

Psychometrics

Code: 102569
ECTS Credits: 6

2024/2025

Degree	Type	Year
2502443 Psychology	OB	3

Contact

Name: Eva Penelo Werner

Email: eva.penelo@uab.cat

Teachers

Eduardo Doval Diéguez

Montse Vall-Ilovera Llovet

Maria del Carme Viladrich Segués

Juan Martín Aliaga Ugarte

Jennifer Morata Serrano

Albert Espelt Hernández

Teaching groups languages

You can view this information at the [end](#) of this document.

Prerequisites

It will be very useful to acquire the competencies of previous methodology subjects: Research Methods, Design and Techniques, Data Analysis, and Statistical and Psychometric Models. Therefore, students must be able to understand and apply the methodology used in research in psychology, and particularly the concepts of sampling and design with selective methodology. With regard to data analysis, students must know how to use basic descriptive and inferential analysis techniques and in particular techniques for data reduction and reliability analysis. Other competences previously acquired and especially necessary to study this subject are the application of the APA regulations as regards the writing of texts and references as well as the application of the ethical principles of psychological assessment.

Objectives and Contextualisation

The subject Psychometry is part of the broader subject Methods of research and psychometrics. It is taught in the first semester of the third year of the Degree in Psychology. It is the last subject to be studied in the subject Methods of research and psychometrics. The three previous subjects offer the basics of research methodology and univariate and multivariate data analysis.

The formative objectives of the subject are:

1. To know the normative texts on the use and valuation of the instruments of measure in Psychology
2. To analyze the psychometric properties of the psychological measures
3. To calculate and interpret scores obtained with measurement instruments in psychology

It is expected that at the end of the subject the student will be able to:

1. Learn relevant strategies to evaluate the validity and reliability of test scores
2. Know the characteristics of the tests that determine and affect the validity and reliability of their own scores
3. Correctly interpret the scores offered by the tests
4. Apply the criteria to select the tests and the guidelines to use and adapt them
5. Understand scientific reports on the psychometric properties of the scores, with the objective to select tests for their correct use
6. Use psychometric vocabulary correctly

Competences

- Act with ethical responsibility and respect for fundamental rights and duties, diversity and democratic values.
- Maintain a favourable attitude towards the permanent updating through critical evaluation of scientific documentation, taking into account its origin, situating it in an epistemological framework and identifying and contrasting its contributions in relation to the available disciplinary knowledge.
- Make changes to methods and processes in the area of knowledge in order to provide innovative responses to society's needs and demands.
- Recognise and evaluate the procedures and techniques applied to the construction and adaptation of the instruments of evaluation in psychology.
- Students must be capable of applying their knowledge to their work or vocation in a professional way and they should have building arguments and problem resolution skills within their area of study.
- Students must be capable of collecting and interpreting relevant data (usually within their area of study) in order to make statements that reflect social, scientific or ethical relevant issues.
- Take sex- or gender-based inequalities into consideration when operating within one's own area of knowledge.
- Use different ICTs for different purposes.

Learning Outcomes

1. Analyse a situation and identify its points for improvement.
2. Communicate in an inclusive manner avoiding the use of sexist or discriminatory language.
3. Draw reasoned conclusions on the results obtained with respect to each of the types of evidence for the quality of psychological assessment instruments.
4. Evaluate the scoring and interpretation criteria for scores designed to draw conclusions about the characteristics of the people evaluated.
5. Explain the explicit or implicit deontological code in your area of knowledge.
6. Identify research methods and data analysis techniques suitable for providing each of the required quality indicators in psychological assessment instruments.
7. Maintain a favourable attitude towards the permanent updating through critical evaluation of scientific documentation, taking into account its origin, situating it in an epistemological framework and identifying and contrasting its contributions in relation to the available disciplinary knowledge.
8. Make conclusions about statistical indicators of reliability and validity based on test theory.
9. Select the most appropriate instrument psychological evaluation to solve specific practical problems, taking into account quality requirements.
10. Students must be capable of applying their knowledge to their work or vocation in a professional way and they should have building arguments and problem resolution skills within their area of study.
11. Students must be capable of collecting and interpreting relevant data (usually within their area of study) in order to make statements that reflect social, scientific or ethical relevant issues.
12. Use different ICTs for different purposes.

Content

Thematic block 1: Introduction

1. What is a test and what is it for?
2. Documentation
3. Selection criteria for tests

Thematic block 2: Interpretation of test scores

1. How to interpret the scores of a test?
2. Transformations of the scores
3. Communication of the scores of the people in the tests

Thematic block 3: Validity

1. Definition of validity
2. Content of the tests
3. Response processes
4. Internal structure of the tests
5. Relationship with other variables
6. Consequences of the evaluation
7. Aspects to consider for the assessment of validity

Thematic block 4: Reliability

1. Definition of reliability
2. Psychometric theories for the study of reliability
3. Designs for the assessment of reliability
4. Estimation of true scores
5. Aspects to consider for the assessment of reliability

Thematic block 5: Fairness

1. Definition of fairness
2. Methods to check the fairness of the tests

Teaching language: all groups in Catalan, except 113 and 311 in Catalan/Spanish.

