

**A CARTAN-TYPE RESULT FOR INVARIANT  
DISTANCES AND ONE-DIMENSIONAL HOLOMORPHIC  
RETRACTS**

COLUM WATT

*Abstract*

---

We derive conditions under which a holomorphic mapping of a taut Riemann surface must be an automorphism. This is an analogue involving invariant distances of a result of H. Cartan. Using similar methods we prove an existence result for 1-dimensional holomorphic retracts in a taut complex manifold.

---

**1. Introduction**

In what follows,  $W$  denotes a connected Riemann surface,  $\text{Hol}(W, W)$  denotes the set of holomorphic self-maps of  $W$  and  $\text{Aut}(W)$  denotes the set of biholomorphic maps of  $W$  onto itself. A complex manifold  $M$  is *taut* if and only if for each complex manifold  $N$ , each sequence of holomorphic mappings from  $N$  to  $M$  contains a subsequence which either converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $N$  or is uniformly divergent to infinity (in the one point compactification of  $M$ ) on compact subsets of  $N$ .

**Definition 1.1.** We call a distance function  $d$  on a Riemann surface  $W$  *invariant* if

$$d(f(w), f(z)) \leq d(w, z) \quad \forall w, z \in W, \quad \forall f \in \text{Hol}(W, W).$$

A Hermitian metric  $h$  on  $W$  is called *invariant* provided

$$h(f_*(u), f_*(u)) \leq h(u, u) \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{O}_w W, \quad \forall w \in W, \quad \forall f \in \text{Hol}(W, W).$$

We say that a distance function  $d$  on  $W$  is  $\mathcal{C}^k$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) if  $d$  is the integrated distance function associated to a  $\mathcal{C}^{k-1}$  Hermitian metric  $h$  on  $W$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32F45, 32Q40, 53C60.

*Key words.* Taut complex manifold, invariant distance, automorphism, holomorphic retract.

*Remark 1.* A standard example of an invariant metric is the square of the Kobayashi metric on a taut Riemann surface. For the unit disc in the complex plane, this metric is usually referred to as the Poincaré metric.

In his paper [4], J. P. Vigué proved the following result.

**Theorem.** *Let  $X$  and  $W$  be connected, taut complex manifolds with  $W$  1-dimensional. Assume that  $h$  is an invariant Hermitian metric on  $W$  and choose  $w \in W$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $v \in \mathcal{O}_x X \setminus \{0\}$ . Then there exists a holomorphic retraction  $\rho: X \rightarrow X$  such that  $\rho(w) = x$ ,  $\rho_*(\mathcal{O}_w W) = \mathbb{C}v$  and  $\rho(X)$  is biholomorphic to  $W$  if and only if  $E(x, v) = F(x, v)$  (where  $E, F: \mathcal{O}X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  are invariant Finsler metrics which are defined in terms of  $w, W, h, x$  and  $X$  and which generalise the usual Carathéodory and Kobayashi metrics).*

Key to his proof of this is the following result of H. Cartan [1].

**Cartan's Theorem.** *Let  $W$  be a taut Riemann surface. If  $f \in \text{Hol}(W, W)$  fixes some point  $w \in W$  and has unimodular derivative at  $w$  then  $f \in \text{Aut}(W)$ .*

Note that the hypothesis of Cartan's result is equivalent to the requirement that  $f$  fixes  $w$  and that its derivative at  $w$  is unitary with respect to every (in particular every invariant) Hermitian metric on  $W$ . In a remark in [4], J. P. Vigué defined the invariant pseudodistances  $c_{X,x}^{W,w}$  and  $k_{X,x}^{W,w}$  in terms of an invariant distance on  $W$  (see Section 3 below). He would have liked to use these to investigate the existence of 1-dimensional holomorphic retracts through two given points of  $X$ . To do so, Vigué would have needed (but did not possess) an analogue of Cartan's result which uses an invariant distance in place of an invariant Hermitian metric. In Section 2 we prove such an analogue (Theorem 2.3) of Cartan's theorem under the assumption that the invariant distance arises from a continuous Hermitian metric on  $W$ . Then in the final section we use the invariant pseudodistances  $c_{X,x}^{W,w}$  and  $k_{X,x}^{W,w}$  (which generalise the usual Carathéodory distance and Kobayashi function on a complex manifold) and apply Theorem 2.3 to investigate the existence of holomorphic retractions of a complex manifold onto a 1-dimensional submanifold through two given points.

