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#### Abstract

A new proof is obtained to the following fact: a Rickart $C^{*}$-algebra satisfies polar decomposition. Equivalently, matrix algebras over a Rickart $C^{*}$-algebra are also Rickart $C^{*}$-algebras.


## Introduction.

In this paper we give new proof of the following result: all Rickart $C^{*}$-algebras satisfy polar decomposition. This fact was established in [2] by P. Ara and author by using a suitable factorization of the elements in the regular overring of a finite Rickart $C^{*}$-algebra.
New proof also uses the construction of the regular overring, but in a different way. In particular, we don't need the result of Goodearl, Lawrence and Handelman about algebras without one-dimensional representations.

The regular ring of measurable operators of a finite $A W^{*}$-algebra was constructed by S. K. Berberian in [3]. Later Saito modified Berberian's approach for general $A W^{*}$-algebras [11]. E. Christensen constructed and investigated a *-algebra of measurable operators, associated to MSC $C^{*}$ algebras [5].

Handelman found a regular extension $Q(T)$ for a finite Rickart $C^{*}$ algebra $T$, using a technique of the module-homomorphisms on the essential countably generated ideals (instead of Berberian's coordinated sequences) $[\mathbf{9}]$. It was established ( $[\mathbf{1}],[\mathbf{1 0}]$ ) that a finite Rickart $C^{*}$ algebra $T$ satisfies polar decomposition iff the bounded elements of $Q(t)$ belong to $T$. Goodearl, Handelman and Lawrence have proved that $T$ satisfies polar decomosition in the case where $T$ has no one-dimensional representations (see [8]).
P. Ara in [1], using his special construction, proved that left and right projections of element in a Rickart $C^{*}$-algebra are equivalent and the
polar decomposition problem in general Rickart $C^{*}$-algebras can be reduced to the finite case. In this work Ara also proved an equivalence of the following conditions for a Rickart $C^{*}$-algebra $T$ :
(i) $T$ satisfies polar decomposition;
(ii) The matrix algebras $M_{n}(T)$ over $T$ are the Rickart $C^{*}$-algebras for all $n$;
(iii) The partial isometries of $T$ are $\aleph_{0}$-addable.

Finally, by development of the methods of [1], [8], it was proved in [2] that conditions (i)-(iii) are always fulfiled in Rickart $C^{*}$-algebras.

In [6], [7] was constructed a $*$-algebra of measurable operators for a finite Rickart $C^{*}$-algebra and were proved some algebraic properties of this $*$-algebra. We continue to develope this approach in order to solve the polar decomposition problem.

## 1. Preliminaries.

A $*$-algebra $A$ is Rickart, if for all $x \in A$ there exists a projection $e \in A$ such that $R(x)=\{a \in A \mid x a=0\}$ is $e A$. Because of the involution, $L(x)=\{a \in A \mid a x=0\}=T f$ for some projection $f$. We shall write $e=R A(x), f=L A(x), 1-e=R P(x), 1-f=L P(x)$ and $P(A)$ for the set of all projections of $A$.

The projections $e$ and $f$ are equivalent $(e \sim f)$ in a $*$-algebra $A$ if $e=u u^{*}, f=u^{*} u$ for some partial isometry $u \in A . A$ is finite if $p \sim 1$ implies $p=1$. A Rickart $C^{*}$-algebra is a $C^{*}$-algebra that is also a Rickart *-algebra. We recall some properties of the Rickart $C^{*}$-algebras.

Theorem 2.1. A Rickart $C^{*}$-algebra satisfies the following properties:
(i) $P(T)$ is $\aleph_{0}$-complete lattice partially ordered by $p \geq q$ iff $p q=q$ (see [4]).

If in addition $T$ is finite then the lattice $P(T)$ is $\aleph_{0}$-continuous [9, Cor. 1.1].
(ii) $L P(x) \sim R P(x)$ for all $x \in T$ [1, Th. 2.5].
(iii) For given sequences $\left(e_{n}\right)$ and $\left(f_{n}\right)$ of ortogonal projections such that $e_{n} \sim f_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we have $\bigvee_{n} e_{n} \sim \bigvee_{n} f_{n}[\mathbf{1}]$.

The partial isometries are $\aleph_{0}$-addable in a Rickart $C^{*}$-algebra $T$ if for every sequence of partial isometries $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ such that $\left\{w_{n} w_{n}^{*}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{n}^{*} w_{n}\right\}$ are the sequences of ortogonal projections there exists a partial isometry $w$ such that $w w_{n}^{*} w_{n}=w_{n} w_{n}^{*} w=w_{n}$.

## 2. Strongly dense domains.

Through this paper $T$ denotes (if the opposite is not specified) a finite Rickart $C^{*}$-algebra.

A sequence of projections $\left(e_{n}\right) \subset P(T)$ is a strongly dense domain (SDD) in case $e_{n} \uparrow 1$. Let $e \in P(T), x \in T$. We define $x^{-1}(e)=$ $R A[(1-e) x]$.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\left(e_{n}\right)$ and $\left(f_{n}\right)$ are $S D D, x_{n} \in T$ such that $m \leq n$ implies $x_{n} e_{m}=x_{m} e_{m}$. Then a sequence $\left(t_{n}=x_{n}^{-1}\left(f_{n}\right) \bigwedge e_{n}\right)$ is a $S D D$.

Proof: Let $d_{n}=x_{n}^{-1}\left(f_{n}\right)$. If $m \leq n$ then
$\left(1-e_{n}\right) x_{n} t_{m}=\left(1-e_{n}\right)\left(1-e_{m}\right) x_{n} e_{m} t_{m}=\left(1-e_{n}\right)\left(1-e_{m}\right) x_{m} t_{m}=0$, so that $t_{m} \leq t_{n}$. Let $p \in P(T)$. We show that there exists a number $k$ such that $t_{k} \bigwedge p \neq 0$. For that choose a number $i$ such that $q=p \bigwedge e_{i} \neq$ 0 . If $x_{i} q=0$, then $q \leq t_{i}$. Now let $x_{i} q \neq 0$. There exists $a \in T$ such that $h=x_{i} q a$ is non-zero projection [4, par. 8]. Observe that $x_{i} q=x_{n} q$ for all $n \geq i$ and $h^{\prime}=f_{k} \bigwedge h \neq 0$ for sufficiently large $k$. For $k \geq i$ we have

$$
\left(1-f_{k}\right) x_{k} q a h^{\prime}=\left(1-f_{k}\right) x_{i} q a h^{\prime}=\left(1-f_{k}\right) h h^{\prime}=0 .
$$

Therefore $g=L P\left(q a h^{\prime}\right) \leq d_{k}$. In addition, $g \leq q \leq e_{i} \leq e_{k}$, hence $g \leq t_{k}$. Thus $p \bigwedge t_{k} \geq g \neq 0$.

Corollary 2.2. If $\left(e_{n}\right)$ and $\left(f_{n}\right)$ are $S D D$, then $\left(e_{n} \bigwedge f_{n}\right)$ is also $S D D$.
Proof: Put in Proposition $2.1 x_{n}=1$ for all $n$.

