PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF SECOND-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL INCLUSIONS SYSTEMS WITH p-LAPLACIAN

DANIEL PAŞCA

ABSTRACT. Some existence results are obtained for periodic solutions of nonautonomous second-order differential inclusions systems with p-Laplacian.

1. Introduction

Consider the second order system

(1)
$$\ddot{u}(t) = \nabla F(t, u(t)) \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T]$$

$$u(0) - u(T) = \dot{u}(0) - \dot{u}(T) = 0$$

where T>0 and $F:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following assumption:

(A) F(t,x) is measurable in t for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and continuously differentiable in x for a.e. $t \in [0,T]$, and there exist $a \in C(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{R}_+)$, $b \in L^1(0,T;\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that

$$|F(t,x)| \le a(||x||)b(t), \qquad ||\nabla F(t,x)|| \le a(||x||)b(t)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$.

In the last years many authors starting with Mawhin and Willem (see [2]) proved the existence of solutions for problem (1) under suitable conditions on the potential F (see [6]-[17]). Also in a series of papers (see [3]-[5]) we have generalized some of these results for the case when the potential F is just locally Lipschitz in the second variable x not continuously differentiable.

The aim of this paper is to consider the problem (1) in a more general sense. More exactly our results represent the extensions to systems with p-Laplacian and also with discontinuity (we consider the generalized gradients unlike continuously gradient in classical results).

 $^{1991\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 34C25,\ 49J24.$

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.\ p$ –Laplacian, inclusions systems, periodic solutions.

 $^{^\}dagger$ The author was supported by Ministerio de Educacio
ń y Ciencia, grant number SB2003-0337.

Consider the second order differential inclusions system

(2)
$$\frac{d}{dt} (\|\dot{u}(t)\|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t)) \in \partial F(t, u(t)) \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T],$$
$$u(0) = u(T), \dot{u}(0) = \dot{u}(T),$$

where $p>1,\ T>0,\ F:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ and ∂ denotes the Clarke subdifferential.

We suppose that $F = F_1 + F_2$ and F_1 , F_2 satisfy the following assumption: (A') F_1 , F_2 are measurable in t for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, at least F_1 or F_2 are

(A') F_1 , F_2 are measurable in t for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, at least F_1 or F_2 strictly differentiable in x and there exist $k_1, k_2 \in L^q(0, T; \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$|F_1(t, x_1) - F_1(t, x_2)| \le k_1(t)||x_1 - x_2||,$$

 $|F_2(t, x_1) - F_2(t, x_2)| \le k_2(t)||x_1 - x_2||,$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $t \in [0, T]$.

The corresponding functional $\varphi: W_T^{1,p} \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\varphi(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T \|\dot{u}(t)\|^p dt + \int_0^T F(t, u(t)) dt.$$

Definition 1. A function $G: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is called to be (λ, μ) -subconvex if

$$G(\lambda(x+y)) \le \mu(G(x) + G(y))$$

for some $\lambda, \mu > 0$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Remark 1. When $\lambda = \mu = \frac{1}{2}$, a function $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ -subconvex is called convex. When $\lambda = \mu = 1$, a function (1,1)-subconvex is called subadditive. When $\lambda = 1$, $\mu > 0$, a function $(1,\mu)$ -subconvex is called μ -subadditive.

2. Main results

Theorem 1. Assume that $F = F_1 + F_2$, where F_1 , F_2 satisfy assumption (A') and the following conditions:

- (i) $F_1(t,\cdot)$ is (λ,μ) -subconvex with $\lambda > 1/2$ and $0 < \mu < 2^{p-1}\lambda^p$ for a.e. $t \in [0,T]$;
- (ii) there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, p-1)$ such that

$$\zeta \in \partial F_2(t, x) \Rightarrow ||\zeta|| \le c_1 ||x||^{\alpha} + c_2$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$;

(iii) for $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$,

$$\frac{1}{\|x\|^{q\alpha}} \left[\frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^T F_1(t, \lambda x) dt + \int_0^T F_2(t, x) dt \right] \to \infty, \ as \ \|x\| \to \infty.$$

Then the problem (2) has at least one solution which minimizes φ on $W_T^{1,p}$.

