

Reverse Newhouse-Ruelle-Takens Route to Chaos and Time-Dependent Hamiltonian Formulation of a Generalized Muthuswamy-Chua System

Bharathwaj Muthuswamy,^{1, a)} Jean-Marc Ginoux,^{2, b)} Roberto Concas,^{3, c)} Riccardo Meucci,⁴ Jaume Llibre,⁵ and Leon O. Chua⁶

¹⁾*NERD, Inc. (Nonlinear Engineering Research and Development), San Jose, CA*

²⁾*Université de Toulon, Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, LIS, Toulon, France*

³⁾*Istituto Nazionale di Ricerca Metrologica, Sesto Fiorentino, Florence, Italy*

⁴⁾*National Institute of Optics - CNR, Florence, Italy*

⁵⁾*Departament de Matemàtiques, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain*

⁶⁾*Department of EECS, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA*

This work introduces a generalization of the Muthuswamy-Chua system of equations. The generalization allows us to embed memristive/hysteretic systems in a time-dependent Hamiltonian. We perform mathematical analysis and detailed numerical investigations of the fourth-order Muthuswamy-Chua system, based on bifurcation diagram and Lyapunov characteristics exponents. We then highlight bifurcation routes from torus breakdown to homoclinic chaos following the Newhouse-Ruelle-Takens scenario. The corresponding electronic oscillator that perfectly fits the model is analyzed and validated by Spice simulations. To our knowledge, our work represents a novel memristive approach to describing a harmonic oscillator interacting with a finite “bath”.

In this work we present a generalization of the Muthuswamy-Chua memristive oscillator by coupling an external mass-spring pair to one harmonic oscillator “bath”. By varying the control parameter we highlight that the resulting four-dimensional model exhibits a reverse Newhouse-Ruelle-Takens (NRT) route to chaos. Then, while using the Helmholtz theorem we deduce the energy function of this model which is consistent with the Hamiltonian function obtained with the Caldirola-Kanai method. This leads us to the conclusion that a single-equilibrium memristive oscillator can self-pump energy through its internal bath, driving the reversed NRT cascade and furnishing a Lyapunov-like Hamiltonian that can be a springboard for quantization.

role in understanding how quasiperiodic motion evolves into complex attractors. Parallel to these developments, the discovery of memristors and their incorporation into circuit theory¹⁹ have provided simple yet powerful prototypes for exploring nonlinear and chaotic behavior. Among these, the Muthuswamy-Chua (MC) system¹⁹ — derived from the minimal inductor-capacitor-memristor topology — has emerged as a paradigmatic model capable of reproducing chaos with a low-dimensional structure. Its generalizations have been studied from the perspectives of integrability¹⁶, energy functions^{10,11,13}, and Hamiltonian formulation^{17,25}, thereby highlighting the deep connection between memory, dissipation, and conservative dynamics. Despite its apparent simplicity, the MC system continues to reveal new behaviors when extended to higher dimensions or when recast within generalized Hamiltonian frameworks. These extensions are not only relevant to circuit theory but also to a broader class of physical systems where memory effects mediate energy exchange between subsystems. In this paper, we propose a generalized four-dimensional MC oscillator in which an external harmonic oscillator is coupled to a finite “bath” representing the internal states of the memristor. Through bifurcation analysis, Lyapunov exponents, and numerical simulations, we demonstrate that this model undergoes a reverse Newhouse-Ruelle-Takens route to chaos. Moreover, by applying Helmholtz’s theorem and the Caldirola-Kanai approach, we derive both an energy function and a time-dependent Hamiltonian, and we validate our theoretical predictions via an electronic circuit implementation and SPICE simulations.

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of transition routes to chaos has been a central theme in nonlinear science for more than five decades. Following the seminal scenario proposed by Newhouse, Ruelle, and Takens⁷, several mechanisms leading from regular to chaotic dynamics have been identified in both physical and electronic systems. In particular, the breakdown of invariant tori, as clarified by Afraimovich and Shilnikov¹, has played a fundamental

^{a)}Electronic mail: bharath@nerd-inc.org

^{b)}Electronic mail: jmginoux@orange.fr

^{c)}Electronic mail: r.concas@inrim.it

II. THE MODEL

The Muthuswamy-Chua (MC) system of equations¹⁶ are derived from the simplest topologically possible chaotic circuit¹⁹ - an inductor-capacitor-memristor in series (parallel). The system equations are given below, note that we have used notation commonly used in mechanics. Although the original MC system is obtained from an electronic circuit, the system equations are general and independent of the physical implementation. We chose mechanics since the goal of this work is to study a time-dependent Hamiltonian associated with the generalized MC system. Variable x is the position, p is the momentum. $\mathbf{z}$ still represents the internal state of the memristor, m and ω_0 are parameters (commonly referred to as mass and angular frequency resp.) associated with the harmonic oscillator.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{dt} &= \frac{p}{m}, \\ \frac{dp}{dt} &= -m\omega_0^2 x + \mu R(\mathbf{z}) p, \\ \dot{\mathbf{z}} &= h(\mathbf{z}, p),\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. We will now define the following structure for R and h , $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned}R(\mathbf{z}) &= \sum_{n=1}^{2k} a_n z_n, \\ \dot{z}_n &= z_{n+1}, \\ \dot{z}_{n+1} &= -z_n,\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

where $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$. In the following of this work we will use only $k = 1$, and so we have:

$$\begin{aligned}R(z_1, z_2) &= a_1 z_1 + a_2 z_2, \\ \dot{z}_1 &= z_2, \\ \dot{z}_2 &= -z_1.\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