## 3. A ring of measurable operators.

An essentially measurable operator (EMO) is a pair of sequences $\left(x_{n}, e_{n}\right)$ with $x_{n} \in T,\left(e_{n}\right)$ an SDD, and such that $m \leq n$ implies $x_{n} e_{m}=x_{m} e_{m}$ and $x_{n}^{*} e_{m}=x_{m}^{*} e_{m}$. Two (EMO) $\left(x_{n}, e_{n}\right)$ and $\left(y_{n}, f_{n}\right)$ are equivalent, if there exists an $\operatorname{SDD}\left(g_{n}\right)$ such that $x_{n} g_{n}=y_{n} g_{n}$, $g_{n} x_{n}=g_{n} y_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Clearly that this relation is indeed equivalence relation (By Corollary 2.2). If $\left(x_{n}, e_{n}\right)$ is (EMO), $\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]$ denotes its equivalence class. We call $\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]$ a measurable operator (MO) and denote by $S(T)$ the set of all (MO), and use the letters $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \ldots$ for the elements of $S(T)$. Now we define the algebraic operations on $S(T)$. We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]+\left[y_{n}, f_{n}\right] } & =\left[x_{n}+y_{n}, e_{n} \bigwedge f_{n}\right] \\
\lambda\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right] & =\left[\lambda x_{n}, e_{n}\right] \\
{\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]\left[y_{n}, f_{n}\right] } & =\left[x_{n} y_{n}, k_{n}\right], \\
{\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right] } & =\left[x_{n}^{*}, e_{n}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k_{n}=f_{n} \bigwedge y_{n}^{-1}\left(e_{n}\right) \bigwedge e_{n} \bigwedge\left(x_{n}^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(f_{n}\right)$.
Summarizing,
Theorem 3.1. The set $S(T)$ of all MO is a*-algebra. The mapping $x \mapsto[x, 1](x \in T)$ is a $*$-isomorphism of $T$ into $Q$, and $[1,1]$ is a unity element for $S(T)$.

We write $\bar{x}=[x, 1]$, for $x \in T$. The image of $T$ in $S(T)$ is $\bar{T}$.
We recall the construction by Handelman of the *-regular ring associated to a finite Rickart $C^{*}$-algebra. Let $A$ be a unital ring. A right (left) ideal $E \subseteq A$ is essential if $E$ has nontrivial intersection with any nonzero right (left) ideal of $A$. We say that $E$ is essential countably generated (ecg) right ideal if there exist a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \subseteq A$ such that $\sum t_{i} A$ is essential in $A$. Similarly, we define left ecg ideal. It was proved in [9] that every ecg ideal of a finite Rickart $C^{*}$-algebra is generated by SDD.
Let $T$ be a finite Rickart $C^{*}$-algebra. Consider the following pairs of mappings $\left[f, E ; f_{1}, E_{1}\right.$ ], where $f$ is right $T$-module homomorphisms from essential countably generated right ideal $E, f_{1}$ is left $T$-module homomorphism from essential countably generated left ideal $E_{1}$, and they are balanced by the following condition: $e_{1} f(e)=f_{1}\left(e_{1}\right) e$ for all $e \in E$ and all $e_{1} \in E_{1}$. Two pairs $\left[f, E ; f_{1}, E_{1}\right]$ and $\left[g, J ; g_{1}, G_{1}\right]$ are equivalent if $f(x)=g(x)$ and $f_{1}(y)=g_{1}(y)$ for all $x \in E \bigcap J$ and all $y \in E_{1} \bigcap J_{1}$. Let $Q$ be the set of equivalence classes of just defined pairs. It was shown in $[\mathbf{9}]$ that $Q$ is endowed with algebraic operations, and with respect to these operation $Q$ becomes a $*$-regular algebra.

Define mapping from $S(T)$ to $Q$. If $\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]$ is MO then $E=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} e_{n} T$ ( $E_{1}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T e_{n}$ ) is an essential countably generated right(left) ideal in $T$ correspondently. Define a right $T$-module homomorphism $f: f\left(e_{n} t\right)=$ $x_{n} e_{n} t$, where $e_{n} t \in E$. Obviously, $f\left(e_{n} t x\right)=f\left(e_{n} t\right) x$ for all $x \in T$. Let $e_{n} t=e_{m} s(m \leq n)$. Then

$$
f\left(e_{m} s\right)=f\left(e_{m}\right) s=x_{m} e_{m} s=x_{n} e_{m} s=x_{n} e_{n} t=f\left(e_{n} t\right)
$$

Thus this definition is correct. Similarly, we define a left $T$-module homomorphism $f_{1}: E_{1} \rightarrow T, f\left(t e_{n}\right)=t e_{n} x_{n}$. Now let $e \in E, e_{1} \in E_{1}$, $e=e_{m} t, e_{1}=t_{1} e_{n}$. If $m \leq n$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{1} f(e) & =t_{1} e_{n} f\left(e_{m} t\right)=t_{1} e_{n} x_{m} e_{m} t=t_{1} e_{n} x_{n} e_{m} t \\
& =f_{1}\left(t_{1} e_{n}\right) e_{m} t=f_{1}\left(e_{1}\right) e .
\end{aligned}
$$

By a similar argument $e_{1} f(e)=f_{1}\left(e_{1}\right) e$. Therefore $\left[f, E, f_{1}, E_{1}\right] \in$ $Q$. We shall denote just defined mapping by $\pi$. Then $\pi\left(\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]\right)=$
$\left[f, E, f_{1}, E_{1}\right]$. Let $\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]=\left[x_{n}^{\prime}, e_{n}^{\prime}\right], \pi\left(\left[x_{n}^{\prime}, e_{n}^{\prime}\right]\right)=\left[f^{\prime}, E^{\prime}, f_{1}^{\prime}, E_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. Choose an $\operatorname{SDD}\left(p_{n}\right)$ such that $x_{n} p_{n}=x_{n}^{\prime} p_{n}, p_{n} x_{n}=p_{n} x_{n}^{\prime}$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Put $q_{n}=p_{n} \bigwedge e_{n} \bigwedge e_{n}^{\prime}$. Note that $q_{n} \in E \bigcap E_{1} \bigcap E^{\prime} \cap E_{1}^{\prime}$. We have $f\left(q_{n}\right)=x_{n} q_{n}=x_{n} p_{n} q_{n}=x_{n}^{\prime} q_{n}=f\left(q_{n}\right)$. Thus $f=f^{\prime}$ on $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} q_{n} T$. In the same way we obtain $f_{1}=f_{1}^{\prime}$ on $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T q_{n}$.

Theorem 3.2. The mapping $\pi$ is a *-isomorphism from $S(T)$ onto $Q$.

