

A CONJECTURE OF BAVULA ON HOMOMORPHISMS OF WEYL ALGEBRA

LEONID MAKAR-LIMANOV

ABSTRACT. In the paper *The inversion formulae for automorphisms of polynomial algebras and differential operators in prime characteristic*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 212 (2008), no. 10, 2320–2337, see also Arxiv:math.RA/0604477, Vladimir Bavula states the following Conjecture:

(BC) Any endomorphism of a Weyl algebra (in a finite characteristic case) is a monomorphism.

The purpose of this preprint is to prove BC for A_1 , show that BC is wrong for A_n when $n > 1$, and prove an analogue of BC for symplectic Poisson algebras.

The Weyl algebra A_n is an algebra over a field F generated by $2n$ elements $x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_n$ which satisfy relations $[x_i, y_j](= x_i y_j - y_j x_i) = \delta_{ij}$, $[x_i, x_j] = 0$, $[y_i, y_j] = 0$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol and $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Weyl algebras appeared quite some time ago and initially were considered only over the fields of characteristic zero. Arguably the most famous algebraic problem related to these algebras is the Dixmier conjecture (see [D]) that any homomorphism of A_n is an automorphism if $\text{char}(F) = 0$. This problem is still open even for $n = 1$.

The finite characteristic case is certainly less popular but lately appears to attract more attention because it helps to connect questions related to the Weyl algebras and to the polynomial rings, e.g. to connect the famous Jacobian Conjecture with the Dixmier conjecture (see [T1], [BK], and [AE]). There is a striking difference between the zero characteristic and the finite characteristic cases. While for characteristic zero the center of A_n is just the ground field and A_n is infinite-dimensional over the center, when characteristic is not zero the center of A_n is a polynomial ring in $2n$ generators and A_n is a finite-dimensional free module over the center.

Supported by an NSA grant.

For the readers convenience the preprint contains the proofs of all necessary lemmas eliminating the need to look for them elsewhere.

A vector space B with two bilinear operations $x \cdot y$ (a multiplication) and $\{x, y\}$ (a Poisson bracket) is called a *Poisson algebra* if B is a commutative associative algebra under $x \cdot y$, B is a Lie algebra under $\{x, y\}$, and B satisfies the Leibniz identity: $\{x, y \cdot z\} = \{x, y\} \cdot z + y \cdot \{x, z\}$.

Here we will be concerned with symplectic (Poisson) algebras S_n . For each n algebra S_n is a polynomial algebra $F[x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_n]$ with the Poisson bracket defined by $\{x_i, y_j\} = \delta_{ij}$, $\{x_i, x_j\} = 0$, $\{y_i, y_j\} = 0$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol and $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Hence $\{f, g\} = \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \right)$.

To distinguish S_n and A_n we will write S_n as $F\{x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n\}$. One can think about S_n as a commutative approximation of A_n (and of A_n as a quantization of S_n).

It is clear that S_n is a polynomial algebra with some additional structure. It is less clear what is A_n . Of course, we can think about a Weyl algebra as a factor algebra of a free associative algebra by the ideal I which corresponds to the relations, but it is not obvious even that $1 \notin I$ so the resulting factor algebra may be the zero algebra.

Lemma on basis. Monomials $y_1^{j_1} x_1^{i_1} \dots y_n^{j_n} x_n^{i_n}$ form a basis \mathcal{P} of A_n over F .

Proof. Any monomial μ in A_n (which in this consideration may be the zero algebra) can be written as a product $\mu = \mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_n$ where μ_i is a monomial of x_i, y_i since different pairs (x_j, y_j) commute. Furthermore, since $x_i y_i = y_i x_i + 1$ any monomial in x_i, y_i can be written as a linear combination of monomials $y_i^k x_i^l$ with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} or in \mathbb{Z}_p depending on characteristic of F .

It remains to show that the monomials in \mathcal{P} are linearly independent over F . This can be done by finding a homomorphic image of A_n in which the images of monomials from \mathcal{P} are linearly independent.

If $\text{char} F = 0$ there is a natural representation of A_n . Consider homomorphisms X_i and Y_j of $R = F[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ defined by $X_i(r) = \frac{\partial r}{\partial y_i}$ and $Y_j(r) = y_j r$. A straightforward computation shows that $\alpha(x_i) = X_i$, $\alpha(y_j) = Y_j$ defines a homomorphism of A_n into the ring of homomorphisms of R and that the images of monomials from \mathcal{P} are linearly independent. Unfortunately this representation is not satisfactory when $\text{char} F = p \neq 0$: it is easy to see that $X_i^p = 0$.