Then, we obtain the following Generalized Muthuswamy-Chua dynamical system:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{dt} &= \frac{y}{m}, \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= -m\omega_0^2 x + \mu (a_1 z + a_2 u) y, \\ \frac{dz}{dt} &= u, \\ \frac{du}{dt} &= -z,\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

where we have posed : $p = y$, $z_1 = z$ and $z_2 = u$ for sake of simplicity. Let us notice that this system (4) can be also written as:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{dt} &= \frac{y}{m}, \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= -m\omega_0^2 x + \mu (a_1 \sin(t) + a_2 \cos(t)) y,\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

where $z(t) = \sin(t)$ and $u(t) = \cos(t)$. Thus, system (4) is in fact an external simple harmonic oscillator coupled to a memristive system whose internal states themselves are modelled by a finite “bath” of simple harmonic oscillator. We have chosen the simplest possible memristance function R , as a linear combination of the internal memristor states, for coupling the external harmonic oscillator with the memristor.

In some recent publications, we proposed a method for obtaining the *energy function* of any differential systems starting from their corresponding *equation of motion*, i.e. their *acceleration equation* in dimension two and *jerk equation* in dimension three¹⁰⁻¹³. In this work, we will extend these previous results to the system (4) of dimension four. To this aim, we will first consider the following set of nonlinear ordinary differential equations:

$$\frac{d\vec{X}}{dt} = \vec{\mathfrak{F}}(\vec{X}),\tag{6}$$

with $\vec{X} = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^t \in E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\vec{\mathfrak{F}}(\vec{X}) = [f_1(\vec{X}), f_2(\vec{X}), \dots, f_n(\vec{X})]^t \in E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The vector $\vec{\mathfrak{F}}(\vec{X})$ defines a velocity vector field $\dot{\vec{X}} = [\dot{x}_1, \dot{x}_2, \dots, \dot{x}_n]^t$ in E whose components f_i which are supposed to be infinitely differentiable with respect to all x_i , i.e. are C^∞ functions in E and with values included in $\mathbb{R}$. Components f_i satisfy the assumptions of the Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem. For more details, see for example Coddington & Levinson⁶. In dimension two, it is well-known that *energy function* of differential systems can be simply obtained by multiplying its corresponding second-order ordinary differential equation, i.e., its *equation of motion* by the first time derivative of its *state variable*. In dimension three, we have proved in our previous work¹¹ that the *jerk equation* of such differential systems must be multiplied by the second time derivative of the *state variable* and not by the first like in dimension two to obtain the *energy function*. However, more than twenty years ago, Sarasola *et al.*²⁴, Wang *et al.*²⁵, Ma *et al.*¹⁷ and Yu *et al.*²⁷ to name but a few proposed to use a method based on the Helmholtz’s theorem to deduce the *energy function* of any n -dimensional differential systems (6). This method is briefly recalled below. According to Helmholtz’s theorem, such differential system (6) can be decomposed into a gradient and a rotational field, i.e., as the sum of a conservative and a dissipative vector field $\vec{\mathfrak{F}}(\vec{X}) = \vec{\mathfrak{F}}_c(\vec{X}) + \vec{\mathfrak{F}}_d(\vec{X})$. Thus, it can be expressed in a generalized Hamiltonian form:

$$\frac{d\vec{X}}{dt} = \left[J(\vec{X}) + R(\vec{X}) \right] \nabla H(\vec{X}),\tag{7}$$

where ∇H is the gradient vector of the smooth *energy function* $H(\vec{X})$, $J(\vec{X})$ is a skew-symmetric matrix and $R(\vec{X})$ is a symmetric matrix. The Hamiltonian *energy function* can thus be calculated by:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dH}{dt} &= \nabla H^T R(\vec{X}) \nabla H, \\ \nabla H^T J(\vec{X}) \nabla H &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Since the vector field (6) can be decomposed into a gradient and a rotational field, it follows that the *energy function* and its rate of change can be deduced by:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dH}{dt} &= \nabla H^T R(\vec{X}) \nabla H = \nabla H^T \vec{\mathfrak{S}}_d(\vec{X}), \\ \nabla H^T J(\vec{X}) \nabla H &= 0 = \nabla H^T \vec{\mathfrak{S}}_c(\vec{X}), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

III. STABILITY ANALYSIS

A. Fixed points

The unique fixed point is the origin $O(0, 0, 0, 0)$.

B. Jacobian matrix

The Jacobian matrix of dynamical system (4) reads:

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{m} & 0 & 0 \\ -m\omega_0^2 & \mu(a_1 z + a_2 y) & \mu a_1 y & \mu a_2 y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

By replacing the coordinate of the fixed point O in the Jacobian matrix (4) one obtains the following four eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \pm i \quad ; \quad \lambda_{3,4} = \pm i\omega_0$$

Thus, the origin is *focus*. Moreover, it appears that no Hopf bifurcation can occur in our model (4). It follows that μ can be chosen as *control parameter*.

