

Democracy and Citizenship

Code: 42270
ECTS Credits: 10

Degree	Type	Year	Semester
4313335 Political Science	OB	0	1

Contact

Name: Enrique Hernandez Perez
Email: Enrique.Hernandez@uab.cat

Use of languages

Principal working language: english (eng)

Teachers

John Robert Etherington
Eva Ostergaard-Nielsen
Carolina Galais Gonzalez
Enrique Hernandez Perez

External teachers

Camilo Cristancho

Prerequisites

Students are required to have prior training corresponding to a bachelor in Political Science or other closely related subject.

For students new to Political Science, the following texts provide good background for some of themes that will be dealt with in this module:

- Macpherson, C.W. (various editions) *The Life and Times of Liberal Democracy*. Oxford. OUP
- Held, D. (various editions) *Models of Democracy*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Crick, B. (various editions) *In Defence of Politics*.

Objectives and Contextualisation

In many ways, political science can trace its origins back to the attempts by thinkers such as Plato and Aristotle to come to terms with the concepts of democracy and citizenship as they emerged in the Ancient Greek poleis, particularly that of Athens.

While modern liberal democracy functions in quite a different way from its ancient predecessor, the normative, theoretical and empirical preoccupations of the Ancients have continued to inform modern debates on democracy and citizenship, concerned as they are with questions such as regime change, political participation, citizen rights, and institutional arrangements. In addition, other questions, such as the increasing democratic demands of citizens, have become central to debates surrounding the functioning of liberal democratic systems.

The purpose of this module, then, is to present some of the main debates and approaches to understanding liberal democracy and citizenship as these have developed over time in the West, and to this end the module is divided into six parts. The first analyses the historical social, economic and institutional conditions that have allowed liberal democracy to develop and flourish in some places and not in others. The second part deals with the quality of democracy at the aggregate level. In the third, fourth, fifth and sixth parts, we explore some of the challenges faced by contemporary democratic political systems such as corruption, economic performance, external migration, the rise of populism, increasing democratic discontent, and the effects of digital media on citizen engagement.

At the end of the module, students are expected to be able to demonstrate a thorough understanding of a wide range of theoretical, methodological and empirical approaches to the study of themes related to the concepts democracy and citizenship.

Skills

- Analyse the behaviour and political attitudes of the public and the political communication processes in which they are immersed.
- Analyse the main economic, social and political challenges facing contemporary democracies.
- Applied theoretical knowledge acquired from the analysis of real situation and using political analysis generate useful orientations for decision-making.
- Demonstration reading comprehension for specialist texts in English.
- Design and write projects and technical and academic reports autonomously using the appropriate terminology, arguments and analytical tools in each case.
- Possess and understand knowledge that provides a basis or opportunity for originality in the development and/or application of ideas, often in a research context.
- Recognise the complexity of politics today, its diversity and the tensions to which it is exposed, with special emphasis on the Spanish and European contexts.
- Student should possess the learning skills that enable them to continue studying in a way that is largely student led or independent.
- Students should be able to integrate knowledge and face the complexity of making judgements based on information that may be incomplete or limited and includes reflections on the social and ethical responsibilities associated with the application of their knowledge and judgements.
- Understand the design, operation and consequences of the political institutions and their relation to processes of governance.

Learning outcomes

1. Analyse the level of democratic quality in a political system.
2. Analyse the way in which advanced democracies changeover time.
3. Demonstration reading comprehension for specialist texts in English.
4. Describe the characteristics of political culture and identify their explanations and consequences.
5. Design and write projects and technical and academic reports autonomously using the appropriate terminology, arguments and analytical tools in each case.
6. Identify the way in which current phenomena such as globalisation, cultural diversity and the development of new technology affect democratic systems.
7. Identify the debates on public opinion, political communication and democracy.
8. Identify the different dimensions of the concept of democracy, its contradictions and the debates accompanying them.
9. Identify the different factors and variables that may be involved in the appearance, stability and crisis of a democratic system.
10. Identify the different practical implications of the theories of democracy.
11. Identify the importance of specific political, economic and institutional contexts for democratic stability.
12. Identify the modes of political participation, the factors that explain them and their consequences for democracy.
13. Possess and understand knowledge that provides a basis or opportunity for originality in the development and/or application of ideas, often in a research context.