Here is a way to remedy the problem. Consider $R_n = R[z_1, \dots, z_n]$, and homomorphisms X_i, Y_j , and Z_k of R_n defined by $X_i(r) = \frac{\partial r}{\partial y_i}$, $Y_j(r) = y_j r$

and $Z_k(r) = z_k r$ for $r \in R_n$. Since Z_k commute with X_i and Y_j , if we replace X_i by $\widehat{X}_i = X_i + Z_i$ then $[\widehat{X}_i, Y_j] = [X_i, Y_j]$ and $[\widehat{X}_i, \widehat{X}_j] = 0$. Now, for $\sigma = \sum f_{ij} Y_1^{j_1} \widehat{X}_1^{i_1} \dots Y_n^{j_n} \widehat{X}_n^{i_n}$ we have $\sigma(1) = \sum f_{ij} y_1^{j_1} z_1^{i_1} \dots y_n^{j_n} z_n^{i_n}$, which is zero only if all $f_{ij} = 0$. \square

Let us call the presentation of an element $a \in A_n$ through the basis \mathcal{P} *standard*. Further we will use only the standard presentations of elements of A_n . So A_n is isomorphic to a corresponding polynomial ring as a vector space.

Remark 1. A_n is a domain (does not have zero divisors). To see it consider a degree-lexicographic ordering of monomials in \mathcal{P} first by the total degree and then by $y_1 \gg x_1 \gg y_2 \gg x_2 \dots \gg y_n \gg x_n$. Then the commutation relations of A_n give $|fg| = ||f||g||$ where $|h|$ for $h \in A_n \setminus 0$ denotes the largest monomial appearing in h . \square

If $\text{char}(F) = 0$ then BC is very easy to prove (and is well-known) both for A_n and S_n . Suppose that φ has a non-zero kernel. Let us take a non-zero element in the kernel of φ of the smallest total degree deg possible. It is clear that $\text{deg}(ab) = \text{deg}(a) + \text{deg}(b)$ for $a, b \in A_n$ because of the commutation relations. Consider S_n first. If Λ is a “minimal” element of the kernel then $\{x_i, \Lambda\} = \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial y_i}$ and $\{y_i, \Lambda\} = -\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial x_i}$ should be identically zero because of the minimality of Λ . So $\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial y_i} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial x_i} = 0$ for all i . If $\text{char}(F) = 0$ it means that $\Lambda \in F$. But our homomorphism is over F , so $\Lambda = 0$. Similar proof works for A_n where the elements $[x_i, \Lambda]$ and $[y_i, \Lambda]$ should be identically zero which again shows that $\Lambda = 0$.

From now on in this preprint we assume that $\text{char}(F) = p \neq 0$.

Let us start with purely computational observations.

A straightforward computation shows that $[ab, c] = [a, c]b + a[b, c]$. Therefore $[x_1^{k+1}, y_1] = [x_1^k, y_1]x_1 + x_1^k[x_1, y_1]$ and since $[x_1, y_1] = 1$ induction on k gives $[x_1^k, y_1] = kx_1^{k-1}$. Similarly, $[x_1, y_1^k] = ky_1^{k-1}$ and index 1 can be replaced by any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Denote $[a, b]$ by $\text{ad}_a(b)$. We will use the following equality: $\text{ad}_a^p(B) = \text{ad}_{a^p}(b)$. In order to prove it observe that $\text{ad}_a(b) = (a_l - a_r)(b)$ where a_l and a_r are the operators of left and right multiplication by a . It is clear that a_l and a_r commute. So $\text{ad}_a^p(b) = (a_l - a_r)^p(b) = (a_l^p - a_r^p)(b) = \text{ad}_{a^p}(b)$.

Lemma on center. (a) The center $Z(A_n)$ of $A_n = F[x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_n]$ is the polynomial ring $F[x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p; y_1^p, \dots, y_n^p]$.

(b) The Poisson center of $S_n = F\{x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ is the polynomial ring $F[x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p; y_1^p, \dots, y_n^p]$.

Proof. (a) Consider x_1^p . It is clear from the definition of A_n that x_1^p commutes with all generators with a possible exception of y_1 . As we observed above, $[x_1, y_1] = 1$ implies $[x_1^k, y_1] = kx_1^{k-1}$. So $[x_1^p, y_1] = px_1^{p-1} = 0$ and x_1^p is in the center of A_n . Similarly all x_j^p and y_j^p are in the center and $Z(A_n) \supseteq E$ where $E = F[x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p; y_1^p, \dots, y_n^p]$.

Any element $a \in A_n$ can be written as $a = \sum c_{i,j} y_1^{j_1} x_1^{i_1} \dots y_n^{j_n} x_n^{i_n} = a_0 + \sigma$ where $0 \leq i_s < p$ and $0 \leq j_s < p$, $c_{i,j} \in E$, $a_0 \in E$, and σ is the sum of all monomials of a which do not belong to E . If $a \in Z(A_n)$ then $[x_1, a] = 0$. Now, $[x_1, y_1^{j_1} x_1^{i_1} \dots y_n^{j_n} x_n^{i_n}] = [x_1, y_1^{j_1}] x_1^{i_1} \dots y_n^{j_n} x_n^{i_n} = j_1 y_1^{j_1-1} x_1^{i_1} \dots y_n^{j_n} x_n^{i_n}$ and we have similar formulae when x_1 is replaced by any x_i or y_j . So if one of the monomials in σ is not zero we can take the commutator of a with an appropriate x_i or y_j and obtain a non-trivial linear dependence between monomials of \mathcal{P} contrary to Lemma on basis.