## 2. Automorphisms of Riemann surfaces

First we recall some standard notions from differential geometry. Let  $h$  be a continuous Hermitian metric on a connected Riemann surface  $W$ . Thus  $h$  determines a sesquilinear, positive definite inner product  $h_w$

on each tangent space  $\mathcal{O}_w W$  and  $h_w$  varies continuously with  $w$ . The associated norm on  $\mathcal{O}_w W$  is denoted  $|\cdot|_w$  (to simplify notation, the subscript  $w$  is often omitted). If  $f \in \text{Hol}(W, W)$  we denote its derivative at  $w$  by

$$f_{*w} : \mathcal{O}_w W \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{f(w)} W.$$

The operator norm of  $f_{*w}$  (with respect to  $|\cdot|_w$  and  $|\cdot|_{f(w)}$ ) is denoted  $\|f_{*w}\|$  (or by  $\|f_*\|$  when  $w$  is clear from the context). As  $\mathcal{O}_w W$  is one-dimensional it follows that

$$|f_{*w}(u)|_{f(w)} = \|f_{*w}\| \cdot |u|_w \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{O}_w W.$$

Continuity of  $h$  implies that the map  $w \mapsto \|f_{*w}\|$  is continuous. A piecewise  $\mathcal{C}^1$  path in  $W$  is a mapping  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow W$  for which there exists a finite set of points  $a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b$  such that  $\gamma|_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]}$  is  $\mathcal{C}^1$  and has nowhere vanishing tangent for each  $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$ . The length of such a path  $\gamma$  is defined by

$$l(\gamma) = \int_a^b h(\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} dt = \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt.$$

For any two points  $w$  and  $z$  in  $W$  the distance  $d(w, z)$  is defined by

$$d(w, z) = \inf\{l(\gamma) : \gamma \text{ is a piecewise } \mathcal{C}^1 \text{ path from } w \text{ to } z\}.$$

This function is clearly symmetric, positive and satisfies the triangle inequality. It is a standard result that  $d(w, z) > 0$  when  $w \neq z$  and that  $d$  generates the given topology on  $W$  (for example, see [2]). The open ball  $B(w, r) \subset W$  with centre  $w$  and radius  $r > 0$  is given by

$$B(w, r) = \{z \in W : d(w, z) < r\}.$$

If  $d$  is the distance arising from a hermitian metric  $h$ , it is easy to show that invariance of  $h$  implies invariance of  $d$ . In this first proposition we prove a converse result.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $d$  be the integrated distance associated to a continuous Hermitian metric  $h$  on a Riemann surface  $W$ . If  $d$  is invariant then  $h$  must also be invariant.*

*Proof:* Assume that there exists  $f \in \text{Hol}(W, W)$  such that  $\|f_{*w}\| > 1$  for some  $w \in W$ . We will show that  $d$  cannot be invariant.

As  $\|f_*\| \neq 0$  at  $w$ ,  $f$  maps some neighbourhood  $U$  of  $w$  biholomorphically onto an open neighbourhood of  $f(w)$ . Shrinking  $U$  if necessary and using continuity, we may assume that  $\|f_*\| \geq 1 + \epsilon$  on  $U$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B(f(w), \delta) \subset f(U)$ . Let  $y \in B(f(w), \delta)$  and let  $\gamma$  be a path from  $f(w)$  to  $y$  such that

$$d(f(w), y) \leq l(\gamma) < \delta.$$

As any path which leaves  $B(f(w), \delta)$  will have length at least  $\delta$ , the image of  $\gamma$  must be contained in  $B(f(w), \delta)$ . Thus we may write  $\gamma = f\sigma$  where  $\sigma = (f|_U)^{-1}\gamma$  lies in  $U$  and starts at  $w$ . Denote the endpoint  $(f|_U)^{-1}(y)$  of  $\sigma$  by  $z$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} l(\gamma) &= \int |\gamma'(t)| dt \\ &= \int |(f\sigma)'(t)| dt \\ &= \int \|f_{*\sigma(t)}\| \cdot |\sigma'(t)| dt \\ &\geq \int (1 + \epsilon) |\sigma'(t)| dt \\ &\geq (1 + \epsilon) d(w, z). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the infimum over paths joining  $f(w)$  to  $y = f(z)$ , it follows that

$$d(f(w), f(z)) \geq (1 + \epsilon) d(w, z).$$

Hence  $d$  cannot be invariant.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $d$  be an invariant  $\mathcal{C}^1$  distance on a Riemann surface  $W$ . Let  $f \in \text{Hol}(W, W)$  and assume that there exists a sequence of paths  $\gamma_n: [0, a_n] \rightarrow W$  which all start at  $w$  and for which*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l(\gamma_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l(f\gamma_n) = a > 0.$$

