

## 2020/2021

# Technical Skills, Ethics and Policies for Professional Practice with Groups and Populations

Code: 42779 ECTS Credits: 9

Degree	Туре	Year	Semester
4313402 Psychosocial Research and Intervention	ОВ	0	2

The proposed teaching and assessment methodology that appear in the guide may be subject to changes as a result of the restrictions to face-to-face class attendance imposed by the health authorities.

## Contact

## Name: Jenny Cubells Serra

Email: Jenny.Cubells@uab.cat

## **Teachers**

Juan Manuel Muñoz Justicia

Félix Vázquez Sixto

Joel Feliu Samuel Lajeunesse

Luz María Martínez Martínez

Marisela Montenegro Montenegro Martinez

Jesus Rojas Arredondo

R. Lucas Platero Méndez

Martín Mora Martínez

# **Prerequisites**

None

# **Objectives and Contextualisation**

- . Know the main contemporary debates about ethics and chicks in the psychosocial field
- . Analyse the ethical and political principles that guide the practice of psychosocial and community research and i
- . Identify different ethical and political dilemmas present in work with people, groups and populations.
- . Reflect on the ethical and political implications deriving from professional involvement in specific psychosocial p

# **Use of Languages**

Principal working language: spanish (spa)

. Prei	oare the	research	work itself	for e	valuation	by the	e ethics	committee.

## Competences

- Consider the institutional, ethical and political context of psychosocial practice, assessing and responding to the implications of performing responsible professional relationships with institutions, groups and populations.
- Defend and justify arguments with clarity and precision, so appropriate to the context, valuing the contributions of others.
- Integrate knowledge and use it to make judgements in complex situations, with incomplete information, while keeping in mind social and ethical responsibilities.
- Teamwork, creating synergies in working environments that involve different people working in a coordinated and collaborative.

## **Learning Outcomes**

- 1. Analyze the ethical and political principles of psychosocial field and assess their relevance to an object of study or specific action
- 2. Defend and justify arguments with clarity and precision, so appropriate to the context, valuing the contributions of others.
- 3. Integrate knowledge and use it to make judgements in complex situations, with incomplete information, while keeping in mind social and ethical responsibilities.
- 4. Teamwork, creating synergies in working environments that involve different people working in a coordinated and collaborative.

## Content

Content

- -Ethical and political aspects of entry to the field
- -Ethics Committee.
- -Ethical-political implications of being part of the field
- -Ethical and political aspects of entry to the field
- -Political effects of research and intervention
- -Ethical-political implications of praxis
- -Political and ethical aspects of the dissemination of results
- -Ethics of responsibility and ethics of care

<sup>-</sup>Introduction to research ethics and politics

- -Ethical and political aspects of working in / with institutions
- -Ethics and politics in the public space

# Methodology

## Autonomous activities

Systematic reading of bibliographic material.

Analysis of and reflection on the recommended readings

Development of a personal reflection

## Directed activities

Presentation of the different contents

Presentation and analysis of the different proposals and readings for each session

Oral presentation of a topic previously agreed and worked on

Discussion and participation in the group-class

## Supervised activities

Individual tutorials to prepare the oral presentation.

Tutorials for making the final reflection.

## **Activities**

Title	Hours	ECTS	Learning Outcomes
Type: Directed			
Oral presentation of a topic previously agreed and worked on Discussion and participation in the group-class	40	1.6	1, 3
Presentation of the different contents Presentation and analysis of the different proposals and readings for each session	20	0.8	1, 2, 4
Type: Supervised			
Individual tutorials to prepare the oral presentation.	14	0.56	1, 2, 3, 4

Tutorials for making the final reflection.	20	0.8	1, 2, 3
Type: Autonomous			
Sistematic reading of bibliographic material. Analysis of and reflection on the recommended readings. develope of a personal reflection	90	3.6	2

#### Assessment

EV1. Oral presentation on ethics in intervention and/or research.

EV2. Work of reflection on the ethical and political aspects of the research work and/or intervention.

EV3. Report on the application of the module to the TFM process, corrected by the student's tutor in accordance

## Grading criteria

Module passed: The module will be considered passed if the student obtains an average score higher than 5 in the [

Evaluable. Evaluable evidence of learning has a weight equal to or greater than 40% of the total module.

Non-Evaluable. Non-evaluable evidence of learning has a weight less than 40% of the total module.

Reassessment: There is no reassessment.

Evaluation guidelines of the Faculty of Psychology: http://www.uab.cat/doc/DOC\_avaluaciotitulacions1819

## Evaluation:

Oral exposure 30%

Critical writing about ethics and politics aspects of the research and/or intervention 50%

Report on the application of the module to the TFM process, corrected by the student's tutor in accordance with

## **Assessment Activities**

Title	Weighting	Hours	ECTS	Learning Outcomes
EV1. Oral presentation on ethics in intervention and/or research.	30	1	0.04	1, 2, 4

EV2. Work of reflection on the ethical and political aspects of the research work and/or intervention.	50	40	1.6	1, 3
EV3. Report on the application of the module to the TFM process, corrected by the student's tutor in accordance with the guidelines set by the module coordinator.	20	0	0	1, 3

# **Bibliography**

Raymond M. Lee and Claire M. Renzetti. The Problems of Researching Sensitive Topics: An Overview and introduction. American Behavioral Scientist. 1990 33:510

Denscombe, Martyn (2007). The good research guide: For small-scale social research projects (Third Edition). Berkshire: Open University Press.

Ibáñez, T. Los efectos políticos de la psicología social. Quaderns de Psicologia, 1983, 11, 95-106

Ibáñez, J. (1986) Perspectivas de la Investigación Social: el diseño en las tres perspectivas. En M. García Ferrando, J. Ibáñez y F. Alvira (Comps.) El análisis de la realidad social. Métodos y técnicas de investigación. Madrid: Alianza Editorial, 1996.

Ibáñez, T. e Íñiguez, L. (1997) Aspectos Metodológicos de la Psicología Social Aplicada. En J.L. Álvaro, A. Garrido y J.R. Torregrosa (Coords.) Psicol ogía Social Aplicada. Madrid: McGraw Hill.

Denzin, K. y Lincoln, Y.S. (Eds.) (1994) Handbook of Qualitative Research. London: Sage.

Pla, M. (1999) El rigor en la investigación cualitativa. Atención Primaria, 5 (24),

295-300.

Taylor, S.J. y Bogdan, R. (1984) Introducción a los métodos cualitativos de investigación. Barcelona: Paidós, 1987

Beaulieu Estalella Rethinking Research Ethics , Anne Beaulieu y Adolfo Estalella. 5th International Conference on e-So

cial Science, Colonia (Alemania), 24-26/06/2009

Common values, controversial facts: Enacting dialogical ethics Ponencia invitada en el 11th Annual workshop of the Center for Qualitative Psychology, Sassari (Italia), 12-14 de marzo de 2010.

Gilligan, C. (1982). In a different voice: Psychological theory and women's development. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Kohen, B. (2005). Ciudadanía y ética del cuidado. E. Carrió y D. Maffía (Eds) Búsquedas de sentido para una nueva política. Buenos Aires: Paidós.

Kohlberg, L. (1981). The Philosophy of Moral Development. Moral Stages and the Idea of Justice. San Francisco: Harper & Row Pubs.

Matín-Palomo, M. T. (2010). Autonomía, dependencia y vulnerabilidad en la construcción de la ciudadanía. Zerbitzuan: revista de servicios sociales, 48: 57-69.