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Abstract: In this paper we study the topology of the hyperbolic component of the parameter plane for the Newton’s method applied to $n$-degree Bring–Jerrard polynomials given by $P_n(z) = z^n - cz + 1$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$. For $n = 5$, using the Tschirnhaus–Bring–Jerrard nonlinear transformations, this family controls, at least theoretically, the roots of all quintic polynomials. We also study a bifurcation cascade of the bifurcation locus by considering $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 37F10, 37F45.

Key words: Newton’s method, holomorphic dynamics, Julia and Fatou sets, hyperbolic components, bifurcation locus.

1. Introduction

The historical seed of complex dynamics goes back to Ernst Schröder and Arthur Cayley who, at the end of the nineteenth century, investigated the global dynamics of Newton’s method in $\mathbb{C}$ applied to polynomials of degree two (previous studies did not deal with the complex variable). They were able to see that the two neighborhoods around each root of the quadratic polynomial where Newton’s method converges to each root, in fact extend to two half planes and the separation straight line between them is precisely the bisectrix. In other words, any Newton’s map for a quadratic polynomial with two different roots is conformally conjugated to the map $z \rightarrow z^2$ in the Riemann sphere (in McMullen language the family is trivial [15]). With the same aim, Cayley also considered the global dynamics of Newton’s method applied to cubic polynomials but he was not able to conclude satisfactorily.
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Since then, complex dynamics as a whole, that is the study of iterates of holomorphic maps on the complex plane or the Riemann sphere, has become an important issue in dynamical systems. The natural space for iterating a rational map $f$ is the Riemann sphere. So, for a given rational map $f$, the sphere splits into two complementary domains: the Fatou set $\mathcal{F}(f)$ where the family of iterates $\{f^n(z)\}_{n\geq0}$ is a normal family, and the Julia set $\mathcal{J}(f)$ where the family of iterates fails to be a normal family. The Fatou set, when nonempty, is given by the union of, possibly, infinitely many open sets in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, usually called Fatou components. On the other hand, it is known that the Julia set is a closed, totally invariant, perfect nonempty set, and coincides with the closure of the set of repelling periodic points. For a deep and helpful review on iteration of rational maps see [17].

The rational (transcendental meromorphic) family given by the Newton’s map applied to a polynomial (transcendental entire) family has become a central subject in complex dynamics. The reason for this special interest is based on the implications of this global analysis on Newton’s map as a root finding algorithm. It is very difficult, or, possibly, not possible, to give a short survey on Newton’s method and how a better understanding of the whole dynamics gives a better understanding of the Newton’s map as a root finding algorithm. But we focus on some main observations connected to our work.

A first important observation coming from this global analysis is somehow negative. Newton’s method applied to cubic (or higher degree) polynomials $Q_c(z) = z(z-1)(z-c)$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$ fails. That is, there are open sets in the $c$-parameter plane for which there are open sets in the dynamical plane converging to neither 0, 1 nor $c$. The reason for this is the existence of a free critical point that, for certain parameters does its own dynamical behavior independently of the attracting basins associated to the roots of $Q_c$. A remarkable result due to C. McMullen [14] goes deeply in this direction by showing that even though we can substitute Newton’s map for another rational root finding algorithm for which the previous limitation is solved, the problem is unsolvable for polynomials of higher degree.

A second relevant consideration is, given $P$, how to use the Newton’s map to find numerically all roots of $P$; that is, how to choose the initial seeds to ensure we get all roots of $P$. This important question, from the numerical analysis point of view, was solved using a dynamical system approach in the paper [13], where the authors gave a universal set of initial conditions, with cardinality depending only on the polynomial degree.
A third remark is a topological question which relates the connectivity of the Julia set, or equivalently, the simple connectivity of the Fatou components. It is well known that rational maps, in general, may have non simply connected Fatou components given by either Herman rings (doubly connected components), basins of attraction or parabolic basins with (infinitely many) holes or preimages of simply connected components which could be multiple connected. Przytycki [18] showed that every root of a polynomial $P$ has a simply connected immediate basin of attraction for its corresponding Newton’s method $N_P$ (see below for formal definitions). Later, Meier [16] proved the connectivity of the Julia set of $N_P$ when $\deg P = 3$, and later Tan [21] generalized this result to higher degrees of $P$. However, the deeper result in this line is due to Shishikura [20] who proved that the Julia set of $N_P$ is connected for any non-constant polynomial $P$. In fact, he obtained this result as a corollary of a much more general theorem for rational functions, namely, the connectedness of the Julia set of rational functions with exactly one weakly repelling fixed point, that is, a fixed point which is either repelling or parabolic with multiplier 1.

Similarly, and in most cases strictly related to this, it is important to study the topology of the hyperbolic components in the parameter plane and, consequently, the structure of the bifurcation locus. A cornerstone example of this is the paper of P. Roesch [19], where she used the Yoccoz Puzzles to prove the simple connectivity of hyperbolic components in the parameter as well as the dynamical plane for the family of cubic polynomials.

The main goal of this paper is to study some topological properties of the parameter plane of Newton’s method applied to the family

\begin{equation}
\label{eq1}
P_{n,c} := P_c(z) = z^n - cz + 1,
\end{equation}

where $n \geq 3$ (to simplify the notation we will assume, throughout the whole paper, that $n$ is fixed; so, we erase the dependence on $n$ unless we need to refer to it explicitly). The interest to consider this family is explained in Section 2 where we show that the general quintic equation $P_5(z) = 0$ can be transformed (through a strictly nonlinear change of variables) to one of the form $P_{5,c} := z^5 - cz + 1 = 0$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Letting $n$ as a parameter in (1) allows us to have a better understanding of the problems we are dealing with.

Easily, the expression of the Newton’s map applied to (1) can be written as (see Lemma 4.1):

\begin{equation}
\label{eq2}
N_c(z) = z \frac{P_c(z)}{P'_c(z)} = z \frac{z^n - cz + 1}{nz^{n-1} - c} = \frac{(n-1)z^n - 1}{nz^{n-1} - c}.
\end{equation}
So, the critical points of $N_c$ correspond to the zeroes of $P_c$, which we denote by $\alpha_j, j = 0, \ldots, n - 1$ and $z = 0$ which is the unique free critical point of $N_c$ of multiplicity $n - 2$. We notice that since all critical points except $z = 0$ coincide with the zeroes of $P_c$ they are superattracting fixed points; so, their dynamics is fixed for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that for certain values of $n$ and $c$, this rational map is not irreducible.

For each root $\alpha_j(c) := \alpha_j, j = 0, \ldots, n - 1$ we define its basin of attraction, $A_c(\alpha_j)$, as the set of points in the complex plane which tend to $\alpha_j$ under the Newton’s map iteration. That is

$$A_c(\alpha_j) = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}, N_c^k(z) \to \alpha_j \text{ as } k \to \infty \}.$$ 

In general $A_c(\alpha_j)$ may have infinitely many connected components but only one of them, denoted by $A_c^*(\alpha_j)$ and called immediate basin of attraction of $\alpha_j$, contains the point $z = \alpha_j$.

To label each root of $P_c$ we observe that, for large values of $|c|$, there exists a unique root of $P_c$, $\alpha_0$, having modulus smaller than one and there exists a unique root of $P_c$, $\alpha_j, j = 1, \ldots, n - 1$, inside a disc of radius 1, centered at

$$w_j = |c|^{1/n-1} \exp \left( \frac{\text{Arg}(c) + 2\pi j}{n-1} i \right)$$

(see Lemma 3.1 for details). These labeling for the roots of $P_c$ can be extended for all values of $c$ by analytic continuation of the roots with respect the parameter $c$ (as long as we do not touch the points for which two roots collapse which are described in Lemma 4.1).

Similarly, the hyperbolic components in the $c$-parameter plane are the open subsets of $\mathbb{C}$ in which the unique free critical point $z = 0$ either eventually maps to one of the immediate basin of attraction corresponding to one of the roots of $P_c$ or it has its own hyperbolic dynamics associated to an attracting periodic point of period greater than one. Of course, the bifurcation locus corresponds to the union of all boundaries of those components and possible accumulating points (see Section 4 for more precise definitions).

If $N_c^k(0) \in A_c^*(\alpha_j), k \geq 0$ for some $j = 0, \ldots, n - 1$ (that is the free critical $z = 0$ is eventually trapped by one of the roots of $P_c$) we say that $c$ is a capture parameter. As we will see, the set of all capture parameters has infinitely many connected components depending on the first number $k \geq 0$ and the value of $j$ so that $N_c^k(0) \in A_c^*(\alpha_j)$. To distinguish among different captured hyperbolic components we use the following notation which takes into account the number of iterates of
z = 0 to get into the immediate basin of attraction of one of the roots:

\[ C_0^j = \{ c \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \in \mathcal{A}_c^*(\alpha_j) \} \]
\[ C_k^j = \{ c \in \mathbb{C}, N_c^k(0) \in \mathcal{A}_c^*(\alpha_j) \text{ and } N_c^{k-1}(0) \notin \mathcal{A}_c^*(\alpha_j), k \geq 1 \}. \]

We prove, in Section 4, some topological results about those stable subsets of the parameter plane.

