The broad aim of this paper is to look into the implications of applying two different methods of research and analytical assumptions to the study of social movements. In order to develop and discuss this aim, I focus my analysis on the emergence of a social movement that impacted Spanish society in 2011: the 'indignados' movement 15M. Particularly, in this case, I confront the main conclusions of two different methodological approaches that analyze the emergence of the movement, focusing my interest in the ideological roots of its collective identity. The first approach is mainly based on ethnographic data (Laraña & Díez, 2012a; 2012b) and the second one consists of a statistical macro-analysis. Whereas in the two first works we highlighted the most micro and intersubjective aspects of the social action, through ethnographic techniques, that allowed us to study how the activists organize the actions of the movement, spread their frames and construct its collective identity, this paper mainly focuses on macro statistical data in order to: i) analyze the ideological bases of the movement, ii) compare the results obtained regarding this issue from the both aforementioned approaches, and iii) look at their complementarities.
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Introducción

The study of issues related to social participation and collective action has generated diverse trends of analysis\(^2\), and several academics and researchers have dealt with methodological and epistemological questions concerning the study of social movements\(^3\). In this paper I focus on how issues of method and measurement directly affect the results of the sociological research (Cicourel, 1964). This is a matter that provokes different interpretations and approaches, particularly when social scientists focus on the study of the intersubjective contexts of the social action, as was discussed by Max Weber.

The broad aim of this paper is to look into the implications of applying two different methods of research and analytical assumptions to the study of social movements. In order to develop and discuss this aim, I focus my analysis on the emergence of a social movement that impacted Spanish society in 2011: the ‘indignados’ movement 15M. Particularly, in this case, I confront the main conclusions of two different methodological approaches that analyze the emergence of the movement, focusing my interest in the ideological roots of its collective identity. The first approach is mainly based on ethnographic data (Laraña & Díez, 2012a; 2012b) and the second one consists of a statistical macro-analysis.

My starting assumption is that certain participatory forms and "intermediate groups”\(^4\), such as associations, non-profit organizations or social movement organizations, are capable of generating social controversies, public debates and promoting mobilizations. These are forms of participation and social organizations, with a high potential to produce reforms or to resist them, which are playing a main role in the processes of modernization and social change in Spain (Laraña, 2007).

Although social organizations differ from social movements in their structure, operation and actions (Laraña, 1999), citizens joining social organizations and groups with power of collective persuasion offer a high potential to integrate and engage in social movements. Social movements that are able to guide and channel collective ac-


\(^3\) For instance, Alberto Melucci in the Appendix of Nomads of the present (1989); Mario Diani & Ron Eyerman in Studying collective action (1992); Enrique Laraña in La construcción de los movimientos sociales (1999); Bert Klandermans & Suzanne Staggenborg in Methods of social movement research (2002); or most recently, David Croteau, William Hoynes & Charlotte Ryan in Rhyming Hope and History: Activists, Academics and Social Movement Scholarship (2005).

\(^4\) As Durkheim discussed in The Division of Labor in Society (1893/2001), in modern societies the "intermediate groups" mediate between the individual sphere, —including the primary groups of reference—, and the institutions of the State, whose decisions affect people’s lives.
tion in i) scenarios of civic confrontation in which some policies are perceived as unfair, or ii) scenarios in which the legitimacy of a particular state of affairs is controversial.

Social movements may play a significant role in these scenarios, diagnosing causes (diagnostic framing) and suggesting solutions (prognostic framing), and also calling citizens to action promoting demonstrations and mobilizations (motivational framing) (Snow & Benford, 1988). Furthermore, it should be taken into account that support to these actions is maintained, throughout time, by citizens and “intermediate groups” in a wide net of social relationships and under a process of convergence and integration between them and social movements, in which the net of social relationships shaped can be: i) either interpersonal or inter-groups; ii) either real and physical or virtual and online (Belli & Díez, 2013); iii) either latent or visible (Melucci, 1989) to the eye of the researcher.

This net of relationships, involving any sort of social interaction, provides meaning to the actions of the activists and supporters, who perform diverse activities by which social movements construct their collective identity (Melucci, 1995). All this occurs in a process of reflexivity (Gusfield, 1994) that confers on them a capacity to create new collective meanings, symbols and values. Thus, “in attending to movements, members of a society recognize that social rules are at issue” (Gusfield, 1994, p. 69).

