

Master's Degree Dissertation

Code: 44771 ECTS Credits: 30

2024/2025

Degree	Туре	Year
4318306 Logistics and Supply Chain Management	ОВ	2

Contact

Name: Juan Jose Ramos Gonzalez

Email: juanjose.ramos@uab.cat

Teachers

(External) Dr. Andrejs Romanovs (RTU) (External) Dr. Gaby Neumann (UASW)

Teaching groups languages

You can view this information at the <u>end</u> of this document.

Prerequisites

In order to start working on the Master Thesis, the student must have passed the subjects corresponding to the first year and to the specialization semester. In case of a failed exam in the specialization semester, the student will have the chance to retake it before the master thesis period starts.

Objectives and Contextualisation

The Master Thesis is an autonomous academic work that is ultimately intended to deepen the analysis of a topic or area of interest related to the LSCM Master. It is expected that the work will serve to:

- Develop an issue of interest regarding the content of courses taken linking with existing academic or scientific debates;
- Apply the methodologies and techniques learned in the LSCM courses, either to perform own analysis covering an applied or scientific case, or to evaluate other author's proposals.

The main objectives of the Master Thesis are to:

- Apply the skills and competences acquired during their studies for a project in the LSCM field.
- Learn to develop a consistent and clear project achieving results of interest.
- Learn to document, structure and draft a project report.
- (Optional) Learn to write a scientific article and submit it for possible publication in a journal or proceedings of international conferences.

While performing the final project, the student should demonstrate:

- Self-adaption to unforeseen situations.
- To assume the social, ethical and professional liability arising from the professional practise.
- To critically appraise the work done.
- To communicate effectively orally and/or written the knowledge, results and skills in both environments: professionals and to non-expert audiences.
- To develop systems thinking.
- To develop independent learning strategies.
- To develop the capacity of analysis, synthesis and prospective.
- To develop curiosity and creativity.
- To develop critical thinking and reasoning.
- To make efficient use of ICT in communication and transmission of ideas and results.
- To generate innovative and competitive proposals for professional activity.
- To manage the available time and resources by working in an organized manner
- To plan and implement a projectin the LSCM sector.
- To take own decisions.
- To prevent and solve problems

Learning Outcomes

- 1. CA32 (Competence) Address the research objectives and question(s) set out in the Master's Degree Final Project by applying a holistic approach to problem solving in new or unfamiliar areas within broader (or multidisciplinary) contexts related to the LSCM field.
- CA33 (Competence) Compile, adapt and/or combine the ideas, strategies, methodologies and/or tools
 used to address the research objectives and question(s) set out in the Master's Degree Final Project in
 a consistent, systematic and holistic manner, including relevant environmental, quality, technological
 and economic considerations.
- 3. CA34 (Competence) Prepare and/or compile solid arguments supported by quantitative models, analytical methods and/or technologies that will convince your audience of the solution and conclusions drawn
- 4. CA35 (Competence) Compile, analyse and manage the information obtained from sources relevant to the research objectives and research question(s) posed, by referencing, bearing the gender perspective and its potential bias in mind, and reflecting on the resolution process followed in order to identify the lessons learned.
- 5. CA36 (Competence) Tackle a novel problem in the field of LSCM from a scientific perspective in order to devise and propose a rigorous and efficient approach to problem solving.
- 6. CA37 (Competence) Gather and develop the main aspects to be considered in solving a given problem, making the scope and limitations of the task clear in order to elaborate the defended solution.
- 7. KA31 (Knowledge) Identify stereotypes and gender roles that appear in supervised work, as well as in heterogeneous working groups.
- 8. SA46 (Skill) Document, justify and defend the conclusions, knowledge and final justifications drawn from the Master's Degree Final Project, demonstrating synthesis and presentation skills, before specialised and non-specialised audiences, clearly and unambiguously in both oral and written English.

Content

The contents associated with the master thesis depend on its subject and scope. There are three basic types of projects:

- Projects offered by professors from the Master faculty
- Projects developed in collaboration with companies to meet a specific need
- Projects based on proposals made by the student.

In the two latter cases, the student must send to the Master coordinator and/or designated professor the following information:

- 1. Brief summary of the idea/theme, objectives, scope and a scheme with the literature review.
- 2. In the student opinion, the professor who considers most appropriate for supervising the work

The necessary guidelines for the preparation of the Master Thesis are given in the methodology section of this document.

The maximum length of the Master Thesis is 40,000 words. The work must be written English.

Activities and Methodology

Title	Hours	ECTS	Learning Outcomes
Type: Supervised			
Elaborate the work plan	20	0.8	
Validation of the methodology to be applied	30	1.2	
Type: Autonomous			
Development of the state of the art and of the original methodology	500	20	
Dissertation writing	200	8	

a) The role of the supervisor

Each student will be assigned a supervisor among professors of the LSCM faculty to guide the student in a personalized way in realizing the Master Thesis. A Master Thesis can also be co-supervised by two professors from different LSCM partners. Projects developed in collaboration with a company can also have a co-supervisor designated by the company in addition to the member of the LSCM faculty.

