

# ON THE TOËPLITZ CORONA PROBLEM

E. AMAR

## Abstract

---

The aim of this note is to characterize the vectors  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_k)$  of bounded holomorphic functions in the unit ball or in the unit polydisk of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that the Corona is true for them in terms of the  $H^2$  Corona for measures on the boundary.

---

Let  $D$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , the Corona problem is: given functions  $g_1, \dots, g_N$  holomorphic and bounded in  $D$  such that:

$$\forall z \in D, \quad \sum_{i=1}^N |g_i(z)|^2 \geq \delta^2 > 0,$$

find  $f_1, \dots, f_N$  still holomorphic and bounded in  $D$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^N f_i g_i = 1$  in  $D$ . This was solved for  $D = \mathbb{D}$ , the unit disk in  $\mathbb{C}$  by L. Carleson [8] and it is still open for  $n > 1$  for the basic domains namely the unit ball  $\mathbb{B}_n$  and the unit polydisk  $\mathbb{D}^n$ .

We shall link this question to a question on Toeplitz operators via the  $H^p(\mu)$  Corona.

## 1. Notations

We are interested by the basic domains, the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $D = \mathbb{B}_n$ , in fact any bounded convex domain with smooth boundary  $D$ , or the unit polydisc  $D = \mathbb{D}^n$ .

If  $D = \mathbb{D}^n$  we set  $bD = \mathbb{T}^n$ , the distinguished boundary; if  $D$  is a bounded convex domain with smooth boundary,  $bD = \partial D$  the topological boundary.

Recall that:

$$H^\infty(D) := \left\{ f \text{ holomorphic in } D / \|f\|_\infty := \sup_{z \in D} |f(z)| < \infty \right\}.$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32A35, 32A36, 47B35.

*Key words.* Corona problem, Toeplitz operators, Von Neumann Minimax theorem.

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the set of all probability measures on  $bD$  and for  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$  let  $H^p(\mu)$  be the closure in  $L^p(\mu)$  of the holomorphic polynomials.

If  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $f \in H^\infty(D)$  then, with the assumption that  $0 \in D$ , for any  $r < 1$ ,  $f_r(z) := f(rz)$  is such that  $f_r \in A(D) := H^\infty(D) \cap \mathcal{C}(\overline{D})$ . There is a subsequence of  $\{f_r, r < 1\}$  which converges in  $(L^1(\mu), L^\infty(\mu))$  topology and uniformly on compact sets of  $D$  to a  $\tilde{f} \in H^\infty(\mu) \cap H^\infty(D)$ . Hence for a fixed  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$  we can assume that a  $f \in H^\infty(D)$  is in  $H^\infty(\mu) \cap H^\infty(D)$ .

Now suppose that the Corona problem is solvable, i.e.

$g_1, \dots, g_N \in H^\infty(D)$  are such that  $\exists f_1, \dots, f_N \in H^\infty(D)$  with  $1 = f_1 g_1 + \dots + f_N g_N$ ; we have, for any polynomial  $P$ :

$$P = P f_1 g_1 + \dots + P f_N g_N.$$

Let  $h \in H^p(\mu)$ . Then there is a sequence  $\{P_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of polynomials such that  $P_k \rightarrow h$  in  $H^p(\mu)$ , hence:

$$P_k = \sum_{j=1}^N P_k f_j g_j;$$

but then  $P_k f_j \rightarrow h_j$  in  $H^p(\mu)$ , because the  $f_j$  can be seen as in  $H^\infty(\mu) \cap H^\infty(D)$ .

So if the Corona is true then the  $H^p(\mu)$  Corona is also true for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ :

$$\boxed{CH^p(\mu) : \forall h \in H^p(\mu), \quad \exists k_1, \dots, k_N \in H^p(\mu) \text{ s.t. } h = \sum_{j=1}^N g_j k_j}.$$

The aim of this paper is to show the converse.

If  $f := (f_1, \dots, f_N)$  we set  $|f|^2(z) := \sum_{j=1}^N |f_j(z)|^2$  and  $\|f\|_p := \|f(\cdot)\|_p$ ,

where  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is the  $L^p(bD, \mu)$  norm and  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is the sup norm in  $D$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $D$  be a bounded convex domain  $D$  containing  $O$  and with a smooth boundary or the unit polydisk  $\mathbb{D}^n$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Let:  $g_1, \dots, g_N \in H^\infty(D)$  and  $\delta > 0$ . The following are equivalent:*

(i) *There exist functions  $f_1, \dots, f_N$  in  $H^\infty(D)$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^N f_i g_i = 1$  and  $\|f\|_\infty^2 \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2}$ .*

