) &:= 81(1 + \zeta_3\lambda^6 + \zeta_3^{-1}\mu^6). \end{aligned}$$

In this case, $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_{1,\lambda,\mu}) = \varrho_1(A_4)$.

(2) The automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_2) = \langle \sigma \rangle = \varrho_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$ except when one of the following conditions holds.

(i) If $\alpha_{0,2} = \zeta_{21}^{-12r} \alpha_{4,0}$, $\alpha_{2,4} = \zeta_{21}^{3r} \alpha_{4,0}$, $\alpha_{1,3} = \zeta_{21}^{-6r} \alpha_{3,2}$, $\alpha_{2,1} = \zeta_{21}^{3r} \alpha_{3,2}$, then \mathcal{C}_2 is K -isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}'_2 : & X^5Y + Y^5Z + XZ^5 + \alpha_{4,0}\zeta_{21}^{4r}(X^4Z^2 + X^2Y^4 + Y^2Z^4) \\ & + \alpha_{3,2}\zeta_{21}^{-r}XYZ(X^2Y + XZ^2 + Y^2Z) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}'_2) = \varrho_2((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2)$.

Remark 2.7. $(\alpha_{2,4}, \alpha_{1,3}) \neq (0, 0)$ or $\text{diag}(1, \zeta_{21}, \zeta_{21}^{-4})$ will be an automorphism of order $21 > 3$.

(ii) If **(a)** $(\alpha_{2,4}, \alpha_{4,0}, \alpha_{0,2})$, $(\alpha_{0,2}, \alpha_{2,4}, \alpha_{4,0})$ or $(\alpha_{4,0}, \alpha_{0,2}, \alpha_{2,4})$ equals

$$\left(\frac{\lambda^5 \mu + 4\mu^5}{2\lambda^4}, \frac{\lambda + 4\lambda^5 \mu}{2\mu^2}, \frac{4\lambda + \mu^5}{2\lambda^2 \mu^4} \right)$$

and **(b)** $(\alpha_{1,3}, \alpha_{3,2}, \alpha_{2,1})$, $(\alpha_{2,1}, \alpha_{1,3}, \alpha_{3,2})$ or $(\alpha_{3,2}, \alpha_{2,1}, \alpha_{1,3})$ equals

$$\left(\frac{2(2\lambda^5 \mu + 2\lambda + \mu^5)}{\lambda^3 \mu^2}, \frac{2\lambda^5 \mu + 4\lambda + 4\mu^5}{\lambda^2 \mu}, \frac{2(2\lambda^5 \mu + \lambda + 2\mu^5)}{\lambda \mu^3} \right),$$

then \mathcal{C}_2 is K -isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{2,\lambda,\mu} : X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 &+ g_1(\lambda, \mu)(\zeta_3^{-1} X^4 Y^2 + X^2 Z^4 + Y^4 Z^2) \\ &+ g_2(\lambda, \mu)(X^4 Z^2 + \zeta_3 X^2 Y^4 + Y^2 Z^4) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(\lambda, \mu) &:= \frac{\sqrt{3}\zeta_9 (\zeta_4 \lambda^5 \mu + \zeta_{12} \lambda + \zeta_{12}^5 \mu^5)}{\lambda^5 \mu + \lambda + \mu^5}, \\ g_2(\lambda, \mu) &:= \frac{\sqrt{3}\zeta_{18} (\zeta_{12}^5 \lambda^5 \mu + \zeta_{12} \lambda + \zeta_4 \mu^5)}{\lambda^5 \mu + \lambda + \mu^5}. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_{2,\lambda,\mu}) = \varrho_2(A_4)$.

We now introduce the notion of *fake ES-irreducible components*.

Definition 2.8. An ES-irreducible component $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho(G))$ is *fake* if it is not defined by a single normal form.

As a consequence of Theorems 2.4 and 2.5:

Corollary 2.9. The strata $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{10}^{\text{Pl}}(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{10}^{\text{Pl}}((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2)$ are not ES-irreducible and each of them has exactly two ES-irreducible components namely, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{10}^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho_i(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}))$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{10}^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho_i((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2))$ respectively with $i = 1$ and 2 .

On the other hand, the components $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{10}^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}))$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{10}^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho_2((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2))$ are the first examples of fake ES-irreducible components. Any $[C]$ in the family \mathcal{C}_2 that belongs to $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{10}^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}))$ or $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{10}^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho_2((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2))$ has the property that its automorphism group fixes the triangle \triangle whose vertices $P_1 = (1 : 0 : 0)$, $P_2 = (0 : 1 : 0)$ and $P_3 = (0 : 0 : 1)$ lie on C . This does not hold if $[C]$ is in the family \mathcal{C}_1 , in the sense that it is not necessarily true that $\text{Aut}(C) = \varrho_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$ or $\varrho_2((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2)$ fixes a triangle whose vertices lie on C . For example, take $[C]$ as in \mathcal{C}_1'' with $1 + \alpha'_{1,1} + \alpha'_{3,0} \neq 0$.

Corollary 2.10. The strata $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{10}^{\text{Pl}}(S_3)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{10}^{\text{Pl}}(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes S_3)$ are ES-irreducible. More precisely, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{10}^{\text{Pl}}(S_3) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{10}^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho_2(S_3))$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{10}^{\text{Pl}}(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes S_3) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{10}^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho_1(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes S_3))$.

Corollary 2.11. The stratum $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{10}^{\text{Pl}}(A_4)$ is ES-irreducible determined by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{10}^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho_1(A_4))$. It represents the second example of fake ES-irreducible components. Indeed, $\mathcal{C}_{2,\lambda,\mu}$ is K -isomorphic, via a change of variables $\phi = \text{diag}(1, s, t)$ such that $s = t^2$ and $t^3 = \zeta_6$, to ${}^\phi \mathcal{C}_{2,\lambda,\mu} : X^6 + \zeta_3^{-1} Y^6 + \zeta_3 Z^6 + \text{lower order terms}$, where $\text{Aut}({}^\phi \mathcal{C}_{2,\lambda,\mu}) = \varrho_1(A_4)$. Moreover, any $[C] \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{10}^{\text{Pl}}(\varrho_1(A_4))$ in the family $\mathcal{C}_{1,\lambda,\mu}$ is a descendant of the Fermat curve \mathcal{F}_6 in the sense of Theorem 3.1 via a change of variables in the normalizer of $\varrho_1(A_4)$ in $\text{PGL}_3(K)$. This does not hold if $[C]$ is in the family ${}^\phi \mathcal{C}_{2,\lambda,\mu}$.

3. PRELIMINARIES ABOUT AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS

Based entirely on geometrical methods, H. Mitchell [15, §1-10] proved that if G is a finite subgroups of $\mathrm{PGL}_3(K)$, then it fixes a point, a line or a triangle unless it is primitive and conjugate to some group in a specific list. However, as a consequence of Maschke's theorem in group representation theory, the first two cases are equivalent, in the sense that if G fixes a point (respectively a line), then it also fixes a line not passing through the point (respectively a point not lying the line).

Notation. For a non-zero monomial $cX^{i_1}Y^{i_2}Z^{i_3}$ with $c \in K^*$, its exponent is defined to be $\max\{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$. For a homogenous polynomial $F(X, Y, Z)$, the core of it is defined to be the sum of all terms of F with the greatest exponent. Now, let C_0 be a non-singular plane curve over K , a pair (C, G) with $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ is said to be a descendant of C_0 if C is defined by a homogenous polynomial whose core is a defining polynomial of C_0 and G acts on C_0 under a suitable change of the coordinates system, i.e. G is $\mathrm{PGL}_3(K)$ -conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(C_0)$.