Proof: Let $\left[f, E, f_{1}, E_{1}\right] \in Q, E=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} e_{n} T, E_{1}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T e_{n},\left(e_{n}\right)$ an SDD,

$$
f(e x)=f(e) x, f_{1}\left(x e_{1}\right)=x f_{1}\left(e_{1}\right)
$$

for all $e \in E, e_{1} \in E_{1}, x \in T$. Put $f\left(e_{n}\right)=y_{n}, f_{1}\left(e_{n}\right)=z_{n}$. Obviously, $y_{n} e_{n}=y_{n}, e_{n} z_{n}=z_{n}$. Set

$$
x_{n}=y_{n}+z_{n}-z_{n} e_{n}=y_{n}+z_{n}-e_{n} y_{n}
$$

so that $x_{n} e_{n}=y_{n}, e_{n} x_{n}=z_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. It is easy to see that $\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]$ is MO. Set $\left.\pi\left(x_{n}, e_{n}\right]\right)=\left[g, E, g_{1}, E_{1}\right]$, where $g\left(e_{n}\right)=x_{n} e_{n}$, $g_{1}\left(e_{n}\right)=e_{n} x_{n}$. Then $g\left(e_{n}\right)=y_{n}=f\left(e_{n}\right), g_{1}\left(e_{n}\right)=z_{n}=f_{1}\left(e_{n}\right)$, hence $\left[f, E, f_{1}, E_{1}\right]=\left[g, E, g_{1}, E_{1}\right]$. Thus $\pi$ is surjective. Now we show that the mapping $\pi$ preserves the algebraic operations. Let $\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]$, $\left[y_{n}, k_{n}\right] \in S(T)$. Put

$$
\pi\left(\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]\right)=\left[f, E, f_{1}, E_{1}\right], \pi\left(\left[y_{n}, k_{n}\right]\right)=\left[g, J, g_{1}, J_{1}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} e_{n} T, E_{1}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T e_{n} \\
J & =\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{n} T, J_{1}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T k_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have (see [9, Section 2])

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[f, E, f_{1}, E_{1}\right]+\left[g, J, g_{1}, J_{1}\right] } & =\left[f+g, E \bigcap J, f_{1}+g_{1}, E_{1} \bigcap J_{1}\right] \\
{\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]+\left[y_{n}, k_{n}\right] } & =\left[x_{n}+y_{n}, e_{n} \bigwedge k_{n}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $p_{n}=e_{n} \bigwedge k_{n}$ and $\pi\left(\left[x_{n}+y_{n}, e_{n} \bigwedge k_{n}\right]\right)=\left[r, L, r_{1}, L_{1}\right]$. We can regard that

$$
\begin{gathered}
L=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n} T, L_{1}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T p_{n} \\
r\left(p_{n}\right)=\left(x_{n}+y_{n}\right) p_{n}, r_{1}\left(p_{n}\right)=p_{n}\left(x_{n}+y_{n}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\left(e_{n} \bigwedge k_{n}\right) T=\left(e_{n} T\right) \bigcap\left(k_{n} T\right)($ see $[\mathbf{9}])$ it follows $L=J \bigcap E, L_{1}=$ $J_{1} \cap E_{1}$. In addition
$r\left(p_{n}\right)=\left(x_{n}+y_{n}\right) p_{n}=(f+g)\left(p_{n}\right), r_{1}\left(p_{n}\right)=p_{n}\left(x_{n}+y_{n}\right)=\left(f_{1}+g_{1}\right)\left(p_{n}\right)$.
Consequently

$$
\left[r, L, r_{1}, L_{1}\right]=\left[f+g, E \bigcap J, f_{1}+g_{1}, E_{1} \bigcap J_{1}\right]
$$

Further, $\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]\left[y_{n}, k_{n}\right]=\left[x_{n} y_{n}, t_{n}\right]$, where $t_{n}$ is a suitable SDD. On the other hand,

$$
\left[f, E, f_{1}, E_{1}\right]\left[g, J, g_{1}, J_{1}\right]=\left[f g, g^{-1} E, g_{1} f_{1}, f_{1}^{-1} J_{1}\right] .
$$

We shall establish that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} t_{n} T$ is an essential subideal in $g^{-1} E$. By the definition, $t_{n}=h_{n} \bigwedge g_{n}$, where
$h_{n}=e_{n} \bigwedge\left(x_{n}^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(k_{n}\right), g_{n}=k_{n} \bigwedge y_{n}^{-1}\left(e_{n}\right), g^{-1} E=\{x \in J: g(x) \in E\}$.
We have $t_{n} \leq g_{n} \leq k_{n}$, therefore $t_{n} \in J$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. It remains to prove that $g\left(t_{n}\right) \in E$ for all $n$. Really,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(t_{n}\right) & =g\left(g_{n}\right) t_{n}=g\left(g_{n} k_{n} y_{n}^{-1}\left(e_{n}\right)\right) t_{n}=g\left(k_{n}\right) y_{n}^{-1}\left(e_{n}\right) g_{n} t_{n} \\
& =y_{n} k_{n} y_{n}^{-1}\left(e_{n}\right) g_{n} t_{n}=y_{n} y_{n}^{-1}\left(e_{n}\right) k_{n} g_{n} t_{n} \\
& =e_{n} y_{n} y_{n}^{-1}\left(e_{n}\right) k_{n} g_{n} t_{n}=e_{n} y_{n} y_{n}^{-1}\left(e_{n}\right) t_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore $g\left(t_{n}\right) \in E$. So $t_{n} \in g^{-1} E$ for all $n$.
Similarly, we obtain $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T t_{n} \subset f^{-1} J_{1}$. Thus

$$
\left[f g, g^{-1} E, f_{1} g_{1}, f_{1}^{-1} J_{1}\right]=\left[f g, \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} t_{n} T, g_{1} f_{1}, \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T t_{n}\right]
$$

It implies

$$
\pi\left(\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]\left[y_{n}, k_{n}\right]\right)=\pi\left(\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]\right) \pi\left(\left[y_{n}, k_{n}\right]\right) .
$$

Obviously,

$$
\pi\left(\lambda\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]\right)=\lambda \pi\left(\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]\right)
$$

It is sthrightforward to check that $\pi\left(\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]^{*}\right)=\pi\left(\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]\right)^{*}$.

Corollary 3.3. $S(T)$ is a Rickart $*$-algebra and $\aleph_{0}$-continuous ring.
Proof: It follows from Theorem 3.2 and [ $\mathbf{9}$, Th. 2.1].
4. Some algebraic properties of $S(T)$. Cayley transform.

Lemma 4.1. If $\mathbf{x}=\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right] \in Q$ and the $x_{n}$ are all invertible then $\mathbf{x}$ is invertible and $\mathbf{x}^{-1}=\left[x_{n}^{-1}, h_{n}\right]$ for a suitable $\operatorname{SDD}\left(h_{n}\right)$.

Proof: Set $f_{n}=L P\left(x_{n} e_{n}\right)$. We show that $\left(f_{n}\right)$ is a SDD. If $m \leq n$ then $f_{n}\left(x_{m} e_{m}\right)=f_{n} x_{n} e_{m}=f_{n} x_{n} e_{n} e_{m}=x_{n} e_{n} e_{m}=x_{m} e_{m}, f_{m} \leq f_{n}$. Since $x_{n}$ is invertible then $R P\left(x_{n} e_{n}\right)=e_{n}$. We have $f_{n} \sim e_{n}[\mathbf{1}$, Th. 2.5]. As $p \sim q$ implies $1-p \sim 1-q$ for the projections $p$ and $q$ in a finite Rickart $C^{*}$-algebra, so $e_{n+1}-e_{n} \sim f_{n+1}-f_{n}$. Then by $\aleph_{0}$-additivity,

$$
1=\left[\sup _{n}\left(e_{n+1}-e_{n}\right)\right] \bigvee e_{1} \sim\left[\sup _{n}\left(f_{n+1}-f_{n}\right) \bigvee f_{1}\right]=f
$$

Set $y_{n}=x_{n}^{-1}$. If $m \leq n$, then $y_{n} f_{m}=y_{m} f_{m}$. Really,

$$
y_{n} x_{m} e_{m}=y_{n} x_{n} e_{m}=e_{m}=y_{m} x_{m} e_{m},
$$

hence $\left(y_{n}-y_{m}\right) x_{m} e_{m}=0$ and $\left(y_{n}-y_{m}\right) f_{m}=0$. Similary on setting $g_{n}=L P\left(x_{n}^{*} e_{n}\right)$, we have that $\left(y_{n}\right)$ is a SDD and $y_{n}^{*} g_{m}=y_{m}^{*} g_{m}$ when $m \leq n$. Put $h_{n}=f_{n} \bigwedge g_{n}$, then $\mathbf{y}=\left[y_{n}, h_{n}\right]$ is MO, and $\mathbf{x y}=\mathbf{y x}=1$.