*Then  $\|f_{*w}\| = 1$ .*

*Proof:* Invariance of  $d$  implies that  $\|f_{*}\| \leq 1$  everywhere. Assume that  $\|f_{*w}\| = r < 1$ . As  $d$  is  $\mathcal{C}^1$ ,  $w \mapsto \|f_{*w}\|$  is continuous and hence there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\|f_{*}\| < \frac{1+r}{2}$  on  $B(w, \epsilon)$ . For each  $n$  define  $t_n \in (0, a_n]$  by

$$t_n = \begin{cases} a_n & \text{if } \gamma_n([0, a_n]) \subset B(w, \epsilon) \\ \sup\{t : \gamma_n([0, t]) \subset B(w, \epsilon)\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} l(f\gamma_n|_{[0,t_n]}) &= \int_0^{t_n} |(f\gamma_n)'(t)| dt \\ &= \int_0^{t_n} \|f_{*\gamma_n}(t)\| \cdot |\gamma_n'(t)| dt \\ &< \frac{1+r}{2} \int_0^{t_n} |\gamma_n'(t)| dt \\ &= \frac{1+r}{2} l(\gamma_n|_{[0,t_n]}) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} l(f\gamma_n) &= l(f\gamma_n|_{[0,t_n]}) + l(f\gamma_n|_{[t_n,a_n]}) \\ &< \frac{1+r}{2} l(\gamma_n|_{[0,t_n]}) + l(\gamma_n|_{[t_n,a_n]}) \\ &= l(\gamma_n) - \frac{1-r}{2} l(\gamma_n|_{[0,t_n]}) \\ &\leq l(\gamma_n) - \frac{1-r}{2} \min(\epsilon, l(\gamma_n)) \quad \forall n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l(f\gamma_n) \leq a - \frac{1-r}{2} \min(\epsilon, a) < a \quad \text{since } a > 0.$$

As this contradicts the hypothesis that  $l(f\gamma_n)$  converges to  $a$ , our assumption that  $\|f_{*w}\| < 1$  must have been false.  $\square$

We combine these two propositions to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $d$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^1$  invariant distance on a taut Riemann surface  $W$ . Assume that  $f \in \text{Hol}(W, W)$  and that there are distinct points  $w$  and  $z$  in  $W$  satisfying*

$$f(w) = w \text{ and } d(w, z) = d(w, f(z)).$$

*Then  $f \in \text{Aut}(W)$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $\gamma_n$  be a sequence of paths from  $w$  to  $z$  whose lengths converge to  $d(w, z)$ . Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the inequality

$$l(\gamma_n) \geq l(f\gamma_n) \geq d(f(w), f(z)) = d(w, z)$$

we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l(\gamma_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l(f\gamma_n) = d(w, z) > 0.$$

Proposition 2.2 now implies that  $\|f_{*w}\| = 1$ . Since  $w$  is fixed by  $f$  and  $\mathcal{O}_w(W)$  is one dimensional,  $f_{*w}$  must be given by multiplication by a unimodular complex number. Cartan's theorem now implies that  $f \in \text{Aut}(W)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $W$  be a taut Riemann surface and suppose  $f \in \text{Hol}(W, W)$  fixes two distinct points of  $W$ . Then  $f \in \text{Aut}(W)$ .*