The student must agree with the supervisor(s) the topic for the project. Students should contact their supervisor to arrange meetings held throughout the semester. It is always recommended that students send their supervisor written documents before the meetings.

Once agreed the project subject, the student will make, at least, four deliveries during its implementation:

- 1. A Work Plan shall contain a description of the problem, the objectives to achieve, methodology, and basic bibliography.
- 2. A review of the state of the art on the chosen theme and the design that serves to solve the problems considered
- 3. A section of experiments/development to validate and verify the methodology or proposed solution.
- 4. The final version of the report, and the corresponding powerpoint and, where appropriate, the associated code.

b) Development phases

In the development of any academic work, the following tasks must be distinguished:

- Choice and delimitation of the topic and objectives.
- Search for sources and literature: read what has been written about the thesis subject.
- Drawing of the structure: sort the ideas.
- Conceptual clarity, analysis and argumentation.

- Writing drafts and the final version.
- Care the formal presentation.
- Citations, bibliography and plagiarism.

Choice and delimitation of the topic and objectives

It is not always an easy or automatic process. Usually it starts maturing the various possibilities, which in this first phase are often too large to be addressed in a single work. So, start by delimiting the subject of study when delving into the chosen field. In order to delimit the object of work, it is worth to identify the different aspects and facets of the topic of interest. The Master Thesis should focus on a specific question.

Search for sources and literature: read what has been written about the thesis subject

The first step to obtain information on a topic usually is to perform a literature search in the library catalogue. The student has computer access to the various libraries at the LSCM consortium.

In the first instance, the student should consult the most recent and general literature and then limiting it according to the interest. A common practice to find literature on a topic is to consult authors and works that in turn are cited by authors we read. Thus, sometimes it is enough to find a couple of good references on a topic (especially if they are recent), so other earlier works on the same topic will appear cited.

The bibliography serves for different purposes when carrying out the work. First, querying works of others allows us to delimiting the subject under study. It also provides information on how it has been previously studied this topic: concepts used, provided explanations, used theoretical approaches, and methods of applied analysis. In addition, in the consulted works we find interesting facts and arguments to support our claims in the work we do.

Drawing of the structure: sort the ideas

It is difficult to give general guidelines for determining the structure of an academic work, as it largely depends on the topic in questionand the preferences of the author. There is always more than one possible structure; it is the author duty to assess the advantages and disadvantages of each option. Often the work structure is modified as it progresses in its execution. But it is essential to start from an initial structure.

The structure of a work is reflected in the chapters, sections and subsections in which the exposition is ordered. To move forward in its development it is worth to make various schemes where deciding which are the most important aspects of the subject matter, which will become chapters. Within each chapter, it can be distinguished in turn the different issues which are the sections or subsections. To guide the reader is recommended numbering the different parts of the structure.

In addition to the core of the work whose structure varies depending on the subject of study, there are two parts that should always appear in the thesis report: the introduction and conclusions. The introduction presents the topic, the objectives and scope of work set out. You can also briefly describe the structure and the way in which the work is performed to achieve these objectives. The conclusion part summarizes the main findings or fundamental points of the work. You can also add some thoughts about the fundamental points that may constitute a topic for future work.

Conceptual clarity, analysis and argumentation

In the work it must be clear what is the meaning of the terms used, particularly if they are controversial. However, it is not a good idea to articulate the thesis only around a conceptual debate. It is notamatter of creating new definitions but knowing those that are found and, as far as possible, adopt the existing concepts to advance in the arguments clearly. From reading, reflection, and if it is the case, the analysis of the data we have, we will develop the content of each of the sections and the fundamental ideas that we hold. It is imperative for our claims to be grounded in data and/or solid arguments from a methodological approach.

Writing drafts and the final version

To do a good job generally requires writing several drafts to reach the final version. In these successive versions we will make decisions regarding the location of the information, assigning it to one or other section,

and even varying the structure of work. Additionally, in this process we improve the wording, so that an efficiently transfer of ideas is achieved. Do not consider drafting work as a superficial task, since words are the vehicle of the ideas that we transmit. What is judged from a work is what emerges from reading it and so vehicle of the ideas that we transmit. What is judged from a work is what emerges from reading it and so should you care to the maximum its writing.

References and comments should be made to the information contained in tables, figures, and graphs. Sometimes you can make summary tables of findings or of the analysis scheme. These tables are not a substitute for the explanation of the text, but are used to complete and better convey the author's ideas, giving a global view.

The writing style logically depends on each person. However, in general, it is advisable to limit to the maximum any poetic license and to use an as neutral, clear and impersonal style as possible. The most personal styles are suitable for other areas, but not for academic work.