**Theorem A.** The following statements hold:

(a) \( C_0^0 \) is connected, simply connected and unbounded.

(b) \( C_1^j, 1 \leq j \leq n - 1 \) are empty.

(c) \( C_1^j, 0 \leq j \leq n - 1 \) are empty.

(d) \( C_k^j, 0 \leq j \leq n - 1 \) and \( k \geq 2 \) are simply connected as long as they are nonempty.

The proofs of statements (a) and (b) follow directly from Proposition 4.3 while (c) and (d) follow from Proposition 4.5. Apart from the captured components we also observe the presence of Generalized Mandelbrot sets \( M_k \) (the bifurcation locus of the polynomial families \( z^k + c, c \in \mathbb{C} \)). As an application of a result of C. T. McMullen [15], we can show that for a fixed \( n \), all non-captured hyperbolic components correspond to \( n - 1 \) Generalized Mandelbrot sets. Precisely, we prove the following result in Section 4:

**Corollary B.** Fix \( n \geq 3 \). The bifurcation locus \( B(N_n) \) is nonempty and contains the quasiconformal image of \( \partial M_{n-1} \) and \( B(N_n) \) has Hausdorff dimension two. Moreover, small copies of \( \partial M_{n-1} \) are dense in \( B(N_n) \).

Finally, we turn the attention to real parameters. Because of the symmetries in the parameter plane, to have a good understanding of real positive values of \( c \) is quite important to describe the bifurcation locus. In Section 5 we show the existence of different sequences of \( c \)-real values tending to 0 corresponding to centers of capture components, preperiodic parameters and centers of the main cardioids of \( M_{n-1} \) sets.

**Theorem C.** Fix \( n \geq 3 \) and let \( c \) be a positive real parameter. Denote by \( c^* = n/(n - 1) \). The following statements hold:

(a) If \( c > c^* \) then \( c \in C_0^0 \).

(b) If \( c < c^* \) there are two different decreasing sequences of parameters tending to 0 for which the free critical point \( z = 0 \) is (i) a superattracting periodic point (with increasing period) or (ii) a preperiodic point (in fact pre-fix, with increasing pre-periodicity). Moreover,
(b.1) If \( n \) is odd, there is a decreasing sequence of parameters tending to 0 for which the free critical point \( z = 0 \) is the center of a capture component \( C^k_j \) for some \( j \).

(b.2) If \( n \) is even, \( C^k_j \cap \mathbb{R} = \emptyset \) for any \( j = 0, \ldots, n-1 \) and \( k \geq 2 \).

The proof of statement (a) follows from Lemma 4.4. The rest of the statements follows from Proposition 5.1.

The proof of statement (a) follows from Lemma 4.4. The rest of the statements follows from Proposition 5.1.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly explain the reduction of a general quintic equation to its Bring–Jerrard form. In Section 3 we give some results on the dynamical plane of the Newton’s map \( N_c \). In Section 4 we state and prove the topological properties of the hyperbolic components in the parameter plane. Finally, in Section 5 we study real parameters and prove Theorem C.

2. Tschirnhaus’, Bring’s, and Jerrard’s transformations

As we have already explained in the introduction we study the Newton’s method applied to the family of \( n \)-degree polynomials (1) defined by

\[
P_{n,c}(z) = z^n - cz + 1.
\]

Any polynomial of degree 5 can be linearly conjugated through \( \eta(z) = \eta_1 z + \eta_2 \) to one monic polynomial without 4-degree term. Using this idea any quadratic polynomial \( az^2 + bz + c, a, b, c \in \mathbb{C} \) can be reduced to a polynomial of the family \( z^2 + \lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \). Of course, via a linear transformation, we cannot expect to reduce (in the sense of getting a conjugacy) all quintic polynomial to a one parameter family, concretely to family (1), like in the quadratic case.

However, using nonlinear transformations, it is possible to actually reduce all quintic polynomials (in a weaker sense only preserving certain information of the roots of the original polynomial) to family (1) for \( n = 5 \). Consequently, the interest of applying Newton’s method to (1) is due to Tschirnhaus’ (Bring’s and Jerrard’s) transformations applied to 5-degree polynomials. For a good explanation of all these transformations see the translation of the original paper of Tschirnhaus [23], the short review in [1] and references therein. For completeness we give here a brief summary.

In his original paper [22], Tschirnhaus proposed a method for solving \( P_n(z) = 0 \), where \( P_n \) is a polynomial of degree \( n \), by simplifying it to a polynomial \( Q_n(y) \) where \( Q_n \) is a (simpler) polynomial of degree \( n \) with less coefficients (trivially, the linear change of variables allows to eliminate the coefficient \( z^{n-1} \)). His idea was to introduce the new variable \( y \) in the form \( y = T_k(z) \) with \( k < n \). Tschirnhaus’ original idea was
used later by Bring and Jerrard to move forward in the simplification process. Although Tschirnhaus’ method works for general polynomials of degree \( n \), here we present \( n = 5 \).

Precisely, we want to reduce the general expression of a quintic equation

\[
(4) \quad z^5 + a_4 z^4 + a_3 z^3 + a_2 z^2 + a_1 z + a_0 = 0, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{C}
\]
to one of the form

\[
(5) \quad z^5 + c_1 z + c_0 = 0,
\]
in such a way that the roots of (4) can be recuperated from the roots of (5). To do so, we first reduce the general quintic equation to its principal form, that is

\[
(6) \quad z^5 + b_2 z^2 + b_1 z + b_0 = 0.
\]
The \( n \)-th power sums of the roots \( x_j \)'s of (4) are given by

\[
(7) \quad S_n = S_n(x_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{5} x_j^n, \quad n = 1, \ldots
\]
which satisfy (Newton’s formulae [10])

\[
S_n = -na_5 - n - n - 1 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} S_{n-j} a_5 - j,
\]
where \( a_k = 0 \) if \( k < 0 \). For equation (4) we have, for instance, \( S_1 = -a_4 \) and \( S_3 = -a_3^2 + 3a_3a_4 - 3a_2 \). The key idea is to assume (and prove) that the roots \( x_j \)'s of (4) are related to the roots \( y_j \)'s of (6) through a quadratic (Tschirnhaus) transformation

\[
(8) \quad y_j = x_j^2 + \alpha x_j + \beta, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}.
\]
That is, we want to see that \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) can be expressed algebraically in terms of the coefficients. From Newton’s formulae, the power sums for equation (6) give

\[
(9) \quad S_1 = S_2 = 0, \quad S_3 = -3b_2, \quad S_4 = -4b_1, \quad S_5 = -5b_0.
\]
Hence, from \( S_1 = S_2 = 0 \), we obtain

\[
(10) \quad a_4 \alpha - 5\beta + 2a_3 - a_4^2 = 0,
\]
\[
a_3 \alpha^2 - 10\beta^2 + (3a_2 - a_3a_4) \alpha + 2a_1 - 2a_2a_4 + a_3^2 = 0,
\]
and from those equations we can solve for \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), algebraically, in terms of the coefficients \( a_k \)'s (indeed the equations are quadratic in \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) and we are free to choose either of the solutions). In turn, it is
an exercise to see (but involve some computations) that from the three later equations in (9), we may obtain $b_j$, $j = 0, 1, 2$ as functions of $a_k$'s, $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

Once we have reduced the general equation (4) into its principal form (6) we also want to eliminate the quadratic coefficient $b_2$ of the later expression to get its Bring–Jerrard form (5). A first attempt (the one Tschirnhaus had in mind) may be to impose the cubic equation (so getting an extra parameter)

\[(11) \quad r_j = y_j^3 + \alpha y_j^2 + \beta y_j + \gamma, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}\]

for the roots of (5), denoted by $r_j$’s, and the roots $y_j$’s of (6). If we argue as before, Newton’s formulae for the power sums for equation (5) gives

\[(12) \quad S_1 = S_2 = S_3 = 0, \quad S_4 = -4c_1, \quad S_5 = -5c_0.\]

However to determine $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$ using $S_1 = S_2 = S_3 = 0$ one gets a 6-degree polynomial for $\alpha$, so not being solvable by radicals.