(b) An element a belongs to the Poisson center $Z(\mathcal{A})$ of a Poisson algebra \mathcal{A} if $\{a, b\} = 0$ for all $b \in \mathcal{A}$. If $f \in Z(S_n)$ then $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = \{f, y_i\} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i} = \{x_i, f\} = 0$ for all i which is possible only if $f \in F[x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p; y_1^p, \dots, y_n^p]$. \square

Nousiainen Lemma. Let φ be a homomorphism of A_n or S_n correspondingly. Then (a) $A_n = Z(A_n)[\varphi(x_1), \dots, \varphi(x_n); \varphi(y_1), \dots, \varphi(y_n)]$;

(b) $S_n = Z(S_n)[\varphi(x_1), \dots, \varphi(x_n); \varphi(y_1), \dots, \varphi(y_n)]$.

Proof. (a) Let $E = F[x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p; y_1^p, \dots, y_n^p]$. From Lemma on center $Z(A_n) = E$. Algebra A_n is a finite-dimensional module over E since any element $a \in A_n$ can be written as $a = \sum c_{i,j} y_1^{j_1} x_1^{i_1} \dots y_n^{j_n} x_n^{i_n}$ where $0 \leq i_s < p$, $0 \leq j_s < p$, and $c_{i,j} \in E$. Let $K = F(x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p; y_1^p, \dots, y_n^p)$ be the field of fractions of E and let $D_n = K[x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_n]$. Algebra D_n is a skew-field since D_n is a finite-dimensional vector space over K and D_n does not have zero divisors according to Remark 1. (Recall that $x_i^p, y_j^p \in K$, so any $f \in D_n$ satisfies a non-zero relation $\sum_{i=0}^N k_i f^i = 0$ where $k_i \in K$ and $N \leq p^{2n}$.)

Monomials $y_1^{j_1} x_1^{i_1} \dots y_n^{j_n} x_n^{i_n}$ where $0 \leq i_s < p$, $0 \leq j_s < p$ are linearly independent over K . Indeed, if $\Lambda = \sum c_{i,j} y_1^{j_1} x_1^{i_1} \dots y_n^{j_n} x_n^{i_n} = 0$ where $c_{i,j} \in K$ then $[x_m, \Lambda] = [y_m, \Lambda] = 0$ and we can obtain from a non-trivial dependence Λ a "smaller" one. So we can invoke induction on, e.g. the sum of the total degrees of monomials in Λ .

Since any element $a \in D_n$ can be written as $a = \sum c_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} y_1^{j_1} x_1^{i_1} \dots y_n^{j_n} x_n^{i_n}$ where $0 \leq i_s < p$, $0 \leq j_s < p$, and $c_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} \in K$, the dimension of D_n over K is p^{2n} .

Consider now monomials $v_1^{j_1} u_1^{i_1} \dots v_n^{j_n} u_n^{i_n}$ where $u_i = \varphi(x_i)$, $v_j = \varphi(y_j)$, $0 \leq i_s < p$, and $0 \leq j_s < p$. Let us check that they are also linearly independent over K . If $\Lambda = \sum c_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} v_1^{j_1} u_1^{i_1} \dots v_n^{j_n} u_n^{i_n} = 0$ then $[u_m, \Lambda] = [v_m, \Lambda] = 0$ and, since the commutation relations are the same as above, we obtain from a non-trivial dependence Λ a “smaller” one.

Since there is exactly p^{2n} of these monomials, they also form a basis of D_n over K and any element $a \in A_n \subset D_n$ can be written as $a = \sum c_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} v_1^{j_1} u_1^{i_1} \dots v_n^{j_n} u_n^{i_n}$ where $c_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} \in K$.

It remains to show that all $c_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} \in E$. Order monomials $v_1^{j_1} u_1^{i_1} \dots v_n^{j_n} u_n^{i_n}$ degree-lexicographically as in Remark 1. Let $\mu = v_1^{j_1} u_1^{i_1} \dots v_n^{j_n} u_n^{i_n}$ be the largest monomial. Then $\text{ad}_{v_n}^{i_n} \text{ad}_{u_n}^{j_n} \dots \text{ad}_{v_1}^{i_1} \text{ad}_{u_1}^{j_1} (a) = (-1)^I \prod_{m=1}^n (i_m)! (j_m)! c_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}$ where $I = \sum_{m=1}^n i_m$ belongs to A_n . Since $(-1)^I \prod_{m=1}^n (i_m)! (j_m)! \neq 0$ we conclude that $c_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} \in A_n \cap K = E$, replace a by $a - c_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} \mu$, and finish by induction on the number of monomials of a .

(b) Let T_n be the field of rational functions $F(x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_n)$ endowed with the same bracket as S_n : $\{f, g\} = \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \right)$. Then T_n becomes a Poisson algebra and we can think of S_n as a subalgebra of T_n . It is clear that $Z(T_n) = F(x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p; y_1^p, \dots, y_n^p)$ and that T_n is a p^{2n} -dimensional vector space over $Z(T_n)$.