1. Bifurcation diagram

Thus, in order to highlight the effects of the control parameter μ changes on the topology of the attractor of our model (4) we have built a bifurcation diagram for the parameter set $m = 1$, $\omega_0 = 1$, $a_1 = 1.5$ and $a_2 = 0.25$. In





FIG. 1: Bifurcation diagram of model (4) for $m = 1$, $\omega_0 = 1$, $a_1 = 1.5$ and $a_2 = 0.25$.

the resulting Fig. 1, $x_{max}(t)$ is plotted as a function of μ .

From $\mu = 0.01$ to $\mu = 1$, we observe a transition from a periodic orbit (limit cycle) to a chaotic attractor. To highlight this result, the phase portrait of the attractor of model (4) has been plotted in Fig. 2. For $\mu = 0.01$, the attractor is a *limit cycle*. Then, for $\mu = 0.4$, it becomes a torus. By reaching the value of $\mu = 0.75$, we observe a *homoclinic orbit* and for $\mu = 1$ a *chaotic attractor*.

By reading from left to right the bifurcation diagram presented in Fig. 1 and comparing it to the phase portraits plotted in Figs. 2, topological changes of the trajectory curve, integral of dynamical system (21) seem to follow this route to chaos:




FIG. 2: Phase portraits of model (4) for various values μ .

Limite Cycle (LC) $\Rightarrow$ Torus (T) $\Rightarrow$ Homoclinic Chaos (H)

In order to confirm such scenario, Lyapunov Characteristic Exponents (LCE) have been computed in each case.

2. Numerical computation of the Lyapunov exponents

The algorithm developed by Sandri²³ for Mathematica has been used to perform the numerical calculation of the Lyapunov characteristics exponents (LCE) of model (4) in each case. LCEs values have been computed within each considered interval ($\mu \in [0.01, 1]$). As an example, for $\mu = 0.01$, Sandri's algorithm has provided

respectively the following LCEs $(0, -, -, -)$ while for $\mu = 0.4$, it provided the following LCEs $(0, 0, -, -)$. For $\mu = 0.75$, LCEs are $(+, 0, 0, -)$ and for $\mu = 1$, LCEs are $(+, 0, 0, -)$. Then, according to Klein and Baier¹⁴, the numerical computation of Lyapunov spectrum of model (4) confirms the existence of a periodic motion (*limit cycle*) for $\mu = 0.01$, of 3-Torus for $\mu = 0.4$ and of a an heteroclinic orbit and a chaotic attractor for $0.75 \leq \mu \leq 1$. LCEs values have been also computed with the Lyapunov Exponents Toolbox (LET) developed by Steve Siu for MatLab[®] and involving the two algorithms proposed by Wolf *et al.*²⁶ and Eckmann and Ruelle⁷ (see <https://fr.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/233-let>). Results obtained by both algorithms are consistent.

IV. ROUTE TO CHAOS: THE NEWHOUSE-RUELLE-TAKENS SCENARIO

The main results presented in the previous section, have enabled to confirm, on the one hand stability analysis and, on the other hand from the computation of the Lyapunov characteristics exponents, that the trajectory curve integral of the Generalized Muthuswamy-Chua System (4) follows this route to chaos:

Limite Cycle (LC) $\Rightarrow$ Torus (T) $\Rightarrow$ Homoclinic Chaos (H)

This scenario, in which the appearance of chaos is followed by the breakdown of a 3-torus, corresponds exactly to that proposed by Newhouse, Ruelle and Takens (NRT)²⁰. In the very beginning of the seventies, Fenichel⁸ had already shown that the torus always loses its smoothness before the breakdown. However, it was only twenty years later that Afraimovich and Shilnikov¹ mathematically studied this phenomenon and stated their famous theorem “on the breakdown of the torus”. Then, many authors investigated the phenomena of 3-torus or T^3 -breakdown in third-order torus circuits^{4,5} as well as homoclinic chaos in electronic circuits^{9,18}. The theorem of Afraimovich and Shilnikov¹ predicted that the oscillatory regimes in (21) can evolve along three different routes:

- A. Torus breakdown due to the loss of smoothness,
- B. Torus breakdown due to the period-doubling of the periodic orbit
- C. Torus breakdown due to the appearance of a homoclinic trajectory.

According to what precedes, in our model (4) torus breakdown is due to the appearance of homoclinic trajectory (route C) for the values μ of the control parameter as exemplified on Fig. 1 & 2.

Homoclinic bifurcation has been originally studied by Andronov and Leontovich^{2,3}, then by Arnold *et al.*⁴ and Kuznetsov¹⁵. According to Kuznetsov, “the disappearance of a homoclinic orbit leads to the creation or destruction of one (or more) limit cycle nearby¹⁵”. This is exactly what is observed in our model (4) for the values $\mu \in [0.01, 1]$ of the control parameter (see Fig. 2).