*Proof:* The map  $f$  preserves the Kobayashi distance between the two fixed points. As the Kobayashi distance is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  (for any taut Riemann surface) the preceding theorem implies that  $f \in \text{Aut}(W)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** *If  $f \in \text{Hol}(W, W)$  fixes two distinct points  $w, z \in W$  which can be joined by a unique path  $\gamma: [0, a] \rightarrow W$  satisfying*

$$d(w, z) = l(\gamma) \text{ and } l(\gamma|_{[0,t]}) = t \quad \forall t$$

*then  $f$  is the identity map.*

*Proof:* The path  $f\gamma$  also joins  $w$  to  $z$ . For any  $t \in [0, a]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(w, z) &= l(\gamma|_{[0,t]}) + l(\gamma|_{[t,a]}) \\ &\geq l(f\gamma|_{[0,t]}) + l(f\gamma|_{[t,a]}) \quad \text{by invariance} \\ &\geq d(f(w), f(z)) \\ &= d(w, z). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that we must have  $l(\gamma|_{[0,t]}) = l(f\gamma|_{[0,t]})$  for all  $t$ . Our uniqueness hypothesis for  $\gamma$  now implies that  $f(\gamma(t)) = \gamma(t)$  for all  $t$ , so  $f$  fixes each point on  $\gamma([0, a])$ . The identity theorem for analytic functions implies that  $f$  is the identity map.  $\square$

*Remark 2.* If the distance  $d$  is  $\mathcal{C}^4$  then each point  $w \in W$  has a neighbourhood  $U$  such that any two points of  $U$  can be joined by a unique path in  $U$  which satisfies the hypothesis of  $\gamma$  in the preceding corollary (such paths are usually called *length minimising geodesics*). For a taut Riemann surface  $W$ , the Kobayashi distance is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  and hence any holomorphic map  $f \in \text{Hol}(W, W)$  which fixes two sufficiently close points must be the identity mapping.

*Remark 3.* The biholomorphism  $w \mapsto \frac{1}{w}$  on the annulus  $A = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{1}{2} < |w| < 2\}$  fixes the two points 1 and  $-1$ . However there is more than one length minimising geodesic joining these two points in  $A$  (with respect to the Kobayashi metric).

### 3. One-dimensional holomorphic retracts

In this section, following J. P. Vigué [4], we define analogues of the Carathéodory pseudodistance and the Kobayashi function on a complex manifold. We use these to examine the existence of holomorphic retractions of a complex manifold onto a 1-dimensional complex submanifold through two given points.

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  and  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  be ordered sequences of points in the complex manifolds  $X$  and in  $Y$  respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hol}(X, x_1, \dots, x_n, Y, y_1, \dots, y_n) \\ = \{f \in \text{Hol}(X, Y) : f(x_i) = y_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $W$  be a connected Riemann surface and  $d$  an invariant distance on  $W$ . Fix a point  $w \in W$  and let  $X$  be a complex manifold with basepoint  $x \in X$ . Then we define a Carathéodory type function on  $X \times X$  with values in  $[0, \infty]$  by

$$c_{X,x}^{W,w}(x_1, x_2) = \sup\{d(f(x_1), f(x_2)) : f \in \text{Hol}(X, x, W, w)\} \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in X.$$

The Kobayashi version  $k_{X,x}^{W,w}(x_1, x_2)$  is defined as follows

(i) If  $\text{Hol}(W, w, w_1, w_2, X, x, x_1, x_2) = \emptyset$  for all  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  in  $W$  then

$$k_{X,x}^{W,w}(x_1, x_2) = \infty.$$

(ii) Otherwise  $k_{X,x}^{W,w}(x_1, x_2)$  is given by

$$\inf\{d(w_1, w_2) : w_1, w_2 \in W, f \in \text{Hol}(W, w, w_1, w_2, X, x, x_1, x_2)\}.$$

It follows from the invariance of  $d$  that

$$c_{X,x}^{W,w}(x_1, x_2) \leq k_{X,x}^{W,w}(x_1, x_2) \quad \forall x_1, x_2.$$

For the special case  $(X, x) = (W, w)$ , the invariance of  $d$  also implies

$$c_{W,w}^{W,w}(w_1, w_2) = k_{W,w}^{W,w}(w_1, w_2) = d(w_1, w_2) \quad \forall w_1, w_2 \in W.$$

As in the cases of the usual Carathéodory and Kobayashi functions it is straightforward to show that for all  $f \in \text{Hol}(X, x, Y, y)$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in X$

$$c_{X,x}^{W,w}(x_1, x_2) \geq c_{Y,y}^{W,w}(f(x_1), f(x_2))$$

and

$$k_{X,x}^{W,w}(x_1, x_2) \geq k_{Y,y}^{W,w}(f(x_1), f(x_2)).$$

If we take the usual Kobayashi distance on  $W$  as our invariant distance  $d$ , then it is easy to see that the resulting function  $k_{X,x}^{W,w}$  satisfies

$$k_{X,x}^{W,w} \leq k$$

where  $k$  denotes the usual Kobayashi function given by

$$k(x, x_1) = \inf \left\{ \tanh^{-1} \left| \frac{z - w}{1 - \bar{w}z} \right| : \exists w, z \in \mathbb{D} \right. \\ \left. \text{with } \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}, w, z, X, x, x_1) \neq \emptyset \right\}$$

where  $\mathbb{D}$  denotes the unit disc in the complex plane.