Denote $u_i = \varphi(x_i)$, $v_i = \varphi(y_i)$. To show that monomials $v_1^{j_1} u_1^{i_1} \dots v_n^{j_n} u_n^{i_n}$ where $0 \leq i_s < p$ and $0 \leq j_s < p$ are linearly independent over $Z(T_n)$ we, as above, can consider a “minimal” relation $\Lambda = \sum c_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} v_1^{j_1} u_1^{i_1} \dots v_n^{j_n} u_n^{i_n} = 0$ and get “smaller” relations by taking $\{u_m, \Lambda\}$ and $\{v_m, \Lambda\}$.

If $a \in S_n$ is presented as $a = \sum c_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} v_1^{j_1} u_1^{i_1} \dots v_n^{j_n} u_n^{i_n}$ where $0 \leq i_s < p$, $0 \leq j_s < p$, and $c_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} \in Z(T_n)$ then all $c_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} \in Z(S_n)$; to see it just replace in the considerations above ad_z by Ad_z defined by $\text{Ad}_z(b) = \{z, b\}$. \square

Corollary. There are no homomorphisms from A_n into A_{n-1} .

Proof. Assume that we have a homomorphism $\phi : A_n \rightarrow A_{n-1}$. Consider images $u_i = \phi(x_i)$ and $v_i = \phi(y_i)$. According to Nousiainen Lemma A_{n-1} is a vector space over the center of A_{n-1} with a basis consisting of monomials $v_1^{j_1} u_1^{i_1} \dots v_{n-1}^{j_{n-1}} u_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}$, $0 \leq i_s < p$, $0 \leq j_s < p$. Therefore u_n and v_n are in the center of A_{n-1} and hence commute with each other. \square

Remark 2. This Lemma is similar to a lemma from Pekka Nousiainen’s PhD thesis (Pennsylvania State University, 1981) which was never

published (see [BCW]). Nousiainen proved his Lemma in a commutative setting for a Jacobian set of polynomials, i. e. he proved that if $z_1, \dots, z_n \in F[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ and the Jacobian $J(z_1, \dots, z_n) = 1$ then $F[y_1, \dots, y_n] = F[y_1^p, \dots, y_n^p; z_1, \dots, z_n]$. This shows immediately that $F[y_1, \dots, y_n] = F[y_1^P, \dots, y_n^P; z_1, \dots, z_n]$ where $P = p^m$ and m is a natural number. Indeed, if say $y_1 = \sum c_i z_1^{i_1} \dots z_n^{i_n}$ where $c_i \in F[y_1^p, \dots, y_n^p]$ then $y_1^p = \sum c_i^p (z_1^{i_1} \dots z_n^{i_n})^p$ and $c_i^p \in F[y_1^{p^2}, \dots, y_n^{p^2}]$.

The same is true for the symplectic algebras. But it is wrong even for A_1 .

Take e.g. $u = x$, $v = y^2x - y$ when $p = 2$. Then $u^2 = x^2$, $v^2 = y^4x^2$ and $D_1 \neq F(x^4, y^4)[u, v]$ since u^2 and v^2 are linearly dependent over $F(x^4, y^4)$. Here, of course, $A_1 \neq F[x^4, y^4; u, v]$.

Nousiainen Lemma for Weyl algebras is proved in [T2] and [AE]. \square

Theorem 1. BC is true for Poisson algebras S_n .

Proof. In Nousiainen Lemma we proved that $S_n = Z(S_n)[u_1, \dots, u_n; v_1, \dots, v_n]$ where $u_i = \varphi(x_i)$, $v_i = \varphi(y_i)$. So $a = \sum c_{i,j} v_1^{j_1} u_1^{i_1} \dots v_n^{j_n} u_n^{i_n}$ where $c_{i,j} \in Z(S_n) = F[x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p; y_1^p, \dots, y_n^p]$ for any $a \in S_n$. Therefore

$$a^p = \sum c_{i,j}^p v_1^{pj_1} u_1^{pi_1} \dots v_n^{pj_n} u_n^{pi_n} \text{ where } c_{i,j}^p \in F[x_1^{p^2}, \dots, x_n^{p^2}; y_1^{p^2}, \dots, y_n^{p^2}].$$

Hence

$$F[x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p; y_1^p, \dots, y_n^p] \subset F[x_1^{p^2}, \dots, x_n^{p^2}; y_1^{p^2}, \dots, y_n^{p^2}] \\ [u_1^p, \dots, u_n^p; v_1^p, \dots, v_n^p]$$

and $a = \sum d_{i,j} v_1^{j_1} u_1^{i_1} \dots v_n^{j_n} u_n^{i_n}$ where

$$d_{i,j} \in F[x_1^{p^2}, \dots, x_n^{p^2}; y_1^{p^2}, \dots, y_n^{p^2}][u_1^p, \dots, u_n^p; v_1^p, \dots, v_n^p].$$

So $S_n = F[x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p; y_1^p, \dots, y_n^p][u_1, \dots, u_n; v_1, \dots, v_n]$. By iterating this process we will get that $S_n = F[x_1^P, \dots, x_n^P; y_1^P, \dots, y_n^P][u_1, \dots, u_n; v_1, \dots, v_n]$ where $P = p^m$ for any positive integer m .