Theorem 2. Assume that $F = F_1 + F_2$, where F_1 , F_2 satisfy assumption (A') and the following conditions:

(iv) $F_1(t,\cdot)$ is (λ,μ) -subconvex for a.e. $t \in [0,T]$, and there exists $\gamma \in L^1(0,T;\mathbb{R})$, $h \in L^1(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\int_0^T h(t)dt = 0$ such that

$$F_1(t,x) \ge \langle h(t), x \rangle + \gamma(t)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$;

(v) there exist $c_1 > 0$, $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\zeta \in \partial F_2(t, x) \Rightarrow ||\zeta|| \le c_1$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $t \in [0, T]$, and

$$\int_0^T F_2(t, x) dt \ge c_0$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$;

(vi

$$\frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^T F_1(t, \lambda x) dt + \int_0^T F_2(t, x) dt \to \infty, \text{ as } ||x|| \to \infty.$$

Then the problem (2) has at least one solution which minimizes φ on $W_T^{1,p}$.

Theorem 3. Assume that $F = F_1 + F_2$, where F_1 , F_2 satisfy assumption (A') and the following conditions:

(vii) $F_1(t,\cdot)$ is (λ,μ) -subconvex for a.e. $t \in [0,T]$, and there exists $\gamma \in L^1(0,T;\mathbb{R})$, $h \in L^1(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\int_0^T h(t)dt = 0$ such that

$$F_1(t,x) > \langle h(t), x \rangle + \gamma(t)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$;

(viii) there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, p-1)$ such that

$$\zeta \in \partial F_2(t,x) \Rightarrow ||\zeta|| < c_1 ||x||^{\alpha} + c_2$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$;

(ix) for $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$,

$$\frac{1}{\|x\|^{q\alpha}} \int_0^T F_2(t,x) dt \to \infty, \ as \ \|x\| \to \infty.$$

Then the problem (2) has at least one solution which minimizes φ on $W_T^{1,p}$.

Remark 2. Theorems 1, 2 and 3, generalizes the corresponding Theorems 2.1, 2.3 and 2.4 of [5]. In fact, it follows from these theorems letting p = 2.

3. The preliminary results

We introduce some functional spaces. Let [0,T] be a fixed real interval $(0 < T < \infty)$ and $1 . We denote by <math>W_T^{1,p}$ the Sobolev space of functions $u \in L^p(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)$ having a weak derivative $\dot{u} \in L^p(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n)$. The norm over $W_T^{1,p}$ is defined by

$$||u||_{W_T^{1,p}} = \left(\int_0^T ||u(t)||^p dt + \int_0^T ||\dot{u}(t)||^p dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We recall that

$$||u||_{L^p} = \left(\int_0^T ||u(t)||^p dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ and } ||u||_{\infty} = \max_{t \in [0,T]} ||u(t)||.$$

For our aims it is necessary to recall some very well know results (for proof and details see [2]).

Proposition 4. If $u \in W_T^{1,p}$ then

$$||u||_{\infty} \le c||u||_{W_{\infty}^{1,p}}.$$

If $u \in W_T^{1,p}$ and $\int_0^T u(t)dt = 0$ then

$$||u||_{\infty} \le c||\dot{u}||_{L^p}.$$

Proposition 5. If the sequence $(u_k)_k$ converges weakly to u in $W_T^{1,p}$, then $(u_k)_k$ converges uniformly to u on [0,T].

Let X be a Banach space. Now follows [1], for each $x, v \in X$, we define the generalized directional derivative at x in the direction v of a given $f \in Lip_{loc}(X,\mathbb{R})$ as

$$f^{0}(x; v) = \limsup_{y \to x, \lambda \searrow 0} \frac{f(y + \lambda v) - f(y)}{\lambda}$$

and we denote by

$$\partial f(x) = \{x^* \in X^* : f^0(x; v) \ge \langle x^*, v \rangle, \text{ for all } v \in X\}$$

the generalized gradient of f at x (the Clarke subdifferential).

We recall the *Lebourg's mean value theorem* (see [1], Theorem 2.3.7).