Corollary 4.2. For any $\mathbf{x} \in S(T)$ an element $1+\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x}$ is invertible.
Proof: It follows immediately from Lemma 4.1.
Lemma 4.3. If $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}^{*}$, one can write $\mathbf{x}=\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]$ with $x_{n}^{*}=x_{n}$.
Proof: If $\mathbf{x}=\left[y_{n}, f_{n}\right]$, then $\mathbf{x}=1 / 2\left(\mathbf{x}^{*}+\mathbf{x}\right)=\left[1 / 2\left(y_{n}^{*}+y_{n}\right), f_{n}\right]$.
Corollary 4.4. If $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}^{*}$, then $\mathbf{x}+i$ is invertible.
Proof: Let $\mathbf{x}=\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right], x_{n}^{*}=x_{n}$; then $x+i=\left[x_{n}+i, e_{n}\right]$ and each $x_{n}+i$ is invertible.

Theorem 4.5. The formulas

$$
\mathbf{u}=(\mathbf{x}-i)(\mathbf{x}+i)^{-1}, \mathbf{x}=i(1+\mathbf{u})(1-\mathbf{u})^{-1}
$$

define mutually inverse one-one correspondences between the self-adjoint elements $\mathbf{x} \in Q$, and the unitary elements $\mathbf{u}$ for which $1-\mathbf{u}$ is invertible.

Proof: It follows from Corollary 4.4.
We call this $\mathbf{u}$ the Cayley transform of $\mathbf{x}$.

Lemma 4.6. Let $\mathbf{x}=\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right] \in S(T)$ and $x_{n} \longrightarrow x$ in norm, then $\mathrm{x}=\bar{x}$.

Proof: Evidently, $\left\|x e_{n}-x_{n} e_{n}\right\|=\left\|x e_{n}-x_{k} e_{n}\right\|$ for all $k \geq n$. Then $\left\|x e_{n}-x_{n} e_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|x-x_{k}\right\|$ for all $k \geq n,\left\|x e_{n}-x_{n} e_{n}\right\|=0, x e_{n}=x_{n} e_{n}$. In just the same way, $e_{n} x=e_{n} x_{n}$.

Lemma 4.7. Let $\mathbf{x}=\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right] \in S(T)$. Then $\mathbf{x} e_{n}=\bar{x}_{n} e_{n}$.
Proof: Obvious.

## 5. The bounded measurable operators.

Let $T$ be a finite Rickart $C^{*}$-algebra, $Q=S(T)$ denotes a $*$-algebra of measurable operators of $T$.

An element $\mathbf{x}=\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right] \in Q$ is bounded, if $\sup _{n}\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq \infty$. Let $B$ be a set of all bounded MO. It is clear that $B$ is $*$-algebra. Since $P(Q) \subset B$ hence $B$ is Rickart $*$-algebra. We define the mapping $\|\cdot\|_{1}: B \ni \mathbf{x} \mapsto$ $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}=\inf \sup _{n}\left\{\left\|x_{n}\right\| \|\left(x_{n}, e_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{x}\right\} \in \mathbf{R}$.

The bounded elements of $S(T)$ play a crucial role in the following discussion of the polar decomposition problem (or $\aleph_{0}$-addability of the partial isometries, see Introduction) in a finite Rickart $C^{*}$-algebra. It is easy to see that the partial isometries of $B$ are $\aleph_{0}$-addable (Corollary 7.3). On the other hand, it is well known that the algebras $B$ and $\bar{T}$ coincide if $T$ is $A W^{*}$-algebra [3]. We shall prove a similar result for a general Rickart $C^{*}$-algebra.

Theorem 5.1. The mapping $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ is a $C^{*}$-norm.
Proof: Let $\mathbf{x}=\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right] \in B$. Clearly, $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} \geq 0$. If $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}=0$ then for any $\varepsilon \geq 0$ there exists $\operatorname{EMO}\left(x_{n}, e_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{x}$ such that $\sup _{n}\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq \varepsilon$. Let $\mathbf{y}=\left[y_{n}, e_{n}\right] \in B, \sup _{n}\left\|y_{n}\right\|=\alpha$. We can choose $\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, e_{n}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbf{x}$ with $\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}\right\| \leq \varepsilon / \alpha$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Therefore $\left\|x_{n} y_{n}\right\| \leq \varepsilon,\|\mathbf{x y}\|_{1}=0$.

Now assume that there exists $\mathbf{x} \in B$ such that $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$ and $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}=0$. Choose number $n$ such that $\mathbf{x} e_{n} \neq 0$. By Lemma $4.7 \mathbf{x} e_{n}=\bar{x}_{n} e_{n}$. As it was shown above $\left\|\mathbf{x} e_{n}\right\|_{1}=\left\|\bar{x}_{n} e_{n}\right\|_{1}=0$. Let $a=x_{n} e_{n}$. By the definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ we have $\|a\|_{1}=\inf \sup _{n}\left\{\left\|a_{n}\right\| \mid\left(a_{n}, k_{n}\right) \in \bar{a}\right\}$. For any $\left(a_{n}, k_{n}\right) \in \bar{a}$ there exists an $\operatorname{SDD}\left(p_{n}\right)$ such that $a p_{n}=a_{n} p_{n}$. Note $\left\|a p_{n}\right\|=\left\|a_{n} p_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|a_{n}\right\|$. Choose $b$ such that $b a=e$ is a non-zero projection [4, par. 8]. Since $P(T)$ is $\aleph_{0}$-continuous, there exists $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $q=e \bigwedge p_{k} \neq 0$.

Consequently

$$
1=\|q\| \leq\left\|e p_{k}\right\|=\left\|b a p_{k}\right\| \leq\left\|a p_{k}\right\|\|b\|,
$$

hence $\left\|a p_{k}\right\| \geq 1 /\|b\|$. It follows $\left\|a_{k}\right\| \geq 1 /\|b\|$, hence $\|\bar{a}\|_{1} \neq 0$, a contradiction. Thus $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}=0$ implies $\mathbf{x}=0$.

Obviously $\|\lambda \mathbf{x}\|_{1}=\lambda\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}$ for each $\mathbf{x} \in B$.
Further, let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in B, \mathbf{x}=\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right], \mathbf{y}=\left[y_{n}, f_{n}\right]$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}\|_{1} & =\inf \sup _{n}\left\{\left\|c_{n}\right\| \|\left(c_{n}, g_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}\right\} \\
& \leq \inf \sup _{n}\left\{\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}+y_{n}^{\prime}\right\| \|\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, e_{n}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbf{x}\left(y_{n}^{\prime}, f_{n}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbf{y}\right\} \\
& \leq \inf \sup _{n}\left\{\left\|x_{n}^{\prime}\right\|+\left\|y^{\prime}\right\| \mid\left(x_{n}^{\prime}, e_{n}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbf{x},\left(y_{n}^{\prime}, f_{n}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbf{y}\right\} \\
& =\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}+\|y\|_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In just the same way, we get

$$
\|\mathbf{x y}\|_{1} \leq\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}\|\mathbf{y}\|_{1} .
$$

From previous property we have $\left\|\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x}\right\|_{1} \leq\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}^{2}$. On the other hand, let $\left(b_{n}, q_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x},\left(s_{n}, k_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{x}$ for a suitable $\mathrm{SDD} k_{n}$.