14. Student should possess the learning skills that enable them to continue studying in a way that is largely student led or independent.
15. Students should be able to integrate knowledge and face the complexity of making judgements based on information that may be incomplete or limited and includes reflections on the social and ethical responsibilities associated with the application of their knowledge and judgements.
16. Understand the different conceptions of the concept of citizenship and their implications.
17. Understand the different existing models of democracy, their institutional characteristics and their implications.
18. Understand the tensions between participation and representation, the debates on political disenchantment and the crisis of representation.

Content

Introduction. Democracy and Citizenship: questions new and old (E. Hernández)

The aim of this introductory class is to give an overview of the study of democracy and citizenship in order to provide context for the themes that we shall be studying in this module. The session then presents the different parts of the module, before discussing the formal aspects involved.

Part 1. The Emergence of Liberal Democracy in the West: social, economic and institutional contexts (J. Etherington) (6 sessions).

1. Democracy and Citizenship: conceptual and historical considerations.
2. Capitalism: definition, origins and development.
3. The Social Origins of Liberal Democracy: Barrington Moore and The Social Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy.
4. Considerations on The Social Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy.
5. Capitalism and the Rise of Liberal Democracy.
6. Capitalist Development and Democracy

Part 2. Quality of Democracy (L. Bernardi) (3 sessions).

1. Democracy: Concepts and Measures
2. Democratic Quality: The Procedural Process
3. Democratic Quality: Contents and Results

Part 3. Does Democracy Really Work? The citizens' perspective (E. Hernández) (6 sessions)

1. Studying citizens' attitudes towards democracy: the concept of political support.
2. Support for democracy and growing discontent: Is democracy still the only game in town?
3. A changing paradigm: From allegiant to critical/assertive citizens.
4. The long-term impact of institutions: Historical legacies.
5. The short-term impact of institutional performance: corruption, the economy, and winners and losers.
6. Democratic discontent and the rise of populist and challenger parties: Cause or symptom?

Part 4. Democracy, citizenship and international migration (E. Østergaard) (3 sessions)

1. Migration and citizenship, political incorporation of migrants.
2. Transnational political fields - dual citizenship and external voting rights, transnational party mobilization of diasporas.
3. Democratization and transnational linkages - how processes of democratization are influenced by transnational relations.

Part 5. Democracy and Political Participation (Carol Galais) (4 sessions)

1. Types (modes) of political participation
2. To vote or not to vote. Theories on political participation
3. Protest
4. Attitudes and political participation. Interest, efficacy, trust (support) and duty

Part 6. Citizenship and Digital Media (Camilo Cristancho) (2 sessions)

1. Political engagement and the internet
2. Social media and contentious politics

Methodology

This course emphasizes active student participation in class, tutorials, continuous evaluation through the elaboration and evaluation of assignments related to the different dimensions of the module.

Activities

Title	Hours	ECTS	Learning outcomes
Type: Directed			
Lectures and seminars	63	2.52	1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18
Type: Supervised			
Tutorials	50	2	3, 13, 15
Type: Autonomous			
Preparation of assigned readings	100	4	3, 13
Preparation of course assignments	34.5	1.38	5, 13, 14, 15

Evaluation

In this module, the main emphasis is on continuous assessment in order to ensure that the different dimensions and concepts related to the sessions are taken on board by students as we progress through the module. This is complemented by a final essay. Evaluation is based on the following criteria:

- Capacity to synthesize the relevant literature
- Capacity to critically evaluate the relevant literature
- Coherence of the argument
- Originality
- Formal aspects, with special reference to correct citation and academic style

As noted in the Students Guide, we are committed to avoiding plagiarism, and as such every effort is made to detect and punish such cases. **Anti-plagiarism software will be used to check every submission.**