The new ingredient introduced by Bring and Jerrard was to add an extra parameter so that equation (11) becomes

\[(13) \quad r_j = y_j^4 + \alpha y_j^3 + \beta y_j^2 + \gamma y_j + \delta, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}.\]

Using the three first equations in (12), equation (13) and Newton’s formulae applied to the principal form (6) we get three new equations from which it is possible to write $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$ and $\delta$ as algebraic functions of the $b_j$’s coefficients. From the first of those equation we obtain

\[
\delta = \frac{1}{5} (4b_1 + 3\alpha b_2),
\]

which we substitute in the second equation to get

\[
-10b_0\alpha\beta - 4b_1\beta^2 + \frac{4}{5} b_1^2 + 8b_0b_2 + \frac{46}{5} b_1b_2\alpha + \frac{6}{5} b_2^2\alpha^2 + 6b_2^2\beta - 2 (5b_0 + 4b_1\alpha + 3b_2\beta) \gamma = 0.
\]

If we choose $\beta$ to cancel out the $\gamma$ coefficient in the above equation, the expression becomes quadratic in $\alpha$, so algebraically solvable. Finally, substituting $\delta$, $\beta$ and $\alpha$ in the third of those mentioned equations we obtain a cubic equation for the later coefficient $\gamma$. As a final step in this process we use the fourth and fifth equations in (12) to determine (linearly) the coefficients $c_0$ and $c_1$ in terms of the $b_j$’s.
All this process allows to reduce the original equation (4) to the simpler equation (5). Assuming you know the five solutions of the equation (5) you should invert the process to find out the solutions of your original equation (4). Since the transformations you have applied are nonlinear, what happens is that you have twenty candidates for the five zeroes of (4). As far as we know, there are no non-numerical tests to determine which ones are the correct ones, but theoretically you could write the solutions of (4) in terms of the solutions of (5).

On the other hand, it is easy to show that the Newton’s method applied to the polynomial

\[ P(z) = z^5 + c_1 z + c_2, \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C} \]

is either conjugated to the Newton’s method applied to \( q_a(z) = z^5 + az \) or conjugated to one of the family

\[ P_c(z) = z^5 - cz + 1, \quad c \in \mathbb{C}. \]

In the first case, the conjugation is to the Newton’s method applied either to \( q_-(z) = z(z^4 - 1) \) or to \( q_+(z) = z(z^4 + 1) \) or to \( q_0(z) = z^5 \).

Consequently there is a formal connection between the use of Newton’s method for the general quintic equation and its Bring–Jerrard form.

### 3. Dynamical plane: Distribution of the roots and attracting basins

In this section we prove some estimates, that we will need in next sections, for the relative distribution of the roots \( \alpha_j, j = 0, \ldots, n-1 \) of the polynomials in family (1), assuming they are all different roots.

Fix \( c \in \mathbb{C} \) and denote by \( D(z_0, r) \) the disc centered at \( z = z_0 \) of radius \( r > 0 \). Let \( w_j := w_j(c), j = 0, \ldots, n-1 \) be the \( n \) different solutions of \( z(z^{n-1} - c) = 0 \). In particular, we set \( w_0 = 0 \). Next lemma shows that if \( |c| \) is large enough we have \( \alpha_j \in D(w_j, 1) \) for \( j = 0, \ldots, n-1 \). In particular if \( |c| \) is large enough we set \( \alpha_0 \) to be the root of the corresponding polynomial such that \( \alpha_0 \in D(0, 1) \) and \( \alpha_j, j = 1, \ldots, n-1 \) to be the root of the corresponding polynomial such that \( \alpha_j \in D(w_j, 1) \). That is to say, the root \( \alpha_0 \) is always inside a disc of radius 1 centered at 0 and each one of the other roots \( \alpha_j \) are inside the corresponding disc centered at \( w_j, j = 1, \ldots, n-1 \). As we see in the following, \( \alpha_0 \) behaves as \( 1/c \) for \( c \) large enough.
Lemma 3.1. The following statements hold:

(a) For all $c$ in the parameter space, the roots $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}$ of (1) belong to the set

$$D = \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} D(w_j, 1).$$

(b) Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$|c| > \max \left\{ 2^{n-1}, \frac{1}{\sin^{n-1} \left( \frac{\pi}{n-1} \right)} \right\}.$$  \tag{15}

Then $D(w_j, 1) \cap D(w_k, 1) = \emptyset$ when $j \neq k$. Moreover, each $D(w_j, 1)$ contains one and only one of the roots of (1), $j = 0, \ldots, n-1$.

(c) If $c$ is large enough, there exists $M := M(n) > 0$ such that

$$|\alpha_0 - N_c(0)| < M|c|^{-(n+1)}. \tag{16}$$

Proof: Let $\alpha$ be any of the solutions of the equation $z^n - cz + 1 = 0$ (that is $\alpha = \alpha_j$ for some $j = 0, \ldots, n-1$). Easily $\alpha$ should satisfy $|\alpha| \cdot |\alpha^{n-1} - c| = |\alpha| \cdot |\alpha - w_1| \cdots |\alpha - w_{n-1}| = 1$. If $\alpha \notin D$ we have that $|\alpha| \cdot |\alpha - w_1| \cdots |\alpha - w_{n-1}| > 1$, that is a contradiction. Thus, statement (a) is proved.

By definition, the set $D$ is formed by $n$ discs of radius 1 and centered at $w_j$, $j = 0, \ldots, n-1$. Notice that the $w_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$ are the vertices of a regular polygon of $n-1$ sides centered at 0 (lying on the circle centered at the origin and radius $|c|^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$) and hence the distance between two consecutive vertices is exactly $2|c|^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \sin(\pi/(n-1))$ while the distance from each of them to the origin is $|c|^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$.

In order to prove that these discs are disjoint we only need to check that the distance between any pair of centers is bigger than 2. Taking into account the previous discussion this happens precisely if (15) is satisfied.

To finish the proof of statement (b) we should show that, if the discs are disjoint, each of them contains a unique root of (1). Fix $c$ satisfying (15) or in other words, so that $D$ is formed by $n$ disjoint discs of radius 1 and centered at $w_j$, $j = 0, \ldots, n-1$. Define $h_1(z) = z(z^{n-1} - c)$ and $h_2(z) \equiv 1$. We claim that $|h_2(z)| < |h_1(z)|$ for all $z \in \partial D(w_j, 1)$, $j = 0, \ldots, n-1$. So, Rouche’s Theorem implies that $h_1(z)$ and $h_1(z) + h_2(z) = z^n - cz + 1$ have the same number of zeroes in each $D(w_j, 1)$. But clearly $h_1(z)$ has one and only one zero in each disc.

To see the claim we observe that

$$|h_1(z)| = |z(z^{n-1} - c)| = |z - w_0| \cdot |z - w_1| \cdots |z - w_{n-1}|.$$
If $z \in \partial D(w_j, 1)$ the factor $|z - w_j|$ is equal to 1 and the rest of the factors are bigger than 1, since by assumption $D$ is formed by $n$ disjoint discs. We thus obtain that $|h_1(z)| > 1 = |h_2(z)|$ when $z \in \partial D(w_j, 1)$ for $j = 0, \ldots, n - 1$.

Finally, we prove statement (c). Easily we have that $N_c(0) = 1/c$. Fix again $c$ large enough so that $D$ is formed by $n$ disjoint discs, in particular we have that $\alpha_0$ is in $D(0, 1)$. Notice that since $c\alpha_0 = 1 + \alpha_0^n$ we have $|c\alpha_0| = |1 + \alpha_0^n| \leq 1 + |\alpha_0|^n \leq 2$ and so $|c\alpha_0|^n \leq 2^n$. Consequently,

$$
\left|\alpha_0 - \frac{1}{c}\right| = \frac{1}{|c|}|c\alpha_0 - 1| = \frac{1}{|c|}|\alpha_0^n| = \frac{1}{|c|^{n+1}}|c\alpha_0|^n \leq 2^n \frac{1}{|c|^{n+1}}.
$$

In particular, for a fixed $n$, as $c$ goes to infinity the smallest root (in modulus) of (1) tends to $1/c$ (exponentially) faster than $c$ approaches infinity. As we will state in Subsection 4.1, statement (c) of Lemma 3.1 is equivalent to say that for $c$ outside a certain disc in the parameter plane, the free critical point $z = 0$ always belongs to the same immediate basin of attraction, the one of $\alpha_0 \sim 1/c$.

The following quite general topological properties of the basins of attraction and hyperbolic components of the Julia set are well known (see for instance [13], where it is studied Newton’s method for a general polynomial, and [20]).

**Proposition 3.2.** The following statements hold:

(a) $A^*_n(\alpha_j)$ is unbounded.

(b) The number of accesses to infinity of $A^*_n,c(\alpha_j)$ is either 1 or $n - 1$.