An element of $\mathrm{PGL}_3(K)$ is called *intransitive* if it has the matrix shape

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

The subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_3(K)$ of all intransitive elements is denoted by $\mathrm{PBD}(2, 1)$. Obviously, there is a natural map $\Lambda : \mathrm{PBD}(2, 1) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$ given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PBD}(2, 1) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(K).$$

Theorem 3.1 below is very helpful for determining the full automorphism groups of smooth plane curves. For more details, we refer to the work of T. Harui [9, Theorem 2.1].

Theorem 3.1. *Let C be a non-singular plane curve of degree $d \geq 4$ defined over an algebraically closed field K of characteristic 0. Then, one of the following situations holds:*

1. $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ fixes a point on C and then it is cyclic.
2. $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ fixes a point not lying on C where we can think about $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ in the following commutative diagram, with exact rows and vertical injective morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & K^* & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{PBD}(2, 1) & \xrightarrow{\Lambda} & \mathrm{PGL}_2(K) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Aut}(C) & \longrightarrow & G' \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

Here, N is a cyclic group of order dividing the degree d and G' is a subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$, which is conjugate to a cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ of order m with $m \leq d-1$, a Dihedral group D_{2m} of order $2m$ with $|N| = 1$ or $m|(d-2)$, one of the alternating groups A_4 , A_5 , or the symmetry group S_4 .

Remark 3.2. We note that N is viewed as the part of $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ acting on the variable $B \in \{X, Y, Z\}$ and fixing the other two variables, while G' is the part acting on $\{X, Y, Z\} - \{B\}$ and fixing B . For example, if $B = X$, then every automorphism in N has the shape $\mathrm{diag}(\zeta_n, 1, 1)$ for some n th root of unity ζ_n .

3. $\text{Aut}(C)$ is conjugate to a subgroup G of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_d)$, where \mathcal{F}_d is the Fermat curve $X^d + Y^d + Z^d = 0$. In particular, $|G|$ divides $|\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_d)| = 6d^2$, and (C, G) is a descendant of \mathcal{F}_d .
4. $\text{Aut}(C)$ is conjugate to a subgroup G of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{K}_d)$, where \mathcal{K}_d is the Klein curve $X^{d-1}Y + Y^{d-1}Z + XZ^{d-1} = 0$. In this case, $|\text{Aut}(C)|$ divides $|\text{Aut}(\mathcal{K}_d)| = 3(d^2 - 3d + 3)$, and (C, G) is a descendant of \mathcal{K}_d .
5. $\text{Aut}(C)$ is conjugate to one of the finite primitive subgroup of $\text{PGL}_3(K)$ namely, the Klein group $\text{PSL}(2, 7)$, the icosahedral group A_5 , the alternating group A_6 , or to one of the Hessian groups Hess_* with $*$ $\in \{36, 72, 216\}$.

Finally, we have:

Proposition 3.3. *The automorphism groups of the Fermat sextic curve \mathcal{F}_6 generated by $[X : Z : Y]$, $[Y : Z : X]$, $\text{diag}(\zeta_6, 1, 1)$ and $\text{diag}(1, \zeta_6, 1)$ of orders 2, 3, 6 and 6 respectively is isomorphic to $\text{GAP}(216, 92) = (\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})^2 \rtimes S_3$. On the other hand, the automorphism group of the Klein sextic curve \mathcal{K}_6 generated by $\text{diag}(1, \zeta_{21}, \zeta_{21}^{-4})$ and $[Y : Z : X]$ of orders 21 and 3 respectively is isomorphic to $\text{GAP}(63, 3) = \mathbb{Z}/21\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Regarding the generators of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6)$ and $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{K}_6)$, we refer the reader to [9, Propositions 3.3, 3.5]. Now, for the Fermat curve \mathcal{F}_6 , take $a = [X : Z : Y]$, $b = [Y : Z : X]$, $c = \text{diag}(\zeta_6, 1, 1)$ and $d = \text{diag}(1, \zeta_6, 1)$. One verifies that

$$(ab)^2 = (ac)(ca)^{-1} = (cd)(dc)^{-1} = ada(cd)^{-5} = bcb^{-1}(cd)^{-5} = 1.$$

These relations give us the 4th semidirect product of $(\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})^2$ and S_3 acting faithfully, see [semidirect products of \$\(\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}\)^2\$ and \$S_3\$](#) for more details.

For the Klein curve \mathcal{K}_6 , the two generators $a = \text{diag}(1, \zeta_{21}, \zeta_{21}^{-4})$ and $b = [Y : Z : X]$ of orders 21 and 3 respectively produce $\text{GAP}(63, 3) = \mathbb{Z}/21\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ as $ba = (ab)^{-5}$. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.4

In this case, $C : F(X, Y, Z) = 0$ has an homology σ of period 3 in its automorphism group. The results in [2] allows us to assume that σ acts as

$$(X : Y : Z) \mapsto (X : Y : \zeta_3 Z)$$

up to K -isomorphism, where ζ_3 is a fixed primitive 3rd root of unity in K . In particular, C is defined over K by a non-singular plane equation of the form:

$$C : Z^6 + Z^3 L_{3,Z} + L_{6,Z} = 0,$$

where $\sigma = \text{diag}(1, 1, \zeta_3)$ is an automorphism of maximal order 3. By non-singularity, $L_{6,Z}$ should be of degree at least 5 in both variables X and Y . Also, $L_{3,Z} \neq 0$ or $\text{diag}(1, 1, \zeta_6)$ would be an automorphism of order $6 > 3$.

In the sense of Theorem 3.1, we have the following:

- First, $\text{Aut}(C)$ is not conjugate to any of the finite primitive subgroups of $\text{PGL}_3(K)$ since each of them contains elements of order > 3 . Also, C is not a descendant of the Klein sextic curve \mathcal{K}_6 because $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{K}_6)$ by Proposition 3.3 equals $\mathbb{Z}/21\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ and it does not contains homologies of order 3 similar to σ .
- Secondly, suppose that C is a descendant of the Fermat curve \mathcal{F}_6 . So there is a $\phi \in \text{PGL}_3(K)$ such that $\phi^{-1} \text{Aut}(C) \phi \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6)$ and the transformed equation $\phi^* C$ is $X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 + \text{lower order terms in } X, Y, Z = 0$. There is no loss of generality to impose $\phi^{-1} \langle \sigma \rangle \phi = \langle \sigma \rangle$ since homologies of period

3 inside $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6)$ form two conjugacy classes represented by σ and σ^{-1} . Hence ${}^\phi C$ reduces to

$${}^\phi C : X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 + Z^3 L_{3,Z} + \text{lower order terms in } X, Y = 0$$

Furthermore, by assumption, the automorphisms of C have orders ≤ 3 , then the group structure of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6) = (\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})^2 \rtimes S_3$ assures that $\text{Aut}({}^\phi C)$ would be one of the following groups inside $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6)$:

$$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2, S_3, A_4, \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes S_3, \text{He}_3.$$

For more details, check the [subgroups lattice of \$\text{Aut}\(\mathcal{F}_6\)\$](#) .

Now we tackle each of the above situations.

- Any copy of S_3 (respectively A_4) inside $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6)$ is $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6)$ -conjugate to either $\varrho_i(S_3)$ (respectively $\varrho_i(A_4)$) with $i = 1$ or 2 . But none of these subgroups has homologies of period 3 similar to σ . So $\text{Aut}({}^\phi C)$ can not be an S_3 or A_4 inside $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6)$.

- If $\text{Aut}({}^\phi C)$ equals a $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$, $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes S_3$ or He_3 in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6)$, then there must be $\sigma' \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6) \cap \text{Aut}({}^\phi C)$ of order 3 that commutes with σ as in any of these groups $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ is always contained in a $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$. By Proposition 3.3, the elements of order 3 in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6)$ are $\text{diag}(1, s, t)$ with $s^3 = t^3 = 1$, $[sY : tZ : X]$ and $[tZ : X : sY]$ with $s^6 = t^6 = 1$. One easily verifies that only the diagonal shapes satisfies the description, equivalently, $\sigma' \in \langle \sigma, \text{diag}(1, \zeta_3, 1) \rangle$. In any case, we can reduce C up to K -isomorphism to

$${}^\phi C : X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 + Z^3 (\alpha_{3,0} X^3 + \alpha_{0,3} Y^3) + \alpha_{3,3} X^3 Y^3 = 0,$$

where $\varrho_1((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2) \leq \text{Aut}({}^\phi C)$.

