

## THE LIONS'S PROBLEM FOR GUSTAVSSON-PEETRE FUNCTOR

E.I. BEREZNOÏ AND M. MASTYLO

### Abstract

The problem of coincidence of the interpolation spaces obtained by use of the interpolation method of Gustavsson-Peetre generated by (parameters) quasi-concave functions is investigated. It is shown that a restriction of this method to the class of all non-trivial Banach couples gives different interpolation spaces whenever two different parameters satisfying some conditions are used.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\bar{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  be a compatible couple of Banach spaces (see [1] for fundamental definitions) and let  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$  be an *interpolation functor* depending on a parameter. In the theory of interpolation spaces is well-known the problem of Lions: whether an interpolation family  $\{\mathcal{F}_\alpha\}$  depends effectively on its parameter. In the complex case, i.e.  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(\bar{X}) = [\bar{X}]_\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , Stafney [8] proved that (under certain auxiliary density assumptions on the couple  $\bar{X}$ ) if  $[\bar{X}]_{\alpha_0} = [\bar{X}]_{\alpha_1}$  for some  $\alpha_0 \neq \alpha_1$ , then  $X_0 = X_1$ .

The complete answer to the Lions's problem for the real interpolation method was given in [4].

In this paper we consider the Lions's problem for interpolation spaces generated by the functor of Gustavsson-Peetre.

### 2. Results

Throughout this section  $\mathcal{P}$  denotes the set of all *quasi-concave* functions  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  ( $\varphi$  is quasi-concave if  $0 < \varphi(s) \leq \max(1, s/t)\varphi(t)$  for all  $s, t > 0$ ). By  $\mathcal{P}_0$  we denote the subset of  $\mathcal{P}$  consisting of all  $\varphi$  with  $\varphi(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and  $\varphi(t)/t \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . For a Banach couple  $\bar{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_0$  the space  $(\bar{X}, \varphi) := G_\varphi(\bar{X})$  introduced in [2] consists of all  $x \in X_0 + X_1$  such that

$$x = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} x_\nu \quad (\text{convergence in } X_0 + X_1), \quad x_\nu \in X_0 \cap X_1$$

and for every finite subset  $F \subset \mathbb{Z}$  and every  $\xi = \{\xi_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with  $|\xi_\nu| \leq 1$ , we have

$$(*) \quad \left\| \sum_{\nu \in F} \xi_\nu \frac{2^{j\nu}}{\varphi(2^\nu)} x_\nu \right\|_{X_j} \leq C \quad (j = 0, 1)$$

with  $C$  independent of  $F$  and  $\xi$ . It is well-known that  $G_\varphi(\bar{X})$  is a Banach space with the norm  $\|x\|_\varphi = \inf C$ . Moreover  $G_\varphi$  is an *exact interpolation functor* (see [2], [3], [6]). For the other interesting descriptions of the functor  $G_\varphi$  and its properties (see [3], [5], [6]). If  $\varphi(t) = t^\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then we write  $G_\alpha(\bar{X})$  instead of  $G_\varphi(\bar{X})$ .

In this section we will show that under some conditions on  $\varphi_0$  and  $\varphi_1$ ,  $G_{\varphi_0}(\bar{X}) \neq G_{\varphi_1}(\bar{X})$  provided  $\bar{X}$  is a *non-trivial* couple of Banach spaces, i.e.  $X_0 \cap X_1$  is non-closed subspace of  $X_0 + X_1$ .

First we give auxiliary results. In what follows  $B_X$  denotes the closed unit ball of a Banach space  $X$ . The following lemma is a modification of Lemma 1 of [8] (for completeness sake we give a proof).

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\bar{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  be a couple of Banach spaces such that  $X_0 \cap X_1 \neq \{0\}$ . If there exist  $0 < q < 1$  and  $c > 0$  such that*

$$(1) \quad \sup_{x \in B_{X_0}} \inf_{y \in X_0 \cap cB_{X_1}} \|x - y\|_{X_0} < q,$$

*then  $X_0 \subset X_1$  with continuous embedding.*

*Proof:* Let  $0 \neq x_0 \in B_{X_0}$ , then there exists  $y_1 \in X_0 \cap cB_{X_1}$  such that  $r_1 = \|x_0 - y_1\|_{X_0} \leq q$  by (1). Now put  $x_1 = r_1^{-1}(x_0 - y_1)$ , provided  $r_1 > 0$ , then  $\|x_1\|_{X_0} = 1$ . Similarly, we get that  $r_2 = \|x_1 - y_2\|_{X_0} \leq q$  for some  $y_2 \in X_0 \cap cB_{X_1}$  and  $\|x_2\|_{X_0} = 1$  for  $x_2 = r_2^{-1}(x_1 - y_2)$ , provided  $r_2 > 0$ .

Since  $r_1 \leq q$ , so  $\|x_0 - y_1 - r_1 y_2\|_{X_0} \leq r_1 q \leq q^2$ .

