



G	SENERAL INFORMATION
Title	Excellency scholarships
Key words (3 to 5 key words)	Activity, appreciation, research, proficiency
Objectives (2 to 3 objectives)	- Development - Tolerance - Inclusion - Reward - Help
Phase of studies (Choose all phases it applies)	Access X Retain X Graduation X Transition to work-life
Type of degree (Choose all degrees it applies)	Bachelor's X Graduate X Master's X
Level (Choose all levels it applies)	International National Institutional X Faculty Group Individual
Name of the institution	Students with disabilities from West University of Timisoara
Location (City and country)	West University of Timisoara
Target group/s	Students with a good activity towards all the years in University, research and professional activities, social activities, cultural and organizational activities
Stakeholders involved	The university senate, administrative staff.
Description of the organisational process Actors, triggering evidence,	West University of Timisoara grants annually 14 excellency scholarships from it's own budget, in the next order:

This document has been produced with the financial assistance of the European Union (Erasmus+ Programme), through the project "ACCESS4ALL – Laboratory for Policies and Practices of Social Development in Higher Eduaction" (Ref. 2015-1-ES01-KA203-015970). The contents of this document are under the sole responsability of the autors and under no circumstances can be considered as reflectint the position of the European Union.







campaigns, graph... (max. 300 words)

Excellency scholarship `Sever Bocu` - for all the activity Excellency scholarship `loan Curea` - for research and professional activity Excellency scholarship `Eugen Todoran` - for social, cultural and organisational activities Eleven excellency scholarship for each Faculty from the University This scholarships have as a group target students that have a remarkable activity in the University. For being a candidate at this scholarships you need to make a file which will be evaluated by a committee named by the rector of the university. As a criteria of difference will be used the performances of the student in his or her activities or his/her implication in actions that help the university or in students organizations and they need to have their average minimum 8.

A. FORMAL EVALUATION CRITERIA		
A1. ACCESS TO INFORMATION Provide sources of information (URL, websites, literature, materials)	https://www.uvt.ro/files/a4cefbbda1264f7cd64966b554d e1523be4bf9af/	
A2. TIMEFRAME Since when has it been in use? What is its maturity level (initial, intermediate, advanced)? Describe if there is evidence of its duration in the long rung. (max. 70 words)	Permanent initiative and it is in maturity level.	
A3. NUMBER OF STUDENTS How many students are involved? Is the number representative considering the target group?	14 students per year	
A4. SCALABILITY ("volume") Describe how it has been or can potentially be scaled up and practiced in a wider scale. Or,	This scholarships can be adopted by a wider scale of universities which have the possibility to share their budget in this kind of activity. It is known that can not be asked from a smaller university or a poor one to grant	



$\langle \rangle$	Erasmus+
	LI GOITIGO I



Index true term interventionone context to another)Describe how it has been or can potentially be transferred and applied to different (a) target groups, (b) institutions, and (c) societies. If possible, name also some practices that this initiative was developed from or has inspired to. (max. 70 words)can becom for the best to motivate financially.A6. ASSESSMENT Describe how it has been evaluated. How has it proved its relevance as the most effective way to achieve the objective? How it was successfully adopted? How it has had a positive impact on people? How the impact has been measured?Due to the kind the stu- selection re- relevance of there is no these place occupied m always interShortly describe how various forms of evaluation, A6.3 Peer evaluation, A6.4 External expert evaluation).an becom for the best to motivate financially.	wide range of transferability. This scholarship e a prize in a firm, a factory or anything else employee. This kind of prize may be helpful people work harder and to help them
Describe how it has been evaluated. How has it proved its relevance as the most effective way to achieve the objective?kind the stu same numb application selection re relevance of the impact on people? How the impact has been measured?kind the stu 	
Provide references, if possible. (max. 200 words)	fact that there are only 14 scholarships of this idents involved and the target group has the per. Of course there can be much more is but passing through different criteria of emains only 14 students.Data about the of this action is not publicly available and information on the degree of occupation of es. We can assume that not all the places or hainly for because young people are not rested to have a great activity.
A7. CONTACT Who can be contacted so as to seek support and networks for implementing the practice (name, position, e-mail)?	







