

ON THE ASYMPTOTIC PATHS OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS
REPRESENTED BY DIRICHLET SERIES

par

F. Sunyer Balaguer

In homage to Professor Macintyre

1.- INTRODUCTION.- Let

$$(1) \quad \sum a_n e^{\lambda_n s} \quad (\lambda_n < \lambda_{n+1}, \lim \lambda_n = \infty)$$

be a Dirichlet series absolutely convergent at every point s and let $F(s)$ be the entire function represented by (1). Suppose that

$$(2) \quad \sigma(u) = \sigma(u) + it(u)$$

is a continuous function of the real variable u ($\sigma \leq u < \infty$) such that $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(u) = \infty$. According to the theory of the almost periodic functions only if $|\sigma(u)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$ the path (2) can be asymptotic. It is evident that if $\sigma(u) \rightarrow -\infty$ then $F(s) \rightarrow a_0$ but these asymptotic paths are not interesting.

On the contrary when $\sigma(u) \rightarrow +\infty$ and $F(s(u)) \rightarrow c$ ($c =$ a finite constant) we have an interesting asymptotic path. In 2. I give a theorem on the number of these paths which are contained in a given strip and are distinct; when I say that two asymptotic paths are *distinct* I shall suppose that between these paths $F(s)$ is not bounded. The proof is obtained using the interesting method used by Macintyre [1]

in the proof of the Denjoy-Carleman-Ahlfors theorem.

In 3 I obtain a translation to a class of ^{Dirichlet} series of a classical theorem of Wiman for the Taylor series.

2.- I again consider a continuous curve (not necessarily an asymptotic path)

$$(a) \quad s(u) = \sigma(u) + it(u)$$

such that $s(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow +\infty$ and now I suppose that if $u_1 < u_2$ then $\sigma(u_1) < \sigma(u_2)$ and that $\sigma(0) = 0$. Then I define the strip

$$S = \{s = \sigma(u) + it : 0 \leq u < +\infty, t(u) \leq t \leq t(u) + A\}.$$

On the other hand I write

$$M(\sigma, F) = \sup_{-\infty < t < +\infty} |F(\sigma + it)|$$

and

$$M(\sigma, F, S) = \sup_{\substack{-\infty < t < +\infty \\ s \in S}} |F(\sigma + it)|.$$

With these definitions we can state the following theorem:

THEOREM I.- If

$$F(s) = \sum a_n e^{\lambda_n s}$$

where $\sum a_n e^{\lambda_n s}$ is absolutely convergent at every point s and if $F(s)$ has n distinct asymptotic paths in S , then

$$\liminf_e \frac{\log M(\sigma, F)}{\pi(n-1)\sigma/A} \geq \liminf_e \frac{\log M(\sigma, F, S)}{\pi(n-1)\sigma/A} > 0.$$

Proof.- Evidently without loss of generality we can suppose

that the n asymptotic paths l_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$) do not intersect.

Now consider the part $S_{\bar{\phi}}$ of S for which $\sigma \leq \bar{\phi}$ then by a method used by Macintyre [1] we can map $S_{\bar{\phi}}$ cut by the n curves l_k on the rectangle

$$(3) \quad 0 \leq x \leq \bar{\phi}', \quad |y| \leq A/2 \quad \text{of the plane } z = x + iy$$

cut along n parallels to the axis of the x ; this mapping will be represented by $z = \psi(s)$ and it is conformal except on the cuts. Then again following Macintyre we can prove.

LEMMA 1.- If L is the lower bound of the length in the s plane of all curves belonging to $S_{\bar{\phi}}$ and joining a point of the $\sigma = 0$ to a point of $\sigma = \bar{\phi}$ but not intersecting any curve l_k , then $\bar{\phi}'$ verifies the inequality

$$\bar{\phi}' \geq L^2 / \bar{\phi}.$$

It is evident that the rectangle (3) is formed by at most $n + 1$ rectangles of which $n - 1$ are bounded by the n parallels corresponding to the l_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$). ~~These rectangles will be denoted by Δ_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$).~~ These rectangles will be denoted by Δ_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$). Therefore at least one of these rectangles has a width not greater than $A/(n - 1)$; I denote it by $\Delta_{\bar{\phi}'}$.

