

## ON A CHARACTERIZATION OF AZUMAYA ALGEBRAS

WARREN DICKS

### Abstract

A direct proof of Braun's characterization of Azumaya algebras is given.

Let  $A$  be a ring (associative, with 1),  $Z$  its centre, and  $U = A \otimes_Z A^{\text{op}}$ . There is an obvious left  $U$ -action on  $A$ , which we shall denote by  $U \times A \rightarrow A$ ,  $(u, a) \mapsto u * a$ . Let  $J$  denote the kernel of the map  $U \rightarrow A$ ,  $u \mapsto u * 1$ , so  $J$  is just the left annihilator of  $1 \in A$ . Notice  $J$  contains  $a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a$  for all  $a \in A$ .

It is well known that the following properties are equivalent, cf. [2, p.52].

- (1)  ${}_U A$  is a projective generator; that is, there is some  $e \in U$  such that  $e * 1 = 1$ ,  $Je = 0$ ,  $UeU = U$ .
- (2)  $A_Z$  is a projective generator and the natural ring homomorphism  $U \rightarrow \text{End}_Z(A)$  is an isomorphism.
- (3)  ${}_U A$  is projective; that is, there is some  $e \in U$  such that  $e * 1 = 1$  and  $Je = 0$ .

A ring satisfying these equivalent conditions is called an *Azumaya algebra*. In [1, Theorem 4.1], Braun gives the further characterization

- (4) There is some  $e \in U$  such that  $e * 1 = 1$ ,  $e * A \subseteq Z$ .

In fact (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) by Morita equivalence; (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is trivial; and (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) since  $J * (e * A) = (Je) * A = 0 * A = 0$  so  $e * A \subseteq Z$ . The purpose of this note is to give a direct proof that (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).

Thus, suppose there is some  $e \in U$  such that  $e * 1 = 1$ ,  $e * A \subseteq Z$ . We show first that  $UeU = U$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a} = \{a \in A \mid a \otimes 1 \in UeU\}$ . This is clearly a (two-sided) ideal of  $A$ ; if  $\mathfrak{a} = A$  then  $UeU = U$  as desired, so we may assume there is a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $A$  containing  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

Let us give  $U$  two left  $U$ -module structures  $U \times U \rightarrow U$ ,  $(u, v) \mapsto u *_1 v$ ,  $u *_2 v$  by setting  $u *_1 (a \otimes b) = (u * a) \otimes b$ ,  $u *_2 (a \otimes b) = a \otimes (u * b)$ . It is easy to see these are well-defined. Notice  $e *_2 (a \otimes b) = a \otimes (e * b) = a(e * b) \otimes 1$ ; notice also that  $U *_2 (UeU) \subseteq UeU$ . Hence every element of  $e *_2 (UeU)$  is of the form  $a \otimes 1$  for some  $a \in \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ .

Let  $\bar{A} = A/\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $\bar{Z} = Z/(Z \cap \mathfrak{m})$ ,  $\bar{U} = \bar{A} \otimes_{\bar{Z}} \bar{A}^{\text{op}}$  and let the maps  $A \rightarrow \bar{A}$ ,  $U \rightarrow \bar{U}$  be denoted  $x \mapsto \bar{x}$ . Then  $\bar{e} * \bar{1} = \bar{1}$ ,  $\bar{e} * \bar{A} \subseteq \bar{Z}$ . But  $\bar{Z}$  lies in the centre

of  $\bar{A}$ , which in turn is acted on trivially by  $\bar{e}$  so  $\bar{Z}$  is the centre of  $\bar{A}$ . Since  $\bar{A}$  is simple, it follows that  $\bar{U}$  is simple. Also  $\bar{e} * \bar{1} = \bar{1}$  so  $\bar{e} \neq 0$  and therefore  $\bar{U} \bar{e} \bar{U} = \bar{U}$ . But  $\bar{1} \otimes \bar{1} = \bar{e} *_2 (\bar{1} \otimes \bar{1}) \in \bar{e} *_2 \bar{U} \bar{e} \bar{U} = e *_2 U e U = 0$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof that  $U e U = U$ .

It remains to verify that  $J e = 0$ .

We claim that for any  $u \in U$ ,  $(U e U) *_2 u \subseteq (u *_1 U) U$ . Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} v e w *_2 (\sum a_i \otimes b_i) &= \sum a_i \otimes v e w * b_i = \sum a_i \otimes (v * 1)(e w * b_i) \\ &= \sum a_i (e w * b_i) \otimes (v * 1) = \sum_j [(\sum_i a_i \otimes b_i) *_1 (c_j \otimes (v * 1))] (d_j \otimes 1) \end{aligned}$$

where  $e w = \sum c_j \otimes d_j$ . Now  $J e * A = J * (e * A) \subseteq J * Z = 0$  so  $J e * A = 0$ . But  $J e \subseteq U *_2 (J e) = (U e U) *_2 (J e) \subseteq ((J e) *_1 U) U$  which is 0 since  $J e * A = 0$ , so  $J e = 0$  and (1) holds.

**Remarks.** (i) The above proof of  $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  is more direct than the one given in [2].

(ii) It is clear from (4) that  $A \rightarrow Z$ ,  $a \mapsto e * a$ , is a retraction of  $A$  to  $Z$ ; thus in (2) one can strengthen the condition that  $A_Z$  be a projective generator to  $(A/Z)_Z$  being finitely generated projective.

## References

1. A. BRAUN, On Artin's theorem and Azumaya algebras, *J. Algebra* 77 (1982), 323–332.
2. F. DEMAYER, E. INGRAHAM, Separable algebras over commutative rings, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 181 (1971), Springer-Verlag, New York.

Departament de Matemàtiques  
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona  
08193 Bellaterra, SPAIN

Rebut el 28 de Gener de 1988