



GENERAL INFORMATION		
Title	Occasional social aid scholarship	
Key words	Students from social disadvantaged backgrounds, scholarship, retain	
Objectives	To ensure financial support for students that encounter different types of social and financial difficulties	
<b>Phase of studies</b> (Choose all phases it applies)	Access X Retain X Graduation Transition to work-life	
<b>Type of degree</b> (Choose all degrees it applies)	Bachelor's X Graduate X Master's X	
Level (Choose all levels it applies)	International National X Institutional X Faculty Group Individual	
Name of the institution	University of Bucharest	
Location (City and country)	Bucharest, Romania	
Target group/s	<ul> <li>disadvantaged students</li> <li>students orphaned by both parents', coming from orphanages or foster care;</li> <li>Students whose family haven't obtained in the last three months an average income per month/ per family member less than 75% of the minimum national wage.</li> <li>maternity grant is assured to student or students whose wife has no income and consists it of a scholarship for childbirth and motherhood and a grant to purchase clothing newborn baby students</li> <li>may be granted the scholarships in case of death of student's family member</li> </ul>	

This document has been produced with the financial assistance of the European Union (Erasmus+ Programme), through the project "ACCESS4ALL – Laboratory for Policies and Practices of Social Development in Higher Eduaction" (Ref. 2015-1-ES01-KA203-015970). The contents of this document are under the sole responsability of the autors and under no circumstances can be considered as reflectint the position of the European Union.







Stakeholders involved	The university senate, administrative staff, Ministry of Education and Research			
Description of the organisational process Actors, triggering evidence, campaigns, graph (max. 300 words)	The students from above mention category will receive an amount of 80 euro (300 lei) with the condition that the student has fulfilled with his/her students duties (attended university courses). The social aid scholarships are allocated based on the average monthly net income.			
A. FO	RMAL EVALUATI	ON CRITERIA		
A1. ACCESS TO INFORMATION Provide sources of information (URL, websites, literature, materials)	http://www.unibuc.ro/n/student/Bursa_de_ajutor_ocazional.php			
A2. TIMEFRAME Since when has it been in use? What is its maturity level (initial, intermediate, advanced)? Describe if there is evidence of its duration in the long rung. (max. 70 words)	From 1998 it is stated in the National Law of Education			
A3. NUMBER OF STUDENTS				
How many students are involved? Is the number representative considering the target group?	Year No. of students	2014-2015 191	2015-2016 200	
<b>A4. SCALABILITY</b> ("volume") Describe how it has been or can potentially be scaled up and practiced in a wider scale. Or, has it been or can it potentially be scaled down (e.g., from larger to smaller institutions)? (max. 70 words)	It can be potentially scaled up to other vulnerable groups in higher education and also to a larger scale of problems that students may encounter during their studies.			
<b>A5. TRANSFERABILITY</b> (from one context to another) Describe how it has been or can potentially be transferred and applied to different (a) target groups, (b) institutions, and (c) societies. If possible, name also some practices that this initiative was developed from or has inspired to. (max. 70 words)	This practice covers a large number of target groups and it can be applied to different institutions.			
A6. ASSESSMENT Describe how it has been evaluated. How has it proved its relevance as the most effective way to achieve the objective? How it was successfully adopted? How it has had a positive	Self-evaluation has been used to measure the impact of this programme. Yearly evaluations are made, that provide information about the number of students that had access, throughout one university year, at this type of scholarship.			