We now use the functions  $c_{X,x}^{W,w}$  and  $k_{X,x}^{W,w}$  to give a criterion for deciding when there exists a holomorphic retraction of a complex manifold  $X$  onto a submanifold biholomorphic to  $W$  which passes through two given points of  $X$ . First we recall the definition of a holomorphic retract.

**Definition 3.1.** A *holomorphic retraction* of  $X$  is a holomorphic mapping  $\rho: X \rightarrow X$  such that

$$\rho|_{\rho(X)} \text{ is the identity map on } \rho(X).$$

The set  $\rho(X)$  is called a *holomorphic retract of  $X$* . It is closed and analytic.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $x$  and  $x_1$  be distinct points in a complex manifold  $X$  and let  $d$  be an invariant distance on a Riemann surface  $W$ . Assume that there exists a holomorphic retraction  $\rho: X \rightarrow X$  such that  $x, x_1 \in \rho(X)$  and  $\rho(X)$  is biholomorphic to  $W$ . Then there exists some point  $w \in W$  for which*

$$0 < c_{X,x}^{W,w}(x, x_1) = k_{X,x}^{W,w}(x, x_1) < \infty.$$

*Proof:* Let  $i: W \rightarrow X$  be a biholomorphism of  $W$  onto  $\rho(X)$ . Put  $w = i^{-1}(x)$  and  $w_1 = i^{-1}(x_1)$ . The three inequalities

$$(i) \quad d(w, w_1) \geq k_{X,x}^{W,w}(i(w), i(w_1)) = k_{X,x}^{W,w}(x, x_1)$$

$$(ii) \quad c_{X,x}^{W,w}(x, x_1) \geq d(i^{-1}\rho(x), i^{-1}\rho(x_1)) = d(w, w_1)$$

$$(iii) \quad c_{X,x}^{W,w}(x, x_1) \leq k_{X,x}^{W,w}(x, x_1)$$

combine to give

$$0 < d(w, w_1) \leq c_{X,x}^{W,w}(x, x_1) \leq k_{X,x}^{W,w}(x, x_1) \leq d(w, w_1) < \infty$$

since  $w \neq w_1$ . The result follows. □

By strengthening our hypotheses, we can prove the following converse to this proposition.



**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $x$  and  $x_1$  be distinct points in a connected, taut complex manifold  $X$ . Let  $d$  be a  $C^1$  invariant distance on a taut Riemann surface  $W$ . If there is some point  $w \in W$  for which*

- (a)  $c_{X,x}^{W,w}(x, x_1) = k_{X,x}^{W,w}(x, x_1) < \infty$  and
- (b) *the open ball  $B(w, r)$  has compact closure in  $W$  (where  $r = k_{X,x}^{W,w}(x, x_1)$ ),*

*then there exists a holomorphic retraction  $\rho: X \rightarrow X$  such that  $x, x_1 \in \rho(X)$  and  $\rho(X)$  is biholomorphic to  $W$ .*

*Proof:* Assume that there is a point  $w \in W$  which satisfies the hypotheses (a) and (b). By tautness of  $X$  we can find maps  $f, f_1, f_2, \dots$  in  $\text{Hol}(W, w, X, x)$  and a sequence of points  $z_n \in W$  such that

- (i)  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on compact sets,
- (ii)  $f_n(z_n) = x_1$  for each  $n$ ,
- (iii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(w, z_n) = k_{X,x}^{W,w}(x, x_1)$ .