Hence there exists SDD $\left(p_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
{ }_{n} p_{n}=s_{n}^{*} s_{n} p_{n}, p_{n} b_{n}=p_{n} s_{n}^{*} s_{n}
$$

Let $t_{n}=p_{n} \bigwedge k_{n} \bigwedge q_{n}$. Then $\left(t_{n} s_{n}^{*}\right)\left(s_{n} t_{n}\right)=t_{n} b_{n} t_{n}$ and so $\left\|t_{n} s_{n}^{*} s_{n} t_{n}\right\| \leq$ $\left\|b_{n}\right\|$. In addition, $\left[t_{n} s_{n}^{*}, f_{n}\right]=\left[s_{n}^{*}, k_{n}\right]$ for suitable $\operatorname{SDD}\left(f_{n}\right)$, therefore $\left(t_{n} s_{n}^{*}, f_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{x}^{*}$. Hence for any $\operatorname{EMO}\left(b_{n}, q_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x}$ there exists $\operatorname{EMO}\left(z_{n}, f_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{x}\left(z_{n}=s_{n} t_{n}\right)$ such that $\left\|z_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|b_{n}\right\|$. Thus $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}^{2} \leq\left\|\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x}\right\|_{1}$.

Corollary 5.2. The norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ coincide on $T$.
Proof: Let $x$ be a positive element of $T$. By the definition, we have

$$
\|\bar{x}\|_{1}=\inf \sup _{n}\left\{\left\|x_{n}\right\| \|\left(x_{n}, e_{n}\right) \in \bar{x}\right\} .
$$

Obviously $\|\bar{x}\|_{1} \leq\|x\|$. Set $\left(x_{n}, e_{n}\right) \in \bar{x}$. Then there exists SDD $p_{n}$ such that $x_{n} p_{n}=x p_{n}$ for all $n$. Therefore $\left\|x p_{n}\right\|=\left\|x_{n} p_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}\right\|$. Choose a sequence of the positive numbers $\varepsilon_{n}$ with $\varepsilon_{n} \uparrow\|x\|$. Set $\{x\}^{\prime \prime}=C(K)$, for some Hausdorff space $K$. Put $U_{n}=\left\{a \in K: x(a)>\varepsilon_{n}\right\}$,

$$
b_{n}(a)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{x(a)}, & a \in \bar{U} \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Since $\bar{U}_{n}$ is clopen [4, par. 8] so $b_{n}(a) \in C(K)$ and $\left\|b_{n}(a)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{n}}$. As it was shown in Theorem 5.1, we can obtain that for each $n \in \mathbf{N}^{\varepsilon_{n}}$ there exists a number $m(n)$ such that $\left\|x_{m}\right\| \geq 1 /\left\|b_{n}\right\| \geq \varepsilon_{n}$ if $m \geq m(n)$. Therefore $\sup _{m}\left\|x_{m}\right\| \geq \varepsilon_{n}$ for all $n$. It follows that $\|\bar{x}\|_{1} \geq \varepsilon_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Therefore $\|\bar{x}\|_{1} \geq\|x\|$. Thus $\|\bar{x}\|_{1}=\|x\|$ for all positive $x \in T$. For arbitrary $x \in T$ we have $\|\bar{x}\|_{1}^{2}=\left\|\bar{x}^{*} \bar{x}\right\|_{1}=\left\|x^{*} x\right\|=\|x\|^{2}$.

We shall use a notation $\tilde{B}$ for a completion of $B$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}$. In this connection $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ is an image of $\mathbf{x} \in B$ in $\tilde{B}$.

Lemma 5.3. If $\mathbf{x} \in B$ and $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}<1$ then the series $\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{x}^{n}$ converges to $(1-\mathbf{x})^{-1} \in B$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}$.

Proof: We can choose $\left(x_{n}, e_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{x}$ such that $\sup _{n}\left\|x_{n}\right\|<1$. Then all the $1-x_{n}$ are invertible. By Lemma 5.2 it follows that $1-\mathbf{x}$ is invertible in $Q$ and $(1-\mathbf{x})^{-1}=\left[\left(1-x_{n}\right)^{-1}, k_{n}\right]$ for suitable SDD $\left(k_{n}\right)$. Observe

$$
\left\|\left(1-x_{n}\right)^{-1}\right\| \leq \sum_{k \geq 0}\left\|x_{n}^{k}\right\| \leq \sum_{k \geq 0} \mu^{k}<\infty
$$

for all $n$, where $\mu=\sup _{n}\left\|x_{n}\right\|<1$. Thus $(1-\mathbf{x})^{-1} \in B$. Identifying $\mathbf{x}$
 the statement of Lemma.

Lemma 5.4. If $\mathbf{x} \in B$ then $\rho(\mathbf{x})=\sup \{\mid \lambda \| \lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{x})\} \leq\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}$, where $\sigma(\mathbf{x})$ is a spectrum of $\mathbf{x}$.

Proof: Let $|\lambda|>\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}$, then applying Lemma 5.3 we obtain that the series $\lambda^{-1} \sum_{m \geq 0}\left(\mathbf{x} \lambda^{-1}\right)^{m}$ converges to $(\lambda 1-\mathbf{x})^{-1}$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ and lemma follows.

Lemma 5.5. Let $\mathbf{u}$ be a unitary element in $B$. Then

$$
\sigma(\mathbf{u}) \subset\{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}|\lambda|=1\}
$$

Proof: By Lemma $5.4 \sigma(\mathbf{u}) \subseteq\{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}||\lambda| \leq 1$. Since $\mathbf{u}$ is invertible we have $\sigma(\mathbf{u})=\overline{\sigma\left(\mathbf{u}^{*}\right)}=\overline{\sigma(\mathbf{u})^{-1}}$. It follows that $\sigma(\mathbf{u}) \subseteq\{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}||\lambda|=1\}$.

Lemma 5.6. If $\mathbf{x} \in B, \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}^{*}$ then $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq\left[-\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1},\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}\right]$.
Proof: The proof is similar to the case of $C^{*}$-algebras.

## 6. Module of a self-adjoint element from $B$.

We call an element $x \in B$ positive, $\mathbf{x} \geq 0$, if $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \geq 0$.
The goal of this section is to prove that for any self-adjoint element $\mathbf{x} \in B$ there exists an unique positive $\mathbf{y} \in B$ such that $\mathbf{y}^{2}=\mathbf{x}^{2}$.

Theorem 6.1. Let $\mathbf{u}$ be a unitary element of $B$. Then the mapping $\bar{T} \ni \bar{x} \mapsto \mathbf{u} \bar{x} \mathbf{u}^{*} \in B$ is $a$ *-automorphism of a finite Rickart $C^{*}$-algebra $\bar{T}$.

