

HOPF ALGEBRAS AND GALOIS DESCENT

Antonio A. Blanco Ferro

Knus and Ojanguren say in [5] that: "some of the methods used in Galois theory and in the radical theory may be formulated in the Hopf algebras framework. This analogy led to Chase and Sweedler to define a Galois notion of object in terms of Hopf algebras which generalizes both cases. They don't formulate a corresponding theory of descent". The purpose of this notes is to develop this theory, using as Galois definition of object, the one given by Chase and Sweedler in [2], and following the line of exposition in [5].

We define a Galois descent data over a Hopf algebra H for a S -module M , being S an Galois H -object, as a homomorphism of algebras $h: H^* \longrightarrow \text{End}_R(M)$ satisfying a condition of "semilinearity". Starting with this definition it is obtained as a particular case, the Galois descent for groups, simply by taking $H = kG^*$, where k is a commutative ring, G the finite group of Galois extension S of k and kG^* the dual of its group algebra. The characterization of the descended object given in section 2, is useful for several questions related to Galois H -objects studied in a different

way in [2].

In section 3, once given, H as a Hopf algebra and A as an H -module algebra, it is defined the set of cohomology of H with coefficients in A , $H^1(H, A)$ with a distinguished element, the class of the unit element of $\text{Reg}(H, A)$. If S is a Galois H -object, then $H^1(H, \text{Hom}_k(N, S \otimes N))$ classifies the twisted forms of N over S .

All through this notes K is a fixed commutative ring with 1, each \otimes, Hom , etc. is taken over K .

1. Preliminaries

DEFINITION 1.1. A Hopf algebra (over k) is a k -module together with the following structures $\mu: H \otimes H \rightarrow H$ multiplication, $\Delta: H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ diagonalization $\eta: k \rightarrow H$ unit, $\epsilon: H \rightarrow k$ counit so that (H, μ, η) is a k -algebra, (H, Δ, ϵ) is a k -coalgebra; μ and η are homomorphisms of coalgebras, or equivalently Δ and ϵ are homomorphisms of algebras.

A Hopf algebra H is said to have *antipode* or inverse if there is a homomorphism of k -modules $\lambda: H \rightarrow H$ such that $\mu \cdot (H \otimes \lambda) \cdot \Delta = \eta \cdot \epsilon = \mu \cdot (\lambda \otimes H) \cdot \Delta$. If $\tau: H \otimes H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ is the map which interchanges the factors, then H is said to be *commutative (cocommutative)* if $\mu \cdot \tau = \mu$, $(\tau \cdot \Delta = \Delta)$. Moreover $\lambda: H \rightarrow H$ verifies $\tau \cdot \Delta \cdot \tau = (\lambda \otimes \lambda) \cdot \Delta$ and $\lambda \cdot \mu \cdot \tau = \mu(\lambda \otimes \lambda)$.

DEFINITION 1.2. A finite Hopf algebra is a Hopf algebra H with antipode which is finitely generated and projective as K -module.

DEFINITION 1.4. Let H be a Hopf algebra, and S be an H -object, we define the algebra homomorphism. $\gamma_S: S \otimes S \longrightarrow S \otimes H$ by the formula $\gamma_S(x \otimes y) = (x \otimes 1_H) \cdot a_S(y) = \sum_{(y)} x y_{(1)} \otimes y_{(2)}$.

S will be called a *Galois H -object* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- a) S is a faithfully flat K -module
- b) γ_S is an isomorphism.

PROPOSITION 1.5. If H is a finite Hopf Algebra, then $H^* = \text{Hom}(H, K)$ is also a finite Hopf algebra. [2] (7.1).

If H is a finite Hopf algebra and S is a K -module then we have the following:

$\text{Hom}(S, S \otimes H) \cong \text{Hom}(S, \text{Hom}(H^*, S)) \cong \text{Hom}(H^* \otimes S, S)$ the first isomorphism arising from the fact that H is a finitely generated projective K -module, the second is the adjointness isomorphism. In particular if S is an H -object, we may apply this isomorphism to the element a_S of $\text{Hom}(S, S \otimes H)$ to obtain a map $b_S: H^* \otimes S \longrightarrow S$, which we shall write as $b_S(g \otimes x) = g(x) = \sum_{(x)} x_{(1)} \langle g, x_{(2)} \rangle$. It is easy to see that b_S is a H^* -module structure for S , [2].

