

The two operators  $F, F^*$  are introduced on p. 229 and defined by the relations

$$(1) \quad F\varphi(q) = \int_{R^I} \overline{\phi(q,r)} \varphi(q) dq, \quad F^*\xi(r) = \int_{R^I} \phi(q,r) \xi(r) dr,$$

where

$$(2) \quad \phi(q,r) = \sum_{m,n} f_{mn} \varphi_m(q) \xi_n(r)$$

and  $\{\varphi_m(q)\}, \{\xi_n(r)\}$  are ~~complete~~ systems of orthonormal functions in  $R^I \equiv \{\varphi(q)\}$ ,  
complete

$R^{II} \equiv \{\xi(r)\}$ , resp.

$F\varphi(q)$  is a point of  $R^I$ ;  $F^*\xi(r)$  is a point of  $R^I$ . Then  $F^*F, FF^*$  act on the elements of  $R^I, R^{II}$  resp. and give as results elements of  $R^I, R^I$ . At the bottom of the ~~text~~ <sup>p. cited</sup> one reads as follows: "Da  $F\varphi_m(q)$  gleich  $\sum_n \overline{f_{mn}} \xi_n(r)$  ausfällt, hat  $F$  die Matrix  $\{\overline{f_{mn}}\}$  [unter Verwendung der vollständigen normierten Orthogonalysteme  $\varphi_m(q)$  bzw.  $\xi_n(r)$ ], ebenso hat  $F^*$  die Matrix  $\{f_{mn}\}$ . Also haben  $F^*F, FF^*$  die Matrizen

$$\left\{ \sum_n \overline{f_{mn}} f_{mn} \right\} \text{ bzw. } \left\{ \sum_m \overline{f_{mn}} f_{mn} \right\}."$$

But because of (1) and (2) we obtain actually

$$(3) \quad F\varphi_m(q) = \sum_{n'} \overline{f_{m'n'}} \xi_{n'}(r) \int_{R^I} \overline{\varphi_{n'}(q)} \varphi_m(q) dq = \sum_n \overline{f_{mn}} \xi_n(r)$$

and this gives us the matrix of  $F$  with respect to  $\{\varphi_m(q), \overline{\xi_n(r)}\}$ . Similarly

$$F^*\xi_n(r) = \sum_{m'} f_{m'n'} \varphi_{m'}(q) \int_{R^I} \xi_n(r) \xi_{n'}(r) dr.$$

Thus we have

$$\{f_{mn}\} \neq \left\{ \sum_n f_{mn} \int \xi_n(r) \xi_n(r) \right\},$$

if  $\xi_n(r)$  are <sup>not</sup> real.

The whole reasoning assumes the ~~validity~~ <sup>validity</sup> of (A) and (B) which ~~contradict~~ <sup>contradict</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>might perhaps</sup> results obtained above. I think it ~~should~~ <sup>might perhaps</sup> be amended ~~perhaps~~ in the following way. Let us introduce ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> operators  $F, \overline{F}, F^*$  and  $\overline{F^*}$  by the ~~defined~~ <sup>defined</sup>



identities

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} F\varphi(q) &= \int \overline{\phi(q,r)} \varphi(r) dr, & \overline{F}\varphi(q) &= \int \phi(q,r) \varphi(r) dr \\ F^*\xi(r) &= \int \phi(q,r) \xi(q) dq, & F'\xi(r) &= \int \overline{\phi(q,r)} \xi(q) dq. \end{aligned}$$

Each of these four operators is a "vollstetige" one and  $F^*F$ ,  $\overline{F}\overline{F}$  are definite. The matrices of the former are defined by

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} F\varphi_m(q) &= \sum_n \overline{f_{mn}} \overline{\xi}_n(r), & F^*\overline{\xi}_n(r) &= \sum_m f_{mn} \varphi_m(q) \\ F'\xi_n(r) &= \sum_m \overline{f_{mn}} \overline{\varphi}_m(q), & \overline{F}\overline{\varphi}_m(q) &= \sum_n f_{mn} \xi_n(r) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} (F^*F)\varphi_m(q) &= \sum_n \overline{f_{mn}} F^*\overline{\xi}_n(r) = \sum_n \left( \sum_m \overline{f_{mn}} f_{mn} \right) \varphi_m(q), \\ (\overline{F}\overline{F})\xi_n(r) &= \sum_m \overline{f_{mn}} \overline{F}\overline{\varphi}_m(q) = \sum_m \left( \sum_n \overline{f_{mn}} f_{mn} \right) \xi_n(r). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(8) \quad U = F^*F, \quad (9) \quad U = \overline{F}\overline{F}$$

are the projections of the statistical operator  $U = P_\phi$  on  $R^I$ ,  $R^{\overline{I}}$  resp. (8) agrees with the corresponding formula as ~~STATE~~ in your book, while (9) I think is quite different from that of the text. According to the former

$$U\xi(r) = \iint \phi(q,r) \overline{\phi(q,s)} \xi(s) ds dq$$

and ~~from~~ <sup>from</sup> the latter we get

$$U\xi(r) = \iint \overline{\phi(q,r)} \phi(q,s) \xi(s) ds dq.$$

Notwithstanding, the ultimate result (p. 231)

$$\phi(q,r) = \sum_{k=1}^M \sqrt{v_k} \varphi_k(q) \xi_{v_k}(r)$$

holds, in spite of the ~~amendment~~ I propose.

modifications (the other noun is amendment, but it is generally used in a legal sense)