Although these activities are latent many times, they are not less important for social movements to achieve their goals. Such activities are not necessarily carried out by activists in visible actions of protest or mass mobilizations. For instance: i) more or less informal meetings and workshops; ii) assemblies or joint activities inter-groups in an establishment or in the streets; iii) working meetings between representatives of different social organizations and groups, (either face-to-face or via videoconference and other networking platforms). Likewise, it could be the sharing of messages and claims via social networks, such as Twitter and Facebook, or the coordination between activists through forums and interactive platforms on the web.

The concepts of latency and visibility (Melucci, 1989) explore two interrelated and dynamic poles of the social movements. Those social scientists that restrict their observations exclusively to the most visible protests and demonstrations, or to statistical indicators on associational involvement and participation in collective actions, should take into account that social movements’ organizations are inspired by the everyday production of alternative meaning frames\(^5\). These social ‘frames of reference’ (Goffman,

---

\(^5\) This concept comes from Goffman and refers to “an interpretative schemata which simplifies and condenses the ‘world out there’ by selectively punctuating and encoding objects, situations, events, experiences, and sequences of action within one’s present or past environment” (Snow & Benford, 1992: 137).
serve the purpose of articulating the *reflexivity* (Gusfield, 1994) and collective identity (Melucci, 1995) of the social movements, as well as their mobilization frames (Snow & Benford, 1988) that are spread to public opinion and the citizenship, not only in meetings and public acts, but also on the web.

The ‘invisibility’, or ‘not quantification’, of certain forms of social participation is not a sign of ‘demobilization’ or inactivity. In fact, the potential and strength of a social movement is built on everyday interaction processes through nets of social relationships between citizens, ‘intermediate groups’ and organizations of social movements throughout time.

The ability to participate has been shown by the citizens of this country in the great demonstrations held since the mid 1990s and continues to be seen nowadays in the actions of the ‘indignados’ movement (Laraña, 2007; Laraña & Díez, 2010, 2012a, 2012b, 2013). We also suggest that Spanish civil society is more open and more active every time because of the participation of the citizens in less visible spheres, away from the formal politics, such as the actions of solidarity and cooperation, and the association in the defense of interests⁶. These values are extolled by Alexis de Tocqueville in his work on *Democracy in America* (1840/1985) as essentials to defeat despotism and achieve democratic freedom: the vitality of the civil society and the civic virtues.

### Two different methodological approaches

Grounded in these assumptions and ethnographic data, in the aforementioned works we have analyzed the most characteristic and relevant aspects in the emergence of the 15M movement, such as, its mobilization frames (Snow & Benford, 1988), its transversal character which cuts across different ideologies, its demand of political regeneration, its audacious use of information technologies and its internal organization (Laraña & Díez, 2012a). Likewise, on its first anniversary we confirmed some of our first conclusions. Emphasizing that, after a year of existence, it continued to be very active and dynamic in its forms and maintained its *mobilization frames*, as well as its power of collective persuasion in Spanish society and in the international sphere (Laraña & Díez, 2012b). Moreover, we referred to the need to go beyond the political-professional point of view (Melucci, 1989) and the discourse about the analytical categories of ‘left’ and ‘right’, in the study of this kind of social processes⁷.

---

⁶ Digital Communication Technologies have played an important role given that the Internet and social networks like Twitter and Facebook favor this. This trend is also observable in other cases (Castells, 2009; 2012).

⁷ This point of view “explains social movements from the traditional dichotomy between emotional and rational factors and classifies them according to the predominance of one or another. [This view] is very influential in
In both cases, we mainly used ethnographic techniques such as participant observation; the registration of pictures, banners and photos; the recording of meetings and activists’ interventions; brief interviews in the context of the social action and the review of documentation produced by the movement and press coverage. This material allowed us to analyze the discourse of the activists, demonstrators and participants in the actions and activities organized by the movement. The data collection and the fieldwork took place mainly in the spring of 2011 and 2012, although it has kept on throughout time, with a lower regularity, by attending several public activities of the movement and actions, independently of their grade of visibility. However, it should be taken into consideration that the statistical data included in this paper are temporarily limited from May 2011 to the first fifteen days of 2012.

On this occasion I incorporate another sort of data. Whereas in the two first works we mainly highlighted the most micro and intersubjective aspects of the social action, through ethnographic techniques, that allowed us to study how the activists organize the actions of the movement, spread their frames and construct its collective identity, this paper mainly focuses on macro statistical data in order to: i) analyze the ideological bases of the movement, ii) compare the results obtained regarding this issue from the both aforementioned approaches, and iii) look at their complementarities.

