Barcelona 15 January 1964

i d'Enginyeries

0218

fundacio FERRAN SUNYER I BALAGUER

Prof. P.Kr. Kamthan Deptt. of Maths. Ramjas College University Of Delhi Delhi - 6 India

Dear Professor Kamthan,

I have received your kind letter of the 21 ult. togther with the new version of your paper "Mean values of entire functions (II)".

I have studied this new version and I think that in theorem 2 it would be better to state (and to prove) the inequality

(1)
$$\underset{\xi,k}{\mathbf{m}} (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{f}^{(1)}) \geq \frac{\mathbf{m}_{\xi,k-1}(\mathbf{r}) \log \mathbf{m}_{\xi,k-1}(\mathbf{r})}{\mathbf{r} \log \mathbf{r}}$$

and later in the remark 2 at the end to give the inequality

(2)
$$S_{\delta,k}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{f}^{(1)}) > S_{\delta,k-\delta}(\mathbf{r}) \left[\frac{\log S_{\delta,k-\delta}(\mathbf{r})}{\mathbf{r} \delta \log \mathbf{r}} \right]^{0}$$

since the inequality (2) was already proved by Srivastava |5, lemma 1

Even when theorem 2 states the inequality (1) it is also a generalization of the result of R.S.L. Srivastava |4| since **x** it is evident that

 $m_{1,k}(r) = S_{1,k}(r).$

In consequence I am returning your paper in case you may think fit to make the change suggested above

With kind regards,

yours sincerely

A. Jump Balaques