Strategic decision support for marketing communication: an agent-based simulation of consumer attitudes to smart home appliances
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Abstract—Marketing and communication professionals are responsible for building long term relationships with customers and managing the information exchange. The roll-out of smart energy meters to households presents a particular challenge as network and energy companies would benefit from the smart grid while the advantages for households are less visible. In this study we use survey data from a pilot project on smart home appliances to create an agent-based social simulation which can provide decision support for various marketing communication scenarios. The outcome of a structural equation model based on two surveys (one before and one after an intervention) provides the foundation for the behavioural model of the agents so experiments can be performed in which certain aspects are adjusted and the possible effects studied. Preliminary results suggest this is a useful approach to support decision making on marketing communication as the impact of interventions can be studied and the expected adoption of the new technology in various user groups can be estimated.

II. CASE STUDY: THE INTRODUCTION OF SMART ENERGY APPLIANCES

In this paper we focus on the role of social simulation to provide support for marketing managers in their decisions on how to reach target audiences in the context of long term relationships. Smart decision support for marketing management or marketing intelligence is lacking but its value has been recognised [1][2][3], hence the first step is to develop the simulation part of a future support tool. Simulation of consumer behaviour can be used as a reflective tool for the marketing manager in his or her attempt to design various marketing scenarios. We believe that such a tool eventually contributes to the marketing manager’s accountability, which is an important topic in marketing and communication management [4][5].

This paper is structured as follows. First, in Section II the case study of the introduction of smart energy appliances is introduced. Section III describes the methodology, the agent-based simulation model and simulation scenarios. Section IV then shows a number of illustrative results with conclusions and final thoughts presented in Section V.
III. METHODOLOGY: AGENT-BASED SIMULATION OF CONSUMER PREFERENCES

Using the results of these consumer surveys as input, an agent-based simulation model of their preferences was constructed, where each agent represents an individual household participating in the trial (n=146). First, the answers to the questionnaires were analysed with a Structural Equation Modelling approach (using SPSS AMOS) to generate a behavioural model of the consumers and the links between relevant concepts such as ease of use, attitude towards sustainable energy, etc. From this model, founded on literature on consumer adoption of technology and communication sciences (e.g. [8] and [9]), the key relationship and coefficients could be implemented in Repast Simphony as behavioural and decision making rules for the agents. This approach is similar to [10], but instead of using a standard decision making model here the model is based on the actual data from the pilot study in before and after scenarios which can be evaluated. We are using data not just as validation but also for the design and initialization of the model [11, Fig 2][12]. Each agent has its own individual characteristics (i.e. the answers given in the survey) and the behavioural rules enable it to interpret these properties and to make a decision on the expected or actual use of the smart technology:

1. Expected use is based on the baseline measurement for which the system-attractor is consumer expectation (at the start of the trial).
2. Routine use if based on the follow-up measurement for which the system-attractor is the consumer routine use of the washing machine to delay laundry based on variable energy tariffs (after the intervention).

First, the stated response is compared with the prediction from the simulation model to support model verification. Next, values of the various concepts can be changed to represent communication activities (e.g. inviting participants to a demonstration session to explain how the technology works) and the effect on the behaviour can be explored: now the assessed models have obtained dynamic properties by which the marketers could test future scenarios. Using these simulations, the marketers can develop insights in the dynamic properties of their target audiences. Examples of scenarios and questions include the following:

- What happens when financial stimuli disappear but the sustainability issues are emphasized?
- How does improving the ease of use of the technology compare with better explaining how the equipment works?

In this study we attempt to simulate the journey and the response to any interventions between the two measurements to see if a possible gap between expected and actual behaviours (as stated by the participants to the trial) can be explained from the interventions that took place. These outcomes have to be validated in follow-up surveys to compare predicted behaviour from the model with the response from the households, but additionally face validation can be used by asking professionals if the scenarios and outcomes are useful in their decision-making process. In addition to simulating the period between the two measurements we consider the first marketing scenario: comparing financial and sustainability related stimuli.