impact on people? How the impact has been measured? Shortly describe how various forms of evaluations have been used in the assessment (A6.1 User evaluation, A6.2 Self- evaluation, A6.3 Peer evaluation, A6.4 External expert evaluation). Provide references, if possible. (max. 200 words)				
<b>A7. CONTACT</b> Who can be contacted so as to seek support and networks for implementing the practice (name, position, e-mail)?	dr. Florin Marius ION Director of Dorms and Canteen Department, University of Bucharest <u>ion.florin@rectorat.unibuc.ro</u>			
B. COI	NTENT EVALUATION C	RITERIA		
B1. SOCIAL JUSTICE PRINCIPLES (see	e Nelson &Creagh, 2013)			
<b>B1.1 Self-determination</b> Rate and Justify (max. 70 words)	Very weakly □	Weakly x	Well	Very well
(how students have participated to its (a) design, (b) enactment and (c) evaluation and how they can (d) make informed decisions about the participation)	Students do not have an impact on the design of this scholarship, taking into consideration that both the vulnerable group categories and the amount of money is stipulated at a national level. Students make informed decisions about the participation because they have to apply in order to get this scholarship, by gathering relevant documents that sustain their social status, according to their group target (e.g. documents that prove they are orphans, that there has been a death case in their families, etc.).			
<b>B1.2 Rights</b> Rate and Justify (max. 70 words)	Very weakly □	Weakly X	Well	Very well
(how it is assured that all participants are treated with dignity and respect. How have their individual cultural, social and knowledge systems been recognised and valued?)	Taking in consideration special problems and o cultural and social level	nly once, there	are no reperc	







<b>B1.3 Access</b> Rate and Justify (max. 70 words)	Very weakly □	Weakly	Well	Very well
(how it is assured that there is an active and impartial access to the resources (e.g., curriculum, learning, academic, social, cultural, support, and financial resources))	There are special procedures at university level in order to ensure that there is impartial access to this occasional social aid.			
B1.4 Equity	Very weakly	Weakly	Well	Very well
Rate and Justify (max. 70 words)				X
(how if it openly demystifies and decodes dominant university cultures, processes, expectations and language for differently prepared cohorts)	Does not have these ty	pes of implication	ons.	1
B1.5 Participation	Very weakly	Weakly	Well	Very well
Rate and Justify (max. 70 words)				X
(how it has led to socially inclusive practices. How does it increase students' sense of belonging and connectedness?)	It supports students in able to continue their s		tters in order	for them to be
<b>B2. COLLABORATION</b> Describe what kind of collaboration there is between various stakeholders. (max. 70 words)	The University Senat collaborates with the Ministry in order to ensure methodological procedures. Ministry ensures the financial support and the university has special procedures to grant the aid.			
<b>B3. STUDENT SATISFACTION</b> Describe the student perception of this initiative. Is there evidence of their satisfaction? (see also A6.1) (max. 200 words)	There is no evidence of the student satisfaction regarding this type of scholarship.			
<b>B4. STUDENT WELLBEING</b> How does it influence on students' (a) psychological, (b) social, (c) academic, and (d) physical wellbeing? What kind of evidence there is? (max. 200 words)	Ensures the social wel for occasional proble studies.			







C. FINAL REFLECTIONS (based on the previous description of you good practice)		
<b>Success factors</b> What are the factors required for the successful implementation? (max. 200 words)	The students that benefited from this aid, don't drop out.	
Sustainability What is needed for the practice to sustain? What resources are required? How it contributes to environmental, economic or social sustainability? (max. 200 words)	Financial resources from other sources other than the Ministry. Perhaps, the university should find alternative ways of funding or use internal funds to support this scholarship, so that more students can have access to it.	
<b>Challenges</b> What are the constraints identified? How easy it is to learn and implement? (max. 200 words)	Large number of students apply for this aid, but only a few are granted because of limited financial resources.	

## Sources

Kunttu, K. 2005. The study ability model. The Finnish Student Health Service (FSHS). (http://www.yths.fi/filebank/692-ENG\_OPISKELUKYKYMALLI\_pdf.pdf)

Nelson, K & Creagh T. 2013. A Good Practice Guide: Safeguarding Student Learning Engagement. Queenslad University of Technology. Brisbane, Australia. (<u>http://safeguardingstudentlearning.net/wp-content/uploads/2012/04/LTU\_Good-practice-guide\_eBook\_20130320.pdf</u>)

