

A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE RADON-NIKODYM PROPERTY

Oscar Blasco de la Cruz

51. INTRODUCTION.

The aim of this paper is to give a new characterization of the Radon-Nikodym property in terms of martingales in X -valued Orlicz spaces.

Let X be a Banach space and put Σ , the Lebesgue measurable sets in $[0,1]$. It is well known (see [1]):

(1.1). X has the Radon-Nikodym property with respect to $[0,1]$ if and only if every bounded uniformly integrable martingale in $L^1_X[0,1]$, (f_n, B_n) where $\sigma(\cup B_n) = \Sigma$, is convergent in $L^1_X[0,1]$.

We are interested in a generalization of this fact.

In this paper we shall prove the following

Theorem (1.2).

Let ϕ be a Young function with the Δ_2 -condition. X has the Radon-Nikodym property if and only if every bounded martingale in L^ϕ_X , (f_n, B_n) where $\sigma(\cup B_n) = \Sigma$, is convergent in L^ϕ_X .

The definitions and the main results relating to X -valued martingales and Orlicz spaces may be found in [1] and [2] respectively.

We are going to denote by ϕ a Young function, and $\tilde{L}_X^\phi = \{f: [0,1] \rightarrow X \text{ strongly measurable with respect Lebesgue measure s.t. } \rho(f, \phi) = \int_0^1 \phi(\|f(x)\|) dx < \infty\}$.

Let ψ be the complementary Young function of ϕ . We shall write $\|f\|_\phi = \sup \left\{ \int_0^1 \|f(x)\| |g(x)| dx \text{ with } g \in \tilde{L}_\mathbb{R}^\psi, \rho(g, \psi) \leq 1 \right\}$ and $L_X^\phi = \{f: [0,1] \rightarrow X \text{ strongly measurable with } \|f\|_\phi < \infty\}$.

It is well known that L_X^ϕ is a vector space and $\|f\|_\phi$ is a norm on it.

Besides, $\tilde{L}_X^\phi = L_X^\phi$ if and only if ϕ verifies the Δ_2 -condition. It is easy to prove that the convergence and the boundedness in \tilde{L}_X^ϕ and L_X^ϕ are equivalent using the following fact:

(1.3) Suppose ϕ verifies Δ_2 -condition, i.e. there exists $K > 0$ and $T \geq 0$ such that $\phi(2t) \leq K\phi(t)$ for all $t \geq T$.

If there exists m belongs to \mathbb{N} with $\rho(f, \phi) \leq 1/K^m$ then $\|f\|_\phi \leq \frac{\phi(T)+2}{2^m}$. See [2], page 158 for a proof.

§2. PREVIOUS LEMMAS.

Lemma 1.

If $(f_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ is a bounded sequence in \tilde{L}_X^ϕ , then $(f_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ is a bounded uniformly integrable sequence in L_X^1 .

Proof.

For a Young function we have

(2.1) $\frac{\phi(t)}{t} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and by (2.1) we obtain $\|f_n\|_{L_X} \leq \rho(f_n, \phi) + A$, where A is a constant.

We have only to show that $\int_E \|f_n(x)\| dx \rightarrow 0$ as $m(E) \rightarrow 0$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, by (2.1), there exists $\tau > 0$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\phi(t)}{t} > \frac{2c}{\varepsilon} \text{ for } t > \tau \text{ where } \sup_n \rho(f_n, \phi) \leq C.$$

Let $\delta = \varepsilon/2\tau$. If $m(E) < \delta$ and denoting

$$A_n = \{x : \|f_n(x)\| \leq \tau\} \cap E \text{ and}$$

$$B_n = \{x : \|f_n(x)\| > \tau\} \cap E \text{ we obtain}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E \|f_n(x)\| &= \int_{A_n} \|f_n(x)\| dx + \\ &+ \int_{B_n} \|f_n(x)\| dx \stackrel{(2.2)}{<} \tau m(E) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2c} \int_0^1 \phi(\|f_n(x)\|) dx < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.