The evaluation is divided into the following elements:

a) **In-class participation** (10%): Students are expected to have prepared the assigned readings before coming to class and to take an active part in the sessions. **It is compulsory to attend to a minimum of 80% of the sessions in order to pass this module.**

b) **Short essays** (50%): During the term students will write **4 short essays** (max 1200 words each). Students must write one short essay for Part 1 and one short essay for Part 3. For the two remaining essays students can choose for which part they want to write the two remaining short essays (Part 2, Part 4, or Part 5). Please note that it is not possible to write a short essay for Part 6. The questions for the short essays will be posted in the Campus Virtual before the end of each part of the module. The dates for handing in the essays are the following:

- Part 1: October 31st
- Part 2: November 12th
- Part 3: December 3rd
- Part 4: December 12th
- Part 5: December 28th

c) **Final essay** (40%): At the end of the course the instructors will post a set of research questions related to each part of the module. Students will have to answer **one** of these questions in an essay of not more than 2500 words. The questions will be published on January 15th and the final essay must be handed in by January 25th.

Feedback: Comments on work will be available three weeks at the latest after submission. Please do not hesitate to contact the professors for this feedback.

Submission: Please submit your short essays and final essay through the Campus Virtual tasks section, where all graded submissions will be analyzed by the anti-plagiarism software.

Grading: All submissions will be graded with a numeric grade ranging from 0 to 10, being 10 the best grade.

Late submissions policy: A -1 point grade penalty will be applied for each day that a student is late with a graded submission.

Evaluation activities

Title	Weighting	Hours	ECTS	Learning outcomes
Final Essay	40%	1	0.04	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18
In-class participation	10%	1	0.04	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18
Short essays	50%	0.5	0.02	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18

Bibliography

- Moore, Barrington (1966) *The Social Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy: Lord and Peasant in the Making of the Modern World*. Boston: Beacon Press. Chapters 1, 2, 3 and 7.
- Skocpol, Theda (1984) "Emerging Agendas and Recurrent Strategies", in Skocpol (ed.) *Vision and Method in Historical Sociology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mahoney, J. and Rueschemeyer D. (2003) "Comparative Historical Analysis: Achievements and Agendas", in Mahoney and Rueschemeyer (eds) *Comparative Historical Analysis in the Social Sciences*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Therborn, Göran (1977) *The Rule of Capital and the Rise of Democracy* *New Left Review* 1/103, May-June pp. 3-41.
- Rueschemeyer, D., Huber Stephens, E. and Stephens, J.D. (1992) *Capitalist Development and Democracy*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Chapters 1-3.
- Putnam et al., (1993) *Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. Chaps. 1-4.
- Tarrow, Sidney (1996) "Making Social Science Work Across Space and Time: A Critical Reflection on Robert Putnam's *Making Democracy Work*", *The American Political Science Review*, Vol. 90, No. 2 pp. 389-397.
- Boix, Carles and Posner, Daniel (1996) "Making Social Capital Work: A Review of Robert Putnam's *Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy*", *Harvard University Paper*, 96-4.
- Dahl, R. A. (1998). *On democracy*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Held, D. (2006). *Models of democracy* (3rd ed.). Cambridge: Polity.
- Morlino, Leonardo (2009), "Are There Hybrid Regimes? Or Are They Just An Optical Illusion?" in *European Political Science Review*, 1(2): 273-96.
- Diamond, Larry (2003), "Defining and Developing Democracy", in Dahl, R.A., Shapiro, I., Cheibub J.A. (eds),

The Democracy Sourcebook, Cambridge, Massachusetts, London, England: The MIT Press, pp. 29-39.

Schmitter, Philippe & Terry Karl (1993), "What Democracy is... and is not", in L. Diamond & M. Plattner (eds), *The global resurgence of democracy*, Baltimore, Johns Hopkins University Press: 39-52.

Morlino, Leonardo (2008), "Democracy and Changes: How Research Tails Reality", *West European Politics*, Vol. 31, issues 1 & 2, pp. 40-59.

Dahl, Robert A. (1971), *Polyarchy: Participation and Opposition*, New Haven, Yale University Press, pp. 1-16.

Munck, Gerardo L. & Jay Verkuilen (2002), "Conceptualizing and Measuring Democracy. Evaluating Alternative Indices", *Comparative Political Studies*, 35(1): 5-34.