V. EXPERIMENTAL SET UP

The system of differential equations (4) describing the Muthuswamy-Chua memresistive oscillator model considered, can be solved via analog computation by using Spice simulations. Such an approach provides reliable results as demonstrated in Concas *et al.*²⁹ in simulating

laser dynamics with several nonlinearities and in Ginoux *et al.*¹² in simulating memristor model.




FIG. 3: Design block implementation of Eqs. (3). The architecture consists of four functional blocks X , Y , Z , U , each enclosed by a blue, dashed box, where it implements the differential equations providing x , y , z and u .

The block diagram shown in Fig. 3 provides a functional scheme of the system. It includes four ideal integration blocks whose outputs are the variables x , y , z and u , two summing nodes and the amplification blocks for $\frac{1}{m}$, $m\omega_0^2$, a_1 , a_2 , and μ . The nonlinear terms are realized by the multiplier blocks for ZY and UY .

A. Electronic circuit

The electronic circuit implementation of the circuit blocks introduced in Fig. 3 is shown in Fig. 4a. All active components are assumed to be power supplied with ± 15 V. All operational amplifiers (op-amps) used in this circuit are the AD820.

The normally-closed switches S_1 , S_2 , S_3 , S_4 are used to start the circuit with initial conditions for x , y , z and u at $t = 0$, while the voltage sources E_1 , E_2 define the initial condition values for x and u .

The nonlinear terms are obtained by using two identical blocks $M1$ and $M2$, and their scheme is shown in

Fig. 4b, each containing an analog multiplier AD633JN and a noninverting amplifier based on the op-amp OA.




FIG. 4: Electronic circuit diagram and the multiplier embedded schematic.

The integrator producing x is based on the op-amps IC1 is fed with the term y and the op-amp IC2 provides its inversion. By using a standard analysis in the Laplace

frequency domain where $s = j\omega$ and $\tilde{A}$ represent the Laplace transforms of a generic time-dependent function A , we obtain

$$s \tilde{x} = -\frac{1}{m} k'_x \tilde{y} \quad (11)$$

The integrator producing y is based on the op-amp IC3 and is fed the terms x and the sum of zy and uy by the use of the op-amp IC4. In the frequency domain we have

$$s \tilde{y} = -m \omega_0^2 \tilde{x} + \mu [a_1 \tilde{z} \tilde{y} + a_2 \tilde{u} \tilde{z}] \quad (12)$$

The integrator producing z is based on the op-amp IC5 and is fed with the term u and the op-amp IC6 provides its inversion. In the frequency domain we have

$$s \tilde{z} = -k_z k'_z \tilde{u} \quad (13)$$

The integrator producing u is based on the op-amp IC7 and is fed with the term z . In the frequency domain we have

$$s \tilde{u} = -k_u \tilde{z} \quad (14)$$

The dynamics of the circuit, expressed in the Laplace domain (s denotes complex frequency), can be promptly derived as follows:

$$s \tilde{x} = -\frac{1}{R_1 C_1} \left(-\frac{R_2}{R_3} \right) \tilde{y}, \quad (15a)$$

$$s \tilde{y} = -\frac{1}{R_6 C_2} \tilde{x} - \frac{1}{R_4 C_2} \left[-\frac{R_5}{R_7} \tilde{z} \tilde{y} - \frac{R_5}{R_8} \tilde{u} \tilde{y} \right], \quad (15b)$$

$$s \tilde{z} = -\frac{1}{R_9 C_3} \left(-\frac{R_{10}}{R_{11}} \right) \tilde{u}, \quad (15c)$$

$$s \tilde{u} = -\frac{1}{R_{12} C_4} \tilde{z}. \quad (15d)$$

where $\tilde{x}$, $\tilde{y}$, $\tilde{z}$, $\tilde{u}$ are the Laplace transforms of the voltages x , y , z , u respectively.

B. Spice simulation

The analog electronic simulation was carried out by using the software spice-based LTSpice®, which allows to reliably simulate analog or digital electronic circuits by means of models of electronic components used therein. This type of electronic simulation can consider nonidealities and limits of the components and it reproduces the full electronic behavior of op-amps and multipliers such as output voltage dynamic, input offset voltage, slew rate, multiplier's non-linearity. Consequently, simulations mostly faithfully reproduce what would result from real implementations.

Considering the design of Fig. 4, embedded spice models were used for both the passive components and the op-amps, whereas a basic spice model for multipliers was chosen.

In order to replicate the chaotic dynamics, the simulation has been started from the initial condition values defined in Eqs. 16

$$x(0) = 1 \text{ V}, \quad y(0) = 0 \text{ V}, \quad z(0) = 0 \text{ V}, \quad u(0) = 1 \text{ V}, \quad (16)$$

and consequently the voltage values of the sources are

$$E_1 = 1 \text{ V}, \quad E_2 = 1 \text{ V}. \quad (17)$$

Once the boundary conditions are assigned, the simulation covers a span of 1 s. The component values are chosen to obtain the parameters:

$$m = 1, \quad \omega_0 = 1, \quad (18a)$$

$$a_1 = 1.5, \quad a_2 = 0.25, \quad (18b)$$

$$\mu = [1, 0.75, 0.4, 0.01] \quad (18c)$$

and listed in the Tab. I and Tab. II.