Theorem 6. Let x and y be points in X, and suppose that f is Lipschitz on open set containing the line segment [x,y]. Then there exists a point u in (x,y) such that

$$f(y) - f(x) \in \langle \partial f(u), y - x \rangle.$$

Clarke consider in [1] the following abstract framework:

- let (T, \mathcal{T}, μ) be a positive complete measure space with $\mu(T) < \infty$, and let Y be a separable Banach space;
- let Z be a closed subspace of $L^p(T;Y)$ (for some p in $[1,\infty)$), where $L^p(T;Y)$ is the space of p- integrable functions from T to Y;
- ullet define a functional f on Z via

$$f(x) = \int_T f_t(x(t))\mu(dt),$$

where $f_t: Y \to \mathbb{R}$, $(t \in T)$ is a given family of functions;

• suppose that for each y in Y the function $t \to f_t(y)$ is measurable, and that x is a point at which f(x) is defined (finitely).

Hypothesis 1: There is a function k in $L^q(T, \mathbb{R})$, $\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1\right)$ such that, for all $t \in T$,

$$|f_t(y_1) - f_t(y_2)| \le k(t)||y_1 - y_2||_Y$$
 for all $y_1, y_2 \in Y$

Hypothesis 2: Each function f_t is Lipschitz (of some rank) near each point of Y, and for some constant c, for all $t \in T$, $y \in Y$, one has

$$\zeta \in \partial f_t(y) \Rightarrow \|\zeta\|_{Y^*} \le c\{1 + \|y\|_Y^{p-1}\}.$$

Under this conditions described above Clarke prove (see [1], Theorem 2.7.5):

Theorem 7. Under the conditions described above, under either of Hypothesis 1 or 2, f is uniformly Lipschitz on bounded subsets of Z, and one has

$$\partial f(x) \subset \int_T \partial f_t(x(t)) \mu(dt).$$

Further, if each f_t is regular at x(t) then f is regular at x and equality holds.

Remark 3. f is globally Lipschitz on Z when Hypothesis 1 hold.

Now we can prove the following result.

Theorem 8. Let $F:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ such that $F=F_1+F_2$ where F_1 , F_2 are measurable in t for each $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, and there exist $k_1\in L^q(0,T;\mathbb{R})$, $a\in C(\mathbb{R}^+,\mathbb{R}^+)$, $b\in L^1(0,T;\mathbb{R}^+)$, c_1 , $c_2>0$ and $\alpha\in[0,p-1)$ such that

$$|F_1(t,x_1) - F_1(t,x_2)| \le k_1(t)||x_1 - x_2||$$

$$|F_2(t,x)| \le a(||x||)b(t)$$

(3)
$$\zeta \in \partial F_2(t, x) \Rightarrow ||\zeta|| \le c_1 ||x||^{\alpha} + c_2$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$ and all $x, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We suppose that $L:[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, is given by $L(t,x,y) = \frac{1}{p} ||y||^p + F(t,x)$.

Then, the functional $f: Z \in \mathbb{R}$, where

$$Z = \left\{ (u, v) \in L^p(0, T; Y) : u(t) = \int_0^t v(s) ds + c, c \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}$$

given by $f(u,v) = \int_0^T L(t,u(t),v(t))dt$, is uniformly Lipschitz on bounded subsets of Z and one has

$$\partial f(u,v) \subset \int_0^T \{\partial F_1(t,u(t)) + \partial F_2(t,u(t))\} \times \{\|v(t)\|^{p-2}v(t)\} dt.$$

Proof. Let $L_1(t,x,y) = F_1(t,x)$, $L_2(t,x,y) = \frac{1}{p} ||y||^p + F_2(t,x)$ and $f_1,f_2: Z \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $f_1(u,v) = \int_0^T L_1(t,u(t),v(t))dt$, $f_2(u,v) = \int_0^T L_2(t,u(t),v(t))dt$. For f_1 we can apply Theorem 7 under Hypothesis 1, with the following cast of characters:

- $(T, \mathcal{T}, \mu) = [0,T]$ with Lebesgue measure, $Y = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ be the Hilbert product space (hence is separable);
- p > 1 and

$$Z = \left\{ (u, v) \in L^p(0, T; Y) : u(t) = \int_0^t v(s) ds + c, c \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}$$

be a closed subspace of $L^p(0,T;Y)$;