Activities and Methodology

Title	Hours	ECTS	Learning Outcomes
Type: Directed			
ABP seminars: approach and resolution of different practical cases of a psychometric nature and tutored work on the project of assessment of a test that is carried out throughout the course (seminar type classrooms and/or equipped with computers)	26	1.04	3, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11
Type: Supervised			
In-person and virtual tutors	6	0.24	3, 6, 9
Type: Autonomous			
Reading texts of psychometric material and preparation of theoretical thematic blocks, reflective study and integration of matter, peer group work and cooperative learning activities	115	4.6	3, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12

In this course we propose different activities in active learning methodologies focused on students. Teaching techniques are based on Project Based Learning (PBL) that encourage meaningful and cooperative learning.

Materials are in its original language: Catalan, Spanish or English.

Annotation: Within the schedule set by the centre or degree programme, 15 minutes of one class will be reserved for students to evaluate their lecturers and their courses or modules through questionnaires.

Assessment

Continuous Assessment Activities

Title	Weighting	Hours	ECTS	Learning Outcomes
0 D1. DEVELOPMENT1 of the project. Classroom exercises on the content of the text manual; written, in person; individual; first part of the course (weeks 1-7)	1.5 (c)	0	0	3, 6, 7
1 P1. PROJECT1. Presentation of the draft report (some sections); written, virtual (moodle); group; expected week 8	4 (b)	0	0	3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11
2 T1. TEST1. Knowledge test, with reasoned answer (all the subjects content except TB5); written, in person; individual; 1st assessment period	5 (b)	1.5	0.06	6
3 P2. PROJECT2. Final presentation of the report orally (P2i, in person, individually, expected week 13) and in writing (P2g, virtual, group, expected week 18, all sections and incorporation of all the above suggestions)	4 (a)	0	0	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12
4 T2. TEST2. Knowledge test, with closed options and no arguments (all the subjects content); written, in person; individual; 2nd assessment period	5 (a)	1.5	0.06	6

This subject has continuous evaluation with a clear formative function. Thus, second-chance examination is included in the development of the regular course and no recovery evaluation is contemplated at the end of it.

Learning evidence should allow to assess three groups of learning outcomes:

- 1) Knowledge, use of scoring criteria and interpretation of test scores, the ability to identify appropriate methods and techniques to assess the quality of measures, and the ability to identify the main models and techniques of psychometric analysis. Moreover, students who complete this course will be able to properly interpret the results obtained, and the critical application of the acquired knowledge.
- 2) Appropriate selection of psychological assessment instruments, drawing of conclusions, correct interpretation of the results obtained from the application of presented psychometric tests and the elaboration of reasoned conclusions based on the obtained results after applying the methods and psychometric techniques to answer a research hypothesis.
- 3) Maintain a favorable attitude towards permanent updating, and towards knowledge and application of code of ethics.

Link to the guidelines of assessment of the Faculty of Psychology:

<https://www.uab.cat/web/estudiar/graus/graus/avaluacions-1345722525858.html>

The presentation of the translation of the statements of the in-person assessment tests will be carried out if the requirements established in Article 263 of the academic regulations are met and the request is made in week 4 online (e-form) (more information on the faculty website).

LEARNING EVIDENCE BY CONTINUOUS ASSESSMENT

The evaluation is structured in two blocks. The first block evaluates the knowledge of the theoretical part (up to 5 points) and the second block evaluates a project that consists in development task (up to 1.5 points) and the oral and written presentation of a report (up to 4 points). The maximum mark in the transcript will be 10 points. The evaluation of the theoretical part is done with face-to-face tests of closed or short answers. The project consists of a critical evaluation of a test manual.

The first block (TEST) consists in answering several tests reasoning the responses to demonstrate the psychometric knowledge acquired. There are two face-to face tests taken individually (TEST1 and TEST2). These TEST evidences are cumulative; in TEST2 there is a second-chance evaluation of TEST1 knowledge.

TEST1. Performance of a knowledge test that includes the subject matter of the course already taught. It consists of a test with true/false choice questions and a justification of the answer. The points of this test are recoverable in TEST2.

TEST2. Performance of the test that includes the material of all the subjects of the course. It consists of a long test with true/false choice questions without justification of the answer. In this evidence the previous points (TEST1) are recoverable.

The marks for the TEST block are described at the end of this section.

The second block starts with the PROJECT DEVELOPMENT that aims to consolidate the psychometric knowledge that has been acquired applying it to the assessment of a test manual. During the in-person classes the teacher will propose exercises on the test manual that the student will have to solve and deliver in the same session. These exercises are delivered individually and will account for the evaluation of the first course topics. This evidence (DEVELOPMENT1) is a non-recovery evaluation.