Proof: Set $A=\mathbf{u} \bar{T} \mathbf{u}^{*}$. Obviously $A$ is a $*$-algebra with a $C^{*}$-norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}$. Let $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{n}\right\}$ be a $\|\cdot\|_{1}$-fundamental sequence in $A$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ such that $x_{n}=\mathbf{u} \bar{t}_{n} \mathbf{u}^{*}$. Since

$$
\left\|t_{n}-t_{m}\right\|=\left\|\bar{t}_{n}-\bar{t}_{m}\right\|_{1}=\left\|\mathbf{u}^{*}\left(\mathbf{u} \bar{t}_{n} \mathbf{u}^{*}-\mathbf{u} \bar{t}_{m} \mathbf{u}^{*}\right) \mathbf{u}\right\|_{1} \leq\left\|\mathbf{x}_{n}-\mathbf{x}_{m}\right\|_{1},
$$

hence the sequence $\left\{\bar{t}_{n}\right\}$ is fundamental in $T$. Let $t=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}$. Then clearly that the sequence $\left\{\mathbf{u} \bar{t}_{n} \mathbf{u}^{*}\right\}$ converges to $\mathbf{u} \bar{t} \mathbf{u}^{*}$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}$. Thus $A$ is a $C^{*}$-algebra. Clearly, that $P(A) \subset P(Q)$. On the other hand, any projection $e \in P(T)$ can be written as $\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{u}^{*} e \mathbf{u}\right) \mathbf{u}^{*}$. Since $\mathbf{u}^{*} e \mathbf{u} \in P(Q)=P(T)([\mathbf{9}])$ we conclude that $P(A)=P(T)$. By spectral theory, it follows that $A=\bar{T}$.

The next Corollary is a key result in proving an existence of a module of self-adjoint element of $B$.

Corollary 6.2. Let $\mathbf{u}$ be a unitary element of $B, t \in T$. Then $\bar{t} \mathbf{u} \in \bar{T}$ implies $\mathbf{u} \bar{t} \in \bar{T}$.

Proof: Since $\mathbf{u} \bar{t}=\mathbf{u}(\bar{t} \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{u}^{*}$, by using Theorem 6.1 we have $\mathbf{u} \bar{t} \in \bar{T}$.
Proposition 6.3. Let $\mathbf{x} \in B$ and $S D D\left(e_{n}\right)$ such that $\mathbf{x} e_{n}, e_{n} \mathbf{x} \in \bar{T}$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $\mathbf{x}=\left[y_{n}, e_{n}\right]$, where $\bar{y}_{n}=\mathbf{x} e_{n}+e_{n} \mathbf{x}-e_{n} \mathbf{x} e_{n}$.

Proof: Let $\mathbf{x}=\left[x_{n}, p_{n}\right], q_{n}=p_{n} \bigwedge e_{n}$. By using Lemma 4.7,

$$
\bar{x}_{n} q_{n}=\mathbf{x} q_{n}=\left(\mathbf{x} e_{n}+e_{n} \mathbf{x}-e_{n} \mathbf{x} e_{n}\right) q_{n}=\bar{y}_{n} q_{n}, x_{n} q_{n}=y_{n} q_{n} .
$$

In analogy, $q_{n} x_{n}=q_{n} y_{n}$.
Lemma 6.4. Let $\mathbf{u}=\left[u_{n}, e_{n}\right]$ be a unitary element of $B$. Then for any $k \in \mathbf{N}$ one can write $\mathbf{u}^{k}=\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]$ for a suitable sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$.

Proof: By Lemma 4.7, $\mathbf{u} e_{n}=\bar{u}_{n} e_{n}$ for all $n$. Let $f=\mathbf{u} e_{n} \mathbf{u}^{*}$, then $f \mathbf{u} \in \bar{T}$. By using Corollary 6.2 we obtain that $\mathbf{u} f \in \bar{T}$. Therefore
$\mathbf{u}^{2} e_{n}=\mathbf{u} f \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u} f f \mathbf{u} \in \bar{T}$. Now let $g=\mathbf{u} f \mathbf{u}^{*}$. Obviously $g \mathbf{u} \in \bar{T}$. By Corollary 6.2 it follows $\mathbf{u} g \in \bar{T}$. Hence

$$
\mathbf{u}^{3} e_{n}=\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^{2} e_{n}=\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} f \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u} g \mathbf{u} f \mathbf{u} \in \bar{T}
$$

Inductively, applying the same $k$ times, we obtain that $\mathbf{u}^{k} e_{n} \in \bar{T}$ and so (Corollary 6.2) $e_{n} \mathbf{u}^{k} \in \bar{T}$ for all $n$.

Now we can get the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$. As it was shown above,

$$
\mathbf{u}^{k} e_{n}+e_{n} \mathbf{u}^{k}-e_{n} \mathbf{u}^{k} e_{n} \in \bar{T}
$$

Put $\bar{x}_{n}=\mathbf{u}^{k} e_{n}+e_{n} \mathbf{u}^{k}-e_{n} \mathbf{u}^{k} e_{n}$, where $x_{n} \in T$. By Proposition 6.3, $\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]=\mathbf{u}^{k}$.

Lemma 6.5. Let $\left\{\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\right\}$ be a $\|\cdot\|$-fundamental sequence in B. And let a $S D D\left(e_{n}\right)$ such that $\mathbf{x}^{(k)} e_{n}, e_{n} \mathbf{x}^{(k)} \in \bar{T}$ for all $n$ and $k$. Then the sequence $\left\{\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\right\}$ converges to some element $\mathbf{x} \in B$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}$.

Proof: Let $\left\|\mathbf{x}^{(k)}-\mathbf{x}^{(l)}\right\|_{1} \leq \varepsilon / 3$. By Proposition 6.3, $\mathbf{x}^{(k)}=\left[y_{n}^{(k)}, e_{n}\right]$, where $\bar{y}_{n}^{(k)}=\mathbf{x}^{(k)} e_{n}+e_{n} \mathbf{x}^{(k)}-e_{n} \mathbf{x}^{(k)} e_{n}$. For fixed $n$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| y_{n}^{(k)} & -y_{n}^{(l)}\|=\| \bar{y}_{n}^{(k)}-\bar{y}_{n}^{(l)} \|_{1} \\
& =\left\|\left(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}-\mathbf{x}^{(l)}\right) e_{n}+e_{n}\left(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}-\mathbf{x}^{(l)}\right)-e_{n}\left(\mathbf{x}^{(l)}-\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\right) e_{n}\right\|_{1} \leq \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\left\{y_{n}^{(k)}\right\}_{k}$ is fundamental in $T$. Set $y_{n}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}^{(k)}$. Now we show that $\left[y_{n}, e_{n}\right]$ is a MO. Let $m \leq n$, then
$\left\|y_{n} e_{m}-y_{m} e_{m}\right\|=\left\|\left(y_{n}-y_{n}^{(k)}\right) e_{m}+\left(y_{n}^{(k)}-y_{m}^{(k)}\right) e_{m}+\left(y_{m}^{(k)}-y_{m}\right) e_{m}\right\| \leq \delta(k)$.
Since $\|\left(y_{n} e_{m}-y_{m} e_{m} \|\right.$ das not depend on $k$ we can conclude $\| y_{n} e_{m}-$ $y_{m} e_{m} \|=0, y_{n} e_{m}=y_{m} e_{m}$. In just the same way, $e_{m} y_{n}=e_{m} y_{m}$. Put $\mathbf{x}=\left[y_{n}, e_{n}\right]$. It remains to prove that the sequence $\mathbf{x}^{(k)}$ converges to $\mathbf{x}$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}$. We have

$$
\left\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\right\|_{1}=\left\|\left[y_{n}-y_{n}^{(k)}, e_{n}\right]\right\|_{1}=\left\|\left[y_{n} e_{n}-y_{n}^{(k)} e_{n}, p_{n}\right]\right\|_{1}
$$

for a suitable SDD $\left(p_{n}\right)$. Note that $\bar{y}_{n}^{(k)} e_{n}=\mathbf{x}^{(k)} e_{n}$. Identifying $\bar{y}_{n}^{(k)}, e_{n}$, $\bar{y}_{n}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{(k)}$ with their images $\tilde{y}_{n}{ }^{(k)}, \tilde{e_{n}}, \tilde{y}_{n}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(k)}$ in $\tilde{B}$, we obtain the following relations:

$$
\tilde{y}_{n}^{(k)} \tilde{e_{n}}=\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(k)} \tilde{e_{n}}, \tilde{y_{n}} \tilde{e_{n}}=\|\cdot\|_{1}-\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\bar{y}}_{n}^{(k)} \tilde{e_{n}}
$$

