

## GLOBAL APPROXIMATION BY MODIFIED BASKAKOV TYPE OPERATORS

VIJAY GUPTA

*Abstract*

---

In the present paper, we prove a global direct theorem for the modified Baskakov type operators in terms of so called Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness.

---

### 1. Introduction

Motivated by the integral modification of Bernstein polynomials by Durrmeyer [3], Sahai and Prasad [6] first defined and studied modified Baskakov operators. Sinha et al. [7] improved and corrected the results of [6]. Recently the author [4], introduced another modification of Baskakov operators by taking the weight function of Beta operators on  $L_1[0, \infty)$  as

$$(1.1) \quad (B_n f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,k}(t) f(t) dt, \quad x \in [0, \infty)$$

where

$$p_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n+k-1}{k} x^k (1+x)^{-n-k}$$

and

$$b_{n,k}(t) = [B(k+1, n)]^{-1} t^k (1+t)^{-n-k-1},$$

$B(k+1, n)$  being the Beta function given by  $k!(n-1)/(n+k)!$ .

In [4], the author has obtained only local direct theorems in simultaneous approximation, as the operators defined by (1.1) give better approximation than the earlier integral modification of Baskakov operators

---

Research supported by Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, India under award no. 9/143(163)/91-EMR-1.

studied in [5], [6] and [7] etc., this motivated us to extend the results of [4] to the whole interval  $[0, \infty)$  and we study a global result for the operators (1.1).

By  $\mathcal{L}_1^r[0, \infty)$ , we denote the class of functions  $g$  given by

$$\mathcal{L}_1^r[0, \infty) := \{g : g^{(r)} \in L_1[0, a] \text{ for every } a \in (0, \infty) \text{ and } |g^{(r)}(t)| \leq M(1+t)^m, M \text{ and } m \text{ are constants depending on } g\}.$$

We may remark that  $L_p^r[0, \infty)$  is not contained in  $\mathcal{L}_1^r[0, \infty)$ .

Following [2], the modulus of smoothness of  $f$  is given by

$$\omega_\phi^2(f, t)_p = \sup_{0 < h \leq t} \|\Delta_h^2 \phi f\|_p, \phi(x) = \sqrt{x(1+x)}$$

where

$$\Delta_h^2 f(x) = \begin{cases} f(x-h) - 2f(x) + f(x+h), & \text{if } [x-h, x+h] \subset [0, \infty) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This modulus of smoothness is equivalent to the modified  $k$ -functional (see e.g. [2]) given by

$$\bar{K}_\phi^2(f, t^2)_p = \inf\{\|f - g\|_p + t^2\|\phi^2 g''\|_p + t^4\|g''\|_p; g \in \bar{W}_p^2(\phi, [0, \infty))\}$$

where

$$\bar{W}_p^2(\phi, [0, \infty)) = \{g \in L_p[0, \infty) : g' \in AC_{loc}[0, \infty); \phi^2 g'' \in L_p[0, \infty)\}.$$

In [4] the author was not able to obtain global results. In the present paper, we prove a global direct theorem in simultaneous approximation for the operators  $(B_n f)(x)$  defined by (1.1) in terms of Ditzian-Totik modulus of second order.

Throughout the paper we denote by  $C$  the positive constants not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

### 2. Auxiliary results

In this section, we shall give certain definitions and lemmas which will be used in the sequel.

For every  $n \in N$  and  $n > (r + 1)$  we have

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x) &= 1, & \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,k}(t) dt &= 1 \\ \frac{k}{n} p_{n,k}(x) &= x p_{n+1,k-1}(x), & \int_0^{\infty} t b_{n-r,k+r}(t) dt &= \frac{k+r+1}{n-r-1}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.1** [4]. *Let  $m, r \in N_0$ , we define*

$$T_{r,n,m}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n-r,k+r}(t)(t-x)^m dt$$

then

$$T_{r,n,0}(x) = 1, T_{r,n,1}(x) = \frac{1+r+x(1+2r)}{(n-r-1)},$$

$$T_{r,n,2}(x) = \frac{2(2r^2+4r+n+1)x^2+2(2r^2+5r+2+n)x+(r^2+3r+2)}{(n-r-1)(n-r-2)},$$

and there holds the recurrence relation:

$$(n-m-r-1)T_{r,n,m+1}(x) = \phi^2(x)[T_{r,n,m}^{(1)}(x) + 2mT_{r,n,m-1}(x)]$$

$$+ [(m+r+1)(1+2x) - x]T_{r,n,m}(x), \quad n > m+r+1.$$

Consequently for each  $x \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $T_{r,n,m}(x) = O(n^{-[(m+1)/2]})$ ,  $[\alpha]$  denotes the integral part of  $\alpha$ .