Remark 4.1. In this scenario, the parameters $\alpha_{3,0}, \alpha_{0,3}, \alpha_{3,3}$ must be pairwise distinct modulo $\{\pm 1\}$ or ${}^\phi C$ will admit automorphisms of order > 3 . For example, $[\zeta_3 Y : X : Z] \in \text{Aut}({}^\phi C)$ has order 6 if $\alpha_{3,0} = \alpha_{0,3}$ and $[\zeta_3 Y : X : -Z] \in \text{Aut}({}^\phi C)$ has order 6 if $\alpha_{3,0} = -\alpha_{0,3}$.

A similar discussion shows that any $\sigma'' \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6)$ that commutes with σ or σ' belongs to $\langle \sigma, \sigma' \rangle$. Therefore, $\text{Aut}({}^\phi C)$ can not be the Heisenberg group He_3 because this requires another automorphism $\sigma'' \notin \langle \sigma, \sigma' \rangle$ that commutes with either σ or σ' .

Finally, for $\text{Aut}({}^\phi C)$ to be $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes S_3$, it is necessary that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6) \cap \text{Aut}({}^\phi C)$ has involutions in it. Proposition 3.3 tells us that the involutions of \mathcal{F}_6 are $\text{diag}(-1, 1, 1)$, $\text{diag}(1, -1, 1)$, $\text{diag}(1, 1, -1)$, $[X : sZ : s^{-1}Y]$, $[s^{-1}Y : sX : Z]$ and $[sZ : Y : s^{-1}X]$ with $s^6 = 1$. If any of these involutions lies in $\text{Aut}({}^\phi C)$, then two of the parameters are equal modulo $\{\pm 1\}$, which is absurd by Remark 4.1. For example, $\text{diag}(-1, 1, 1) \in \text{Aut}({}^\phi C)$ only if $\alpha_{3,0} = \alpha_{3,3} = 0$, $[sY : s^{-1}X : Z] \in \text{Aut}({}^\phi C)$ only if $\alpha_{3,0} = \pm \alpha_{0,3}$, and so on.

- Third, if $\text{Aut}(C)$ fixes a line \mathcal{L} and a point P not lying on \mathcal{L} , then by Theorem 3.1 we can think about $\text{Aut}(C)$ in a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow N = \langle \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C) \rightarrow \Lambda(\text{Aut}(C)) \rightarrow 1,$$

where $\Lambda(\text{Aut}(C)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, D_4$ or A_4 .

- Any group of order 36 (respectively 12) that has a normal subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ contains elements of order $6 > 3$, see [Groups of order 12](#) and [Groups of order 36](#) for more details. This allows us to exclude that $\Lambda(\text{Aut}(C))$ equals A_4 or D_4 .

- On the other hand, if $\Lambda(\text{Aut}(C))$ equals $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ in $\text{PGL}_2(K)$, then $\text{Aut}(C)$ equals $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ in $\text{PBD}(2, 1)$. In particular, $C : Z^6 + Z^3 L_{3,Z} +$

$L_{6,Z} = 0$ admits an automorphism $\sigma' \in \text{PBD}(2, 1) - \langle \sigma \rangle$ of order 3 that commutes with σ . Depending on whether σ' is an homology or a non-homology, it is conjugate via a change of variables $\phi \in \text{PBD}(2, 1)$, the normalizer of $\langle \sigma \rangle$, to $\text{diag}(1, \zeta_3, 1)$ or $\text{diag}(1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^{-1})$ respectively. In either way, $\text{Aut}(\phi C) = \varrho_1((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2)$ which appeared earlier.

Summing up, we deduce that $\text{Aut}(C)$ is always cyclic of order 3 generated by σ except when C is projectively equivalent to C' of the form

$$C' : X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 + Z^3(\alpha_{3,0}X^3 + \alpha_{0,3}Y^3) + \alpha_{3,3}X^3Y^3 = 0,$$

such that $\alpha_{3,0}, \alpha_{0,3}, \alpha_{3,3}$ are pair-wise distinct modulo $\{\pm 1\}$. In this case, $\text{Aut}(C)$ is conjugate to $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ generated by $\text{diag}(1, \zeta_3, 1)$ and $\text{diag}(1, \zeta_3, 1)$.

This proves Theorem 2.4.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

In this case, $C : F(X, Y, Z) = 0$ has an homology σ of period 2 in its automorphism group. By [2], there is no loss of generality to assume that σ acts as

$$(X : Y : Z) \mapsto (X : Y : -Z)$$

up to K -isomorphism. In particular, C is defined over K by a non-singular plane equation of the form:

$$C : Z^6 + Z^4 L_{2,Z} + Z^2 L_{4,Z} + L_{6,Z} = 0$$

where $\sigma = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1)$ is an automorphism of maximal order 2. Again $L_{6,Z}$ is of degree ≥ 5 in X and Y by non-singularity. Also, $L_{2,Z}$ or $L_{4,Z}$ does not vanish or $\text{diag}(1, 1, \zeta_6)$ will be an automorphism of order $6 > 3$ otherwise.

- Obviously, $\text{Aut}(C)$ is not conjugate to any of the finite primitive subgroups of $\text{PGL}_3(K)$ as each of them contains elements of order > 2 . Also, C can not be a descendant of the Klein sextic curve \mathcal{K}_6 since $2 \nmid |\text{Aut}(\mathcal{K}_6)|$, recall that $|\text{Aut}(\mathcal{K}_6)| = 63$ by Proposition 3.3.
- Secondly, if $\text{Aut}(C)$ fixes a line \mathcal{L} and a point P off \mathcal{L} , then, by Theorem 3.1, $\text{Aut}(C)$ is inside $\text{PBD}(2, 1)$ and satisfies a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow N = \langle \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C) \rightarrow \Lambda(\text{Aut}(C)) \rightarrow 1.$$

Our assumptions that any automorphism of C has order ≤ 2 implies that $\Lambda(\text{Aut}(C))$ is either $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ or D_4 inside $\text{PGL}_2(K)$, so $\text{Aut}(C)$ is conjugate to either $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ or $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$. In both situations $\text{Aut}(C)$ has another involution σ' that commutes with σ . Up to projective equivalence via a change of variables $\phi \in \text{PBD}(2, 1)$, the normalizer of $\langle \sigma \rangle$ in $\text{PGL}_3(K)$, we can assume that $\sigma' = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1)$. Consequently, C is K -isomorphic to $C' : Z^6 + Z^4 L_{2,Z} + Z^2 L_{4,Z} + L_{6,Z} = 0$ for some $L_{i,Z} \in K[X^2, Y^2]$. Moreover, $\text{Aut}(C)$ equals $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ only if there is an involution $\sigma'' \notin \text{PBD}(2, 1) - \langle \sigma, \sigma' \rangle$ that commutes with both σ and σ' . It is straightforward to check that such σ'' does not exist, hence $\text{Aut}(C)$ is not $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ in this case.

- If C is a descendant of the Fermat curve \mathcal{F}_6 via a change of variables $\phi \in \text{PGL}_3(K)$ with bigger automorphism group than $\langle \sigma \rangle$, then $\text{Aut}(\phi C)$ is a copy of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ inside $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6)$. Indeed any other subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6)$ has elements of order > 2 , see [subgroups lattice of \$\text{Aut}\(\mathcal{F}_6\)\$](#) .