• $f_t(x,y) = L_1(t,x,y) = F_1(t,x)$; in our assumptions it results that the integrand $L_1(t,x,y)$ is measurable in t for a given element (x,y) of Y and there exists $k \in L^q(0,T;\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$|L_1(t, x_1, y_1) - L_1(t, x_2, y_2)| = |F_1(t, x_1) - F_1(t, x_2)| \le (4)$$

$$\le k_1(t) ||x_1 - x_2|| \le k_1(t) (||x_1 - x_2|| + ||y_1 - y_2||) = (4)$$

 $= k_1(t) \| (x_1, y_1) - (x_2, y_2) \|_Y$

for all $t \in [0, T]$ and all $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in Y$. Hence f_1 is uniformly Lipschitz on bounded subsets of Z and one has

$$\partial f_1(u,v) \subset \int_0^T \partial L_1(t,u(t),v(t))dt.$$

For f_2 we can apply Theorem 7 under Hypothesis 2 with the same cast of characters, but now $f_t(x,y) = L_2(t,x,y) = \frac{1}{p}||y||^p + F_2(t,x)$. In our assumptions it results that the integrand $L_2(t,x,y)$ is measurable in t for a given element (x,y) of Y and locally Lipschitz in (x,y) for each $t \in [0,T]$.

Proposition 2.3.15 from [1] implies

$$\partial L_2(t,x,y) \subset \partial_x L_2(t,x,y) \times \partial_y L_2(t,x,y) = \partial F_2(t,x) \times \{ \|y\|^{p-2} y \}.$$

Using (3) and (4), if $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \partial L_2(t, x, y)$ it results $\zeta_1 \in \partial F_2(t, x)$ and $\zeta_2 = ||y||^{p-2}y$, and hence

$$\|\zeta\| = \|\zeta_1\| + \|\zeta_2\| \le c_1 \|x\|^{\alpha} + c_2 + \|y\|^{p-1} \le \tilde{c}\{1 + \|(x,y)\|^{p-1}\}$$

for each $t \in [0, T]$, since $\alpha < p-1$ and p > 1. Hence f_2 is uniformly Lipschitz on bounded subsets of Z and one has

$$\partial f_2(u,v) \subset \int_0^T \partial L_2(t,u(t),v(t))dt.$$

It follows that $f = f_1 + f_2$ is uniformly Lipschitz on the bounded subsets of Z.

Proposition 2.3.3 and Proposition 2.3.15 from [1] implies

$$\partial f(u,v) \subset \partial f_{1}(u,v) + \partial f_{2}(u,v) \subset$$

$$\subset \int_{0}^{T} \left[\partial L_{1}(t,u(t),v(t)) + \partial L_{2}(t,u(t),v(t)) \right] dt \subset$$

$$\subset \int_{0}^{T} \left[\left(\partial_{x} L_{1}(t,u(t),v(t)) \times \partial_{y} L_{1}(t,u(t),v(t)) \right) + + \left(\partial_{x} L_{2}(t,u(t),v(t)) \times \partial_{y} L_{2}(t,u(t),v(t)) \right) \right] dt \subset$$

$$\subset \int_{0}^{T} \left[\left(\partial_{x} L_{1}(t,u(t),v(t)) + \partial_{x} L_{2}(t,u(t),v(t)) \right) \times \times \left(\partial_{y} L_{1}(t,u(t),v(t)) + \partial_{y} L_{2}(t,u(t),v(t)) \right) \right] dt =$$

$$= \int_{0}^{T} \left(\partial F_{1}(t,u(t)) + \partial F_{2}(t,u(t)) \times \{ \|v(t)\|^{p-2} v(t) \} dt.$$

Moreover, Corollary 1 of Proposition 2.3.3 from from [1] imply that, if at least of the functions F_1 , F_2 is strictly differentiable in x for all $t \in [0, T]$ then

(5)
$$\partial f(u,v) \subset \int_0^T \partial F(t,u(t)) \times \{\|v(t)\|^{p-2} v(t)\} dt.$$

