

Bachelor's Degree Final Project

Code: 106721
ECTS Credits: 7

2025/2026

Degree	Type	Year
Medicine	OB	6

Contact

Name: Eva Maria Cabeza Martinez Caceres

Email: evamariacabeza.martinez@uab.cat

Teachers

Javier Serra Aracil

Alberto Selva O'Callaghan

Jose Pablo Maroto Rey

Gianluca Pellino

Teaching groups languages

You can view this information at the [end](#) of this document.

Prerequisites

-

You must have passed at least two thirds of the total credits of the study plan (240 ECTS)
Have passed all the subjects of the first and second year of the de

"For this subject, the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies is permitted exclusively in support tasks

Objectives and Contextualisation

-

The student must prepare the formulation of a research project to achieve the following objectives:

To formulate a problem or research question identifying the different components of the statement.

To carry out a bibliographic review of the scientific evidence that exists on the chosen research problem.

To write the antecedents or theoretical framework, scientific basis of the research question.

To formulate the hypothesis and research objectives. To justify the usefulness and applicability of the res

To specify the appropriate methodology to achieve the research objectives.

To consider potential ethical conflicts.

To develop a work plan with a schedule that guarantees that the research project is viable over time.

To define the research team and estimate the budget.

Competences

- Be able to work in an international context.
- Communicate clearly, orally and in writing, with other professionals and the media.
- Convey knowledge and techniques to professionals working in other fields.
- Critically assess and use clinical and biomedical information sources to obtain, organise, interpret and present information on science and health.
- Demonstrate a sufficient command of English, both oral and written, for effective scientific and professional communication.
- Demonstrate basic research skills.
- Demonstrate, in professional activity, a perspective that is critical, creative and research-oriented.
- Demonstrate understanding of basic statistical methodologies used in biomedical and clinical studies and use the analytic tools of modern computational technology.
- Demonstrate understanding of the importance and the limitations of scientific thought to the study, prevention and management of diseases.
- Design and manage programmes and projects in the field of health.
- Formulate hypotheses and compile and critically assess information for problem-solving, using the scientific method.
- Maintain and sharpen one's professional competence, in particular by independently learning new material and techniques and by focusing on quality.
- Organise and plan time and workload in professional activity.
- Use information and communication technologies in professional practice.

Learning Outcomes

1. Analyse information from experiments and clinical trials.
2. Be able to work in an international context.
3. Communicate clearly, orally and in writing, with other professionals and the media.
4. Convey knowledge and techniques to professionals working in other fields.
5. Demonstrate a sufficient command of English, both oral and written, for effective scientific and professional communication.
6. Demonstrate basic research skills.
7. Demonstrate, in professional activity, a perspective that is critical, creative and research-oriented.
8. Explain the principles of health demography.
9. Formulate hypotheses and compile and critically assess information for problem-solving, using the scientific method.
10. Identify suitable concepts and methodologies for developing appropriate research projects in medicine.
11. Identify the health needs of the population.
12. Identify the main experimental techniques in medicine and their usefulness to basic and applied research.
13. Interpret health indicators.
14. Interpret scientific texts and write review papers on medicine.
15. Interpret the results of experimental techniques in medicine.
16. Interpret the scientific literature and the results of scientific studies.
17. Maintain and sharpen one's professional competence, in particular by independently learning new material and techniques and by focusing on quality.
18. Obtain information from medical databases.
19. Organise and plan time and workload in professional activity.
20. Present orally a summary of the review paper.
21. Use information and communication technologies in professional practice.
22. Write a review paper on a current topic in the field of medicine.

Content

-

Individual students must prepare a research project for which they must present a written report*. The report must include the following sections:

The following sections must be included with content adapted to the type of methodology used (quantitative or qualitative):

Title and author

Background

Initial hypothesis and objectives

Material and methods

work plan schedule

Expected results, applicability

Diffusion plan

Research team.

Budget

Bibliography.

Students must present and defend their project in front of a court with audiovisual support.

* Some end-of-degree work proposals may be linked to Service Learning (ApS) projects. These social co

Activities and Methodology

Title	Hours	ECTS	Learning Outcomes
<hr/>			
Type: Supervised			
Reserach project	8	0.32	1, 3, 7, 6, 4, 8, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, 14, 17, 18, 19, 22, 2
<hr/>			
Type: Autonomous			
Manuscript and preparation slides for oral presentation	159	6.36	1, 3, 7, 5, 6, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 2, 21
<hr/>			

The end-of-degree work is done individually and does not involve practical work.

Topic: the student can choose the topic of his/her work freely according to their interests.

Tutor assignment: each student will have a tutor who will monitor their work.

Follow-up: attendance at face-to-face (or virtual*) tutorials is mandatory.

The tutor will continuously evaluate the work done by the student in 3 reports.

Oral presentation: it is mandatory. Students will make an oral presentation.

Final documentation to deliver: Each student must deliver to his/her tutor

* Depending on the restrictions on presence imposed by the health authorities.

Coordinators of TFG: General coordinator: Dra. Eva Martínez Cáceres

Coordinators at the UDH: Hospital de Sant Pau: Dr. Jose Pablo Maroto Rey; CH Parc Taulí: DR. Xavier Serra Aracil; Hospital Vall d'Hebron: Dr. Albert Selva O'Callaghan; Hospital Germans Trias i Pujol: Dra. E. Martínez Cáceres

Note: 15 minutes will be set aside after the oral presentation, within the schedule, for students to evaluate their lecturers and their courses or modules through questionnaires.