(ii) *For all measures  $\mu$  on  $\partial D$ ,*

$$\forall h \in H^2(\mu),$$

$$\exists k_1, \dots, k_N \in H^2(\mu) \text{ s.t. } h = \sum_{j=1}^N g_j k_j \text{ and } \|k\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \|h\|_2^2.$$

Let  $g_1, \dots, g_N \in H^\infty(D)$  be such that

$$\forall z \in D, \quad |g|(z)^2 := \sum_{j=1}^N |g_j(z)|^2 \geq \delta^2 > 0,$$

we already know that:

if  $D = \mathbb{B}_n$ ,  $\mu$  the Lebesgue's measure on  $\partial \mathbb{B}_n$  and  $2 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $CH^p(\mu)$  is true [2];

if  $D = \mathbb{D}^n$ ,  $\mu$  the Lebesgue's measure on  $\mathbb{T}^n$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $CH^p(\mu)$  is true [10], [11];

if  $D$  is strictly pseudo-convex,  $\mu$  the Lebesgue's measure on  $\partial D$  and  $2 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $CH^p(\mu)$  is true [5];

if  $D$  is a bounded pseudo-convex domain with smooth boundary,  $\mu$  the Lebesgue's measure on  $\partial D$ , then  $CH^2(\mu)$  is true [4].

In the case  $n = 1$ ,  $D = \mathbb{D}$  the unit disc in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mu$  the Lebesgue's measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ , then  $CH^2(\mu) \Rightarrow CH^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  [12], by an operator method: the commutant lifting theorem of Nagy-Foias.

This means that the Corona theorem in one variable can be proved this way, hence there is some hope to prove a general version of the Corona theorem also by this way.

## 2. Proof of the theorem

We already seen that i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii); to prove that ii)  $\Rightarrow$  i) we shall use the minimax theorem of Von Neuman. The minimax theorem was already used by Berndtsson [6], [7] in order to get estimates on solutions of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -equation; here the situation and the method are quite different.

We shall work with  $N = 2$  in order to simplify notations. Because  $D$  is always convex containing 0, we may assume by dilation that the data  $g := (g_1, g_2)$  are continuous up to the boundary, provided that the estimates do not depend on it.

Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $D$  such that  $\overline{\Omega} \subset D$ ,  $0 \in \Omega$  and let, for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon$  be:

$$\mathcal{C}_\epsilon := \{(f = (f_1, f_2) \in A(D))^2, \text{ s.t. } \|1 - f \cdot g\|_\Omega \leq \epsilon\}$$

where  $\|f\|_\Omega := \sup_{z \in \Omega} |f(z)|$ ;

this set is clearly convex in  $A(D)^2$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the set of probability measures on  $bD$  and for  $0 < \eta \leq 1$  let  $\mathcal{M}_\eta = \eta m + (1 - \eta)\mathcal{M}$ , where  $m$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $bD$ ; this is a convex weakly compact set.

Let us define  $N$  as

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{C}_\epsilon, \forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}_\eta, \quad N(f, \mu) := \|f\|_\mu^2 := \|f_1\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2 + \|f_2\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2.$$

Then  $N$  is convex on  $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon$  for  $\mu$  fixed in  $\mathcal{M}_\eta$  and concave, in fact affine, and continuous on  $\mathcal{M}$  for  $f$  fixed in  $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon$ , hence we can apply the minimax theorem [9]:

$$(*) \quad \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\eta} \inf_{f \in \mathcal{C}_\epsilon} N(f, \mu) = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{C}_\epsilon} \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\eta} N(f, \mu);$$

by (ii) with  $h = 1$  we have  $\exists k = (k_1, k_2) \in (H^2(\mu))^2$ ,  $g \cdot k = 1$ ,  $\|k\|_\mu \leq \frac{1}{\delta}$ ; because  $\mu = \eta m + (1 - \eta)\nu$  we get  $\|k\|_m \leq \frac{1}{\delta\sqrt{\eta}}$  hence  $k \in (H^2(m))^2$ ; by the very definition of  $H^2(\mu)$  there is a sequence  $f_n \in (A(D))^2$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow k$  in  $(H^2(\mu))^2$  hence also in  $(H^2(m))^2$  hence  $f_n \rightarrow k$  uniformly on compact sets of  $D$ ; so for  $\epsilon' \leq \epsilon$  there is a  $f \in A(D)^2$  with  $\|f - k\|_\Omega \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\|g\|_\infty}$  and  $\|f - k\|_{H^2(\mu)} \leq \epsilon'$ . Hence we have

$$\|1 - f \cdot g\|_\Omega = \|k \cdot g - f \cdot g\|_\Omega \leq \|g\|_\infty \|f - k\|_\Omega \leq \epsilon$$

which means that  $f \in \mathcal{C}_\epsilon$ . We deduce that the left side of (\*) is bounded by  $\frac{1}{\delta^2}$  hence for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\eta > 0$ ,  $\gamma > 0$  there is a  $f_{\epsilon, \eta, \gamma} \in \mathcal{C}_\epsilon$  with  $\sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\eta} N(f_{\epsilon, \eta, \gamma}, \mu) \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} + \gamma$ .