(c) $J(N_c)$ is connected. So, any connected component of the Fatou set is simply connected.

The classical Böttcher Theorem provides a tool related to the behavior of holomorphic maps near a superattracting fixed point [4], which we apply to make a detailed description of the superattracting basin of each simple root $\alpha_j$ for $j = 0, \ldots, n - 1$ of $N_c$.

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose that $f$ is a holomorphic map, defined in some neighborhood $U$ of 0, having a superattracting fixed point at 0, i.e.,

$$f(z) = a_m z^m + a_{m+1} z^{m+1} + \cdots$$

where $m \geq 2$ and $a_m \neq 0$.

Then, there exists a local conformal change of coordinates $w = \varphi(z)$, called Böttcher coordinate at 0 (or Böttcher map), such that $\varphi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is the map $w \rightarrow w^m$ throughout some neighborhood of $\varphi(0) = 0$. Furthermore, $\varphi$ is unique up to multiplication by an $(m - 1)$-st root of unity.
Assume that $\alpha_j$ is one of the simple roots of $N_c$ for $j = 0, \ldots, n - 1$. Applying Böttcher’s Theorem near $\alpha_j$ the map $N_c$ is conformally conjugated to $z \to z^2$ near the origin and we notice that this Böttcher map is unique since $m = 2$. As explained before, we will use a linear change of coordinates in order to have a monic expansion of $N_c$ near $\alpha_j$.

Near $\alpha_j$ we have that

$$N_c(z) = \alpha_j + \frac{N_c''(\alpha_j)}{2!} (z - \alpha_j)^2 + \frac{N_c'''(\alpha_j)}{3!} (z - \alpha_j)^3 + \cdots$$

Using the conformal map $\tau(z) = \frac{N_c''(\alpha_j)}{2} (z - \alpha_j)$ we obtain that the map

$$(17) \quad \hat{N}_c(z) = (\tau \circ N_c \circ \tau^{-1})(z) = z^2 + \sum_{n \geq 3} \frac{2^{n-1}}{n!} \frac{N_c^{(n)}(\alpha_j)}{[N_c''(\alpha_j)]^{n-1}} z^n$$

is monic. For each $j = 0, \ldots, n - 1$, we denote by $\varphi_j$ its corresponding Böttcher map (so $\varphi_j(\hat{N}_c)(z) = \varphi_j(z)^2$) such that $\varphi_j(0) = 0$, $\varphi'_j(0) = 1$. From equation (17) we deduce that

$$(18) \quad (\varphi_j \circ \tau) \circ N_c = D_2 \circ (\varphi_j \circ \tau),$$

where $D_2(z) = z^2$. Hence $\varphi_j \circ \tau$ is the Böttcher map conjugating $N_c$ near $\alpha_j$ to $z^2$ to 0.

Before going to the parameter plane we state a result we will use later which allows us to know when a rational map is the Newton’s method of a certain polynomial. Precisely, we will use it at the end of the surgery construction in Proposition 4.5.

**Lemma 3.4 ([12, 21]).** Any rational map $R$ of degree $d$ having $d$ different superattracting fixed points is conjugated by a Môbius transformation to $N_P$ (Newton’s method) for a polynomial $P$ of degree $d$. Moreover, if $\infty$ is not superattracting for $R$ and $R$ fixes $\infty$, then $R = N_P$ for some polynomial $P$ of degree $d$.

### 4. Hyperbolic components in the parameter plane of $N_c$

As we stated in the introduction, the hyperbolic components in the parameter plane correspond to open subsets of $\mathbb{C}$, in which the unique free critical point $z = 0$ either eventually maps to one of the immediate basins of attraction corresponding to one of the roots of $P_c$, or it has its own hyperbolic dynamics associated to an attracting periodic point of period strictly greater than one (black components in Figure 1). These immediate basins of attraction are denoted by $\mathcal{C}^k_j$ in (3), where $j$ explains...
the catcher root and \( k \) the minimum number of iterates for which \( z = 0 \) reaches \( \mathcal{A}_c^*(\alpha_j) \). We use the following notation:

\[
\mathcal{H} = \{ c \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ 0 is attracted by an attracting cycle of period } p \geq 2 \}. 
\]

\[
\mathcal{B} = \{ c \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ the Julia set } \mathcal{J}(N_c) \text{ does not move continuously} \\
\text{ (in the Hausdorff topology) over any neighborhood of } c \}. 
\]

The first lemma removes from our parameter plane those values of \( c \) for which the roots of \( P_c \) are not simple and so the Newton’s method is not a rational map of degree \( n \).

**Lemma 4.1.** Fix \( n \geq 3 \). The Newton’s map \( N_c \) is a degree \( n \) rational map if and only if

\[
c \neq c_k^* := \frac{n}{(n-1)^{\frac{1}{n}}}, \quad k = 0, \ldots, n-1. 
\]

**Proof:** The rational map \( N_c \) has degree \( n \) as long as all the roots of \( P_c \) are simple. Otherwise, the pair \((z,c)\) should be a solution of the polynomial system

\[
\begin{align*}
P_n(z) &= z^n - cz + 1 = 0, \\
P'_n(z) &= nz^{n-1} - c = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(19)

Solving this system we have

\[
(z_k^*, c_k^*) = \left( z^* e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{n}}, c^* e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{n}} \right), \quad k = 0, \ldots, n-1,
\]

where

\[
(20) \quad (z^*, c^*) = \left( \left( \frac{1}{n-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}, \frac{n}{(n-1)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}} \right)
\]

denote the positive real values of the corresponding roots. \( \square \)

In the next lemma we prove that we can focus on a sector in the parameter plane due to some symmetries.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let \( n \geq 3 \). The following symmetries in the \( c \)-parameter plane hold:

(a) The maps \( N_c(z) \) and \( \hat{N}_c(z) \) with \( \hat{c} = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}} c \), are conjugated through the holomorphic map \( h(z) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}} z \).

(b) The maps \( N_c(z) \) and \( N_\bar{c}(z) \) are conjugated through the anti-holomorphic map \( h(z) = \overline{z} \).
Figure 1. Different parameter planes as $n$ varies. From these pictures we can easily see the symmetries rigorously proven in Lemma 4.2.

Proof: We first prove (a). We take $h(z) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}z}$. Then

\[
(h^{-1} \circ N_c \circ h)(z) = h^{-1} \left( N_c \left( e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}z} \right) \right)
\]

\[
= h^{-1} \left( e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}z} - \frac{\left( e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}z} \right)^n - c \left( e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}z} \right) + 1}{n \left( e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}z} \right)^{n-1} - c} \right)
\]

\[
= e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{n}} \left( e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}z} - \frac{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}z^n} - ce^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}z} + 1}{ne^{\frac{2\pi i}{n(n-1)}z^{n-1}} - c} \right) = N_{\hat{c}}(z),
\]

where $\hat{c} = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}c$. 
To see (b) we take $h(z) = \overline{z}$ and argue as above,

$$
(h^{-1} \circ N_c \circ h)(z) = h^{-1}\left(\frac{(n-1)z^n - 1}{nz^{n-1} - c}\right) = \frac{(n-1)z^n - 1}{nz^{n-1} - c} = \frac{(n-1)z^n - 1}{nz^{n-1} - c} = N_z(z).
$$

In the following subsections we describe the topology of the different hyperbolic components. In Subsection 4.1 we study the capture components $C_0^j$ showing that only $C_0^0$ is nonempty. Moreover, we show that it is unbounded, it contains the complement of a disc of radius 4 and it is simply connected (see Proposition 4.3). In Subsection 4.2 we investigate the rest of the capture components showing that every connected component is simply connected (see Proposition 4.5). Finally, in Subsection 4.3 we show that the bifurcation locus for $N_c$ contains quasiconformal copies of the bifurcation locus of the map $z^{n-1} + c$.

**4.1. The hyperbolic components $C_0^j$ for $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$.** The first result determines that one of the roots, $\alpha_0$, is playing a differentiated role, since for all $c$ outside a certain disc around the origin, the free critical point $z = 0$ lies in its immediate basin of attraction. This is due to the fact that the free critical point is $z = 0$ for all $n \geq 3$ and for all $c$ in the parameter space. As a consequence, any other capture component should be bounded (see Figure 1), which in turn implies that $C_0^0, j = 1, \ldots, n - 1$ are empty.

**Proposition 4.3.** Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(a) $C_0^0$ is unbounded. In fact we have $C_0^0 \supset \{c \in \mathbb{C}, |c| > 4\}$.

(b) $C_0^j$ is connected and simply connected.

(c) $C_0^j = \emptyset$ for all $j \geq 1$.