The proof of this lemma easily follows along the lines of [6], [7] using

$$\phi^2(x)p'_{n,k}(x) = (k-nx)p_{n,k}(x) \text{ and } \phi^2(t)b'_{n,k}(t) = [k-(n+1)t]b_{n,k}(t).$$

From the above lemma, we have

$$(2.2) \quad T_{r,n,2m}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m q_{i,m,n}(x) \left[ \frac{\phi^2(x)}{n} \right]^{m-i} n^{-2i}$$

$$T_{r,n,2m+1}(x) = (1+2x) \sum_{i=0}^m s_{i,m,n}(x) \left[ \frac{\phi^2(x)}{n} \right]^{m-i} n^{-2i-1},$$

where  $q_{i,m,n}(x)$  and  $s_{i,m,n}(x)$  are polynomials in  $x$  of fixed degree with coefficients that are bounded uniformly for all  $n$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $f \in L^r_p[0, \infty) \cup \mathcal{L}^r_1[0, \infty)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $n > r(1+m)$  and  $x \in [0, \infty)$ , then*

$$(2.3) \quad (B_n f)^{(r)}(x) = \alpha(n,r) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n-r,k+r}(t) f^{(r)}(t) dt$$

where

$$\alpha(n, r) = \frac{(n+r-1)!(n-r-1)!}{((n-1)!)^2} = \prod_{\ell=0}^{r-1} \frac{n+\ell}{n-(\ell+1)}.$$

*Proof:* By using Leibnitz theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (B_n f)^{(r)}(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{k=i}^{\infty} \binom{r}{i} \frac{(n+k+r-i-1)!}{(n-1)!(k-i)!} \\ &\quad \times (-1)^{r-i} x^{k-i} (1+x)^{-n-k-r+i} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,k}(t) f(t) dt \\ &= \frac{(n+r-1)!}{(n-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,k}(x) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{r-i} \binom{r}{i} b_{n,k+i}(t) f(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Again, by the use of Leibnitz theorem, we have

$$b_{n-r,k+r}^{(r)}(t) = \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-r-1)!} \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} b_{n,k+i}(t).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (B_n f)^{(r)}(x) &= \frac{(n+r-1)!(n-r-1)!}{((n-1)!)^2} \\ &\quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} (-1)^r b_{n-r,k+r}^{(r)}(t) f(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

On integrating  $r$  times by parts, we get the required result.

We see that the operators defined in (2.3) by  $B_n^{(r)} f := (B_n f)^{(r)}$ ,  $f \in L_p^r[0, \infty) \cup \mathcal{L}_1[0, \infty)$  are not positive. To make the operators positive we introduce the operator

$$B_{n,r} f \equiv D^r B_n I^r f, \quad f \in L_p[0, \infty) \cup \mathcal{L}_1[0, \infty),$$

where  $D$  and  $I$  are differentiation and integration operators respectively. Therefore we define the operator by

$$(B_{n,r} f)(x) = \alpha(n, r) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n-r,k+r}(t) f(t) dt,$$

$f \in L_p[0, \infty) \cup \mathcal{L}_1[0, \infty)$ ,  $n > r(1 + m)$ .

The operators  $B_{n,r}$  are positive and the estimation  $\|(B_n f)^{(r)} - f^{(r)}\|_p$ ,  $f \in L_p^r[0, \infty)$  is equivalent to  $\|B_{n,r} f - f\|_p$ ,  $f \in L_p[0, \infty)$ .