Altman, David & Anibal Pérez-Liñán (2002), "Assessing the Quality of Democracy: Freedom, Competitiveness and Participation in Eighteen Latin American Countries", *Democratization*, 9(2): 85-100.

Diamond, Larry & Leonardo Morlino (2005), "Introduction", in L. Diamond and L. Morlino (eds.), *Assessing the Quality of Democracy. Theory and Empirical Analysis*, Baltimore, Johns Hopkins University Press, pp. ix-xliii.

O'Donnell Guillermo, (2005) "Why the rule of law matters?", in Diamond, L. & Morlino, L. (eds) *Assessing the Quality of Democracy*, The Johns Hopkins U.P., pp. 3-17.

Kaase, Max (2007), "Perspectives on Political Participation", in R.J. Dalton & H.-D. Klingemann (eds), *Oxford Handbook of Political Behavior*, Oxford:OxfordUP, ch.42, pp 783-797.

Schmitter, Philippe (2005), "The Ambiguous Virtues of Accountability", in Diamond, Larry & Morlino, Leonardo (eds), *Assessing the Quality of Democracy*. The Johns Hopkins U. P., pp. 18-31

Schedler, Andreas (1999), 'Conceptualizing Accountability', in A. Schedler, L. Diamond, and M. F. Plattner, eds., *The Self-Restraining State*, Boulder, Lynne Rienner, pp. 13-28.

O'Donnell Guillermo (1999), 'Horizontal Accountability in New Democracies', in A. Schedler, L. Diamond, and M. F. Plattner (eds), *The Self-Restraining State*, Boulder, Lynne Rienner, pp. 29-51.

Bartolini, Stefano (1999-2000), "Collusion, Competition and democracy", *Journal of Theoretical Politics*, 11(4) and 12(1), pp. 435-70 and 33-65.

Beetham, David (2005), "Freedom as the Foundation", in Diamond, L. & Morlino, L. (eds), *Assessing the Quality of Democracy*. The Johns Hopkins U. P., pp. 32-46.

Rueschmeyer, Dieter (2005), "Addressing Inequality", in Diamond, L. & Morlino, L. (eds), *Assessing the Quality of Democracy*. The Johns Hopkins U. P., pp. 47-61.

Powell, Bingham G. (2005), "The Chain of Responsiveness", in Diamond, L. & Morlino, L. (eds), *Assessing the Quality of Democracy*. The Johns Hopkins U. P., pp. 62-76.

Eulau, Heinz & Paul Karsps (1977), "The puzzle of representation: specifying components of responsiveness", *Legislative Studies Quarterly*, 3, pp. 233-54.

Huber, John D. & Bingham G. Powell (1994), "Congruence between Citizens and Policymakers in Two Visions of Liberal Democracy", *World Politics*, 46(3): 291-326.

Stokes, Susan C. (1998), "Constituency Influence and Representation", *Electoral Studies*, 17(3): 351-367.

Przeworski, Adam, Susan Stokes & Bernard Manin (1999), *Democracy, Accountability, and Representation*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, ch. 1.

Almond, G.A., Verba, S., 1963. *The civic culture: political attitudes and democracy in five nations*, Abridged ed, Little, Brown series in comparative politics, analytic studies. Little, Brown, Boston, Mass, chapter 1.

Norris, P., 2011. *Democratic deficit: Critical citizens revisited*. Cambridge University Press, New York. chapter 2

Easton, David 1975. *A Re-Assessment of the Concept of Political Support*, *British Journal of Political Science* 5: 435-457

Foa, R.S., Mounk, Y., 2017. *The Signs of Deconsolidation*. *Journal of Democracy* 28, 5-15.

Shin, D.C., Kim, H.J., 2018. *How Global Citizenries Think about Democracy: An Evaluation and Synthesis of Recent Public Opinion Research*. *Japanese Journal of Political Science* 19, 222-249.

Voeten, Erik, 2017. *Are people really turning away from democracy?* *Journal of Democracy Web Exchange*.

Dalton, R.J., Welzel, C., 2014. *Political Culture and Value Change*, in: Dalton, R.J., Welzel, C. (Eds.), *The Civic Culture Transformed: From Allegiant to Assertive Citizens*. Cambridge University Press.