It is clear that $u_i^P, v_j^P \in F[x_1^P, \dots, x_n^P; y_1^P, \dots, y_n^P]$ so S_n is spanned over $F[x_1^P, \dots, x_n^P; y_1^P, \dots, y_n^P]$ by monomials $v_1^{j_1} u_1^{i_1} \dots v_n^{j_n} u_n^{i_n}$ where $0 \leq i_s < P$, $0 \leq j_s < P$. Of course, S_n is spanned over $F[x_1^P, \dots, x_n^P; y_1^P, \dots, y_n^P]$ by monomials $y_1^{j_1} x_1^{i_1} \dots y_n^{j_n} x_n^{i_n}$ where $0 \leq i_s < P$, $0 \leq j_s < P$ and these monomials are linearly independent over $F[x_1^P, \dots, x_n^P; y_1^P, \dots, y_n^P]$.

So $F[x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_n]$ is a free module over $F[x_1^P, \dots, x_n^P; y_1^P, \dots, y_n^P]$ of dimension P^{2n} .

If φ is not an injection then there is a linear dependence over F between monomials $v_1^{j_1} u_1^{i_1} \dots v_n^{j_n} u_n^{i_n}$ where $0 \leq i_s < P$, $0 \leq j_s < P$ provided P is sufficiently large. But it is impossible since these monomials are linearly

independent over $F[x_1^P, \dots, x_n^P; y_1^P, \dots, y_n^P]$. \square

We prove now using Gelfand-Kirillov dimension that a homomorphism of A_1 into A_n is an embedding. Here is a definition of the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension (GKdim) suitable for our purpose. Let R be a finitely-generated associative algebra over F : $R = F[r_1, \dots, r_m]$. Consider a free associative algebra $F_m = F\langle z_1, \dots, z_m \rangle$ and linear subspaces $F_{m,N}$ of all elements of F_m with the total degree at most N . Let α be a homomorphism of F_m onto R defined by $\alpha(z_i) = r_i$ and let $R_N = \alpha(F_{m,N})$. Each R_N is a finite-dimensional vector space (over F); denote $d_N = \dim(R_N)$.

$$\text{GKdim}(R) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(d_N)}{\ln(N)}.$$

Though this definition uses a particular system of generators, it is possible to prove that $\text{GKdim}(R)$ does not depend on such a choice (see [GK] or [KL]). It is not difficult to show that in the commutative case Gelfand-Kirillov dimension coincides with the transcendence degree.

Lemma on GK-dimension. Let $R = F[z_1, \dots, z_m]$ be a ring of polynomials. If $a, b \in R$ are algebraically dependent then $\text{GKdim}(S) \leq 1$ for any finitely generated subalgebra $S \subset A = F[a, b]$.

Proof. If $a, b \in F$ then $F[a, b] = F$ and any subalgebra of A is F . So in this case $\text{GKdim}(S) = 0$. Assume now that $a \notin F$, i. e. $\deg(a) > 0$. If $\deg(b) = 0$ then $A = F[a]$ and $d_N = N + 1$ where $d_N = \dim(A_N)$, so $\text{GKdim}(A) = 1$. Let S be a subalgebra of A generated by a_1, \dots, a_m . Then $a_i = q_i(a)$ where q_i are polynomials. Assume that degrees of all these polynomials do not exceed d . Therefore a polynomial $g(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ of the total degree N can be rewritten as a polynomial in a of degree at most dN . Hence $N < d_N \leq dN + 1$ if any of a_i is not in F and $\text{GKdim}(S) = 1$. If all $a_i \in F$ then $\text{GKdim}(S) = 0$.

Now, let $\deg(b) > 0$. Since a and b are algebraically dependent, $Q(a, b) = 0$ for a non-zero polynomial Q . Order monomials $a^i b^j$ by the total degree $i + j$ and then lexicographically by $a \gg b$. If $\mu = a^k b^l$ is the largest monomial in Q we can write $\mu = Q_1(a, b)$ where all monomials of Q_1 are less than μ . So we can replace any monomial $\nu = a^i b^j$ where $i \geq k, j \geq l$ by a linear combination of monomials which are less than ν . Hence any $c \in A$ of the total degree at most N can be written as a linear combination of monomials $a^i b^j$ where $i + j \leq N$ and either $i < k$ or $j < l$. There is less than $(k + l)(N + 1)$ and more than N monomials satisfying these properties. Therefore $N < d_N < (k + l)(N + 1)$ and $\text{GKdim}(A) = 1$. If S is a subalgebra of A generated by a_1, \dots, a_m then $a_i = q_i(a, b)$ where

q_i are polynomials of the total degrees bounded by some d . If we take a polynomial $g(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ of the total degree N then $g(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ can be rewritten as a polynomial in a and b of the degree at most dN . Therefore $N < d_N \leq (k+l)(dN+1)$ if any of a_i is not in F and $\text{GKdim}(S) = 1$. If all $a_i \in F$ then $\text{GKdim}(S) = 0$. \square

Denote by \deg the total degree function on A_n and by \bar{a} the element of A which is \deg homogeneous and such that $\deg(a - \bar{a}) < \deg(a)$. We will refer to \bar{a} as the leading form of a . From the commutation relations in A_n it follows that $\overline{ab} = \bar{b}\bar{a}$ and that $\deg(\overline{[a, b]}) < \deg(\overline{ab})$.