DEFINITION 1.6. Let H be Hopf algebra, a K -algebra S which is a left H -module is called a *H -module algebra* if the structure morphism (unit and multiplication) are H -module morphisms.

If S is an H -object then it is a H^* -module algebra.

DEFINITION 1.7. Let H be a Hopf algebra and A a left H -mo-

module algebra. We define an algebra $A \# H$ as follows:

$A \# H$ as a K -module, the multiplication is defined by setting $(x \# g) \cdot (y \# h) = \sum_{(g)} x \cdot g_{(1)}(y) \# g_{(2)}h$ (we write $x \# g$ for $x \otimes g$ when thought of as an element of $A \# H$, $x \in A$, $g \in H$). Where $g_{(1)}(y)$ is the H -module structure of A , and unit $1_A \# 1_H$.

$A \# H$ is called the smash product of A and H .

In A , a structure of $A \# H$ -module is defined as $(x \# g)(y) = x \cdot g(y)$ $x, y \in A$, $g \in H$.

TEOREMA 1.8. If H is a finite commutative Hopf algebra and S is any H -object, then the statements below are equivalent.

- S is a Galois H -object
- S is a finitely generated faithful projective K -module, and the mapping $\psi: S \# H^* \longrightarrow \text{End}(S)$ arising from the left $S \# H^*$ -module structure on S , is an isomorphism of algebras [2]

Th 9.3.

2. Galois Descent

In this section H will be a finite commutative Hopf algebra, S is a Galois H -object and M is a left S -module.

DEFINITION 2.1. A K -algebra homomorphism $h: H^* \longrightarrow \text{End}(M)$ satisfying the "semilinearity" condition expressed by

$hg(x \cdot m) = \sum_{(g)} g_{(1)}(x) \cdot hg_{(2)}(m)$ with $g \in H^*$, $x \in S$, $m \in M$, is said to be a Galois descent data for M over S .

PROPOSITION 2.2. Each Galois descent data for M over S de-

termines a faithfully projective descent data of M over S . Conversely each faithfully projective descent data determines one of Galois.

These processes are inverse to each other.

Proof. Given $h: H^* \longrightarrow \text{End}(M)$, we define

$$\iota: \text{End}(S) \longrightarrow \text{End}(M)$$

$$\iota: \text{End}(S) \xrightarrow{\psi^{-1}} S \# H^* \xrightarrow{S \# h} S \# \text{End}(M) \xrightarrow{\theta} \text{End}(M)$$

where ψ is the algebra isomorphism of theorem (1.8) defined as $\psi(s \# g)(x) = s \cdot g(x)$ and $\theta(x \# f)(m) = x \cdot f(m)$ is a S -module structure for $\text{End}(M)$.

To check that ι is a faithfully projective descent data amounts to seeing that ι is an K -algebras homomorphism and homomorphism of left and right S -modules

ι will be an K -algebra homomorphism if

$\theta \circ (S \# h) = \theta' : S \# H^* \longrightarrow \text{End}(M)$ is an K -algebra homomorphism.

If $x, y \in S$, $g, p \in H^*$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \theta' \left(\sum_{(g)} x \cdot g_{(1)}(y) \# g_{(2)}p(m) \right) & \quad \text{by definition of } \theta' \\ & \quad \parallel \\ \sum_{(g)} x \cdot g_{(1)}(y) \cdot \left| h g_{(2)}p(m) \right| & \quad \text{because } h \text{ is a } K\text{-algebra homomorphism} \\ & \quad \parallel \\ \sum_{(g)} x \cdot g_{(1)}(y) \cdot \left| h g_{(2)}(h_p(m)) \right| & \quad \text{because of "semilinearity"} \\ & \quad \parallel \\ x \cdot h g \left| y \cdot h_p(m) \right| = \theta'(x \# g) \cdot \left| \theta'(y \# p)(m) \right| & \quad \text{by definition of } \theta' \end{aligned}$$