Proceeding by induction we see that there exists a sequence  $\{y_k\} \subset X_0 \cap cB_{X_1}$  such that

$$\|x_0 - y_1 - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k y_{k+1}\|_{X_0} \leq q^{n+1}$$

holds for  $r_n = \|x_{n-1} - y_n\|_{X_0} \leq q$ ,  $x_n = r_n^{-1}(x_{n-1} - y_n)$ , where  $a_n = r_1 \dots r_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (without loss of generality we assume that  $r_n > 0$ ). This implies that  $x_0 = y_1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n y_{n+1}$  (convergence in  $X_0$ ). Further  $y_1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n y_{n+1} \in X_1$  by  $\|a_n y_{n+1}\|_{X_1} \leq cq^{n+1}$ . Since  $\bar{X}$  is a Banach couple,  $x_0 \in X_1$  and thus  $X_0 \subset X_1$  with continuous embedding. ■

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\bar{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  be a Banach couple and let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_0$ . Suppose that  $x = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} x_\nu$  (convergence in  $X_0 + X_1$ ) satisfies the condition (\*). Then for each positive integer  $N$  the following hold:*

(a) The series  $\sum_{\nu=-\infty}^N x_\nu$  is convergent in  $X_0$  and  $\|\sum_{\nu=-\infty}^N x_\nu\|_{X_0} \leq C\varphi(2^N)$ .

(b) The series  $\sum_{\nu=N}^\infty x_\nu$  is convergent in  $X_1$  and  $\|\sum_{\nu=N}^\infty x_\nu\|_{X_1} \leq C\varphi(2^N)/2^N$ .

*Proof:* (a) Fix positive integer  $N$  and put  $S_k = \sum_{\nu=-k}^N x_\nu$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for  $m > k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_m - S_k\|_{X_0} &= \left\| \sum_{\nu=-m}^{-k-1} x_\nu \right\|_{X_0} = \left\| \sum_{\nu=-m}^{-k-1} \varphi(2^\nu) \left( \frac{1}{\varphi(2^\nu)} \right) x_\nu \right\|_{X_0} \\ &\leq C\varphi(2^{-k-1}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

by (\*) and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_0$ . This shows that  $\{S_k\}$  converges in  $X_0$ . Since

$$\|S_k\|_{X_0} \leq C\varphi(2^N)$$

for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , it follows that  $\|\sum_{\nu=-\infty}^N x_\nu\|_{X_0} \leq C\varphi(2^N)$ . In the similar way we get the proof of (b). ■

In the sequel for given two functions  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1 \in \mathcal{P}$  we write  $\varphi_{01}(t) = \varphi_0(t)/\varphi_1(t)$  for  $t > 0$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\bar{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  be a couple of Banach spaces and let  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1 \in \mathcal{P}_0$ . Then  $G_{\varphi_0}(\bar{X}) \neq G_{\varphi_1}(\bar{X})$  provided one of the following conditions holds

(a)  $X_0 \cap X_1$  is non-closed subspace in  $X_1$  and  $\varphi_{01}(2^\nu) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ .

(b)  $X_0 \cap X_1$  is non-closed subspace in  $X_0$  and  $\varphi_{01}(2^{-\nu}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof:* Let  $\varphi_{01}(2^\nu) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ . First we show that if  $G_{\varphi_0}(\bar{X}) = G_{\varphi_1}(\bar{X})$ , then

$$(**) \quad G_{\varphi_0}(\bar{X}) \subset X_0.$$

To see this take  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(2) \quad \varphi_{01}(2^\nu) < \varepsilon/2C$$

for  $\nu \geq N$ , where  $C$  is a constant of embedding  $G_{\varphi_1}(\bar{X})$  into  $G_{\varphi_0}(\bar{X})$ .

Now let  $x \in G_{\varphi_0}(\bar{X})$  with  $\|x\|_{\varphi_0} \leq 1$ . Then  $x = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^\infty x_\nu$  (convergence in  $X_0 + X_1$ ) for some  $x_\nu \in X_0 \cap X_1$  and

$$(3) \quad \left\| \sum_{\nu \in F} \xi_\nu \frac{2^{j\nu}}{\varphi_0(2^\nu)} x_\nu \right\|_{X_j} \leq 2\|\xi\|_{\ell_\infty}, \quad j = 0, 1$$

for every finite subset  $F \subset \mathbb{Z}$  and each  $\xi = \{\xi_\nu\} \in \ell_\infty$ .