Up to $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6)$ -conjugation, there are two copies of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ inside $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6)$ namely, $\langle \sigma, \sigma' \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$ with $\sigma' = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1)$ and $\tau = [Y : X : Z]$. However, both groups are $\text{PGL}_3(K)$ -conjugated via a transformation in $\text{PBD}(2, 1)$, the normalizer of $\langle \sigma \rangle$ in $\text{PGL}_3(K)$. Thus there is no loss

of generality to assume that $\text{Aut}(C)$ is conjugate to $\varrho_1((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2)$, which was treated earlier.

Summing up, we deduce that $\text{Aut}(C)$ is always cyclic of order 2 generated by σ except when $L_{i,Z} \in K[X^2, Y^2]$ for $i = 2, 4, 6$. In the latter case, $\text{Aut}(C)$ equals $\varrho_1((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2)$, which shows Theorem 2.1.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.5

In this case, $C : F(X, Y, Z) = 0$ has a non-homology σ of period 3 in its automorphism group. By [2], one can assume that σ acts as

$$(X : Y : Z) \mapsto (X : \zeta_3 Y : \zeta_3^{-1} Z)$$

up to K -isomorphism, where ζ_3 is a fixed primitive 3rd root of unity in K . In particular, C is a K -isomorphic to a non-singular plane model in one of the following families:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_1 &: X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 + XYZ(\alpha_{4,1}X^3 + \alpha_{1,4}Y^3 + \alpha_{1,1}Z^3) + \alpha_{2,2}X^2Y^2Z^2 \\ &+ \alpha_{3,3}X^3Y^3 + \alpha_{3,0}X^3Z^3 + \alpha_{0,3}Y^3Z^3 = 0 \\ \mathcal{C}_2 &: X^5Y + Y^5Z + XZ^5 + XYZ(\alpha_{3,2}X^2Y + \alpha_{1,3}Y^2Z + \alpha_{2,1}XZ^2) \\ &+ \alpha_{2,4}X^2Y^4 + \alpha_{0,2}Y^2Z^4 + \alpha_{4,0}X^4Z^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma := \text{diag}(1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^{-1})$ is an automorphism of maximal order 3.

- Again $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_i)$ for $i = 1$ and 2 is not conjugate to any of the finite primitive subgroups of $\text{PGL}_3(K)$.
- Suppose that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_i)$ fixes a line \mathcal{L} and a point P not lying on this line. Since σ is a non-homology inside $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_i)$ in its canonical form, \mathcal{L} must be one of the reference lines; $B = 0$ with $B = X, Y$ or Z and P is the reference point $(1 : 0 : 0)$, $(0 : 1 : 0)$ or $(0 : 0 : 1)$ respectively.
 - For \mathcal{C}_2 , the point P belongs to $C : F(X, Y, Z) = 0$. Hence $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_2)$ is cyclic, generated by $\langle \sigma \rangle$.
 - For \mathcal{C}_1 , we can further impose $\mathcal{L} : X = 0$ and $P = (1 : 0 : 0)$ (in the worst case scenario, one just needs to permute two of the variables and to fix the third one, which preserves the property that σ remains an automorphism). In particular, by Theorem 3.1, $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1) \subseteq \text{PBD}(2, 1)$ and lives in a short exact sequence: $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1) \rightarrow \Lambda(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1)) \rightarrow 1$, where $N = \langle \tau \rangle$ has order 1, 2 or 3 and $\Lambda(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1))$ is either $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$, S_3 with $|N| = 1$ or A_4 in $\text{PGL}_2(K)$. First, we easily exclude the case when τ has order 2 because $\sigma\tau$ would be an automorphism of order $6 > 3$, a contradiction.

Secondly, we handle each of the remaining cases:

- If $\Lambda(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1)) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ and $N = 1$, then $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ generated by σ .
- If $\Lambda(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1)) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ and $N = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$, then $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1) = \varrho_1((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2)$ generated by σ and $\tau = \text{diag}(\zeta_3, 1, 1)$. In particular, $\alpha_{4,1} = \alpha_{2,2} = \alpha_{1,1} = \alpha_{1,4} = 0$, and \mathcal{C}_1 reduces to

$$X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 + Z^3(\alpha_{3,0}X^3 + \alpha_{0,3}Y^3) + \alpha_{3,3}X^3Y^3 = 0,$$

which happened before in Theorem 2.4. We also remark that $\alpha_{3,0} \neq \alpha_{0,3}$ or $[Y : X : Z]$ will be an extra involution for \mathcal{C}_1 .

This clarifies part of Theorem 2.5, (1)-(i).

- If $\Lambda(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1)) = S_3$ and $N = 1$, then C should have an involution τ such that $\tau\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1}$. So $\tau = [X : sZ : s^{-1}Y]$, $[sY : s^{-1}X : Z]$ or $[sZ : Y : s^{-1}X]$ with $s^6 = 1$. This holds if we are in one of the situations: $\alpha_{3,3} = \pm\alpha_{3,0}$ and $\alpha_{1,1} = \pm\alpha_{1,4}, \alpha_{0,3} = \pm\alpha_{3,0}$ and

$\alpha_{4,1} = \pm\alpha_{1,4}$, or $\alpha_{3,3} = \pm\alpha_{0,3}$ and $\alpha_{1,1} = \pm\alpha_{4,1}$. Moreover, in all scenarios we can reduce to $\tau = [X : Z : Y]$ via a change of variables ϕ in the normalizer of $\langle\sigma\rangle$, more precisely, via $\phi = \text{diag}(1, \lambda, s\lambda)$ modulo $\langle[X : Z : Y], [Y : Z : X]\rangle$ with $\lambda^6 = 1$. That is, \mathcal{C}_1 is K -isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}'_1 : & X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 + \alpha'_{4,1}X^4YZ + \alpha'_{3,3}X^3(Y^3 + Z^3) + \alpha'_{2,2}X^2Y^2Z^2 \\ & + \alpha'_{1,2}XYZ(Y^3 + Z^3) + \alpha'_{0,3}Y^3Z^3 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}'_1) = \langle\sigma, \tau\rangle = \varrho_1(S_3)$. In particular, we should impose $\alpha'_{4,1} \neq \alpha'_{1,2}$ or $\alpha'_{3,3} \neq \alpha'_{0,3}$ to avoid having $[Y : Z : X]$ as an extra automorphism. Also, $(\alpha'_{3,3}, \alpha'_{1,2}) \neq (0, 0)$ to avoid having $\text{diag}(1, \zeta_6, \zeta_6^{-1})$ as an extra automorphism of order $6 > 3$.

This shows part of Theorem 2.5, (1)-(ii).

- (iv) If $\Lambda(\text{Aut}(C)) = A_4$, then the [Group Structure of \$A_4\$](#) assures that $\Lambda(\text{Aut}(C))$ contains $\Lambda(\tau)$ and $\Lambda(\tau')$ both of order 2 such that

$$\Lambda(\sigma)\Lambda(\tau)\Lambda(\sigma)^{-1} = \Lambda(\tau'), \quad \Lambda(\sigma)\Lambda(\tau')\Lambda(\sigma)^{-1} = \Lambda(\tau')\Lambda(\tau) = \Lambda(\tau)\Lambda(\tau').$$

We aim to show that such τ and τ' do not exist. Write $\Lambda(\tau) =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \text{ then being of order 2 yields } (a+d)b = (a+d)c = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$a = \pm d. \text{ So } \Lambda(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\text{- If } \Lambda(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ then}$$

$$\Lambda(\tau') = \Lambda(\sigma)\Lambda(\tau)\Lambda(\sigma)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \zeta_3^{-1}b \\ \zeta_3c & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \Lambda(\tau) \text{ in } \text{PGL}_2(K),$$

which implies that $\Lambda(\tau')\Lambda(\tau) \neq \Lambda(\tau)\Lambda(\tau')$ a contradiction.