Remark 4. The interpretation of expression (5) is as follows: if (u_0, v_0) is an element of Z (so that $v_0 = \dot{u}_0$) and if $\zeta \in \partial f(u_0, v_0)$, we deduce the existence of a measurable function (q(t), p(t)) such that

(6)
$$q(t) \in \partial F(t, u_0(t))$$
 and $p(t) = ||v_0(t)||^{p-2}v_0(t)$ a.e. on $[0, T]$

and for any (u, v) in Z, one has

$$\langle \zeta, (u, v) \rangle = \int_0^T \{ \langle q(t), u(t) \rangle + \langle p(t), v(t) \rangle \} dt.$$

In particular, if $\zeta = 0$ (so that u_0 is critical point for $\varphi(u) = \int_0^T \left[\frac{1}{p} ||\dot{u}(t)||^p + \frac{1}{p} \right]^T dt$ F(t,u(t)) dt), it then follows easily that $q(t) = \dot{p}(t)$ a.e., or taking into account (6)

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\|\dot{u}_0(t)\|^{p-2}\dot{u}_0(t)) \in \partial F(t, u_0(t)) \text{ a.e. on } [0, T],$$

so that u_0 satisfies the inclusions system (2).

Remark 5. Of course if p=2 and F is continuously differentiable in x, then the system (2) becomes system (1).

4. Proofs of the Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. From (A') it follows immediately there exist $a \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+), b \in L^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that

$$|F_1(t,x)| \le a(||x||)b(t),$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $t \in [0,T]$. Like in [11] we obtain

$$F_1(t,x) \le (2\mu ||x||^{\beta} + 1)a_0b(t)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $t \in [0,T]$, where $\beta < p$ and $a_0 = \max_{0 \le s \le 1} a(s)$. For $u \in W_T^{1,p}$, let $\bar{u} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T u(t) dt$ and $\tilde{u} = u - \bar{u}$. From Lebourg's mean value theorem it follows that for each $t \in [0,T]$ there exist z(t) in $(\bar{u},u(t))$ and $\zeta \in \partial F_2(t,z(t))$ such that $F_2(t,u(t)) - F_2(t,\bar{u}) = \langle \zeta, \tilde{u}(t) \rangle$. It follows from (ii) and Hölder's inequality that

$$\left| \int_{0}^{T} [F_{2}(t, u(t)) - F_{2}(t, \bar{u})] dt \right| \leq \int_{0}^{T} |F_{2}(t, u(t)) - F_{2}(t, \bar{u})| dt \leq$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{T} \|\zeta\| \|\tilde{u}(t)\| dt \leq \int_{0}^{T} \left[2c_{1}(\|\bar{u}\|^{\alpha} + \|\tilde{u}(t)\|^{\alpha}) + c_{2} \right] \|\tilde{u}(t)\| dt \leq$$

$$\leq C_{1} \|\tilde{u}\|_{\infty}^{\alpha+1} + C_{2} \|\tilde{u}\|_{\infty} \|\bar{u}\|^{\alpha} + C_{3} \|\tilde{u}\|_{\infty} \leq$$

$$\leq C_{4} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{\alpha+1} + \frac{1}{2p} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}^{p} + C_{5} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}} + C_{6} \|\bar{u}\|^{q\alpha}$$

for all $u \in W_T^{1,p}$ and some positive constants C_4 , C_5 and C_6 . Hence we have

$$\varphi(u) \ge \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T \|\dot{u}(t)\|^p dt + \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^T F_1(t, \lambda \bar{u}) dt - \int_0^T F_1(t, -\tilde{u}(t)) dt + \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T |\dot{u}(t)|^p dt + \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T |\dot{u}(t)$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \int_0^T F_2(t,\bar{u})dt + \int_0^T [F_2(t,u(t)) - F_2(t,\bar{u})]dt \geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2p} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p - C_4 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^{\alpha+1} - C_5 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p} - C_6 \|\bar{u}\|^{q\alpha} - (2\mu \|\tilde{u}\|_{\infty}^{\beta} + 1) \int_0^T a_0 b(t)dt + \\ &+ \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^T F_1(t,\lambda\bar{u})dt + \int_0^T F_2(t,\bar{u})dt \geq \frac{1}{2p} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p - C_4 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^{\alpha+1} - C_5 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p} - \\ &- C_7 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^{\beta} - C_8 + \|\bar{u}\|^{q\alpha} \Big\{ \frac{1}{\|\bar{u}\|^{q\alpha}} \Big[\frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^T F_1(t,\lambda\bar{u})dt + \int_0^T F_2(t,\bar{u})dt \Big] - C_6 \Big\} \end{split}$$