Component	Value	Component	Value	Component	Value
R_1	10 k Ω	R_7	6.67 k Ω	R_i	1.05 k Ω
R_2	10 k Ω	R_8	40 k Ω	R_f	9.53 k Ω
R_3	10 k Ω	R_9	10 k Ω	C_1	100 nF
R_4	Tab.II	R_{10}	10 k Ω	C_2	100 nF
R_5	10 k Ω	R_{11}	10 k Ω	C_3	100 nF
R_6	10 k Ω	R_{12}	10 k Ω	C_4	100 nF

TABLE I: Values of resistors and capacitors composing the passive network components of the circuit diagram, with the values used in simulation.

R_4	μ
10 k Ω	1
13.3 k Ω	0.75
25 k Ω	0.4
1 M Ω	0.01

TABLE II: Values of R_4 corresponding to μ values.

The results of the electronic simulation results are displayed in Figs. 5d, 5c, 5b, 5a.

Figures 5 point at a remarkable similarity between the numerical integration and the spice simulation results confirming the robustness of the model.

VI. ENERGY FUNCTION OF THE GENERALIZED MUTHUSWAMY-CHUA SYSTEM

According to Helmholtz's theorem, the Generalized Muthuswamy-Chua system (4) can be decomposed into a gradient and a rotational field, i.e., as the sum of conservative and dissipative vector fields as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{z} \\ \dot{u} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{m} & 0 & 0 \\ -m\omega_0^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ u \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mu(a_1 z + a_2 u) y \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (19)$$

Thus, the *energy function* H associated with the Generalized Muthuswamy-Chua system (19) must satisfy the partial differential equation:

$$\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial H}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial H}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{y}{m} \\ -m\omega_0^2 x \\ u \\ -z \end{pmatrix} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = m\omega_0^2 x, \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} = \frac{y}{m}, \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial z} = z, \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} = u. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

This leads to the *energy function*:

$$H = m\omega_0^2 \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{y^2}{2m} + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{u^2}{2}. \quad (21)$$

From (9), (8) and (7), the rate of change of the *energy function* is:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dH}{dt} &= \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial H}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial H}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ a_1 z y + a_2 u y \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \mu(a_1 z + a_2 u) \frac{y^2}{m} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

But, as recalled above, the Generalized Muthuswamy-Chua system (4) could be also written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= \frac{y}{m}, \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= -m\omega_0^2 x + \mu(a_1 z + a_2 u) y, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

By taking the time derivative of the first equation of (23), we obtain its corresponding *equation of motion* or *acceleration equation*:

$$\ddot{x} + \omega_0^2 x = \mu(a_1 z + a_2 u) \dot{x} \quad (24)$$

By multiplying this equation (24) by $\dot{x}$ provides an alternative way to obtain the *energy function*. Thus, we have:

$$\dot{x}\ddot{x} + \omega_0^2 x\dot{x} = \mu(a_1 z + a_2 u) \dot{x}^2 \quad (25)$$




FIG. 5: Spice simulation representing the attractor in phase space x - y - z of Eqs. (4) at different value of μ : 0.01, 0.4, 0.75, 1 respectively in Figs. 5a, 5b, 5c, 5d.

which can be written as:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\dot{x}^2}{2} + \omega_0^2 \frac{x^2}{2} \right) = \mu (a_1 z + a_2 u) \dot{x}^2 \quad (26)$$

Thus, we obtain:

$$H = \frac{\dot{x}^2}{2} + \omega_0^2 \frac{x^2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{dH}{dt} = \mu (a_1 z + a_2 u) \dot{x}^2 \quad (27)$$

At first sight, it could appear that both *energy function* and their rate of change (21-22) and (27) are different. In fact, while using the fact that, according to (4), $\dot{x} = y/m$, it is easy to verify that there is only a factor $1/m$ between them.

VII. TIME-VARYING HAMILTONIAN

To derive the time-varying Hamiltonian, we will use the Caldirola-Kanai (CK)^{21,22} approach. Note that we will first use $z_1 = z$; $z_2 = u$ in the discussion below. Using standard notation, for damped harmonic oscillators with constant γ , the CK Hamiltonian is given by:

$$H(x, p, t) = e^{-\gamma t} \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} e^{\gamma t} m \omega_0^2 x^2 \quad (28)$$

Since the damping in the generalized MC system is confined to the memristor through R , let $\gamma(t) \equiv a_1 z_2(t) - a_2 z_1(t)$. We can show that the following H results in our system equations for the generalized MC system (assuming the definitions of R and $\mathbf{z}$ in Eq.(3)).

$$H_{GMC}(x, p, t) = e^{-\gamma(t)} \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} e^{\gamma(t)} (m\omega_0^2 x^2) \quad (29)$$

