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#### Abstract

We construct the first example of a 5-dimensional simply connected compact manifold that admits a K-contact structure but does not admit any semi-regular Sasakian structure. For this, we need two ingredients: (a) to construct a suitable simply connected symplectic 4 -manifold with disjoint symplectic surfaces spanning the homology, all of them of genus 1 except for one of genus $g>1$; (b) to prove a bound on the second Betti number $b_{2}$ of an algebraic surface with $b_{1}=0$ and having disjoint complex curves spanning the homology, all of them of genus 1 except for one of genus $g>1$.
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## 1. Introduction

In geometry, it is a central question to determine when a given manifold admits an specific geometric structure. Complex geometry provides numerous examples of compact manifolds with rich topology, and there is a number of topological properties that have to be satisfied by complex manifolds. For instance, compact Kähler manifolds satisfy strong topological properties like the hard Lefschetz property, the formality of its rational homotopy type [10], or restrictions on the fundamental group [1]. A natural approach is to weaken the given structure and to ask to what extent a manifold having the weaker structure may admit the stronger one. In the case of Kähler manifolds, if we forget about the integrability of the complex structure, then we are dealing with symplectic manifolds. There has been enormous interest in the construction of (compact) symplectic manifolds that do not admit Kähler structures and in determining its topological properties [29]. In dimension 4, when we deal with complex surfaces, we have the Enriques-Kodaira classification [4] that helps in the understanding of this question.

In odd dimension, Sasakian and K-contact manifolds are analogues of Kähler and symplectic manifolds, respectively. Sasakian geometry has become an important and active subject, especially after the appearance of the fundamental treatise of Boyer and Galicki [6]. Chapter 7 of this book contains an extended discussion of topological problems of Sasakian and K-contact manifolds.

The precise definition of the structures that we are dealing with in this paper is as follows. Let $M$ be a smooth manifold. A $K$-contact structure on $M$ consists of tensors $(\eta, J)$ such that $\eta$ is a contact form $\eta \in \Omega^{1}(M)$, i.e. $\eta \wedge(d \eta)^{n}>0$ everywhere, and $J$ is an endomorphism of $T M$ such that:

- $J^{2}=-\mathrm{Id}+\xi \otimes \eta$, where $\xi$ is the Reeb vector field of $\eta, i_{\xi} \eta=1$, $i_{\xi}(d \eta)=0$,
- $d \eta(J X, J Y)=d \eta(X, Y)$ for all vector fields $X, Y$ and $d \eta(J X, X)>$ 0 for all nonzero $X \in \operatorname{ker} \eta$, and
- the Reeb field $\xi$ is Killing with respect to the Riemannian metric $g(X, Y)=d \eta(J X, Y)+\eta(X) \eta(Y)$.
We may denote $(\eta, J)$ or $(\eta, J, g, \xi)$ a K-contact structure on $M$, since $g$ and $\xi$ are in fact determined by $\eta$ and $J$. Note that the endomorphism $J$ defines a complex structure on $\mathcal{D}=\operatorname{ker} \eta$ compatible with $d \eta$, hence $J$ is orthogonal with respect to the metric $\left.g\right|_{\mathcal{D}}$. By definition, the Reeb vector field $\xi$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{D}$. Finally, a $K$-contact manifold is $(M, \eta, J, g, \xi)$, a manifold $M$ endowed with a K-contact structure. For a K-contact manifold $M$, the condition that the Reeb vector field be Killing with respect to the metric $g$ is very rigid and it imposes strong constraints on the topology. In particular, it is not difficult to find manifolds that admit contact but do not admit K-contact structures in any odd dimension, for instance the odd dimensional tori; see [6, Corollary 7.4.2]. For simplyconnected 5-manifolds (i.e. Smale-Barden manifolds), one can also find infinitely many of them admitting contact but not K-contact structures; see [ $\mathbf{6}$, Theorem 10.2.9 and Corollary 10.2.11].

Just as for almost complex structures, there is the notion of integrability of a K-contact structure. More precisely, a K-contact structure $(\eta, J, g, \xi)$ is called normal if the Nijenhuis tensor $N_{J}$ associated to the tensor field $J$, defined by

$$
N_{J}(X, Y)=J^{2}[X, Y]+[J X, J Y]-J[J X, Y]-J[X, J Y]
$$

satisfies the equation $N_{J}=-d \eta \otimes \xi$. A Sasakian structure on $M$ is a normal K-contact structure $(\eta, J, g, \xi)$ and we call $(M, \eta, J, g, \xi)$ a Sasakian manifold.

Let $(M, \eta, J, g, \xi)$ be a K-contact manifold. Consider the cone as the Riemannian manifold $C(M)=\left(M \times \mathbb{R}_{+}, t^{2} g+d t^{2}\right)$. One defines an almost complex structure $I$ on $C(M)$ by:

- $I(X)=J(X)$ on ker $\eta$, and
- $I(\xi)=t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, I\left(t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=-\xi$, for the Reeb vector field $\xi$ of $\eta$.

Then $(M, \eta, J, g, \xi)$ is Sasakian if and only if $I$ is integrable, that is, if $\left(C(M), I, t^{2} g+d t^{2}\right)$ is a Kähler manifold; see [ $\mathbf{6}$, Definition 6.5.15].

Slightly abusing notation, if we are given a smooth manifold $M$ with no specified contact structure, we will say that $M$ is K-contact (Sasakian) if it admits some K-contact (Sasakian) structure. In this paper we will mainly be concerned with geography questions, i.e. which smooth manifolds admit K-contact or Sasakian structures.

In dimension 3, every K-contact manifold admits a Sasakian structure $[\mathbf{1 7}]$. For dimension greater than 3 , there is much interest on constructing K-contact manifolds which do not admit Sasakian structures. The odd Betti numbers up to degree $n$ of Sasakian $(2 n+1)$-manifolds must be even. The parity of $b_{1}$ was used to produce the first examples of K-contact manifolds with no Sasakian structure [6, Example 7.4.16]. In the case of even Betti numbers, more refined tools are needed to distinguish K-contact from Sasakian manifolds. The cohomology algebra of a Sasakian manifold satisfies a hard Lefschetz property [9]. Using it examples of K-contact non-Sasakian manifolds are produced in $[\mathbf{8}]$ in dimensions 5 and 7. These examples are nilmanifolds with even Betti numbers, so in particular they are not simply connected.

When one moves to simply connected manifolds, K-contact nonSasakian examples of any dimension $\geq 9$ were constructed in [16] using the evenness of $b_{3}$ of a compact Sasakian manifold. Alternatively, using the hard Lefschetz property for Sasakian manifolds there are examples [20] of simply connected K-contact non-Sasakian manifolds of any dimension $\geq 9$. In $[\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{2 8}]$ the rational homotopy type of Sasakian manifolds is studied. All higher order Massey products for simply connected Sasakian manifolds vanish, although there are Sasakian manifolds with non-vanishing triple Massey products [5]. This yields examples of simply connected K-contact non-Sasakian manifolds in dimensions $\geq 17$. However, Massey products are not suitable for the analysis of lower dimensional manifolds.

The problem of the existence of simply connected K-contact nonSasakian compact manifolds (Open Problem 7.4.1 in [6]) is still open in dimension 5. It was solved for dimensions $\geq 9$ in $[\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1 6}]$ and for dimension 7 in [22] by a combination of various techniques based
on the homotopy theory and symplectic geometry. In the least possible dimension the problem appears to be much more difficult. A simply connected compact oriented 5-manifold is called a Smale-Barden manifold. These manifolds are classified topologically by $H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and the second Stiefel-Whitney class; see $[\mathbf{3 , 2 6}]$ for the classification by Smale and Barden. Chapter 10 of the book [6] by Boyer and Galicki is devoted to a description of some Smale-Barden manifolds which carry Sasakian structures. The following problem is still open [6, Open Problem 10.2.1].

Do there exist Smale-Barden manifolds which carry K-contact but do not carry Sasakian structures?

In the pioneering work [21] a first step towards a positive answer to the question is taken. A homology Smale-Barden manifold is a compact 5 -dimensional manifold with $H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})=0$. A Sasakian structure is regular if the leaves of the Reeb flow are a foliation by circles with the structure of a circle bundle over a smooth manifold. The Sasakian structure is quasi-regular if the foliation is a Seifert circle bundle over a (cyclic) orbifold, and it is semi-regular if the base orbifold has only locus of non-trivial isotropy of codimension 2, i.e. its underlying space is a topological manifold. Recall that the isotropy locus of an orbifold is the subset of points with non-trivial isotropy group. It is a remarkable result, although not difficult to prove, that any manifold admitting a Sasakian structure has also a quasi-regular Sasakian structure (in any odd dimension). Therefore, a Sasakian manifold is a Seifert bundle over a cyclic Kähler orbifold [21].

Correspondingly, for K-contact manifolds we also define regular, quasiregular, and semi-regular K-contact structures with the same conditions. Any K-contact manifold admits a quasi-regular K-contact structure by [6, Theorem 7.1.10] and [25]. Hence, a K-contact manifold is a Seifert bundle over a cyclic symplectic orbifold. Such orbifold has a isotropy locus which is a (stratified) collection of symplectic suborbifolds. The K-contact structure is semi-regular if the symplectic orbifold has isotropy locus of codimension 2. The main result of [21] is:

Theorem 1 ([21]). There exists a homology Smale-Barden manifold which admits a semi-regular K-contact structure but which does not carry any semi-regular Sasakian structure.

The construction of [21] relies upon subtle obstructions to admit Sasakian structures in dimension 5 found by Kollár [18]. If a 5 -dimensional manifold $M$ has a Sasakian structure, then it is a Seifert bundle over a Kähler orbifold $X$ with isotropy locus a collection of complex
curves $D_{i}$ with isotropy (multiplicity) $m_{i}$. We have the following topological characterization of the homology of $M$ in terms of that of $X$.

Theorem 2 ([21, Theorem 16]). Suppose that $\pi: M \rightarrow X$ is a semiregular Seifert bundle with isotropy surfaces $D_{i}$ with multiplicities $m_{i}$. Then $H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})=0$ if and only if
(1) $H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})=0$,
(2) the map $H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \oplus_{i} H^{2}\left(D_{i}, \mathbb{Z} / m_{i}\right)$ induced by the inclusions $D_{i} \subset X$, is surjective, and
(3) the Chern class $c_{1}\left(M / e^{2 \pi i / \mu}\right) \in H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ of the circle bundle $M / e^{2 \pi i / \mu}$ is a primitive element, where $\mu$ is the lcm of all $m_{i}$.
Moreover, $H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z}^{k} \oplus \bigoplus\left(\mathbb{Z} / m_{i}\right)^{2 g_{i}}, g_{i}=$ genus of $D_{i}, k+1=b_{2}(X)$.
Recall that an element $x$ of a $\mathbb{Z}$-module is called primitive if it is not of the form $x=n y$ for some integer $n>1$.

Corollary 3 ([21, Corollary 18]). Suppose that $M$ is a 5 -manifold with $H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})=0$ and $H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z}^{k} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k+1}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{i}\right)^{2 g_{i}}, k \geq 0$, p a prime, and $g_{i} \geq 1$. If $M \rightarrow X$ is a semi-regular Seifert bundle, then $H_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})=0$, $H_{2}(X, \mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$, and the ramification locus has $k+1$ disjoint surfaces $D_{i}$ linearly independent in rational homology, and of genus $g\left(D_{i}\right)=g_{i}$.

In [21, Theorem 23] the authors construct a symplectic 4-dimensional orbifold with disjoint symplectic surfaces spanning the second homology. This is the first example of such phenomenon and has $b_{2}=36$. The genera of the isotropy surfaces satisfy $1 \leq g_{i} \leq 3$, with several of them having genus 3 . Using this symplectic orbifold $X$, we obtain a semi-regular K-contact 5 -manifold $M$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})=0, \quad H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z}^{35} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{36}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{i}\right)^{2 g_{i}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For understanding the Sasakian side, the following result is proved in [21]:

Theorem 4 ([21, Theorem 32]). Let $S$ be a smooth Kähler surface with $H_{1}(S, \mathbb{Q})=0$ and containing $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{b}, b=b_{2}(S)$, smooth disjoint complex curves with $g\left(D_{i}\right)=g_{i}>0$, and spanning $H_{2}(S, \mathbb{Q})$. Assume that:
(1) at least two $g_{i}$ are $>1$, and
(2) $1 \leq g_{i} \leq 3$.

Then $b \leq 2 \max \left\{g_{i}\right\}+3$.

As a corollary [21, Proposition 31], there is no Sasakian semi-regular 5 -dimensional manifold with homology given by (1). So $M$ is $K$-contact, but does not admit any semi-regular Sasakian structure, proving Theorem 1.

Theorem 4 is a result in accordance with the following conjecture from [21]:

Conjecture 5. There does not exist a Kähler manifold or a Kähler orbifold $X$ with $b_{1}=0$ and with $b_{2} \geq 2$ having disjoint complex curves spanning $H_{2}(X, \mathbb{Q})$, all of genus $g \geq 1$.

The present work enhances the main result from [21] given in Theorem 1 , to achieve a 5 -manifold that it is furthermore simply connected. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 6. There exists a (simply connected) Smale-Barden manifold which admits a semi-regular K-contact structure but which does not carry any semi-regular Sasakian structure.

On the one hand, we provide a new construction of a symplectic 4manifold $X$ with $b_{1}=0$ and $b_{2}=b>1$, having a collection of disjoint symplectic surfaces $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{b}$ spanning $H_{2}(X, \mathbb{Q})$, and all with genus $g_{i} \geq 1$. This is based on the following phenomenon which can be performed in the symplectic setting but not in the algebro-geometric situation.

Start with the complex projective plane $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ and two generic (smooth) complex cubic curves $C_{1}, C_{2}$. Note $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ have genus 1 by the genusdegree formula, and they intersect in nine points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{9}$. A third complex cubic curve passing through $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{8}$ has to go necessarily through $P_{9}$. This is a purely algebraic phenomenon. However, it is possible to construct a third symplectic cubic $C_{3}$ going through $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{8}$, but intersecting $C_{1}$ at another point $P_{10}$, and $C_{2}$ at a different point $P_{11}$. Note that each $C_{i}$ misses exactly one of the eleven points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{11}$. Looking at this more symmetrically, we aim to have a collection of eleven points $\Delta=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{11}\right\}$ and eleven cubic complex curves $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{11}$ such that $C_{i}$ passes through the points of $\Delta-\left\{P_{i}\right\}, i=1, \ldots, 11$. In this way, the intersections are $C_{i} \cap C_{j}=\Delta-\left\{P_{i}, P_{j}\right\}$ and no more points. Blowing up at all points of $\Delta$, we get the (symplectic) 4-manifold $X=\mathbb{C} P^{2} \# 11 \overline{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, with eleven disjoint complex curves of genus 1 . An extra (complex) curve can be obtained by taking a singular complex curve $G$ of degree 10 with ordinary triple points at the points of $\Delta$. Note that $G$ has genus 3 by the Plücker formulas. Moreover, as $G \cdot C_{i}=30$ equals the geometric intersection, that is, three times for each of the ten triple points in $G \cap C_{i}=\Delta-\left\{P_{i}\right\}$, we would not have more intersections.

This curve is of genus $g_{G}=3$ and it becomes a smooth genus 3 curve in the blow-up, that is disjoint from the others. This heuristic argument has to be carried out in a slightly different guise, by making a symplectic construction in a tubular neighbourhood of a cubic curve and a complex line and gluing it in symplectically (see Section 2 ).