Using (2.1), we can easily prove that for  $n > (r + 1)$ ,  $\|B_{n,r} f\|_1 \leq C\|f\|_1$ , for  $f \in L_1[0, \infty)$  and  $\|B_{n,r} f\| \leq C\|f\|_\infty$  for  $f \in L_\infty[0, \infty)$ . Making use of Riesz-Thorin theorem, we get

$$(2.4) \quad \|B_{n,r} f\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p, \quad f \in L_p[0, \infty), \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad n > (r + 1). \quad \blacksquare$$

**Corollary 2.3.** *For every  $m \in N_0$ ,  $n > (r + 2m + 1)$  and  $x \in [0, \infty)$  we have*

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} |B_{n,r}((t - x)^{2m}, x)| &\leq Cn^{-m}(\phi^2(x) + n^{-1})^m, \\ |B_{n,r}((t - x)^{2m+1}, x)| &\leq C(1 + 2x)n^{-m-1}(\phi^2(x) + n^{-1})^m \end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $C$  is independent of  $n$ . For fixed  $x \in [0, \infty)$  we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad |B_{n,r}((t - x)^m, x)| = 0(n^{-[(m+1)/2]}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof:* Since  $B_{n,r}((t - x)^m, x) = \alpha(n, r)T_{r,n,m}(x)$  the estimate (2.5) follows from (2.2) along the lines of [5], (2.6) immediately follows from (2.5).  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $t \in [0, \infty)$  and  $n > (r + m)$  then*

$$B_{n,r}((1 + t)^{-m}, x) \leq C(1 + x)^{-m}, \quad x \in [0, \infty)$$

where the constant  $C$  is independent of  $n$ .

*Proof:* It is easily verified that

$$(1 + t)^{-m} b_{n-r, k+r}(t) = \prod_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \frac{n - r + \ell}{n + \ell + k + 1} b_{n-r+m, k+r}(t)$$

and

$$p_{n+r, k}(x) = (1 + x)^{-m} \prod_{\ell=1}^m \frac{n + r - \ell + k}{n + r - \ell} p_{n+r-m, k}(x).$$

Making use of these two identities and (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_{n,r}((1+t)^{-m}, x) &= \alpha(n, r) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n-r,k+r}(t)(1+t)^{-m} dt \\
 &= \alpha(n, r) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,k}(x) \prod_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \frac{n-r+\ell}{n+\ell+k+1} \\
 &\quad \times \int_0^{\infty} b_{n-r+m,k+r}(t) dt \\
 &= \alpha(n, r) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1+x)^{-m} p_{n+r-m,k}(x) \prod_{\ell=1}^m \frac{(n+r-\ell+k)}{(n+r-\ell)} \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \frac{n+r-\ell}{n+\ell+k+1} \\
 &\leq C(1+x)^{-m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r-m,k}(x) \\
 &= C(1+x)^{-m}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For the two monomials  $e_0, e_1$  and  $x \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain by direct computation

$$(2.7) \quad B_{n,r}(e_0, x) = 1 + o(n^{-1})$$

$$(2.8) \quad B_{n,r}(e_1, x) = x(1 + o(n^{-1})). \blacksquare$$

**Lemma 2.5.** For  $H_n(u)$  given by

$$H_n(u) = \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^u - \int_0^u \int_0^{\infty} \right\} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n+r,k}(x) b_{n-r,k+r}(t)(u-t) dt dx$$

we have  $H_n(u) \leq Cn^{-1}\phi^2(u)$ , where  $C$  is independent of  $n$  and  $u$ .

The proof of the above lemma easily follows by using (2.1) along the lines of [1, Lemma 5.2].

### 3. Direct result

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose  $f \in L_p[0, \infty)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $n > (r+5)$  then we have

$$\|B_{n,r}f - f\|_p \leq C\{\omega_{\phi}^2(f, n^{-1/2}) + n^{-1}\|f\|_p\}$$

where the constant  $C$  is independent of  $n$ .

*Proof:* By Taylor's expansion of  $g$ , we have

$$(3.1) \quad g(t) = g(x) + (t - x)g'(x) + \int_x^t (t - u)g''(u) \, du.$$

Next, since  $B_{n,r}(f, x)$  are uniformly bounded operators so for every  $g \in \bar{W}_p^2(\phi, [0, \infty))$ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad \|B_{n,r}f - f\|_p \leq C\|f - g\|_p + \|B_{n,r}g - g\|_p.$$

Using (2.5), (2.8) and (3.1) and following [2], we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|B_{n,r}g - g\|_p &\leq C\{\|g\|_p + \|g'\|_{L_p[0,1]}\} + \|(1 + 2x)g'\|_{L_p[1,\infty)} \\ &\quad + \|B_{n,r}(R(g, t, x), x)\|_p \\ &\leq Cn^{-1}[\|g\|_p + \|\phi^2 g''\|_p] + \|B_{n,r}(R(g, t, x), x)\|_p \end{aligned}$$

where  $R(g, t, x) = \int_x^t (t - u)g''(u) \, du$ .

