



Comparative Education

Code: 103527 ECTS Credits: 6

Degree	Туре	Year	Semester
2500261 Education Studies	ОВ	3	1

The proposed teaching and assessment methodology that appear in the guide may be subject to changes as a result of the restrictions to face-to-face class attendance imposed by the health authorities.

Contact

Use of Languages

Name: Jordi Pàmies Rovira

Principal working language: catalan (cat)

Email: Jordi.Pamies@uab.cat

Some groups entirely in English: No Some groups entirely in Catalan: Yes

Some groups entirely in Spanish: No

Prerequisites

It is highly recommended to have passed the course "Socio-Political Bases of Education." Its contents and skills acquired to give this subject.

Objectives and Contextualisation

This course is taught in the compulsory. curriculum. It is considered essential for the formation of any educator knowledge and comprehension of the educational systems of other countries, especially Europe. The international perspective on educational phenomena constitute the core of this course. The training objectives are:

- 1. Know and apply the theoretical issues of Comparative Education.
- 2. Know, analyze and understand the educational problems in the world, from an international perspective, understanding the interrelationships that exist with economic, political, historical and cultural issues.
- 3. Understand, analyze and understand the educational systems of certain countries of international importance.
- 4. Analyze and understand the Spanish education system and education in Catalonia from international benchmarks.

Competences

- Analyse and understand the theoretical, historical, cultural, political, environmental and legal references and situations involved in education and training proposals.
- Develop critical thinking and reasoning and know how to communicate effectively both in your own tongues and in other languages.
- Develop strategies for autonomous learning.
- Evaluate policies, institutions and educational systems.
- Identify educational approaches and problems, inquire about them: obtain, record, process and interpret relevant information to issue supported judgments that enhance education and training.
- Recognize and evaluate the social reality and the interrelation between factors involved as necessary anticipation of action.

Learning Outcomes

- 1. Deliver proposed activities on time and in the right way.
- 2. Demonstrating personal educational thinking based on the knowledge of the theoretical and historical references of education.
- 3. Evaluate education systems from a political, economic and international perspective.
- 4. Incorporating into their analyses the planning, economic and international references linked to specific problems and proposals about the educational system.
- 5. Knowing how to express ones ideas and knowledge orally and in writing with sufficient theoretical and argumentative foundation.
- 6. Organising the work in a structured way in terms of the demands.
- 7. Planning the educational system using existing data and including them in relation to the context.
- Understand the processes that occur in educational activities and their impact on training while
 accepting that the exercise of the educational function must be refined and adapted lifelong to scientific,
 educational and social changes.

Content

- A. Theoretical Foundations of Comparative Education.
- B. Overview of global education.
- C. International Educational Systems
- D. Comparative analysis of educational systems in the international context.
- E. The Spanish education system and education in Catalonia in the international environment.

Methodology

The activity develops from exposure teacher and joint analysis of readings and other materials. The seminars are workspaces for a subset (one third of the group). Proposed activities of deepening and discussion of the subjet.

Apart from the theoretical subject, the course aims to develop a supervised project.

The proposed teaching and assessment methodology that appear in the guide may be subject to changes as a result of the restrictions to face-to-face class attendance imposed by the health authorities.

Annotation: Within the schedule set by the centre or degree programme, 15 minutes of one class will be reserved for students to evaluate their lecturers and their courses or modules through questionnaires.

Activities

Title	Hours	ECTS	Learning Outcomes
Type: Directed			
Master class	30	1.2	3, 4, 2, 7
Seminars (small groups)	15	0.6	3, 4, 1, 2, 6, 7, 5
Type: Supervised			
Tutored projects and activities	30	1.2	3, 4, 1, 6, 7, 5
Type: Autonomous			
Reading articles, books and preparation of papers	75	3	3, 4, 1, 2, 6, 5

Assessment

The work group will be based on one of the topics of the program and according to the parameters presented in the theoretical sessions. It will be presented the week before the final exam.

The individual task is based on the analysis of readings deepening and / or class discussions.

It is necessary to obtain at least 5 in each of the evaluation activities.

Class attendance is mandatory.

Plagiarism entails suspension.