Theorem 7. Let $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{11}$ be eleven points in $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$. Then there exist symplectic surfaces

$$
C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{11}, G \subset \mathbb{C} P^{2}
$$

such that:
(1) $C_{i}$ is a genus 1 smooth surface and $P_{j} \in C_{i}$ for $j \neq i, P_{i} \notin C_{i}$.
(2) The surfaces $C_{i}, C_{j}, i \neq j$, intersect exactly at $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{11}\right\}-$ $\left\{P_{i}, P_{j}\right\}$, positively and transversely.
(3) $G$ is a genus 3 singular symplectic surface whose only singularities are eleven triple points at $P_{i}$ (with different branches intersecting positively). Moreover $G$ intersects each $C_{i}$ only at the points $P_{j}$, $j \neq i$, and all the intersections of $C_{i}$ with the branches of $G$ are positive and transverse.

Using this, we construct our K-contact 5-manifold. First we blow up $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ at the eleven points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{11}$, to obtain a symplectic manifold, which topologically is $X=\mathbb{C} P^{2} \# 11 \overline{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$. The proper transforms of $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{11}, G$ are symplectic surfaces in $X$, via the method in [21, Section 5.2]. The proper transform of $G$ becomes a smooth genus 3 symplectic surface. Therefore $b_{2}(X)=12$ and it has twelve disjoint symplectic surfaces, eleven of them of genus $g_{i}=1$ and one of genus $g_{12}=3$. Take numbers $m_{i}$. Using [21, Proposition 7], we make $X$ into an orbifold $X^{\prime}$ whose isotropy locus is $C_{i}$ with multiplicity $m_{i}$ and $G$ with multiplicity $m_{12}$. Then we can take a Seifert bundle $M \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ with primitive Chern class $c_{1}\left(M / e^{2 \pi i / \mu}\right)=[\omega]$ after a small perturbation of the symplectic form, as in [21, Lemma 20]. The manifold $M$ is K-contact and has

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})=0, \quad H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z}^{11} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{12}\left(\mathbb{Z} / m_{i}\right)^{2 g_{i}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose a prime $p$ and $m_{i}=p^{i}$, so that all $m_{i}$ are distinct and pairwise non-coprime.

Given a Seifert bundle $M \rightarrow X^{\prime}$, the fundamental group of $M$ is directly related to the orbifold fundamental group of $X^{\prime}$ by the long exact sequence

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(S^{1}\right)=\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \pi_{1}(M) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}^{\text {orb }}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow 1 .
$$

When $\pi_{1}^{\text {orb }}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=1$, we have that $\pi_{1}(M)$ is abelian, and hence if $H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})=0$, then $M$ is simply connected. We prove the following in Section 4.

Theorem 8. For the orbifold $X^{\prime}$ constructed above, $\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{orb}}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=1$. Hence $M$ is a Smale-Barden manifold.

On the other hand, we have to prove that $M$ cannot admit a semiregular Sasakian structure. If this were the case, then there would be a Seifert bundle $M \rightarrow Y$, where $Y$ is a Kähler orbifold. By [21, Proposition 10], this orbifold $Y$ is a complex manifold, and as the Sasakian structure is semi-regular, $Y$ is smooth. As the homology of $M$ is given by (2), then Corollary 3 guarantees that $Y$ has $b_{1}=0, b_{2}=12$, and contains twelve disjoint smooth complex curves $C_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, C_{11}^{\prime}, G^{\prime}$, where $g\left(C_{i}^{\prime}\right)=1$ and $g\left(G^{\prime}\right)=3$. We prove the corresponding instance of Conjecture 5 . Note that this is not covered by Theorem 4.
Theorem 9. Let $S$ be a smooth complex surface with $H_{1}(S, \mathbb{Q})=0$ and containing $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{b}, b=b_{2}(S)$, smooth disjoint complex curves with genus $g\left(D_{i}\right)=g_{i}>0$, and spanning $H_{2}(S, \mathbb{Q})$. Assume that $g_{i}=1$, for $1 \leq i \leq b-1$. Then $b \leq 2 g_{b}^{2}-4 g_{b}+3$.

In particular, the case $b_{2}=12, g_{i}=1$, for $1 \leq i \leq 11$ and $g_{12}=3$, cannot happen.
Corollary 10. Let $M$ be a 5-dimensional manifold with $H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})=0$ and

$$
H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z}^{11} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{12}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{i}\right)^{2 g_{i}}
$$

where $g_{i}=1$ for $1 \leq i \leq 11, g_{12}=3$, and $p$ is a prime number. Then $M$ does not admit a semi-regular Sasakian structure.

This proves Theorem 6. It remains to see Theorems 7, 8, and 9. We prove Theorem 7 in Section 3, Theorem 8 in Section 4, and Theorem 9 in Section 5.

The manifold $M$ in Corollary 10 is spin if $p=2$, and can be chosen to be spin or non-spin if $p>2$.

Both here and in [21] we have provided the first examples of symplectic 4-manifolds containing symplectic surfaces of positive genus and spanning the homology. Whereas the example of [21] is a symplectic 4-manifold that does not admit a complex structure (see Remark 32), the manifold constructed here, $X=\mathbb{C} P^{2} \# 11 \overline{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ does admit a Kähler structure. So $X$ is symplectic deformation equivalent to a Kähler manifold, but the twelve symplectic surfaces inside it cannot be deformed to
complex curves in the way. We thank Roger Casals from prompting this question to us.
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## 2. Symplectic plumbing

The specific aim of this section is to give suitable local models for a small neighborhood of a union of two positively intersecting symplectic surfaces inside a 4-manifold. See references $[\mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 3}]$ for related content.
2.1. Definition of symplectic plumbing. Let $(S, \omega)$ be a compact symplectic surface and $\pi: E \rightarrow S$ be a complex line bundle. Topologically, $E$ is determined by the Chern class $d=c_{1}(E)$ which is the selfintersection of $S$ inside $E, d=[S]^{2}$. We put a hermitian structure in $E$, so we can define a neighbourhood via a disc bundle of some fixed radius $c>0$, denoted by $B_{c}(S) \subset E$. We construct a symplectic form on $B_{c}(S)$ next. First, we write $V^{\prime} \Subset V$ if $V^{\prime}$ is an open subset such that its closure $\overline{V^{\prime}} \subset V$.

Lemma 11. For small enough $c>0, B_{c}(S)$ admits a symplectic form $\omega_{E}$ which is compatible with the complex structure of the fibers of the complex line bundle, and such that the inclusion $(S, \omega) \hookrightarrow\left(B_{c}(S), \omega_{E}\right)$ is symplectic. If $V \subset S$ is a trivializing open set, $\left.E\right|_{V} \cong V \times \mathbb{C}$, and $V^{\prime} \Subset V$, we can arrange that $\left.\omega_{E}\right|_{\left.B_{c}(S) \cap E\right|_{V}}$ is the symplectic product structure on $\left.B_{c}(S) \cap E\right|_{V^{\prime}} \cong V^{\prime} \times B_{c}(0)$, with $B_{c}(0) \subset \mathbb{C}$ a ball centered at 0 .

Proof: Take $S=\bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$ a cover of $S$, with each $U_{\alpha}$ symplectomorphic to a ball, and trivializations $\left.E\right|_{U_{\alpha}} \cong U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{C}$. In the fiber $\mathbb{C}$ we put coordinates $u+i v$ and consider the standard symplectic form $\omega_{0}=$ $d u \wedge d v=d(u d v)=d \eta$. Denote $\varpi_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the projection over the second factor, and take $\rho_{\alpha}$ a smooth partition of unity subordinated to the cover $U_{\alpha}$ of $S$. Define

$$
\omega_{E}=\pi^{*} \omega_{S}+\sum_{\alpha} d\left(\left(\pi^{*} \rho_{\alpha}\right) \cdot\left(\varpi_{\alpha}^{*} \eta\right)\right)
$$

For $x \in S$, we have $\left.\omega_{E}\right|_{E_{x}}=\sum_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha}(x) \omega_{0}=\omega_{0}$, using that the changes of trivializations preserve $\omega_{0}$. Then using the decomposition $T_{x} E=T_{x} S \oplus$ $E_{x}$, we have that $\left(\omega_{E}\right)^{2}(x)=\omega_{S}(x) \wedge \omega_{0}>0$. Therefore $\omega_{E}$ is symplectic
on the zero section $S \subset E$. Since this is an open condition, it holds in some neighborhood $B_{c}(S)$ of the zero section.

For the last part, just take an open cover $U_{\alpha}$ of $S-V^{\prime}$ together with $V$ in the construction above.

The submanifold $S \subset E$ and any fiber $E_{x} \subset E$ are symplectic, and they are symplectically orthogonal.

Now we move to the definition of plumbing as a symplectic neighbourhood of the union of two intersecting symplectic surfaces $S_{1}, S_{2}$. Take points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m} \in S_{1}$ and $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m} \in S_{2}$. We define

$$
S=S_{1} \sqcup S_{2} / P_{i} \sim Q_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, m
$$

and we can write $S=S_{1} \cup S_{2}$. Let now $E_{1} \rightarrow S_{1}$ and $E_{2} \rightarrow S_{2}$ be two complex line bundles, where $d_{i}=c_{1}\left(E_{i}\right)$ is the self-intersection of $S_{i}$ inside $E_{i}, d_{i}=S_{i}^{2}$. Take hermitian metrics on the line bundles, so that $B_{c}\left(S_{1}\right) \subset E_{1}$ and $B_{c}\left(S_{2}\right) \subset E_{2}$ are symplectic manifolds for $c>0$ by using Lemma 11.

For each $i=1, \ldots, m$, take small neighbourhoods $B\left(P_{i}\right) \subset S_{1}$, symplectomorphic to the ball $B_{c}(0)$ via $f_{1 i}: B\left(P_{i}\right) \rightarrow B_{c}(0)$. Take a trivialization $\varphi_{1 i}:\left.E_{1}\right|_{B\left(P_{i}\right)} \xrightarrow{\cong} B\left(P_{i}\right) \times \mathbb{C}$. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{1 i} \times \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \varphi_{1 i}:\left.B_{c}\left(S_{1}\right) \cap E_{1}\right|_{B\left(P_{i}\right)} \stackrel{\cong}{\Longrightarrow} B_{c}(0) \times B_{c}(0) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 11 , we endow $E_{1}$ with a 2 -form $\omega_{E_{1}}$ such that $\left(B_{c}\left(S_{1}\right), \omega_{E_{1}}\right)$ is symplectic and the symplectic form is a product on $\left.B_{c}\left(S_{1}\right) \cap E_{1}\right|_{B\left(P_{i}\right)}$. This means that (3) is a symplectomorphism. We do the same for $Q_{i} \in$ $S_{2}$, obtaining a symplectomorphism $f_{2 i}: B\left(Q_{i}\right) \rightarrow B_{c}(0)$, a trivialization $\varphi_{2 i}:\left.E_{2}\right|_{B\left(Q_{i}\right)} \xrightarrow{\cong} B\left(Q_{i}\right) \times \mathbb{C}$, a symplectic form $\omega_{E_{2}}$ on $B_{c}\left(S_{2}\right)$, and a symplectomorphism

$$
\left(f_{2 i} \times \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \varphi_{2 i}:\left.B_{c}\left(S_{2}\right) \cap E_{2}\right|_{B\left(Q_{i}\right)} \xrightarrow{\cong} B_{c}(0) \times B_{c}(0) .
$$

Let $R: B_{c}(0) \times B_{c}(0) \rightarrow B_{c}(0) \times B_{c}(0), R\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left(z_{2}, z_{1}\right)$, be the map reversal of coordinates, which is a symplectomorphism swapping horizontal and vertical directions. Then we take the gluing map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{i}=\left(\left(f_{2 i} \times \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \varphi_{2 i}\right)^{-1} \circ & R \circ\left(\left(f_{1 i} \times \mathrm{Id}\right) \circ \varphi_{1 i}\right) \\
& \left.\left.B_{c}\left(S_{1}\right) \cap E_{1}\right|_{B\left(P_{i}\right)} \rightarrow B_{c}\left(S_{2}\right) \cap E_{2}\right|_{B\left(Q_{i}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 12. We define the symplectic plumbing $P_{c}\left(S_{1} \cup S_{2}\right)$ of $S=$ $S_{1} \cup S_{2}$ as the symplectic manifold
$X=\left(B_{c}\left(S_{1}\right) \sqcup B_{c}\left(S_{2}\right)\right) / x \sim \Phi_{i}(x),\left.\quad x \in B_{c}\left(S_{1}\right) \cap E_{1}\right|_{B\left(P_{i}\right)}, \quad i=1, \ldots, m$.
Note that $S_{1} \cup S_{2} \subset P_{c}\left(S_{1} \cup S_{2}\right)$ are symplectic submanifolds and they intersect transversely.
2.2. Symplectic tubular neighbourhood. We need a symplectic tubular neighbourhood theorem for two intersecting surfaces $S_{1} \cup S_{2}$. We start with the case of a single submanifold. We include the proof since our result is a minor modification of the one appearing in the literature.

Proposition 13 (Symplectic tubular neighborhood). Suppose that ( $X, \omega$ ) and $\left(X^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ are two symplectic 4-manifolds (maybe open) with compact symplectic surfaces $S \subset X$ and $S^{\prime} \subset X^{\prime}$. Suppose that $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ are symplectomorphic as symplectic manifolds via $f: S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$, and assume also that their normal bundles are smoothly isomorphic.

Let $V, V^{\prime}$ be tubular neigbourhoods of $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ with projections $\pi: V \rightarrow S, \pi^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow S^{\prime}$, and let $g: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ be a diffeomorphism of tubular neighbourhoods of $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ with $\left.g\right|_{S}=f$. Let $W \subset S, W^{\prime} \subset S^{\prime}$ be such that $\left.g\right|_{\pi^{-1}(W)}: \pi^{-1}(W) \rightarrow \pi^{\prime-1}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$ is a symplectomorphism. Suppose that $H^{1}(W)=0$, and let $\hat{W} \Subset W$. Then there are tubular neighborhoods $S \subset U \subset X$ and $S^{\prime} \subset U^{\prime} \subset X^{\prime}$ which are symplectomorphic via $\varphi: U \rightarrow U^{\prime}$, where $\left.\varphi\right|_{S}=f$ and $\left.\varphi\right|_{U \cap \pi^{-1}(\hat{W})}=g$.

Proof: This is an extension of the symplectic tubular neighbourhood theorem [7], which is the case where $W$ is empty. Let $g: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ be the diffeomorphism of tubular neighbourhoods where $\left.g\right|_{S}=f$. We start by isotopying $g$ so that $d_{x} g: T_{x} X \rightarrow T_{g(x)} X^{\prime}$ is a linear symplectic map for all $x \in S$. We do this without modifying $g$ on $\pi^{-1}(\hat{W})$, since $g$ is symplectic there. Then the symplectic orthogonal to $T_{x} S \subset T_{x} V$ is sent to the symplectic orthogonal to $T_{f(x)} S^{\prime} \subset T_{f(x)} V^{\prime}$.

We take $\omega_{0}=\omega$ and $\omega_{1}=g^{*} \omega^{\prime}$ and note that $i^{*}\left(\omega_{1}-\omega_{0}\right)=0$, where $i: S \rightarrow V$ is the inclusion map. As $i^{*}: H^{2}(V) \rightarrow H^{2}(S)$ is an isomorphism, we have that $\left[\omega_{1}-\omega_{0}\right]=0$, hence there exists a 1-form $\mu \in$ $\Omega^{1}(V)$ such that $d \mu=\omega_{1}-\omega_{0}$. We can suppose that $i^{*} \mu=0$, since otherwise we would consider the form $\mu-\pi^{*} i^{*} \mu$.