B. CONTENT EVALUATION CRITERIA

B1. SOCIAL JUSTICE PRINCIPLES (see Nelson & Creagh, 2013)				
B1.1 Self-determination Rate and Justify (max. 70 words)	Very weakly	Weakly	Well X	Very well
(how students have participated to its (a) design, (b) enactment and (c) evaluation and how they can (d) make informed decisions about the participation)	scholars of studer money a informed have to a gathering status, a that prov monthly	s do not have an im hip, taking into con hts that are eligible tre stipulated at a n I decisions about th apply in order to ge g relevant documen ccording to their gr ve they have not ob average net incom minimum wage in	to apply and to apply and ational level. The participation of this scholar ints that sustan roup target (e otain, the last e (per family	at the categories the amount of Students make on because they ship, by in their social .g. documents three months, a
B1.2 Rights Rate and Justify (max. 70 words)	Very weakly	Weakly	Well	Very well X
(how it is assured that all participants are treated with dignity and respect. How have their individual cultural, social and knowledge systems been recognised and valued?)	identified impact, r students	rcussions on cultur d. This type of prog not only on financia with the opportuni heir studies.	gramme has a al level, but als	a positive so it provides
B1.3 Access Rate and Justify (max. 70 words)	Very weakly □	Weakly	Well	Very well X
(how it is assured that there is an active and impartial access to the resources (e.g., curriculum, learning, academic, social, cultural, support, and financial resources))		e special procedur e that there is impa d		•







B1.4 Equity Rate and Justify (max. 70 words)	Very weakly □	Weakly	Well X	Very well
(how if it openly demystifies and decodes dominant university cultures, processes, expectations and language for differently prepared cohorts)	making t more ma measure criteria e	olarships had a pos them more implicat ature and motivated ed through the num each year and are s election criteria are	ed in universi d. This impact ber of files th submitted at tl	ty`s activities, t has been at fulfil the ne Committee.
B1.5 Participation Rate and Justify (max. 70 words)	Very weakly	Weakly	Well	Very well X
(how it has led to socially inclusive practices. How does it increase students' sense of belonging and connectedness?)	Develop	ment of a sense of	belonging	
B2. COLLABORATION Describe what kind of collaboration there is between various stakeholders. (max. 70 words)		n different departme department and so		•
B3. STUDENT SATISFACTION Describe the student perception of this initiative. Is there evidence of their satisfaction? (see also A6.1) (max. 200 words)	There is	no evidence regar	ding their sati	sfaction
B4. STUDENT WELLBEING How does it influence on		no evidence rega hip has an impact	-	







students' (a) psychological, (b) social, (c) academic, and (d) physical wellbeing? What kind of evidence there is? (max. 200 words)

C. FINAL REFLECTIONS		
(based on the previous description of you good practice)		

Success factors What are the factors required for the successful implementation? (max. 200 words)	 Information A correct approach A correct evaluation of the files
Sustainability What is needed for the practice to sustain? What resources are required? How it contributes to environmental, economic or social sustainability? (max. 200 words)	The service is essentially based on proper resources of the university, but there should be some better institutional resources to support students after their access to HE, and of course students that are remarkably good in their activities which sometimes encounter difficulties such as cultural or financial issues.
Challenges What are the constraints identified? How easy it is to learn and implement? (max. 200 words)	The only constraint identified is the lack of money in universities budgets but this kind of scholarships can also be financed by the Government.

Sources

Kunttu, K. 2005. The study ability model. The Finnish Student Health Service (FSHS). (http://www.yths.fi/filebank/692-ENG_OPISKELUKYKYMALLI_pdf.pdf)

Nelson, K & Creagh T. 2013. A Good Practice Guide: Safeguarding Student Learning Engagement. Queenslad University of Technology. Brisbane, Australia. (<u>http://safeguardingstudentlearning.net/wp-content/uploads/2012/04/LTU_Good-practice-guide_eBook_20130320.pdf</u>)