Since $\left\{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(k)}\right\}$ is a $\|\cdot\|_{1}$-fundamental, there exists $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{B}$ such that $\tilde{y}=$ $\|\cdot\|_{1}-\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{y}^{(k)}$. Hence,

$$
\tilde{\tilde{y}_{n}} \tilde{e}_{n}=\|\cdot\|_{1}-\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\bar{y}}_{n}^{(k)} \tilde{e_{n}}=\|\cdot\|_{1}-\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(k)} \tilde{e}_{n}=\tilde{y} \tilde{e}_{n}
$$

It yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\right\|_{1} & \leq \sup _{n}\left\|y_{n} e_{n}-y_{n}^{(k)} e_{n}\right\| \\
& =\sup _{n}\left\|{\tilde{y_{n}}}_{n}{\tilde{e_{n}}}^{-\tilde{y}_{n}^{(k)}} \tilde{e_{n}}\right\|_{1}=\sup _{n}\left\|\tilde{y} \tilde{e_{n}}-\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(k)} \tilde{e_{n}}\right\| \\
& \leq \sup _{n}\left\{\left\|\tilde{y}-\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(k)}\right\|_{1}\left\|e_{n}\right\|\right\}=\left\|\tilde{y}-\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(k)}\right\|_{1} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $k \rightarrow \infty$.
Theorem 6.6. If $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}^{*} \in B$, then there exists a positive element $\mathbf{a} \in B$ such that $\mathbf{a}^{2}=\mathbf{x}^{2}$.

Proof: We have $\mathbf{x}=i(1+\mathbf{u})(1-\mathbf{u})^{-1}$, where $\mathbf{u}=\left[u_{n}, e_{n}\right]$ is the Cayley transform of $\mathbf{x}$. Then $\mathbf{x}^{2}=\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{*}=\left(2+\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{u}^{*}\right)\left(2-\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}^{*}\right)^{-1}$. Observe the sequence

$$
\mathbf{y}^{(l)}=\left\|2+\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{u}^{*}\right\|_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{l} c_{k}\left(1-\left(2+\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{u}^{*}\right) /\left\|2+\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{u}^{*}\right\|_{1}\right)^{k}\right)
$$

where $c_{k}$ are coefficients of Taylor series for a function $f(a)=\sqrt{1-a}$ on $[0,1]$. Since $\left(2+\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{u}^{*}\right) \geq 0$ the sequence $\left\{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{(l)}\right\}$ is $\|\cdot\|_{1}$-fundamental in $\tilde{B}$ and therefore so is $\left\{\mathbf{y}^{(l)}\right\}$ in $B$. But all the members of the sum

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{l} c_{k}\left(1-\left(2+\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{u}^{*}\right) /\left\|2+\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{u}^{*}\right\|_{1}\right)^{k}
$$

are linear combinations of the degrees of $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}^{*}$ and 1. By combining Lemma 6.4 and Lemma 6.5 the sequence $\left\{\mathbf{y}^{(l)}\right\}\|\cdot\|_{1}$-converges to some element $\mathbf{y} \in B$. Clearly that $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{2}=\left(2+\widetilde{\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{u}^{*}}\right)$, hence $\mathbf{y}^{2}=2+\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{u}^{*}$.

Similarly, we can find an element $\mathbf{z} \in B$ such that $\mathbf{z}^{2}=2-\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}^{*}$. Note that all elements $\mathbf{y},\left(2-\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}^{*}\right), \mathbf{z},\left(2+\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{u}^{*}\right),\left(2-\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}^{*}\right)^{-1}$ mutually commute. Consequently, $\mathbf{z z}\left(2-\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}^{*}\right)^{-1}=\mathbf{z}\left(2-\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}^{*}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{z}=1$, i.e. $\mathbf{z}$ is invertible. Finally, puting $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{y z}^{-1}$, we obtain $\mathbf{a}^{2}=\mathbf{x}^{2}$. Evidently, that $\mathbf{a} \in B$ and such $\mathbf{a}$ is positive and unique.

## 7. Polar decomposition.

In this section we prove the main result of the paper: all Rickart $C^{*}$ algebras satisfy polar decomposition.

Theorem 7.1. Let $T$ be a finite Rickart $C^{*}$-algebra. Then the algebras $B$ and $\bar{T}$ coincide.

Proof: We shall prove this statement as a spectral theorem for selfadjoint element of $B$. Each operator $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}^{*} \in B$ will be approximated (in norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ ) by means of simple operators of $\bar{T}$.

For self-adjoint $\mathbf{x} \in B$ write $|\mathbf{x}|=\left(\mathbf{x}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{x}_{+}=(|\mathbf{x}|+\mathbf{x}) / 2, \mathbf{x}_{-}=$ $(|\mathbf{x}|-\mathbf{x}) / 2$. Note that $\mathbf{x}_{+}-\mathbf{x}_{-}=\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_{+}+\mathbf{x}_{-}=|\mathbf{x}|, \mathbf{x}_{+} \mathbf{x}_{-}=0$. If $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}^{*} \in B$ then $\{\mathbf{x}\}_{B}^{\prime \prime}=A$ is a commutative Rickart $*$-algebra (see $[\mathbf{4}$, p. 17] with $C^{*}$-norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}$. It is easy to see that $|\mathbf{x}|, \mathbf{x}_{+}, \mathbf{x}_{-} \in A$.

Lemma 7.2. Let $\mathbf{x} \in B, \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}^{*}$. The family of the projections $e_{\lambda}=s\left[(\lambda 1-\mathbf{x})_{+}\right]$holds the following properties:
(a) $e_{\mu} \geq e_{\lambda}$ for $\mu \geq \lambda$;
(b) $\sup _{\lambda} e_{\lambda}=1$;
(c) $\inf _{\lambda} e_{\lambda}=0$;
(d) If $\mu_{1} \geq \mu_{2} \geq \lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2}$ then $\left(e_{\mu 1}-e_{\mu 2}\right)\left(e_{\lambda 1}-e_{\lambda 2}\right)=0$.