Take an open set $\tilde{W}$ such that $\hat{W} \Subset \tilde{W} \Subset W$. We can also suppose that $\left.\mu\right|_{\pi^{-1}(\tilde{W})}=0$. As $\omega_{1}-\omega_{0}=0$ on $\pi^{-1}(W), d \mu=0$ on $\pi^{-1}(W)$, and hence $\mu=d f$ for some function $f \in C^{\infty}\left(\pi^{-1}(W)\right)$, since we are assuming that $H^{1}(W)=0$. As $i^{*} \mu=0$ we can change $f$ by $f-\pi^{*} i^{*} f$, so that $d f=\mu$ and $i^{*} f=0$. Let $\rho$ be a step function on $S$ such that $\left.\rho\right|_{\tilde{W}} \equiv 1$ and $\rho \equiv 0$ outside $W$. Then we can substitute $\mu$ by $\mu-d\left(\left(\pi^{*} \rho\right) f\right)$.

We can also suppose that the restriction $\left.\mu\right|_{S}=0$. In local coordinates $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ where $S=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0,0\right)\right\}$, we have $\mu=\sum a_{j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d y_{j}+$ $O(y)$. We cover $S$ with balls $B_{\alpha}$ and then $\left.\left(\left.\mu\right|_{S}\right)\right|_{B_{\alpha}}=\sum a_{j}^{\alpha} d y_{j}^{\alpha}$. The balls are chosen so that they are inside $S-\hat{W}$ or inside $\tilde{W}$. Take a
partition of unity $\left\{\rho_{\alpha}\right\}$ subordinated to it. We define $k_{\alpha}=\sum a_{j}^{\alpha} y_{j}^{\alpha}$ and $k=\sum \rho_{\alpha} k_{\alpha}$. For those $B_{\alpha} \subset \tilde{W}$, we can take $k_{\alpha}=0$. Then $\left.d k\right|_{S}=\left.\mu\right|_{S}$, and we can substitute $\mu$ by $\mu-d k$. Note that $k=0$ on $\pi^{-1}(\hat{W})$, so we keep $\left.\mu\right|_{\pi^{-1}(\hat{W})}=0$.

Now consider the form $\omega_{t}=t \omega_{1}+(1-t) \omega_{0}=\omega_{0}+t d \mu$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Since $d_{x} g$ is a symplectomorphism for all $x \in S$, we have $\left.\omega_{1}\right|_{S}=\left.\omega_{0}\right|_{S}$ and hence $\left.\omega_{t}\right|_{S}=\left.\omega_{0}\right|_{S}$ is symplectic over all points of $S$. So, reducing $V$ if necessary, $\omega_{t}$ is symplectic on some neighborhood $V$ of $S$. The equation $\iota_{X_{t}} \omega_{t}=-\mu$ admits a unique solution $X_{t}$ which is a vector field on $V$. By the above, $\left.X_{t}\right|_{S}=0$ and $\left.X_{t}\right|_{\hat{W}}=0$. Take the flow $\varphi_{t}$ of the family of vector fields $X_{t}$. There is some $U \subset V$ such that $\varphi_{t}(U) \subset V$ for all $t \in[0,1]$. Moreover $\varphi_{0}=\operatorname{Id}_{U},\left.\varphi_{t}\right|_{S}=\operatorname{Id}_{S}$, and $\left.\varphi_{t}\right|_{\hat{W}}=\operatorname{Id}_{\hat{W}}$. We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=s} \varphi_{t}^{*} \omega_{t} & =\varphi_{s}^{*}\left(L_{X_{s}} \omega_{s}\right)+\varphi_{s}^{*}(d \mu)=\varphi_{s}^{*}\left(d\left(\iota_{X_{s}} \omega_{s}\right)+\iota_{X_{s}} d \omega_{s}\right)+\varphi_{s}^{*} d \mu \\
& =-\varphi_{s}^{*}(d \mu)+\varphi_{s}^{*}(d \mu)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\omega_{0}=\varphi_{0}^{*} \omega_{0}=\varphi_{1}^{*} \omega_{1}$. So $\varphi_{1}:(U, \omega) \rightarrow\left(V, g^{*} \omega^{\prime}\right)$ is a symplectomorphism. The composition $\varphi=g \circ \varphi_{1}:(U, \omega) \rightarrow\left(V^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ is a symplectomorphism of $U$ onto $U^{\prime}=\varphi(U) \subset V^{\prime}$.

### 2.3. Symplectic tubular neighbourhood of two intersecting submanifolds. Now we move to the case of the union of two intersecting symplectic submanifolds.

Definition 14. Let $(X, \omega)$ be a symplectic 4-manifold. We say that two symplectic surfaces $S_{1}, S_{2} \subset X$ intersect $\omega$-orthogonally if for every $\phi \in$ $S_{1} \cap S_{2}$ there are (complex) Darboux coordinates $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ such that $S_{1}=$ $\left\{z_{2}=0\right\}$ and $S_{2}=\left\{z_{1}=0\right\}$ around $p$.

By definition, $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ intersect $\omega$-orthogonally in the symplectic plumbing $P_{c}\left(S_{1} \cup S_{2}\right)$.

Lemma 15 ([21, Lemma 6]). Let $(X, \omega)$ be a symplectic 4-manifold and suppose that $S_{1}, S_{2} \subset X$ are symplectic surfaces intersecting transversely and positively. Then we can perturb $S_{1}$ to get another surface $S_{1}^{\prime}$ in such a way that:
(1) The perturbed surface $S_{1}^{\prime}$ is symplectic.
(2) The perturbation is small in the $C^{0}$-sense and only changes $S_{1}$ near the intersection points with $S_{2}$, leaving these points fixed, i.e. $S_{1} \cap S_{2}=S_{1}^{\prime} \cap S_{2}$.
(3) $S_{1}^{\prime}$ and $S_{2}$ intersect $\omega$-orthogonally.

Let $S=S_{1} \cup S_{2} \subset X$ be a union of two intersecting symplectic submanifolds of a symplectic manifold $X$. We use the expression tubular neighborhood of $S$ to refer to a small neighborhood $U$ of $S$ in $X$ such that $S$ is a deformation retract of $U$.

Theorem 16 (Symplectic tubular neighborhood). Suppose that $(X, \omega)$ and $\left(X^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}\right)$ are two symplectic 4-manifolds (maybe open) with compact symplectic surfaces $S_{1}, S_{2} \subset X$ and $S_{1}^{\prime}, S_{2}^{\prime} \subset X^{\prime}$. Assume that $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ intersect symplectically orthogonally, and similarly for $S_{1}^{\prime}$ and $S_{2}^{\prime}$. Suppose that there is a map $f: S=S_{1} \cup S_{2} \rightarrow S^{\prime}=S_{1}^{\prime} \cup S_{2}^{\prime}$ which is a symplectomorphism $f: S_{1} \rightarrow S_{1}^{\prime}$ and a symplectomorphism $f: S_{2} \rightarrow$ $S_{2}^{\prime}$. Assume also that the normal bundles satisfy $\nu_{S_{1}} \cong \nu_{S_{1}^{\prime}}$ and $\nu_{S_{2}} \cong$ $\nu_{S_{2}^{\prime}}$. Then, there are tubular neighborhoods $S \subset U \subset X$ and $S^{\prime} \subset U^{\prime} \subset$ $X^{\prime}$ which are symplectomorphic via $\varphi: U \rightarrow U^{\prime}$, with $\left.\varphi\right|_{S}=f$.
Proof: Take a point $P_{i} \in S_{1} \cap S_{2}$. Let $\varphi_{i}: B_{i} \rightarrow B_{\epsilon}(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ be Darboux coordinates so that $S_{1}=\left\{z_{2}=0\right\}$ and $S_{2}=\left\{z_{1}=0\right\}, \varphi_{i}\left(P_{i}\right)=0$. For $f\left(P_{i}\right) \in S_{1}^{\prime} \cap S_{2}^{\prime}$ we also take $\varphi_{i}^{\prime}: B_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow B_{\epsilon}(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ Darboux coordinates so that $S_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{z_{2}^{\prime}=0\right\}$ and $S_{2}^{\prime}=\left\{z_{1}^{\prime}=0\right\}, \varphi_{i}^{\prime}\left(f\left(P_{i}\right)\right)=0$. The composite $\left(\varphi_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \circ \varphi_{i}: B_{i} \rightarrow B_{i}^{\prime}$ may not coincide with $f$ on $B_{i} \cap\left(S_{1} \cup S_{2}\right)$. To arrange this, take

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{1} & =\varphi_{i}^{\prime} \circ\left(\left.f\right|_{B_{i} \cap S_{1}}\right) \circ \varphi_{i}^{-1}: B_{\epsilon^{\prime}}(0) \times\{0\} \longrightarrow B_{\epsilon}(0) \times\{0\}, \\
h_{2} & =\varphi_{i}^{\prime} \circ\left(\left.f\right|_{B_{i} \cap S_{2}}\right) \circ \varphi_{i}^{-1}:\{0\} \times B_{\epsilon^{\prime}}(0) \longrightarrow\{0\} \times B_{\epsilon}(0),
\end{aligned}
$$

which are symplectomorphisms onto their image. Then $h=h_{1} \times h_{2}$ is a symplectomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ on a neighbourhood of the origin. So consider the symplectomorphism

$$
\psi_{i}=\left(\varphi_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \circ h \circ \varphi_{i}: W_{i} \longrightarrow W_{i}^{\prime}
$$

defined on a neighbourhood $W_{i} \subset B_{i}$. It satisfies

$$
\left.\psi_{i}\right|_{B_{i} \cap\left(S_{1} \cup S_{2}\right)}=\left.f\right|_{B_{i} \cap\left(S_{1} \cup S_{2}\right)}
$$

Fix also $\hat{W}_{i} \Subset W_{i}$, and denote $W=\bigcup W_{i}, \hat{W}=\bigcup \hat{W}_{i}, W_{i}^{\prime}=\psi_{i}\left(W_{i}\right)$, $W^{\prime}=\bigcup W_{i}^{\prime}, \hat{W}_{i}^{\prime}=\psi_{i}\left(\hat{W}_{i}\right), \hat{W}^{\prime}=\bigcup \hat{W}_{i}^{\prime}$, and $\psi: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ the map which is $\psi_{i}$ on each $W_{i}$.

Now take small tubular neighbourhoods $U_{1}, U_{2}$ of $S_{1}, S_{2}$ respectively. Then $U_{1} \cap U_{2}$ is a neighbourhood of the intersection $S_{1} \cap S_{2}$ and can be made as small as we want. We require that $U_{1} \cap U_{2} \subset \hat{W}$. We also take neighbourhoods $U_{1}^{\prime}, U_{2}^{\prime}$ of $S_{1}^{\prime}, S_{2}^{\prime}$ respectively such that $U_{1}^{\prime} \cap U_{2}^{\prime} \subset$ $\hat{W}^{\prime}$. We can define diffeomorphisms $g_{j}: U_{j} \rightarrow U_{j}^{\prime}$ with $\left.g_{j}\right|_{S_{j}}=\left.f\right|_{S_{j}}$ and $\left.g_{j}\right|_{\tilde{W} \cap U_{j}}=\left.\psi\right|_{\tilde{W} \cap U_{j}}$ for some $\hat{W} \Subset \tilde{W} \Subset W$, for $j=1,2$. Apply Proposition 13 to $g_{j}$, to obtain symplectomorphisms $\varphi_{j}: V_{j} \rightarrow V_{j}^{\prime}$, where
$S_{j} \subset V_{j} \subset U_{j}$ and $S_{j}^{\prime} \subset V_{j}^{\prime} \subset U_{j}^{\prime}$, such that $\left.\varphi_{j}\right|_{S_{j}}=\left.f\right|_{S_{j}}$ and $\left.\varphi_{j}\right|_{\hat{W} \cap V_{j}}=$ $\left.\psi\right|_{\hat{W} \cap V_{j}}$. As $V_{1} \cap V_{2} \subset U_{1} \cap U_{2} \subset \hat{W}$, we have that $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ coincide in the overlap region, defining thus a symplectomorphism

$$
\varphi: V_{1} \cup V_{2} \longrightarrow V_{1}^{\prime} \cup V_{2}^{\prime}
$$

with $\left.\varphi\right|_{S}=\left.f\right|_{S}$.
Corollary 17. Let $(X, \omega)$ be a symplectic 4-manifold and $S_{1}, S_{2} \subset X$ two compact symplectic surfaces intersecting symplectically orthogonally. Then there is a neighbourhood $U$ of $S=S_{1} \cup S_{2}$ which is symplectomorphic to a symplectic plumbing $P_{c}(S)$.
Proof: Let $i_{j}: S_{j} \hookrightarrow S$ be the inclusion map, and denote $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}\right\}=$ $i_{1}^{-1}\left(S_{1} \cap S_{2}\right) \subset S_{1}$ and $\left\{Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right\}=i_{2}^{-1}\left(S_{1} \cap S_{2}\right) \subset S_{2}$. Take complex line bundles $E_{j} \rightarrow S_{j}$ with $c_{1}\left(E_{j}\right)=d_{j}=\left[S_{j}\right]^{2}$, and define a symplectic plumbing $P_{c}\left(S_{1} \cup S_{2}\right)$ with these data. Now apply Theorem 16 to $S \subset X$ and $S \subset P_{c}(S)$.
Corollary 18. Let $\left(S_{1}, \omega_{1}\right),\left(S_{2}, \omega_{2}\right)$ and $\left(S_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{1}^{\prime}\right),\left(S_{2}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be compact symplectic surfaces. Consider a symplectic plumbing $P_{c}\left(S_{1} \cup S_{2}\right)$ with $\# S_{1} \cap S_{2}=m$ and $d_{j}=\left[S_{j}\right]^{2}, j=1,2$, and another symplectic plumbing $P_{c}\left(S_{1}^{\prime} \cup S_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\# S_{1}^{\prime} \cap S_{2}^{\prime}=m^{\prime}$ and $d_{j}^{\prime}=\left[S_{j}^{\prime}\right]^{2}, j=1$, 2. If $m=m^{\prime}$, $\left\langle\left[\omega_{j}\right],\left[S_{j}\right]\right\rangle=\left\langle\left[\omega_{j}^{\prime}\right],\left[S_{j}^{\prime}\right]\right\rangle$, and $d_{j}=d_{j}^{\prime}, j=1,2$, then there are neighbourhoods $S_{1} \cup S_{2} \subset U \subset P_{c}\left(S_{1} \cup S_{2}\right)$ and $S_{1}^{\prime} \cup S_{2}^{\prime} \subset U^{\prime} \subset P_{c}\left(S_{1}^{\prime} \cup S_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ which are symplectomorphic.
Proof: Note that two compact surfaces $\Sigma, \Sigma^{\prime}$ are symplectomorphic if and only if they have the same area $\langle[\omega],[\Sigma]\rangle=\left\langle\left[\omega^{\prime}\right],\left[\Sigma^{\prime}\right]\right\rangle$. Moreover the symplectomorphism can be chosen so that it sends some finite collection of $m$ points of $\Sigma$ to another collection of $m$ points of $\Sigma^{\prime}$. Applying this to $S_{j}$, $S_{j}^{\prime}$, we get a symplectomorphism $f_{j}: S_{j} \rightarrow S_{j}^{\prime}$ with $\left.f_{j}\right|_{S_{1} \cap S_{2}}: S_{1} \cap$ $S_{2} \rightarrow S_{1}^{\prime} \cap S_{2}^{\prime}$ sending the intersection points in the required order, $j=1,2$. Therefore $f_{1}\left|S_{1} \cap S_{2}=f_{2}\right|_{S_{1} \cap S_{2}}$, thus defining a map $f: S_{1} \cup S_{2} \rightarrow$ $S_{1}^{\prime} \cup S_{2}^{\prime}$. As the intersections are symplectically orthogonal, we can apply Theorem 16 to get the stated result.

This gives uniqueness of symplectic plumbings. In particular, they do not depend on the choices of symplectomorphisms of the surfaces, or the choice of Darboux coordinates at the intersection points.
Remark 19. Theorem 16 holds for a symplectic manifold $X$ of any dimension and symplectic submanifolds $S_{1}, S_{2} \subset X$ of complementary dimension intersecting symplectically orthogonally.