Put  $y = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{N-1} x_\nu$  and  $c = 2\varphi_0(2^{N-1})$ , then  $y \in G_{\varphi_0}(\bar{X}) \cap cB_{X_0}$  by Lemma 2(a). Define  $\{u_\nu\} \subset X_0 \cap X_1$  by  $u_\nu = x_\nu$  for  $\nu \in A = \{\nu \in \mathbb{Z} : \nu \geq N\}$  and  $u_\nu = 0$  for  $\nu \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus A$ . Then  $x - y = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} u_\nu$  (convergence in  $X_1$ ) by Lemma 2. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{\nu \in F} \xi_\nu \frac{2^{j\nu}}{\varphi_1(2^\nu)} u_\nu \right\|_{X_j} &= \left\| \sum_{\nu \in F \cap A} \xi_\nu \varphi_{01}(2^\nu) \frac{2^{j\nu}}{\varphi_0(2^\nu)} x_\nu \right\|_{X_j} \\ &\leq \varepsilon C^{-1} \|\xi\|_{\ell_\infty}, \quad j = 0, 1 \end{aligned}$$

holds for every finite subset  $F \subset \mathbb{Z}$  and each  $\xi = \{\xi_\nu\} \in \ell_\infty$ , by (2) and (3). Hence  $\|x - y\|_{\varphi_0} \leq C\|x - y\|_{\varphi_1} \leq \varepsilon$ , so (\*\*) holds by Lemma 1.

It is easy to see that for every  $0 \neq x \in X_0 \cap X_1$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_0$  we have

$$(4) \quad \|x\|_\varphi \leq 2\|x\|_{X_0}/\varphi(\|x\|_{X_0}/\|x\|_{X_1}).$$

Now suppose that  $X_0 \cap X_1$  is non-closed in  $X_1$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X_0 \cap X_1$  such that  $\|x_n\|_{X_0 \cap X_1} = 1$  and  $\|x_n\|_{X_1} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Further, assume by way of contradiction that  $G_{\varphi_0}(\bar{X}) = G_{\varphi_1}(\bar{X})$ . Thus by the above established inclusion (\*\*), it follows that  $\|x\|_{X_0} \leq K\|x\|_{\varphi_1}$  for some  $K > 0$  and every  $x \in G_{\varphi_1}(\bar{X})$ . This implies that  $\varphi_1(\|x_n\|_{X_1}^{-1}) \leq 2K$  for enough large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by (4). A contradiction, since  $\varphi_1(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , by  $\varphi_{01}(2^\nu) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus the proof is finished if (a) holds. If the condition (b) holds, the proof is similar. ■

**Remark 1.** For each Banach couple  $\bar{X}$  and each  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_0$  the space  $G_\varphi(\bar{X})$  is contained in the closure of  $X_0 \cap X_1$  in  $X_0 + X_1$ . Thus  $G_\varphi(\bar{X}) = X_0 \cap X_1$  provided  $\bar{X}$  is a trivial couple, i.e.  $X_0 \cap X_1$  is closed subspace in  $X_0 + X_1$ .

**Corollary 1.** If  $\bar{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  is a non-trivial Banach couple, then  $G_\alpha(\bar{X}) \neq G_\beta(\bar{X})$  for each  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha \neq \beta$ .

*Proof:* It is easy to see that  $\bar{X}$  is non-trivial couple if and only if  $X_0 \cap X_1$  is a non-closed subspace in  $X_i$ ,  $i = 0$  or  $1$ . Thus Theorem 1 applies. ■

**Remark 2.** Peetre [7] defined (for the case  $\varphi(t) = t^\theta$ ,  $0 < \theta < 1$ ) the interpolation functor  $\langle \bar{X} \rangle_\varphi$  as the space of all sums  $\sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} x_\nu$  (convergence in  $X_0 + X_1$ ) such that  $\{x_\nu/\varphi(2^\nu)\}$  and  $\{2^\nu x_\nu/\varphi(2^\nu)\}$  are unconditionally convergent sequences in  $X_0$  and  $X_1$ , respectively. If we consider the Lions's problem for the functor  $\langle \cdot \rangle_\varphi$ , then by the same way we obtain that Theorem 1 holds for this functor.

## References

1. J. BERGH AND J. LÖFSTRÖM, "Interpolation Spaces," Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1976.
2. J. GUSTAVSSON AND J. PEETRE, Interpolation of Orlicz spaces, *Studia Math.* **60** (1977), 33-59.
3. S. JANSON, Minimal and maximal methods of interpolation, *J. Functional Anal.* **44** (1981), 50-73.
4. S. JANSON, P. NILSSON AND J. PEETRE, Notes on Wolff's note on interpolation spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **48** (1984), 283-299.
5. P. NILSSON, Interpolation of Banach lattices, *Studia Math.* **82** (1985), 135-154.
6. V.I. OVCHINNIKOV, The Method of Orbits in Interpolation, *Math. Reports* **1** (1984), 349-515.
7. J. PEETRE, Sur l'utilisation des suites inconditionnellement sommable dans la theorie des espaces d'interpolation, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **46** (1971), 173-190.
8. J.D. STAFNEY, Analytic interpolation of certain multiplier spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **32** (1970), 241-248.

E.I. Berežnoi : Yaroslavl State University  
Kirova 8/10, 150000 Yaroslavl  
U.S.S.R.

M. Mastyló : Institute of Mathematics  
A. Mickiewicz University  
Matejki 48/49, 60-769 Poznań  
POLAND.

Rebut el 16 de Juny de 1989