Now let  $a \in D$  and  $\nu_a$  a representing measure for  $a$  supported by  $bD$ , then we have with  $\mu := \eta m + (1 - \eta)\nu_a$ :

$$|\eta f_{\epsilon, \eta, \gamma}(0) + (1 - \eta)f_{\epsilon, \eta, \gamma}(a)| = \left| \int f_{\epsilon, \eta, \gamma} d\mu \right| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} + \gamma$$

and with  $\mu = m$ ,

$$|f_{\epsilon, \eta, \gamma}(0)| = \left| \int f_{\epsilon, \eta, \gamma} dm \right| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} + \gamma;$$

hence

$$|f_{\epsilon, \eta, \gamma}(a)| \leq \frac{(1+\eta)(\frac{1}{\delta} + \gamma)}{1-\eta};$$

because this is true for any  $a \in D$  we get

$$\|f_{\epsilon, \eta, \gamma}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{(1+\eta)(\frac{1}{\delta} + \gamma)}{1-\eta}.$$

Using Montel property we get that there is a  $f \in (H^{\infty}(D))^2$  bounded by  $\frac{1}{\delta}$  and such that  $g \cdot f = 1$  on  $\Omega$  hence, because  $\Omega$  is open and  $f \cdot g$  is holomorphic in  $D$ ,  $f \cdot g = 1$  in  $D$ .  $\square$

### 3. Operator version

We shall give an operator version of the previous result strongly inspired by [3], but first we need some definitions. Let  $D$  be as before and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ ; for any function  $f$  in  $L^{\infty}(\mu)$  define the Toeplitz operator  $T_f^{\mu}$  on the Hilbert space  $H^2(\mu)$  by

$$\forall g \in H^2(\mu), \quad T_f^{\mu} g := P_{\mu}(fg),$$

where  $P_{\mu}$  is the orthogonal projection from  $L^2(\mu)$  on  $H^2(\mu)$ . We can state:

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $D$  be a bounded convex domain containing 0 and with a smooth boundary or the unit polydisk  $\mathbb{D}^n$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Let:  $g_1, \dots, g_N \in H^{\infty}(D)$  and  $\delta > 0$ . The following are equivalent:*

(i) *There exist functions  $f_1, \dots, f_N$  in  $H^{\infty}(D)$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^N f_i g_i = 1$  and  $\|f\|_{\infty}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2}$ .*

(ii) *For all measures  $\mu$  on  $bD$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^N T_{g_j}^{\mu} (T_{g_j}^{\mu})^* \geq \delta^2 \mathbb{I}$ .*

For  $D = \mathbb{D}^2$ , this was proved in [1]; they used a method specific to the bidisc which explicitly cannot work even for  $\mathbb{D}^3$ .

*Proof:* We shall prove that (ii) is equivalent to:

(iii) *For all measures  $\mu$  on  $bD$ ,*

$$\forall h \in H^2(\mu),$$

$$\exists k_1, \dots, k_N \in H^2(\mu) \text{ s.t. } h = \sum_{j=1}^N g_j k_j \text{ and } \|k\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \|h\|_2^2,$$

and then we apply the theorem to be done.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) (same proof as in [1]): Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $bD$  and set  $G_i := T_{g_i}^\mu$ ; by (ii) we get that the operator  $Q := G_1 G_1^* + \dots + G_N G_N^*$  is invertible and  $\|Q^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2}$ . We can define:

$$F_i := G_i^* Q^{-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N;$$

these are bounded operators on  $H^2(\mu)$  and clearly we get:

$$(1) \quad G_1 F_1 + \dots + G_N F_N = \mathbb{I}.$$

Now take  $k_i = F_i h$ ,  $k := (k_1, \dots, k_N)$ ; we have

$$\|k\|_2^2 = \|G_1^* Q^{-1} h\|^2 + \dots + \|G_N^* Q^{-1} h\|^2,$$

but

$$\|G_1^* Q^{-1} h\|^2 = \langle G_1^* Q^{-1} h, G_1^* Q^{-1} h \rangle = \langle G_1 G_1^* Q^{-1} h, Q^{-1} h \rangle$$

hence

$$\|k\|_2^2 = \langle h, Q^{-1} h \rangle \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \|h\|^2,$$

because  $(G_1 G_1^* + \dots + G_N G_N^*) Q^{-1} = \mathbb{I}$ .