**Proof:** We first prove that there is an unbounded connected component of $C_0^0$. Let us denote by $B = B(0, 1/2)$ the closed disc of radius 1/2 centered at $z = 0$. We claim that if $|c| > 4$, the map $N_c$ maps $B$ strictly inside itself. Hence, the Denjoy–Wolf Theorem implies that there must be a unique point $\eta \in B$ such that for all $z \in B$ $N_c^n(z) \to \eta$ as $n \to \infty$ (in other words $B$ belongs to the immediate basin of attraction of the fixed point $\eta$). In particular we have that $N_c^n(0) \to \eta$ as $n \to \infty$. Of course $\eta$ must be one of the roots $\alpha_j$ of $P_c$. Since for $c$ large enough we know that $\alpha_0 \in B$, we use continuity of the roots of $P_c$ with respect to the parameter $c$ to conclude $\eta = \alpha_0$ and hence $c \in C_0^0$. 

To see the claim we notice that if $|c| > 4$ the following inequalities follow easily:

$$
|N_c(z)| = \left| \frac{(n-1)z^n - 1}{nz^{n-1} - c} \right| < \frac{(n-1)|z|^n + 1}{|c| - n|z|^{n-1}} \\
< \frac{(n-1)2^{-n} + 1}{|c| - n2^{1-n}} < \frac{n - 1 + 2^{n}}{2^{n+2} - 2n} < \frac{1}{2},
$$

for all $n \geq 3$.

We secondly prove that $C_0^0$ is conformally a disc. Since $N_c$ has a superattracting fixed point at $\alpha_0$, we can use the Böttcher coordinate near the origin to define a suitable representation map in $C_0^0$. The idea is the same as in the uniformization of the complement of the Mandelbrot set for the quadratic family, see [7, 8] for the original construction. Using a suitable linear change of variables we obtain a new family of maps, so that the superattracting fixed point is now located at $z = 0$ and the functions can be written as $z^2 + O(z^3)$, and thus having a preferred Böttcher coordinate in this region (see equation (17)).

It is well known that the Böttcher map cannot be analytically continued to the whole immediate basin of attraction of $\alpha_0$ since the critical point 0, by assumption, belongs to it. However, as in the parametrization of the cubics maps given in [6] by Branner and Hubbard we can use the co-critical point. Observe that $N_c$ is a rational map of degree $n$, with $n$ critical points of degree 1 located at $\alpha_j$ and the critical point of degree $n - 2$ located at 0. So, there exists a unique point, denoted by $w_c$ and called the co-critical point, such that $N_c(w_c) = N_c(0)$. Indeed a computation shows that $w_c = n/(n-1)c$ and

$$
N_c(0) = N_c\left( \frac{n}{(n-1)c} \right) = \frac{1}{c}.
$$

Using this co-critical point we define

$$
\Phi: C_0^0 \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D}
$$

(21)

$$
c \to \left[ \varphi_0 \left( \frac{n}{2} \frac{N''(\alpha_0)}{(n-1)c - \alpha_0} \right) \right]^{-1},
$$

where $\varphi_0$ is the Böttcher coordinate defined in the immediate basin of attraction of $z = 0$ for the monic map $\hat{N}_c = \tau \circ N_c \circ \tau^{-1}$ where $\tau(z) = \frac{N_c''(\alpha_j)}{2}(z - \alpha_j)$.

We claim that $\Phi$ is a proper analytic map from $C_0^0$ onto the exterior of the unit disc. In fact, it is a covering of degree $n$ with a ramified point
at $\infty$. To see the claim we mimic the Douady–Hubbard technique [7, 8] for the uniformization of the exterior of the Mandelbrot set.

A brief computation shows that

$$N''(\alpha_0) = \frac{P''_c(\alpha_0)}{P'_c(\alpha_0)} = \frac{n(n-1)\alpha_0^{n-2}}{n\alpha_0^{n-1} - c}.$$  

Using now that $\alpha_0 = 1/c + O(1/|c|^{n+1})$ (see Lemma 3.1) we have that

$$\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \left( \frac{n}{(n-1)c} - \alpha_0 \right) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{|c|^{n-1}}\right) \times \left( \frac{n}{(n-1)c} - \frac{1}{c} + O\left(\frac{1}{|c|^{n+1}}\right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{n}{2(n-c^n)} + O\left(\frac{1}{|c|^{2n-1}}\right) = \frac{n + O\left(\frac{1}{|c|^{n}}\right)}{2(n-c^n) + O\left(\frac{1}{|c|^{n}}\right)}$$

$$= \frac{n}{2(n-c^n)} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{|c|^{n}}\right) \right) = \frac{n}{2(n-c^n)} + O\left(\frac{1}{|c|^{2n}}\right).$$

As mentioned before, $\varphi'(0) = 1$ (or, equivalently $\lim_{z \to 0} \varphi_0(z)/z = 1$). So, as $c \to \infty$ we obtain that

$$\varphi_0 \left( \frac{N''(\alpha_0)}{2} \left( \frac{n}{(n-1)c} - \alpha_0 \right) \right) \approx \frac{n}{2(n-c^n)} + O\left(\frac{1}{|c|^{2n}}\right).$$

Thus, the map $c \to \Phi(c)$ is holomorphic and $|\Phi(c)| > 1$, while $|\Phi(c)| \to 1$ as $c \to \partial C^0_j$. Therefore, $\Phi$ is a proper map ramified at $\infty$ and the above computations show that $\Phi(c) \approx Kc^n + O(|c|^{2n})$, $K \in \mathbb{C}$.

To prove that $C^0_j$ is formed by the unique unbounded connected component (the one we just proved it exists), we argue by contradiction. If there were another component $U$, by the arguments above, would be bounded. When approaching its center, we would have $\alpha_0(c) \to 0$, a contradiction since this only can happen if $c \to \infty$. In fact, the same argument also shows that $C^0_j$ is empty for all $j \geq 1$. Observe that if there were any (bounded) component $C^0_j$ its center should satisfy that $\alpha_j(c) \to 0$, but this implies $j = 0$ and $c \to \infty$ again. So, the proposition is proved.

In the next lemma we show that there exist some semi-straight lines in the parameter plane joining $c = 0$ (excluding this value) to infinity for which the Newton’s map has an invariant straight line in the dynamical plane. Once this is proved it is easy to conclude that, for those
parameters, \( z = 0 \) belongs to the immediate basin of attraction of \( \alpha_0 \) or equivalently those semi-straight lines in parameter plane belong to \( C^0_0 \).

We also show that all real parameters \( c > c^\star \) also belong to \( C^0_0 \).

We denote by \( L^+_\theta = \{ |w|e^{i\theta}, |w| > 0 \} \) and by \( L_\theta = L^+_\theta \cup L^+_{\theta + \pi} \cup \{ 0 \} \cup \{ \infty \} \).

**Lemma 4.4.** Fix \( n \geq 3 \).

(a) If \( c \in L^+_{\pi/n} \) then \( c \in C^0_0 \). Moreover, \( L^-_{\pi/n} \) is a forward invariant straight line for the map \( N_c \) with

\[
N_c(|z|e^{-\frac{\pi i}{n}}) = \frac{(n-1)|z|^n + 1}{n|z|^{n-1} + |c|} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{n}}.
\]

(b) If \( c \in L^+_0 \) with \( c > c^\star := n(n - 1) - \frac{n-1}{n} \) then \( c \in C^0_0 \).

**Remark 1.** Taking into account the symmetries described in Lemma 4.2 it is clear that the previous lemma also applies to the corresponding lines of the parameter plane after applying the symmetry.

**Proof:** We first prove statement (a). When \( c \in L^+_{\pi/n} \) we have that \( c = |c|e^{\frac{\pi i}{n}} \). Hence (2) becomes

\[
N_c(z) = \frac{(n-1)z^n - 1}{nz^{n-1} - |c|e^{\frac{\pi i}{n}}},
\]

First we assume \( z \in L^+_{-\pi/n} \). Hence (23) can be written as:

\[
N_c(|z|e^{-\frac{\pi i}{n}}) = \frac{(n-1)|z|^n e^{-\frac{(n-1)}{n} \pi i} - 1}{n|z|^{n-1}e^{-\frac{(n-1)}{n} \pi i} - |c|e^{\frac{\pi i}{n}}} = \frac{(n-1)|z|^n + 1}{n|z|^{n-1} + |c|} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{n}}.
\]

So \( L^+_{-\pi/n} \subset L^-_{-\pi/n} \) is forward invariant. Secondly, we take \( z \in L^+_{[-\pi/n+\pi]} = L^+_{[(n-1)/n] \pi} \). Calculating as above we have

\[
N_c(|z|e^{\frac{n-1}{n} \pi i}) = \frac{(n-1)|z|^n e^{\frac{(n-1)}{n} \pi i} - 1}{n|z|^{n-1} e^{\frac{(n-1)}{n} \pi i} - |c|e^{\frac{\pi i}{n}}} = \frac{(n-1)|z|^n e^{\pi i} + 1}{n|z|^{n-1} e^{\pi i} - |c|} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{n}},
\]
and so
\[ N_c(|z|e^{\frac{n-1}{n} \pi i}) = \begin{cases} 
-\frac{(n-1)|z|^n + 1}{n|z|^{n-1} - |c|} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{n}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even}, \\
-\frac{(n-1)|z|^n + 1}{n|z|^{n-1} + |c|} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{n}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}.
\end{cases} \]

From the formulae it is easy to see that in both cases \((n \text{ even and } n \text{ odd})\) a point \(z \in L_{\frac{(n-1)}{n}\pi}^+\) maps to one point in \(L_{-\pi/n}\). Hence, altogether we conclude that \(L_{-\pi/n}\) is a forward invariant straight line for the map \(N_c\).