The wording must take into account the citations. An academic work has to identify and acknowledge sources when:

- exactly passages from another author's work (using quotation marks) are cited;
- passages from the work of another author are summarized;
- data, methods or information contained in other works are used;
- or ideas or contents developed previously by other authors are used.

Care the formal presentation

When developing any work is essential to have formal consideration of the following aspects:

- The work is presented typed, bound (no clips), and with all pages numbered;
- On the cover the full name of the author and tutor, date, degree, and the title of the work must be clearly marked (a template is provided)
- After the cover sheet comes the certification sheet of the work supervision (a template is provided) and then the index of the work
- The tables, figures, tables and graphs that are included must be numbered, titled and indicating the sources when appropriate.
- At the end of the work report, a relationship of the literature and other sources used for the work must be included.
- You should check spelling and perform various readings of the final version for errors.

It is very important to look after the general formal presentation of work. This has nothing to do with the use of a high quality paper (recycled paper is perfectly valid), or use seven different colours (except for graphics whose reading is facilitated by the use of colours). It is important to use uniform styles to clearly identify chapters, sections, subsections, notes, and so on.

These aspects are essential conditions for a Master Thesis to be evaluated. Therefore, Master Thesis with formal defects will not be evaluated.

Citations, bibliography and plagiarism

Whenever an idea or information that has not produced oneself is used, its source must be cited. This practice is essential and critical for two reasons:

- The honest student or researcher acknowledges ideas that are not yours; otherwise would be committing plagiarism.
- The author offers its readers the chance to go to the original sources to verify the information or to complete it.

A thesis that is not citing references in the right way will not be evaluated. The cases of plagiarism will entail the automatic failing of the work.

It is considered plagiarism (http://www.plagiarism.org/):

- Presenting the work of others as the own work;
- Adopt words or ideas of others without proper recognition (i.e., without citing);
- Not use of quotes in a literal quotation;
- Give incorrect information about the true source of a quotation;
- Paraphrasing a source without mentioning the source (i.e., without citing);
- Abusive paraphrasing, even if the source is mentioned (i.e., the "rehash")

The thesis reports must include the following signed declaration right after the front cover:

Declaration of Honour

I hereby declare that I am the sole author of this master thesis entitled <<Title goes here>>, in cooperation with the company <<company name if appropriated>>. This thesis is the result of my own work and I did not receive any help or support fromcommercial consultants. I declare that I only used those resources that are referenced in the work. All formulations and concepts taken from printed, verbal or onlinesources be they word-for-word quotations or corresponding in their meaning are quoted according to the rules of good scientific conduct and are indicated by footnotes, in the text or other forms of detailed references. Support during the work including significant supervision is indicated accordingly.

Furthermore, I confirm that this thesis has not been yet submitted as part of another examination process neither identical nor in similar form.

I am aware of legal consequences of a false declaration of honour.

Annotation: Within the schedule set by the centre or degree programme, 15 minutes of one class will be reserved for students to evaluate their lecturers and their courses or modules through questionnaires.

Assessment

Continous Assessment Activities

Title	Weighting	Hours	ECTS	Learning Outcomes
Dissertation presentation (written and oral)	20%	0	0	CA34, CA35, CA37, SA46
Master thesis contents	80%	0	0	CA32, CA33, CA34, CA35, CA36, CA37, KA31, SA46

About the composition of the Examination Panel

In any case, at least two examiners will evaluate the Master Thesis. In the specific case of the thesis submitted and defended at UAB, the examination panel will be composed by three members, each of them holding the Phd degree.

The partner university where the student is assigned to do his Master Thesis designates at least one of the examiners. At least one of the examiners belongs to one of the other partner universities.

About the examination rules

The defence takes place at the university where the Master Thesis got submitted. The physical presence of student is required but, exceptionally, student can run examination remotely in the presence of the company supervisor. The second and, eventually, third examiners might attend to the defence per video conferencing.

The Master Thesis will be evaluated on the basis of the written report and the oral presentation. In order to achieve consistency in evaluating Master Theses, the guidelines and assessment criteria of the partners are checked and integrated into a common framework. This uses percentages that form the basis for the grading schemes used in the various partner universities. The framework is designed to guide the assessment but leave some flexibility for interpretation, at the discretion of the examiners.

The following table shows the common evaluation criteria and weights that will be applied:

Scientific approach and results

40%

- Scientific approach and results
- Definition of goals, research question
- Quality of results
- Achievements, applicability Literature research

Structure of the thesis and problem-solving cycle

40%

- Structure and consistency
- Problem definition
- Alternative solutions
- Decision process
- Competences used
- Application of methods and tools
- Initiative and creativity

Design and formal aspects

20%

- Completeness
- Formal structure
- Layout
- Visualization, tables
- Writing style and language
- Citation
- Oral presentation

Bibliography

Will depend on the work topics

Software

No specific S/W can be anticipated since it will depend on the thesis topic and goals.

Language list

Information on the teaching languages can be checked on the CONTENTS section of the guide.