The ideological bases of the 15M movement

In our previous work (2012b) we highlighted the widespread presence of a taxonomic discourse that uses categories and labels, such as ‘left’ and ‘right’ or ‘conservative’ and ‘progressive’, “whose semantic grounds are related to the aforementioned political-professional’s point of view” (Laraña & Díez, 2012b, p. 216). These common categories in Spanish politics and media, are used to label “a movement’s ideology, a public policy or a person who applies it, according to a binary code which alludes to values considered as correct or incorrect and discredits a person or group basing on it” (2012b). However, as I try to show in this paper, it seems that the ordinary use of such “mainstream” set of categories can neither account for the plural and transversal character of this movement, nor for its inclusiveness and hybrid identity.

Spain and has promoted some assumptions about the 15M nature that we challenge” (Díez & Laraña, not published).

The data used come from a survey, based on a national representative sample of more than 6,000 individuals, which the Centro de Investigaciones Sociológicas (CIS) carried out between the months of November 2011 and January 2012 (CIS, ES2920, 2011). This survey included the following question: “Since May 2011, have you participated in any of the demonstrations, camps, marches or protests of this movement?” (ES2920, Q.22a, author’s translation). Thus, the statistical data are limited to these dates and make reference to 595 individuals who affirmed that they had participated in these actions.
Given its widespread presence, I have analyzed some data from the above cited survey (CIS ES2920, 2011) that contributes to a broader understanding of the movement’s ideological bases. Although this study carried out by the CIS includes its traditional ten point ‘left-right’ scale⁹, it also includes a question regarding ‘how respondent defines himself politically’, according to a classification of labels¹⁰. In addition, the respondent is asked to select a second choice among this same classification (see Table 1).

Taking into consideration the first choice of those who participated in the actions of the movement in 2011, the percentages distribution shows: i) a high level of disparity among respondents, and ii) higher percentages in labels whose ascription to the political spheres of ‘left’ and ‘right’ is, at least, controversial, such as ‘liberal’ (15.88%) and ‘ecologist’ (9.54%). Likewise, the percentages of those who did not answer and did not know added up to 13.87%, the most frequent category after ‘socialist’ (19.02%) and ‘liberal’. In the second choice, the sum of the percentages for ‘do not know’ and ‘no answer’ represented almost 1 of every 2 respondents (48.37%). After these, the label ‘ecologist’ was the second with a higher percentage (14.58%).

These data seem to confirm the transversal and plural character of this movement, as well as its capacity to go beyond the dichotomous labels of ‘left’ and ‘right’ or ‘progressive’ and ‘conservative’ (2012b, p. 217).

However, the use of such categories also presents methodological challenges. These data should be interpreted with caution since these labels do not have a univocal meaning, and their meaning may vary substantively across individuals (Cicourel, 1964; Laraña, 1999, p. 334). Thus, we cannot take for granted the meaning of categories used in sociological research to analyze reality and it is necessary to know how individuals talk and write about them (1999), in order to understand the meaning that these individuals attribute to them.

---

⁹ "In political matters, people usually use the expressions left and right. How would you place your view on this scale? Left (01), Right (10), DK (98), NA (99)". (ES2920, Q40, author’s translation). The mean score of the participants in the actions of the movement is 3.56, and the standard deviation 1.75.

¹⁰ Conservative; Christian Democrat; Liberal; Social Democrat; Socialist; Communist; Nationalist; Feminist; Ecologist and Other.
Issues on method and ideology

Following that common taxonomic approach, several studies have made use of such categories (Calvo, Gómez-Pastrana, Jiménez & Mena, 2011; Chaves, 2012; Likki, 2012) that, given its low interpretative potential, clash with the ideological pluralism of this movement (Laraña & Díez, 2012b). For instance, the cited studies point out that the ideological identities of the activists and participants are grounded in the Left. Such assertion is particularly based on the analysis of data obtained from a traditional ten point ‘left-right’ scale.

However, it should be taken into consideration that the concept of ideology, according to Karl Mannheim (1936/1954, p. 49), consists of two different conceptions. Both are grounded in the distrust regarding what the other, as political opponent, says or argues. This does not allow “to reach an understanding of his real meaning and intention”, putting the individual aside and trying to understand “what is said by the indirect method of analyzing the social conditions of the individual or his group” (1936/1954, p. 49).