Assessment Activities

Title	Weighting	Hours	ECTS	Learning Outcomes
Final exam	50%	0	0	3, 8, 4, 1, 2, 6, 5
Individual task	20%	0	0	1, 2, 6, 5
Supervised group work	30%	0	0	3, 4, 1, 2, 6, 7

Bibliography

Apple, M. W. (2004). Creating Difference: Neo-Liberalism, Neo-Conservatism and the Politics of Educational Reform. Educational Policy, 18(1), 12-44. https://doi.org/10.1177/0895904803260022

Avelar, M., & Ball, S. J. (2019). Mapping new philanthropy and the heterarchical state: The Mobilization for the National Learning Standards in Brazil. International Journal of Educational Development, 64, 65-73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2017.09.007

Ball, S. J., & Junemann, C. (2012). Networks, new governance and education. Bristol, UK: Bristol University Press. https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctt9qgnzt

Ball, S. J., & Youdell, D. (2007). Hidden privatisation in public education. Brussels.

Beech, J., & Barrenechea, I. (2011). Pro-market educational governance: is Argentina a black swan? *Critical Studies in Education*, 52(3), 279-293. http://doi.org/10.1080/17508487.2011.604077

Bonal, X. Tarabini, A., Verger, A.(comp.). (2007). *Globalización y Educación*. Textos Fundamentales. Buenos Aires: Miño y Dávila.

Bonal, X., & Tarabini, A. (2013). The Role of PISA in Shaping Hegemonic Educational Discourses, Policies and Practices: The Case of Spain. *Research in Comparative and International Education*, 8(3), 335-341. https://doi.org/10.2304/rcie.2013.8.3.335

Bray, M., Adamson, B., Mason, M. (2010). *Educación comparada. Enfoques y métodos*. Buenos Aires: Granica

Brenner, N., Peck, J., & Theodore, N. (2010). After Neoliberalization? *Globalizations*, 7(3), 327-345. https://doi.org/10.1080/14747731003669669

Carnoy, M. (1999). Globalization and Educational Reform: what planners need to know. Paris: UNESCO. [CHAPTERS 3&4]

Chubb, J. E., & Moe, T. M. (1990). *Politics, Markets, and America's Schools*. Washington DC: The Brookings Institution.

Dale, R. (1999). Specifying globalization effects on national policy: a focus on the mechanisms. *Journal of Education Policy*, 14(1), 1-17. https://doi.org/10.1080/026809399286468

Di John, J. (2007). Albert Hirschman's exit-voice framework and its relevance to problems of public education performance in Latin America. *Oxford Development Studies*, 35(3), 295-327. https://doi.org/10.1080/13600810701514860

Edwards, D. B., & Moschetti, M. C. (2019). Global Education Policy, Innovation, and Social Reproduction. In Encyclopedia of Educational Innovation (pp. 1-6). Singapore: Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-2262-4_111-1

European Commission. (2011). Progress towards the common European objectives in education and training (2010/2011) Indicators and benchmarks. En línia:

Ferrer, F. (2002). La educación comparada actual. Barcelona: Ariel

Ferrer, F., Castel, J. L., Valiente, O. (2009). *Equitat, excel·lència i eficiència educativa a Catalunya. Una anàlisi comparada.* Barcelona: Fundació Jaume Bofill.

Friedman, M. (1997). Public Schools: Make Them Private. Education Economics, 5(3), 341-344. https://doi.org/10.1080/09645299700000026

Ganimian, A., & Murnane, R. (2014). *Improving Educational Outcomes in Developing Countries: Lessons from Rigorous Impact Evaluations*. Cambridge, MA. https://doi.org/10.3386/w20284

García Garrido, J.L. (2004). Sistemas educativos de hoy. Madrid: Dykinson.

http://www.includ-ed.eu/sites/default/files/documents/report_llp_policy_2010.pdf

http://www.oecd.org/education/education-at-a-glance-19991487.htm/?refcode=20190209ig

Languille, S. (2017). Public Private partnerships in education and health in the global South: a literature review. *Journal of International and Comparative Social Policy*, 33(2), 142-165. https://doi.org/10.1080/21699763.2017.1307779

Levin, H. M. (2002). A Comprehensive Framework for Evaluating Educational Vouchers. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 24(3), 159-174. http://doi.org/10.3102/01623737024003159

Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in Education Markets: Theory and Evidence on the Impact of Competition and Choice in Charter Schools. American Educational Research Journal, 40(2), 395-443. http://doi.org/10.3102/00028312040002395

Martinez Usarralde, M.J. (2009). Educación internacional. València: Tirant lo Blanch.

Morduchowicz, A., & Iglesias, G. (2011). Auge y avance de los subsidios estatales a las escuelas privadas en la Argentina. In R. Perazza (Ed.), Mapas y recorridos de la educación de gestión privada en la Argentina. Buenos Aires: Aique.