To show that Eq.(29) does indeed give rise to system equations, we will first rewrite the Generalized MC system (Eq.(1)) as a second-order differential equation in x , while using the definitions of R and $\mathbf{z}$ from Eq. (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x} - (a_1 z_1 + a_2 z_2) \dot{x} + \omega_0^2 x &= 0 \\ \dot{z}_1 &= z_2 \\ \dot{z}_2 &= -z_1 \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Now from the definition of the Hamiltonian, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= \frac{\partial H_{GMC}}{\partial p} \\ \dot{p} &= -\frac{\partial H_{GMC}}{\partial x} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Hence:

$$\dot{x} = e^{-\gamma(t)} \frac{p}{m} \quad (32)$$

$$\dot{p} = -m\omega_0^2 x e^{\gamma(t)} \quad (33)$$

Taking the second derivative of $\dot{x}$ above and simplifying, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x} &= e^{-\gamma(t)} \frac{\dot{p}}{m} + \frac{p}{m} \left(\frac{d}{dt} e^{-\gamma(t)} \right) \\ &= e^{-\gamma(t)} \frac{\dot{p}}{m} - \frac{p}{m} e^{-\gamma(t)} \dot{\gamma}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Eliminating p and $\dot{p}$ using Eqs.(32) and (33), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x} + \dot{\gamma}(t) \dot{x} + \omega_0^2 x &= 0 \\ \ddot{x} + (a_1 \dot{z}_2(t) - a_2 \dot{z}_1(t)) \dot{x} + \omega_0^2 x &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Now, since we defined the internal memristor state equations as $\dot{z}_1 \equiv z_2$, $\dot{z}_2 \equiv -z_1$, we see that Eq.(35) does indeed match the first equation in Eq.(30).

Note we do not need to explicitly define conjugate momenta for $\dot{z}_1$, $\dot{z}_2$ from H_{GMC} , because H_{GMC} already generates the correct second-order equation for x . In other words, the memristive effect is built into the Hamiltonian via the time-dependent term $e^{-(a_1 z_2 - a_2 z_1)}$.

Now, let us compare the *time-varying hamiltonian* H_{GMC} (29) and the *energy function* H (27). To this aim let us pose: $\mu = 1$ and $\tilde{\gamma} = a_1 z_1 + a_2 z_2$. Now, according to (29) we have:

$$H_{GMC} = e^{-\gamma} \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} e^{\gamma} (m\omega_0^2 x^2). \quad (36)$$

By using Eq. (32) and Eq. (27), this equation reads:

$$H_{GMC} = m e^{\gamma} \left(\frac{\dot{x}^2}{2} + \omega_0^2 \frac{x^2}{2} \right) = m e^{\gamma} H. \quad (37)$$

Now, let us compute the rate of change of H_{GMC} . We have:

$$\frac{dH_{GMC}}{dt} = m \left(\frac{d}{dt} e^{\gamma} \right) H + m e^{\gamma} \frac{dH}{dt}. \quad (38)$$

Let us notice that, using the definitions of γ , $\tilde{\gamma}$ and the internal state evolution of the memristor from (30):

$$\frac{d\gamma}{dt} = -\tilde{\gamma} \quad (39)$$

According to (27), we have:

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = \tilde{\gamma} \dot{x}^2. \quad (40)$$

It follows from Eqs. (39-40) that

$$\frac{dH_{GMC}}{dt} = -m\tilde{\gamma} e^{\gamma} H + m\tilde{\gamma} e^{\gamma} \dot{x}^2. \quad (41)$$

Factoring out $m\tilde{\gamma} e^{\gamma}$ we have:

$$\frac{dH_{GMC}}{dt} = m\tilde{\gamma} e^{\gamma} (\dot{x}^2 - H). \quad (42)$$

Substituting for H from (27) and simplifying, we have:

$$\frac{dH_{GMC}}{dt} = m\tilde{\gamma} e^{\gamma} \left(\frac{\dot{x}^2}{2} - \omega_0^2 \frac{x^2}{2} \right). \quad (43)$$

Eq.(43) shows that the time-varying Hamiltonian H_{GMC} acts as an *energy-like Lyapunov function*, whose growth or decay is governed by the memristor feedback $\tilde{\gamma}$ and by whether the oscillator is momentarily kinetic-or potential-dominated. The sign changes of $\tilde{\gamma} \left(\frac{\dot{x}^2}{2} - \omega_0^2 \frac{x^2}{2} \right)$ are precisely what allow bursts of energy injection that lead to the torus-breaking and homoclinic transitions documented earlier in the paper.

Another interpretation of (43) follows from the definition of the *Lagrangian*²⁸, L :

$$L = \frac{1}{2} m (\dot{x}^2 - \omega_0^2 x^2) = T - V \quad (44)$$

For *any* system with coordinates q_i and conjugate momenta p_i , the relationship between the Hamiltonian and the Lagrangian is:

$$\dot{H} = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} \Big|_{q_i, p_i \text{ fixed}} \quad (45)$$

To compute $\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}$, let us consider the following definition of a *effective Lagrangian*

$$\tilde{L}(t) \equiv e^{\gamma(t)} L \quad (46)$$

Computing the explicit time-dependence and using (39), along with definition of the Lagrangian from (44), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial t} &= -\dot{\gamma} e^{\gamma} L \\ &= -\tilde{\gamma} e^{\gamma} \frac{1}{2} m (\dot{x}^2 - \omega_0^2 x^2) \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

We used the fact that L has no explicit t , its t -dependence is only through $x, \dot{x}$. In other words, there is no extra term from L because in the definition from (45), the coordinate-dependent part of L is handled separately by the Euler-Lagrange equations.