In contrast, we emphasize the importance of the microsociological context and the need to understand what people are saying and arguing, without ignoring the validity of thought of those with different ideas. An attitude and a way of thinking and acting, that, in fact, the activists and participants of the movement themselves put into practice in their assemblies and meetings, providing the movement with a high level of reflexivity (Gusfield, 1994).

Following Mannheim, the ‘particular’ conception of ideology “denotes that we are sceptical of the ideas and representations advanced by our opponent” (1936/1954, p. 49), and it is related with other sociological approaches focuses on analyze the aforementioned ‘frames of reference’, as interpretative schemata which people use to simplify and condense social life (Goffman, 1974). For instance, in our everyday social life, when we talk about political matters, we frequently use the notions ‘left’ and ‘right’ in order to interpret others’ ideas. Thus, when people share a common ideology, “they can discuss and debate social issues with a reasonable degree of mutual understanding” (Turner, 1994, p. 80).

---

11 “The ideological identities are well defined towards the left” (author’s translation, Calvo et al., 2011, p. 8); “15M activists in Madrid locate themselves clearly on the left of the political left-right continuum” (Likki, 2011, p. 6); “15M participants define and recognize themselves clearly as left-wing” (author’s translation, Chaves, 2012, p. 9).

12 Their conclusions are mainly based on two surveys carried out in Salamanca and Madrid to a sample of activists and participants in the actions of the movement in each city. Likewise, these studies support their conclusions in data from the ten points ‘right-left’ scale included in the CIS survey, (CIS, ES2920, 2011).
Does the Spanish 15M have an ideology? Issues of method and measurement

However, in surveys, respondents usually have to indicate on a scale where they place themselves between both extremes. The aggregate data obtained allows analysts to deduce, through a statistical indicator, the average ideological position of particular groups or collectives. Thus, this procedure allows analysts to establish conclusions regarding the ideology of these groups. But they are also jumping from a ‘particular’ conception of ideology to a ‘total’ one. In this regard, although some analysts, politicians and journalists have described the 15M as a leftist movement, one of the most important organizations of this movement since its emergence in 2011, Real Democracy Now! (Democracia Real Ya! - DRY) 13, claims:

We are ordinary people. We are like you: people, who get up every morning to study, work or find a job, people who have family and friends. People, who work hard every day to provide a better future for those around us. Some of us consider ourselves progressive, others conservative. Some of us are believers, some not. Some of us have clearly defined ideologies, others are apolitical, but we are all concerned and angry about the political, economic, and social outlook which we see around us: corruption among politicians, businessmen, bankers, leaving us helpless, without a voice (DRY, 2011, paragraph 1) 14.

Moreover, it seems that in 2013 this ‘political agenda’ has continued to be shared by several researchers, key activists and supporters of the movement 15M as I could see for myself during the meeting #15MP2P in July 2013 15. From the 4th to the 5th of July, hashtag #15MP2P became a trending topic on Twitter in Spain since participants and cyberactivists tweeted thousands of messages regarding the issues tackled in that meeting (Almeida, 2013a). In fact, several tweets spoke about the inclusive, transversal and plural character of this movement as was revealed in the encounter 16. Particularly, that this movement and its related organizations, such as the Platform of People Affected by Mortgages (Plataforma de Afectados por la Hipoteca - PAH) 17, place them-

---

13 However it seems that this organization has lost some of its influence on the movement. It underwent an internal division and in April 2012 some of its members founded the Association ‘Real Democracy Now’ (www.asociaciondry.org), while others maintained the original organization (www.democraciarealya.es).

14 During the movement demonstrations it was also possible to observe the refusal of the participants to identify themselves following these labels (Gener, 2011; LaEneida, 2011; Rey, 2011).

15 The #15MP2P (Papers&Productions) Conferences took place from 3rd to 5th of July 2013 in Barcelona. This was a transdisciplinary meeting dedicated to the 15M movement organized by the IN3 research program in Communication and Civil Society of the Open University of Catalonia (UOC). This program is coordinated by sociologist Manuel Castells and very well known activists, supporters of this movement, scholars and researchers participated in the meeting (#15MP2P, 2013).


