



GENERAL INFORMATION		
Title	Top 10 students	
Key words	Grants, economic facilitations, talented students	
Objectives	Encouraging talented students Exemption from paying university fees	
Phase of studies (Choose all phases it applies)	Access Retain Graduation	
Type of degree (Choose all degrees it applies)	Bachelor's Graduate Master's	
Level (Choose all levels it applies)	National Institutional	
Name of the institution	University of Bergamo	
Location (City and country)	Bergamo (Italy)	
Target group/s	University students	
Stakeholders involved	Right to education office Scholarship Office	
Description of the organisational process Actors, triggering evidence, campaigns, graph (max. 300 words)	The academic year 2014/2015, the University board activated the "Top TEN Student Program" for talented students. The program addresses both students already enrolled and freshmen. The Program aims to exonerate by paying taxes and university fees up to 10% of students enrolled in bachelor and master degrees. The students have to comply with the required qualifications	







	with regard to the academic track and family income. Students can also benefit from the additional financial support guaranteed by the legislation 68/12. The student office directly identifies eligible students.		
A. FORMAL EVALUATION CRITERIA			
A1. ACCESS TO INFORMATION Provide sources of information (URL, websites, literature, materials)	http://www.unibg.it/sites/default/files/campus_e_servizi/band o_a.a. 15-16 top 10_student_program.pdf Right to education Office Via dei Caniana, 2 - 24127 Bergamo Tel: 035 2052870 Fax : 035 2052887 dirittoallostudio@unibg.it		
A2. TIMEFRAME Since when has it been in use? What is its maturity level (initial, intermediate, advanced)? Describe if there is evidence of its duration in the long rung. (max. 70 words)	This good practice has been active now for 3 years. Then, we may be deemed it at an initial level.		
A3. NUMBER OF STUDENTS How many students are involved? Is the number representative considering the target group?	The number of the students is decided every year by the students' office, on the basis of their academic track. The Program exonerate by paying taxes and university fees up to 10% of the students population per year.		
A4. SCALABILITY ("volume") Describe how it has been or can potentially be scaled up and practiced in a wider scale. Or, has it been or can it potentially be scaled down (e.g., from larger to smaller institutions)? (max. 70 words)	The good practice can potentially be scaled up according to the university available resources.		
A5. TRANSFERABILITY (from one context to another) Describe how it has been or can potentially be transferred and applied to different (a) target groups, (b) institutions, and (c) societies. If	The good practice might potentially be transferred and applied to other target groups different vulnerable groups and non-traditional learners.		







possible, name also some practices that this initiative was developed from or has inspired to. (max. 70 words)					
A6. ASSESSMENT Describe how it has been evaluated. How has it proved its relevance as the most effective way to achieve the objective? How it was successfully adopted? How it has had a positive impact on people? How the impact has been measured? Shortly describe how various forms of evaluations have been used in the assessment (A6.1 User evaluation, A6.2 Self- evaluation, A6.3 Peer evaluation, A6.4 External expert evaluation). Provide references, if possible. (max. 200 words)	Up to now, the	good practice has	not been evalua	ted.	
A7. CONTACT Who can be contacted so as to seek support and networks for implementing the practice (name, position, e-mail)?	VITTORIO MORES Responsible of right to education del servizio and techinical services for teaching. vittorio.mores@unibg.it Tel.: 0352052871 Fax: 0352052887 Sede: Caniana				
		2 - 24127 Bergai	mo (BG) - Italy		
B. CONTENT EVALUATION CRITERIA					
B1. SOCIAL JUSTICE PRINCIPLES (see Nelson & Creagh, 2013)					
B1.1 Self-determination Rate and Justify (max. 70 words)	Very weakly	Weakly X	Well	Very well	

(how students have participated to its (a) design, (b) enactment and (c) evaluation and how they can (d) make informed decisions about the participation)

enactment of the practice. The students are directly identified by the students office, which emails them about their eligibility for this measure.

The students have not taken part to the design and







B1.2 Rights Rate and Justify (max. 70 words)	Very weakly	Weakly	Well X	Very well
(how it is ensured that all participants are treated with dignity and respect. How have their individual cultural, social and knowledge systems been recognised and valued?)		tudents equal op academic track a		
B1.3 Access Rate and Justify (max. 70 words)	Very weakly	Weakly	Well X	Very well
(how it is ensured that there is an active and impartial access to the resources (e.g., curriculum, learning, academic, social, cultural, support, and financial resources))	-	ocess is manage above-mentione	-	' office
B1.4 Equity Rate and Justify (max. 70 words)	Very weakly	Weakly X	Well	Very well
(how if it openly demystifies and decodes dominant university cultures, processes, expectations and language for differently prepared cohorts)	This good practice gives the opportunity to students whose academic track and family income is complying with the call to be eligible for exemption from taxes and university fees. However, two indicators are not enough to produce fair evaluation.			
B1.5 Participation Rate and Justify (max. 70 words)	Very weakly □	Weakly X	Well	Very well
(how it has led to socially inclusive practices. How does it increase students' sense of belonging and connectedness?)	Even though it has been initially conceived as a mean to award deserving students, the current organisation of the practice may be regarded as reducing instead of increasing the students' sense of belonging and connectedness within the university, as the grant is provided on the basis of only two indicators (academic track and family income).			







B2. COLLABORATION Describe what kind of collaboration there is between various stakeholders. (max. 70 words)	The practice is essentially based on internal resources. It is directly managed by the Right to education and students office.
B3. STUDENT SATISFACTION Describe the student perception of this initiative. Is there evidence of their satisfaction? (see also A6.1) (max. 200 words)	Being a pilot project, evaluation of this recent activity needs to be still put in place.
B4. STUDENT WELLBEING How does it influence on students' (a) psychological, (b) social, (c) academic, and (d) physical wellbeing? What kind of evidence there is? (max. 200 words)	The influence on students' psychological, social and academic wellbeing could be beneficial because this practice gives the opportunity to the deserving student to be eligible for exemption of taxes and university fees. However, see the critical reflections highlighted above and below.
	. FINAL REFLECTIONS evious description of you good practice)
Success factors What are the factors required for the successful implementation? (max. 200 words)	Success factors are linked to the availability of economic resources provided by the university in terms of fees exemption.
Sustainability What is needed for the practice to sustain? What resources are required? How it contributes to environmental, economic or social sustainability? (max. 200 words)	The program has been initially promoted by a private association (Pro Universitate Bergomensi). It is now funded directly from the University.
Challenges	On the long term, university grants based exclusively on two indicators (grade point average and family income) may raise

Sources

Kunttu, K. 2005. The study ability model. The Finnish Student Health Service (FSHS). (http://www.yths.fi/filebank/692-ENG_OPISKELUKYKYMALLI_pdf.pdf)

Nelson, K & Creagh T. 2013. A Good Practice Guide: Safeguarding Student Learning Engagement. Queenslad University of Technology. Brisbane, Australia. (<u>http://safeguardingstudentlearning.net/wp-content/uploads/2012/04/LTU_Good-practice-guide_eBook_20130320.pdf</u>)