From (43) and (47), we have:

$$\dot{H}_{GMC} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial t} \quad (48)$$

Hence, the identity (45) is satisfied, confirming that the term $\tilde{\gamma} e^{\gamma}(T - V)$ is the “standard power input” arising from explicit time-dependence in the Lagrangian/Hamiltonian pair.

VIII. DISCUSSION

In this work, we generalized the Muthuswamy-Chua memristive oscillator to a four-dimensional form (Eq.(4)), that couples an external mass-spring pair (x, y) to one harmonic oscillator “bath” (represented by internal memristor states (z, u)), via a memristance function R that is a linear combination of internal memristor states. Then, numerical computations and Lyapunov analysis show a limit-cycle $\rightarrow$ 3-torus $\rightarrow$ homoclinic orbit $\rightarrow$ chaos progression when the computing gain μ is increased. Decreasing u reproduces the canonical NRT route to chaos. The birth of a homoclinic orbit at $\mu \approx 0.75$ triggers torus breakdown and the onset of a robust chaotic attractor, consistent with route C of the Afraimovich-Shilnikov theorem. Then, using Helmholtz Theorem, we obtained an explicit scalar energy H (Eq.(21)) and its balance law (Eq.(22)), generalizing earlier 2-D/3-D results to 4-D. We obtained a Caldirola-Kanai like Hamiltonian H_{GMC} (Eq.(29)) that reproduces the full dynamics and its time derivative (Eq.(43)) matches the power input from memristor feedback. We verified consistency

with the Lagrangian, cementing the energy-balance interpretation and linking the Hamiltonian formalism to the bare oscillator Lagrangian $L = T - V$. The perfect agreement between theoretical predictions and SPICE simulations confirms the robustness of the model. Together these results give a coherent classical picture: a single-equilibrium memristive oscillator can self-pump energy through its internal bath, driving the reversed NRT cascade and furnishing a Lyapunov-like Hamiltonian that can be a springboard for quantization. Other avenues for future work: the first if to firm proof of the global geometry underlying the reverse NRT route to chaos, and a quantitative demarcation of chaotic vs. quasiperiodic regions. Then, we will search for hidden attractors and determine whether additional coexisting attractors exist whose basins do not intersect the equilibrium neighbourhood. Another possibility could be to use higher-order baths and to extend the model to multiple (z_{2k-1}, z_{2k}) pairs. Concerning the nonlinear memristance $R(\mathbf{z})$ we could also replace linear combination with say polynomial R . In this paper, we analyzed the GMC system in a dimensionless form. For physical interpretations a single rate scale μ (s^{-1}) must be carried explicitly. To see why this is so, let a_1, a_2, z_1, z_2 be dimensionless, and recall our definitions of $R(z_1, z_2) = a_1 z_1(t) + a_2 z_2(t)$, $\gamma(t) = a_1 z_2(t) - a_2 z_1(t)$. To ensure $[\gamma(t)] = 1$ (so that $e^{\pm\gamma}$ is well defined) and that the multiplier of p (i.e., μR) in $p' = -m\omega_0^2 x + \mu R p$ has units of s^{-1} , the coupling μ must carry units of s^{-1} . Hence the internal states must evolve as

$$\begin{aligned} z_1' &= \mu z_2 \\ z_2' &= -\mu z_1 \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

which yields $\gamma'(t) = -\mu R(z_1, z_2)$ and therefore $p' = -m\omega_0^2 x - \gamma' p$, consistent with the Caldirola-Kanai Hamiltonian $H = e^{-\gamma} \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} m\omega_0^2 x^2 e^{\gamma}$. In the present work, we set $\mu = 1$ for simplicity. Thus, coefficients in R are dimensionless under this convention, so μR retains units of s^{-1} .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

AUTHOR DECLARATIONS

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

Author Contributions

Bharathwaj Muthuswamy: Conceptualization (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Validation (equal); Visualization (equal) Writing-review & editing (equal). **Jean-Marc Ginoux:** Conceptualization (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Validation (equal); Visualization (equal) Writing-review editing (equal). **Roberto Concas:** Conceptualization

(equal); Formal analysis (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Validation (equal); Visualization (equal) Writing-review & editing (equal). **Riccardo Meucci**: Conceptualization (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Validation (equal); Visualization (equal) Writing-review & editing (equal). **Jaume Llibre**: Conceptualization (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Validation (equal); Visualization (equal) Writing-review & editing (equal). **Leon O. Chua**: Conceptualization (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Validation (equal); Visualization (equal) Writing-review & editing (equal).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