IV. ILLUSTRATIVE RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

In this section results of the simulation model are presented, using histograms of the population of 146 agents and their score on a Likert scale where 0 means totally disagree and a 7 means completely agree with the statement that the household expects or is using the smart appliance.

Fig. 1 shows the reported use of the smart appliance from the second survey compared with the prediction from the
simulation model using the individual answers given as input data but using the behavioural model to calculate the use. The peak is in the same position, reporting a mostly positive response, but in the simulated model the peak is higher and the deviation lower. The lower deviation could be explained by the fact that the decision making model was based on the entire population, while in reality some aspects would be more important to one individual than to another, creating more diversity in opinions. Still, the similar general shape of the results give some confidence in the outcomes. With a larger data set it would be possible to analyse segments to create tailored models of various groups, for example based on socio-economic status, housing type, or aspects addressed in the survey such as overall attitude towards sustainability and the impact of households on the environment.

Fig. 2 then shows the simulation of the time period between the baseline measurement and the measurement after the appliance had been installed. The expected use before any intervention (top) was at least one point lower than the stated use several months later (cf. Fig 1, top), in both the surveys as well as our simulation model. The consumer engagement the company undertook before, during and after installation in people’s homes in which the importance of the pilot was stressed could have had a positive effect on the participants. We simulate this by improving the score for the “project appreciation” factor for each agent and the result is that the new scores (Fig. 2, bottom) are now closer to those of the stated use (Fig. 1, bottom). Alternatively, it is possible to experiment with other factors (e.g. financial stimuli or ease of use) to see how much they would have needed to be improved to establish the same effect.

Finally, to show how such models can be used to explore options for marketing scenarios, the financial stimuli (i.e. the rewards in saving money by using the technology) are decreased while at the same time the sustainability stimuli (i.e. the perceived positive impact on the environment resulting in the use of the technology) are increased and the combined effect on the use of the smart appliance is simulated. Figure 3 shows the results of this scenario as a histogram for the population. Comparing the outcome with the one before the adjustments to these constructs (Fig 1, bottom) shows there is very little change in attitude. Looking at the individual ratings of the agents we see that the decrease in financial stimuli is countered by the increase in the sustainability stimuli and that for some agents this even leads to a higher value for the predicted use.

V. CONCLUSIONS

While these results illustrate the possibilities resulting from having a dynamic model made from static survey results and the impact this could have on decision making, it also highlights a number of issues that need to be dealt with. Firstly, the interpretation of a change in value for a construct is not straightforward and open to debate. Secondly, there could be limits to how often a change may have a positive or negative effect. Thirdly, the behavioural model is based on the whole population while inputs are unique for each agent, so the responses will vary as well. Follow-up studies jointly with decision-makers to track interventions and measure their effects are required to further validate the findings.
Having said that, the results already show that the development of the model and using it for experimentation can help test scenarios and support decision-makers understand the uncertainties and interdependencies better. They can reflect on what really happened and what they would like to change in future interventions. Moreover, they can simulate various interventions by comparing real time measured expectations and desired outcomes and establish where uncertainties or sensitive thresholds lie, based on the data collected from a target audience and a systematic analysis of the results. This supports the marketer in developing various scenarios by combining variables and discussing this with colleagues and peers, which eventually leads to a better marketing and communication performance as well as improved accountability.

Work in progress includes tracking the outcomes of follow-up surveys and including new insights from literature and using those to update the models and enrich the properties for the agents (e.g. mutual influence), as well as testing boundaries for the simulation though e.g. sensitivity analysis. Doing this in close cooperation with marketing communication professionals will allow direct feedback when they experiment with the simulation during a project to understand how the outcomes can be part of the decision making and thought processes. If they indeed state that this is helpful for their work we can build on the face validity of the work.

The main contribution presented in this paper is the process of translating survey results into a dynamic social simulation tool by populating an agent-based model with agents representing the participants to the survey. We showed that this can be used to test realistic scenarios over time as they happened as well as possible future directions and responses of the agents.
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