COROLARIO 2.3. In the conditions of theorem 2.2 if $N' = \{m \in M / hq(m) = \epsilon(q) \cdot m \quad \forall q \in H^*\}$ then $N = N'$

Proof. Given $m \in N$, then for every q in H^* we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & hq(m) \quad (\text{by definition of } h \text{ (2.2.)}) \\
 & \parallel \\
 & t\psi(1_S \# q)(1_S \cdot m) \quad (\text{because } m \in N) \\
 & \parallel \\
 & [\psi(1_S \# q)(1_S)] \cdot m \quad (\text{by definition of } \psi) \\
 & \parallel \\
 & q(1_S) \cdot m \quad (\text{because } \eta_S \text{ is an } H^*\text{-module homomorphism}) \\
 & \parallel \\
 & \epsilon(q) \cdot m \quad \text{then } N \in N'
 \end{aligned}$$

Given $m \in N'$, then for any x in S and any u in $\text{End}(S)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & t_u(x \cdot m) \quad (\text{by definition of } t \text{ (2.2.)}) \\
 & [\theta \cdot (S \otimes h) \cdot \psi^{-1}]_u(x \cdot m) \quad (\text{supposing } \psi^{-1}(u) = \sum_i x_i \# q_i) \\
 & \parallel \\
 & [\theta(\sum_i x_i \# hq_i)(x \cdot m)] \quad (\text{by definition of } \theta \text{ (2.2.)}) \\
 & \parallel \\
 & \sum_i x_i \cdot hq_i(x \cdot m) \quad (\text{by semilinearity of } h) \\
 & \parallel \\
 & \sum_i x_i \cdot \sum_{(q)} q_{i(1)}(x) hq_{i(2)}(m) \quad (\text{because } m \in N') \\
 & \parallel \\
 & \sum_i x_i \cdot \sum_{(q)} q_{i(1)}(x) \epsilon(q_{i(2)}) \cdot m \quad (\text{because } H^* \text{ is a Hopf algebra}) \\
 & \parallel \\
 & \sum_i x_i q_i(x) \cdot m \quad (\text{by definition of } \psi) \\
 & \parallel \\
 & \psi(\sum_i x_i \# q_i)(x) \cdot m = u(x) \cdot m \quad \text{then } N' \subset N
 \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 2.4. In the hypothesis of (2.2), if M is an S -algebra with structures $\nu_M : M \otimes_S M \longrightarrow M$ and $\eta_M : S \longrightarrow M$ and

the Galois descent data verifies the conditions:

$$a) \quad hq(m_1 \cdot m_2) = \sum_{(q)} hq_{(1)}(m_1) \cdot hq_{(2)}(m_2) \quad m_1, m_2 \in M \quad q \in H^*$$

$$b) \quad hq(1_M) = \epsilon(q) \cdot 1_M \quad q \in H^*$$

Then N is a K -algebra and $f: S \rightarrow N \rightarrow M$ is an S -algebra isomorphism.

Conversely if N is an K -algebra and f is a K -algebra isomorphism, then h verifies the conditions a) and b).

Proof. Using the relation between h and f , the second part of the proposition is immediate

For the first one, we consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} N & \xrightarrow{i} & M & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \\ \xleftarrow{\varphi_2} \end{array} & \text{Hom}(H^*, M) \\ & & \uparrow m_M & & \\ N \otimes N & \xrightarrow{i \otimes i} & M \otimes M & & \end{array}$$

where the upper line is an equalizer by (2.3)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varphi_1(m) : H^* & \longrightarrow & M \\ q & \longrightarrow & hq(m) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varphi_2(m) : H^* & \longrightarrow & M \\ q & \longrightarrow & \epsilon(q) \cdot m \end{array}$$

$$m_M : M \otimes M \longrightarrow M \otimes_S M \xrightarrow{\mu_M} M$$

and i is the inclusion of N in M .