The plumbing can be defined for symplectic manifolds $S_{1}, S_{2}$ of any dimension $2 n$, and $P_{c}\left(S_{1} \cup S_{2}\right)$ will have dimension $4 n$.

## 3. A configuration of symplectic surfaces in $\mathbb{C} P^{2} \# 11 \overline{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$

3.1. Homology of $\mathbb{C} \boldsymbol{P}^{\mathbf{2}} \# \mathbf{1 1} \overline{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$. Let $X=\mathbb{C} P^{2} \# 11 \overline{\mathbb{C P}}^{2}$ be the symplectic manifold obtained by blowing up the projective plane $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ at eleven points $\Delta=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{11}\right\}$. We call $h \in H_{2}(X)$ the homology class of the line, and $e_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 11$, the homology classes of the exceptional divisors, so that $H_{2}(X)=\left\langle h, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{11}\right\rangle$. Moreover, the intersection form of $X$ is diagonal with respect to the basis $\left\{h, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{11}\right\}$. Now consider the collection of homology classes in $H_{2}(X)$ given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{k} & =3 h-\sum_{i \neq k}^{11} e_{i}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq 11 \\
d & =10 h-\sum_{i=1}^{11} 3 e_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 20. The homology classes $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{11}, d\right\}$ form a basis of $H_{2}(X)$. The intersection form is diagonal with respect to this basis, and the self-intersections are $c_{k}^{2}=-1$, for $1 \leq k \leq 11$, and $d^{2}=1$.

Proof: The second sentence follows from $e_{i} \cdot h=0, e_{i}^{2}=-1$, for all $i$, and $h^{2}=1$. This implies that the determinant of the intersection form with respect to this basis is -1 , hence it is a basis over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Our focus is to prove that the basis $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{11}, d\right\}$ of $H_{2}(X)$ can be realized by symplectic surfaces. For this, we need the following configuration of symplectic surfaces in $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ :

- Eleven symplectic surfaces $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{11}$ such that their homology classes are $\left[C_{i}\right]=3 h$ in $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$. These surfaces $C_{i}$, being cubics, must have $g=1$ by the symplectic adjunction formula. The surface $C_{i}$ is required to pass through the ten points in $\Delta-\left\{P_{i}\right\}$, but not through $P_{i}$. Therefore, the proper transform $\tilde{C}_{i}$ of $C_{i}$ in the blow-up $X=$ $\mathbb{C} P^{2} \# 11 \overline{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ of $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ at $S$ has homology class $\left[\tilde{C}_{i}\right]=c_{i}$.
- The intersection $C_{i} \cap C_{j}$ contains the nine points $\Delta-\left\{P_{i}, P_{j}\right\}$, for $i \neq j$. Note that the algebraic intersection is $C_{i} \cdot C_{j}=9$. If these intersections are transverse and positive (e.g. if the $C_{i}$ are holomorphic around the intersection points) and if there are no more intersections, then the proper transforms $\tilde{C}_{i}, \tilde{C}_{j}$ are disjoint.
- One singular symplectic surface $G$ such that $[G]=10 h$ and $G$ has eleven ordinary triple points at the points of $\Delta$. By the adjunction formula the genus of $G$ is

$$
g=\frac{1}{2}(10-1)(10-2)-11 \frac{3 \cdot 2}{2}=36-33=3
$$

If $G$ is holomorphic at a neighbourhood of the triple points and the branches intersect transversely (and hence also positively), then the proper transform $\tilde{G}$ of $G$ in the blow-up $X=\mathbb{C} P^{2} \# 11 \overline{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ of $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ at $S$ has homology class $[\tilde{G}]=10 h-3\left(e_{1}+\cdots+e_{11}\right)=d$. Moreover, if there are no more singularities, then $\tilde{G}$ is a smooth symplectic surface in $X$.

- The intersections $C_{i} \cap G$ contain the ten points $\Delta-\left\{P_{i}\right\}$. Note that the algebraic intersection is $C_{i} \cdot G=30$. If the intersections with each of the three branches at each intersection point are transverse and positive (e.g. if the $C_{i}$ and $G$ are holomorphic around the intersection points), and if there are no more intersections, then these account for all intersections. In the blow-up $X$, the proper transforms $\tilde{C}_{i}, \tilde{G}$ are disjoint.
Our aim now is to construct these surfaces in $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$. For this, we will make the construction in a local model and then we will transplant it to $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$.
3.2. Construction of a local model. Now we are going to construct the required eleven surfaces of genus 1 and the singular surface of genus 3 in a local model. The local model is as follows: take a genus 1 complex curve $C$ and a rational complex curve $L \cong \mathbb{C} P^{1}$. Take three points $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3} \in C$ and another three $Q_{1}^{\prime}, Q_{2}^{\prime}, Q_{3}^{\prime} \in L$. Take a line bundle $E \rightarrow C$ of degree 9 and a line bundle $E^{\prime} \rightarrow L$ of degree 1 , and perform the plumbing as given in Subsection 2.1. This produces a symplectic manifold $P_{c}(C \cup L)$, which contains $C \cup L$.
Proposition 21. Let $C^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{C} P^{2}$ and $L^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{C} P^{2}$ be a smooth cubic and a line in the complex plane, intersecting transversely. Then $P_{c}(C \cup L)$ can be symplectically embedded in a neighbourhood of $C^{\prime} \cup L^{\prime}$, where $C$ is sent to $C^{\prime}$ and $L$ is sent to a $C^{0}$-small perturbation of $L^{\prime}$, preserving the intersection points.
Proof: We start by modifying $L^{\prime}$ to $L^{\prime \prime}$ using Lemma 15 , so that $C^{\prime}$ and $L^{\prime \prime}$ intersect symplectically orthogonally. By Corollary 17, a small neighbourhood of $C^{\prime} \cup L^{\prime \prime}$ is symplectomorphic to a small neighbourhood of the plumbing of $C \cup L$, that is, some $P_{c}(C \cup L)$, for $c>0$ small, and the symplectomorphism sends $C$ to $C^{\prime}$ and $L$ to $L^{\prime \prime}$.

Therefore, to prove Theorem 7, it is enough to prove the following:
Theorem 22. There are eleven points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{11}$ in $P_{c}(C \cup L)$ and symplectic surfaces $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{11}, G \subset P_{c}(C \cup L)$ such that:
(1) $C_{i}$ is a section of a complex line bundle $E \rightarrow C$ of degree 3 , and $P_{j} \in C_{i}$ for $j \neq i, P_{i} \notin C_{i}$. In particular, they have genus 1.
(2) The surfaces $C_{i}, C_{j}, i \neq j$, intersect exactly at $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{11}\right\}-$ $\left\{P_{i}, P_{j}\right\}$, positively and transversely.
(3) $G$ is a genus 3 singular symplectic surface whose only singularities are eleven triple points at $P_{i}$ (with different branches intersecting positively). Moreover, $G$ intersects each $C_{i}$ only at the points $P_{j}$, $j \neq i$, and all the intersections of $C_{i}$ with the branches of $G$ are positive and transverse.

To be more concrete, we proceed as follows. We fix a complex structure on $C$ and a degree 9 complex line bundle $E \rightarrow C$. This is going to be as follows: take a complex disc $D \subset C$, which we assume as the radius 1 disc $D=D(0,1) \subset \mathbb{C}$. Let $V=C-\bar{D}(0,1 / 2)$, and consider the change of trivialization given by the function $g(z)=z^{9}$ with $D(0,1)-\bar{D}(0,1 / 2)$. This means that $E$ is formed by gluing $\left.E\right|_{D}=D \times \mathbb{C}$ with $\left.E\right|_{V}=V \times \mathbb{C}$ via $(z, y) \sim\left(z, z^{9} y\right)$. We endow $E$ with an auxiliary hermitian metric which is of the form $h(z)=1$ on the trivialization $\left.E\right|_{D}$. We will choose the points $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3} \in D \subset C$. We also take a complex line bundle $E^{\prime} \rightarrow L$ of degree 1 , for which we fix a hermitian structure. Fixing three points $Q_{1}^{\prime}, Q_{2}^{\prime}, Q_{3}^{\prime} \in L$, we perform the plumbing $P_{c}(C \cup L)$.
3.3. Construction of the genus 1 surfaces. The genus 1 symplectic surfaces will be constructed as sections of the line bundle $E \rightarrow C$. Consider the previous cover $C=V \cup D$ and trivializations $\left.E\right|_{V} \cong V \times \mathbb{C}$ and $\left.E\right|_{D} \cong D \times \mathbb{C}$. Fix distinct numbers $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{10}, z_{11} \in D$ with $z_{11}=0$ the origin. Take $\lambda>0$ a small positive real number to be fixed later. Take the points

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{j}=\left(\lambda z_{j}, 0\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, 10, \text { and } P_{11}=(0,1) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $E$, in the given trivialization $\left.E\right|_{D}=D \times \mathbb{C}$. We define eleven holomorphic local sections in the chart $D \subset C$ as

$$
\sigma_{j}(z)=\prod_{i \neq j}^{10}\left(1-\frac{z}{\lambda z_{i}}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, 10
$$

and $\sigma_{11}(z)=0$.

Clearly $\sigma_{j}(z)=\sigma_{11}(z)=0$ at the nine points $\lambda z_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{\lambda z_{j}}, \ldots, \lambda z_{10}$. Also, for $1 \leq j<k \leq 10$, we have that $\sigma_{j}(z)=\sigma_{k}(z)$ at the nine points given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda z_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{\lambda z_{j}}, \ldots, \widehat{\lambda z_{k}}, \ldots, \lambda z_{10}, z_{11}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

All the intersections of the graphs are transverse and positive since the points $\lambda z_{i}$ are simple roots and $\sigma_{j}$ are holomorphic sections. By construction, the graph $\Gamma\left(\sigma_{j}\right)$ of the local section $\sigma_{j}$ in the trivialization $\left.E\right|_{D} \cong D \times \mathbb{C}$ contains the set of points $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{11}\right\}-\left\{P_{j}\right\}$, as desired.

Now we move to the trivialization $\left.E\right|_{V}$. Let us see that we can extend the sections $\sigma_{j}$ to all of $V$ without introducing any new intersection points between their graphs. For $z \in D \cap V$, the sections $\sigma_{j}$ become, for $|z| \geq 1 / 2$, in the trivialization of $\left.E\right|_{V} \cong V \times \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\tilde{\sigma}_{j}=z^{-9} \prod_{i \neq j}^{10}\left(1-\frac{z}{\lambda z_{i}}\right)=\lambda^{-9} A z_{j} \prod_{i \neq j}^{10}\left(1-\frac{\lambda z_{i}}{z}\right), \quad A=-\left(z_{1} \cdots z_{10}\right)^{-1}
$$

and $\tilde{\sigma}_{11}=0$. Then $\tilde{\sigma}_{j}$ has the form

$$
\tilde{\sigma}_{j}=A \lambda^{-9} z_{j}\left(1+\lambda f_{j}(z, \lambda)\right),
$$

where

$$
f_{j}(z, \lambda)=\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\prod_{i \neq j}^{10}\left(1-\frac{\lambda z_{i}}{z}\right)-1\right)
$$

is a holomorphic function of $z$ depending on the parameter $\lambda$ such that

$$
\left|f_{j}(z, \lambda)\right| \leq M_{0}, \text { for } \lambda \leq \frac{1}{4},|z| \geq \frac{1}{2}
$$

being $M_{0}$ a constant depending only on $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{11}$.
Let $\rho$ be the smooth non-increasing function with $\rho(r)=0$ for $r \geq 3 / 4$ and $\rho(r)=1$ for $r \leq 2 / 3$. Here $r=|z|$ is the radius in the disc $D$. Now we modify the local sections $\tilde{\sigma}_{j}$ to sections $\hat{\sigma}_{j}$ that can be extended to global sections in $E \rightarrow C$. We define for $z \in U,|z| \geq 1 / 2$,
(6) $\hat{\sigma}_{j}(z)=\rho(|z|) \tilde{\sigma}_{j}(z)+(1-\rho(|z|)) \lambda^{-9} A z_{j}=\lambda^{-9} A z_{j}\left(1+\lambda \rho(|z|) f_{j}(z, \lambda)\right)$.

We also put $\hat{\sigma}_{11}=0$.
We have that $\hat{\sigma}_{j}=\tilde{\sigma}_{j}$ in $\{1 / 2 \leq|z| \leq 2 / 3\}$, so $\hat{\sigma}_{j}$ extends to the trivialization $\left.E\right|_{D}$ as $\sigma_{j}$ in $\{|z| \leq 1 / 2\} \subset D$. Moreover, $\hat{\sigma}_{j}(z)=\lambda^{-9} A z_{j}$ is constant for $|z| \geq 3 / 4$, so $\hat{\sigma}_{j}$ extends to all of $V$, hence they give global sections in the line bundle $E \rightarrow C$. We call $\hat{\sigma}_{j}$ these global sections and $\Gamma\left(\hat{\sigma}_{j}\right)$ their graphs.

Now let us check that no undesired intersection points are introduced between any pair of surfaces $C_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq 11$. On $|z| \leq 1 / 2, \hat{\sigma}_{j}=\tilde{\sigma}_{j}$, so $\tilde{\sigma}_{j}, \tilde{\sigma}_{k}, j \neq k$, have nine intersection points given by (5), which are the set $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{11}\right\}-\left\{P_{j}, P_{k}\right\}$. As $\tilde{\sigma}_{j}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{k}$ are holomorphic there, and the roots are simple, the intersections are positive and transverse.

For $|z| \geq 3 / 4, \hat{\sigma}_{j}=\lambda^{-9} A z_{j}, j=1, \ldots, 10$, and $\hat{\sigma}_{11}=0$. Therefore the sections do not intersect since the $\left\{z_{j}\right\}$ are distinct points. Now assume that $1 / 2 \leq|z| \leq 3 / 4$. If $\hat{\sigma}_{j}(z)=\hat{\sigma}_{k}(z)$ with $k \neq j \leq 10$, then

$$
z_{j}+z_{j} \lambda \rho(|z|) f_{j}(z, \lambda)=z_{k}+z_{k} \lambda \rho(|z|) f_{k}(z, \lambda)
$$

Taking $\lambda>0$ small enough, the discs $B\left(z_{j}, M_{0}\left|z_{j}\right| \lambda\right)$ and $B\left(z_{k}, M_{0}\left|z_{k}\right| \lambda\right)$ are all pairwise disjoint, so the above equality does not happen. Analogously, if $\hat{\sigma}_{j}(z)=\hat{\sigma}_{11}(z)=0$ for $1 / 2 \leq|z| \leq 3 / 4$, we have a contradiction as long as $\lambda$ is small enough so that the $\operatorname{discs} B\left(z_{j}, \lambda\left|z_{j}\right| M_{0}\right)$ do not contain the origin.

Finally, considering $\hat{\sigma}_{j}^{\epsilon}=\epsilon \hat{\sigma}_{j}$, being $\epsilon>0$ small enough, the intersections of the graphs remain the same except that $P_{11}$ is changed to the point $(0, \epsilon)$. This ensures that the graphs are all contained in the given neighbourhood $B_{c}(C)$, for any $c>0$ given beforehand. Moreover the graphs become $C^{1}$-close to the zero section $C \subset E$, in particular the graphs are symplectic surfaces of $B_{c}(E)$.
3.4. Construction of the genus 3 surface. The genus 3 surface will be constructed inside the neighbourhood $P_{c}(C \cup L)$ of $C \cup L$, where $C$ is the genus 1 surface and $L$ the genus 0 surface, both intersecting at three points. We will take three sections of the bundle $E \rightarrow C$, all of them passing through the eleven points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{11}$. In this way we get the eleven triple points. Then we add the line $L$, and glue the three sections with $L$ around the intersection points of $L$ and $C$. Let us give the details.