$$\text{- If } \Lambda(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix}, \text{ then } \Lambda(\tau') = \Lambda(\sigma)\Lambda(\tau)\Lambda(\sigma)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & \zeta_3^{-1}b \\ \zeta_3c & -a \end{pmatrix}$$

such that $\Lambda(\tau)\Lambda(\tau') = \Lambda(\tau')\Lambda(\tau)$. That is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a^2 + \zeta_3bc & (\zeta_3^{-1} - 1)ab \\ (1 - \zeta_3)ac & a^2 + \zeta_3^{-1}bc \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 + \zeta_3^{-1}bc & -(\zeta_3^{-1} - 1)ab \\ -(1 - \zeta_3)ac & a^2 + \zeta_3bc \end{pmatrix} \text{ in } \text{PGL}_2(K).$$

For this to be true, either $ab = ac = 0$ or $a^2 + \zeta_3bc = -(a^2 + \zeta_3^{-1}bc)$. Assuming $ab = ac = 0$ yields $\Lambda(\tau') = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \zeta_3^{-1}b \\ \zeta_3^{-1}c & 0 \end{pmatrix} =$

$$\Lambda(\tau) \text{ in } \text{PGL}_2(K) \text{ or } \Lambda(\tau') = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} = \Lambda(\tau) \text{ in } \text{PGL}_2(K), \text{ which}$$

is again a contradiction. Assuming $a^2 + \zeta_3bc = -(a^2 + \zeta_3^{-1}bc)$ yields $c = 2a^2/b$ with $ab \neq 0$. Moreover, $\Lambda(\sigma)\Lambda(\tau')\Lambda(\sigma)^{-1} = \Lambda(\tau)\Lambda(\tau')$, hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & \zeta_3b \\ 2a^2/b & -a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a(\zeta_3 - \zeta_3^{-1}) & (\zeta_3^{-1} - 1)b \\ 2a^2(1 - \zeta_3)/b & -a(\zeta_3 - \zeta_3^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \text{ in } \text{PGL}_2(K).$$

This is valid only if $(\zeta_3 - \zeta_3^{-1})\zeta_3 = (\zeta_3^{-1} - 1)$ and $(\zeta_3 - \zeta_3^{-1}) = (1 - \zeta_3)$, however, the second equation is never valid. This means that $\Lambda(\text{Aut}(C)) \neq A_4$.

- Thirdly, assume that \mathcal{C}_i is a descendant of the Klein sextic curve \mathcal{K}_6 .

Claim 1. For \mathcal{C}_1 a descendant of \mathcal{K}_6 , $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1) = \varrho_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. (of Claim 1) If \mathcal{C}_1 is a descendant of \mathcal{K}_6 with bigger automorphism group than $\langle\sigma\rangle$, then, from the [Group Structure of \$\mathbb{Z}/21\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}\$](#) and since the automorphisms of C have orders ≤ 3 , $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1)$ should be conjugate to

a $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{K}_6)$. Thus \mathcal{C}_1 has another automorphism $\sigma' \notin \langle \sigma \rangle$ of order 3 that commutes with σ . Direct calculations show that we can take $\sigma' = \text{diag}(1, s, t)$ with $s^3 = t^3 = 1$ or $[sY : tZ : X]$ with $s, t \in K^*$.

In the first case, σ' reduces to an homology as $\sigma' \notin \langle \sigma \rangle$. This is absurd because $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{K}_6)$ does not contain any homologies of period 3. Regarding the second case, any descendant \mathcal{C}' of the Klein curve $\mathcal{C}' : X^5Y + Y^5Z + Z^5X + \text{lower terms in } X, Y, Z$ satisfies the property that its automorphism group fixes the triangle Δ whose vertices are the three reference points $(1 : 0 : 0)$, $(0 : 1 : 0)$ and $(0 : 0 : 1)$, moreover, those points all lie on \mathcal{C}' . Because Δ is the only triangle fixed by $\langle \sigma, [sY : tZ : X] \rangle$ for any s, t and because none of its vertices lies on \mathcal{C}_1 , we conclude that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1)$ can not equal $\langle \sigma, [sY : tZ : X] \rangle$. This proves the claim for \mathcal{C}_1 . \square

Claim 2. For \mathcal{C}_2 a descendant of \mathcal{K}_6 , $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_2)$ is either conjugate to $\varrho_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$ or $\varrho_2((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2)$.

Proof. (of Claim 2) If \mathcal{C}_2 is a descendant of \mathcal{K}_6 with bigger automorphism group than $\langle \sigma \rangle$, then $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_2) = \langle \sigma, [sY : tZ : X] \rangle$ for some $s, t \in K^*$. For $\sigma' \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_2)$, $s = \zeta_{21}^r$, $t = \zeta_{21}^{-4r}$, $\alpha_{0,2} = \zeta_{21}^{-12r}\alpha_{4,0}$, $\alpha_{2,4} = \zeta_{21}^{3r}\alpha_{4,0}$, $\alpha_{1,3} = \zeta_{21}^{-6r}\alpha_{3,2}$, $\alpha_{2,1} = \zeta_{21}^{3r}\alpha_{3,2}$, and \mathcal{C}_2 reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} X^5Y &+ Y^5Z + XZ^5 + \alpha_{4,0}(X^4Z^2 + \zeta_{21}^{3r}X^2Y^4 + \zeta_{21}^{-12r}Y^2Z^4) \\ &+ \alpha_{3,2}XYZ(X^2Y + \zeta_{21}^{3r}XZ^2 + \zeta_{21}^{-6r}Y^2Z) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In any situation, there exists a change of variables $\phi = \text{diag}(1, \zeta_{21}^{r'}, \zeta_{21}^{17r'}) \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{K}_6)$ such that $21 \mid 18r' + r$, $12r' - 4r$ for some $r' \in \{0, 1, \dots, 20\}$ that transforms \mathcal{C}_2 up to K -isomorphism to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}'_2 : X^5Y &+ Y^5Z + XZ^5 + \alpha_{4,0}\zeta_{21}^{4r}(X^4Z^2 + X^2Y^4 + Y^2Z^4) \\ &+ \alpha_{3,2}\zeta_{21}^{-r}XYZ(X^2Y + XZ^2 + Y^2Z) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}'_2) = \varrho_2((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2) = \langle \sigma, [Y : Z : X] \rangle$. In particular, we must have $(\alpha_{2,4}, \alpha_{1,3}) \neq (0, 0)$ or $\text{diag}(1, \zeta_{21}, \zeta_{21}^{-4}) \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}'_2)$ of order 21 > 3 .

This completes the proof, which in turns shows Theorem 2.5, (2)-(i). \square

- Now, assume that \mathcal{C}_i is a descendant of the Fermat curve \mathcal{F}_6 . From the [Group structure of \$\text{Aut}\(\mathcal{F}_6\)\$](#) , one sees that if \mathcal{C}_i is a descendant of \mathcal{F}_6 with bigger automorphism group than $\langle \sigma \rangle$, then $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_i)$ is conjugate to one of the following groups inside $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6)$:

$$(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2, S_3, A_4, \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes S_3, \text{He}_3.$$

In what follows, we treat each of these cases for \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 respectively, more precisely, Claim 3 and Claim 4 below.

Claim 3. For \mathcal{C}_1 a descendant of \mathcal{F}_6 , $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1)$ is conjugate to $\varrho_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$, $\varrho_2(S_3)$, $\varrho_1(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes S_3)$, $\varrho_1((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2)$, $\varrho_2((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2)$ or $\varrho_1(A_4)$.

Claim 4. For \mathcal{C}_2 a descendant of \mathcal{F}_6 , $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_2)$ is conjugate to $\varrho_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$, $\varrho_2((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2)$ or $\varrho_2(A_4)$.