If ϕ verifies the Δ_2 -condition then the simple functions are dense in \tilde{L}_X^ϕ .

Proof:

Given $f \in \tilde{L}_X^\phi$, since f is strongly measurable, there exists a sequence $(f_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ of countably valued functions such that

(2.3) $||f_n(x) - f(x)|| < \frac{1}{n}$ for almost all $x \in [0, 1]$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $f_n = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x_{n,m} \chi_{E_{n,m}}$ where $x_{n,m} \in X$ and $\chi_{E_{n,m}}$ are the characteristic functions of disjoint measurable sets.

Since $2||f_n(x)|| < 2||f(x)|| + \frac{2}{n}$ a.e. and ϕ is a convex function, we have $2f_n \in \widetilde{L}_X^\phi$. Therefore, there is a number $p_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\bigcup_{m=p_n}^{\infty} E_{n,m}} \phi(2||f_n(x)||) dx < \frac{1}{n}$$

We consider the simple function $g_n = \sum_{m=0}^{p_n} x_{n,m} \chi_{E_{n,m}}$. By (2.3) and (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \phi(||f(x) - g_n(x)||) dx &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \phi(2||f(x) - f_n(x)||) dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \phi(2||f_n(x) - g_n(x)||) dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \phi\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$ the proof is finished.

Lemma 3

Let $(B_\tau, \tau \in I)$ be a family of sub- σ -fields of Σ . Suppose ϕ with Δ_2 -condition.

If f_n converges to f in L_X^ϕ then $E(f_n/B_\tau)$ converges to $E(f/B_\tau)$ uniformly in B_τ , where $E(\cdot/B_\tau)$ denotes the conditional expectation relative to B_τ .

Proof

It may be proved with a slight modification in the

argument in [1], page 122 that if B is a sub- σ -field of Σ , then $\rho(E(G/B), \phi) \leq \rho(g, \phi)$ for all $g \in \widetilde{L}_X^\phi$.

Now, given $\varepsilon > 0$, let m_0 be a number such that $\max \left(\frac{\phi(T)+2}{2m_0}, \frac{1}{Km_0} \right) < \varepsilon$ where K, T are the constants in the Δ_2 -condition.

Since $\|f_n - f\|_\phi \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) then $\rho(f_n - f, \phi) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) so there is a number n_0 such that if $n > n_0$ we have $\rho(f_n - f, \phi) < \frac{1}{m_0}$. This implies, by (1.3) and the first result in the proof, that $\|E(f_n - f/B_\tau)\|_\phi < \varepsilon$ for $n \geq n_0$ and it is true for all $\tau \in I$.

§3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM (1.2).

Suppose X has the Radon-Nikodym property and let (f_n, B_n) be a bounded martingale in L_X^ϕ with $\sigma(\bigcup B_n) = \Sigma$. By lemma 1 and (1.1), there is a function f in L_X^1 such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in L_X^1 and $f_n = E(f/B_n)$ as it may be seen in [1]. Since the convergence of martingales in L_X^1 implies the convergence almost everywhere, we obtain, using the continuity of ϕ that $\phi(\|f_n(x)\|) \rightarrow \phi(\|f(x)\|)$ a.e. and by Fatou's Lemma.

$$\int \phi(\|f(x)\|) dx \leq \liminf \int \phi(\|f_n(x)\|) dx \leq M$$

Therefore f belongs to \widetilde{L}_X^ϕ , which coincides with L_X^ϕ .

We shall prove that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in L_X^ϕ . From Lemma 2, we see that given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a number m_0 and a

sequence of simple functions such that

$$(3.1) \quad \|s_m - f\|_\phi < \epsilon/2 \quad \text{for } m \geq m_0$$

and using Lemma 3 with B_n , there is m_1 in \mathbb{N} such that

$$(3.2) \quad \|E(f - s_m/B_n)\|_\phi < \epsilon/2 \quad \text{for } m \geq m_1 \quad \text{and for all } n.$$

Since $\sigma(\bigcup B_n) = \Sigma$, we can take the functions s_m on measurable sets from $\bigcup B_n$.