17 http://afectadosporlahipoteca.com. This organization started in Barcelona in February 2009 and demands the cancellation of those mortgages that could not be paid, thus allowing donation as payment, given that the banks still accumulate thousands of empty houses which are not fulfilling its social function. It has been one of the most ac-
selves beyond the political categories of ‘left’ and ‘right’ (Almeida, 2013a; Joan, 2013; Tecnopolítica, 2013a, 2013b; Toret, 2013). This fact, however, does not prevent activists, groups and organizations from recognizing and vindicating the influence of different activist traditions and ideological backgrounds in accordance with the plural character of the movement.

Regarding this issue, in an interview to El País, Ada Colau, one of the most influential activists and spokeswoman of the PAH, said in July 2013 that she does not define herself as leftist. In contrast, she defines herself as a radical democrat and a defender of human rights. She also argues that:

It is necessary a democracy, but a real one, not a democracy kidnapped as it is now. Left and right have been useful during a long time, but I think that nowadays there is a social majority that does not identify itself with these labels. Parties have seriously hurt its own image: the PSOE has smashed the idea of the Left in this country. In themes of civil rights and liberties, I recognize myself more in a left-wing tradition, but I do not identify myself with the current representation (López Iturriaga, 28/07/2013, paragraph 47; author’s translation).

On the other hand, Karl Mannheim’s ‘total’ conception of ideology refers “to the ideology of an age or of a concrete historic-social group, e.g. of a class, when we are concerned with the characteristics and composition of the total structure of the mind of this epoch or of this group” (1936/1954, p. 49). Frequently, those who follow a political-professional point of view unequivocally connect the belonging to a certain group or collective, and the whole collective itself, with a particular ideology. But, consequently, they are accepting that the perspective or viewpoint of an individual is mainly due to and determined by his belonging to a particular analytical category of reference, such as a social group, epoch or class.

In this sense, the aforementioned studies (Calvo et al., 2011 and Likki, 2012), as well as the data from the CIS survey, point out that some respondents declined to place themselves in a particular ideological position, according to this scale, even when they were encouraged to do it. Among the participants of this movement, those who did not know or did not answer represented the 6% in the CIS survey, and 12% in the other two surveys (Salamanca and Madrid). Among the citizens who did not participate in the actions organized by the movement, 15% declined to place themselves in an ideological position, according to the CIS survey (CIS, ES2920, 2011).
These differences between percentages may be attributed to the design of the statistical samples and the accomplishment of these surveys. The survey carried out by the CIS is based on a national representative sample of more than 6,000 individuals, of which 592 participated in the actions organized by the movement from May 2011 to January 2012. In contrast, the surveys carried out in Salamanca and Madrid consist of two smaller sample sizes, leading to data with different characteristics, which however does not mean a lack of neither quality nor reliability.

The Madrid survey collected data from 230 individuals “who participated in activities of the 15M movement in Madrid in November 2011. The majority had participated in the demonstrations on both May 15 and October 15 in 2011. Furthermore, 90% had participated in assemblies” (Likki, 2012, p. 3). In Salamanca the data came from 250 individuals and were collected between the 26th and the 30th of May 2011. They had participated in the 15M camp in the Constitución Square and had attended assemblies organized throughout these days (Calvo et al., 2011, p. 5).

Some conclusions

As I have shown, the aggregate data considered allowing the development of two different explanations about the ideological bases of the ‘indignados’ collective identity in Spain. If we focus on the results obtained through the ten point scale of ideology, it is easy to conclude that this movement is placed in the left. In contrast, according to Table 1 and our previous works, this movement shows an ideological pluralism and a transversal character that contrasts with the interpretations given from the political-professional perspective. Supporters of the Spanish 15M consider themselves a social movement of persons, instead of activists or militants (Perugorría & Tejerina, 2013) which are terms associated “to the ‘old way of doing politics’ (la ‘vieja política’), based on ideological or partisan affiliations” (Perugorría & Tejerina, 2013, p. 10). Moreover these traits are also present in other social movements that have emerged since the *Arab Spring*, such as Occupy Wall Street (OWS): a movement of people that appeals to different political orientations (Cordero-Guzman 2011; Milkman, Luce & Lewis, 2013, p. 13). Indeed, Hector Cordero-Guzman (2011), according to a survey of 1,619 activists and supporters who completed a questionnaire in the ‘occupywallstreet’ web site, suggests that “the 99% movement comes from and looks like the 99%” (Cordero-Guzman, 2011a).