Together with equation (1) this means precisely that the  $H^2(\mu)$  Corona is true, i.e.

$$(iii) \quad \forall h \in H^2(\mu),$$

$$\exists k_1, \dots, k_N \in H^2(\mu) \text{ s.t. } h = \sum_{j=1}^N g_j k_j, \text{ and } \|k\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \|h\|^2. \quad \square$$

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ , then by (iii) we have:

$$\forall h \in H^2(\mu),$$

$$\exists k_1, \dots, k_N \in H^2(\mu) \text{ s.t. } h = \sum_{j=1}^N g_j k_j \text{ and } \|k\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \|h\|^2,$$

then  $S_h := \{k = (k_1, \dots, k_N) \in (H^2(\mu))^N : \sum_{j=1}^N G_j k_j = h\}$  is not empty

and it has elements of norm less than  $\frac{1}{\delta^2} \|h\|_2^2$ ;  $S_0$  is a subspace of the Hilbert space  $(H^2(\mu))^N$  hence there is a unique element  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_N)$  in  $S_h$  which is orthogonal to  $S_0$  and hence of minimal norm. Then we get:  $\|k\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \|h\|_2^2$  and, defining  $F_j$  by  $F_j h := k_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$ , we

have:

$$(2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^N \|F_j h\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \|h\|_2^2$$

$$(3) \quad \forall h \in H^2(\mu), \quad \sum_{j=1}^N G_j F_j h = h.$$

From equation (3) we get:

$$\forall h \in H^2(\mu), \quad \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^N G_j F_j h, h \right\rangle = \|h\|_2^2,$$

$$\text{hence } \forall h \in H^2(\mu), \quad \sum_{j=1}^N \langle F_j h, G_j^* h \rangle = \|h\|_2^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \forall h \in H^2(\mu), \quad \|h\|_2^2 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^N \|F_j h\| \|G_j^* h\| \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \|F_j h\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \|G_j^* h\|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using equation (2) we get:

$$\forall h \in H^2(\mu), \quad \|h\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \|h\|_2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \|G_j^* h\|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

$$\text{hence } \forall h \in H^2(\mu), \quad \sum_{j=1}^N \|G_j^* h\|^2 \geq \delta^2 \|h\|_2^2 \text{ and the corollary.} \quad \square$$

## References

- [1] J. AGLER AND J. E. MCCARTHY, Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation on the bidisk, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **506** (1999), 191–204.
- [2] E. AMAR, On the corona problem, *J. Geom. Anal.* **1**(4) (1991), 291–305.
- [3] E. AMAR AND C. MENINI, On an operator theory approach to the corona problem, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **34**(3) (2002), 369–373.
- [4] M. ANDERSSON, The  $H^2$  corona problem and  $\bar{\partial}_b$  in weakly pseudoconvex domains, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **342**(1) (1994), 241–255.

- [5] M. ANDERSSON AND H. CARLSSON, Wolff type estimates and the  $H^p$  corona problem in strictly pseudoconvex domains, *Ark. Mat.* **32(2)** (1994), 255–276.
- [6] B. BERNDTSSON,  $\bar{\partial}_b$  and Carleson type inequalities, in: “*Complex analysis, II*” (College Park, Md., 1985-86), Lecture Notes in Math. **1276**, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 42–54.
- [7] B. BERNDTSSON, Uniform estimates with weights for the  $\bar{\partial}$ -equation, *J. Geom. Anal.* **7(2)** (1997), 195–215.
- [8] L. CARLESON, Interpolations by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **76** (1962), 547–559.
- [9] T. W. GAMELIN, “*Uniform algebras*”, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1969.
- [10] S.-Y. LI, Corona problem of several complex variables, in: “*The Madison Symposium on Complex Analysis*” (Madison, WI, 1991), Contemp. Math. **137**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992, pp. 307–328.
- [11] K. C. LIN, The  $H^p$ -corona theorem for the polydisc, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **341(1)** (1994), 371–375.
- [12] C. F. SCHUBERT, The corona theorem as an operator theorem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **69(1)** (1978), 73–76.

Département de Mathématiques

Université de Bordeaux I

33405 Talence

France

E-mail address: `Eric.Amar@math.u-bordeaux.fr`

Primera versió rebuda el 13 de desembre de 2002,  
darrera versió rebuda el 24 d'abril de 2003.