As before, take the previous cover $C=V \cup D, D=D(0,1), V=$ $C-\bar{D}(0,1 / 2)$, and trivializations $\left.E\right|_{D} \cong D \times \mathbb{C}$ and $\left.L\right|_{V} \cong V \times \mathbb{C}$, with change of trivialization $g(z)=z^{9}$. We have fixed $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{10}, z_{11}=0 \in D$ and the points

$$
P_{j}=\left(\lambda z_{j}, 0\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, 10, \text { and } P_{11}=(0,1)
$$

in $\left.E\right|_{D}=D \times \mathbb{C}$, where $0<\lambda \leq 1 / 4$ is some small number as arranged in Subsection 3.3.

We choose another three distinct values $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3} \in D$, different to $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{11}$. We take the points

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}=\left(\lambda w_{1}, 0\right), \quad Q_{2}=\left(\lambda w_{2}, 0\right), \quad Q_{3}=\left(\lambda w_{3}, 0\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the trivialization $\left.E\right|_{D}=D \times \mathbb{C}$. Consider (meromorphic) sections $\tau_{k}$, defined in $D-\left\{Q_{k}\right\}$ by the formula

$$
\tau_{k}(z)=\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{10}\left(1-\frac{z}{\lambda z_{i}}\right)}{1-\frac{z}{\lambda w_{k}}}
$$

for $k=1,2,3$. The graph of $\tau_{k}$ passes through all eleven points (4).
Let us see that we can extend the sections $\tau_{k}$ to the trivialization $\left.E\right|_{V}$, giving thus sections over $C-\left\{Q_{k}\right\}$. For $z \in D \cap V$, i.e. $|z| \geq 1 / 2$, we express $\tau_{k}$ in the trivialization $\left.L\right|_{V}$, which is given by $\tilde{\tau}_{k}(z)=z^{-9} \tau_{k}(z)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\tau}_{k}(z) & =z^{-9} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{10}\left(1-\frac{z}{\lambda z_{i}}\right)}{1-\frac{z}{\lambda w_{k}}} \\
& =\lambda^{-9} A w_{k} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{10}\left(1-\frac{\lambda z_{i}}{z}\right)}{1-\frac{\lambda w_{k}}{z}}=\lambda^{-9} A w_{k}\left(1+\lambda g_{k}(z, \lambda)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A=-\left(z_{1} \cdots z_{10}\right)^{-1}$ as before, and

$$
g_{k}(z, \lambda)=\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{10}\left(1-\frac{\lambda z_{i}}{z}\right)}{1-\frac{\lambda w_{k}}{z}}-1\right)
$$

are bounded functions for $|z| \geq 1 / 2$ and $0<\lambda \leq 1 / 4$, say $\left|g_{k}(\lambda, z)\right| \leq M$, for $M>0$ a constant.

Let $\rho:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-increasing smooth function such that $\rho(r)=1$ for $r \leq 1 / 2$ and $\rho(r)=0$ for $r \geq 3 / 4$. Now we modify $\tilde{\tau}_{k}(z)$ for $z \in D \cap V$, i.e. $|z| \geq 1 / 2$. Consider

$$
\hat{\tau}_{k}(z)=\lambda^{-9} A w_{k}\left(1+\rho(|z|) \lambda g_{k}(\lambda, z)\right) .
$$

Clearly, $\hat{\tau}_{k}(z)=\tilde{\tau}_{k}(z)$ for $|z| \leq 1 / 2$, so $\hat{\tau}_{k}$ extends to the trivialization $\left.E\right|_{D}$. Also, for $|z| \geq 3 / 4$ we have $\hat{\tau}_{k}(z)=\lambda^{-9} A w_{k}$ is constant so $\hat{\tau}_{k}$ extends to all the trivialization $\left.L\right|_{V}$. This yields a global section defined in $C-\left\{Q_{k}\right\}$, given by $\tau_{k}$ in $\{|z| \leq 1 / 2\} \subset D$, and by $\hat{\tau}_{k}$ in $V$. We call from now on $\hat{\tau}_{k}$ this global section. Let us denote

$$
\Theta_{k}=\Gamma\left(\hat{\tau}_{k}\right)=\left\{\left(z, \hat{\tau}_{k}(z)\right) \mid z \in C-\left\{Q_{k}\right\}\right\}
$$

the graph of $\hat{\tau}_{k}$.
Let us see that the graphs $\Theta_{1}, \Theta_{2}, \Theta_{3}$ only intersect at the points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{10}, P_{11}$, i.e. that the sections only coincide for the values $\lambda z_{1}, \ldots$, $\lambda z_{10}, z_{11}=0$. Let $j \neq k$. On $|z| \leq 1 / 2, z \neq \lambda w_{j}, \lambda w_{k}$, if $\hat{\tau}_{j}(z)=\hat{\tau}_{k}(z)$, then

$$
\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{10}\left(1-\frac{z}{\lambda z_{i}}\right)}{1-\frac{z}{\lambda w_{j}}}=\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{10}\left(1-\frac{z}{\lambda z_{i}}\right)}{1-\frac{z}{\lambda w_{k}}} .
$$

Hence either $z=\lambda z_{i}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 10$ or $\frac{z}{\lambda w_{j}}=\frac{z}{\lambda w_{k}}$. The latter implies $z=0=z_{11}$.

For $|z| \geq 3 / 4$, if $\hat{\tau}_{j}(z)=\hat{\tau}_{k}(z)$, then $\lambda^{-9} A w_{j}=\lambda^{-9} A w_{k}$, which is false since $w_{j} \neq w_{k}$. Finally, for $1 / 2 \leq|z| \leq 3 / 4$, if $\hat{\tau}_{j}(z)=\hat{\tau}_{k}(z)$, then

$$
\left.\left.w_{j}+w_{j} \rho(|z|) \lambda g_{j}(\lambda, z)\right)=w_{k}+w_{k} \rho(|z|) \lambda g_{k}(\lambda, z)\right)
$$

Choosing $\lambda$ small enough, we have that the $\operatorname{discs} D\left(w_{j}, \lambda\left|w_{j}\right| M\right)$ and $D\left(w_{k}, \lambda\left|w_{k}\right| M\right)$ do not intersect. So the above equality does not happen.

Finally, let us check the intersections of $\Theta_{k}$ with $\Gamma\left(\hat{\sigma}_{j}\right)$. Take $|z| \leq 1 / 2$. Suppose that $\tau_{k}(z)=\sigma_{j}(z)$. This implies that

$$
\sigma_{j}(z) \frac{1-\frac{z}{\lambda z_{j}}}{1-\frac{z}{\lambda w_{k}}}=\sigma_{j}(z)
$$

hence either $\sigma_{j}(z)=0$ or $\frac{z}{\lambda z_{j}}=\frac{z}{\lambda w_{k}}$. In the first case we have that $z=$ $\lambda z_{i}$ for some $i \neq j$. In the second case we have that either $z=0=z_{11}$, or $\lambda z_{j}=\lambda w_{k}$ which is not possible because the points $w_{k}$ are different from the points $z_{j}$.

Suppose now that $1 / 2 \leq|z| \leq 3 / 4$ and $\sigma_{j}(z)=\tau_{k}(z)$. Then

$$
z_{j}+z_{j} \rho(|z|) \lambda f_{j}(z, \lambda)=w_{k}+w_{k} \rho(|z|) \lambda g_{k}(\lambda, z) .
$$

If we take $\lambda$ small, the discs $D\left(z_{j}, M_{0}\left|z_{j}\right| \lambda\right)$ and $D\left(w_{k}, M\left|w_{k}\right| \lambda\right)$ are disjoint, so the above equality is impossible. Finally, if $|z| \geq 3 / 4$ and $\tau_{k}(z)=\sigma_{j}(z)$, then $\lambda^{-9} A z_{j}=\lambda^{-9} A w_{k}$, and this is false.
3.5. Gluing the transversal in the plumbing. The plumbing $P_{c}(C \cup$ $L)$ is defined only for $c>0$ small enough. Let us arrange that our sections lie inside it suitably. For this, let $N>0$ be an upper bound of all $\left|\hat{\sigma}_{j}\right|, j=$ $1, \ldots, 11$, such that $\left(\left|\hat{\tau}_{k}\right|\right)^{-1}([N, \infty)) \subset B\left(Q_{k}\right)$, where $B\left(Q_{k}\right) \subset D(0,1 / 2)$ are small balls around $Q_{k}, k=1,2,3$. Recall that $\hat{\tau}_{k}=\tau_{k}$ is holomorphic on $B\left(Q_{k}\right)-\left\{Q_{k}\right\}$. As $\tau_{k}$ has a simple pole at $Q_{k}$, we have that

$$
z^{\prime}=z_{k}^{\prime}=h_{k}(z)=\frac{1}{\tau_{k}(z)}
$$

is a biholomorphism from a neighbourhood of $Q_{k}$ (that we keep calling $\left.B\left(Q_{k}\right)\right)$ to a ball $B_{c}(0)$. We take the coordinate $z_{k}^{\prime}$ on $B\left(Q_{k}\right)$. We need to modify the symplectic form so that $z_{k}^{\prime}$ is also a Darboux coordinate.
Lemma 23. Consider the disc $D=D(0,1)$. We can perturb the standard symplectic form $\omega_{D}$ to a nearby symplectic form $\omega_{D}^{\prime}$ such that, maybe after reducing the balls $B\left(Q_{k}\right)$, the coordinates $z_{k}^{\prime}$ are Darboux. The perturbation is made only on a (slightly larger) ball around $Q_{k}$, and keeping the total area.

Proof: We write $z^{\prime}=z_{k}^{\prime}=x^{\prime}+i y^{\prime}$. The standard symplectic form $\omega_{D}$ on the coordinates $z$ is clearly Kähler, therefore it is also Kähler for the holomorphic coordinate $z^{\prime}$. In particular, it has a Kähler potential $\phi\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$, with $\omega_{D}=\partial \bar{\partial} \phi\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$. We can assume that $\phi$ has no linear part, so $\phi\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=\phi_{2}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)+\phi_{3}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$, where $\phi_{2}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ is quadratic and $\left|\phi_{3}\right|=O\left(\left|\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right|^{3}\right)$. Then take some bump function $\rho$ that vanishes on a neighbourhood $B_{\eta}\left(Q_{k}\right)$ of $Q_{k}$ (the size measured with respect to the radial coordinate $r^{\prime}=\left|z^{\prime}\right|$ ), and $\rho \equiv 1$ on a slightly larger neighbourhood $B_{2 \eta}\left(Q_{k}\right),|d \rho|=O\left(\eta^{-1}\right)$, and $|\nabla d \rho|=O\left(\eta^{-2}\right)$. Set $\omega_{D}^{\prime}=\partial \bar{\partial}\left(\phi_{2}+\rho \phi_{3}\right)$. Then $\left|\omega_{D}^{\prime}-\omega_{D}\right|=O(\eta)$ and $\omega_{D}^{\prime}$ is standard on $\left(B_{\eta}\left(Q_{k}\right), z^{\prime}\right)$. As the difference $\omega_{D}^{\prime}-\omega_{D}=\partial \bar{\partial}\left((\rho-1) \phi_{3}\right)=$ $d\left(\bar{\partial}(\rho-1) \phi_{3}\right)$ is exact and compactly supported, the total area remains the same.

Remark 24. Lemma 23 also holds in higher dimension. More concretely, let $(Z, \omega, J)$ be a Kähler manifold of real dimension $2 n, p \in Z$, and $\varphi: U \rightarrow B \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ holomorphic coordinates around $p$. Then there exists a symplectic form $\omega^{\prime}$ on $Z$ so that $\left(Z, \omega^{\prime}, J\right)$ is Kähler, $\omega^{\prime}=\omega$ in $Z-U$, and $\omega^{\prime}$ is a linear symplectic form near $p$ on the coordinates $\varphi$, in some smaller neighborhood $V \subset U$. Moreover, the cohomology classes $[\omega]=\left[\omega^{\prime}\right]$.

Over $\left.E\right|_{B\left(Q_{k}\right)} \cong B_{c}(0) \times \mathbb{C}$, the section $\tau_{k}$ is given by $v=1 / z^{\prime}$ (making $c>0$ smaller if needed), writing $z^{\prime}=z_{k}^{\prime}$ for brevity. For $Q_{1}^{\prime}, Q_{2}^{\prime}, Q_{3}^{\prime} \in$ $L$, take holomorphic balls $B\left(Q_{j}^{\prime}\right) \cong B_{c}(0)$, and arrange the symplectic structure on $L$ to be standard over them. Finally, take symplectic structures on the total spaces of the complex line bundles $\pi: E \rightarrow C$ and $\pi^{\prime}: E^{\prime} \rightarrow L$ so that they are product symplectic structures on $\left.B_{c}(C) \cap E\right|_{B\left(Q_{k}\right)} \cong B\left(Q_{k}\right) \times B_{c}(0)$ and $\left.B_{c}(L) \cap E^{\prime}\right|_{B\left(Q_{k}^{\prime}\right)} \cong B\left(Q_{k}^{\prime}\right) \times B_{c}(0)$, respectively. The plumbing $P_{c}(C \cup L)$ is done by gluing $B_{c}(C)$ and $B_{c}(L)$ along $R: B\left(Q_{k}\right) \times B_{c}(0) \rightarrow B\left(Q_{k}^{\prime}\right) \times B_{c}(0)$, the map reversal of coordinates. Note that the uniqueness result of Corollary 18 allows to do the plumbing with these choices. We only have to take care of keeping the total areas $\left\langle[C],\left[\omega_{E}\right]\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle[L],\left[\omega_{E^{\prime}}\right]\right\rangle$ fixed.

Now take $\epsilon>0$ small enough so that:

- The graphs of the sections $\sigma_{j}^{\epsilon}=\epsilon \sigma_{j}$ are inside $B_{c}(C)$. For this $\epsilon N<c$ is enough.
- The graphs of the sections $\sigma_{j}^{\epsilon}$ are $C^{1}$-close to the zero section. This implies that these graphs are symplectic (a submanifold $C^{1}$-close to a symplectic one is symplectic).
- All sections $\tau_{k}^{\epsilon}=\epsilon \tau_{k}$ satisfy $\left|\tau_{k}^{\epsilon}\right|<c$ on $C-B\left(Q_{k}\right)$, so the graph $\Theta_{k}^{\epsilon}$ of $\tau_{k}^{\epsilon}$ satisfies that $\Theta_{k}^{\epsilon} \cap \pi^{-1}\left(C-B\left(Q_{k}\right)\right) \subset B_{c}(C)$. For this it is enough that $\epsilon N<c$ again.
- The graphs of the sections $\tau_{k}^{\epsilon}$ are $C^{1}$-close to the zero section on $C$ $B\left(Q_{k}\right)$, so they are symplectic.
Now we look at the graph $\Theta_{k}^{\epsilon} \cap\left(\left.E\right|_{B\left(Q_{k}\right)}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{k}^{\epsilon} \cap\left(\left.E\right|_{B\left(Q_{k}\right)}\right) & \cong\left\{\left(z^{\prime}, v\right) \in B_{c}(0) \times \mathbb{C} \left\lvert\, v=\frac{\epsilon}{z^{\prime}}\right.\right\} \\
& =\left\{\left(z^{\prime}, v\right) \in B_{c}(0) \times \mathbb{C}| | v \mid \geq \epsilon c^{-1}, z^{\prime}=\frac{\epsilon}{v}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Make $\epsilon>0$ smaller if necessary, so that $\epsilon c^{-1} \leq c / 2$. Take $\rho(r)$ a smooth non-increasing function so that $\rho(r)=1$ for $r \leq 1 / 2$ and $\rho(r)=0$ for $r \geq$ $3 / 4$. Define

$$
\hat{\Theta}_{k}^{\epsilon}=\left\{\left(z^{\prime}, v\right) \in B_{c}(0) \times \mathbb{C}\left|\epsilon c^{-1} \leq|v| \leq c, z^{\prime}=\epsilon \rho(|v| / c) \frac{1}{v}\right\}\right.
$$

This can be smoothly glued to $\bar{\Theta}_{k}^{\epsilon}=\Theta_{k}^{\epsilon} \cap \pi^{-1}\left(C-B\left(Q_{k}\right)\right)$. On the part of the plumbing corresponding to $E^{\prime} \rightarrow L$, this has the form $z^{\prime}=\epsilon \rho(|v| / c) \frac{1}{v}$ on $B\left(Q_{k}^{\prime}\right) \times B_{c}(0)$, where $v$ is the coordinate for $B\left(Q_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ and $z^{\prime}$ is the vertical coordinate. Note that this can be extended as $z^{\prime}=0$ in the bundle $E^{\prime} \rightarrow L$, over $L-\left(B\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cup B\left(Q_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cup B\left(Q_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. The resulting smooth manifold is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\bigcup_{k=1,2,3}\left(\bar{\Theta}_{k}^{\epsilon} \cup \hat{\Theta}_{k}^{\epsilon}\right) \cup\left(L-\left(B\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cup B\left(Q_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cup B\left(Q_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, as $|v| \geq \epsilon c^{-1}$ for the points of $\hat{\Theta}_{k}^{\epsilon}$, there are no new intersections with the graphs $\Gamma\left(\sigma_{j}^{\epsilon}\right)$ or $\Theta_{l}^{\epsilon}, l \neq k$, since they are bounded by $\epsilon N$, and we can take $c<N^{-1}$ to start with.