To see that in fact \(c \in \mathbb{C}^0\) we write (22) as a map \(F_c\) from the positive real line to itself such that
\[ F_c(x) = \frac{(n-1)x^n + 1}{nx^{n-1} + |c|} \quad \text{and} \quad F'_c(x) = \frac{(n-1)nx^{n-1} - |c|}{(nx^{n-1} + |c|)^2} (x^n + |c|x - 1). \]

From those formulae and knowing that \(F_c\) is the restriction of a Newton’s map on an invariant straight line, we easily get that \(F(0) = 1/|c|, F(x) \sim x^{n-1}x\) as \(x \to \infty\), there exists a unique positive fixed point \(0 < \hat{x}_c < 1/|c|\) of \(F_c\) such that \(F'_c(\hat{x}_c) = 0\) and \(F_c(x) < 0\) for all \(x \in (0, \hat{x}_c)\). Therefore, it is clear that \([0, \hat{x}_c]\) belongs to the immediate basin of attraction of \(\hat{x}_c\). Using the continuous dependence of \(\hat{x}_c\) with respect to \(c\) (notice that \(c > c^*\) and so the roots of \(P_c\) may not collapse) we know that \(\hat{x}_c\) tends to 0 as \(|c|\) tends to \(\infty\). Going back to the map \(N_c\), we deduce that \(\hat{x}_c e^{-\pi i/n}\) is one of the \(\alpha_j\)-roots of \(P_c\) and that the segment joining \(z = 0\) and \(z = \hat{x}_c e^{-\pi i/n}\) belongs to its immediate basin of attraction. Since \(\hat{x}_c e^{-\pi i/n}\) should tend to 0 as \(c \to \infty\), we conclude that \(\hat{x}_c e^{-\pi i/n} = \alpha_0\) and that \(c \in \mathbb{C}^0\), as desired.

Now we prove statement (b). Let \(c \in \mathbb{R}^+\) and \(c > c^*\). The restriction of the Newton’s map in \(\mathbb{R}\), which is forward invariant, can be written as
\[ N_c(x) = \frac{(n-1)x^n - 1}{nx^{n-1} - c}, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}^+. \]

Easily, \(N_c(0) = 1/c, N_c(x) = 0\) if and only if \(x = \sqrt[1/(n-1)]{1/(n-1)}\) and \(N_c\) has a vertical asymptote at \(x = \sqrt[n-1]{c/n}\). Moreover, the map \(N_c\) is an analytic function on the interval \([0, \sqrt[n-1]{c/n}]\). We claim that if \(c > c^*\), then there is a unique \(x^* \in (0, \sqrt[n-1]{c/n})\) such that \(N_c(x^*) = x^*\) and \(N'_c(x^*) = 0\).

To see the claim we show first that the unique positive zero of \(N_c\) happens to be before the asymptote if and only if the condition of the
statement is satisfied.

\[
\sqrt{1/(n-1)} < \frac{n-1}{\sqrt{c/n}} \iff \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{n-1}} < \frac{c}{n} \iff c > \frac{n}{\sqrt{(n-1)^{n-1}}} := c^*. 
\]

From Bolzano’s Theorem we conclude that \(N_c\) has (at least) one fixed point (which of course satisfies the equation \(x^n - cx + 1 = 0\)) on the interval \((0, \sqrt{n-1/c/n})\), but since \(N_c\) is the restriction of a Newton’s map we know that it is unique. We denote it by \(x_c^0\). On the other hand differentiating we obtain

\[
N_c'(x) = \frac{n(n-1)x^{n-2}}{(nx^{n-1} - c)^2} (x^n - cx + 1).
\]

An easy computation shows that \(N_c'\) is positive in \((0, x_c^0)\) and \(N_c'(0) = N_c'(x_c^0) = 0\). So, \(N_c\) is increasing on the interval \((0, x_c^0)\). From this we see that the closed interval \([0, x_c^0]\), and in particular \(x = 0\), belongs to the immediate basin of attraction of \(x_c^0\).

Finally, we observe that \(x_c^0 \to 0\) as \(c \to \infty\) and so \(x_c^0 = \alpha_0\) for large \(c\). The continuity of the roots of a polynomial with respect to the parameter (again remember that for \(c > c^*\) there are no collisions of the roots) concludes statement (b).

This section gives a deep understanding of the main hyperbolic component in the parameter space given by the immediate basin of attraction of the special root \(\alpha_0\). As a corollary we obtain that the rest of hyperbolic components are all bounded. In the next section we prove that if they are nonempty then they are all simply connected.

### 4.2. The capture components: \(C^k_j\), for \(0 \leq j \leq n-1, k \geq 1\).

In the next proposition we prove the main topological properties of the capture components \(C^k_j\) for \(0 \leq j \leq n-1, k \geq 1\). These open sets in the parameter plane contain all the parameters such that the critical point \(z = 0\) is attracted by one the roots of \(P_c\), see equation (1), but the critical point does not belong to the immediate basin of attraction. Precisely, the index \(k\) counts the number of iterates that the origin needs to arrive to the immediate basin of attraction.

**Proposition 4.5.** Fix \(n\).

(a) \(C^1_j = \emptyset\) for all \(j = 0, \ldots, n-1\).

(b) If \(C^k_j \neq \emptyset\), its connected components are simply connected.
Proof: To prove statement (a) assume otherwise. Let \( c \in C_j^1 \) and consider its corresponding dynamical plane. We claim that \( f : f^{-1}A_c^*(\alpha_j) \to A_c^*(\alpha_j) \) has degree \( n + 1 \), a contradiction since the map has global degree \( n \). To see the claim we notice that by assumption the (simply connected) Fatou component of \( z = 0 \) maps to \( A_c^* (\alpha_j) \) with degree \( n - 1 \) (the number of critical points counting multiplicity plus one) and \( A_c^* (\alpha_j) \) maps to itself with degree 2.

To prove statement (b) we use a quasiconformal surgery construction (see [2, 5]). Let \( U \) be a connected component of \( C_j^k, j = 2, \ldots, n - 1, k \geq 1 \). We consider the following map \( \Phi_U : U \to \mathbb{D} \)

\[
c \to \psi_{j,c} \left( N_{c}^k(0) \right),
\]

where \( \psi_{j,c} \) denotes the Böttcher’s map conjugating \( N_c \) near \( \alpha_j \) to \( z \to z^2 \) near the origin (see equation (18)) and \( \mathbb{D} \) is the unit disc. As in Proposition 4.3(b), the map \( \Phi_U \) is a proper mapping and we will prove that it is a local homeomorphism.

Let \( c_0 \in U \) and \( z_0 = \Phi_U(c_0) \). The idea of this surgery construction is the following: for any point \( z \) near \( z_0 \) we can build a map \( N_{c_0}(z) \) such that \( \Phi(c(z)) = z \), or in other words, we can build the inverse map of \( \Phi \).

We denote by \( W_{c_0} \) the connected component of \( A_{c_0}^* (\alpha_j) \) containing \( N_{c_0}^k(0) \), preimage of \( A_{c_0}^* (\alpha_j) \) and let \( B_{c_0} \subset W_{c_0} \) be the preimage of \( V_{c_0} \) containing \( N_{c_0}^k(0) \).

For any \( 0 < \epsilon < \min\{|z_0|, 1 - |z_0|\} \) and any \( z \in D(z_0, \epsilon) \), we choose a diffeomorphism \( \delta_z : B_{c_0} \to V_{c_0} \) with the following properties:

- \( \delta_{z_0} = N_{c_0} \);
- \( \delta_z \) coincides with \( N_{c_0} \) in a neighborhood of \( \partial B_{c_0} \) for any \( z \);
- \( \delta_z( N_{c_0}^k(0)) = \psi_{j,c_0}^{-1}(z) \).