We will think about leading forms not as elements of A_n but rather as commutative polynomials. Then $\overline{ab} = \overline{a}\bar{b} = \bar{b}\bar{a}$.

Lemma on dependence. Let a and b be algebraically dependent non-zero homogeneous polynomials and $q = \deg(a)$, $r = \deg(b)$. Then a^r and b^q are proportional, i. e. there exists an $f \in F$ so that $a^r - fb^q = 0$.

Proof. Polynomials a and b are algebraically dependent, so there is a non-zero polynomial Q for which $Q(a, b) = \sum_{i,j} f_{ij} a^i b^j = 0$. Since a and b are homogeneous we may assume that $qi + rj$ is the same for all monomials of Q . Indeed, any Q can be presented as $Q = \sum_i Q_k$ where Q_k are q, r -homogeneous. Then either $Q_k(a, b) = 0$ or $\deg(Q_k(a, b)) = k$ and different components cannot cancel out.

Therefore over an algebraic closure \bar{F} of F we can write $Q = f_0 a^k b^l \prod_i (a^{r'} - f_i b^{q'})$ where $f_i \in \bar{F}$, $r' = \frac{r}{d}$, $q' = \frac{q}{d}$, and d is the greatest common divisor of r and q . Hence $a^{r'} - f_i b^{q'} = 0$ for some $f_i \in \bar{F}$. But then $a^{r'} b^{-q'} \in F$ since it is a constant rational function defined over F and $a^r b^{-q} = (a^{r'} b^{-q'})^d \in F$. \square

Lemma on independence. Let φ be a homomorphism of A_1 into A_n . Then the image of A_1 contains two elements with algebraically independent leading forms.

Proof. Let $u = \varphi(x)$ and $v = \varphi(y)$ where x and y are generators of A_1 and let $B = F[u, v]$ be the image of A_1 in A_n . If \bar{u} and \bar{v} are independent, we are done. If not, then by Lemma on dependence there exists a pair of relatively prime natural numbers (q, r) and $f \in F$ such that $\overline{u^q} = f\overline{v^r}$. Either q or r is not divisible by p . For arguments sake assume that it is q .

We can find k for which $kp + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$, $f_1 \in F$ and a positive integer s so that $\overline{u^{kp+1}} = f_1 \overline{v^s}$. Let us replace the pair (u, v) by the pair $(u_1 = u^{kp+1} - f_1 v^s, v_1 = v)$. The commutator $[u_1, v_1] = u^{kp}$ is a non-zero

element of the center $Z(B)$ of B . If $\overline{u_1}$ and $\overline{v_1}$ are independent we are done, otherwise repeat the step above to get (u_2, v_2) , etc.. We claim that after a finite number of steps we produce a pair of elements of B with independent leading forms.

Consider a function $\text{def}(a, b) = \deg(ab) - \deg([a, b])$ on A_n . Let us check that $\text{def}(u_{i+1}, v_{i+1}) < \text{def}(u_i, v_i)$. We will do it for the first step since the computations are the same for every step.

Since $\overline{u^{kp+1}} = f_1 \overline{v^s}$ we see that $\deg(u_1) < (kp+1) \deg(u)$. So $\text{def}(u_1, v_1) = \deg(u_1 v_1) - \deg([u^{kp+1} - f v^r, v]) = \deg(u_1) + \deg(v) - kp \deg(u) - \deg([u, v]) < \deg(u) + \deg(v) - \deg([u, v]) = \text{def}(u, v)$ since $[u^{kp+1} - f v^r, v] = u^{kp} [u, v]$ and $\deg(u_1) < (kp+1) \deg(u)$.

By definition, $\text{def}(a, b) > 0$ if both a and b are not zero, so after at most $\text{def}(u, v)$ steps we either get a pair with zero element or a pair $U, V \in B$ with independent U and V . Since $[u, v] = 1$ the pair we start with does not contain zero. Similarly, since $[u_i, v_i] \neq 0$ we cannot get a pair with zero element. \square

We can now see that $\text{GKdim}(B) \geq 2$. Indeed, U and V are “polynomials” of u and v and we may assume that the degrees of these polynomials are at most d . Then the space of all polynomials in u, v of degree at most N contains all polynomials in U, V of degree at most $\frac{N}{d}$. Since \overline{U} and \overline{V} are algebraically independent the leading forms $\overline{U^i V^j}$ are linearly independent over F and hence $U^i V^j$ are linearly independent over F . There is about $\frac{N^2}{2d^2}$ of these monomials with $i + j \leq \frac{N}{d}$ (exactly $\binom{\lfloor \frac{N}{d} \rfloor + 2}{2}$ where $\lfloor \frac{N}{d} \rfloor$ is the integral part of $\frac{N}{d}$). So the dimension $d_N > \frac{N^2}{2d^2}$ and $\text{GKdim}(B) \geq 2$.