As  $\overline{B(w, r)}$  is compact and  $W$  is locally compact, there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\overline{B(w, r + \epsilon)}$  is compact. By (iii), there exists  $N$  such that  $z_n \in B(w, r + \epsilon)$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Compactness of  $\overline{B(w, r + \epsilon)}$  implies that  $z_n$  has a convergent subsequence. Passing to this subsequence if necessary, we may assume that  $z_n$  converges to  $z$  (say). Since  $d$  is continuous, we obtain

$$(1) \quad d(w, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(w, z_n) = k_{X,x}^{W,w}(x, x_1).$$

As the set  $\{z, z_1, z_2, \dots\}$  is compact, conditions (i) and (ii) imply that

$$f(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(z_n) = x_1.$$

Note that  $z$  and  $w$  are distinct. Otherwise we would have  $x = f(w) = f(z) = x_1$  which contradicts the hypothesis that  $x$  and  $x_1$  are distinct.

Next we use the tautness of  $W$  to construct a sequence  $g_n \in \text{Hol}(X, x, W, w)$  which converges uniformly on compact sets (to  $g$  say) such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g_n(x), g_n(x_1)) = c_{X,x}^{W,w}(x, x_1).$$

Let  $w_1 = g(x_1)$ . As  $(g_n(x), g_n(x_1))$  converges to  $(g(x), g(x_1)) = (w, w_1)$  and  $d$  is continuous, we obtain

$$(2) \quad d(w, w_1) = c_{X,x}^{W,w}(x, x_1).$$

Since  $g(f(w)) = w$  and  $g(f(z)) = w_1$ , equations (1) and (2) yield  $d(w, z) = d(w, g(f(z)))$ . As  $w \neq z$ , Theorem 2.3 implies that  $gf \in \text{Aut}(W)$ . Now set  $\theta = (gf)^{-1}$  and define  $\rho: X \rightarrow X$  by

$$\rho = f\theta g.$$

It is easy to verify that  $\rho$  is a holomorphic retraction and that  $g$  maps  $\rho(X)$  biholomorphically onto  $W$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $x$  and  $x_1$  be distinct points in a connected, taut complex manifold  $X$ . Let  $d$  be a complete, invariant,  $\mathcal{C}^1$  distance on a taut Riemann surface  $W$ . If there is some point  $w \in W$  for which*

$$c_{X,x}^{W,w}(x, x_1) = k_{X,x}^{W,w}(x, x_1) < \infty$$

*then there exists a holomorphic retraction  $\rho: X \rightarrow X$  such that  $x, x_1 \in \rho(X)$  and  $\rho(X)$  is biholomorphic to  $W$ .*

*Proof:* As  $W$  is locally compact and  $d$  is complete and inner, the Hopf-Rinow theorem (see [3]) implies that each open ball  $B(w, s)$  ( $s > 0$ ) has compact closure. Thus all of the hypotheses of the previous theorem are satisfied and the corollary follows.  $\square$

*Remark 4.* The Kobayashi distance for a taut Riemann surface  $W$  is both  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  and complete and thus may validly be used in applying the preceding corollary. However, for explicit calculation it may be simpler to use an invariant distance on  $W$  other than Kobayashi's.

*Remark 5.* The proof of Theorem 3.3 implies that  $k_{X,x}^{W,w}(x, x_1) > 0$ . In fact, it is not difficult to show that if  $x$  and  $x_1$  are distinct points in a taut complex manifold  $X$ , then  $k_{X,x}^{W,w}(x, x_1) > 0$  for *any* invariant distance  $d$  on *any* Riemann surface  $W$ .

**Acknowledgements.** It is my pleasure to thank Dr. Richard Timoney and Dr. David Wilkins for several helpful discussions and suggestions which refined the content of the original draft of this article. I would also like to thank Professor Seán Dineen for bringing J. P. Vigué's paper [4] to my attention. Finally I would like to acknowledge the referee's helpful observations.

## References

- [1] H. CARTAN, Sur les fonctions de plusieurs variables complexes: l'itération des transformations intérieures d'un domaine borné, *Math. Z.* **35** (1932), 760–773.

- [2] J. M. LEE, “*Riemannian manifolds. An introduction to curvature*”, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **176**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [3] W. RINOW, “*Die innere Geometrie der metrischen Räume*”, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **105**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1961.
- [4] J. P. VIGUÉ, Géodésiques complexes et rétractes holomorphes de dimension 1, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **176** (1999), 95–112.

School of Mathematics  
Trinity College Dublin  
Dublin. 2  
Ireland  
*E-mail address:* colum@maths.tcd.ie

Primera versió rebuda el 14 de setembre de 2000,  
darrera versió rebuda el 2 d'octubre de 2001.