Proof. (of Claim 3) - If $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1)$ is conjugate to S_3 or $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes S_3$ inside $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6)$, then \mathcal{C}_1 has an involution τ such that $\tau\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1}$. Similarly as before, this holds only if $\alpha_{3,3} = \pm\alpha_{3,0}$ and $\alpha_{1,1} = \pm\alpha_{1,4}$, $\alpha_{0,3} = \pm\alpha_{3,0}$ and $\alpha_{4,1} = \pm\alpha_{1,4}$, or $\alpha_{3,3} = \pm\alpha_{0,3}$ and $\alpha_{1,1} = \pm\alpha_{4,1}$. In this scenario, \mathcal{C}_1 is K -isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}'_1 : & X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 + \alpha'_{4,1}X^4YZ + \alpha'_{3,3}X^3(Y^3 + Z^3) + \alpha'_{2,2}X^2Y^2Z^2 \\ & + \alpha'_{1,2}XYZ(Y^3 + Z^3) + \alpha'_{0,3}Y^3Z^3 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varrho_2(S_3)$ generated by $\sigma = \text{diag}(1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^{-1})$ and $\tau = [X : Z : Y]$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}'_1)$. Furthermore, if $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}'_1)$ equals $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes S_3$, then it must contain another automorphism $\sigma' \notin \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$ of order 3 that commutes with σ and satisfies $\tau\sigma'\tau = \sigma'^{-1}$. Thus $\sigma' = [s'Y : s'^{-1}Z : X]$ and the invariance of the defining equation for \mathcal{C}'_1 under the action of σ' yields $s'^3 = 1$, $\alpha'_{4,1} = \alpha'_{1,2}$ and $\alpha'_{3,3} = \alpha'_{0,3}$. Hence \mathcal{C}'_1 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} X^6 &+ Y^6 + Z^6 + \alpha'_{1,2}XYZ(X^3 + Y^3 + Z^3) + \alpha'_{3,3}(X^3Y^3 + Y^3Z^3 + Z^3X^3) \\ &+ \alpha'_{2,2}X^2Y^2Z^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

with $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}'_1) = \varrho_1(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes S_3)$. This shows the rest of Theorem 2.5, (1)-(ii).

- If $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1)$ is conjugate to $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ or He_3 inside $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6)$, then \mathcal{C}_1 would have an automorphism $\sigma' \notin \langle \sigma \rangle$ of order 3 that commutes with σ since every copy of $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ in any of these groups is contained in a $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$. Similarly as before, we can take $\sigma' = \text{diag}(1, s', t')$ with $s'^3 = t'^3 = 1$ or $[s'Y : t'Z : X]$ with $s', t' \in K^*$.

- (i) Suppose that $\sigma' = \text{diag}(1, s', t') \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1)$. Because $\sigma' \notin \langle \sigma \rangle$, we have $\sigma' = \text{diag}(1, 1, \zeta_3)$, $\text{diag}(\zeta_3, 1, 1)$ or $\text{diag}(1, \zeta_3, 1)$. Consequently, $\alpha_{4,1} = \alpha_{2,2} = \alpha_{1,1} = \alpha_{1,4} = 0$ and \mathcal{C}_1 reduces to

$$X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 + \alpha_{3,3}X^3Y^3 + \alpha_{3,0}X^3Z^3 + \alpha_{0,3}Y^3Z^3 = 0,$$

with $\varrho_1((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2) \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1)$. On the other hand, $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1)$ equals He_3 only if it contains an extra automorphism $\sigma'' \notin \langle \sigma, \sigma' \rangle$ of order 3 that commutes with σ and satisfies $\sigma''\sigma'\sigma''^{-1} = \sigma'\sigma^{-1}$. This gives us $\sigma'' = [s''Y : t''Z : X]$ for some $s'', t'' \in K^*$. Hence $s''^6 = t''^6 = 1$, $\alpha_{3,3} = s''^3\alpha_{3,0}$, $\alpha_{0,3} = t''^3\alpha_{3,0}$, and \mathcal{C}_1 becomes of the form:

$$X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 + \alpha_{3,0}(\pm X^3Y^3 + X^3Z^3 + t''^3Y^3Z^3) = 0.$$

In particular, $[Y : X : t''Z]$ is an automorphism for \mathcal{C}_1 of order divisible by 2. This is a contradiction as $2 \nmid |\text{He}_3| (= 27)$.

- (ii) Suppose that $\sigma'_{s,t} = [s'Y : t'Z : X] \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1)$. For this to be true, we should have $s'^6 = t'^6 = 1$, $\alpha_{4,1} = s't'\alpha_{1,1}$, $\alpha_{1,4} = s'^5t'^2\alpha_{1,1}$, $\alpha_{3,3} = s'^3\alpha_{3,0}$, $\alpha_{0,3} = t'^3\alpha_{3,0} = \pm\alpha_{3,0}$, and \mathcal{C}_1 is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} X^6 &+ Y^6 + Z^6 + \alpha_{1,1}XYZ(s't'X^3 \pm \frac{1}{s't'}Y^3 + Z^3) + \alpha_{2,2}X^2Y^2Z^2 \\ &+ \alpha_{3,0}(s'^3X^3Y^3 + X^3Z^3 \pm Y^3Z^3) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

such that $(s't')^2 = 1$ whenever $\alpha_{2,2} \neq 0$. Consequently, it must be the case that $\alpha_{2,2} = 0$ and $\alpha_{1,1} \neq 0$ or $[t'Y : t'^{-1}X : Z]$ would be an extra involution, which violates the fact that $|\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1)| = 9$ or 27 . That is, $s't' = \zeta_6^\ell$ for some $\ell \neq 0$ or $3 \pmod 6$, and \mathcal{C}_1 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 &+ \alpha_{1,1}XYZ(\zeta_6^\ell X^3 \pm \zeta_6^{-\ell}Y^3 + Z^3) + \\ &+ \alpha_{3,0}(\pm(-1)^\ell X^3Y^3 + X^3Z^3 \pm Y^3Z^3) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\alpha_{1,1}, \alpha_{3,0} \in K^*$. Applying the projective change of variables $\phi = \text{diag}(1, \frac{\sqrt[3]{s't'}}{s'}, \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{s't'}})$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}''_1 : X^6 + \zeta_6^{2\ell}Y^6 + \zeta_6^{-2\ell}Z^6 &+ \alpha'_{1,1}XYZ(X^3 + \zeta_6^{2\ell}Y^3 + \zeta_6^{-2\ell}Z^3) + \\ &+ \alpha'_{3,0}(X^3Y^3 + \zeta_6^{-2\ell}X^3Z^3 + \zeta_6^{2\ell}Y^3Z^3) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now with σ and $\sigma' = [Y : Z : X]$ as automorphisms for \mathcal{C}''_1 , we have that $\langle \sigma, \sigma' \rangle = \varrho_2((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2) \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}''_1)$. Again it is impossible that we can enlarge $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}''_1)$ to He_3 , since this requires $\text{diag}(1, 1, \zeta_3)$ to be in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}''_1)$.

This cannot be as $\alpha'_{1,1} = \frac{\alpha_{1,1}\zeta_6^\ell}{s} \neq 0$.

- If $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1)$ is conjugate to an A_4 inside $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6)$, then it should be $\varrho_i(A_4)$ with $i = 1$ or 2 .

- (i) First, suppose that $\phi^{-1} \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1) \phi = \varrho_1(A_4)$. As all subgroups of A_4 of order 3 are A_4 -conjugated, there is no loss of generality to take $\phi^{-1} \sigma \phi = [Y : Z : X]$ or $[Z : X : Y]$. In particular, ϕ has one of the following shapes:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 &:= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \lambda & \zeta_3^{-1}\lambda & \zeta_3\lambda \\ \mu & \zeta_3\mu & \zeta_3^{-1}\mu \end{pmatrix}, \phi_2 := \begin{pmatrix} \mu & \zeta_3\mu & \zeta_3^{-1}\mu \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \lambda & \zeta_3^{-1}\lambda & \zeta_3\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \phi_3 := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \zeta_3^{-1}\lambda & \zeta_3\lambda \\ \mu & \zeta_3\mu & \zeta_3^{-1}\mu \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \phi_4 &:= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \lambda & \zeta_3\lambda & \zeta_3^{-1}\lambda \\ \mu & \zeta_3^{-1}\mu & \zeta_3\mu \end{pmatrix}, \phi_5 := \begin{pmatrix} \mu & \zeta_3^{-1}\mu & \zeta_3\mu \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \lambda & \zeta_3\lambda & \zeta_3^{-1}\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \phi_6 := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \zeta_3\lambda & \zeta_3^{-1}\lambda \\ \mu & \zeta_3^{-1}\mu & \zeta_3\mu \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\lambda, \mu \in K^*$.