We consider, for any \( z \in D(z_0, \epsilon) \), the following mapping \( G_z : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \):

\[
G_z(x) = \begin{cases} 
\delta_z(x) & \text{if } x \in B_{c_0}, \\
N_{c_0}(x) & \text{if } x \notin B_{c_0}.
\end{cases}
\]

We proceed to construct an invariant almost complex structure, \( \sigma_z \), with bounded dilatation ratio. Let \( \sigma_0 \) be the standard complex structure of \( \hat{\mathbb{C}} \). We define a new almost complex structure \( \sigma_z \) in \( \hat{\mathbb{C}} \).

\[
\sigma_z := \begin{cases} 
(\delta_z)^* \sigma_0 & \text{on } B_{c_0}, \\
(N_{c_0}^n)^* \sigma & \text{on } N_{c_0}^{-n}(B_{c_0}) \text{ for all } n \geq 1, \\
\sigma_0 & \text{on } \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq 1} N_{c_0}^{-n}(B_{c_0}).
\end{cases}
\]
By construction $\sigma$ is $G_z$-invariant, i.e., $(G_z)^*\sigma = \sigma$, and it has bounded distortion since $\delta_z$ is a diffeomorphism and $N_{c_0}$ is holomorphic. If we apply the Measurable Riemann Mapping Theorem (see Section 1.4 in [5]) we obtain a quasiconformal map $\phi_z : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\phi_z$ integrates the complex structure $\sigma_z$, i.e., $(\phi_z)^*\sigma = \sigma_0$, normalized so that $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\phi(\infty) = \infty$. Finally, we define $R_z = \phi_z \circ G_z \circ \phi_z^{-1}$, which is analytic, hence a rational function.

We claim that this resulting mapping $R_z$ is the Newton’s method applied to the polynomial $P_n(x) = x^n - c(z)x + 1$. By construction $R_z$ is a rational map of degree $n$ with $n$ distinct superattracting fixed points and fixing $\infty$, hence from Lemma 3.4 we can conclude that $R_z$ is the Newton’s method for a polynomial $Q(z)$ of degree $n$. Moreover, $0$ is a critical point of $R_z$ with multiplicity $n - 2$ and simple computations show that critical points of $R_z$ are zeroes of $Q$ and the zeroes of $Q''$. Hence we have that the only zero of $Q''$ is $x = 0$. Obtaining, perhaps after a conjugation with a Möbius transformation, that

$$R_z(x) = \frac{(n-1)x^n - 1}{nx^{n-1} - c(z)}.$$

By construction, $\phi_{z_0}$ is the identity for $z = z_0$; then, there exists a continuous function $z \in D(z_0, \epsilon) \mapsto c(z) \in U$ such that $c(z_0) = z_0$ and $N_{c(z)} = \phi_z \circ G_z \circ \phi_z^{-1}$.

Moreover, $\phi_z$ is holomorphic on $A^*_c(\alpha_j)$ conjugating $N_{c_0,m}$ and $N_{c(z),m}$. Hence, from the following commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{z^2} & \mathbb{D} \\
\psi_{j,c_0} & & \psi_{j,c_0} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A^*_c(\alpha_j) & \xrightarrow{N_{c_0}} & A^*_c(\alpha_j) \\
\phi_z & & \phi_z \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A^*_c(z)(\alpha_j) & \xrightarrow{N_{c(z)}} & A^*_c(z)(\alpha_j) \\
\end{array}
$$

we have that $\psi_{j,c(z)} = \psi_{j,c_0} \circ \phi_z^{-1}$ is the Böttcher coordinate of $A^*_c(z)(\alpha_j)$. Finally, we conclude that

$$\Phi_U(c(z)) = \psi_{j,c(z)}(N^c_{c(z)}(0)) = z$$

since $N^c_{c(z)}(0) = \phi_z \circ G_z^c(0) = \phi_z \circ G_z^c(0) = \phi_z \circ G_z(N^c_{c_0}(0)) = \phi_z \circ \psi_{j,c_0}^{-1}(z) = \tau_z \circ \phi_z^{-1} \circ \psi_{j,c(z)}^{-1}(z) = \psi_{j,c(z)}^{-1}(z)$. \qed
4.3. Other hyperbolic components and the bifurcation locus.
The theory of polynomial-like maps, developed by Douady and Hubbard \[9\], explains why pieces of the dynamical and parameter planes of some families of rational, entire or meromorphic maps are so similar to the dynamical and parameter plane of the family of polynomials of the form \(z^k + c, c \in \mathbb{C}\). Indeed, McMullen \[15\] showed that small generalized Mandelbrot sets are dense in the bifurcation locus for any holomorphic family of rational maps. For a fixed value of \(k \geq 2\) the *Generalized Mandelbrot set* is defined as

\[
\mathcal{M}_k = \{c \in \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{J}(z^k + c) \text{ is connected}\}.
\]

We define a holomorphic family of rational maps over the unit disc \(\mathbb{D}\) as a holomorphic map

\[
f : \mathbb{D} \times \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}.
\]

Notice that for each (parameter) \(t \in \mathbb{D}\), the map \(f_t : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}\) is a rational map. We also require that \(\deg(f_t) \geq 2\). The *Bifurcation locus* \(\mathcal{B}(f)\) is defined as the set of parameters \(t\) such that the Julia set \(\mathcal{J}(f_t)\) does not move continuously (in the Hausdorff topology) over any neighborhood of \(t\). It is known that \(\mathcal{B}(f)\) is a closed and nowhere dense subset of \(\mathbb{D}\) and its complement is also called the \(J\)-stable set. In Figure 2 we show the parameter plane of \(z^k + c\) for \(k = 2, 3\) and \(6\). The complement of \(\mathcal{M}_k\) is called the Cantor set locus and the bifurcation locus is \(\partial\mathcal{M}_k\).

![Figure 2. Mandelbrot sets of degree 2, 3 and 6.](image)

With rare exceptions the bifurcation locus of a holomorphic family of rational maps is nonempty. One of this exceptions occurs when the family is trivial, or in other words, when all the members in the family are conformally conjugated. This is the case, for example, for the Newton’s method applied to polynomials of degree 2. For this case, all the
members in the family are conformally conjugated to the map \( z \rightarrow z^2 \). In our case it is easy to see that \( \mathcal{B}(N_n) \) is nonempty, since, for example, we have plenty of preperiodic parameters (see Section 5). The universality of the generalized Mandelbrot set is shown in [15]. The precise statement is as follows:

**Theorem 4.6 ([15]).** For any holomorphic family of rational maps over the unit disc, the bifurcation set \( \mathcal{B}(f) \) is either empty or contains the quasiconformal image of \( \partial \mathcal{M}_k \) for some \( k \) and \( \mathcal{B}(f) \) has Hausdorff dimension two. Moreover, small Generalized Mandelbrot sets are dense in \( \mathcal{B}(f) \).

Applying the above result to our family of rational maps \( N_c(z) = ((n-1)z^n-1)/(nz^{n-1}-c) \) we obtain Corollary B.

**Proof of Corollary B:** The critical points of \( N_c \) are \( \alpha_j, j = 0, \ldots, n-1 \) (all simple) and 0 (with multiplicity \( n-2 \)) since \( P^n_c(z) = n(n-1)z^{n-2} \). Thus, if \( U \) is a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin the degree of \( N_n,c: U \to N_n,c(U) \) is \( n-1 \). Hence, in any polynomial-like construction involving the free critical point located at zero we always obtain a member of the family \( z^{n-1}+c \). Therefore, applying Theorem 4.6 we obtain that the bifurcation locus of \( N_c \) for a certain \( n \) contains the quasiconformal image of \( \partial \mathcal{M}_{n-1} \).

5. **Real polynomials**

Fix \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( c \in \mathbb{R} \). We notice that we can restrict to parameters \( c \in \mathbb{R}^+ \); if \( n \) is odd, from the symmetry properties of the parameter plane (Lemmas 4.2 and 4.4), we have that \( \mathbb{R}^- \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathcal{C}_0^0 \), and when \( n \) is even \( N_c \), with \( c \in \mathbb{R}^- \), is conformally conjugated to \( N_{-c} \) (Lemma 4.2).

Because of Lemma 4.4, we only need to deal with \( 0 < c < c^* \) since otherwise \( c \in \mathcal{C}_0^0 \). Of course, again, the results that we prove for \( c \) real also apply to complex parameters after applying the symmetry explained in Lemma 4.2(a). In [3, 11] the authors studied this problem from the real analysis point of view. They characterize the possible combinatorial orbits of \( z = 0 \) using symbolic dynamics.