The graphs $\hat{\Theta}_{k}^{\epsilon}$ are symplectic, since the graphs of $z^{\prime}=\epsilon \rho(|v| / c) \frac{1}{v}$ are symplectic over $|v| \geq c / 2$, by taking $\epsilon>0$ small enough so that it is $C^{1}$-close to the zero section $z^{\prime}=0$ of the bundle $E^{\prime} \rightarrow L$. On $\epsilon c^{-1} \leq|v| \leq c / 2$, the graph coincides with $z^{\prime}=\epsilon \frac{1}{v}$, which is holomorphic hence symplectic.

Remark 25. The homology class of the graph $\Gamma\left(\hat{\sigma}_{j}\right)$ in $P_{c}(C \cup L)$ is equal to $[C]$, since they are sections of $E \rightarrow C$. The manifold $G$ of (8) can be retracted to $3[C]+[L]$ in $P_{c}(C \cup L)$ by making $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

When we embed $P_{c}(C \cup L) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{2}$, the class $[C] \mapsto 3 h$, and $[L] \mapsto h$, where $h$ is the class of the line in $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$. Hence $[G] \mapsto 10 h$, so $G$ has degree 10 .

The genus of $G$ is 3 since topologically it is the gluing (connected sum) of three punctured surfaces of genus 1 (given by the graphs of the sections $\left.\Theta_{k}, k=1,2,3\right)$, with a sphere with three holes given by $L-\left(B\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cup B\left(Q_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cup B\left(Q_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

## 4. Fundamental group of the K-contact 5-manifold

Let $X$ be the symplectic manifold constructed as the symplectic blowup of $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ at the eleven points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{11}$. The underlying smooth manifold is $X_{\tilde{C}}=\mathbb{C} P^{2} \# 11 \overline{\mathbb{C} P^{2}}$ with $b_{2}=12$. It has eleven surfaces of genus 1 , named $\tilde{C}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{C}_{11}$, and a genus 3 surface $\tilde{G}$ all of them disjoint. We set the isotropy of $\tilde{C}_{i}$ to be $\mathbb{Z} /\left(p^{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, 11$, and that of $\tilde{G}$ to be $\mathbb{Z} /\left(p^{12}\right)$, for a fixed prime $p$. This determines a symplectic orbifold $X^{\prime}$ uniquely by [21, Proposition 7].

We start by computing the orbifold fundamental group $\pi_{1}^{\text {orb }}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ of $X^{\prime}$. The reader can find alternative definitions in [27, Chapter 13] and in [6, Definition 4.3.6]. We only need a presentation of $\pi_{1}^{\text {orb }}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$, which follows from [15, Théorème A.1.4]. For this, fix a base point $p_{0} \in X^{\prime}$. Take loops from $p_{0}$ to a point near $\tilde{C}_{i}$, followed by a loop $\delta_{i}$ around $\tilde{C}_{i}$, and going back to $p_{0}, i=1, \ldots, 11$. In the same vein, we add another loop $\delta_{12}$ around $\tilde{G}$. Then

$$
\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{orb}}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\pi_{1}\left(X-\left(\tilde{C}_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \tilde{C}_{11} \cup \tilde{G}\right)\right)}{\left\langle\delta_{1}^{p}, \ldots, \delta_{11}^{p^{11}}, \delta_{12}^{p^{12}}\right\rangle}
$$

Let us see that $\pi_{1}^{\text {orb }}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ is trivial. It suffices to see that $\pi_{1}\left(X-\left(\tilde{C}_{1} \cup\right.\right.$ $\left.\cdots \cup \tilde{C}_{11} \cup \tilde{G}\right)$ ) is trivial. We start with a lemma.

Lemma 26. We can arrange a complex cubic curve and a complex line $C^{\prime}, L^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{C} P^{2}$ intersecting transversally, such that a small neighborhood $B_{\epsilon}\left(C^{\prime} \cup L^{\prime}\right)$ of $C^{\prime} \cup L^{\prime}$ satisfies the following: there are generators of $\pi_{1}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ represented by loops $\alpha$, $\beta$ away from $B_{\epsilon}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$, that can be homotoped (outside $B_{\epsilon}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ ) to loops $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}$ in $\partial B_{\epsilon}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$. The loops $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}$ are contractible in $\mathbb{C} P^{2}-B_{\epsilon}\left(C^{\prime} \cup L^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof: We consider a particular family of complex cubics in $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ given by the affine equations $C_{r}=\left\{y^{2}=x^{3}-r^{2} x\right\}$, with $r>0$ small. As $r \rightarrow 0$, the cubic $C_{r}$ collapses to a cuspidal rational curve $C_{0}=\left\{y^{2}=x^{3}\right\}$, which has trivial first homology group. It is known [19] that the vanishing cycles generate the homology $H_{1}\left(C_{r}\right)$. Here we give an explicit description, as the loops

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{r} & =\left\{(x, y) \mid x \in[-r, 0], y \in \mathbb{R}, y^{2}=x^{3}-r^{2} x\right\} \\
\beta_{r} & =\left\{(x, y) \mid x=-x^{\prime} \in[0, r], y=i y^{\prime} \in i \mathbb{R},\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{3}-r^{2} x^{\prime}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\alpha_{r}, \beta_{r}$ intersect transversally at one point, hence they generate $\pi_{1}\left(C_{r}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2}$. The homotopies given by $\alpha_{t}, t \in[0, r]$, and $\beta_{t}, t \in[0, r]$ (with base-point at $(0,0)$ ), produce discs that contract $\alpha_{r}, \beta_{r}$. These discs do not intersect $C_{r}$. Now fix some $C^{\prime}=C_{r}$ and take a tubular
neighbourhood $B_{\epsilon}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ by considering all $C_{s}$ with $|s-r|<\epsilon$. Then we can homotop the loops $\alpha=\alpha_{r}, \beta=\beta_{r}$ to $\hat{\alpha}=\alpha_{r-\epsilon}, \hat{\beta}=\beta_{r-\epsilon}$ which lie at the boundary, and can be contracted outside $B_{\epsilon}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$.

Finally, take a complex line $L^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{C} P^{2}$ intersecting transversally $C^{\prime}$, but well away from the loops $\alpha_{r}, \beta_{r}$, and the homotopies above (e.g. a small perturbation of the line at infinity). Therefore all previous statement happen outside $B_{\epsilon}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$.

Proposition 27. We have that the fundamental group $\pi_{1}\left(X-\left(\tilde{C}_{1} \cup\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\cdots \cup \tilde{C}_{11} \cup \tilde{G}\right)\right)=1$. In particular, $\pi_{1}^{\text {orb }}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=1$.
Proof: We constructed $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{11}, G$ inside a plumbing $\mathbf{P}=P_{c}(C \cup L)$, and then we have transferred it to a neighbourhood $\mathbf{P}^{\prime}=P_{c}\left(C^{\prime} \cup L^{\prime \prime}\right)$ of a cubic $C^{\prime}$ and a perturbation $L^{\prime \prime}$ of a line $L^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$. Note that $C^{\prime} \cup L^{\prime \prime}$ is smoothly isotopic to $C^{\prime} \cup L^{\prime}$. Then we blew-up at the eleven points $P_{\tilde{\sim}}, \ldots, P_{11}$ which lie inside $\mathbf{P}^{\prime}$, and took the proper transforms $\tilde{C}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{C}_{11}, \tilde{G} \subset \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{\prime}$, where $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{\prime}$ is the blow-up of $\mathbf{P}^{\prime}$. Let $B_{\epsilon}\left(\tilde{C}_{i}\right), B_{\epsilon}(\tilde{G}) \subset$ $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{\prime}$ be small and disjoint tubular neighbourhoods of $\tilde{C}_{i}, \tilde{G}, i=1, \ldots, 11$, respectively.

$$
\text { Put } X=W \cup W^{\prime} \text {, with }
$$

$$
W=\bigcup_{i} B_{2 \epsilon}\left(\tilde{C}_{i}\right) \cup B_{2 \epsilon}(\tilde{G}) \cup T_{0}, \quad W^{\prime}=X-\left(\bigcup_{i} B_{\epsilon}\left(\tilde{C}_{i}\right) \cup B_{\epsilon}(\tilde{G})\right)
$$

where $T_{0}$ denotes an open contractible set constructed by fattening paths joining the base point with the tubular neighbourhoods $B_{2 \epsilon}\left(\tilde{C}_{i}\right), B_{2 \epsilon}(\tilde{G})$. As $\pi_{1}(X)$ is trivial, the Seifert Van-Kampen Theorem shows that the map

$$
\pi_{1}\left(W \cap W^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \cong \pi_{1}\left(X-\left(\tilde{C}_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \tilde{C}_{11} \cup \tilde{G}\right)\right)
$$

is surjective. Note that $W \cap W^{\prime}$ is homotopy equivalent to the wedge sum $Y_{1} \vee \cdots \vee Y_{11} \vee Y_{12}$, where $Y_{i}=\partial B_{\epsilon}\left(\tilde{C}_{i}\right)$ is the boundary of a small tubular neighbourhood of $C_{i}$, and $Y_{12}=\partial B_{\epsilon}(\tilde{G})$. Hence it is enough to see that every loop in $Y_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 11$ and every loop in $Y_{12}$ are contractible in $\pi_{1}\left(X-\left(\tilde{C}_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \tilde{C}_{11} \cup \tilde{G}\right)\right)$.

Take the plumbing $\mathbf{P}=P_{c}(C \cup L)$ and the curves $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{11}, G$. We have decomposed $C=D \cup V$, where $D$ is a disc, so we may take $\alpha, \beta$ inside $C-D$. For each of the cubics $C_{i}, \pi_{1}\left(C_{i}\right)$ is generated by loops $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$ which can be taken by lifting $\alpha, \beta$ via the sections $\hat{\sigma}_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 11$, of the complex line bundle $E \rightarrow C$. For the curve $G \subset \mathbf{P}$ of genus 3 , we have generators $\alpha^{(1)}, \beta^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)}, \beta^{(2)}, \alpha^{(3)}, \beta^{(3)}$ of the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(G)$ with $\prod_{j=1}^{3}\left[\alpha^{(j)}, \beta^{(j)}\right]=1$. These can be taken by lifting the loops $\alpha, \beta$ via the sections $\hat{\tau}_{j}, j=1,2,3$. The base point is also chosen outside the disc $D$. In $\mathbf{P}-\left(C_{1} \cup \cdots \cup C_{11} \cup G\right)$, we can move vertically
(along the fiberwise directions of the bundle $E \rightarrow C$ ) all the loops $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$, $\alpha^{(j)}, \beta^{(j)}$ without touching the other curves. Once we reach the boundary of $\mathbf{P} \cong \mathbf{P}^{\prime}$, these can be contracted in the complement $\mathbb{C} P^{2}-\mathbf{P}^{\prime}$ by Lemma 26 above.

Now we blow-up inside $\mathbf{P}$ the eleven points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{11}$ to obtain $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ and the proper transforms $\tilde{C}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{C}_{11}, \tilde{G}$. Consider $Y_{i}=\partial B_{\epsilon}\left(\tilde{C}_{i}\right)$ as before. This is a circle bundle $S^{1} \rightarrow Y_{i}=\partial B_{\epsilon}\left(\tilde{C}_{i}\right) \rightarrow \tilde{C}_{i}$ with Chern class $c_{1}\left(Y_{i}\right)=\left[\tilde{C}_{i}\right]^{2}=-1$. We have a short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(S^{1}\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(Y_{i}\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\tilde{C}_{i}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since we are away from the blow-up locus we call the generators of $\pi_{1}\left(\tilde{C}_{i}\right)$ again $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$. The loop $\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]$ can be homotoped in $B_{\epsilon}\left(\tilde{C}_{i}\right)$ to the base point through a homotopy transversal to $\tilde{C}_{i}$. This homotopy intersects $\tilde{C}_{i}$ in $\tilde{C}_{i}^{2}=-1$ points counted with signs. Via the retraction $B_{e}\left(\tilde{C}_{i}\right)-\tilde{C}_{i} \rightarrow$ $Y_{i}$, this gives a homotopy in $Y_{i}$ between the lifting of $\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]$ and $\gamma_{i}^{-1}$, where $\gamma_{i}$ is the loop going along the fiber $S^{1}$. We conclude that

$$
\left.\pi_{1}\left(Y_{i}\right)=\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right|\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]=\gamma_{i}^{-1}, \gamma_{i} \text { central }\right\rangle .
$$

Note that $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$ can be moved to $Y_{i}$ without touching the other cubics $\tilde{C}_{j}$ and then contracted in $\mathbb{C} P^{2}-\mathbf{P}$ via the blow-up map. The conclusion is that $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}$ can be contracted to a point through a homotopy in $X-\left(\tilde{C}_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \tilde{C}_{11} \cup \tilde{G}\right)$. Therefore the same happens to $\gamma_{i}$.

Analogously, $Y_{12}=\partial B_{\epsilon}(\tilde{G})$ is a circle bundle $S^{1} \rightarrow Y_{12}=\partial B_{\epsilon}(\tilde{G}) \rightarrow$ $\tilde{G}$ with Chern class $c_{1}\left(Y_{12}\right)=[\tilde{G}]^{2}=1$. Denoting by $\gamma_{12}$ the loop along the fiber $S^{1}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{1}\left(Y_{12}\right)=\left\langle\alpha^{(1)}, \beta^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)}, \beta^{(2)}, \alpha^{(3)}, \beta^{(3)}, \gamma_{12}\right| \\
&\left.\prod_{j=1}^{3}\left[\alpha^{(j)}, \beta^{(j)}\right]=\gamma_{12}, \gamma_{12} \text { central }\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

The loops $\alpha^{(j)}, \beta^{(j)}$ can be moved to the boundary $Y_{12}$ and then contracted in $\mathbb{C} P^{2}-\mathbf{P}$ via the blow-up map. Thus the same happens to $\gamma_{12}$. So all generators of $\pi_{1}\left(\partial B_{\epsilon}\left(\tilde{C}_{i}\right)\right), i=1, \ldots, 11$, and of $\pi_{1}\left(\partial B_{\epsilon}(\tilde{G})\right)$ become trivial in $\pi_{1}\left(X-\left(\tilde{C}_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \tilde{C}_{11} \cup \tilde{G}\right)\right)$. This concludes the proof.