Now, we handle each of these situations to determine the restrictions on the defining equation of \mathcal{C}_1 for which this holds.

- For $\phi_1 \text{diag}(1, 1, -1)\phi_1^{-1}$ (respectively $\phi_4 \text{diag}(1, 1, -1)\phi_4^{-1}$) to be in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1)$, we must eliminate the coefficients of X^5Z , X^5Y , Y^5Z , XZ^5 , YZ^5 , X^4Y^2 , X^4Z^2 from the transformed equation $\phi_i \text{diag}(1, 1, -1)\phi_i^{-1} \mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_1$ with $i = 1$ and 4 respectively. In this way, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{4,1} &= \frac{2(29 - 54\lambda^6 - 54\mu^6)}{27\lambda\mu}, \alpha_{3,3} = \frac{2(81\mu^6 - 27\lambda^6 - 26)}{27\lambda^3}, \\ \alpha_{3,0} &= \frac{2(81\lambda^6 - 27\mu^6 - 26)}{27\mu^3}, \alpha_{1,4} = \frac{2(27\lambda^6 - 54\mu^6 - 52)}{27\lambda^4\mu}, \\ \alpha_{1,1} &= \frac{2(27\mu^6 - 54\lambda^6 - 52)}{27\lambda\mu^4}, \alpha_{0,3} = \frac{2(82 - 27\lambda^6 - 27\mu^6)}{27\lambda^3\mu^3}, \\ \alpha_{2,2} &= \frac{9\lambda^6 + 9\mu^6 + 10}{3\lambda^2\mu^2}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, \mathcal{C}_1 is K -isomorphic via ϕ_1 (respectively ϕ_4 followed by $Y \leftrightarrow Z$) to $\mathcal{C}_{1,\lambda,\mu}$ described in Theorem 2.5, (1)-(iii).

- For $\phi_2 \text{diag}(1, 1, -1)\phi_2^{-1}$ (respectively $\phi_5 \text{diag}(1, 1, -1)\phi_5^{-1}$) to be in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1)$, one notices that $\phi_2 = [Z : X : Y]\phi_1 = \phi_1 \circ [Z : X : Y]$ (respectively $\phi_5 = [Z : X : Y]\phi_4 = \phi_4 \circ [Z : X : Y]$). This means that we get the same conclusion as above up to a permutation of the parameters, more precisely, after

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_{4,1}, \alpha_{1,1}, \alpha_{1,4}) &\mapsto (\alpha_{1,1}, \alpha_{1,4}, \alpha_{4,1}), \\ (\alpha_{0,3}, \alpha_{3,3}, \alpha_{3,0}) &\mapsto (\alpha_{3,3}, \alpha_{3,0}, \alpha_{0,3}). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, we have $\phi_i \text{diag}(1, 1, -1)\phi_i^{-1}$ with $i = 2$ or 5 inside $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1)$ only if

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{1,4} &= \frac{2(29 - 54\lambda^6 - 54\mu^6)}{27\lambda\mu}, \alpha_{0,3} = \frac{2(81\mu^6 - 27\lambda^6 - 26)}{27\lambda^3}, \\ \alpha_{3,3} &= \frac{2(81\lambda^6 - 27\mu^6 - 26)}{27\mu^3}, \alpha_{1,1} = \frac{2(27\lambda^6 - 54\mu^6 - 52)}{27\lambda^4\mu}, \\ \alpha_{4,1} &= \frac{2(27\mu^6 - 54\lambda^6 - 52)}{27\lambda\mu^4}, \alpha_{3,0} = \frac{2(82 - 27\lambda^6 - 27\mu^6)}{27\lambda^3\mu^3}, \\ \alpha_{2,2} &= \frac{9\lambda^6 + 9\mu^6 + 10}{3\lambda^2\mu^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Once more \mathcal{C}_1 reduces to $\mathcal{C}_{1,\lambda,\mu}$ described in Theorem 2.5, (1)-(iii).

Similarly, $\phi_3 = \phi_1 \circ [Y : Z : X]$ and $\phi_6 = \phi_4 \circ [Y : Z : X]$. So $\phi_i \text{diag}(1, 1, -1)\phi_i^{-1}$ with $i = 3$ or 6 is an automorphism for \mathcal{C}_1 only if

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{1,1} &= \frac{2(29 - 54\lambda^6 - 54\mu^6)}{27\lambda\mu}, \alpha_{3,0} = \frac{2(81\mu^6 - 27\lambda^6 - 26)}{27\lambda^3}, \\ \alpha_{0,3} &= \frac{2(81\lambda^6 - 27\mu^6 - 26)}{27\mu^3}, \alpha_{4,1} = \frac{2(27\lambda^6 - 54\mu^6 - 52)}{27\lambda^4\mu}, \\ \alpha_{1,4} &= \frac{2(27\mu^6 - 54\lambda^6 - 52)}{27\lambda\mu^4}, \alpha_{3,3} = \frac{2(82 - 27\lambda^6 - 27\mu^6)}{27\lambda^3\mu^3}, \\ \alpha_{2,2} &= \frac{9\lambda^6 + 9\mu^6 + 10}{3\lambda^2\mu^2},\end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{C}_1 becomes K -isomorphism to $\mathcal{C}_{1,\lambda,\mu}$.

This shows Theorem 2.5, (1)-(iii).

- (ii) Second, suppose that $\psi^{-1} \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1)\psi = \varrho_2(\mathcal{A}_4)$. Again, we can impose $\psi^{-1}\sigma\psi = [\zeta_6^{-1}Y : Z : X]$ or $[Z : \zeta_6 X : Y]$, in particular, ψ has the shape of ψ_i below.

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_1 &:= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \zeta_{18}^{-2} & \zeta_{18}^{-1} \\ \lambda & \zeta_{18}^{-8}\lambda & \zeta_{18}^5\lambda \\ \mu & \zeta_{18}^4\mu & \zeta_{18}^{-7}\mu \end{pmatrix}, \psi_2 := \begin{pmatrix} \mu & \zeta_{18}^4\mu & \zeta_{18}^{-7}\mu \\ 1 & \zeta_{18}^{-2} & \zeta_{18}^{-1} \\ \lambda & \zeta_{18}^{-8}\lambda & \zeta_{18}^5\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \psi_3 := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \zeta_{18}^{-8}\lambda & \zeta_{18}^5\lambda \\ \mu & \zeta_{18}^4\mu & \zeta_{18}^{-7}\mu \\ 1 & \zeta_{18}^{-2} & \zeta_{18}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \psi_4 &:= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \zeta_{18}^2 & \zeta_{18} \\ \lambda & \zeta_{18}^{-4}\lambda & \zeta_{18}^7\lambda \\ \mu & \zeta_{18}^8\mu & \zeta_{18}^{-5}\mu \end{pmatrix}, \psi_5 := \begin{pmatrix} \mu & \zeta_{18}^8\mu & \zeta_{18}^{-5}\mu \\ 1 & \zeta_{18}^2 & \zeta_{18} \\ \lambda & \zeta_{18}^{-4}\lambda & \zeta_{18}^7\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \psi_6 := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \zeta_{18}^{-4}\lambda & \zeta_{18}^7\lambda \\ \mu & \zeta_{18}^8\mu & \zeta_{18}^{-5}\mu \\ 1 & \zeta_{18}^2 & \zeta_{18} \end{pmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

for some $\lambda, \mu \in K^*$. However, it is straightforward to check that none of these transformation transforms \mathcal{C}_1 to \mathcal{C}' whose core is $X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6$. Consequently, \mathcal{C}_1 is never a descendant of the Fermat curve \mathcal{F}_6 with $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_1)$ conjugate to $\varrho_2(\mathcal{A}_4)$.