En la pg. 229 introduce los dos operadores  $F$  y  $F^*$  definidos por

$$F\phi(q) = \int \overline{\phi(q, r)} \phi(q) dq,$$

$$F^*\xi(r) = \int \phi(q, r) \xi(r) dr.$$

~~Entonces~~  $F$  establece una correspondencia univocente el espacio  $\{\phi(q)\}$  y el  $\{\xi(r)\}$ ; el espacio  $\{\xi(r)\}$  y el  $\{\phi(q)\}$ . Luego  $F^*F$  está definido en  $\mathbb{R}^I$  y  $FF^*$  en  $\mathbb{R}^I$ . Ahora

donde 
$$\phi(q, r) = \sum_{m, n=1}^{\infty} f_{mn} \phi_m(q) \xi_n(r).$$

$\{\phi_m(q)\}$  es un sistema ortonormal en  $\{\phi(q)\}$  y  $\{\xi_n(r)\}$  lo es en  $\{\xi(r)\}$ .  
 Sea, al final de la página se lee: Da  $F\phi_m(q)$  gleich  $\sum_n f_{mn} \xi_n(r)$  ausfällt, hat  $F$  die Matrix  $\{f_{mn}\}$  (unter Verwendung der vollständigen normierten Orthogonal-systeme  $\phi_m(q)$  bzw.  $\xi_n(r)$ ), ebenso hat  $F^*$  die Matrix  $\{f_{mn}\}$ . Also haben  $F^*F$ ,  $FF^*$  die Matrizen  $\left\{ \sum_n f_{mn} f_{n\alpha} \right\}$  bzw.  $\left\{ \sum_n f_{m\alpha} f_{n\alpha} \right\}$ .

Para obtener los cálculos se obtiene:

$$F\phi_m(q) = \sum_{n, n'} \overline{f_{m, n'}} \overline{\xi_{n'}(r)} \int \overline{\phi_{m'}(q)} \phi_m(q) dq = \sum_n \overline{f_{mn}} \overline{\xi_n(r)},$$

es decir,  $\overline{f_{mn}}$  la matriz de  $F$  referida a la base  $\{\overline{\xi_n(r)}\}$ , no a la  $\{\xi_n(r)\}$ , y

$$F^*\xi_n(r) = \sum_{m, m'} f_{m, n'} \phi_{m'}(q) \int \xi_n(r) \xi_{n'}(r) dr$$

y evidentemente 
$$f_{mn} = \sum_{n'} f_{m, n'} \int \xi_n(r) \xi_{n'}(r) dr.$$

Todo el razonamiento referente a la a) y en b) que, a mi parecer, no me convence. Por esto modificaré el razonamiento del siguiente modo: Introduzco como los operadores  $F, \overline{F}, F^*$  y  $\overline{F^*}$  mediante las relaciones

$$F\phi(q) = \int \overline{\phi(q, r)} \phi(q) dq \quad \overline{F}\phi(q) = \int \phi(q, r) \phi(q) dq$$

$$F^*\xi(r) = \int \phi(q, r) \xi(r) dr \quad \overline{F^*}\xi(r) = \int \overline{\phi(q, r)} \xi(r) dr.$$

Atención: Todos estos operadores son completamente continuos y  $F^*F, \overline{F}\overline{F^*}$  son definidos.



Two matrices are:

$$F \varphi_m(q) = \sum_n \bar{f}_{mn} \bar{\xi}_n(r) \quad \bar{F}^* \bar{\xi}_n = \sum_m \bar{f}_{mn} \varphi_m(q)$$

$$\bar{F}' \bar{\xi}_n(r) = \sum_m \bar{f}_{mn} \varphi_m(q) \quad \bar{F} \varphi_m(q) = \sum_n \bar{f}_{mn} \bar{\xi}_n(r)$$

from which

$$(F^* F) \varphi_m(q) = \sum_n \bar{f}_{mn} \cdot F^* \bar{\xi}_n(r) = \sum_{m'} \left( \sum_n \bar{f}_{mn} \bar{f}_{m'n} \right) \varphi_{m'}(q),$$

~~and~~

$$(\bar{F} \bar{F}') \bar{\xi}_n(r) = \sum_m \bar{f}_{mn} \cdot \bar{F} \varphi_m(q) = \sum_{m'} \left( \sum_m \bar{f}_{mn} \bar{f}_{m'n} \right) \bar{\xi}_{m'}(r).$$

It is demonstrated that the projections of the operator  $U = P_\phi$  in  $R^2$  and  $R^N$  are

$$(B) \quad U = F^* F, \quad U = \bar{F} \bar{F}'$$

The first formula coincides with that of the text, but not the second. According to the formula in the fig. 230 we have

$$U \bar{\xi}(r) = \int \phi(q, r) dq \int \phi(q, s) \bar{\xi}(s) ds$$

and from the first formula we obtain

$$U \bar{\xi}(r) = \int \phi(q, r) dq \int \bar{\phi}(q, s) \bar{\xi}(s) ds.$$

At first in (3), multiplying by  $\sqrt{w_k}$  and averaging over the text, we obtain the same result as (H. 231)

$$\phi(q, r) = \sum_{k=1}^M \sqrt{w_k} \varphi_k(q) \bar{\xi}_k(r).$$

on p. 229, i.e.

to be defined by

The operator  $U$  may be expressed in terms of  $\varphi_k(q)$ -functions, and is easily seen to be - We then get

Then, calling - , we have

Let us therefore put, corresponding to (1), - , defined in this way -

defined