---

18 The mean scores of ideology obtained in these studies are: Madrid, November 2011, 1.67 (Calvo et al., 2011); Salamanca, May 2011, 2.84 (Likki, 2011); CIS, May 2011 - January 2012, 3.56 (CIS, ES2920, 2011). Those who did not participate in the actions organized by the movement scored 5.06, according to the CIS.

19 “70% of #OWS Supporters are Politically Independent’ (Cordero-Guzman, 2011b).
According to our ethnographic data and our analyses, we have emphasized the relationship of continuity between the 15M and the American New Left of the 1960s, with whom the ‘indignados’ share some goals and common traits, such as its non-violent character and its demand of a ‘participatory democracy’ (Díez, 2013; 2014; Laraña & Díez, 2012a). After all, and broadly speaking, the Spanish 15M, as well as other movements in the current wave of global indignation, deals with some traditional themes of that New Left. In essence, and from a perspective anchored in globalization and digital communication technologies, it seems that the #15M, #OccupyWallStreet (Langman, 2013) or the #BRevolution in Brazil (Gutierrez, 2013a; 2013b; Neaera, 2013), among others, share common traits with the New Left (e.g. its pluralism) and also tackle similar issues, for instance: i) “the distrust of state power, parties, competition, leadership, bureaucracies, and, finally, representative government” (Caute, 1988, p. 33); ii) the equality of opportunities and civil rights (1988); iii) the rejection of political and economic concentrations of power (1988); or iv) the pacifism, the opposition to multinational corporations, the criticism of economic growth and the “participatory rather than representative democracy” (Turner, 1994, p. 91).

In the Spanish case, the empirical evidence included in this paper seems to support part of this interpretation, given that the statistical aggregate data confirm its ideological pluralism (Table 1) and its call for more participatory forms of democracy (ES2920 Q.18, in footnote 19), but also seem to point to the leftist background of some of its activists, according to the ten point ideology scale (ES2920 Q.40).

The meaning that we attribute to political categories differs from the uses of such categories that we commonly find in the media and the press. These categories offer the advantage of allowing us to place social organizations and social movements in a scheme of classification that simplifies its complexity, and also are useful in order to analyze electoral results (Laraña & Díez, 2012a, p. 126). However, as it usually happens with analytical typologies, reality is more complex and it can include features from many concepts and schemes that we use to categorize reality. A fact informed by Goffman (1961) regarding the concept of total institution.

20 According to a scale from 0 to 10 included in the CIS survey (CIS, ES2920, 2011, Q. 18) those who participated in the actions organized by the movement were inclined towards more participatory forms (3.85), rather than a pure representative democracy (10). Those who did not participate scored 4.94 (statistically significant differences according to t-test, p < 0.05). In this scale 0 means that “political decisions should be taken directly by citizens” and 10 means that “political decisions should be taken directly by politicians”.

21 Concerning this point it should be noted that this interpretation can be rendered problematic because of the gap between the specific data presented in this paper and the macro-historical reflection based on Turner’s and Caute’s works and related to different contexts and historical periods. In these sense, it would be useful to integrate in future analysis a historical approach (Díez, 2013; 2014). Given the particular issue tackled in this paper and for reasons of space I do not dealt with it in detail and I can only point out general trends in this regard. For the Spanish case see Enrique Laraña, 2011, Los movimientos sociales y la transición a la democracia en España.
Following Alberto Melucci (1995, p. 51) these categories function as “an analytical tool, not a datum or an essence, not a ‘thing’ with a real existence. [...] one should never forget that we are not talking of ‘reality’, but of instruments or lenses through which we read reality”. Thus, these analytical typologies are only useful “if it helps to analyze phenomena, or dimensions of them, that cannot be explained through other concepts or models and if it contributes to new knowledge and understanding of these phenomena” (1995).

In this line of work, we emphasize that such categories have lost their usefulness to understand a social movement characterized by: i) the refusal to define itself as left or right wing; and ii) the demand of alternative participatory forms that go beyond the formal politics and the political parties who define themselves with these kinds of categories (Laraña & Díez, 2012a; 2012b). Likewise, the qualitative data collected and analyzed in the study carried out by Tiina Likki in Madrid, leads her to point out the “need to go beyond a traditional conceptualization of ideology in terms of left and right” (2012, p. 13) 22.