Moreover, for any n_1 and n_2 in N and q in H^* we have got that

$$g_1[m_M(n_1 \otimes n_2)] = hq(n_1 \cdot n_2) \quad \text{by a)}$$

$$\sum (q) hq_{(1)}(n_1) \cdot hq_{(2)}(n_2) \quad \text{by definition of } N$$

$$\sum (q) \epsilon(q_{(1)})n_1 \cdot \epsilon(q_{(2)})n_2 \quad \text{because } H^* \text{ is a Hopf algebra}$$

$$\epsilon(q) \cdot n_1 \cdot n_2 = g_2[m_M(n_1 \otimes n_2)] \quad \text{Then there exists}$$

$$\nu_N : N \otimes N \longrightarrow N$$

The rest of the proof is easy

3. H^* and twisted forms

In the section S indicates a commutative K -algebra.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let N be a K -module, possibly with some additional algebraic structure. A *twist form* of N for the extension S is an isomorphism class of K -modules $[B]$ with the same type of structure as N has, and such that for each representative B , there exists $f_B : S \otimes B \longrightarrow S \otimes N$ an S -module isomorphism.

DEFINITION 3.2. If B is a coalgebra, A an algebra, and $f, g \in \text{Hom}(B, A)$ then we may define f convolution $g = f \wedge g$ as $f \wedge g : \nu_A \cdot (f \otimes g) \cdot \Delta_B$

With this operation $\text{Hom}(B, A)$ has monoide structure whose unit element is $\eta_A \cdot \epsilon_B$. If B is a cocommutative coalgebra and A is a commutative algebra, then $\text{Hom}(B, A)$ is a commutative monoide.

The group of invertible elements of $\text{Hom}(B, A)$ for the

convolution is denoted by $\text{Reg}(B, A)$

DEFINITION 3.3. Let H be a Hopf algebra and A an H -module algebra; we consider the simplicial complex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Reg}(K, A) & \xrightarrow{\delta^0} & \text{Reg}(H, A) & \xrightarrow{\delta^0} & \text{Reg}(H \boxtimes H, A) & \xrightarrow{\vdots} & \dots \\ & \searrow \delta^1 & & \searrow \delta^2 & & & \\ \delta^0 : \text{Reg}(\boxtimes^{n-1} H, A) & \longrightarrow & \text{Reg}(\boxtimes^n H, A) & & & & \\ & & \ell \longrightarrow & \psi_A \cdot (H \boxtimes \ell) & & & \end{array}$$

where ψ_A is the structure of A as H -module.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \delta^i : \text{Reg}(\boxtimes^{n-1} H, A) & \longrightarrow & \text{Reg}(\boxtimes^n H, A) \\ \ell & \longrightarrow & \ell \cdot (H \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \psi_H \boxtimes H \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes H) \end{array}$$

where ψ_H is in the i -esima position

$$i = 1 \dots n-1$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \delta^n : \text{Reg}(\boxtimes^{n-1} H, A) & \longrightarrow & \text{Reg}(\boxtimes^n H, A) \\ \ell & \longrightarrow & \ell \boxtimes \epsilon \end{array}$$

We define a 1-cocycle $\ell \in \text{Reg}(H, A)$ as that one for which $\delta^1 \ell = \delta^0 \ell \wedge \delta^2 \ell$ or what is the same $\forall q, p \in H$

$$\ell p q = \sum_{(q)} (q_{(1)} \cdot (\ell_p)) \cdot \ell q_{(2)}.$$

We will say that two 1-cocycles $\ell, g \in \text{Reg}(H, A)$ are cohomologous if there exists $v \in \text{Reg}(K, A)$ such that $\delta^0 v \wedge \ell = g \wedge \delta^1 v$.