Now, we shall prove that

$$(3.4) \quad \|B_{n,r}(R(g, t, x), x)\|_p \leq Cn^{-1}\|(\phi^2 + n^{-1})g''\|_p.$$

We prove this for  $p = 1$  and  $p = \infty$ . The cases  $1 < p < \infty$  follows again by Riesz-Thorin theorem.

Using (2.5) for the case  $m = 1$  and Lemma 2.4, the case  $p = \infty$  easily follows (see e.g. [5]).

For  $p = 1$ , we derive (3.4) by applying Fubini's theorem twice, the definition of  $H_n(u)$  and Lemma 2.5 as

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty |B_{n,r}(R(g, t, x), x)| \, dx \\ &\leq \alpha(n, r) \int_0^\infty \sum_{k=0}^\infty p_{n+r,k}(x) \int_0^\infty b_{n-r,k+r}(t) \left| \int_x^t (t - u)g''(u) \, dt \right| \, dx \\ &= \alpha(n, r) \int_0^\infty |g''(u)| \left\{ \int_0^\infty \int_0^u - \int_0^u \int_0^\infty \right\} (u - t) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^\infty p_{n+r,k}(x) b_{n-r,k+r}(t) \, dt \, dx \, du \\ &= \alpha(n, r) \int_0^\infty |g''(u)| H_n(u) \, du \\ &\leq Cn^{-1}\|\phi^2 g''\|_1 \\ &\leq Cn^{-1}\|(\phi^2 + n^{-1})g''\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $n$ . Hence (3.4) holds by Riesz-Thorin theorem for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Combining the estimates of (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_{n,r}f - f\|_p &= C\|f - g\|_p + Cn^{-1}\{\|f - g\|_p + \|f\|_p + \|\phi^2 g''\|_p \\ &\quad + \|(\phi^2 + n^{-1})g''\|_p\} \\ &\leq C\{\|f - g\|_p + n^{-1}\|\phi^2 g''\|_p + n^{-2}\|g''\|_p + n^{-1}\|f\|_p\}. \end{aligned}$$

Next taking the infimum over all  $g \in \bar{W}_p^2(\phi, [0, \infty))$  on the right hand side, we get

$$\|B_{n,r}f - f\|_p \leq C\{\bar{K}_\phi^2(f, n^{-1}) + n^{-1}\|f\|_p\},$$

this completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. ■

**Remark.** The conclusion of Theorem 3.1 is true on the space  $L_p[0, \infty)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  (i.e.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|B_{n,r}f - f\|_p = 0$  for every  $f \in L_p[0, \infty)$ ), since the most basic fact about  $\omega_\phi^2(f, n^{-1})$  is that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_\phi^2(f, n^{-1}) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in L_p[0, \infty), \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

or for all bounded functions  $f \in C[0, \infty)$  which satisfy

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = L_\infty < \infty, \text{ if } p = \infty \text{ (cf. [2, p. 36])}.$$

**Acknowledgement.** The author is grateful to the referee for many suggestions that greatly improved this paper.

## References

1. Z. DITZIAN AND K. IVANOV, Bernstein type operators and their derivatives, *J. Approx. Theory* **56** (1989), 72–90.
2. Z. DITZIAN AND V. TOTIK, “*Moduli of smoothness*,” Springer Series in Computational Mathematics **9**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1987.
3. J. L. DURRMEYER, Une formule d’inversion, de la transformée de Laplace: Application á la Theorie des Moments, Thése de 3e Cycle, Faculté des Sciences de l’Universite de Paris, 1967.
4. V. GUPTA, A note on modified Baskakov type operators, *Approx. Theory and its Appl.* **10(3)** (1994), 74–78.



5. M. HEILMANN, Direct and converse results for operators of Baskakov-Durrmeyer type, *Approx. Theory and its Appl.* **5(1)** (1989), 105–127.
6. A. SAHAI AND G. PRASAD, On simultaneous approximation by modified Lupas operators, *J. Approx. Theory* **45** (1985), 122–128.
7. R. P. SINHA, P. N. AGRAWAL AND V. GUPTA, On simultaneous approximation by modified Baskakov operators, *Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Ser. B* **42(2)** (1991), 217–231.

Department of Mathematics  
University of Roorkee  
Roorkee-247667 (U.P.)  
INDIA

Primera versió rebuda el 13 d'Octubre de 1994,  
darrera versió rebuda el 8 de Maig de 1995