Moschetti, M. C., & Verger, A. (2020). Opting for Private Education: Public Subsidy Programs and School Choice in Disadvantaged Contexts. Educational Policy, 34(1), 65-90. https://doi.org/10.1177/0895904819881151

Moschetti, M., Fontdevila, C., & Verger, A. (2017). Manual para el estudio de la privatización de la educación. Bruselas: Education International.

Narodowski, M., Moschetti, M., & Gottau, V. (2017). The growth of private education in Argentina: eight paradigmatic explanations. Cadernos de Pesquisa, 47(164), 414-441.

Naya, L.M. (2003): La educación para el desarrollo en un mundo globalizado. Donostia: Erein

Nusche, D. (2009), What Works in Migrant Education?: A Review of Evidence and Policy Options. OECD Education Working Papers Series, No. 22, OECD Publishing.

OECD (2013). Synergies for Better Learning: An International. Perspective on Evaluation and Assessment. OECD: Paris.

OECD (2019). Education at a glance 2019. OECD Indicators. En línia:

OECD. (2011). School autonomy and accountability: Are theyrelated to student performance? Pisa in Focus 9. http://www.oecd.org/pisa/48910490.pdf

Parcerisa, L., & Verger, A. (2016). Rendición de cuentas y política educativa: Una revisión de la evidencia internacional y futuros retos para la investigación. Profesorado: Revista de Currículum y Formación de Profesorado, 20(3).

Patrinos, H. A., Barrera Osorio, F., & Guáqueta, J. (2009). The role and impact of public-private partnerships in education. Washington D.C.: World Bank Publications.

Prat, Enric (2013). L'educació, una qüestió d'estat. Una mirada a Europa. Barcelona: UB.

Rizvi, F., & Lingard, B. (2009). Globalizing education policy. London: Routledge. [CHAPTER 1]

Schleicher, A., & Zoido, P. (2016). The policies that shaped PISA, and the policies that PISA shaped. In: Mundy, K., Green, A., Lingard, B., & Verger, A. (Eds.) The handbook of global education policy. London: Wiley.

Tarabini, A., & Bonal, X. (2011). Globalización y política educativa:los mecanismos como método de estudio. Revista de Educación, 355(2), 235-255.

Taylor, S., Rizvi, F., Lingard, B., & Henry, M. (1997). Educational policy and the politics of change. London: Routledge. [CHAPTER 1 & 2]

Termes, A., Bonal, X., Verger, A., & Zancajo, A. (2015). Public-Private Partnerships in Colombian Education: The Equity and Quality Impications of "Colegios en concesión." London: Open Society Foundations.

Tolofari, S. (2005). New Public Management and Education. Policy Futures in Education 3(1), 75-89.

UNESCO. (2013). Informe de 2013/4. Enseñanza y aprendizaje: Lograr la calidad para todos. En línia: http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0022/002261/226159s.pdf

UNESCO. (2015). Rethingking Education: Towards a global common good? Paris: UNESCO Publishing. Retrieved from https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000232555

Valiente, O. (2014). The OECD skills strategy and the education agenda for development. International Journal of Educational Development, 39, 40-48.

Verger, A. (2012). Framing and selling global education policy: the promotion of public-private partnerships for education in low-income contexts. Journal of Education Policy, 27(1), 109-130.

Verger, A., Fontdevila, C., & Zancajo, A. (2016). The privatization of education: A political economy of global education reform. New York: Teachers College Press.

Verger, A., Fontdevila, C., Rogan, R., & Gurney, T. (2019). Manufacturing an illusory consensus? A bibliometric analysis of the international debate on education privatisation. International Journal of Educational Development, 64, 81-95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2017.12.011

Verger, A., M. Novelli and H. K. Altinyelken. (2012). Global Education Policy and International Development: An Introductory Framework. In: Verger, A., M. Novelli and H. K. Altinyelken (Eds.). Global Education Policy and International Development: New Agendas, Issues and Policies. London: Continuum

Verger, A., Moschetti, M. C., & Fontdevila, C. (2020). How and why policy design matters: understanding the diverging effects of public-private partnerships in education. Comparative Education, 56(2), 278-303. https://doi.org/10.1080/03050068.2020.1744239 Vior, S. E., & Rodríguez, L. R. (2012). La privatización de la educación argentina: un largo proceso de expansión y naturalización. Pro-Posições, 23(2), 91-104.

Waslander, S., C. Pater, and M. van der Weide. 2010. Markets in Education: An Analytical Review of Empirical Research on Market Mechanisms in Education. OECD EDU Working Paper 52.[PAGES 28-45]

Software

Microsoft Teams will be used for virtual teaching