for all $u \in W_T^{1,p}$, which implies that $\varphi(u) \to \infty$ as $\|u\| \to \infty$ by (iii) because $\alpha < p-1$, $\beta < p$, and the norm $\|u\| = (\|\bar{u}\|^p + \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is an equivalent norm on $W_T^{1,p}$. Now we write $\varphi(u) = \varphi_1(u) + \varphi_2(u)$ where

$$\varphi_1(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T \|\dot{u}(t)\|^p dt \text{ and } \varphi_2(u) = \int_0^T F(t, u(t)) dt.$$

The function φ_1 is weakly lower semi-continuous (w.l.s.c.) on $W_T^{1,p}$. From (i), (ii) and Theorem 7, taking to account Remark 3 and Proposition 5, it follows that φ_2 is w.l.s.c. on $W_T^{1,p}$. By Theorem 1.1 in [2] it follows that φ has a minimum u_0 on $W_T^{1,p}$. Evidently $Z \simeq W_T^{1,p}$ and $\varphi(u) = f(u,v)$ for all $(u,v) \in Z$. From Theorem 8, it results that f is uniformly Lipschitz on bounded subsets of Z, and therefore φ possesses the same properties relative to $W_T^{1,p}$. Proposition 2.3.2 in [1] implies that $0 \in \partial \varphi(u_0)$ (so that u_0 is critical point for φ). Now from Theorem 8 and Remark 4 it follows that the problem (2) has at least one solution $u \in W_T^{1,p}$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let (u_k) be a minimizing sequence of φ . It follows from (iv), (v), Lebourg's mean value theorem and Proposition 4 that

$$\begin{split} \varphi(u_k) &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p}^p + \int_0^T \langle h(t), u_k(t) \rangle dt + \int_0^T \gamma(t) dt + \\ &+ \int_0^T F_2(t, \bar{u}_k) dt - \int_0^T \|\zeta\| \|\tilde{u}_k(t)\| dt \geq \frac{1}{p} \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p}^p - \\ &- \|\tilde{u}_k\|_{\infty} \int_0^T \|h(t)\| dt + \int_0^T \gamma(t) dt - c_1 \|\tilde{u}_k\|_{\infty} + c_0 \geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p}^p - c_2 \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p} - c_3 \end{split}$$

for all k and some constants c_2 , c_3 , which implies that (\tilde{u}_k) is bounded. On the other hand, in a way similar to the proof of Theorem 1, one has

$$\left| \int_0^T [F_2(t, u(t)) - F_2(t, \bar{u})] dt \right| \le \frac{1}{2p} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p + C_1 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}$$

for all k and some positive constant C_1 , which implies that

$$\varphi(u_k) \ge \frac{1}{p} \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p}^p + \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^T F_1(t, \lambda \bar{u}_k) dt - \int_0^T F_1(t, -\tilde{u}_k(t)) dt + \int_0^T F_2(t, \bar{u}_k) dt + \int_0^T [F_2(t, u(t)) - F_2(t, \bar{u}_k)] dt \ge$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{2p} \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p}^p - a(\|\tilde{u}_k\|_{\infty}) \int_0^T b(t) dt - C_1 \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p} + \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^T F_1(t, \lambda \bar{u}_k) dt + \int_0^T F_2(t, \bar{u}_k) dt$$

for all k and some positive constant C_1 . It follows from (vi) and the boundedness of (\tilde{u}_k) that (\bar{u}_k) is bounded. Hence φ has a bounded minimizing sequence (u_k) . Now Theorem 2 follows like Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 3. From (vii), (viii) and Proposition 4 it follows that