Under the hypothesis that we suppose it is possible to prove that there exists a value $\theta > 0$ such that if $\bar{\phi}' > \theta$ we can determine a x_0 such that for every k

$$\sup_{x=x_0, z \in \Delta_k} |F(\psi^{-1}(z))| > 1$$

where ψ^{-1} is the inverse function of ψ .

Therefore according to a precision of a theorem of Lindelöf we have

$$\liminf_{\Phi' \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(\Phi', F(\Psi^{-1}), \Delta_{\Phi'})}{e^{\pi(n-1)(\Phi' - x_0)/A}} > 0.$$

Since $L \geq \Phi$, following the lemma 1, $\Phi' \geq \Phi$ and as

$$M(\Phi, F, S) \geq M(\Phi', F(\Psi^{-1}), \Delta_{\Phi'})$$

it follows theorem I.

3.- Let $F(s)$ be an entire function represented by a Dirichlet series $\sum a_n e^{\lambda_n s}$ where the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ has an upper density D and is such that $\inf(\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n) > h$. Moreover I suppose that we have defined the function $\rho(\sigma)$ such that

$$\lim \rho(\sigma) = \rho, \quad \rho'(\sigma)\sigma \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\log M(\sigma, F) \leq e^{\rho(\sigma)\sigma}$$

where ρ is the Ritt's order of $F(s)$.

On the other hand following a result of Mandelbrojt [2] there exists a sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$ such that for every

$$s = \sigma_n + it$$

there exists a point s' such that

$$|s' - s| < \pi D + o(1)$$

$$\log |F(s')| > e^{\rho(\sigma_n) \sigma_n - \rho d} (1 - o(1))$$

where $d = D(7 - 3\log(hD))$.

Now I need a result of Milloux, i. e.,

LEMMA 2.- Let $f(s)$ be a holomorphic function in $|s| \leq R$ such that

$$\log |f(s)| \leq M$$

and if on a path joining $s = 0$ with a point of $|s| = R$ the function is bounded by

$$\log |f(s)| \leq m, \quad m < M,$$

then for $|s| \leq r < R$

$$\log |f(s)| < M - (M - m) \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{R - r}{R + r}.$$

If $F(s)$ has an asymptotic path in which $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$ we denote by s_n a point such that s_n belongs to the asymptotic path and $s_n = \sigma_n + it_n$ using lemma 2 and the properties of $\rho(\sigma)$ we can prove that for every $R > \pi D$ we have

$$1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{R - \pi D}{R + \pi D} e^{-\rho d - \rho R}$$

Therefore if ρ_0 is a function of D and d such that there exists a value of $R > \pi D$ which verifies

$$1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{R - \pi D}{R + \pi D} = e^{-\rho_0 d - \rho_0 R}$$

then for the same value of R and for $\rho < \rho_0$ we shall have ~~XXXX~~

$1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{R - \pi D}{R + \pi D} < e^{\rho d - \rho R}$ ~~and hence~~ we have proved the following:

THEOREM II.- If

$$F(s) = \sum a_n e^{\lambda_n s}$$

is a Dirichlet series convergent at every point s and if ρ_0 represents the function of D and d defined above where D is the upper density of $\{\lambda_n\}$ and

$$d = D(7 - 3 \log(hD))$$

then if the Ritt's order ρ of $F(s)$ verifies $\rho < \rho_0$ the function $F(s)$ has no asymptotic path such that $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$.

This is the translation of a classical theorem of Wiman to the Dirichlet series.

Barcelona 1967

REFERENCES

- 1.- Macintyre, A.J.- On the asymptotic paths of integral functions of entire order (Jour. London Math. Soc. 1935)
- 2.- Mandelbrojt, S.- Séries adhérentes régularisation des suites applications (Col. Monographies sur la théorie des fonctions, Paris 1952).