The previous relation is an equivalence relation. The set of equivalence classes is denoted by analogy with the

we apply the functor $\text{Aut}_-(- \otimes N)$ which associated the group $\text{Aut}_R(R \otimes N)$ to each commutative K -algebra R and we obtain

$$\text{Aut}_K(N) \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} \text{Aut}_S(S \otimes N) \xrightleftharpoons[\alpha^1]{\alpha^0} \text{Aut}_{S \otimes S}(S \otimes S \otimes N) \xrightleftharpoons[\alpha^2]{\alpha^0} \dots$$

A 1-cocycle ℓ is defined as an element of $\text{Aut}_{S \otimes S}(S \otimes S \otimes N)$ such that $\alpha^1(\ell) = \alpha^0 \ell \cdot \alpha^2 \ell$. It is said that two 1-cocycles $\ell, g \in \text{Aut}_{S \otimes S}(S \otimes S \otimes N)$ are cohomologous if there exists $v \in \text{Aut}_S(S \otimes N)$ such that $\alpha^0 v \cdot \ell = g \cdot \alpha^1 v$. The previous relation is of equivalence over the set 1-cocycles. The set of cohomology classes is denoted by $H^1(S/K, \text{Aut}(- \otimes N))$ and has as distinguished element the class of the identity in $\text{Aut}_{S \otimes S}(S \otimes S \otimes N)$.

PROPOSITION 3.5. In the hypothesis of (3.4), there are group homomorphisms w_i such that following diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Aut}_S(S \otimes N) & \xrightleftharpoons[\alpha^1]{\alpha^0} & \text{Aut}_{S \otimes S}(S \otimes S \otimes N) & \xrightleftharpoons[\alpha^2]{\alpha^0} & \text{Aut}_{S \otimes S \otimes S}(S \otimes S \otimes S \otimes N) & \xrightarrow{\dots} & \\ \downarrow w_0 & & \downarrow w_1 & & \downarrow w_2 & & \\ \text{Reg}(K, \mathcal{E}') & \xrightleftharpoons[\alpha^1]{\alpha^0} & \text{Reg}(H^*, \mathcal{E}') & \xrightleftharpoons[\alpha^2]{\alpha^0} & \text{Reg}(H^* \otimes H^*, \mathcal{E}') & \xrightarrow{\dots} & \end{array}$$

are commutatives, i.e.

$$\delta_0^i \cdot w_{n-1} = w_n \cdot \alpha^i$$

Proof. We define $w_n(\ell) : H^* \otimes \dots \otimes H^* \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(N, S \otimes N)$

$$h_1 \otimes h_2 \otimes \dots \otimes h_n \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\theta : N \longrightarrow S \otimes N$

$$m \longrightarrow \sum_i x_i^1 \cdot h_1 [x_i^2 h_2 [\dots x_i^{q-1} h_{q-1} [x_i^q h_q [x_i^{q+1}]]] \otimes m_i$$

being $\sum_i x_i^1 \otimes x_i^2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_i^{q+1} \otimes m_i = \mathcal{L}(1_S \otimes \dots \otimes 1_S \otimes m)$

The proof of the proposition is not essentially different to the one given by Sweedler in [8].

Let \mathcal{H} be a finite commutative Hopf algebra, S a Galois \mathcal{H} -object and $\diamond : H^1(S/K, \text{Aut}_-(N)) \rightarrow H^1(H^*, \text{Hom}(N, S \otimes N))$ the map induced by w_1 . Then we have got the followings:

PROPOSITION 3.6.

\diamond is a bijective map which takes the distinguished element into the distinguished element.

Proof. a) \diamond is surjective.

Let $g \in \text{Reg}(H^*, \text{Hom}(N, S \otimes N))$ be a 1-cocycle, we may define then $h : H^* \longrightarrow \text{End}(S \otimes N)$

$$q \longrightarrow hq : S \otimes N \longrightarrow S \otimes N$$

$$x \otimes m \longrightarrow \sum_{(q)} \sum_i q_{(1)}^{(x)} y_i \otimes m_i$$

being $\sum_i y_i \otimes m_i = g_{q(2)}^{(m)}$

being h defined like this, it happens that h is a Galois descent data, the proof of this assertion is easy, though it is tedious. There are then B and f_B such that $f_B : S \otimes B \longrightarrow S \otimes N$ is a left S -module isomorphism, h takes the form given in (2.2.a) and the 1-cocycle $h' = (\tau \otimes N) \cdot (S \otimes \mathcal{L}) \cdot (\tau \otimes B) \cdot (S \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \in \text{Aut}_{S \otimes S}(S \otimes S \otimes N)$ is associated to \mathcal{L} [5]. Where τ is the application which interchanges the factors (1,1).