$$\begin{split} \varphi(u) &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p + \int_0^T \langle h(t), u(t) \rangle dt + \\ &+ \int_0^T \gamma(t) dt + \int_0^T F_2(t, \bar{u}) dt + \int_0^T [F_2(t, u(t)) - F_2(t, \bar{u})] dt \geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2p} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p - \|\tilde{u}\|_{\infty} \int_0^T \|h(t)\| dt + \\ &+ \int_0^T \gamma(t) dt - C_1 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^{\alpha+1} - C_2 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p} + \int_0^T F_2(t, \bar{u}) dt - C_3 \|\bar{u}\|^{q\alpha} \geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2p} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p - C_1 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^{\alpha+1} - C_4 (\|\dot{u}\|_{L^p} + 1) + \|\bar{u}\|^{q\alpha} \Big[\frac{1}{\|\bar{u}\|^{q\alpha}} \int_0^T F_2(t, \bar{u}) dt - C_3 \Big] \end{split}$$

for all $u \in W_T^{1,p}$ and some positive constants C_1 , C_3 and C_4 . Now follows like in the proof of Theorem 1 that φ is coercive by (ix), which completes the proof.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Centre de Recerca Matemàtica for the hospitality and facilities for doing this work.

References

- [1] F.H. Clarke, Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis, SIAM, Classics in Applied Mathematics vol.5, Philadelphia, 1990.
- [2] J. Mawhin and M. Willem Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1989.
- [3] Daniel Paşca Periodic Solutions for Second Order Differential Inclusions, Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis, vol. 6, nr. 4 (1999) 91-98.
- [4] Daniel Paşca Periodic Solutions for Second Order Differential Inclusions with Sublinear Nonlinearity, PanAmerican Mathematical Journal, vol. 10, nr. 4 (2000) 35-45.
- [5] Daniel Paşca Periodic Solutions of a Class of Non-autonomous Second Order Differential Inclusions Systems, Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 6, nr. 3 (2001) 151-161.
- [6] Chun-Lei Tang Periodic Solutions of Non-autonomous Second-Order Systems with γ-Quasisubadditive Potential, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 189 (1995), 671–675.
- [7] Chun-Lei Tang Periodic Solutions of Non-autonomous Second Order Systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 202 (1996), 465–469.
- [8] Chun-Lei Tang Periodic Solutions for Nonautonomous Second Order Systems with Sublinear Nonlinearity, Proc. AMS, vol. 126, nr. 11 (1998), 3263–3270.
- [9] Chun-Lei Tang Existence and Multiplicity of Periodic Solutions of Nonautonomous Second Order Systems, Nonlinear Analysis, vol. 32, nr. 3 (1998), 299–304.
- [10] Xing-Ping Wu Periodic Solutions for Nonautonomous Second-Order Systems with Bounded Nonlinearity, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 230 (1999), 135–141.
- [11] Xing-Ping Wu and Chun-Lei Tang Periodic Solutions of a Class of Non-autonomous Second-Order Systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 236 (1999), 227–235.
- [12] Chun-Lei Tang and Xing-Ping Wu Periodic Solutions for Second Order Systems with Not Uniformly Coercive Potential, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 259 (2001), 386–397.
- [13] Jian Ma and Chun-Lei Tang Periodic Solutions for Some Nonautonomous Second-Order Systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 275 (2002), 482–494.
- [14] Chun-Lei Tang and Xing-Ping Wu Notes on Periodic Solutions of Subquadratic Second Order Systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 285 (2003), 8–16.
- [15] Xing-Ping Wu, Chun-Lei Tang Periodic Solutions of Nonautonomous Second-Order Hamiltonian Systems with Even-Typed Potentials, Nonlinear Analysis 55 (2003), 759–769.
- [16] Fukun Zhao and Xian Wu Saddle Point Reduction Method for Some Non-autonomous Second Order Systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 291 (2004), 653–665.
- [17] Chun-Lei Tang, Xing-Ping Wu Subharmonic Solutions for Nonautonomous Second Order Hamiltonian Systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 304 (2005), 383–393.

Permanent address: Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Oradea, University Street 1, 410087 Oradea, Romania

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: dpasca@uoradea.ro}$

Current address: Centre de Recerca Matemàtica, 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain

E-mail address: dpasca@crm.es