Proof: (a) Let $\lambda \leq \mu$, then $\lambda 1-\mathbf{x} \leq \mu 1-\mathbf{x}$. Set $\{\mathbf{x}\}_{B}^{\prime \prime}=A$, $\mathbf{a}=$ $(\lambda 1-\mathbf{x})_{+}, \mathbf{b}=(\mu 1-\mathbf{x})_{+}$. Then $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in A$. Put $s(\mathbf{a})=e, s(\mathbf{b})=f$. By [4, p. 17], $e, f \in A$. Since $\tilde{A}$ is commutative $C^{*}$-algebra we have $\mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{b}$. Observe,

$$
\mathbf{a}(1-f)=(1-f) \mathbf{a}(1-f) \leq(1-f) \mathbf{b}(1-f)=0
$$

hence $f \geq e$.
(b) Let $e=\sup _{\lambda} e_{\lambda}$. Then $\lambda(1-e) \leq \lambda\left(1-e_{\lambda}\right)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$. Further,

$$
\lambda 1-\mathbf{x}=(\lambda 1-\mathbf{x})_{+}-(\lambda 1-\mathbf{x})_{-} \leq(\lambda 1-\mathbf{x})_{+} .
$$

In addition,

$$
e_{\lambda}(\lambda 1-\mathbf{x})=e_{\lambda}\left[(\lambda 1-\mathbf{x})_{+}-(\lambda 1-\mathbf{x})_{-}\right]=(\lambda 1-\mathbf{x})_{+} .
$$

Hence $\lambda\left(1-e_{\lambda}\right) \leq\left(1-e_{\lambda}\right) \mathbf{x}$ and thus $\lambda(1-e) \leq\left(1-e_{\lambda}\right) \mathbf{x}$.
Note since

$$
\left(1-e_{\lambda}\right) \mathbf{x} \leq\|x\| 1
$$

consequently, $1-e \leq \frac{\|x\|}{\lambda}$. If $e \neq 1$ then

$$
1=\|1-e\| \leq\||\mathbf{x}|\|_{1} / \lambda
$$

for all $\lambda>0$, a contradiction.
(c) Using the inequality $|\lambda| e_{\lambda} \leq e_{\lambda} \mathbf{x}$, repeat the proof of (b).
(d) It follows immediatly from (a).

Now one can begin to approximate an operator $\mathbf{x}$.
By Lemma $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq\left[-\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1},\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}\right]$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{R},\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} \leq \alpha$. Take an arbitrary partition of the segment $[-\alpha, \alpha]$ :

$$
-\alpha=\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{k-1} \leq \lambda_{k}=\alpha
$$

Consider the elements $u_{n}=\lambda_{n}\left(e_{\lambda n}-e_{\lambda n-1}\right)$. Observe that

$$
\lambda\left(e_{\mu}-e_{\lambda}\right) \leq\left(e_{\mu}-e_{\lambda}\right) \mathbf{x} \leq \mu\left(e_{\mu}-e_{\lambda}\right)
$$

for $\mu \geq \lambda$. It follows that

$$
\bar{u}_{n}-\mathbf{x}\left(e_{\lambda n}-e_{\lambda n-1}\right) \leq \delta\left(e_{\lambda n}-e_{\lambda n-1}\right),
$$

where $\delta=\max _{k}\left\{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{i-1}\right\}$. Note $\bar{u}_{n}-\mathbf{x}\left(e_{\lambda n}-e_{\lambda n-1}\right) \geq 0$. Construct an integral sum

$$
\sigma=\sum_{n=1}^{k} \lambda_{n}\left(e_{\lambda n}-e_{\lambda n-1}\right)
$$

Set $\lambda \geq \alpha$, then $\lambda 1-\mathbf{x} \geq \varepsilon 1$ for some $\varepsilon \geq 0$. Consequently, $(\lambda 1-\mathbf{x})_{+}=$ $\lambda-\mathbf{x}$. Since $\lambda \notin \sigma(\mathbf{x})$, we obtain $s\left((\lambda-\mathbf{x})_{+}\right)=1$. So $e_{\lambda}=1$ for $\lambda \geq \alpha$. In analogy, $e_{\lambda}=0$ for $\lambda \leq-\alpha$. We have,

$$
\bar{\sigma}-\mathbf{x}=\sum_{n=1}^{k}\left(u_{n}-\mathbf{x}\left(e_{\lambda n}-e_{\lambda n-1}\right)\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{k} \delta\left(e_{\lambda n-e_{\lambda} n-1}\right)=\delta 1
$$

Therefore, $0 \leq \bar{\sigma}-\mathbf{x} \leq \delta 1$, so $\|\bar{\sigma}-\mathbf{x}\|_{1} \leq \delta$. Thus, each self-adjoint operator $\mathbf{x} \in B$ can be approximated by the simple elements from $\bar{T}$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}$. It follows that $\bar{T}$ is dense in $B$ and therefore these $C^{*}$-algebras coincide.

Corollary 7.3. The partial isometries are $\aleph_{0}$-addable.
Proof: Let $\left(e_{i}\right)$ and $\left(f_{i}\right)$ are sequences of ortogonal projections such that $e_{i}=u_{i} u_{i}^{*}$ and $f_{i}=u_{i}^{*} u_{i}$. Put

$$
v_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}, k_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}, t_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}, e=\bigvee_{i} e_{i}, f=\bigvee_{i} f_{i}
$$

Then the sequences $\left(p_{n}=e^{\perp}+k_{n}\right)$ and $\left(q_{n}=f^{\perp}+t_{n}\right)$ are SDD. Set $d_{n}=p_{n} \bigwedge q_{n}$. Clearly that $\mathbf{v}=\left[v_{n}, d_{n}\right]$ is MO from $B$. By previous theorem, there exists $v \in T$ such that $\bar{v}=\mathbf{v}$. It is easy to see that $v u_{i}^{*} u_{i}=u_{i}, u_{i} u_{i}^{*} v=u_{i}$ for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$.

Corollary 7.4. All Rickart $C^{*}$-algebras satisfy polar decomposition.
Proof: By [1, Th. 3.4], this assertion is reduced to a finite case. Now combine Corollary 7.3 and [1, Prop. 2.1] and the Corollary follows.

Corollary 7.5. Let $T$ be a Rickart $C^{*}$-algebra, then the matrix algebras $M_{n}(T)$ over $T$ are also Rickart $C^{*}$-algebras for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Proof: See [1, Th. 3.5].
8. Axiom (PSR) in $Q$.

Using Theorem 7.1 and the methods of $[\mathbf{3}],[\mathbf{1 1}]$ or $[\mathbf{6}]$, we can describe the self-adjoint elements in $Q$.

Theorem 8.1. Let $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}^{*} \in Q, \mathbf{u}=\bar{u}(u \in T)$ its Cayley transform. One can write $\mathbf{x}=\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]$ with $x_{n}, e_{n} \in\{u\}^{\prime \prime}, x_{n}^{*}=x_{n}, x_{n} e_{n}=x_{n}$, $x_{n}^{2} \uparrow$.

Proof: See [3, Th. 4.2].
An element $\mathbf{x} \in Q$ is positive, written $\mathbf{x} \geq 0$, if $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}^{*} \mathbf{y}$ for some $\mathbf{y} \in Q$.

Theorem 8.2. Let $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}^{*} \in B$, $\mathbf{u}=\bar{u}$ its Cayley transform. The following conditions are equivalent:
a) $x \geq 0$;
b) one can write $\mathbf{x}=\left[y_{n}, f_{n}\right]$ with $y_{n} \geq 0$;
c) the spectrum of $u$ contained in $\left\{e^{i \Theta}:-\pi \leq \Theta \leq 0\right\}$;
d) one can write $\mathbf{x}=\left[x_{n}, e_{n}\right]$ with $x_{n}, e_{n} \in\{u\}^{\prime \prime}, x_{n} \geq 0, x_{n} e_{n}=$ $x_{n}$.

Proof: See [3, Th. 6.1].
Corollary 8.2. $Q$ satisfies axiom (PSR).
Proof: See [3, Cor. 6.2].
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