Dalton, R.J., Shin, D.C., 2014. *Reassessing the Civic Culture Model*, in: Dalton, R.J., Welzel, C. (Eds.), *The Civic Culture Revisited: From Allegiant to Assertive Citizens*. Cambridge University Press., Cambridge.

Qi, L., Shin, D.C., 2011. *How mass political attitudes affect democratization: Exploring the facilitating role critical democrats play in the process*. *International Political Science Review* 32, 245-262

Claassen, C., 2018. *Does Public Support Help Democracy Survive?* Unpublished Manuscript

Fuchs, D., 1999. *The democratic culture of unified Germany*, in: Norris, P. (Ed.), *Critical Citizens: Global Support for Democratic Government*. Oxford University Press, New York

Mishler, W., Rose, R., 2002. *Learning and re-learning regime support: The dynamics of post-communist regimes*. *European Journal of Political Research* 41, 5-36.

Lechler, M., McNamee, L., (Forthcoming). Indirect Colonial Rule Undermines Support for Democracy: Evidence From a Natural Experiment in Namibia. *Comparative Political Studies*

Mattes, R., Bratton, M., 2007. Learning about Democracy in Africa: Awareness, Performance, and Experience. *American Journal of Political Science* 51, 192-217.

Lijphart, A., 1999. *Patterns of democracy: government forms and performance in thirty-six countries*. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT Pages: 1-46

Anderson, C.J., Guillory, C.A., 1997. Political institutions and satisfaction with democracy: A cross-national analysis of consensus and majoritarian systems. *American Political Science Review* 91, 66-81.

Anderson, C.J., Tverdova, Y.V., 2003. Corruption, Political Allegiances, and Attitudes Toward Government in Contemporary Democracies. *American Journal of Political Science* 47, 91-109.

Strebel, M. A., Kübler, D., & Marcinkowski, F. (Forthcoming). The importance of input and output legitimacy in democratic governance: Evidence from a population-based survey experiment in four West European countries. *European Journal of Political Research*

Armingeon, K., Guthmann, K., 2014. Democracy in crisis? The declining support for national democracy in European countries, 2007-2011. *European Journal of Political Research* 53, 423-442.

Singer, M., (Forthcoming). Delegating Away Democracy: How Good Representation and Policy Successes Can Undermine Democratic Legitimacy. *Comparative Political Studies*

Bélanger, É., Nadeau, R., 2005. Political trust and the vote in multiparty elections: The Canadian case. *European Journal of Political Research* 44, 121-146.

Rooduijn, M., van der Brug, W., de Lange, S.L., 2016. Expressing or fuelling discontent? The relationship between populist voting and political discontent. *Electoral Studies* 43, 32-40.

Hooghe, M., Dassonneville, R., 2016. A Spiral of Distrust: A Panel Study on the Relation between Political Distrust and Protest Voting in Belgium. *Government and Opposition* 1-27. doi:10.1017/gov.2016.18

Hernández, E., 2018. Democratic discontent and support for mainstream and challenger parties: Democratic protest voting. *European Union Politics*

Van Deth, J. W. (2014). A conceptual map of political participation. *Acta Politica*, 49(3), 349-367.

Alvarez, R. M., Levin, I., & Núñez, L. (2017). The four faces of political participation in Argentina: Using latent class analysis to study political behavior. *The Journal of Politics*, 79(4), 1386-1402.

Vissers, S., & Stolle, D. (2014). The Internet and new modes of political participation: online versus offline participation. *Information, Communication & Society*, 17(8), 937-955.

Van Deth, J. W. (2001, April). Studying political participation: towards a theory of everything. In joint sessions of workshops of the European consortium for political research, Grenoble (pp. 6-11).

Oser, J. (2017). Assessing how participators combine acts in their "political tool kits": A person-centered measurement approach for analyzing citizen participation. *Social indicators research*, 133(1), 235-258.

Blais, A. (2006). What affects voter turnout?. *Annu. Rev. Polit. Sci.*, 9, 111-125.

Blais, A., & STVINCENT, S. L. (2011). Personality traits, political attitudes and the propensity to vote. *European Journal of Political Research*, 50(3), 395-417.