Once we have the symplectic orbifold $X^{\prime}$, we construct a Seifert bundle $M \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ with primitive Chern class $c_{1}\left(M / e^{2 \pi i / \mu}\right)=[\omega]$. This is a K-contact manifold, which is simply-connected.
Theorem 28. The 5-manifold $M$ is simply-connected, hence it is a Smale-Barden manifold.

Proof: By [6, Theorem 4.3.18], we have an exact sequence $\pi_{1}\left(S^{1}\right)=$ $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_{1}(M) \rightarrow \pi_{1}^{\text {orb }}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=1$. In particular, $\pi_{1}(M)$ is abelian. Therefore $\pi_{1}(M)=H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})=0$, by Theorem 2.

## 5. Non-existence of an algebraic surface with the given pattern of curves

In this section we show that it is not possible to construct an algebraic surface with the same configuration of complex curves as the manifold we constructed in Section 3, that is, twelve disjoint complex curves spanning $H_{2}(S, \mathbb{Q})$, one of genus 3 and all the others of genus 1 . More concretely, we prove Theorem 9.
Theorem 29. Suppose $S$ is a complex surface with $b_{1}=0$ and disjoint smooth complex curves spanning $H_{2}(S, \mathbb{Q})$, one of them of genus $g \geq 1$ and all the others elliptic (and thus of genus 1 ). Then $b_{2} \leq 2 g^{2}-4 g+3$.

Proof: Let $S$ be a complex surface with $b_{1}=0$, containing disjoint complex curves spanning $H_{2}(S, \mathbb{Q})$, one of them, say $D_{1}$, of genus $g$ and the other curves $D_{2}, \ldots, D_{b_{2}}$ all of genus 1 .

The Poincaré duals $\left[D_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[D_{b_{2}}\right]$ are a basis of $H^{2}(S, \mathbb{Q})$, since $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{b_{2}}\right\}$ is a basis of $H_{2}(S, \mathbb{Q})$. Furthermore, these classes are all of type $(1,1)$, so we have that $h^{1,1}=b_{2}$ and the geometric genus is $p_{g}=h^{2,0}=0$. The irregularity is $q=h^{1,0}=0$ since $b_{1}=0$. In particular, $S$ is an algebraic surface [4]. The holomorphic Euler characteristic is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=1-q+p_{g}=1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Riemann-Hodge relations, the signature of $H^{1,1}(S)$ is $\left(1, b_{2}-1\right)$. Therefore, the self-intersection of one of the $D_{i}$ 's is positive and it is negative for the others.

Case 1. Assume for the moment that $g=g\left(D_{1}\right) \geq 2$. We show first that $D_{1}^{2}>0$. Suppose otherwise that $D_{i}^{2}>0$ for one of the genus 1 curves. After reordering, we can suppose this is true for $D_{2}$. By the adjunction formula, $K_{S} \cdot D_{2}+D_{2}^{2}=2 g\left(D_{2}\right)-2=0$, so $K_{S} \cdot D_{2}=-D_{2}^{2}$. And, by Riemann-Roch's theorem, we have,

$$
\chi\left(D_{2}\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)+\frac{D_{2}^{2}-K_{S} \cdot D_{2}}{2}=1+D_{2}^{2}
$$

Hence using Serre duality,

$$
h^{0}\left(D_{2}\right)+h^{0}\left(K_{S}-D_{2}\right)=h^{0}\left(D_{2}\right)+h^{2}\left(D_{2}\right) \geq \chi\left(D_{2}\right)=1+D_{2}^{2} \geq 2
$$

Also, from the exact short sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(K_{S}-D_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(K_{S}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{O}_{D_{2}}\left(\left.K_{S}\right|_{D_{2}}\right) \rightarrow 0$ we deduce that $h^{0}\left(K_{S}-D_{2}\right)=0$, since $h^{0}\left(K_{S}\right)=$ $h^{2,0}(S)=0$. Thus $h^{0}\left(D_{2}\right) \geq 2$ and we can consider a pencil $\mathbb{P}^{1} \leq\left|D_{2}\right|$.

This gives a rational map $S \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{1}$ and, after blowing-up the base points of the pencil, an elliptic fibration $\tilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{1}$, with the proper transform of $D_{2}$ as a smooth fiber. However, since they are pairwise disjoint, all the proper transforms of $D_{i}, i \neq 2$, have to lie in fibers. To see this, consider the projections of the proper transforms of $D_{i}$ by the elliptic fibration $\tilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{1}$. These projections must be Zariskiclosed, connected subsets of $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$. As they are not all $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ since they do not intersect the proper transform of $D_{2}$, they should be points. In particular, since all the fibers are connected, the arithmetic genus of each irreducible component of a fiber has to be at most 1 , which gives rise to a contradiction as $g\left(D_{1}\right)=g>1$. Therefore, $D_{1}^{2}>0$ and $D_{2}^{2}, \ldots, D_{b_{2}}^{2}<0$.

Denote $m_{1}=D_{1}^{2}$ and $m_{i}=-D_{i}^{2}, i=2, \ldots, b_{2}$. All of the $m_{i}$ 's are positive integers. Write $K_{S} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{b_{2}} \lambda_{i} D_{i}$ for its homology class in $H_{2}(S, \mathbb{Q})$, with $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Notice that $K_{S} \cdot D_{i}=\lambda_{i} D_{i}^{2}$, from where $\lambda_{i}=\frac{K_{S} \cdot D_{i}}{D_{i}^{2}}$. By the adjunction formula,

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{S} \cdot D_{1} & =2 g\left(D_{1}\right)-2-D_{1}^{2}=2 g-2-m_{1} \\
K_{S} \cdot D_{i} & =2 g\left(D_{i}\right)-2-D_{i}^{2}=m_{i}, \quad i \geq 2 \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore

$$
K_{S} \equiv \frac{2 g-2-m_{1}}{m_{1}} D_{1}-\sum_{i=2}^{b_{2}} D_{i}
$$

and we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{S}^{2}=\frac{\left(2 g-2-m_{1}\right)^{2}}{m_{1}}-\sum_{i=2}^{b_{2}} m_{i} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the following short exact sequence of sheaves,

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(K_{S}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(K_{S}+D_{1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_{1}}\left(K_{D_{1}}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $K_{D_{1}}=\left.\left(K_{S}+D_{1}\right)\right|_{D_{1}}$, by adjunction. This gives a long exact sequence in cohomology,

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(K_{S}\right) \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(K_{S}+D_{1}\right) \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(K_{D_{1}}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(K_{S}\right) \longrightarrow \cdots,
$$

where $H^{0}\left(K_{S}\right)=H^{2,0}(S)=0$ and $H^{1}\left(K_{S}\right)=H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=H^{0,1}(S)=0$. So we have an isomorphism $H^{0}\left(K_{S}+D_{1}\right) \cong H^{0}\left(K_{D_{1}}\right)$ and we deduce that $h^{0}\left(K_{S}+D_{1}\right)=h^{0}\left(K_{D_{1}}\right)=g$. In particular, the linear system $\mid K_{S}+$ $D_{1} \mid$ is not empty and it has dimension $g-1 \geq 1$. Let $Z=Z\left(\left|K_{S}+D_{1}\right|\right)$ be the fixed part of $\left|K_{S}+D_{1}\right|$ (that is, the largest effective divisor such that $D \geq Z$ for all $\left.D \in\left|K_{S}+D_{1}\right|\right)$. Notice that $Z \cdot D_{1}=0$, since the restriction of the linear system to $D_{1},\left|\left(K_{S}+D_{1}\right)\right| D_{1}\left|=\left|K_{D_{1}}\right|\right.$, has no fixed points as $g \geq 2$.

Write now $Z$ as an effective divisor $Z=\sum_{i=1}^{b_{2}} \alpha_{i} D_{i}+T$, where $\alpha_{i}$ are non-negative integers and $T$ is an effective divisor not containing any of the $D_{i}$ 's. Notice that the latter implies $T \cdot D_{i} \geq 0$ for all $i$. Since $0=Z \cdot D_{1}=\alpha_{1} m_{1}+T \cdot D_{1}$, we have $\alpha_{1}=0$ and $T \cdot D_{1}=0$. So we can write $Z=\sum_{i=2}^{b_{2}} \alpha_{i} D_{i}+T$ and $T$ does not intersect $D_{1}$.

Let us see that $T=0$. Write $T \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{b_{2}} \mu_{i} D_{i}$ for its homology class in $H_{2}(S, \mathbb{Q})$, with $\mu_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}$. First note that, since $T \cdot D_{1}=0$, we have $\mu_{1}=$ 0 , so $T \equiv \sum_{i=2}^{b_{2}} \mu_{i} D_{i}$. For $i \geq 2,0 \leq T \cdot D_{i}=-\mu_{i} m_{i}$, hence $\mu_{i} \leq 0$. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer such that $n \mu_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i$. Hence $n T$ is effective and $-n T=\sum\left(-n \mu_{i}\right) D_{i}$ is also effective. This implies that $n T=0$ and thus $T=0$. This means that the fixed part is $Z=\sum_{i=2}^{b_{2}} \alpha_{i} D_{i}$.

Write $\left|K_{S}+D_{1}\right|=Z+|F|$, where $F$ is the free part, which is a fully movable divisor. We look now at the self-intersection $F^{2}=\left(K_{S}+\right.$ $\left.D_{1}-Z\right)^{2} \geq 0$. Recall that the self-intersection of a fully movable divisor is $F^{2} \geq 0$, since if we take a different $F^{\prime} \equiv F$ such that $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ do not share components, then $F^{2}=F \cdot F^{\prime} \geq 0$.

Let $j \geq 2$ and suppose both that $m_{j}=1$ and $D_{j} \not 又 Z$. In this case, the restriction of an effective divisor $C \in\left|K_{S}+D_{1}\right|$ to $D_{j}$ is an effective degree 1 divisor, since $\left(K_{S}+D_{1}\right) \cdot D_{j}=K_{S} \cdot D_{j}=m_{j}=1$, by (10). So $C \cap D_{j}$ is a point $P_{j}$. Furthermore, since $D_{j}$ is not a rational curve, any pair of linearly equivalent points are actually equal. Therefore $P_{j} \in D_{j}$ is a fixed point of $\left|K_{S}+D_{1}\right|$ and, since $Z \cap D_{j}=\emptyset, P_{j} \in F$. So $P_{j}$ is counted in the self-intersection $\left(K_{S}+D_{1}-Z\right)^{2}$.

There are at most $\sum_{i=2}^{b_{2}} m_{i}-\left(b_{2}-1\right)$ curves among $D_{2}, \ldots, D_{b_{2}}$ with $m_{i}>1$. So there are at least $2\left(b_{2}-1\right)-\sum_{i=2}^{b_{2}} m_{i}$ curves with self-intersection -1 . Hence there are at least $2\left(b_{2}-1\right)-\sum_{i=2}^{b_{2}} m_{i}-r$ fixed points $P_{j} \in D_{j}$ of some $\left|\left(K_{S}+D_{1}-Z\right)\right|_{D_{j}} \mid$, where $r=\#\left\{\alpha_{i}>0\right\}$, and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(K_{S}+D_{1}-Z\right)^{2} \geq 2\left(b_{2}-1\right)-\sum_{i=2}^{b_{2}} m_{i}-r \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that in case we have $2\left(b_{2}-1\right)-\sum_{1=2}^{b_{2}} m_{i}-r \leq 0$ we cannot assure the existence of any fixed point but the inequality still holds since $\left(K_{S}+D_{1}-Z\right)^{2} \geq 0$.

We now compute $\left(K_{S}+D_{1}-Z\right)^{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(K_{S}+D_{1}\right)^{2} & =K_{S}^{2}+2 K_{S} \cdot D_{1}+D_{1}^{2}=K_{S}^{2}+2\left(2 g-2-m_{1}\right)+m_{1} \\
& =K_{S}^{2}+4 g-4-m_{1} \\
\left(K_{S}+D_{1}-Z\right)^{2} & =\left(K_{S}+D_{1}\right)^{2}-2\left(K_{S}+D_{1}\right) \cdot Z+Z^{2} \\
& =K_{S}^{2}+4 g-4-m_{1}-2 \sum_{i=2}^{b_{2}} \alpha_{i} m_{i}-\sum_{i=2}^{b_{2}} \alpha_{i}^{2} m_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (12) gives

$$
K_{S}^{2}+4 g-4-m_{1}-2 \sum_{i=2}^{b_{2}} \alpha_{i} m_{i}-\sum_{i=2}^{b_{2}} \alpha_{i}^{2} m_{i} \geq 2\left(b_{2}-1\right)-\sum_{i=2}^{b_{2}} m_{i}-r
$$

from which

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 b_{2} & \leq 4 g-2-m_{1}+K_{S}^{2}+\sum_{i=2}^{b_{2}} m_{i}+r-2 \sum_{i=2}^{b_{2}} \alpha_{i} m_{i}-\sum_{i=2}^{b_{2}} \alpha_{i}^{2} m_{i} \\
& \leq 4 g-2-m_{1}+K_{S}^{2}+\sum_{i=2}^{b_{2}} m_{i}+r-3 r \leq 4 g-2-m_{1}+K_{S}^{2}+\sum_{i=2}^{b_{2}} m_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (11), we have

$$
2 b_{2} \leq 4 g-2-m_{1}+\frac{\left(2 g-2-m_{1}\right)^{2}}{m_{1}} .
$$

The expression on the right is a decreasing function on $m_{1}$. Therefore, we can bound it by its value in $m_{1}=1$, that is

$$
2 b_{2} \leq 4 g-3+(2 g-3)^{2}=4 g^{2}-8 g+6
$$

Hence $b_{2} \leq 2 g^{2}-4 g+3$, as required.
Case 2. Suppose now $g=1$. Using the adjunction formula, we get $K_{S} \equiv-\sum_{i=1}^{b_{2}} D_{i}$. And using the same argument as above, we have that $h^{0}\left(K_{S}+D_{1}\right)=h^{0}\left(K_{D_{1}}\right)=g=1$. Thus, there is an effective divisor in $S$ linearly equivalent to $K_{S}+D_{1}=-\sum_{i=2}^{b_{2}} D_{i}$ which is clearly anti-effective if $b_{2} \geq 2$. Therefore, $b_{2} \leq 1$.

Let us end up by giving a different proof of the non-existence of a Kähler surface $S$ with $b_{1}=0$ and $b_{2}=12$, containing disjoint smooth complex curves spanning $H_{2}(S, \mathbb{Q})$, one of them of genus $g=3$, all the others of genus $g_{i}=1$. It makes very specific use of the numbers at hand.

We follow the notations in the proof of Theorem 29 . We have the curves $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{b}, b=12$, with $D_{1}^{2}=m_{1}, D_{i}^{2}=-m_{i}, 2 \leq i \leq b$, and all the $m_{i}$ 's are positive integers. The curve $D_{1}$ has genus $g=3$ and $D_{i}$ have genus $1,2 \leq i \leq b$. By (9) and Noether's formula [4] we have that

$$
\frac{1}{12}\left(K_{S}^{2}+c_{2}(S)\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=1-q+p_{g}=1
$$

Note that $c_{2}(S)=\chi(S)=2+b$, where $b=b_{2}=12$ and $b_{1}=b_{3}=0$. Therefore $K_{S}^{2}=10-b=-2$. Now (11) says that

$$
-2=K_{S}^{2}=\frac{\left(4-m_{1}\right)^{2}}{m_{1}}-m_{2}-\cdots-m_{b} \leq \frac{\left(4-m_{1}\right)^{2}}{m_{1}}-11
$$

using that $g=3$. Therefore $\left(4-m_{1}\right)^{2} \geq 9 m_{1}$, which is rewritten as $\left(m_{1}-16\right)\left(m_{1}-1\right) \geq 0$.