It follows then that $w_1(h')_q(m) = \sum_{i,j} \psi_{i,j} q(x_i) \otimes m_{i,j} =$
(because S is commutative and ℓ a S -module homomorphism).

$$= \ell \left\{ \sum_i q(x_i) \otimes b_i \right\} \quad (\text{because of the (2.2.a) relation between } \ell \text{ and } h).$$

$$h q(1_S \otimes m) \quad (\text{by definition of } h \text{ starting from } q)$$

$$q_q(m) \text{ Q.E.D. where } \sum_i x_i \otimes b_i = \ell^{-1}(1_S \otimes m) \text{ and } \ell(1_S \otimes b_i) = \sum_{j,k} \psi_{i,j,k} m_{i,j,k}.$$

b) ϕ is injective.

Let q and q' be two 1-cocycles in $\text{Aut}_{S \otimes S}(S \otimes S \otimes N)$ such that $w_1(q)$ is cohomologous to $w_1(q')$ in $\text{Reg}(H^*, \text{Hom}(N, S \otimes N))$

The 1-cocycles q and q' have associated to them $[B]$ and $[B']$ which are two twisted forms [5] respectively. $w_1(q)$ has associated as in a) a Galois descent data whose descent is B . Similarly $w_1(q')$ with B' . Because (2.3) B and B' are respectively determined by the equalizers

$$B \longrightarrow S \otimes B \xrightleftharpoons[\varphi_2]{\varphi_1} \text{Hom}(H^*, S \otimes B)$$

$$B' \longrightarrow S \otimes B' \xrightleftharpoons[\varphi_2']{\varphi_1'} \text{Hom}(H^*, S \otimes B')$$

Given $v \in \text{Reg}(K, \mathcal{E}')$ such that $\delta^0 v \wedge w_1(q) = w_1(q') \wedge \delta^1 v$ we form $\ell : S \otimes B \longrightarrow S \otimes B'$, $\ell = \ell_{B'}^{-1} \cdot (\nu_S \otimes N) \cdot (1_S \otimes v_1) \cdot \ell_B$ where v_1 is the homomorphism of N in $S \otimes N$ determined by $v \cdot \ell$ is trivially a left S -module isomorphism, simply taking into account that ℓ_B and $\ell_{B'}$ are too and that v has an inverse in $\text{Reg}(K, \mathcal{E}')$ for the convolution.

An easy computation gives $g_i^{B'} \cdot \ell = \text{Hom}(H^*, \ell) \cdot g_i^B$ for $i = 1, 2$.

We have then that $B = B'$ so they define the same twisted form, and g and g' turn out to be cohomologous [5].

COROLARIO 3.7. *The twisted form of N over S are classified in the hypothesis of (3.6) by $H^1(H^*, \text{Hom}(N, S \otimes N))$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] BLANCO FERRO, ANTONIO A. Alxebra 38 Dpto. Algebra y Fund. Santiago de Compostela (1984).
- [2] CHASE, S.U.; SWEELER, M.E. Hopf algebras and Galois theory. Lect Notes in Math. 97 (1969).
- [3] GIRAUD, J. Méthode de la descente. Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France. Memoire 2 (1964).
- [4] GROTHENDIECK, A. Technique de descente et théorèmes d'existence en géométrie algébrique. Seminaire Bourbaki Exposés 190, 195 (1959 / 1960).
- [5] KNUS, M.A.; OJANGUREN, M. Théorie de la descent et algébres d'Azumaya. Lect. Notes in Math. 389 (1974).

- [6] SERRE, J.P. Cohomologie Galoisienne. Lect. Notes in Math. 5 (1964).
- [7]. SWEEDLER, M.E. Hopf Algebras. Benjamin. New York (1969).
- [8] SWEEDLER, M.E. Cohomology of algebras over Hopf algebras. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (1968), 205-239.
- [9] WATERHOUSE, W.C. Introduction to affine group schemes G.T.M. 66 (1979).

Rebut el 12 de novembre del 1985

Departamento de Algebra y Fundamentos
 Facultad de Matemáticas
 Universidad de Santiago de Compostela.
 Spain.