All things considered, in the Spanish case the ethnographic and statistical evidence seems to point to an ideological background mainly characterized by i) its pluralism (Table 1) and ii) its call for ‘participatory democracy’ based on non-violence. But, also, by iii) certain traits traditionally connected, in Spain, with the non-institutional left. As well as, in higher or lower degree, traits connected to other backgrounds and activist traditions, such as alter-globalization, cyberactivism and hacktivism, anarchism (autonomous self-organization, self-managed social centers and occupation), pacifism, feminism, civil rights (i.e. immigrants and LGTB) and social disobedience, environmentalism, student activism, liberal humanism or neighborhood movements. This fact became clear during the meeting #15MP2P (2013), given that one of the most repeated traits about the movement, shared and generally accepted by researchers, activists and supporters, pointed to the 15M as a kind of hybrid form23.

22 “For some participants, the 15M is first and foremost a movement of citizens against politicians and banks. Divisions in terms of left and right were seen as diverting attention away from more real and pressing concerns such as human dignity” (Likki, 2012, p.13). I have also planned to incorporate into my research this sort of qualitative data from a study carried out by the CIS (CIS, ES2920, 2011), consisting of 10 in-depth interviews with activists, supporters and sympathizers, and 8 focus groups.

23 A potential unintended consequence of this hybrid composition is conflict arising and dissent due to the divergences, discrepancies and tensions that take place between social actors with different frames of meaning, interests, priorities and backgrounds. At another level of analysis, authors such as Michael Hardt & Antonio Negri (2000, 2004) or Paolo Virno have developed an interesting discussion, —based on post-Marxist ideas and Autonomist Marxism—, on the ‘new figures of struggle’ and the concept of multitude, as a global, hybrid, and mutant “new social class that removes itself from nations and parties to meet head on the challenge” of global ruling powers (Virno, 2004, p. 14).
In fact, it seems that the major unions and traditional left parties in Spain “have lost its ideological hegemony, which would have passed on to the hands of the civil society articulated by the networks of the 15-M movement” (Laraña & Díez, 2012b, p. 218)\(^{24}\). In this sense, several analysts and activists, drawing on the Marxist thinker Antonio Gramsci, point to the 15M as an erosive force or a cultural revolution with a high potential to build up a new cultural hegemony (Fernández-Cuesta, 2013; Fernández-Savater, 2013a; Lily, 2013; Moreno-Caballud, 2013)\(^{25}\).

However, the movement’s main groups and organizations do not seem to display a traditional Marxist discourse grounded in ‘class struggle’, the labor movement, and the working class as a revolutionary force, that should be lead by a political organization “in its struggle against the ruling class” (Laraña & Díez, 2012b, p. 218) or guided in the process of building up a new cultural hegemony\(^{26}\). Actually, it seems that the traditional repertoire of the ‘old left’ in Spain has been revised and adapted by the 15M movement to its collective identity mainly based on: i) social change, digital communication and emotions, such as distrust (on politicians and economic elites), outrage, citizen empowerment and hope (#15MP2P, 2013; Belli & Díez, 2013; Castells, 2012); ii) the denouncement of the political corruption, the unjust economic order and the financial system, as well as the connivance between political parties and plutocratic groups (Laraña & Díez, 2012a); and finally, iii) its demand of ‘real democracy’ and political re-generation and accountability (2012a).

\(^{24}\) This idea comes from Graciela Merigo.

\(^{25}\) The concept of hegemony refers to “the process by which a ruling group secures the consent of the ruled, this term is identified with the Italian Antonio Gramsci. Writing in prison under Benito Mussolini (1883-1945), Gramsci employed an idiosyncratic vocabulary to avoid censorship. However, this term proved a viable alternative to the more commonly used term ideology, because it describes a process rather than a result of rule [...] Hegemony passes through cycles of emergence, establishment, renewal, and decline, and the hegemonic process will necessarily involve alliances and therefore compromises with groups outside the hegemonic class itself” (Turner, 2006, p. 268).

\(^{26}\) This statement does not mean that the ‘classical left’ has been a homogeneous body in Spain throughout time. In fact, there has been a long tradition of a ‘non institutional left’, as well as different left-wing organizations, groups and streams (based on Laurence Cox’s comments). However, it should be also taken into consideration their subordination to traditional left parties and unions during the Spanish Transition and until the 1980s when pacifist and student —autonomous— movements emerged against the Socialist Government (Laraña, 1999; 2011). Since then, the growing autonomy of social movements in Spain seems to have reached its high level with the emergence of the 15M.
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