We introduce two parameters which will play an important role defining those bifurcations:

\[
0 < c' := \sqrt[n]{n-1} < \bar{c} := \sqrt[n]{n} < c^*.
\]
Proposition 5.1. For every $n$, there exists a strictly decreasing sequence of real $c$-parameters $\{\alpha_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ such that $\alpha_k \rightarrow 0$, $0 < \alpha_k < \alpha_1 := \sqrt[n]{n} - 1$ and each of those parameters is the center of a free (non-captured) hyperbolic component $D_k$ for which the free critical point $x = 0$ is a super attracting periodic point of period $k + 1$ (main black pseudo cardioids for positive real parameters). Moreover,

(a) If $n$ is odd there also exists a strictly decreasing sequence of real $c$-parameters $\{\beta_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ such that $\beta_k \rightarrow 0$, $\alpha_1 = c' < \beta_1 < c^*$, $\alpha_k < \beta_k < \alpha_{k-1}$ for all $k \geq 2$ and each of those parameters is the center of a captured hyperbolic component $C_k^j$ for some fixed $j$.

(b) If $n$ is even $C_k^j \cap \mathbb{R} = \emptyset$ for any $j = 0, \ldots, n-1$ and $k > 0$.

Proof: We will only consider $c \in (0, c^*)$. The qualitative graph of the Newton’s map $N_c$ is drawn in Figure 3. From those pictures it is easy to deduce that $c'$ corresponds to the parameter for which the free critical value $1/c$ is equal to the positive zero $1/\sqrt[n]{n} - 1$ while $c^*$ corresponds to the parameter for which $1/c$ is equal to the positive vertical asymptote $n^{-\sqrt{c/n}}$. We define $G_k(c) = N^k_c (1/c)$, $k > 0$, that is $G_k$ is a function of $c$ giving the $k$-th iterate, for the corresponding Newton’s map $N_c$, of the free critical value $1/c$.

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3.** Qualitative graph of $N_c$. For all $c \in (0, c^*)$ and $n \geq 3$ it has a unique (positive) zero at $1/\sqrt[n]{n} - 1$ and a unique (positive) vertical asymptote at $n^{-\sqrt{c/n}}$. Moreover, $x = 0$ is a minimum for $n$ odd and an inflection point for $n$ even.

From this notation it is clear that the centers of the non-captured hyperbolic components (intersecting the real line) are given by the solutions of the equation $G_k(c) = 0$, $k > 0$ ($(k + 1)$ determine the number of iterates used by the critical point 0 to come back to itself). In particular, $\alpha_1 = \sqrt[n]{n} - 1$ is the center of a free hyperbolic component of
period 2 since $G_1(\alpha_1) = 0$ (that is 0 is back to itself after two iterates of the map $N_{\alpha_1}$). Moreover, it is an exercise to check that $G_1$ is a (differentiable) strictly decreasing function of $c$ in the interval $[0, \alpha_1]$ whose range is $[0, \infty)$ (notice that, formally, $G_1(0) = \infty$ and $G_1(\alpha_1) = 0$). Hence, we claim that there exists a (unique) real parameter, $\alpha_2$, in the interval $[0, \alpha_1]$ such that $G_2(\alpha_2) = 0$. To see the claim observe that, on the one hand, $G_2(c) = N_2^2(1/c) = N_c(G_1(c))$ and, on the other hand, $N_c(1/\sqrt[n]{n-1}) = 0$ and there exists a unique $\alpha_2 \in (0, \alpha_1)$ such that $G_1(\alpha_2) = 1/\sqrt[n]{n-1}$. Clearly for the parameter $\alpha_2$, the critical point 0 is back to itself after three iterates of the map $N_{\alpha_2}$.

We repeat the process once again. The map $G_2$ is a (differentiable) strictly decreasing function of $c$ in the interval $[0, \alpha_2]$ whose range is $[0, \infty)$ (notice that, again, $G_2(0) = \infty$ and $G_2(\alpha_2) = 0$). Hence, as before, we claim that there must exists a (unique) real parameter, $\alpha_3$, in the interval $[0, \alpha_2]$ such that $G_3(\alpha_2) = 0$. To see the claim observe that, on the one hand, $G_3(c) = N_3^2(1/c) = N_c(G_2(c))$ and, on the other hand, $N_c(1/\sqrt[n]{n-1}) = 0$ and there exists a unique $\alpha_3 \in (0, \alpha_2)$ such that $G_2(\alpha_3) = 1/\sqrt[n]{n-1}$. Clearly, for the parameter $\alpha_3$, the critical point 0 is back to itself after four iterates of the map $N_{\alpha_3}$. Similarly, we may construct the whole sequence $\{\alpha_k\}_{k>0}$ as desired.

We observe that by a similar argument to the one used to produce the parameter sequence $\{\alpha_k\}_{k>0}$, we claim there exists another (auxiliary) sequence of parameters $\{\delta_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ such that $G_k(\delta_k) = n^{-\sqrt[k]{\delta_k/n}}$, $k \geq 0$. To see the claim we observe first that $\delta_0$ is given by the solution of $1/c = n^{\sqrt[k]{c/n}}$, so $\delta_0 = \sqrt[n]{n}$ and $\delta_0 > \alpha_1$. Secondly, the map $F(c) = n^{\sqrt[k]{c/n}}$ is a (differentiable) strictly increasing function of $c$ in $[0, c^*]$ such that $F(0) = 0$. So, each of the graphs of the maps $G_k$, $k \geq 1$, on the interval $(0, \alpha_k)$, crosses one and only one time $F(c)$ producing the desired sequence of $\delta_k$’s. Moreover, we have $\delta_0 > \alpha_1 > \delta_1 > \alpha_2 > \delta_2 > \cdots$.

Now we turn the attention to $n$ odd to prove statement (a). We construct the sequence $\beta_k$ of centers of capture parameters using basically the same argument. Those centers, distinguished by the parameters $c = \beta_k$, should be solutions of the equation $G_k(c) = \mp c$ where $\mp c$ is the unique negative real solution of $N_c(x) = x$, or, equivalently, of $P_c(x) = 0$.

Clearly $x = \sqrt[n]{n}$ is a pole of the map $N_{\sqrt[n]{n}}$, while $G_1(\alpha_1) = 0$.

Hence, $G_1$ is a (differentiable) strictly decreasing function of $c$ in the interval $[\alpha_1, \delta_0]$ whose range is $(-\infty, 0)$ (notice that $G_1(\alpha_1) = 0$ and, formally, $G_1(\delta_0) = -\infty$). On the other hand, for $c \in [\alpha_1, \delta_0]$ the value of $\mp c$ moves continuously in a compact interval $[a, b]$ where $-\infty < a < b < 0$. 


So, there must be one point $\beta_1 \in (\alpha_1, \delta_0)$ such that $G_1(\beta_1) = \pi_{\beta_1}$. We repeat the argument once again. The map $G_2$ is a (differentiable) strictly decreasing function of $c$ in the interval $[\delta_1, \alpha_1]$ whose range is $(-\infty, 0)$ (notice that $G_1(\delta_1) = -\infty$ and $G_2(\alpha_1) = 0$); so, there must be one point $\beta_2 \in (\delta_1, \alpha_1)$ such that $G_2(\beta_2) = \pi_{\beta_2}$. And so on. So (a) is proved.

Now we turn the attention to $n$ even to prove statement (b). Since $c$ is real, the real line is invariant by the Newton’s map. Hence proving that $P_{n,c}(x) := x^n - cx + 1$ has no real zeroes as long as $n$ is even and $c \in (0, c^*)$ implies that $C^n_j \cap \mathbb{R} = \emptyset$ for any $j = 0, \ldots, n-1$ and $k > 0$, as stated.

Assume otherwise. Then, $P_{n,c}$ has at least two real roots. As $P_{n,c} = 0$ implies $x^n + 1 = cx$, the zeroes must be positive. Then, we have two positive roots and one minimum at $x_c = \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{c/n}$. Thus $P_{n,c}(x_c) < 0$. But, easy computations show that for $0 < c < c^*$ we get $P_{n,c}(x_c) > 0$, a contradiction. \[\Box\]

It is worthwhile noticing that taking into account that the bifurcation locus intersects the real line, the above result is not at all surprising since we expect to have all kind of bifurcation parameters. However, we also notice that it would be nice to have a better understanding of this bifurcation cascade in the light of McMullen results in [15]. In this paper the existence of sequences of mini-generalized Mandelbrot sets approaching Misiurewicz parameters is proved and its size in the parameter plane is studied. Our result reproofs their existence and shows its relative location in the real line as we approach $c = 0$. However, a deeper study to have a more detailed knowledge of how those cascades are organized is a challenging problem itself.
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