- ¹Afraimovich, V.S. & Shilnikov, L.P. [1991] "On invariant two-dimensional tori, their breakdown and stochasticity," in *Methods of the Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, Gor'kov. Gos. University, (1983), p. 3-26. Translated in: *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, (2), vol. 149 (1991), p. 201-212.
- ²Andronov, A. & Leontovich, E. [1939] "Some cases of the dependence of the limit cycles upon parameters," *Uchen. Zap. Gork. Univ.*, **6**, p. 3-24.
- ³Andronov, A., Leontovich, E., Gordon, I. & Maier, A. [1971], *Theory of Bifurcations of Dynamical Systems on a Plane*, Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem.
- ⁴Arnold, V., Afraimovich, V., Ilyashenko, Y. & Shilnikov, L. [1994] "Bifurcation theory," in *Dynamical Systems V. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*, Arnold, V. (ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York. (In Russian)
- ⁵Baptista, M.S. & Caldas, I.L. [1998] "Dynamics of the two-frequency torus breakdown in the driven double scroll circuit," *Phys. Rev. E*, **58**, p. 4413-4420.
- ⁶Coddington, E. A. & Levinson, N. [1955] *Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*, Mac Graw Hill, New York.
- ⁷Eckmann, J.P. & Ruelle, D. [1985] "Ergodic Theory of Chaos and Strange Attractors," *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **57**, 617-656.
- ⁸Fenichel, N. [1971] "Persistence and smoothness of invariant manifolds for flows," *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **21**, p. 193-226.
- ⁹Freire, E., Rodriguez-Luis, A.J., Gamero, E. & Ponce, E. [1993] "A case study for homoclinic chaos in an autonomous electronic circuit: A trip from Takens-Bogdanov to Hopf-Shilnikov," *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, **62**(1-4), p. 230-253.
- ¹⁰Ginoux, J.-M., Lebiedz, D., Meucci, R., Llibre, J. & Sprott, J.C. [2022] "Flow curvature manifold and energy of generalized Liénard systems," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, **161**, 112354 (7 pages).
- ¹¹Ginoux, J.M., Meucci, R., Llibre, J. & Sprott, J.C. [2025] "Energy Function of 2D and 3D Dynamical Systems," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, **190**, January 2025, 115768 (6 pages).
- ¹²Ginoux, J.-M., Meucci, R., Concas, R., Pugliese, E., Meucci, R. & Chua, L. O. [2025] "From Relaxation to Chaotic Oscillations: A New Paradigm for Memristor Circuits," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers*, 10.1109/TCSI.2025.3569412.
- ¹³Ginoux, J.M., Meucci, R., Llibre, J. & Sprott, J.C. [2025] "Energy Function of 2D and 3D Coarse Systems," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, **190**, October 2025, 116643 (9 pages).
- ¹⁴Klein, M., and G. Baier [1991] *Hierarchies of dynamical systems*, In *A Chaotic Hierarchy*, edited by G. Baier and M. Klein. Singapore: World Scientific.
- ¹⁵Kuznetsov, Y.A. [1995] *Elements of applied bifurcation theory*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, pp. 632.
- ¹⁶Llibre, J. and Valls, C. [2012] "On the integrability of a Muthuswamy-Chua system," *Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics*, **19**(4), 477-488.
- ¹⁷Ma, J., Wu, F., Jin, W., Zhou, P. and Hayat T. [2017] "Calculation of Hamilton energy and control of dynamical systems with different types of attractors," *Chaos* **27**, 053108 (8 pages)
- ¹⁸Medrano, T.R.O., Baptista M.S. & Caldas I.L. [2006] "Shilnikov homoclinic orbit bifurcations in the Chua's circuit," *Chaos*, **16**(4), 043119.
- ¹⁹Muthuswamy, B. and Chua, L. O. [2010] "Simplest Chaotic Circuit," *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, **20**(5), 1567-1580.
- ²⁰Newhouse, S., Ruelle, D. & Takens, F. [1978] "Occurrence of strange Axiom A attractors near quasiperiodic flows on T^m , $m \geq 3$," *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **64**(1), p. 35-40.
- ²¹Caldirola, P. [1941] *Forze non conservative nella meccanica quantistica*. *Nuovo Cim*, **18**, 393-400.
- ²²Kanai, E. [1948] *On the Quantization of the Dissipative Systems*, *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, **3**(4), 440-442
- ²³Sandri, M. [1996] "Numerical calculation of Lyapunov exponents," *The Mathematica Journal*, **6**, 78-84.
- ²⁴Sarasola, C., Torrealdea, F.J., d'Anjou, A., Moujahid, A. & Grana, M. [2004] "Energy balance in feedback synchronization of chaotic systems," *Phys. Rev. E* **69**, 011606 (12 pages).
- ²⁵Wang, C. N., Wang, Y. & Ma, Y. [2016] "Calculation of Hamilton energy function of dynamical system by using Helmholtz theorem," *Acta Phys. Sin.*, **65**(24), 240501 (6 pages).
- ²⁶Wolf, A., Swift, J.B., Swinney, H.L. & Vastano, J.A. [1985] "Determining Lyapunov Exponents from a Time Series," *Physica D*, **16**, 285-317.
- ²⁷Yu, J., Njitacke, Z. T., Jiang, D., Wu, J. and Awrejcewicz, J. [2024] "Energy function and complex dynamics from a jerk system," *Physica Scripta*, **99** 015245 (11 pages).
- ²⁸Hamill, P. [2024] "A Student's Guide to Lagrangians and Hamiltonians," *Cambridge University Press*, 2014
- ²⁹Concas, R., Montori, A., Pugliese, E., Perinelli, A., Ricci, L., Meucci, R. [2024] "Analysis of an Improved Circuit for Laser Chaos and Its Synchronization," *IEEE Access*, 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3409875.