This proves Claim 3. \square

It remains to prove Claim 4 for \mathcal{C}_2 that is a descendant of the Fermat curve \mathcal{F}_6 .

Proof. (of Claim 4) - We easily discard the cases when $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_2)$ equals an S_3 or $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes S_3$ inside $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_6)$ as none of the involutions $[X : sZ : s^{-1}Y]$, $[sY : s^{-1}X : Z]$ and $[sZ : Y : s^{-1}X]$ preserves the core $X^5Y + Y^5Z + Z^5X$ of \mathcal{C}_2 .

- On the other hand, if $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_2)$ equals $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ or He_3 , then the discussion we had to show Claim 2 applies to conclude that \mathcal{C}_2 is K -isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}' : X^5Y &+ Y^5Z + XZ^5 + \alpha_{4,0}\zeta_{21}^{4r}(X^4Z^2 + X^2Y^4 + Y^2Z^4) \\ &+ \alpha_{3,2}\zeta_{21}^{-r}XYZ(X^2Y + XZ^2 + Y^2Z) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where $\varrho_2((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2) \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}')$. Next, if $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}')$ is He_3 , then there must be another automorphism $\sigma' \notin \varrho_2((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2)$ of order 3 that commutes with σ such that $\sigma'[Y : Z : X]\sigma'^{-1} = [Y : Z : X]\sigma^{-1}$. Straightforward calculations show that $\sigma' = [s'Y : t'Z : X]$ or $[s'Z : t'X : Y]$ with $s't' = \zeta_3$ and $s'^2t'^{-1} = \zeta_3^{-1}$. So σ' belongs to $\varrho_1((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2)$ modulo $\langle [Y : Z : X] \rangle$. Obviously, none of these transformations leaves invariant the core of \mathcal{C}' . Therefore, $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_2)$ is never conjugate to He_3 inside \mathcal{F}_6 .

- Thirdly, following the notations of Claim 3, a change of variables of the form $\phi = \phi_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ does not transform \mathcal{C}_2 to $\mathcal{C}'_2 : X^6 + Y^6 + Z^6 +$ lower order terms in X, Y, Z . Thus \mathcal{C}_2 is not a descendant of \mathcal{F}_6 such that $\phi^{-1} \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_2)\phi =$

$\varrho_1(A_4)$. On the other hand, $\psi_i \operatorname{diag}(1, 1, -1) \psi_i^{-1} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_2)$ with $i = 1$ or 4 only if

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{2,4} &= \frac{\lambda^5 \mu + 4\mu^5}{2\lambda^4}, \alpha_{4,0} = \frac{\lambda + 4\lambda^5 \mu}{2\mu^2}, \alpha_{0,2} = \frac{4\lambda + \mu^5}{2\lambda^2 \mu^4} \\ \alpha_{1,3} &= \frac{2(2\lambda^5 \mu + 2\lambda + \mu^5)}{\lambda^3 \mu^2}, \alpha_{3,2} = \frac{2\lambda^5 \mu + 4\lambda + 4\mu^5}{\lambda^2 \mu}, \alpha_{2,1} = \frac{2(2\lambda^5 \mu + \lambda + 2\mu^5)}{\lambda \mu^3}. \end{aligned}$$

The above restrictions are consequences of eliminating the coefficients of $X^6, Y^6, Z^6, X^5 Z, Y^4 Z^2, X^4 Y^2, X^4 Z^2$ from the transformed equation $\psi_i \operatorname{diag}(1, 1, -1) \psi_i^{-1} \mathcal{C}_2 = \mathcal{C}_2$. Moreover, \mathcal{C}_2 is K -isomorphic via ψ_1 (respectively ψ_4 followed by $Y \leftrightarrow Z$) to $\mathcal{C}_{2,\lambda,\mu}$ described in Theorem 2.5, (2)-(ii). The rest is obvious by noticing that $\psi_2 = \psi_1 \circ [Z : X : Y]$, $\psi_5 = \phi_4 \circ [Z : X : Y]$, $\psi_3 = \psi_1 \circ [Y : Z : X]$ and $\psi_6 = \psi_4 \circ [Y : Z : X]$.

This proves Claim 4. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Badr and F. Bars, *Automorphism groups of nonsingular plane curves of degree 5*. Comm. Algebra **44** (2016), no. 10, 4327-4340. MR 3508302.
- [2] E. Badr and F. Bars, *Non-singular plane curves with an element of “large” order in its automorphism group*. Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **26** (2016), no. 2, 399-433. MR 3475065.
- [3] E. Badr and F. Bars, *On the locus of smooth plane curves with a fixed automorphism group*. Mediterr. J. Math. **13** (2016), no. 5, 3605-3627. MR 3554328.
- [4] E. Badr and F. Bars, *The stratification by automorphism groups of smooth plane sextic curves*. arXiv:2208.12749 (2022).
- [5] T. Breuer, *Characters and automorphism groups of compact Riemann surfaces*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 280, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000. MR 1796706.
- [6] E. Bujalance, J. J. Etayo, and E. Martínez, *Automorphism groups of hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces*. Kodai Math. J. **10** (1987), no. 2, 174-181. MR 897252.
- [7] E. Bujalance, J. M. Gamboa, and G. Gromadzki, *The full automorphism groups of hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces*. Manuscripta Math. **79** (1993), no. 3-4, 267-282. MR 1223022.
- [8] GAP, The GAP Group: Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, a system for computational discrete algebra (2008), available at <http://www.gap-system.org>. Version 4.4.11.
- [9] T. Harui, *Automorphism groups of plane curves*. Kodai Math. J. **42** (2), (2019), 308-331.
- [10] P. Henn, *Die Automorphismengruppen der algebraischen Functionenkorper vom Geschlecht 3*. Inaugural-dissertation, Heidelberg, 1976.
- [11] A. Kuribayashi and K. Komiya, *On Weierstrass points and automorphisms of curves of genus three*. Algebraic geometry (Proc. Summer Meeting, Univ. Copenhagen, Copenhagen, 1978), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 732, Springer, Berlin, 1979, pp. 253-299. MR 555703.
- [12] I. Kuribayashi and A. Kuribayashi, *On automorphism groups of compact Riemann surfaces of genus 4*. Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **62** (1986), no. 2, 65-68. MR 839811.
- [13] I. Kuribayashi and A. Kuribayashi, *Automorphism groups of compact Riemann surfaces of genera three and four*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **65** (1990), no. 3, 277-292. MR 1072285.
- [14] A. Kuribayashi and H. Kimura, *Automorphism groups of compact Riemann surfaces of genus five*. J. Algebra **134** (1990), no. 1, 80-103. MR 1068416.
- [15] H. Mitchell, *Determination of the ordinary and modular ternary linear groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **12**, no. 2 (1911), 207-242.
- [16] D. Sevilla and T. Shaska, *Hyperelliptic curves with reduced automorphism group A_5* . Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. **18** (2007), no. 1-2, 3-20. MR 2280308.
- [17] T. Shaska, *Determining the automorphism group of a hyperelliptic curve*. Proceedings of the 2003 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, ACM, New York, 2003, pp. 248-254. MR 2035219.

• E. BADR

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, CAIRO UNIVERSITY, GIZA-EGYPT
Email address: eslam@sci.cu.edu.eg

MATHEMATICS AND ACTUARIAL SCIENCE DEPARTMENT (MACT), AMERICAN UNIVERSITY IN
CAIRO (AUC), NEW CAIRO-EGYPT

Email address: **eslammath@aucegypt.edu**

• F. BARS

DEPARTAMENT MATEMÀTIQUES, EDIF. C, UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA, 08193
BELLATERRA, CATALONIA

BARCELONA GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, CATALONIA

Email address: **francesc.bars@uab.cat**