This second block is completed with the PRESENTATION OF THE PROJECT REPORT, which consists in delivering and orally defending a standard document (COTAN) assessing a manual test to demonstrate the psychometric knowledge acquired during the course. Two writing tasks are presented (PROJECT1, PROJECT2), and in the second task an individual oral defense is also made. These PROJECT evidences are cumulative; the second task (PROJECT2) is presented as a second-chance evaluation of the knowledge acquired in task 1 (PROJECT1), and the marks can be different for each student.

PROJECT1. Presentation of the draft of the project report, which includes the assessment of the COTAN that matches the contents of the first part of the subject. It is done as a group project and is presented in written in moodle. The team receives feedback in their draft document and the mark is recoverable in PROJECT2.

PROJECT2. Oral defense and presentation of the final project report. The degree of knowledge of both the manual of the test and the evidence of interpretation of scores, validity, reliability and fairness that support it are assessed. The student makes an individual oral presentation in response to the teacher's questions and the final report is presented in writing after completing all the sections and incorporating all suggestions received. The presentation and oral defense (P2i) are done on site in the practical classes. The presentation of the written text (P2g) is done collectively by each group through moodle. In this evidence, the previous points (PROJECT1) are recoverable as follows: 50% of the points correspond to the individual defense and the other 50% account for the final group report.

These evidences, PROJECT1 and PROJECT2, are evaluated by the teachers responsible for each project. The reports best evaluated in this part go through a phase of corrections in order to be included in the database of psychological instruments of the Faculty and to be sent to the publisher of the test manual if interested.

The criterion for calculating the grade for each block (TEST and PROJECT) is as follows: Within each block, if the grade obtained in the second evidence exceeds the grade of the first, the grade of the block is equal to the grade of the second evidence. On the other hand, if the grade of the second piece of evidence does not exceed that of the first, the grade of the block is calculated with the average of both.

The results of the evidence will be discussed collectively in face-to-face sessions and may be reviewed in person in the tutoring sessions with the teacher responsible for the seminar group.

DEFINITION OF PASSED COURSE

To pass the course you must meet three requirements within the same academic year: a) To have presented the evidence TEST2, b) To have presented the evidence PROJECT2, which includes P2i and P2g (if only one of the two is presented, the maximum possible grade is 1.9 for the total block), and c) to have accumulated a total of at least 5 points throughout the course, with a minimum of 2.0 points in the PRESENTATION OF THE PROJECT REPORT block (of the 4 possible) and a minimum of 2.0 points in the TEST block (of the 5 possible). If these requirements are not met, the maximum mark to be recorded in the academic transcript will be 4.5 points.

Resit is ongoing, where with evidences #2 the respective evidences #1 are reassessed, and no final resit system is foreseen.

The management of incidents with learning evidence and, especially, in the cases of students that despite the foreseen recovery along the course do not reach the required threshold, is done through personal interview with the teacher responsible for the seminar group.

DEFINITION OF NON-EVALUABLE STUDENTS

Students who have submitted evidence of learning with a weight equal to or greater than 4 points will be listed as "assessable". Otherwise, they will be non-evaluable.

SINGLE ASSESSMENT

This subject does not consider single assessment.

SECOND OR SUBSEQUENT ENROLLMENT STUDENTS

From the second enrollment, students have the same options as the rest. It is necessary to develop a new project and take the face-to-face tests. No synthesis test is planned.

In the table of assessment activities below we summarize the formal characteristics of the evaluation. [Note: (a) requirement to pass the subject; (b) reassessable; (c) non-recoverable]

Bibliography

Reference books

American Educational Research Association, American Psychological Association, & National Council on Measurement in Education. (2014). *The standards for educational and psychological testing*. Autor.
<https://www.testingstandards.net/open-access-files.html>

Bandalos, Deborah L. (2018). *Measurement theory and applications for the social sciences*. Guilford.
[Electronic resource available at: UAB Library]

Other references

Abad, Francisco; Olea, Julio; Ponsoda, Vicente; García, Carmen. (2011). *Medición en ciencias sociales y de la salud*. Síntesis. [Electronic resource available at: UAB Library]

Espelt, Albert; Viladrich, Carme; Doval, Eduardo; Aliaga, Joan; García-Rueda, Rebeca; Tárrega, Salomé. (2014). Uso equitativo de tests en ciencias de la salud. *Gaceta Sanitaria*, 28, 408-410.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaceta.2014.05.001>

Martínez Arias, María Rosario; Hernández, María José; Hernández, María Victoria. (2006). *Psicometría*. Alianza.

Meneses, Julio. (Co.). (2016). *Psicometría*. FUOC.

Muñiz, José. (2018). *Introducción a la psicometría. Teoría clásica y TRI*. Pirámide.

Navas, Maria José. (Ed.) (2001). *Métodos, diseños y técnicas de investigación en Psicología* (Parte II). Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia.

Viladrich, Carme; Doval, Eduardo. (Eds.). (2008). *Psicometria*. UOC.

Software

Not relevant.

Language list

Information on the teaching languages can be checked on the CONTENTS section of the guide.