Let m be a fixed number such that $m > \max(m_0, m_1)$. If $s_m = \sum_{i=1}^p x_{m,i} \chi_{E_{m,i}}$, let n_0 be a number such that $E_{m,i} \subset B_{n_0}$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$ and in this case $E(s_m/B_n) = s_m$ for $n \geq n_0$.

Therefore if $n \geq n_0$, by (3.1) and (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - f_n\|_\phi &\leq \|f - s_m\|_\phi + \|s_m - f_n\|_\phi = \\ &= \|f - s_m\|_\phi + \|E(s_m - f/B_n)\|_\phi < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the converse we are going to use the characterization of the Radon-Nikodym property in terms of operators:

For every $T: L^1[0,1] \rightarrow X$ there exists a function f in L^∞_X such that $T(\varphi) = \int_0^1 \varphi(x) f(x) dx$ for

$\varphi \in L^1[0,1]$ (see [1], page 63).

Let $T: L^1[0,1] \rightarrow X$ be a bounded operator. We consider B_n the σ -field generated by the dyadic intervals of length $\frac{1}{2^n}$, i.e. $B_n = \sigma(I_{n,i}; i = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1)$ where

$$I_{n,i} = \left[\frac{i}{2^n}, \frac{i+1}{2^n} \right).$$

Let $f_n = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} 2^n T(\chi_{I_{n,i}}) \chi_{I_{n,i}}$. It is easy to prove that

$E(f_{n+1}/B_n) = f_n$ and obviously $\sigma(\cup B_n) = \Sigma$. Since

$$\|f_n\| = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} 2^n \|\chi_{I_{n,i}}\|, \text{ it is clear that}$$

$$\|f_n(x)\| \leq \|T\| \text{ for all } x \in [0,1]. \text{ Then } \rho(f_n, \phi) \leq$$

$\phi(\|T\|)$ for all n , and we can find a function f in

L_X^ϕ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in L_X^ϕ . This is equivalent to

$\phi(\|f_n\|) \rightarrow \phi(\|f\|)$ in L^1 and therefore there is a

subsequence $\phi(\|f_{n_k}(x)\|) \rightarrow \phi(\|f(x)\|)$ a.e. Hence

$\phi(\|f(x)\|) \leq \phi(\|T\|)$ a.e. and f belongs to L_V^∞ .

To conclude the proof, we must only prove that

$$(3.3) \quad T(s) = \int_0^1 s(x)f(x)dx \text{ for all simple function on}$$

$\cup B_n$ -measurable sets. First, we shall prove that

$$(3.4) \quad f_n = E(f/B_n).$$

If E is a B_n -measurable set, $\int_E f_n(x) dx = \int_E f_{n+k}(x) dx$ for $k \geq 1$ and then it is sufficient to prove that

$\int_E f_n(x) dx \rightarrow \int_E f(x) dx$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is clear from the Holder inequality $\int_E \|f_n(x) - f(x)\| dx \leq \|f_n - f\|_{\phi} \| \chi_E \|_{\psi}$

From (3.4), $\int_{I_{n,i}} f(x) dx = \int_{I_{n,i}} f_n(x) dx = T(\chi_{I_{n,i}})$

and by linearity we obtain (3.3) and finish the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. DIESTEL and J.J. UHL (1977). Vector Measures.
Amer. Math. Soc. Mathematical Surveys, 15.
- [2] A. KUFNER et al. (1977). Function spaces. Noordhoff
International Publishing.

Rebut el 9 de novembre del 1984

Departamento de Teoría de Funciones
Facultad de Ciencias
Zaragoza
SPAIN