Theorem 2. Let φ be a homomorphism of A_1 into A_n . Then φ is an embedding.

Proof. Let $A_1 = F[x; y]$ and $u = \varphi(x)$, $v = \varphi(y)$. If φ has a non-zero kernel take an element a in the kernel of the smallest total degree possible. Since both $[x, a]$ and $[y, a]$ are also in the kernel of φ and have smaller total degrees, $a \in Z(A_1) = F[x^p, y^p]$. Therefore u^p and v^p are algebraically dependent and by Lemma on GK-dimension $\text{GKdim}(F[u^p, v^p]) \leq 1$ (recall that u^p and v^p commute). But $\text{GKdim}(Z(B)) = \text{GKdim}(B)$ for $B = F[u, v]$. Indeed, $B = \sum u^i v^j Z(B)$ where $0 \leq i, j < p$ by Lemma on center. So $d_N(B) \leq p^2 d_{\frac{N}{p}}(Z(B))$ and $d_N(B) \geq d_{\frac{N}{p}}(Z(B))$. We showed above that $\text{GKdim}(B) \geq 2$. So u^p and v^p are algebraically independent and the kernel of φ consists of zero only. \square

Theorem 2 cannot be extended to A_2 . Take $z = x_1 + y_1^{p-1}x_2$, y_1 , y_2 . Then $[z, y_1] = 1$, $[z, y_2] = y_1^{p-1}$, and $[z^p, y_2] = \text{ad}_z^p(y_2) = \text{ad}_z^{p-1}(y_1^{p-1}) = (p-1)! = -1$. For $u_1 = z + z^p y_1^{p-1}$, $v_1 = y_1$; $u_2 = y_2$, $v_2 = z^p$ the commutation relations of A_2 are satisfied. So ϕ which is defined by $\phi(x_i) = u_i$ and $\phi(y_i) = v_i$ is a homomorphism of A_2 . If $B = \phi(A_2)$ then $B = F[u_1, u_2; v_1, v_2] = F[z, y_1, y_2]$. Hence $u_1^p \in Z(B)$ and $u_1^p \in Z(F[z, y_1]) = F[z^p, y_1^p]$ since $u_1 \in F[z, y_1]$. But u_1^p should commute with $u_2 = y_2$. Therefore $u_1^p \in F[z^{p^2}, y_1^p] = F[v_2^p, v_1^p]$, u_1^p , v_1^p , v_2^p are algebraically dependent, and ϕ has a non-zero kernel.

It is an exercise to check that $\text{GKdim}(B) = 3$. On the other hand, $\text{GKdim}(A_2) = 4$ since $d_N = \binom{N+2n}{2n}$ for A_n which gives $\text{GKdim}(A_n) = 2n$.

A question about possible GK-dimensions of images of A_n under homomorphisms seems reasonable in this setting because clearly the size of the kernel is large when the size of the image is small. Say, $\text{GKdim}(\varphi(A_n)) \leq 2n$ and if φ is an injection then $\text{GKdim}(\varphi(A_n)) = 2n$.

Theorem 3. $\text{GKdim}(\varphi(A_n))$ can be $n + i$ where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ for a homomorphism φ of A_n into A_n .

Proof. Denote $\varphi(A_n)$ by B and by $u_i = \varphi(x_i)$, $v_i = \varphi(y_i)$. It is sufficient to show that $\text{GKdim}(B) = n + 1$ is possible for any n because combining $u_1, \dots, u_m; v_1, \dots, v_m$ of an appropriate map of A_m to A_m with $x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n; y_{m+1}, \dots, y_n$ we will get an image of A_n of GK-dimension $m + 1 + 2(n - m)$.

Now we shall find φ such that $\text{GKdim}(B) = n + 1$.

Consider elements $z_{0,0} = 0$, $z_{m,0} = x_m - y_m^{p-1}z_{m-1,0}$ for $m = 1, \dots, n$, and $z_{m,i} = z_{m,0}^{p^i}$. Then $[z_{i,0}, y_i] = 1$, $[z_{i-k,0}, y_i] = 0$ and $[z_{i-k,0}, x_i] = 0$ if $k > 0$, and $[z_{i,0}, z_{j,0}] = 0$. Therefore $[z_{k,i}, z_{m,j}] = 0$. We can get a relation between $z_{i,j}$ using equality $(yx)^p - yx = y^p x^p$ if $[x, y] = 1$ (observe that $\text{ad}_{yx} = \text{ad}_{(yx)^p}$). Take $y_m z_{m,0} = y_m x_m - y_m^p z_{m-1,0}$. Then the summands is the right side commute and $(y_m z_{m,0})^p = (y_m x_m)^p - y_m^p z_{m-1,0}^p$. So $z_{m,0}^p = y_m^{-p} [(y_m x_m)^p - y_m^p z_{m-1,0}^p - y_m x_m + y_m^p z_{m-1,0}] = y_m^{-p} [y_m^p x_m^p - y_m^p z_{m-1,0}^p + y_m^p z_{m-1,0}] = z_{m-1,0} - y_m^{p(p-1)} z_{m-1,0}^p + x_m^p$, i. e. $z_{m,1} = z_{m-1,0} - y_m^{p(p-1)} z_{m-1,1} + x_m^p$. Since all summands in the right side of this equality commute