Annotation: Within the schedule set by the centre or degree programme, 15 minutes of one class will be reserved for students to evaluate their lecturers and their courses or modules through questionnaires.

Assessment

Continuous Assessment Activities

Title	Weighting	Hours	ECTS	Learning Outcomes
Oral Defense of the work	60%	1	0.04	1, 3, 7, 6, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21
Written report	40%	7	0.28	1, 3, 7, 5, 6, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 2

The evaluation of the Final Degree Thesis is based on the tutor's monitoring of the student's work and on the assessment of the final oral presentation.

The TFG qualification will consist of the following components,

a. Tutor assessment. (0-10, 40% of the grade). It evaluates the involvement of the student in the initial session (10%),

Intermediate session/progress (25%)

The final session (25%)

Memory evaluation (40%).

b. Evaluation of the court (0-10, 60% of the grade). It evaluates the work conditions for calculating the final grade

Conditions for calculating the final grade

1. That the score of the initial evaluation session is equal to or higher than 7.

2. Considering that the first criterion is met, the other condition is that the student has a minimum of 7 in the final session.

3. If the oral presentation and defense is in English, the increase of up to 2 points is considered.

Students who do not take both the theoretical (report) and practical (oral presentation) assessment tests will be considered as failing the subject.

* The proposed assessment may undergo some modification depending on the restrictions on attendance imposed by the health authorities.

This subject/module does not provide for the single assessment system.

Bibliography

Báez y Pérez de Tudela J. Investigación cualitativa. Madrid: Esic; 2007.

EDITION in PAPER DE 2009 2a EDICIÓ ISBN 9788473565998

Argimon JM, Jiménez J. Métodos de investigación clínica y epidemiológica. 4a de. Barcelona: Elsevier; 2013.

DIGITAL EDITION DIGITAL 2019, 5a EDICIÓ

https://bibcercador.uab.cat/permalink/34CSUC_UAB/avjcib/alma991000574889706709

Delgado M, Doménech JM, Llorca J. Metodología de la investigación sanitaria. Barcelona: Signo; 2004.

Denzin NK, Lincoln YS. Manual de investigación cualitativa. Barcelona: Gedisa; 2011.

PAPER EDITION 2017

Macklin, R. La ética y la investigación clínica. Barcelona: Fundació Victor Grífols i Lucas, DL; 2010.

DIGITAL EDITION 2010

https://bibcercador.uab.cat/permalink/34CSUC_UAB/1eqfv2p/alma991010514358306709

Ruiz Olabuénaga JI. Metodología de la investigación cualitativa. 4a ed. Bilbao: Universidad de Deusto; 2007.

DIGITAL EDITION 2012

https://bibcercador.uab.cat/permalink/34CSUC_UAB/avjcib/alma991006833849706709

Ferrer V, Carmona M, Sorris V. El trabajo de fin de Grado. Guía para estudiantes, docentes y agentes colaboradores. Madrid: McGrawHill; 2013

DIGITAL AND PAPER EDITION 2013

https://bibcercador.uab.cat/permalink/34CSUC_UAB/1eqfv2p/alma991003307149706709

Normas CONSORT (Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials).

(no available catàleg de la UAB).

STARD 2015: an updated list of essential items for reporting diagnostic accuracy studies. BMJ: The British Medical Journal. 2015 Oct. 26; 351 :1-9

DIGITAL EDITION

https://bibcercador.uab.cat/discovery/openurl?institution=34CSUC_UAB&vid=34CSUC_UAB:VU1&id=pmid:2651

Chan AW, Tetzlaff JM, Altman DG, Laupacis et al. SPIRIT 2013 Statement: defining standard protocol items for clinical trials. Rev Panam Salud Pública. 2015;38(6):506-14.

DIGITAL EDITION

https://bibcercador.uab.cat/permalink/34CSUC_UAB/1eqfv2p/alma99101034324910670911

Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, et al.. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. JAMA. 2000 Apr 19;283(15):2008-12. Review

DIGITAL EDITION

https://bibcercador.uab.cat/permalink/34CSUC_UAB/1eqfv2p/alma991000319099706709

Vallvé C, Artés M, Cobo E; TREND group. [Non-randomized evaluation studies(TREND)]. Med Clin (Barc). 2005;125 Suppl 1:38-42. Spanish

PAPER EDITION

Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandebroucke JP; STROBE Initiative. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. J Clin Epidemiol. 2008 Apr;61(4):344-9

PAPER EDITION

Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D; CONSORT Group. CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. Int J Surg. 2011;9:672-7

DIGITAL EDITION

https://bibcercador.uab.cat/permalink/34CSUC_UAB/1eqfv2p/alma991010398873606709

Brooke BS, Ghaferi AA, Kibbe MR. Effective use of reporting guidelines to improve the quality of surgical research. JAMA Surg. 2021; 156: 515-516

DIGITAL EDITION

https://bibcercador.uab.cat/permalink/34CSUC_UAB/1eqfv2p/alma991010398873606709

Xavier Serra-Aracil Manuel López Cano Eduardo Mª Targarona Soler. Cómo y por qué investigar en Cirugía. En: Manual de la sección de formación de la AEC. Guías clínicas de la Asociación Española de cirujanos.

DIGITAL EDITION

1. https://bibcercador.uab.cat/permalink/34CSUC_UAB/1eqfv2p/alma991010763032706709

Software

Groups and Languages

Please note that this information is provisional until 30 November 2025. You can check it through this [link](#). To consult the language you will need to enter the CODE of the subject.