If $m_{1} \geq 16$, then the curve $D_{1}$ of genus $g=3$ has self-intersection $D_{1}^{2} \geq$ $2 g+1$. The argument of [21, Theorem 32] concludes that $b \leq 2 g+3$. This is a contradiction since $g=3$ and $b=12$.

Therefore we have that $m_{1}=1$. So

$$
K_{S}=3 D_{1}-D_{2}-\cdots-D_{b}
$$

and $K_{S}^{2}=-2=9-m_{2}-\cdots-m_{b} \leq 9-11=-2$. Therefore there must be equality and $m_{2}=\cdots=m_{b}=1$. The basis $\left\{D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{b}\right\}$ is a diagonal basis of $H_{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})$. Now we try to reconstruct $S$ in "reverse". Let $H, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{b} \in H_{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ be defined by the equalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1}=10 H-3 E_{2}-\cdots-3 E_{b} \\
& D_{j}=\left(3 H-E_{2}-\cdots-E_{b}\right)+E_{j}, \quad j=2, \ldots, b
\end{aligned}
$$

This is solved as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H=10 D_{1}-3 D_{2}-\cdots-3 D_{b}, \\
& E_{j}=3 D_{1}+D_{j}-\sum_{k=2}^{b} D_{k}, \quad j=2, \ldots, b, \\
& K_{S}=-3 H+\sum_{k=2}^{b} E_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following self-intersections are easily computed:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
H^{2}=1, & H \cdot E_{j}=0, & j=2, \ldots, b, \\
E_{j}^{2}=-1, & E_{j} \cdot E_{k}=0, & j \neq k, \\
K_{S} \cdot H=-3, & K_{S} \cdot E_{j}=-1, & j=2, \ldots, b, \\
D_{j} \cdot E_{j}=0, & D_{j} \cdot E_{k}=1, & j \neq k .
\end{array}
$$

Now let us prove that the classes $E_{2}, \ldots, E_{b}$ are defined by effective divisors. Note that $\chi\left(E_{j}\right)=1+\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{j}^{2}-K_{S} \cdot E_{j}\right)=1$. Also $h^{0}\left(K_{S}-E_{j}\right)=$ 0 since $\left(K_{S}-E_{j}\right)=-D_{j}<0$. Therefore $h^{0}\left(E_{j}\right) \geq 1$ and $E_{j}$ is effective.

Next note that $K_{S}+D_{j}=E_{j}$. Consider the long exact sequence in cohomology associated to the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(K_{S}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(K_{S}+D_{j}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_{j}}\left(K_{D_{j}}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

As $H^{0}\left(K_{S}\right)=H^{1}\left(K_{S}\right)=0$, we have that $h^{0}\left(E_{j}\right)=h^{0}\left(K_{S}+D_{j}\right)=$ $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{j}}\left(K_{D_{j}}\right)\right)=1$, since $D_{j}$ is an elliptic curve. Also $h^{2}\left(E_{j}\right)=h^{0}\left(K_{S}-\right.$ $\left.E_{j}\right)=0$, and hence $h^{1}\left(E_{j}\right)=0$ since $\chi\left(E_{j}\right)=1$.

Consider now the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(D_{2}+\cdots+D_{b}\right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=2}^{b} \mathcal{O}_{D_{j}}\left(D_{j}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which holds since the $D_{j}$ are disjoint. As $D_{j}^{2}=-m_{j}=-1$ and $D_{j}$ is an elliptic curve, we have $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{j}}\left(D_{j}\right)\right)=0$ and $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{j}}\left(D_{j}\right)\right)=1$. Therefore (13) and the fact that $h^{1}(\mathcal{O})=h^{2}(\mathcal{O})=0$ imply that $h^{0}\left(D_{2}+\cdots+D_{b}\right)=$ 1 and $h^{1}\left(D_{2}+\cdots+D_{b}\right)=b-1=11$. Using that $3 D_{1} \equiv D_{2}+\cdots+$ $D_{b-1}+E_{b}$, we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(E_{b}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(3 D_{1}\right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=2}^{b-1} \mathcal{O}_{D_{j}}\left(E_{b}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

As $D_{j} \cdot E_{b}=1$ and $D_{j}$ is elliptic, we have $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{j}}\left(E_{b}\right)\right)=1$. Then $h^{0}\left(3 D_{1}\right)=b-1=11$, using $h^{0}\left(E_{b}\right)=1$ and $h^{1}\left(E_{b}\right)=0$ computed before.

Now we compute $h^{0}\left(3 D_{1}\right)$ in a different way. We have exact sequences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(D_{1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_{1}}\left(D_{1}\right) \longrightarrow 0, \\
& 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(D_{1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(2 D_{1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_{1}}\left(2 D_{1}\right) \longrightarrow 0, \text { and } \\
& 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(2 D_{1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(3 D_{1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_{1}}\left(3 D_{1}\right) \longrightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\begin{align*}
h^{0}\left(3 D_{1}\right) & \leq h^{0}\left(2 D_{1}\right)+h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{1}}\left(3 D_{1}\right)\right) \\
& \leq h^{0}\left(D_{1}\right)+h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{1}}\left(2 D_{1}\right)\right)+h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{1}}\left(3 D_{1}\right)\right)  \tag{14}\\
& \leq 1+h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{1}}\left(D_{1}\right)\right)+h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{1}}\left(2 D_{1}\right)\right)+h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{1}}\left(3 D_{1}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We use Clifford's theorem [2, p. 107] that says that for a curve of genus $g \geq 1$ and a divisor $D$ of degree $0 \leq d \leq 2 g-2$, we have $h^{0}(D) \leq$ $\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]+1$. Applying this to the curve $D_{1}$, we have $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{1}}\left(D_{1}\right)\right) \leq 1$, $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{1}}\left(2 D_{1}\right)\right) \leq 2$, and $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{D_{1}}\left(3 D_{1}\right)\right) \leq 2$, recalling that $D_{1}^{2}=1$. Therefore (14) implies $h^{0}\left(3 D_{1}\right) \leq 1+1+2+2=6$. This is a contradiction with the previous computation of $h^{0}\left(3 D_{1}\right)$.

## 6. The second Stiefel-Whitney class of the Smale-Barden manifold

We close with a proof of the last comments in the introduction.
We start by computing the Stiefel-Whitney class $w_{2}(M)$ of the 5 -manifold $M$ constructed in Corollary 10. This manifold is a Seifert circle bundle $\pi: M \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ over the cyclic 4-orbifold constructed with the manifold $X=\mathbb{C} P^{2} \# 11 \overline{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$ of Section 3 , ramified over the curves $\tilde{C}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{C}_{11}$, $\tilde{C}_{12}=\tilde{G}$, with multiplicities $m_{i}=p^{i}, i=1, \ldots, 12$, and $p$ a fixed prime.

Remark 30. We could use other powers of $p$ for the $m_{i}$ 's, as long as they are distinct. Also we can use $m_{i}=p^{i} \tilde{m}_{i}$, with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\tilde{m}_{i}, p\right)=1$. However, for the computations below we stick to our choice $m_{i}=p^{i}$.

The Seifert bundle $\pi: M \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is determined by the Chern class

$$
c_{1}\left(M / X^{\prime}\right)=c_{1}(B)+\sum \frac{b_{i}}{m_{i}}\left[\tilde{C}_{i}\right],
$$

where $b_{i}$ are called the orbit invariants (they should satisfy $\operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{i}, m_{i}\right)=$ 1 ), and $B$ is a suitable line bundle over $X$. By Theorem 2, we have to impose the condition that the cohomology class

$$
c_{1}\left(M / e^{2 \pi i \mu}\right)=\mu c_{1}(B)+\sum b_{i} \frac{\mu}{m_{i}}\left[\tilde{C}_{i}\right]=p^{12} c_{1}(B)+\sum b_{i} p^{12-i}\left[\tilde{C}_{i}\right]
$$

is primitive and represented by some orbifold symplectic form [ $\hat{\omega}]$, being $\mu=\operatorname{lcm}\left(m_{i}\right)=p^{12}$. The proof of [21, Lemma 20] shows that in order to ensure this we can take $b_{i}=1$ and a class $a=c_{1}(B) \in H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ with $a=\sum a_{i}\left[\tilde{C}_{i}\right]$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p a_{1}+1, p^{2} a_{2}+1\right)=1$. Certainly, with this condition on the class $a$ and the numbers $b_{i}$, the Chern class is

$$
c_{1}\left(M / e^{2 \pi i \mu}\right)=\sum p^{12-i}\left(p^{i} a_{i}+1\right)\left[\tilde{C}_{i}\right]
$$

so it is primitive.
Let us see that we can ensure that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p a_{1}+1, p^{2} a_{2}+1\right)=1$. Take any $a_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and write

$$
p^{2} a_{2}+1=\prod_{j=1}^{l} q_{j}^{m_{j}}
$$

with $q_{j}$ different primes. The condition $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p a_{1}+1, p^{2} a_{2}+1\right)=1$ is equivalent to the condition that $q_{j}$ does not divide $p a_{1}+1$ for all $j$. Since $q_{j}$ and $p$ are coprime, by Bezout's identity we have $1+\alpha p=\beta q_{j}$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. In fact, all the numbers $\alpha, \beta$ satisfying that condition are of the form $(\alpha, \beta)=\left(\alpha_{j}+t q, \beta_{j}+t p\right), t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Applying this to $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{l}$ we get that the condition is that $a_{1}$ does not belong to the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\left\{\alpha_{1}+t q_{1} \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \cup \cdots \cup\left\{\alpha_{l}+t q_{l} \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set $A$ above is a union of arithmetic progressions of ratios $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{l}$ which are different primes. By the Chinese remainder theorem there is $\alpha\left(\bmod \prod q_{i}\right)$ so that $\alpha \equiv \alpha_{i}\left(\bmod q_{i}\right)$ for all $i$. Therefore the set $\mathbb{Z}-A$ modulo $\prod q_{i}$ contains $\phi\left(\prod q_{i}\right)=\prod\left(q_{i}-1\right)$ elements. In particular it is infinite and of positive density.

The conclusion is that possible choices of $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{12}$ consist of choosing freely $a_{2}, \ldots, a_{12} \in \mathbb{Z}$, and then choose $a_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}-A$.

Proposition 31. If $p=2$, then $M$ is spin. If $p>2$, then we can arrange $c_{1}(B)$ and $b_{i}$ so that $M$ is spin or non-spin.

Proof: If $p=2$, then [23, Proposition 13] says that the map $\pi^{*}$ : $H^{2}\left(X, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(M, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ is zero, since the $\left[\tilde{C}_{i}\right]$ are in ker $\pi^{*}$ and they span the cohomology. By formula (3) in [23], we have $w_{2}(M)=\pi^{*}\left(w_{2}(X)+\right.$ $\left.\sum b_{i}\left[\tilde{C}_{i}\right]+c_{1}(B)\right)=0$.

If $p>2$, then [23, Proposition 13] says that the map $\pi^{*}: H^{2}\left(X, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow$ $H^{2}\left(M, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ has one-dimensional kernel spanned by $c_{1}(B)+\sum b_{i}\left[\tilde{C}_{i}\right]$. Formula (2) in $[\mathbf{2 3}]$ says that $w_{2}(M)=\pi^{*}\left(w_{2}(X)\right)$. As $X=\mathbb{C} P^{2} \# 11 \overline{\mathbb{C}}^{2}$, $w_{2}(X)=H+E_{1}+\cdots+E_{11}=\tilde{C}_{1}+\cdots+\tilde{C}_{12}(\bmod 2)$. The manifold $M$ is spin or non-spin according to whether $c_{1}(B)+\sum b_{i}\left[\tilde{C}_{i}\right]$ is proportional to $\sum\left[\tilde{C}_{i}\right]$ or not $(\bmod 2)$. We can arrange that $M$ is non-spin by taking, say, $a_{2}$ odd. To get $M$ spin we need to take all $a_{2}, \ldots, a_{12}$ even, and then choose $a_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}-A^{\prime}$, where $A^{\prime}$ is defined as (15) but including also $q_{0}=2$, $\alpha_{0}=1$ (note that all $q_{j}$ are odd in this case). So $a_{1}$ is also even.

Remark 32. We end with the proof that the symplectic manifold produced in $[\mathbf{2 1}]$ does not admit a complex structure. That manifold $Z$ is constructed as follows: take the 4 -torus $\mathbb{T}^{4}$ with coordinates $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$ and take 2-tori $T_{12}, T_{13}, T_{14}$ along the directions $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, $\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right),\left(x_{1}, x_{4}\right)$, respectively. We arrange these 2 -tori to be disjoint and make them symplectic. Now perform Gompf connected sums [14] with 3 -copies of the rational elliptic surface $E(1)$ along a fiber $F$. This produces

$$
Z^{\prime}=\mathbb{T}^{4} \#_{T_{12}=F} E(1) \#_{T_{13}=F} E(1) \#_{T_{14}=F} E(1)
$$

Now we blow-up twice to get $Z=Z^{\prime} \# 2{\overline{\mathbb{C}} \bar{P}^{2}}^{2}$. Then $Z$ is simply connected and it has $b_{2}(Z)=36$ and contains thirty-six disjoint surfaces, of which thirty-one of genus 1 and negative self-intersection, two of genus 2 , and three of genus 3, all of positive self-intersection (see Theorem 23 in [21]). Then $b_{2}^{+}(Z)=5$ and $b_{2}^{-}(Z)=31$.

Suppose that $Z$ admits a complex structure. First, for a complex manifold $b_{2}^{+}=1+p_{g}$ and $b_{2}^{-}=h^{1,1}+p_{g}-1$, thus $b_{2}^{-} \geq b_{2}^{+}-1$, as $h^{1,1} \geq 1$.

This implies that the orientation of $Z$ has to be the same as a complex manifold. Also $p_{g}=4$ and $h^{1,1}=28$. Using Noether's formula,

$$
\frac{K_{Z}^{2}+c_{2}}{12}=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}\right)=1-q+p_{g}=5
$$

As $c_{2}=\chi(Z)=38$, we get $K_{Z}^{2}=22$. As $K_{Z}^{2}>9$, the Enriques classification ([4, p. 188]) implies that $Z$ is of general type.

Next we use the Seiberg-Witten invariants of $Z$. For a minimal surface $X$ of general type, the only Seiberg-Witten basic classes are $\pm K_{X}$ (see Proposition 2.2 in [ $\mathbf{1 1}]$ ). If $Z$ is the blow-up of $X$ at $s$ points, then the basic classes of $Z$ are $\kappa_{Z}= \pm K_{X} \pm E_{1} \pm \cdots \pm E_{s}$, where $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{s}$ are the exceptional divisors. Note that $\kappa_{Z}^{2}=K_{X}^{2}-s=K_{Z}^{2}=22$.

Now we compute the Seiberg-Witten basic classes of $Z$. The only Seiberg-Witten basic class of $\mathbb{T}^{4}$ is $\kappa=0$. The Seiberg-Witten basic classes of a Gompf connected sum along a torus can be found in [24, Corollary 15]. Using the relative Seiberg-Witten invariant of $E(1)$ in $[\mathbf{2 4}$, Theorem 18], we have that the Seiberg-Witten invariants satisfy

$$
S W_{X \#_{F} E(1)}=S W_{X} \cdot\left(e^{F}+e^{-F}\right),
$$

for a 4 -manifold $X$. Therefore the basic classes of $Z^{\prime}$ are only $\kappa^{\prime}=$ $\pm T_{12} \pm T_{13} \pm T_{14}$. When blowing-up, the basic classes of $Z$ are $\kappa_{Z}=$ $\pm T_{12} \pm T_{13} \pm T_{14} \pm E_{1} \pm E_{2}$. Then $\kappa_{Z}^{2}=-2$, which is a contradiction.
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