Geys, B. (2006). Explaining voter turnout: A review of aggregate-level research. *Electoral studies*, 25(4), 637-663

Torcal, M., Rodon, T., & Hierro, M. J. (2016). Word on the street: The persistence of leftist-dominated protest in Europe. *West European Politics*, 39(2), 326-350.

Copeland, L. (2014). Value change and political action: Postmaterialism, political consumerism, and political participation. *American Politics Research*, 42(2), 257-282.

Christensen, H. S. (2016). Political Dissatisfactions and Citizen Involvement: Political Participation in Europe during the Early Stages of the Economic Crisis. *Partecipazione e Conflitto*, 9(1), 19-45.

Braun, D., & Hutter, S. (2016). Political trust, extra-representational participation and the openness of political systems. *International Political Science Review*, 37(2), 151-165.

Blais, A., & Achen, C. H. (2017). Civic Duty and Voter Turnout. *Political Behavior*, 1-25.

Neuman, W. R., Bimber, B., & Hindman, M. (2011). The Internet and four dimensions of citizenship. *The Oxford handbook of American public opinion and the media*, 22-42.

Anduiza, E., Cantijoch, M., & Gallego, A. (2009). Political Participation and the Internet: A field essay. *Information, Communication & Society*, 12(6), 860. doi:10.1080/13691180802282720

Skoric, M. M., Zhu, Q., Goh, D., & Pang, N. (2016). Social media and citizen engagement: A meta-analytic review. *New Media & Society*, 18(9), 1817-1839.

Theocharis, Y., & Lowe, W. (2016). Does Facebook increase political participation? Evidence from a field experiment. *Information, Communication & Society*, 19(10), 1465-1486.

Boulianne, S. (2015). Social media use and participation: A meta-analysis of current research. *Information, Communication & Society*, 18(5), 524-538.

- Loader, B. D., & Mercea, D. (2011). Networking democracy? Social media innovations and participatory politics. *Information, Communication & Society*, 14 (6), 757-769.
- Cantijoch, M., Cutts, D., & Gibson, R. (2016). Moving Slowly up the Ladder of Political Engagement: A 'Spill-over' Model of Internet Participation. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 18(1), 26-48.
- Bond, R. M., Fariss, C. J., Jones, J. J., Kramer, A. D. I., Marlow, C., Settle, J. E., & Fowler, J. H. (2012). A 61-million-person experiment in social influence and political mobilization. *Nature*, 489(7415), 295-8. <http://doi.org/10.1038/nature11421>
- Qi, H., Manrique, P., Johnson, D., Restrepo, E., & Johnson, N. F. (2016). Open source data reveals connection between online and on-street protest activity. *EPJ Data Science*, 5(1), 18. <http://doi.org/10.1140/epjds/s13688-016-0081-5>
- Enikolopov, R., Makarin, A., & Petrova, M. (2015). Social Media and Protest Participation: Evidence from Russia. Available at SSRN 2696236
- Shirky, C. (2011). Political Power of Social Media-Technology, the Public Sphere, and Political Change, *The Foreign Aff.*, 90, 28
- Gil de Zúñiga, H., Jung, N., & Valenzuela, S. (2012). Social media use for news and individuals' social capital, civic engagement and political participation. *Journal of Computer Mediated Communication*, 17(3), 319-336.
- Anduiza, E., Cristancho, C. & Sabucedo J.M. (2014) Mobilization through online social networks: the political protest of the indignados in Spain, *Information Communication & Society* Volume 17, Issue 6, pp. 750-764
- Bennett, L. W. & Segerberg, A. (2012) The Logic of Connective Action, *Information, Communication & Society*, Vol 15, No. 5.
- Fenton, N. (2015). Left out? Digital media, radical politics and social change. *Information, Communication & Society*, 4462(February), 1-16. <http://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2015.1109698>
- Dolata, U., & Schrape, J.-F. (2015). Masses, Crowds, Communities, Movements: Collective Action in the Internet Age. *Social Movement Studies*, 2837(February), 1-18. <http://doi.org/10.1080/14742837.2015.1055722>