$$z_{m,i+1} = z_{m-1,i} - y_m^{p^{i+1}(p-1)} z_{m-1,i+1} + x_m^{p^{i+1}}$$

and

$$z_{m-1,i} = z_{m,i+1} + y_m^{p^{i+1}(p-1)} z_{m-1,i+1} - x_m^{p^{i+1}}.$$

Then by induction we can prove that

$$z_{m-1,i} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-i-2} c_{m-1,i,k} z_{m,i+1+k} + c_{m-1,i}$$

and that

$$z_{m-j,i} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-i-j-1} c_{m-j,i,k} z_{m,i+j+k} + c_{m-j,i}$$

where $c_{i,j}, c_{i,j,k} \in Z(A_n)$. The sums are finite because $z_{m,m} \in Z(A_n)$ (can be proved by induction on m starting with $z_{0,0} = 0$ since $z_{m,m} = z_{m-1,m-1} - y_m^{p^m(p-1)} z_{m-1,m} + x_m^{p^m}$).

Now we can show that all $c_{i,j,k} \in F[y_1^p, \dots, y_n^p]$.

Since $[z_{m,i}, y_j] = [z_{m-1,i-1}, y_j] - y_m^{p^i(p-1)} [z_{m-1,i}, y_j]$, we can deduce by induction on m that $[z_{m,i}, y_j]$ is zero if $j > m-i$, one if $j = m-i$, and belongs to $F[y_1^p, \dots, y_n^p]$ if $j < m-i$. Since $z_{m-j,i} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-i-j-1} c_{m-j,i,k} z_{m,i+j+k} + c_{m-j,i}$ we have $[z_{m-j,i}, y_l] = \sum_{k=0}^{m-i-j-1} c_{m-j,i,k} [z_{m,i+j+k}, y_l]$; this allows using $l = m-j-i, \dots, 1$ to check that all $c_{m-j,i,k} \in F[y_1^p, \dots, y_n^p]$.

All these computations were done to confirm that

$$z_{m,0} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d_{m,k} z_{n,n-m+k} + d_m$$

where $d_m \in Z(A_n)$ and $d_{m,k} \in F[y_1^p, \dots, y_n^p]$.

Recall that $x_m = z_{m,0} + y_m^{p-1} z_{m-1,0}$ and so

$$x_m = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d_{m,k} z_{n,n-m+k} + d_m + y_m^{p-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-2} d_{m-1,k} z_{n,n-m+1+k} + d_{m-1} \right).$$

Therefore

$$u_m = x_m - d_m - y_m^{p-1} d_{m-1} \in B = F[y_1, \dots, y_n; z_{n,0}].$$

Finally, $u_1, \dots, u_n; y_1, \dots, y_n$ define a homomorphism of A_n into B and since any monomial in B can be written as $y_1^{j_1} \dots y_n^{j_n} z_{n,0}^i$ Theorem is proved. \square

By looking at $\varphi(F[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ it is possible to show that $\text{GKdim}(\varphi(A_n)) \geq n$. This and Theorem 2 suggest the following

Conjecture. $\text{GKdim}(\varphi(A_n)) > n$.

REFERENCES

- [AE] Adjamagbo, Pascal Kossivi; van den Essen, Arno A proof of the equivalence of the Dixmier, Jacobian and Poisson conjectures. *Acta Math. Vietnam.* 32 (2007), no. 2-3, 205–214.
- [BCW] Bass, Hyman; Connell, Edwin H.; Wright, David The Jacobian conjecture: reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 7 (1982), no. 2, 287–330.
- [BK] Belov-Kanel, Alexei; Kontsevich, Maxim The Jacobian conjecture is stably equivalent to the Dixmier conjecture. *Mosc. Math. J.* 7 (2007), no. 2, 209–218, 349.
- [D] Dixmier, Jacques Sur les algèbres de Weyl. (French) *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 96 (1968), 209–242.
- [GK] Gelfand, I. M.; Kirillov, A. A. Sur les corps liés aux algèbres enveloppantes des algèbres de Lie. (French) *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* no. 31 (1966), 5–19.
- [KL] Krause, Gnter R.; Lenagan, Thomas H. Growth of algebras and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension. Revised edition. *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, 22. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. x+212 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-0859-1.
- [T1] Tsuchimoto, Yoshifumi Endomorphisms of Weyl algebra and p -curvatures, *Osaka J. Math.* 42 (2005), no. 2, 435–452.
- [T2] Tsuchimoto, Yoshifumi Preliminaries on Dixmier conjecture. *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A Math.* 24 (2003), 43–59.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & COMPUTER SCIENCE
BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY, 52900 RAMAT-GAN ISRAEL AND
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY
DETROIT, MI 48202, USA

E-mail address: `lml@math.wayne.edu`